































achieved in a timely manner without compromising operational function and thermal comfort.

While this project did not explicitly follow the Soft Landings framework, it has confirmed that the core principles carry substantial benefit when applied diligently and there are potentially a few additional practices that could be adopted into the framework. These include:

- more structured in-depth analysis of system operations prior to hand-over
- clearer planning requirements for the tuning process
- defining the Soft Landings lead role that is continuous through-out all stages
- requiring an element of active independent verification of commissioning planning and execution
- defining the scope and how to procure services post 12 months DLP
- defining the need for post-occupancy training and coaching for occupants and maintainers

**References:**

BSRIA, Usable Buildings Trust, (2012) *Soft landings core principles*, BSRIA BG 38/2012, [www.softlandings.org.uk](http://www.softlandings.org.uk)

BSRIA, Usable Buildings Trust, (2009) *The Soft landings framework*, BSRIA BG 4/2009, [www.softlandings.org.uk](http://www.softlandings.org.uk)

Office of Environment and Heritage, (2012) *How NABERS works- Benchmark factors*, [www.nabers.gov.au](http://www.nabers.gov.au)

Sam Moffitt (2011) *2 National Circuit BMS Commissioning report*, Exergy Australia, Canberra Australia

Sam Moffitt and Caoimhin Ardren,(2012) *2 National Circuit June 2012 Base Building Energy Report*, Exergy Australia, Canberra Australia