# SPECTROSCOPIC AND THEORETICAL INVESTIGATION OF SELECTED CYCLIC AND BICYCLIC MOLECULES IN THEIR GROUND AND EXCITED ELECTRONIC STATES 

A Dissertation<br>by<br>MOHAMED ZUHAIR MOHAMED RISHARD<br>Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A\&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

December 2007

Major Subject: Chemistry

# SPECTROSCOPIC AND THEORETICAL INVESTIGATION OF SELECTED CYCLIC AND BICYCLIC MOLECULES IN THEIR GROUND AND EXCITED ELECTRONIC STATES 

A Dissertation<br>by<br>MOHAMED ZUHAIR MOHAMED RISHARD

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A\&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Approved by:

Chair of Committee, Jaan Laane
Committee Members, Paul S. Cremer
Robert R. Lucchese
George W. Kattawar
Head of Department, David H. Russell

December 2007

Major Subject: Chemistry

ABSTRACT<br>Spectroscopic and Theoretical Investigation of Selected Cyclic and Bicyclic Molecules<br>in Their Ground and Excited Electronic States. (December 2007)<br>Mohamed Zuhair Mohamed Rishard, B.S., University of Colombo, Sri Lanka Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Jaan Laane

The structures, vibrational frequencies, and potential energy functions of several molecules in their ground and excited electronic states were determined using various spectroscopic and theoretical methods.

High-level $a b$ initio and density functional theory (DFT) calculations were utilized to investigate the previously reported structures and vibrational spectra of 1,3disilacyclobutane ( 13 DSCB ) and its $1,1,3,3-d_{4}\left(13 \mathrm{DSCB}-d_{4}\right)$ isotopomer. These calculations confirmed the finding from earlier microwave work that the CSiC angles of the 13DSCB ring are unexpectedly larger than the SiCSi angles. The calculated vibrational spectra using density functional theory agreed well with the experimental data and showed $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ modes to have unusually low values. The calculations also confirmed that the individual molecules in the vapor phase are puckered whereas in the solid they become planar.

The one-dimensional potential energy surfaces (PESs) for the ring inversion vibration of 2-cyclohexen-1-one and its 2,6,6- $d_{3}$ isotopomer in its ground and singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states were determined using ultraviolet cavity ringdown spectroscopy (CRDS). The CRDS data allowed several of the quantum states of the ring inversion vibration to be determined for both the ground and excited electronic states, and the data were fit very well with PESs with high barriers to inversion. The infrared and Raman spectra and DFT calculations were utilized to complete a vibrational assignment of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$. A remarkable agreement was seen between the experimental and calculated spectra.

The fluorescence excitation spectra (FES) and the single-vibronic level fluorescence (SVLF) spectra of jet-cooled 1,4-dihydronaphthalene (14DHN) were
acquired to determine its ring-puckering potential energy function for the ground and singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states. Ultraviolet, infrared, and Raman spectra were also recorded to complement the analysis. The potential energy functions showed that the molecule is planar in both the ground and $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ states. A complete vibrational assignment was carried out for 14DHN using the infrared and Raman data and aided by DFT calculations.

The $a b$ intio calculations carried out on 2-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (2MCP) showed that the molecule can have 3 different conformers. Infrared and Raman spectra of the liquid-phase molecule were recorded and analyzed to complement the theoretical calculations.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Determination of potential energy surfaces is one of the most popular research areas in physical chemistry. The importance of these potential energy surfaces can be easily understood as they can provide an enormous amount of information on molecular structure and conformations, inter and intra molecular forces, energy barriers, reaction pathways, and various other aspects of chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ Conformational changes of molecules are typically associated with anharmonic, low-frequency, large-amplitude vibrations which interact little with higher frequency vibrational modes. These vibrations include out-of-plane ring vibrations, internal rotations, and skeletal twistings. As a result, many of the conformational changes of molecules may be described by potential energy functions which are governed by only one or two vibrational coordinates. Various spectroscopic techniques have been used to determine the transitions between quantum states of these vibrational modes. Far-infrared and low-frequency Raman spectra for molecules in the ground electronic states, and ultraviolet and laser induced fluorescence spectra for molecules in the excited electronic states are among these techniques. Cavity ringdown spectroscopy, a relatively new but a very powerful technique also has now been used to study the excited states of molecules, particularly for states with very weak electronic transitions, e.g. triplet excited states. In addition to this, with the development of computational resources during last three decades, theoretical methods for high level of classical and quantum mechanical calculations have become an integral part of this type of research. These can provide valuable information on molecular structures and conformations, vibrational frequencies, and Raman intensities which can complement the experimental data.

[^0]Since three points define a plane, an N-membered cyclic molecule has N-3 out-of-plane vibrational modes. Therefore, a four-membered cyclic molecule such as cyclobutane has only one out-of-plane ring motion and this is called the ring-puckering. This mode has the lowest vibrational frequency for this molecule. For five-membered rings such as cyclopentane or furan, there are two out-of-plane motions which have low vibrational frequencies. Therefore, as the number of atoms in a molecule increases, the number of out-of-plane modes also increases. In some cases it may be necessary to consider all of these modes simultaneously for a full conformational analysis. However, Laane and Lord showed that some unsaturated molecules such as cyclopentene ${ }^{2}$ and 1,4cyclohexadiene ${ }^{3}$ can be regarded as pseudo-four-membered rings, and they have a ringpuckering vibration similar to that of cyclobutane. The $\pi$-bonding in the ring restricts the motion of atoms and one $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ unit can be considered as one atom. Therefore, the ring-puckering motions of these pseudo-four-membered rings can be treated independently from other out-of-plane modes and expressed in terms of one-dimensional potential energy functions. Similarly, the molecule cyclohexene can be treated as a pseudo-five-membered ring with two interacting out-of-plane modes, the ring bending (or puckering) and ring-twisting. In such cases the potential energy function for the out-of-plane motion should be expressed with respect to the coordinates of the above two vibrations.
R. P. Bell in 1945 postulated that the ring puckering vibration of cyclobutane can be represented by a quartic potential energy function. ${ }^{4}$ However, investigation of some molecules using far-infrared spectroscopy showed that the low-frequency ring-puckering vibrations of these can be best expressed by a mixed quartic-quadratic potential energy function. ${ }^{5-7}$ In fact, the ring-puckering of cyclobutane was later found to have a function with a double minimum. ${ }^{8}$ Therefore, since Bell's postulation, a large number of cyclic and bicyclic molecules have been investigated both spectroscopically and theoretically with the methodologies presented in detail in many publications and reviews. ${ }^{9-14}$ In the present work, the conformations of several cyclic and bicyclic molecules have been
investigated by spectroscopic and theoretical methods and the results of these studies will be presented herein.

## 1,3-DISILACYCLOBUTANE

1,3-Disilacyclobutane (13DSCB) is a four-membered cyclic molecule which can either have a puckered (with $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry) or a planar (with $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry) structure. This compound and its $1,1,3,3-d_{4}$ isotopomer were first prepared by Irwin, Cooke, and Laane in 1977 using high temperature pyrolysis. ${ }^{15}$ The potential energy function of this molecule for the ring-puckering vibration determined by vapor-phase far-infrared spectroscopy proved that the equilibrium conformation of this molecule is puckered with a barrier to planarity of $87 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(0.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and a puckering angle of $24^{0}$. Microwave studies also confirmed this non-planar structure and showed that it has unexpectedly large CSiC angles. ${ }^{16,17}$ However, the force constant calculations for both planar and puckered structures and the observation of vibrational coupling in the infrared and Raman spectra of vapor, liquid, and solid phases have shown that this molecule becomes planar in the solid state. ${ }^{18}$

In order to confirm the previous assessment of these conclusions, and to reexamine the vibrational assignments made by infrared and Raman spectroscopy, 13DSCB and its $d_{4}$ isotopomer were analyzed with several $a b$ initio and DFT calculations and the results of these will be presented.

## 2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE

2-Cyclohexen-1-one (2 CHO ) is an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone whose structure is similar to the previously studied 2 -cyclopenten-1-one (2CPO). The ring-bending potential energy function of 2 CPO showed that although the molecule is planar in both the ground ${ }^{19}$ and singlet ${ }^{20}$ electronic states it becomes slightly puckered in the triplet ${ }^{21}$ electronic state. This interesting feature of 2 CPO inspired the spectroscopic analysis of 2 CHO .

2 CHO is a non-planar asymmetric six-membered ring molecule. It is expected that the conjugation between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups provides an extra rigidity to the ring which keeps all the atoms of the ring in a plane except for the carbon at the $5^{\text {th }}$ position. This was experimentally verified by Manley and Tyler in 1970 who proposed a structure for 2 CHO using the rotational constants determined by microwave spectroscopy. ${ }^{22}$ Therefore, the ring-inversion vibration of 2 CHO which involves the out-of-plane motion of the C-5 atom can be treated as a one-dimensional motion. Although low-frequency Raman ${ }^{23}$ and far-infrared ${ }^{24}$ spectroscopic techniques have been previously utilized to determine the potential energy function of the ring-inversion, the results given by these two analyses were completely different from each other. Therefore, further spectroscopic studies were undertaken on 2 CHO and its $2,6,6-d_{3}$ isotopomer $\left(2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}\right)$ in order to understand the inversion process better. The research work done here will present the one-dimensional ring-inversion potential energy functions of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ in their ground and singlet $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states determined by cavity ringdown spectroscopy, an ultra-high sensitive spectroscopic method. Furthermore, a complete vibrational assignment of these two molecules in their ground electronic state will be presented based on liquid- and vapor-phase infrared and Raman spectra together with density functional theory calculations.

## 1,4-DIHYDRONAPHTHALENE

1,4-Dihydronaphthalene ( 14 DHN ) is a bicyclic molecule whose structure is comparable to those of 1,4 -cyclohexadiene $(14 \mathrm{CHD})$ and 9,10 -dihydroanthracene (DHA). The stereochemistry of the dihydro ring of these molecules, that is, whether the ring is planar or puckered, has been a question for a long time. The similarity of these compounds to the biologically important quinones further underscores the need to understand the structures of these parent compounds fully. In 1971, Laane and Lord, using far-infrared spectroscopy showed that the 14CHD molecule is planar ${ }^{3}$ although a previous electron diffraction study had postulated a puckered molecule. ${ }^{25}$ In 1988 Strube and Laane ${ }^{26}$ determined a quantitative vibrational potential energy function for the ring-
puckering mode and again confirmed that the molecule was planar. The structure of DHA was also studied by various theoretical and spectroscopic methods ${ }^{27-29}$ and these studies proved that this molecule is puckered. Lipkowitz et al. in 1982 explained the increasing tendency of the dihydro ring to become bent or puckered when going from 14 CHD to DHA in terms of angle strain and torsional effects. ${ }^{30}$ Therefore an intermediate structure was expected for 14 DHN . Molecular mechanics and ab initio calculations done on 14 DHN predicted a planar structure but with a highly flexible dihydro ring. ${ }^{31,32}$ The present research work was carried out to determine the potential energy functions of 14 DHN in its ground and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states using laser induced fluorescence (LIF) and high resolution ultraviolet spectra. Although the LIF spectra of 14 DHN have been studied by Chakraborty et al. previously, ${ }^{33}$ as will be shown, their data didn't have the required spectroscopic accuracy to calculate an accurate ring-puckering potential energy function. A complete vibrational analysis of 14DHN also will be presented based on infrared and Raman measurements and aided by theoretical calculations.

## 2-METHYL-2-CYLOPENTEN-1-ONE

2-Methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (2MCP) is another $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone which has a methyl group attached to it at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ carbon of the ring. Preliminary studies on this molecule were done using liquid-phase infrared and Raman spectroscopy in order to do a complete vibrational assignment. This type of study will be useful for a future investigation of the internal rotation of methyl groups that was previously done for the isomeric 3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one. ${ }^{34}$ High level ab initio and density functional theory calculations were also carried out to complement the spectral data. The results of these experimental and theoretical investigations will be presented.

## CHAPTER II

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The following sections will present a concise description of the various spectroscopic techniques used in the present study. Additional specific details used for each molecule will be presented in the relevant sections. The different types of spectroscopic transitions utilized for this work are illustrated in Figure 1.

## INFRARED AND RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY

Infrared and Raman spectroscopy are of vital importance since both of them can determine the vibrational energy levels of the electronic ground state of molecules. The principal difference between these two methods comes from the way the molecules interact with the incident radiation or the electromagnetic energy entering the system. In order to observe a transition in the infrared spectroscopy, a molecule must have a vibrational mode which changes its dipole moment during the atomic motions of the molecule. This dipole moment change results from an interaction between the molecule and the incident infrared radiation and results in an absorption band in the infrared spectrum. Conversely, a Raman a transition comes about from a vibrational mode which changes the polarizability of the molecule. This polarizability change or oscillation results in the scattering of the incident radiation at a changed frequency. The Raman transition is illustrated in Figure 1. The molecule is typically excited to a level somewhere between the ground and excited electronic states in energy by the incident radiation. This is called the virtual excited state. The scattering takes place when the molecule returns to the initial level or to a higher vibrational level in the electronic ground state. The scattered light consists mostly of the unchanged wavelength or frequency of the incident light and is called the Rayleigh scattering. However, a small amount of scattered radiation lies at increased or decreased frequency. The radiation with decreased frequency is called the Stokes Raman scattering (or simply, Raman

Figure 1. The transitions of the various spectroscopic techniques used.
scattering) and that with the increased frequency is called anti-Stokes Raman scattering (not shown in Figure 1). In the present study only the Stokes Raman was utilized. For a given molecule some of its vibrational modes or transitions may be Raman active, some may be infrared active, some may be both infrared and Raman active, and some may be neither depending whether these modes result in dipole moment changes or/and polarizability changes in the molecule. Selection rules which are based on the molecular symmetry help to determine whether a transition is infrared or Raman active.

The Raman spectra of the compounds presented in this work were acquired on an SA Jobin-Yvon U-1000 spectrometer equipped with liquid-nitrogen cooled CCD (charge-coupled device) and PMT (photo multiplier tube) detectors. Raman scattering was achieved using either a Coherent Radiation Innova 20 argon ion laser with an excitation at 514.5 nm or a Coherent Verdi-V10 diode-pumped laser with an excitation line at 532 nm . The liquid-phase spectra were recorded at room temperature with a lasing power of $0.5-1 \mathrm{~W}$. A polarizer and a scrambler were used to measure the parallel and perpendicular polarized Raman scattering. Vapor-phase Raman spectra of the compounds which were contained in a special high-temperature Raman cell ${ }^{35}$ collected at temperatures ranging from of $230-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A laser power of 5 W was used for this purpose.

The liquid mid-infrared spectra were collected on a Bruker Vertex 70 FT (fourier transform)-spectrometer equipped with a globar light source, a KBr beamsplitter and a DLaTGS (deuterated lanthanum triglycine sulfate) detector. The liquid far-infrared spectrum was also collected on the same instrument equipped with a mylar beamsplitter, and an MCT (mercury cadmium telluride) detector which has a detection range of 630$60 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The vapor phase mid-infrared spectra were recorded on a Bomem DA8.02 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a globar light source, a KBr beamsplitter, and a DTGS (deuterated triglycine sulfate) detector. A non-heatable 4-m multi path IR cell with KBr windows was used here.

## JET COOLED LASER-INDUCED FLUORESCENCE (LIF) SPECTROSCOPY

LIF consists of two separate techniques, fluorescence excitation spectroscopy (FES) and single-vibronic level fluorescence (SVLF) spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 1 these two techniques give information on vibrational energy levels in the ground and excited (singlet or $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ) electronic states respectively.

For FES spectra the heated vapor of the sample was mixed with a stream of noble gas known as carrier gas which is at high pressure, and was injected into an evacuated chamber through a nozzle to create a molecular beam. The pressure of the chamber is kept in the range of $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$ torr in order to sufficiently cool the sample which minimizes the number of molecules in the vibrationally excited states. Therefore, almost all of the electronic transitions take place from the lowest vibrational energy level as shown in Figure 1. The transitions to the electronic excited states can be accomplished by tuning an ultraviolet laser which intersects the molecular beam. The molecular beam excited by the tuning laser can release the energy gained in a variety of ways and one such way is emitting it as fluorescent light. Therefore a spectrum can be obtained by plotting the intensity of the emitted light as a function of the laser frequency. This spectrum shows the energy required to reach the vibronic levels of the excited electronic state. The excitation for FES was achieved using a tunable ultraviolet laser system which consists of a Continuum Powerlite 9020 Nd :YAG laser which pumps a Continuum Sunlite optical parametric oscillator (OPO). The frequency of the visible radiation from the OPO was doubled by a Continuum FX-1 doubling package. The output radiation from this package is capable of being tuned from 220 to 355 nm continuously with a resolution of $0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The fluorescent light was detected directly by a photo multiplier tube (PMT) manufactured by Hammamatsu. Argon was used as the backing gas whose stated purity was $99.99 \%$. The pressure of the backing gas was typically in the range of 1 to 20 atmospheres and the specific value was selected to obtain the optimal spectra. The spectral resolution of FES was $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The SVLF spectra, also known as dispersed fluorescence, were acquired by tuning the laser in order to excite the molecule to a specific vibronic level, which was
already determined by FES. The fluorescent light emitted from this excited level was dispersed into individual frequencies by passing it through a Jobin Yvon ISA HR640 monochromator. The vibrational energy levels of the ground state were determined by these individual frequencies. The dispersed light was detected by a Spectrum One charge-coupled device (CCD) detector with a $2000 \times 800$ pixel chip. The resolution of this detector was $\pm 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## ELECTRONIC ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY

Electronic absorption spectroscopy or ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy is another technique which can determine the vibronic energy levels of the excited electronic states. The difference between UV and FES is the temperature of the sample at which the spectrum is acquired. The jet-cooled spectra obtained from FES have all its transitions originated from only very low energy vibrational levels. Therefore, the spectrum is greatly simplified. However, a UV spectrum is obtained either at room temperature or at elevated temperatures. Therefore, it can have bands originating from the higher vibrational energy levels, which were not observed in the FES spectra. These bands are called hot bands. Therefore, use of both FES and UV spectra together greatly helps in assigning the excited state vibrational levels correctly. The vapor-phase UV spectra presented here were acquired on a Bomem DA8.02 FT-spectrometer equipped with a deuterium light source, a quartz beamsplitter, and a silicon detector with a detection range of $20,000-50,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The sample was contained in a 15 cm vapor glass cell with quartz windows. The spectra were acquired either at room temperature or at higher temperature depending on the physical state and the vapor pressure of the sample.

## CAVITY RINGDOWN SPECTROSCOPY

Cavity ring down spectroscopy (CRDS) is a technique which was first designed by O'Keefe and Deacon in $1988 .{ }^{36}$ It can achieve very long path lengths (several km ) with a bench top experimental set up thus making it a simple and inexpensive but a very powerful tool for vapor-phase spectroscopic studies which require ultra-high sensitivity.

Therefore, this technique is used for investigation of species with very weak electronic transitions such as singlet to triplet excitation and also in atmospheric trace gas analysis. It also can be used for molecules whose excited electronic states cannot be investigated by conventional spectroscopic methods such as LIF. The principles and the experimental details of the CRDS are now available in many texts and publications. ${ }^{37-39}$ All of the CRDS work presented here were carried out at the Laboratory of Dr. Stephen Drucker of University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire. The details of the experimental work done will be presented in the relevant sections.

## CHAPTER III

## THEORETICAL METHODS

## INTRODUCTION

Theoretical calculations constituted an integral part of this research which investigated the conformations, potential energy functions, and vibrational modes of molecules. Two types of theoretical methods were utilized in the research work presented here. The first was the use of various computational methods which are based on the principles of quantum mechanics to calculate the molecular structures, vibrational frequencies, Raman intensities and other important data such as dipole moments, charge distribution etc. These are called $a b$ initio calculations or density functional theory calculations. The second is the determination of mathematical functions used to model the vibrational motion of molecules. These functions include kinetic energy functions which calculate the reduced mass of the vibrational motions and also potential energy functions which show the energy dependence on the displacement of the atoms of the molecules. These two types of theoretical calculations are briefly described in this chapter.

## COMPUTATIONAL CHEMISTRY

Computational chemistry enables chemists to study the structures and other chemical and physical properties of molecular systems by running calculations on computers rather than examining them by experimental means.

There are two broad areas within computational chemistry: Molecular mechanics, and electronic structure theory. Molecular mechanics (MM) is based on a model of a molecule as a collection of balls (atoms) held together by springs (bonds). It uses the laws of classical mechanics to predict the properties of molecules. In the present work, MM methods were deemed to not have sufficient accuracy for the molecules of interest and were not utilized. Electronic structure methods on the other hand, use the
principles of quantum mechanics, fully or partially, as the basis of their calculations. The molecular properties are obtained by solving the Schrödinger Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \Psi=E \Psi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where H is the Hamiltonian energy operator and the many values of E represent the quantized energy states. The $\Psi$ 's are the wavefunctions.

The solutions for Eq. (3.1) are the energies and the wavefunctions of the molecular system. However this cannot be solved exactly for any but the smallest one, i.e. for hydrogen atom-like systems where there is no electron-electron repulsion. Therefore there are various electronic structure methods characterized by the mathematical approximation methods used to solve the Schrödinger Equation. These are mainly of two classes: The first one are the semi-empirical methods that solve the Schrödinger equation approximately with the use of suitable experimental parameters available for the type of molecular system of interest. The second is the ab initio methods, which use no experimental parameters at all, and whose foundation is solely dependent upon the principles of quantum mechanics and the values of some physical constants such as Planck's constant, the velocity of light, and the permitivity of the vacuum. The major difference between these two classes is the trade-off made between the computational cost and the accuracy of the results. Semi-empirical methods are inexpensive compared to ab initio methods. They predict fairly accurate quantities for energies and structural data for systems for which good parameter sets exist. Ab initio methods in contrast can predict quantities of high accuracy and reliability. In principle, they can be applied for systems of any size or class though the computational cost increases as the size of the system increases. However, with the development of computers, $a b$ initio methods can now be used to investigate fairly large molecular systems with even several hundred atoms. Since these calculations use only the principles of quantum mechanics, $a b$ initio methods are applicable to excited states, unstable and hypothetical molecules, reaction intermediates etc.

In recent times, a third class of electronic structure methods have begun to play a significant role in the filed of computational chemistry. This is known as density functional theory (DFT) methods. These are also based on the Schrödinger Equation but the solutions do not yield wavefunctions. Rather, DFT methods calculate an electron distribution (or a density) functional. Computational cost of DFT methods are somewhere in between those of semi empirical and ab initio methods. Therefore, DFT methods are becoming increasingly popular.

This research work mainly used the $a b$ initio methods of Hartree-Fock (HF) Theory, Second-order Möller-Plesset Perturbation Theory (MP2), Configuration interaction-singles (CIS), and Coupled-Cluster Theory (CCSD). The DFT calculations were done mostly with B3LYP (three-term Becke functional combined with Lee, Yang, Parr exchange functional). These theories are coupled with appropriate basis sets (a set of linear combinations of mathematical functions that describes the shapes of molecular orbitals) in order to calculate the required molecular parameters. The principles of $a b$ initio and DFT calculations is available in many texts. ${ }^{40-44}$ All of the ab initio and DFT calculations presented here were carried out using the Gaussian 03 quantum mechanical package. ${ }^{45}$

## KINETIC AND POTENTIAL ENERGY OPERATORS

The conformational changes of non-rigid molecules can be well represented by potential energy surfaces which are defined by one or two vibrational coordinates. These functions can be determined from experimental spectroscopic data in conjunction with quantum mechanics. The time-independent Schrödinger equation for molecular vibrations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{v i b} \Psi^{v i b}=E^{v i b} \Psi^{v i b} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}^{v i b}$ is the vibrational Hamiltonian operator. $\Psi^{v i b}$ is the vibrational wavefunction, and $E^{v i b}$ represents the solutions for the vibrational energy or each vibrational wavefunction. The vibrational Hamltonian can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{v i b}=\hat{T}+\hat{V} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{V}$ represent the kinetic and potential energy operators respectively.

## The kinetic energy operator

For a molecule having N atoms, the vibrational kinetic energy operator $\hat{\mathrm{T}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega^{\mathrm{t}} \dot{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{t}}\right) \mathrm{G}\binom{\omega}{\dot{\mathrm{q}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a three-dimensional angular momentum column vector, q is the $3 \mathrm{~N}-6$ dimensional vector of linear momenta conjugate to the vibrational coordinate $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G}$ is the Wilson G matrix. ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ The superscript t denotes the matrix transpose.

The G matrix depends on the molecular rotations and vibrations and can be expressed as

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & X  \tag{3.5}\\
X^{t} & Y
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

I is a $3 \times 3$ inertial moment tensor, whose elements can be defined as

$$
\mathrm{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{x x} & -I_{x y} & -I_{x z}  \tag{3.6}\\
-I_{y x} & I_{y y} & -I_{y z} \\
-I_{z x} & -I_{z y} & I_{z z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each element of I can be expressed in terms of atomic masses and coordinate vectors. The matrix $X$ in Eq. (3.6) is the $3 \times(3 \mathrm{~N}-6)$ rotational-vibrational interaction matrix defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\sum_{l=1}^{N} m_{l}\left(r_{l} \times\left(\frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial q_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Y represents the matrix which describes the pure vibrational interactions which is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\sum_{l=1}^{N} m_{l}\left(\frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial q_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\partial r_{l}}{\partial q_{\mathrm{j}}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m_{l}$ represents the mass of the $l^{\text {th }}$ atom, $r_{l}$ is the coordinate vector from the $l^{\text {th }}$ atom to the center of mass, and $q$ is the vibrational coordinate. For a molecule with large number of atoms, a multi-dimensional analysis is required which is very difficult in practice. However for molecules such as pseudo four-membered-rings such as cyclopentene or 1,4-cyclohexadiene, their ring-puckering vibration can be separable from other normal modes. In such a case, the vibrational mode of interest can be analyzed independently and the Wilson G matrix becomes

$$
\mathrm{G}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{x x} & -I_{x y} & -I_{x z} & X_{11}  \tag{3.9}\\
-I_{y x} & I_{y y} & -I_{y z} & X_{12} \\
-I_{z x} & -I_{z y} & I_{z z} & X_{13} \\
X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & Y_{13}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

The in inverted G matrix is defined as

$$
\mathrm{G}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14}  \tag{3.10}\\
g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} \\
g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} & g_{34} \\
g_{41} & g_{42} & g_{43} & g_{44}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Where $g_{i j}$ 's are the reciprocal reduced masses. The $g_{i j}$ 's in the equation with $i$ an $j=1,2$, and 3 , represent the pure rotational motion by convention. The $g_{i 4}$ and $g_{4 j}$ terms with $i$ and $j=1,2$, and 3 , represent the rotational-vibrational interactions. The pure vibrational term is $g_{44}$ and this represents the reduced mass for the vibrational motion.

The reduced mass for a large-amplitude vibration varies with the vibrational coordinate. Therefore, for a such a motion the reduced mass is expressed as a function in terms of the vibrational coordinate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{44}(x)=\sum_{i=i}^{n} g_{44}^{i} x^{i}=\frac{1}{\mu(x)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $g_{44}^{i}$ are the expansion coefficients and $\mu(x)$ is the coordinate-dependent reduced mass. The terms in the Eq. (3.11) are generally ignored after the sixth power term. The odd-powered terms are zero for symmetric vibrations.

The kinetic energy functions used in this research work were written by vector methods which express the position of each atom as a function of the vibrational coordinate in a center-of-mass system. The kinetic energy expression terms for different molecular types were reported previously reported by Laane's research group. ${ }^{46-48}$

## The potential energy operator

The low-frequency vibrational modes of ring molecules such as ring-puckering and ring-bending vibrations with large-amplitudes are highly anharmonic. Therefore their vibrational motion cannot be described by a harmonic function. R. P. Bell in 1945 predicted that the ring-puckering vibration of a four-membered ring could be represented by a quartic potential energy function. ${ }^{4}$ However, later studies done on several fourmember ring molecules ${ }^{5-7}$ showed that their ring-puckering can be best described by a mixed quadratic-quartic potential energy function which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}=a x^{4}+b x^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a$ and $b$ are force constants related to the ring-angle strain and torsional strain of the molecule. $x$ is the vibrational coordinate. The sign of $b$ determines the shape of the potential energy curve. If $b$ is negative the function has two minima and it indicates a bent equilibrium of the molecule. The planar structure is the maximum of such a curve and the barrier to planarity value, $B$, is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\frac{b^{2}}{4 a} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinate corresponding to the minimum energy structure is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\min }= \pm \sqrt{\frac{b}{2 a}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b$ is positive, the potential energy function has only one minima which correspond to the planar energy minimum structure. In the calculation of the potential energy function for a particular vibrational mode, the coefficients $a$ and $b$ are varied until the calculated energy levels, or vibrational eigen values, which best fit the experimental spectroscopic data are found.

## CHAPTER IV

## VIBRATIONAL SPECTRA AND THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS OF 1,3DISILACYCLOBUTANE

## INTRODUCTION

The molecules 1,3 -disilacyclobutane (13DSCB) and its $1,1,3,3-d_{4}$ isotopomer were first synthesized by Irwin and Laane in $1977 .{ }^{15}$ Far-infrared studies of this molecule proved that the four-membered ring is puckered with a barrier to planarity of $87 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(0.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and has a puckering angle of $24^{\circ}$ in the vapor phase. Microwave analysis also confirmed the puckered structure of the vapor phase and showed unexpectedly large values for CSiC angles. ${ }^{16,17}$ However, the force constant calculations for both planar (with $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry) and puckered (with $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry) structures and the observations of vibrational coupling in the infrared and Raman spectra of vapor, liquid, and solid phases have shown that this molecule becomes planar in the solid state. ${ }^{18}$

In order to confirm the previous assessment of these conclusions, 13DSCB and its $d_{4}$ isotopomer were analyzed with various $a b$ initio and DFT calculation methods. The outcome of these studies not only explained the structure of 13DSCB better but also illustrated that this molecule has $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting modes which have unusually low frequencies. This also led to the reexamination and reassignment of some of the vibrational modes reported previously.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental data for the synthesis of 13DSCB and its $d_{4}$ isotopomer, and the acquisition of infrared and Raman spectra have been previously published ${ }^{15,18}$ and also presented in a Ph.D. thesis. ${ }^{49}$ No other laboratory has ever prepared this molecule and hence the only experimental spectroscopic data for 13DSCB and its $d_{4}$ isotopomer come from this previous study, and these are compared with the calculated results of the
present research work. This is not surprising as the preparation of the molecule is very difficult, involving high-temperature pyrolysis.

## COMPUTATIONS

All of the calculations discussed here were done using the Gaussian 03 quantum mechanical package. ${ }^{45}$ The structures of 13DSCB for its puckered form (with $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry) and its restricted planar form (with $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry) were calculated using the second-order Möller-Plesset Perturbation Theory with the triple zeta basis set (MP2/CCPVTZ) and also using coupled cluster theory (CCSD) with the $6-311++G(d, p)$ basis set. The rotational constants were calculated using MP2/CC-PVTZ and B3LYP/6$311++G(d, p)$ levels of theories. The vibrational frequencies of 13DSCB and its isotopomer were calculated using several levels of theories such as B3LYP, BP86, TPSSTPSS, HF, MP2 and CCSD with different basis sets $(6-311++G(d, p)$, LanL2DZ, CC-PVDZ, CC-PVTZ, $6-311+G(3 d f, 3 d p)$ etc.). All of these calculations give unusually low-frequency values for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting vibrational modes. The calculated frequency values and the computed spectra presented here are from the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) basis set as this level of calculations give the values which are the closest to the experimentally observed frequencies. For scaling, a factor of 0.964 for frequencies over $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a factor of 0.985 for those below $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were used, as from previous work ${ }^{50-53}$ it has been found that these factors for this basis set to fit experimental data extremely well (typically within $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).

## STRUCTURE OF 13DSCB

The calculated structures of 13DSCB for its $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ (puckered) and $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ (planar) conformations are shown in Figure 2. The puckered structure is the most stable form for non-interacting molecules and this is calculated to be $160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower in energy than the planar molecule. The experimental value is $87 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The computed dihedral angle of $23.6^{\circ}$, as shown in Figure 3, agrees very well with the experimental value ${ }^{15}$ of $24^{\circ}$,



Figure 3. Dihedral angle of puckered 13DSCB.
especially in light of the fact that this is a non-rigid molecule with a large amplitude ring-puckering vibration.

Table 1 lists the calculated rotational constants for 13 DSCB and its $d_{4}$ isotopomer, and those for the latter are compared to the experimental microwave values. ${ }^{16,17}$ No microwave work has been done for the $h_{4}$ isotopomer. The A, B, and C rotational constants for the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure of the $d_{4}$ molecule were calculated to be 0.2223 , 0.1123 , and $0.08755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, in excellent agreement with $0.2226,0.1132$, and $0.08748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from the microwave. While the calculated A and C constants differ by only about $0.1 \%$ from the experimental values, the $0.8 \%$ difference in the B constant reflects the small difference between the calculated and observed dihedral angles of puckering. The $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}}$ moment of inertia, which has its axis passing through the two carbon atoms for the $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ structure, is most affected by the value of the puckering coordinate. Table 1 also compares the bond distances and angles reported in the microwave work to calculated values from this study, and the agreement is very good. A very interesting feature of the 13DSCB structure is that the CSiC angles are larger than the SiCSi angles. This was first observed in the microwave work where the CSiC angle was reported to be $92.33 \pm 0.23^{\circ}$. The calculated value here is $90.0^{\circ}$ and the SiCSi angle is $87.6^{\circ}$. This is counter-intuitive as the CSiC angle is expected to be more flexible as it has a smaller force constant. However, Kubota et al. ${ }^{16}$ rationalize this result in terms of "bent" C-Si bonds. Another possible explanation involves the partial charges on the ring atoms. As discussed later, the carbon atoms have greater partial negative charges $(-0.49)$ than the silicon atoms have partial positive charges $(+0.35)$. Hence, the carbon atoms will have a tendency to push each other further apart than do the silicon atoms, thereby expanding the CSiC angles.

## ASSIGNMENT OF SPECTRA

Figures 4 and 5 compare the calculated infrared and Raman spectra, respectively, of 13DSCB to the experimental liquid phase spectra. The vapor-phase spectra, which are
Table 1: Rotational constants (in GHz) and structural parameters of 13DSCB- $\boldsymbol{h}_{4}$ and 13DSCB- $\boldsymbol{d}_{4}$ Rotational Constants

| 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ |  |  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{DSCB}-d_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MP2/CC-PVTZ |  | B3LYP/6-311++G(D,P) |  | MP2/CC-PVTZ |  | B3LYP/6-311++G(D,P) |  | Experimental ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{v}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{v}$ |
| A | 7.2227598 | 7.1834176 | 7.1805493 | 7.1748016 | 6.6694736 | 6.6320036 | 6.6280897 | 6.6218617 | 6.67934814 |
| B | 4.0309313 | 4.0157067 | 3.9619868 | 3.9561814 | 3.3697376 | 3.3496200 | 3.3068958 | 3.3007636 | 3.39634528 |
| C | 2.9109464 | 2.8360646 | 2.8241468 | 2.8059108 | 2.6265841 | 2.5605183 | 2.5481049 | 2.5320080 | 2.62452175 |

Parameters (in Angstroms and degrees)

| Parameter | $\mathrm{MW}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{DFT}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{TZ}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | Parameter | $\mathrm{MW}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathrm{DFT}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{TZ}^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}}$ | 1.896 | 1.910 | 1.901 | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ | 1.09 | 1.090 | 1.092 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{CSiC}}$ | 92.3 | 91.2 | 90.2 | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}}$ | 1.48 | 1.488 | 1.482 |
| $\alpha_{\mathrm{HSiH}}$ | 108.3 | 108.6 | 108.8 | $\alpha_{\mathrm{HCH}}$ | 109.0 | 108.1 | 108.9 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference 16. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Microwave (calculated); reference $16 .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ MP2/CC-PVTZ. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Microwave (assumed); reference 16.
Figure 4. Infrared spectrum of liquid 13DSCB compared to its calculated spectrum.


Figure 5. Raman spectrum of liquid 13DSCB compared to its calculated spectrum.
expected to give better frequency agreement, are not shown since the vapor-phase band shapes tend to disguise the intensity correlations. Figures 6 and 7 compare the calculated and experimental spectra for the 13DSCB-1,1,3,3- $d_{4}$ isotopomer. As can be seen for all cases, both the frequency and the intensity correlations are excellent. Tables 2 and 3 compare the calculated frequencies for both isotopomers to their experimental vapor and liquid values. Several of these have been reassigned based on both frequency and intensity correlations for the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structures. These will be discussed below in more detail. The solid state spectra, which correspond to the $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ planar structure, will also be discussed later. In order to confirm the new vibrational assignments, the infrared and Raman bands which were calculated to be the most intense were examined and compared these to the observed spectra for both types of spectra for both molecules. These frequencies for these bands are shown as bold numbers in Tables 2 and 3 and a remarkably good correlation can be seen. The agreement is such that every infrared and Raman band calculated to have substantial intensity in fact does appear prominently in the spectra very close to the predicted frequency value. This excellent agreement for these intense bands is especially important because, as Tables 2 and 3 show, the calculated $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting frequencies, which mostly have very weak intensities, are at unexpectedly low values. Conventional wisdom places these in the $1100-1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range with the wagging motions about $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher than the twists. However, 13DSCB has its calculated wags at $988\left(v_{7}\right)$ and $996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{28}\right)$ and the twists at $976\left(v_{11}\right)$ and $935 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{16}\right)$. Except for $v_{28}$ observed at $965 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the vapor as a strong infrared band, all of these are predicted to be very weak and thus were difficult to assign without these computations. Previously, ${ }^{18}$ the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting were assigned near 1260 and $1120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, based on weak infrared and Raman bands. Colthup, Wiberly, and Daley ${ }^{54}$ state that these modes in general for substituted cyclobutanes should be in the $1245-1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range for the wags and $1250-1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the twists. For $\mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ groups the wag is stated to be in the $1250-1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. Based on the DFT calculations, however, for 13DSCB these modes are approximately $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower, and the reason for this will be discussed later. The very weak bands
Figure 6. Infrared spectrum of liquid 13DSCB- $d_{4}$ compared to its calculated spectrum.
Figure 7. Raman spectrum of liquid 13DSCB- $d_{4}$ compared to its calculated spectrum.
Table 2: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ (vapor and liquid) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Symmetry |  | $v$ | Approx. description | Vapor |  | Liquid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | 2930 w | 2931 (100) | (2920) | 2923 (20) | $2951(4,626)$ |
|  |  | 2 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | 2155 s | 2156 (1000) | (2169) | 2148 (1000) | 2125 (140, 1000) |
|  |  | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (ip) |  | 1373 (2) | 1355 mw | 1355 (5) | $1396(4,18)$ |
|  |  | 4 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ deform (ip) | (965) | 965 (11) |  | 958 (32) | $956(7,47)$ |
|  |  | 5 | Ring breathing | 745 m | 745 (134) | 742 m | 749 (311) | $728(22,109)$ |
|  |  | 6 | Ring deform | 380 mw | 380 (47) |  | 385 (16) | $397(8,13)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (op) |  | (965) | (950) | (958) | $988(0,13)$ |
|  |  | 8 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ wag (op) | (745) | (745) | (742) | (760) | $747(0,91)$ |
|  |  | 9 | Ring mode |  | 620 (3) |  | 617 (15) | $609(0,8)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (2155) | (2156) | (2169) | (2148) | 2129 (10, 450) |
|  |  | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (ip) | (965) | (965) | (950) | (958) | $976(9,1)$ |
|  |  | 12 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rock (op) | (380) | (380) |  | 429 (10) | $406(0.4,6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | 2995 w | 2989 (10) | (2980) | 2981 (44) | $3004(7,287)$ |
|  |  | 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (op)* | (745) | (745) | (775) | 760 (50) | $762(2,61)$ |
|  |  | 15 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ twist (ip)* | 442 mw | 442 (3) | (440) | 460 (10) | $469(1,10)$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist ((op) |  |  | (918) | (928) | $935(0,0.1)$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ twist (op) |  |  |  | 555 (3) | $571(0,0.2)$ |

Table 2: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Symmetry |  | $v$ | Approx. description | Vapor |  | Liquid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 2965 m | 2950 (52) | 2980 w | (2981) | $3003(13,90)$ |
|  |  | 19 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 2157 vvs | (2156) | 2169 vvs | (2148) | $2133(709,311)$ |
|  |  | 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (ip) ${ }^{*}$ | $\sim 780 \mathrm{~ms}$ |  | 775 m | (760) | $792(293,4)$ |
|  |  | 21 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rock (ip)* | 438 mw | 446 (13) | $\sim 440$ vw | (460) | $478(38,16)$ |
|  |  | 22 | Ring puckering | 56 | 56 |  |  | $54(7,1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2930 w | (2931) | 2920 w | (2923) | $2950(21,23)$ |
|  |  | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (op) | 1363 mw | (1373) | 1330 mw |  | $1384(62,1)$ |
|  |  | 25 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 905 s | 905 (1) | 886 vs | 875 (1) | 893 (1000, 0) |
|  |  | 26 | Ring mode | (644) | (620) |  | (617) | $636(6,0.2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2147 vvs | 2147 (44) | (2169) | (2148) | 2117 (933, 48) |
|  |  | 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 965 s | (965) | 950 vs | (958) | 996 (561, 0.1) |
|  |  | 29 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ deform (op) | 928 m |  | 918 m | 928 (5) | $931(493,5)$ |
|  |  | 30 | Ring mode | 644 m |  | 650 m |  | $627(135,0.5)$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{w}$, weak; m, medium; s, strong; v, very; ip, in-phase, op, out-of-phase; the intensities of frequencies in parentheses result primarily from another vibration at that frequency; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ frequencies were calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G(D,P) level of theory and the values in the parentheses are the calculated relative IR and Raman intensities respectively. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ values in the parentheses are relative Raman intensities. These vibrational modes next to each other are strongly coupled.
Table 3: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 13DSCB- $d_{4}$ (vapor and liquid) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Symmetry |  | $v$ | Approx. description | Vapor |  | Liquid |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (2930) | 2920 (53) | (2925) | 2925 (37) | 2950 (0.6, 100) |
|  |  | 2 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (1554) | 1554 (100) | (1549) | 1555 (100) | $1556(0,97)$ |
|  |  | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (ip) |  | 1370 (3) | 1368 vw | 1363 (3) | 1396 (0.6, 4) |
|  |  | 4 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ deform (ip) | (692) | 695 (20) | (678) | 695 (7) | 689 (0.1, 6) |
|  |  | 5 | Ring breathing |  | 754 (65) | 730 m | 750 (22) | $730(11,13)$ |
|  |  | 6 | Ring deform | 441 vw | 439 (23) | $\sim 420$ vw | 445 (12) | 423 (3, 4) |
| $B_{1 g}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (op) |  | 940 (2) | (940) | 940 (1) | $986(0,1)$ |
|  |  | 8 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ wag (op) |  | 546 (1) |  | 555 (2) | $549(0,4)$ |
|  |  | 9 | Ring mode |  | 620 (7) |  | (629) | $616(0,6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (1576) | 1579 (74) | (1575) | 1568 (50) | 1573 (0.5, 39) |
|  |  | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \text { twist (ip) }$ |  | (940) |  | (940) | $956(0.01,0.2)$ |
|  |  | 12 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ rock (op) |  | 332(2) | 352 w | 330(2) | $315(0.02,1)$ |
| $B_{3 g}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | 2972 vvw | 2974 (4) | (2982) | 2985 (11) | $3004(1,46)$ |
|  |  | 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (op)* |  | 635 (7) | (640) | 629 (12) | $639(0,4)$ |
|  |  | 15 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ twist (ip)* |  | 428 (6) | (420) | 411 (4) | 412 (0.03, 3) |
| $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist ((op) |  |  |  |  | $894(0,0)$ |
|  |  | 17 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ twist (op) |  | (428) | 450 mw ? | (411) | $425(0,0.1)$ |

Table 3: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Symmetry |  | $v$ | Approx. description | Vapor |  | Liquid |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated } \\ \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 2950 vvw | 2941 (24) | 2982 mw | (2985) | $3003(2,15)$ |
|  |  | 19 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 1576 vvs | 1574 (17) | 1575 vvs | (1568) | 1575 (75, 4) |
|  |  | 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (ip) ${ }^{*}$ | (791) | 795 (1) | (780) | 792 (1) | 763 (22, 3) |
|  |  | 21 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ rock (ip)* | 344 vw | 344 (4) | 360 vw | 360 (1) | $361(3,1)$ |
|  |  | 22 | Ring puckering | 52 | 52 |  |  | $48(1,0.1)$ ) |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2930 vw | (2920) | 2925 mw | (2925) | 2950 (3, 4) |
|  |  | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (op) | 1339 m |  | 1340 mw |  | 1384 (10, 0.2) |
|  |  | 25 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 543 m | (546) | 548 m | (555) | 533 (7, 0.02) |
|  |  | 26 | Ring mode | 791 vvw | (795) | 780 s | (792) | 771 (100, 0.1) |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 1554 vvs | 1556 (15) | 1549 vvs | (1555) | 1548 (86, 1) |
|  |  | 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 951 vvs |  | 943 s | (940) | $991(48,0.02)$ |
|  |  | 29 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ deform (op) | 692 vvs | 685 (4) | 678 s |  | $683(76,0.2)$ |
|  |  | 30 | Ring mode | 629 m | (620) | 640 m | (629) | $614(3,0.2)$ |

${ }^{a}$ see the footnotes of Table 2 for abbreviations.
near 1120 and $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, previously assigned to the twists and wags, are apparently overtone or combination bands. For example, the former is likely $v_{5}+v_{6}(745+380=$ $\left.1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the later $v_{9}+v_{30}\left(620+644=1266 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. For the $d_{4}$ isotopomer the wags and the twists are calculated to be at $986\left(v_{7}\right), 991\left(v_{28}\right), 956\left(v_{11}\right)$, and $894 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(v_{16}\right)$, respectively. Again, all but $v_{28}$, which is a very strong band in the infrared at 951 , are predicted to have negligible intensity.

## ANOMALOUS $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ WAGGING AND TWISTING FREQUENCIES

As mentioned above, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging frequencies for the $h_{4}$ molecule of $988\left(v_{7}\right.$ calculated) and $965\left(v_{28}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and the twisting frequencies of 976 ( $v_{11}$ calculated) and 935 ( $v_{16}$ calculated) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ are far below those typically observed. Notably, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deformations at $1373\left(v_{3}\right)$ and $1363\left(v_{24}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rocks at $760\left(v_{15}\right)$ and 780 $\left(v_{20}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ are not outside their normal ranges. The anomalous wagging and twisting frequencies can be understood by considering the partial charges on the hydrogen atoms attached to the carbon and silicon atoms, as shown in Figure 8. The hydrogens on carbon have partial positive charges while those on silicon are partially negative charged due to the electropositive nature of the silicon atoms. The values for the charges in the figure are from the $a b$ initio calculations. The sideways motions of the positively charged carbon hydrogen atoms during a twisting or wagging motion brings them closer to the negatively charged hydrogens on the silicon atoms. Hence, this attraction between opposite charges counteracts the usual increase in the potential energy away from the equilibrium positions of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. The steepness of the potential energy curve is thus decreased and lower vibrational frequencies result. A previous study ${ }^{55}$ suggested that decreased frequencies for these types of modes might result, but here a very clear evidence for these unprecedented low values is seen.

Figure 8. $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twisting and wagging vibrations of 13 DSCB and the partial charges calculated for each atom. The attraction between unlike charges lowers the frequencies of these two vibrational modes.

## STRUCTURAL CONVERSION IN THE SOLID PHASE

As postulated previously, 13DSCB converts from $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry (puckered) to $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ (planar) in the solid phase. Tables 4 and 5 compare the calculated $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ frequencies to those of solid 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and $-d_{4}$. Since the vapor-phase structure for the independent molecule is not planar, the calculated ring-puckering frequency is imaginary.

Figure 9 shows the Raman spectra of the vapor, liquid, and solid 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and $-d_{4}$ in the low-frequency region. The dramatic change in $v_{6}$, the ring angle bending, from 380 , to 385 , to $422 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in going from the vapor, to liquid, to solid phases can be seen. For the $-d_{4}$ molecule, the corresponding values are 441,445 , and $406 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. At the same time $v_{21}$, the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ in-phase rocking, has values of 436 (vapor), 440 (liquid, from the infrared), and $465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (solid) for 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and 344, 360, and $381 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (solid) for the $-d_{4}$ isotopomer. The large change in the frequencies for the solid results from the fact that $v_{6}$ and $v_{21}$ are now of different symmetry species ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ ) and can no longer interact as their motions are orthogonal. In the puckered $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure both vibrations are of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ symmetry species and the up and down motion of the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rocking can easily interact with the angle bendings which now have a component perpendicular to the original plane of the molecule. This is all depicted in Figure 9 where the calculated frequencies for both isotopomers are shown for $C_{2 v}$ and $D_{2 h}$ symmetries. For $D_{2 h}$ the $A_{g}$ $\left(v_{6}\right)$ and $B_{l u}\left(v_{21}\right)$ modes have similar frequencies for both isotopomers, but they are pushed apart for the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ (vapor) cases. In the vapor-phase the potential energy distribution for $v_{21}$ at $436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is $0.70 \mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rock and 0.28 angle bending while $v_{6}$ is 0.67 bending and 0.28 rock for the $h_{4}$ isotopomer. For the planar solid, $v_{21}$ and $v_{6}$ are almost purely the rock and bend, respectively. These values are shown in Figure 10. Similarly, for the $d_{4}$ isotopomer, $v_{6}$ is 0.73 bending, 0.22 rocking, while $v_{21}$ is 0.72 rocking and 0.26 bending. The vibrations again become nearly "pure" for the planar $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ form. It is very reassuring that the assessments made in 1977, based on force constant calculations, was just the same, and now this phase-dependent structure of 13DSCB has been
Table 4: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 13DSCB- $\boldsymbol{h}_{4}$ (solid) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Symmetry |  |  | Solid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $v$ | Approx. description | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (2925) | 2918 (199) | $2954(0,531)$ |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (2140) | 2146 (1000) | $2124(0,1000)$ |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (ip) | 1360 m | 1349 (11) | $1395(0,14)$ |
|  | 4 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ deform (ip) | (955) | 949 (42) | $955(0,41)$ |
|  | 5 | Ring breathing |  | 740 (500) | $725(0,92)$ |
|  | 6 | Ring angle bending | 419 vw | 422 (65) | $417(0,19)$ |
| $B_{1 g}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (op) | (955) | (949) | $989(0,11)$ |
|  | 8 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ wag (op) | (768) | 767 (75) | $754(0,75)$ |
|  | 9 | Ring mode |  | 607 (6) | $608(0,6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (2140) | 2156 (55) | 2126 (0, 430) |
|  | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (ip) | (955) | (949) | $974(0,1)$ |
|  | 12 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rock (op) |  | (422) | $401(0,6)$ |
| $B_{3 g}$ | 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (2968) | 2971 (97) | $3001(0,266)$ |
|  | 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (op)* |  | (767) | $762(0,51)$ |
|  | 15 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ twist (ip)* | (465) | 450 (11) | $468(0,7)$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist ((op) | (928) |  | 933 (0, 0) |
|  | 17 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ twist (op) |  | 562 (1) | $578(0,0)$ |

Table 4: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\frac{\text { Symmetry }}{\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}}$ | $v$ | Approx. description | Solid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 2968 w | 2962 (62) | $3000(16,0)$ |
|  | 19 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | (2140) | 2162 (8) | 2128 (852, 0) |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (ip) ${ }^{*}$ | 768 s | (767) | 786 (309, 0) |
|  | 21 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ rock (ip)* | 465 w | 465 (17) | $457(47,0)$ |
|  | 22 | Ring puckering | ------- | -- | $i$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2925 w | 2920 (146) | 2954 (25, 0) |
|  | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ def (op) | 1338 m | (1349) | 1383 (67, 0) |
|  | 25 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 880 vs | 875 (1) | $895(1000,0)$ |
|  | 26 | Ring mode | (650) | 617 (85) ? | $637(8,0)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2140 vvs | 2140 (200) | 2115 (920, 0) |
|  | 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 955 vs |  | $994(585,0)$ |
|  | 29 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ deform (op) | 928 s | 927 (4) | $931(465,0)$ |
|  | 30 | Ring mode | 650 s | 646 (1) | $627(136,0)$ |

${ }^{a}$ see the footnotes of Table 2 for abbreviations
Table 5: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 13DSCB- $d_{4}$ (solid) $^{\text {a }}$

| $\frac{\text { Symmetry }}{D_{2 h}}$ | $v$ | Approx. description | Solid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (2920) | 2920 (63) | $2954(0,100)$ |
|  | 2 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ sym. str. (ip) | (1549) | 1555 (100) | $1554(0,91)$ |
|  | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (ip) | 1357 m | 1355 (8) | 1394 (0, 3) |
|  | 4 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ deform (ip) | (672) | 688 (15) | $689(0,6)$ |
|  | 5 | Ring breathing | (740) | 740 (101) | $733(0,15)$ |
|  | 6 | Ring angle bending |  | 406 (25) | $400(0,4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (op) | (940) | (945) | $987(0,1)$ |
|  | 8 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ wag (op) | (560) | 555 (1) | $553(0,4)$ |
|  | 9 | Ring mode |  | 617 (6) | $619(0,6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 10 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (1570) | 1564 (56) | 1570 (0, 40) |
|  | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (ip) | (940) | 945 (2) | 953 (0, 0.2) |
|  | 12 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ rock (op) | 353 vw | 350 (2) | $311(0,1)$ |
| $B_{3 g}$ | 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (op) | (2960) | 2974 (56) | $3001(0,50)$ |
|  | 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (op)* |  | 638 (59) | $635(0,4)$ |
|  | 15 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ twist (ip)* | 420 vw | 419 (12) | 413 (0, 2) |
| $\mathrm{A}_{u}$ | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (op) |  |  | 891(0, 0) |
|  | 17 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ twist (op) | 450 mw ? | 454 (2)? | $429(0,0)$ |

Table 5: Continued ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\frac{\text { Symmetry }}{D_{2 h}}$ | $v$ | Approx. description | Solid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 2960 m | 2962 (19) | $3000(2,0)$ |
|  | 19 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ antisym. str. (ip) | 1570 vs | 1574 (13) | 1573 (75, 0) |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (ip)* | 740 m | (740) | $751(31,0)$ |
|  | 21 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ rock (ip)* | 381 mw | (406) | 383 (6, 0) |
|  | 22 | Ring puckering |  |  | $i$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 2920 m | 2925 (41) | 2954 (4, 0) |
|  | 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (op) | 1326 m |  | 1383 (10, 0) |
|  | 25 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 560 ms | (555) | $535(6,0)$ |
|  | 26 | Ring mode | 772 s |  | 770 (100, 0) |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | 27 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ sym. str. (op) | 1549 vs |  | 1546 (84, 0) |
|  | 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (ip) | 940 s | (945) | $989(47,0)$ |
|  | 29 | $\mathrm{SiD}_{2}$ deform (op) | 672 s | (688) | $683(75,0)$ |
|  | 30 | Ring mode | 640 m |  | $614(3,0)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ see the footnote of Table 2 for abbreviations

Figure 9. Raman spectra of 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and $-d_{4}$ for its vapor, liquid, and solid ( 77 K ) in the 200-600 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ region.

Figure 10. Correlation diagram for $v_{6}$ (ring-angle bending), $v_{12}$ ( $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ out-of-phase rocking), and $v_{21}$ ( $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ in-phase rocking) for DSCB- $h_{4}$ and $-d_{4}$ for their $C_{2 v}$ (puckered vapor) and $D_{2 h}$ (planar solid) structures. For $C_{2 v}$ symmetry $v_{6}$ and $v_{21}$ interact; for
 respectively.
reconfirmed. It should be added that no other type of analysis could have been used to ascertain the structure and symmetry changes of this molecule in the solid since it would not have been possible to grow crystals of the molecule at low temperatures for crystallography studies.

## CONCLUSION

13DSCB is a most fantastic molecule. It has apparently only been prepared in this laboratory and that was three decades ago. It has several highly unusual features. First, the CSiC angles are larger than the SiCSi angles although the force constant for the former is typically much smaller, and therefore these angles should be able to accommodate the angle strain in the ring to a greater extent. This is not the case, however, possibly because of charge repulsions across the four-membered ring. Second, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting frequencies for 13DSCB are the lowest by far of any that is aware of. This results from charge attractions between neighboring hydrogen atoms. The third unusual feature of 13DSCB is its change in structure in the solid phase. The DFT calculations beautifully confirm the earlier conclusions about the changes in vibrational coupling. The structural change itself is not so surprising as planar 13DSCB molecules can obviously stack together better in the solid than can puckered ones. Since the energy barrier between the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ and the $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ is so small ( $0.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) for the individual molecules in the vapor, even tiny intermolecular interactions in the solid phase can easily squelch out this energy difference between the two conformations. The effect on the spectra, however, has been lovely to see.

## CHAPTER V

## EXPERIMENTAL AND CALCULATED VIBRATIONAL FREQUENCIES OF THE ELECTRONIC GROUND STATE OF 2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE AND ITS 2,6,6- $\boldsymbol{d}_{3}$ ISOTOPOMER

## INTRODUCTION

Photochemistry of carbonyl compounds has drawn the attention of chemists due to various structural changes and chemical reactions they can undergo in their electronic excited states. In 1953, Walsh ${ }^{56}$ predicted that the carbonyl carbon of formaldehyde can be distorted from a planar to a pyramidal structure in its singlet excited state. This was later verified spectroscopically by Brand ${ }^{57}$ in 1956. Since then a number of carbonyl compounds have been investigated by spectroscopic and theoretical methods which have characterized their excited state potential energy surfaces (PESs) useful in predicting chemical and physical properties of these compounds.
$\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated ketones or conjugated enones is a class of carbonyl compounds which can undergo numerous photochemical reactions in their higher electronic states, particularly in their triplet excited states. Some of these reactions such as $\alpha$-cleavage, dimerization, and oxetane formation are very useful in organic syntheses. Acrolein, the simplest of the conjugated enones, has been a subject of numerous computational studies of triplet states. ${ }^{58,59}$ The photochemical properties of acrolein have in turn stimulated the interest of conjugated cyclic enones which have focused on the influence of structural rigidity of these compounds on the life time and reactivity of excited states.

2-Cyclopenten-1-one or 2CPO, a conjugated cyclic enone, is one of the very few molecules whose ground and excited states have been studied in detail by both spectroscopic and theoretical methods. The ground state ring bending PES with a single minimum determined by far-infrared spectroscopy ${ }^{19}$ concluded that this molecule is planar and fairly rigid in this state. This rigidity comes from the conjugation between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ groups which overcomes the torsional interactions of the two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized carbons in the ring that favors non-planarity. The ring remains planar in the
singlet excited state $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ too, ${ }^{20}$ but becomes less rigid because of the diminished conjugation. This was resulted by the excitation of a non-bonding electron into an antibonding $\pi^{*}$ molecular orbital that decreases the bond orders of both $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds. However, the outcome of the triplet excited state ${ }^{21}$ was quite interesting. The PES of this state was determined by fitting the vibrational energy levels of the ring bending mode observed by cavity ringdown spectroscopy, an ultra-high sensitive technique which is ideal to observe spin forbidden $T_{n} \longleftarrow S_{0}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ transitions. The double minima of the PES suggest that unlike the other two states, the ring is bent in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ state. This shows that the effect of diminished conjugation is more pronounced in the triplet state and causes the ring to bend.
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2-Cyclohexen-1-one or 2 CHO is another conjugated cyclic enone which is an asymmetric, non-planar, six membered-ring molecule. In 1970 Manley and Tyler ${ }^{22}$ reported the microwave spectrum of 2 CHO along with its rotational constants and proposed a structure for which only the carbon atom in the $5^{\text {th }}$ position of the ring is significantly out-of-plane. This is again due to the conjugation between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups which is expected to provide a rigidity to the ring that makes the other carbon atoms in the ring be coplanar. In 1979 Carreira et. $\mathrm{Al}^{23}$ reported the vapor-phase Raman spectrum of the low frequency region, and concluded that the molecule had an inversion barrier of $935 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This was based on the assignment where the $0 \rightarrow 2 / 1 \rightarrow 3$ degenerate
transitions of $v_{39}$, the lowest frequency vibration were at $248 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, one year later, Smithson and Wieser ${ }^{24}$ analyzed the vapor-phase far-IR spectrum, which showed a series of Q branches in the $96.9-99.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range. These transitions were fitted to a ring inversion potential function from which a barrier of $3379 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was calculated. A type B band observed at $247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the far-IR spectra, was assigned to the second lowestfrequency vibrational mode ( $v_{38}$ ).

In order to understand the inversion process better both in the ground and excited electronic states and to carry out a complete vibrational analysis, further spectroscopic and theoretical studies have been undertaken on 2 CHO and its $2,6,6-d_{3}$ isotopomer $\left(2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}\right)$. This chapter presents the vibrational frequencies and modes of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ determined by infrared and Raman spectra and by density functional theory (DFT) calculations along with their complete vibrational assignments. The next chapter will discuss the ultraviolet cavity ringdown spectra of 2 CHO together with vapor-phase Raman spectra and DFT calculations which were used to determine the structure and the one-dimensional ring inversion potential energy in its ground electronic state. The chapter VII will emphasize the importance of investigating the excited states of conjugated enones and present a further discussion of the cavity ringdown spectra of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ which were used to determine their ring inversion potential function in the excited $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic state.

The vibrational assignments of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ presented in this chapter are done with the aid of ab initio calculations and the modern molecular visualization programs which have now largely replaced the previous use of force constant calculations to carry out such assignments. Spectroscopists have been impressed with the accuracy of some of the recent results ${ }^{60-62}$ predicted by these computational methods. Accurate vibrational assignments are very useful as they can play important roles in determining molecular structures, conformations and intermolecular forces.

## EXPERIMENTAL

2 CHO was purchased from Acros (with $99 \%$ purity). It has a boiling point of $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a vapor pressure of 1.8 Torr at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Raman spectra of 2 CHO were acquired on an SA Jobin-Yvon U-1000 spectrometer. Raman scattering was achieved using a Coherent Radiation Innova 20 argon ion laser with an excitation at 514.5 nm . The liquid-phase spectra were recorded at ambient temperature with a lasing power of 1 W. Depolarization measurements were done using a polarizer and a scrambler. Vaporphase Raman spectra of the sample contained in the previously described hightemperature Raman cell ${ }^{35}$ were collected at a temperature of $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which gives about 600-800 torr of vapor pressure with a laser power of 5 W . The liquid mid-infrared spectra were collected on a Bruker Vertex 70 FT-IR spectrometer. A thin capillary film of the sample between two KBr discs was used for this purpose. 256 scans with a resolution of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were recorded. The liquid far-infrared spectrum was also collected on the same instrument. 5\% (of volume/volume) solution of the sample in carbon disulfide contained in a liquid far-IR cell with polyethylene windows was used here. A total of 128 scans with a $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution were recorded. The vapor phase mid-infrared spectra were recorded on a Bomem DA8.02 FT-IR spectrometer. A 4-m multi path IR cell with KBr windows was used in this case. A total of 8192 scans were collected at a resolution of $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at ambient temperature.
$2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ was synthesized at the laboratory of Dr. Stephen Drucker of University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire. It was prepared by combining 2-cyclohexen-1-one ( 0.1 mol ) with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol})$ and a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The mixture was refluxed at $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, and then extracted with dichloromethane. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the product was distilled. Analysis by NMR and GC-MS indicated that the product contained approximately $70 \% 2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$, with the remainder the dideuterated derivatives. The liquid-phase mid-IR and Raman spectra of this were recorded using the same equipment and under the same condition as of 2 CHO . However, the exchange of the deuterium atoms of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ by hydrogen present in moisture was observed. This was very clearly seen in the liquid infrared spectrum which is more
congested than that of the liquid Raman. The mid-infrared spectrum was collected in open air and using hygroscopic KBr discs while there was no exposure to air during the acquisition of Raman spectra. No vapor-phase spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ were acquired due to lack of sample.

## COMPUTATIONS

The computations presented here were carried out using the Gaussian 03 quantum mechanical package. ${ }^{45}$ Calculation of vibrational frequencies together with infrared and Raman intensities of both 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ were done using DFT (B3LYP) with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. Based on previous research work ${ }^{50-53}$ scaling factors of 0.964 for frequencies above $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 0.985 for frequencies below 2000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ were used. The descriptions of the normal modes were determined by the GaussView 3.0 molecular visualization program. ${ }^{63}$

## MOLECULAR VIBRATIONS

As confirmed by previous studies, ${ }^{22-24}$ the 2 CHO molecule has a non-planar structure with $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry. However, to assist with the classification of the vibrational modes, calculations were also done for a hypothetical planar structure of 2 CHO with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry. This planar structure has a distribution of vibrational modes of

$$
\begin{equation*}
21 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}+18 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This separates the totally symmetric vibrational modes (in-plane modes) with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ symmetry from the non-totally symmetric ones (out-of-plane modes) with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ symmetry. This is also helpful in identifying the polarized and depolarized Raman bands since the $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ modes tend to be more polarized (and also are more intense).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 11 and 12 compare the vapor and liquid phase infrared and Raman spectra of 2 CHO to the computed spectra using the DFT-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of calculation. There is remarkably good agreement can be seen between the scaled frequencies of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ point group and the experimental values. The vapor-phase frequencies are closer to the computed values than those in the liquid where they are affected by the intermolecular interactions. However, better intensity correlation is seen in the liquid spectra since the vapor-phase band structures (mostly due to the rotational fine structure) may disguise this correlation. Table 6 lists the values of the vibrational frequencies and their intensities. Some of the observed C-H stretching vibrations may be shifted because of Fermi resonance between these modes and the overtones of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deformations present in organic systems. Therefore, the $2800-3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region is less important in giving structural and vibrational information. Also the agreement between the computed and experimental frequencies in this region is not as good. So this region is not discussed in detail. As stated before, the approximate descriptions of the normal modes were determined by the GaussView molecular modeling program which shows the atomic motions of each vibration. Strong coupling can be seen for many of the vibrations, especially the low frequency ones of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure. The most coupled vibrations are indicated with asterisks in Table 6 . The calculated values for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry are also presented in Table 6 to compare the two sets of calculated frequencies. Careful analysis shows that most of the modes which show significant frequency differences are the ones which involve the motion of the ring skeleton (e.g. $v_{16}, v_{17}, v_{18}, v_{19}$ and $v_{21}$ ). Therefore, it is evident that imposing a constraint to the ring structure limits the coupling between the "in-plane" and "out-of-plane" motions. The two imaginary values calculated for $v_{38}$ and $v_{39}$ for the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ point group reflect the fact that this is not the minimum energy structure.

Figure 11. Liquid and vapor-phase infrared spectra of 2 CHO compared to its calculated DFT spectrum. (a) The infrared
spectrum below $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is reported in reference 24 .


Figure 12. Liquid and vapor-phase Raman spectra of 2 CHO compared to its calculated DFT spectrum.
Table 6: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 2-cyclohexen-1-one ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Sym. | $v$ |  | Approx. description | Liquid |  | Vapor |  | Calculated $^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | Infrared | Raman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Infrared | Raman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Ram }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Ram }}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ (A) | 1 | 1 | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ str. | ---- | 3046 sh | ---- | 3059 (35) | 3063 (3, 62) | 3064 (3,76) | 0.19 |
|  | 2 | 2 | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ str. | 3034 ms | 3035 (32) P | 3043 s | 3039 (96) | 3017 (5, 54) | 3026 (4, 61) | 0.37 |
|  | 3 | 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. | ---- | ---- | ---- | 2934 (61) | 2947 (9, 100) | 2916 (8, 29) | 0.39 |
|  | 4 | 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ sym. str. | 2887 ms | 2888 sh | 2889 w | 2887 (39) | $2932(7,19)$ | 2895 (2, 59) | 0.17 |
|  | 5 | 8 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{sym}$. str. | 2871 s | 2879 (57) P | 2875 w | 2879 (57) | 2899 (7, 78) | $2878(5,100)$ | 0.16 |
|  | 6 | 9 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch | 1686 vvs | 1681/1669 ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{P}$ | 1712 vs | 1709 (91) | $1705(100,35)$ | $1718(100,33)$ | 0.37 |
|  | 7 | 10 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch | 1617 w | 1616 (100) P | 1625 vw | 1621 (83) | $1660(4,24)$ | $1644(4,26)$ | 0.09 |
|  | 8 | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | $1492(3,3)$ | 1477 (2, 3) | 0.67 |
|  | 9 | 12 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform | 1454 m | 1453 (4) D | 1455 m | ---- | 1447 (4, 12) | $1451(4,9)$ | 0.47 |
|  | 10 | 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform | 1428 ms | 1428 (16) P | 1438 s | 1436 (21) | 1439 (0.5, 4) | $1438(2,6)$ | 0.72 |
|  | 11 | 14 | = $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in-plane wag | 1388 vs | 1387 (10) P | 1388 vs | 1384 (8) | $1399(6,2)$ | 1390 (5, 3) | 0.53 |
|  | 12 | 15 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1349 mw | ---- | 1366 s | ---- | $1367(1,1)$ | 1359 (2, 0.5) | 0.57 |
|  | 13 | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1340 mw | 1340 (3) P | ---- | 1339 (2) | 1350 (4, 2) | 1349 (1,2) | 0.32 |
|  | 14 | 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1324 ms | 1322 (2) P | 1320 m | 1321 (1) | 1289 (0.3, 3) | $1330(2,1)$ | 0.50 |
|  | 15 | 20 | =C-H in-plane wag* | 1211 s | 1210 (39) P | 1217 s | 1207 (32) | $1245(20,10)$ | $1217(6,13)$ | 0.44 |
|  | 16 | 22 | Ring stretch ${ }^{*}$ | 1122 s | 1123 (2) D | 1119 s | 1121 (1) | $1161(7,2)$ | 1120 (8,1) | 0.61 |
|  | 17 | 24 | Ring stretch | 1048 w | 1048 (5) D | ---- | 1046 (1) | 1093 (1,2) | 1045 (0.2, 2) | 0.75 |
|  | 18 | 26 | Ring stretch ${ }^{*}$ | 977 m | 975 (6) D | 978 w | 970 (2) | $955(5,1)$ | $974(1,10)$ | 0.72 |
|  | 19 | 27 | Ring angle bend* | 939 s | 939 (3) D | 939 s | ---- | $891(1,0.1)$ | $931(3,1)$ | 0.63 |
|  | 20 | 29 | Ring stretch | 858 w | 857 (20) P | 856 w | 853 (34) | 833 (1,2) | $847(1,3)$ | 0.16 |
|  | 21 | 30 | Ring stretch | 764 s | 763 (26) P | 761 s | 757 (27) | $712(1,6)$ | $755(4,3)$ | 0.15 |
|  | 22 | 34 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in-plane wag ${ }^{*}$ | 488 ms | 488 (4) D | 485 m | 485 (4) | $528(0.1,2)$ | $480(2,1)$ | 0.67 |
|  | 23 | 35 | Ring angle bend* | 464 mw | 462 (12) P | 459 w | 456(23) | 470 (2, 2) | $460(1,2)$ | 0.42 |
|  | 24 | 36 | Ring angle bend | 422 m | 423 (2) D | ---- | 428 (2) | $438(4,0.3)$ | $419(2,0.5)$ | 0.68 |

Table 6: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\frac{\text { Sym. }}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)}$ | $v$ |  | Approx. descript. | Liquid |  | Vapor |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | Infrared | Raman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Infrared | Raman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\text {IR }}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Ram }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Ram }}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ (A) | 25 | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. | ---- | (2955) | ---- | 2970 (72) | 2979 (9, 37) | $2981(5,48)$ | 0.33 |
|  | 26 | 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. | 2950 s | 2955 (39) P | 2952 vw | 2953 (100) | 2954 (0.3, 23) | 2958 (11, 56) | 0.44 |
|  | 27 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisym. str. | 2942 s | 2940 sh | 2942 vs | (2934) | $2910(2,34)$ | 2938 (11, 78) | 0.25 |
|  | 28 | 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist | 1257 s | 1256 (4) P | 1258 w | 1252 (4) | 1286 (0, 2) | 1254 (3, 2) | 0.58 |
|  | 29 | 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist | 1240 s | 1239 (2) D | 1248 sh | ---- | 1251 (0.1, 2) | $1242(6,1)$ | 0.67 |
|  | 30 | 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist | 1141 ms | 1140 (10) P | 1141 m | 1140 (6) | $1203(1,0.3)$ | 1147 (2, 2) | 0.49 |
|  | 31 | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock | 1055 vw | 1055 (9) D | ---- | 1054 (6) | $1030(0,1)$ | 1058 (0.1, 2) | 0.46 |
|  | 32 | 25 | =C-H oop wag | 1018 vvw | 1017 (0.3) D | 1019 w | ---- | $1009(0,1)$ | 1003 (0.2, 1) | 0.67 |
|  | 33 | 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock | 876 s | 875 (1) P | 875 s | 875 (2) | $907(6,0.1)$ | $880(5,0.2)$ | 0.37 |
|  | 34 | 31 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock ${ }^{*}$ | 732 s | 733 (5) P | 730 s | 727 (18) | 753 (0.3, 0.5) | $729(5,1)$ | 0.17 |
|  | 35 | 32 | =C-H oop wag* | 705 vw | 704 (27) P | ---- | 700 (49) | $709(7,0.5)$ | 700 (0.3, 4) | 0.07 |
|  | 36 | 33 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ oop wag | 586 mw | 585 (2) D | 585 w | 585 (2) | $527(1,1)$ | $585(1,1)$ | 0.72 |
|  | 37 | 37 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ twist | 309 m | 310 (7) D | $304{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 304 (4) | 282 (0.4, 0.4) | $308(0.3,1)$ | 0.66 |
|  | 38 | 38 | Ring bending | 250 mw | 250 (5) D | $247^{\text {e }}$ | 245 (6) | $i$ | 241 (0.2, 1) | 0.61 |
|  | 39 | 39 | Ring inversion | ---- | ---- | $99^{\text {e }}$ | ---- | $i$ | $103(1,0.1)$ | 0.74 |

[^1]Figure 13 shows the liquid Raman spectra of 2 CHO (below $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region) with parallel and perpendicular polarization compared with the liquid infrared spectra. The frequency values observed in the two complementary spectra matches very closely and the difference is not more than $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for most cases. The frequencies determined by the infrared spectrum are more accurate since they are based on the calibration with respect to the 632 nm line of the $\mathrm{He}-\mathrm{Ne}$ laser of the FT instrument. The liquid-phase farinfrared spectrum was collected using a $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ solution of the sample. Comparison of the peaks observed using the pure compound and the solution shows that the peaks observed in the solution are slightly broadened. The listing of polarized and depolarized bands of the liquid Raman spectra in Table 6 is based on the values of the experimental depolarization ratios ( $\rho$ values). The bands which show an observed $\rho$ value of 0.6 or higher are listed as depolarized. Since this molecule has $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry, theoretically all of the bands should be polarized. However, some modes will be more polarized than the others. Comparison of the polarizability of these bands with the calculated $\rho$ values of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ point group shows that this classification is very reasonable as most of the Raman bands classified as depolarized have higher calculated $\rho$ values compared to those of the polarized ones. The classification of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ symmetries is also useful as most of the vibrational modes with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ symmetry have low Raman intensities and are depolarized. Six out of twelve bands with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ symmetry observed by liquid Raman spectra are depolarized while only seven out of nineteen modes with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ symmetry and observed by liquid Raman are depolarized. The liquid Raman spectrum of 2 CHO exhibits a doublet at 1669 and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This doublet is due to the interaction of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching mode with an overtone or a combination of another vibrational mode. The origin of these bands was discussed in detail previously and it was reported that this is due to a Fermi resonance interaction between the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch mode and the combination mode of the ring breathing and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ skeletal deformation (both are ring stretching modes in general) and reported the two peaks at 1669.4 and $1682.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{64}$ However, this doublet is illustrated again in Figure 14 which shows the parallel and perpendicular Raman polarization measurements which were not done in the original study.
Figure 13. Liquid-phase infrared and Raman (with parallel and perpendicular polarization) spectra of 2CHO.

Figure 14. Fermi interaction of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching mode of 2CHO.

The low-frequency peak is more intense with parallel polarization, but becomes weaker than the higher frequency band when measured with perpendicular polarization indicating that it has a lower depolarization ratio. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency observed in the vapor-phase IR spectrum is $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is significantly higher than that observed in the liquid-phase spectrum which is at $1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .2 \mathrm{CHO}$ molecules in the liquid have intermolecular dipole interactions due to the polar carbonyl group. This interaction is shown in Figure 15 and this causes a red shift in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration and results in a broader peak. These intermolecular forces are mostly absent in the vapor phase because the molecules are far apart. Therefore, the vapor-phase spectra show spectra for independent, non interacting molecules which are also those calculated by DFT method. Another interesting feature seen in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching of 2 CHO is its frequency significantly lower than that 2 CPO which is at $1748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{20}$ This is a very common feature seen in cyclic ketones where the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency decreases as the size of the ring increases.

Figures 16 and 17 compare the liquid-phase Infrared and Raman spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ with the calculated spectra. Both IR and Raman spectra show at least three strong C-D stretching vibrations in the $2000-2300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. The vibrational assignments made in Table 7 were carried out by taking the experimental frequencies and their intensities which closely match with the calculated values. The normal modes were again determined by visualization of the atomic motions of the calculated Gaussian 03 output files using GaussView 3.0 molecular modeling program. ${ }^{63}$ The frequencies of the two strongest peaks observed in the liquid Raman spectra, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequencies at 1604 and $1666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively, are lower than those of the undeuterated compound. Also unlike 2 CHO , no Fermi resonance is observed in the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration. A peak observed at $1617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ may be due to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching of the undeuterated compound whose value was seen at $1616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the pure $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{0}$. This indicates that some undeuterated compound also remains in


Figure 16. Calculated and liquid infrared spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$.

Figure 17. Calculated and liquid Raman spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$.
Table 7: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 2-cyclohexen-1-one- $\boldsymbol{d}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Symmetry } \\ \hline \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $v$ |  | Approx. description | Liquid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\text {IR }}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Raman }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Raman }}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ (A) | 1 | 1 | = $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch | 3032 ms | 3036 (25) P | 3020 (6, 86) | 3028 (6, 84) | 0.25 |
|  | 2 | 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ symm. Str. (C-5) | ---- | 2945 (38) P | 2943 (12, 87) | $2938(11,88)$ | 0.23 |
|  | 3 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ symm. Str. (C-4) | 2869 s | 2873 (40) P | $2899(7,100)$ | $2878(5,100)$ | 0.17 |
|  | 4 | 6 | =C-D stretch | 2266 mw | 2269 (14) P | 2265 (0.4, 27) | 2265 (0.5, 28) | 0.36 |
|  | 5 | 8 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ symm. Str. | 2125 ms | 2126 (13)P | 2137 (2, 34) | 2113 (2, 34) | 0.10 |
|  | 6 | 9 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch | 1673 vvs | 1666 (87) P | $1701(100,41)$ | $1714(100,35)$ | 0.38 |
|  | 7 | 10 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch | 1603 s | 1604 (100) P | $1646(8,38)$ | $1628(6,33)$ | 0.10 |
|  | 8 | 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (C-5) | 1455 s | 1457 (5) D | 1490 (2, 4) | $1477(2,3)$ | 0.70 |
|  | 9 | 12 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform (C-4) | 1427 vs | 1428 (17) P | $1447(4,16)$ | $1448(4,13)$ | 0.50 |
|  | 10 | 13 | = $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in-plane wag | 1388 s | 1389 (2) P | $1369(11,9)$ | 1357 (2, 3) | 0.56 |
|  | 11 | 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-4,5\right)$ | 1358 s | 1358 (16) P | $1364(2,3)$ | 1354 (9, 8) | 0.37 |
|  | 12 | 15 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag (C-4, 5) | 1332 vw | 1333 (3) P | 1337 (3, 4) | 1340 (2, 1) | 0.49 |
|  | 13 | 18 | Ring stretch | 1160 vs | 1161 (3) P | $1185(18,2)$ | 1157 (7, 2) | 0.45 |
|  | 14 | 19 | Ring stretch | 1143 vw | 1147 (1) D | $1152(2,1)$ | $1140(9,1)$ | 0.75 |
|  | 15 | 20 | Ring stretch $+\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ wag | 1065 vvw | 1068 (1) P | $1071(5,1)$ | 1073 (0.1, 1) | 0.32 |
|  | 16 | 21 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ deform | 1043 s | 1044 (7) D | 1053 (2, 2) | 1049 (3, 3) | 0.74 |
|  | 17 | 23 | Ring stretch | 973 ms | 974 (7) D | $972(1,5)$ | $970(0.1,3)$ | 0.67 |
|  | 18 | 26 | Ring angle bend | 898 vw | 900 (3) D | $881(2,1)$ | $906(0.5,1)$ | 0.50 |
|  | 19 | 27 | =C-D in-plane wag | 830 w | 831 (7) P | $830(1,0.4)$ | $827(1,2)$ | 0.07 |
|  | 20 | 29 | Ring stretch $+\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ wag | 771 w | 774 (2) P | $767(1,7)$ | 769 (1, 2)) | 0.09 |
|  | 21 | 31 | Ring stretch | 680 m | 681 (17) P | $682(1,5)$ | $671(1,3)$ | 0.08 |
|  | 22 | 33 | Ring angle bend | 529 ms | 531 (2) P | 521 (0.1, 2) | $528(2,1)$ | 0.63 |
|  | 23 | 34 | Ring angle bend | 464 m | 465 (9) P | $462(1,2)$ | $459(1,1)$ | 0.43 |
|  | 24 | 36 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in-plane wag | ---- | 394 (2) D | 423 (4, 0.2) | $390(1,1)$ | 0.73 |

Table 7: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Symmetry } \\ \hline \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $v$ |  | Approx. description | Liquid |  | Calculated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | Infrared | Raman | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\text {IR }}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Raman }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{IR}}, \mathrm{I}_{\text {Raman }}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ (A) | 25 | 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisymm. Str. (C-5) | 2936 s | ---- | 2970 (8, 42) | $2957(11,58)$ | 0.53 |
|  | 26 | 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ antisymm. Str. (C-4) | ---- | ---- | 2910 (2, 46) | 2916 (8, 45) | 0.26 |
|  | 27 | 7 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ antisymm. Str. | ---- | 2142 (9) P | $2194(1,17)$ | 2204 (2, 24) | 0.57 |
|  | 28 | 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (C-4,5) | 1275 vs | 1275 (3) D | 1279 (0.1, 4) | 1275 (4, 3) | 0.73 |
|  | 29 | 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist (C-4,5) | 1198 ms | 1199 (2) P | 1227 (0.3, 1) | $1202(2,1)$ | 0.59 |
|  | 30 | 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (C-4,5) | 1026 vw | 1027 (1) D | $1032(1,2)$ | $1038(2,1)$ | 0.64 |
|  | 31 | 24 | =C-H out-of-plane wag | 935 s | 935 (4) D | 947 (2, 1) | $934(2,2)$ | 0.74 |
|  | 32 | 25 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ twist | 923 s | ---- | $931(1,0)$ | $924(2,1)$ | 0.70 |
|  | 33 | 28 | =C-D out-of-plane wag | 794 w | 796 (26) P | 809 (0.4, 0.2) | $788(0.3,4)$ | 0.08 |
|  | 34 | 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock (C-4,5) | 722 m | 723 (3) D | $705(3,1)$ | $716(3,2)$ | 0.61 |
|  | 35 | 32 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ rock | 629 ms | 631 (1) P | $605(4,0.3)$ | $630(3,0.3)$ | 0.74 |
|  | 36 | 35 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ out-of-plane wag | 444 m | 446 (5) P | $451(1,1)$ | $439(3,1)$ | 0.51 |
|  | 37 | 37 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ twist | ---- | 307 (7) D | 275 (1, 1) | $302(0.4,1)$ | 0.64 |
|  | 38 | 38 | Ring inversion | ---- | 236 (5) D | $i$ | 224 (0.1, 1) | 0.63 |
|  | 39 | 39 | Ring bend | - | ---- | $i$ | $97(1,0.1)$ | 0.74 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table 6 for footnotes.
the sample. The infrared spectrum is more congested than that of the Raman and there are more peaks which cannot be explained using the calculated values. Since the deuterium atoms of the $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ can be exchanged with the hydrogen atoms of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in moist ambient air, the sample may become contaminated by the formation of other isotopic species during the acquisition of the infrared spectra. This can be clearly seen by the careful analysis of the strongest infrared band, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching, which is much broader than that of 2 CHO . The most probable reason to this is the presence of several bands of close frequencies overlapped on each other. Nevertheless, the observance of the intense $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching band of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ at $1603 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $1604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectra) suggests that the sample is rich in $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$. Furthermore, most of the anticipated bands predicted by the calculations are observed in both spectra and very good agreement can be seen between the experimental values and the calculated frequencies for the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ point group.

Figure 18 illustrates the liquid Raman spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ with parallel and perpendicular polarization compared with the liquid infrared spectra. Again very good agreement can be seen between the wavenumbers observed in the two spectra and the difference is only 1 or $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in most cases. The calculated and the experimental depolarization ratios also agree very well and most of the normal modes classified as $A^{\prime \prime}$ are depolarized.

## CONCLUSIONS

The computed frequencies and intensities for the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure of 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ using the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory agree remarkably with the experimentally determined values. These computations are extremely useful in assigning the vibrational modes of these types of molecules. The calculated $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (planar structure) frequencies differ substantially as this structure imposes a constraint on the molecule. The spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ have been affected to some extent by the presence of isotopic impurities and further studies, especially the analysis of the vapor phase, may be of value in the future.
Figure 18. Liquid Raman spectra of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ with parallel and perpendicular polarization compared with liquid IR spectra.

## CHAPTER VI

## ULTRAVIOLET CAVITY RINGDOWN SPECTRA OF 2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE AND ITS POTENTIAL ENERGY FUNCTION FOR THE ELECTRONIC GROUND STATE

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter and the next will present a detailed study of the ultraviolet cavity ringdown spectra (CRDS) of the compounds of 2-cyclohexen-1-one (2CHO) and its $2,6,6-d_{3}$ isotopomer $\left(2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}\right)$. The experimental work of this was done at the laboratory of Dr. Stephen Drucker at University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire. The spectroscopic data obtained from this analysis was used to determine the vibrational energy levels and the ring-inversion potential energy functions of these two compounds in their ground and $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states. The study presented in this chapter will discuss the one-dimensional ring-inversion potential energy function of 2 CHO for its ground electronic state determined by CRDS along with vapor-phase Raman spectra. Although low-frequency Raman $^{23}$ and far-infrared ${ }^{24}$ studies have been previously utilized for this purpose, the outcomes of these two analyses are completely different from each other. Also because of the use of reduced (dimensionless) potential energy function, the structure corresponding to the energy minimum is not determined. Here, a kinetic energy expansion has been used to model the inversion process to find the equilibrium structure. The results obtained are compared with those given by density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

## EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL

The cavity ringdown spectra of 2 CHO were recorded using a CRD spectroscopy system that has been described elsewhere in detail. ${ }^{21}$ Briefly, the output of a Nd:YAGpumped pulsed dye laser (approximately 0.5 mJ at 385 nm ) was sent through a spatial filter and then into a 1-m CRD cell. The cell was bounded by high-reflectivity mirrors
(Los Gatos Research, quoted $R=0.99994$ at 385 nm ) with $6-\mathrm{m}$ radii of curvature. The light exiting the cell was detected by a photomultiplier module (Hamamatsu H6780). The photomultiplier signal was sent into the 50 -ohm input of a digital oscilloscope (10bit vertical resolution) and through a $20-\mathrm{MHz}$ internal low-pass filter. The decay traces from typically 16 laser pulses were averaged and then sent to a computer, where a monoexponential rate constant $k$ (along with baseline offset) was determined. The vaporphase Raman spectra were recorded on a JY U-1000 instrument equipped with a photo multiplier tube using a Coherent I-20 argon ion laser operating at 514.5 nm with 5 W of laser power. DFT calculations were carried out by Dr. Jaebum Choo of Hanyang University, Korea utilizing the same programs and methods previously described for $2 \mathrm{CPO} .{ }^{21,65}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Cavity ringdown (CRD) spectra

Figure 19 illustrates the CRD spectrum of 2 CHO in the 400 to $-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region relative to the $0_{0}^{0}$ band which is at $26089.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A broader range of frequencies was examined but this chapter primarily focuses only on this region. This spectrum illustrates the inversion transitions in both the ground state and in the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state. Figure 20 shows an expanded form of the difference band region from 0 to $-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which points up the frequencies of the ring inversion vibration in the ground state. Table 8 shows some of the selected assignments for the CRD spectrum including the ones which are relevant for understanding the $S_{0}$ electronic ground state. Most importantly the $v_{39}$ inversion band $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{I}}=0 \rightarrow 2\right.$ and $\left.1 \rightarrow 3\right)$ can be observed at $-99.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. (The labeling scheme for inversion levels is indicated in Figure 21). The next band in the series corresponding to $0 \rightarrow 4$ and $1 \rightarrow 5$ occurs at $-197.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, thus showing the next spacing (3-4) to be $98.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. There is also a weak band at $-294.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which seems to indicate that the $5-6$ spacing is $97.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A weak band also is present at $-391.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating another possible spacing of $96.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The reported far-infrared spectrum ${ }^{24}$ has bands listed at $99.85,99.23,98.63,98.02,97.48$, and $96.87 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Since these were recorded at
Figure 19. Cavity ringdown spectra of 2 CHO relative to the $\mathrm{S}_{1} 0_{0}^{0}$ band at $26089.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the -400 to $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
region.
Figure 20. Cavity ringdown spectra of 2CHO relative to the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right) 0_{0}^{0}$ band at $26089.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the difference band region.

Table 8: Selected assignments for the CRD spectrum (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 2CHO

| Observed | Calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Inferred | Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -99.0 | -103 | -99.2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $39_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -197.0 | ----- | -197.2 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $39_{2}^{0}$ |  |
| -294.9 | ----- | $-294.1^{\text {b }}$ | $39_{3}^{0}$ (?) |  |
| -243.0 | -241 | $-244^{\text {c }}$ | $38_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -303.2 | -308 | -304.1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $37{ }_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| 122.2 | 121 | ----- | $39_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 244.0 | ----- | ----- | $39^{2}$ |  |
| 364.8 | ----- | ----- | $39_{0}^{3}$ |  |
| 250.1 | 250 | ----- | $38{ }_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 303.5 | 298 | ----- | $37_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 344.1 | 342 | ----- | $36_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| -76.6 | ----- | -74.8 | $39_{2}^{1}$ | 122.2-197.0 |
| 44.9 | ----- | 47.0 | $39_{2}^{2}$ | 244.0-197.0 |
| 52.7 | ----- | 53.1 | $38{ }_{0}^{1} 39_{2}^{0}$ | 250.1-197.0 |
| 143.7 | ----- | 145.0 | $39_{1}^{2}$ | 244.0-99.0 |
| 150.3 | ----- | 151.1 | $38{ }_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | 250.1-99.0 |
| 168.8 | ----- | 167.8 | $39_{2}^{3}$ | 364.8-197.0 |
| 203.8 | ----- | 204.5 | $37{ }_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | 303.5-99.0 |
| 244.0 | ----- | 245.1 | $36_{0}^{1} 39{ }_{1}^{0}$ | 344.1-99.0 |
| 265.1 | ----- | 265.8 | $39_{1}^{3}$ | 364.8-99.0 |
| 271.1 | ----- | 272.0 | $38{ }_{0}^{1} 391$ | 371.0-99.0 |
| 370.9 | ----- | 372.3 | $38{ }_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ | $250.1+122.2$ |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Inferred from far-infrared data (Ref. 24). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ From the Raman spectrum.
$0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution and the spectral points were probably calculated for each $0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the accuracy of these numbers can be taken to be $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It is not clear why they were reported to two decimal place accuracy. In any case, since $v_{38}$ occurs at $243 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and since its excited vibrational state will be $30 \%$ as populated as the ground state at room temperature, a second superimposed series of $v_{39}$ bands can be expected in this region. The two strongest far-infrared peaks occur at 99.2 and $98.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ so it seems apparent that these correspond to the first two spacings of 99.0 and $98.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from the CRD spectra. The 99.8 and $98.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ bands then arise within the $\nu_{38}$ excited state and not from the principal series as previously assumed. Moreover, the close spacing assumed by Smithson and Wieser ${ }^{24}$ led them to calculate an unreasonably high barrier to inversion of $3379 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $9.65 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ). The remaining question then is whether the weak -294.9 and -391.6 bands in the CRD spectra arise from the $v_{39}$ or from some other combinations. With the 39 vibrations of 2 CHO there are many possibilities for combinations when various excited states are considered. If the $-294.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{CRD}$ band is part of the $v_{39}$ series, then the $97.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ spacing probably results from a small perturbation since a value near $97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is expected. Similarly the $96.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ inferred from the CRD spectrum for the following spacing seems to be almost one $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ too high. The presence of both spacings, however, would not conflict with the far-infrared spectrum as the 98.0 and $97.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ bands would be superimposed, and the $96.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ CRD spacing would correspond to the $96.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ far-infrared band. Nonetheless, the band at $96.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the far-infrared spectrum is assigned as the third spacing, and the two CRD bands in question were ascribed to other combinations. The energy levels and transitions in Figure 21 for $v_{39}, v_{38}$, and $v_{37}$, which are based on a combination of the CRD and farinfrared spectra, were utilized for the computation of the potential energy function. The $-243.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band for $v_{38}$ can be seen in the CRD spectrum and was observed as a farinfrared band of type B with a band center at $247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{24}$ In the excited state of $v_{38}$ the ring inversion ( $v_{39}$ ) bands can be seen in the far-infrared at $99.8,98.6$, and $97.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and as expected, on the whole are somewhat weaker than the bands assigned as the principal
Figure 21. Vibrational energy levels for the ground state of 2 CHO for $v_{39}, v_{38}$, and $v_{37}$. The $v_{39}$ levels are doubly degenerate. $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{I}}$ represents the $v_{39}$ quantum number.
series without $v_{38}$ excitation. For $v_{37}$, the CRD spectra show this level to be at $303.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as compared to $304.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the far infrared.

An important comment should be made about the band maxima listed in Table 8. The maxima themselves should be accurate to $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, the maxima will not exactly correspond to the pure vibrational transitions, namely from $\mathrm{J}=0$ to $\mathrm{J}=0$ in the rotational quantum number. For the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state several of the bond distances, notably for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds, will be longer than for $\mathrm{S}_{0}$. Hence, the moments of inertia will be larger for the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ state and the rotational constants will be smaller. This means that the rotationless Q branches actually will be on the high frequency extreme of each observed band in the spectrum. It also means that the combination bands listed in Table 8 cannot be expected to add up to yield the exact ground-state intervals since the band maxima are reported there. To a lesser extent, the rotational constants for the vibrational excited states of the inversion will, on the whole, also be smaller than for the $\mathrm{v}_{1}=0,1$ state.

## Raman spectra

The low-frequency Raman spectrum of 2CHO vapor is shown in Figure 22. This is similar to that was reported previously ${ }^{23}$ except that the increased vapor pressure resulting from heating the sample to near its boiling point in a sealed cell results in high vapor pressure ( 0.6 atm ) and a better signal to noise ratio. The band maximum for $v_{38}$ in the Raman spectrum is at $244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and broad bands with maxima at 233 and $188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can also be seen. Bands at $248,230,212,165$, and $145 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were previously reported, ${ }^{23}$ but the last three bands are more likely to be noise in the spectrum. A broad feature centered at about $190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was also observed and this corresponds to $188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum reported here. The origins of the bands at 233 and $188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ seen in this spectrum are clearly not from any fundamentals, and this is supported by both the DFT calculations and the CRD spectra. The $233 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band could result from the $1 \rightarrow 2$ transition of $v_{38}$ but a shift of more than $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from the $0 \rightarrow 1$ band is larger than

expected. Moreover, the band type appears to be broader than the $244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band, and this would indicate it has a different assignment. A second possibility is that it may arise from $v_{36}-v_{39}$ difference bands (from different inversion states) which are expected near $230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For example, the $v_{39}\left(v_{I}=4,5\right) \rightarrow v_{36}\left(v_{\mathrm{I}}=0,1\right)$ band is expected at $228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The broad $188 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band is somewhat too low to correspond to $2 v_{39}$ transitions expected in the $190-197 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region for the first several $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{I}}$ states. Similarly, it is a bit higher than expected for the $v_{36}-v_{38}$ bands which should be near $182 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, the latter may be the best explanation. No Raman bands were detected between 90 and $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to $v_{39}$ as the rotational envelope for the molecule is still quite strong there.

## DFT calculations

All of the DFT calculations presented here were carried out by Dr. Jaeboom Choo of Hanyang University, Korea. Several different basis sets were utilized to calculate the structure of 2 CHO in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ electronic ground state. Figure 23 shows the bond distances and angles calculated for the minimum energy structures and constrained planar structures using the B3LYP/6-31 $+G(d, p)$ and B3LYP/6-311 $+G(d, p)$ basis sets. The energy differences between the minimum energy structure and the planar structure were calculated to be 2098 and $2090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. Table 9 shows the out-of-plane displacements for both calculations for the minimum energy structure for carbon atoms $1,4,5$, and 6 . The other atoms lie in the plane. Table 10 shows the rotational constants calculated for the two DFT theoretical structures and compares these to the experimental values from the microwave work. ${ }^{22}$ Also shown are the MMPI molecular mechanics results. ${ }^{23}$ It can be seen that the two DFT calculations, and especially that with the larger basis set, provide very good agreement with the microwave experiments. Table 10 also shows the rotational constants for the $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{I}}=4$ quantum state of $\mathrm{v}_{39}$ from the microwave study. These values have some relevance in helping to understand the band profiles better in the far-infrared and CRD spectra. The table also shows the rotational constants the hypothetical planar structure; these values are sufficiently different from the

## $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state

Minimum energy structure


## Planar structure



B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)


B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)

Figure 23. Calculated minimum energy and planar structures of 2 CHO using B3LYP/6$31+G(d, p)$ and B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theories.

Table 9. Relative out-of-plane displacements of C1, C4, C5, and C6 (in Angstroms)

|  | B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) | B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.017166 | 0.017523 |
| C4 | 0.133595 | 0.130933 |
| C5 | -0.340025 | -0.339025 |
| C6 | 0.267045 | 0.269044 |

Table 10. Rotational constants (in GHz) of 2-cyclohexen-1-one

|  | A | B | C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment $(\mathrm{MW})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4.7704 | 2.54320 | 1.75829 |
| Experiment $(\mathrm{v}=4)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4.71785 | 2.55709 | 1.77078 |
| B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) | 4.75463 | 2.52258 | 1.74103 |
| B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) | 4.76853 | 2.53297 | 1.74763 |
| B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) (planar) | 4.65982 | 2.48608 | 1.66994 |
| MMPI $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4.763 | 2.557 | 1.769 |

[^2]microwave ones, confirming the non-planarity of the ring. Some of the Calculated vibrational frequencies of both the ground and the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ states at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory are listed in Table 8.

## Kinetic energy functions

The extra rigidity provided by the conjugation between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ group enables to treat the inversion process using a one-dimensional potential energy function. In that sense, the inversion is similar to that of 1,3-cyclohexadiene ${ }^{66}$ and 1,2dihydronaphthalene, ${ }^{67}$ which were studied previously using Raman and fluorescence spectroscopic techniques as well as density functional (DFT) calculations.

A slightly modified version of the computer program used for 1,2dihydronaphthalene was used to calculate the kinetic energy function of 2 CHO . The vibrational model in the present work assumed that the inversion vibration labeled S follows the out-of-plane displacements according to those in Table 9. Hence, if the model is totally correct, the energy minima should correspond to an inversion coordinate value of $S=1.0 \AA$. The calculated function for which $S$ is in $\AA$ for $v_{39}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}_{44}(S)=0.03119-0.04782 S^{2}-0.1153 S^{4}+0.1490 S^{6} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reciprocal of the first term, 32.06 in atomic units, corresponds to the reduced mass for the planar structure. The higher-order terms characterize the coordinate dependence of the function.

## Ring-inversion potential energy function

As shown in Figure 21, the first three levels of the ring-inversion vibration have been determined, the first two from the cavity ringdown spectra ( $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and all three from far-infrared data $\left( \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. These were used to determine the potential function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=7.459 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-2.378 \times 10^{3} S^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

based on the kinetic energy function in Eq. (6.1). The function is shown in Figure 24, and it has a barrier of $1895 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in very close agreement with the DFT results. Table 11 compares the observed and calculated frequencies for $v_{39}$, and the agreement is excellent. However, it should be recognized that the observed levels only go up to about $340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ including the zero point energy, so the barrier is obtained by extrapolation. Moreover, if a value for the spectral spacing was changed even by a small amount, large changes would be observed for the barrier height. For example, if the first transition is assumed to be $99.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, the barrier drops to $1687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ or $14 \%$ lower. Hence, the barrier is best stated to be $1900 \pm 300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, it is clearly very different from the previously reported values. ${ }^{23,24}$

## CONCLUSIONS

The CRD spectra of 2 CHO for the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{S}_{0}$ transition have allowed clarifying the level spacings for the low-frequency vibrations both in the ground and in the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ state (Table 8). Previous Raman and far-infrared work had misinterpreted the spectra and resulted in incorrect values calculated for the inversion barrier. The research work presented in this chapter has experimentally determined the ground state inversion barrier to be $1900 \pm 300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with the large uncertainty arising from the need to extrapolate the potential energy function to values above the experimental data. However, this barrier value is in excellent agreement with the DFT value of $2090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ from the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) calculation. The inversion model for the vibration, which

Figure 24. Ring-inversion potential energy function for 2 CHO corresponding to equation 6.2.

Table 11. Observed and calculated frequencies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for the vibration of 2-cyclohexen-1-one in its $S_{0}$ state

|  | Frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separation | Experimental | Calculated |
| $0-1$ | ----- | 0.0 |
| $1-2$ | 99.0 | 99.0 |
| $2-3$ | ----- | 0.0 |
| $3-4$ | 98.0 | 98.0 |
| $4-5$ | ------ | 0.0 |
| $5-6$ | $96.9^{\text {a }}$ | 96.9 |
| $6-7$ | ----- | 0.0 |
| $7-8$ | ------ | 95.8 |

[^3]does not affect the barrier height, was based on the out-of-plane displacements for the minimum energy structure obtained from the DFT computations. Using the kinetic energy function for this model produces an energy minimum occurring at $1.26 \AA$. Since $\mathrm{S}=1.0 \AA$ would indicate perfect correspondence with the DFT calculation, the minimum for this potential energy function shows the model to be quite reliable although the out-of-plane displacements of the atoms are likely to be somewhat larger than those calculated and shown in Table 9. The next chapter will present a further discussion on the CRD spectra of both 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ which were used to determined the ringinversion potential energy function in their electronic excited $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state.

## CHAPTER VII

## ULTRAVIOLET CAVITY RINGDOWN SPECTRA AND THE $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ RING-INVERSION POTENTIAL ENERGY FUNCTION FOR 2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE- $d_{0}$ AND ITS 2,6,6- $d_{3}$ ISOTOPOMER

## INTRODUCTION

The photochemistry of conjugated cyclic enone molecules has been investigated from a mechanistic perspective for several decades. ${ }^{68}$ Computational investigations in this area have been particularly active, including studies of cycloaddition reactions ${ }^{69}$ as well as Type $\mathrm{B},{ }^{70}$ lumiketone, ${ }^{70}$ and Type $\mathrm{C}^{71}$ rearrangements. These studies, along with supporting experimental evidence, show that photoexcitation of the conjugated enone initially prepares the singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state. This is followed by nonradiative decay (intersystem crossing) along a pathway that includes the lowest-energy triplet $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ or $\mathrm{T}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ triplet potential-energy surface (or both). The triplet states are relatively longlived and reactive. Therefore the investigation of $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ state is important in understanding the mechanism of the photochemical reactions of enones.

The research work presented in this chapter will characterize the $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic state of 2 CHO and the ground and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ by analyzing the $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right) \leftarrow S_{0}$ vibronic band system observed for these two compounds by cavity ringdown spectroscopy (CRDS). The $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{S}_{0}$ CRD spectrum of 2 CHO was also presented in the previous chapter but the ring-inversion potential function for the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state was not determined. This work will report additional CRD data for 2 CHO that requires data to determine the ring-inversion potential energy function for the $S_{1}$ state. CRDS, ${ }^{36}$ rather than fluorescence excitation, was chosen for the experiment because CRDS is based on absorption and is therefore amenable to molecules such as 2 CHO that are subject to rapid nonradiative decay processes. Moreover, the $\pi^{*} \leftarrow \mathrm{n}$ transitions of ketones and enones are orbitally forbidden and hence typically several
orders of magnitude weaker than fully allowed $\pi^{*} \leftarrow \pi$ transitions. The high sensitivity of the CRD techniques permits observation of the weak $\pi^{*} \leftarrow \mathrm{n}$ transitions readily.

## EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL

The CRDS experimental work was carried out at the University of WisconsinEau Claire and the complete CRDS experimental setup was described in the previous chapter. DFT calculations were carried out by Dr. J. Choo by utilizing the same programs and methods previously described for 2CPO. ${ }^{21,65}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Vibronic analysis

Figures 25 and 26 show the CRD spectra for 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ in the 26,000 to $26,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region ( 385 to 375 nm ). The vertical axis indicates fractional photon loss per pass through the cell. The band origins $\left(0_{0}^{0}\right)$ for the two isotopomers are 26,081.3 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $26,075.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The value of $26,089.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ reported for the origin of 2 CHO in the previous chapter was incorrect because it inadvertently neglected to convert the calibrated air wavelengths to vacuum. This correction also has changed the wavenumber values reported for some of the vibrational transitions in Chapter VI and the corrected values will be illustrated here.

The assignments in Figure 25 are based on a series of attached bands observed at approximately $99-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ intervals in the $d_{0}$ spectrum and extending in the low-frequency direction. An analogous series is observed in the $d_{3}$ spectrum at $95-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ intervals. These are identified as hot bands and assigned as a progression in $v_{39}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (ground-state ring inversion), based on the fundamental frequency for this mode in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state which is already determined. These hot bands were analyzed to determine the inversion potential energy function for the $S_{0}$ state.

Several other low-frequency fundamentals for the $S_{1}$ state have been assigned and are listed in Tables 12 and 13. These include $v_{38}$ (ring bending), $v_{37}$ ( $C=C$ twist), and $\nu_{36}$ (carbonyl deformation). These band assignments were made based on similarity to
 Figure 25. CRD spectrum of 2 CHO vapor at room temperature. The pressure in the sample cell was 13 Pa ( 0.1 torr). This spectrum is a composite of several scans recorded over adjacent wavelength regions near the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{S}_{0}$ origin. Frequencies are relative to the $0_{0}^{0}$ band at $26,081.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Colored tie lines attached to an assigned origin indicate a progression in the excited-state inversion mode ( $v_{39}$ ).
uolłdıosq*

Table 12: CRD transition frequencies ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and assignments for 2CHO

| Observed | Inferred $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Calculated $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -303.2 | -303.2 | 308 | $37_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -294.8 | -293.9 |  | $39_{3}^{0}$ |  |
| -243.0 | -243.8 | 241 | $38_{1}^{0}$ | $251.9-8.1$ |
| -197.0 | -197.0 |  | $39_{2}^{0}$ |  |
| -191.7 | -190.5 |  | $38_{1}^{13} 39_{2}^{0}$ | $251.9-442.2$ |
| -99.2 | $-99.0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 103 | $39_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -90.5 | -91.7 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $251.9-343.6$ |
| -76.9 | -74.9 |  | $39_{2}^{1}$ | $122.1-197.0$ |
| 8.1 | 8.1 |  | $38_{1}^{1}$ | $251.9-243.8$ |
| 29.0 | 29.0 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{1}^{1}$ | $372.6-343.6$ |
| 44.9 | 46.8 |  | $39_{2}^{2}$ | $243.8-197.0$ |
| 52.5 | 50.6 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{2}^{2}$ | $492.8-442.2$ |
| 55.9 sh | 54.9 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{2}^{0}$ | $251.9-197.0$ |
| 122.1 | 122.1 | 121 | $39_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 128.8 | 128.8 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ | $372.6-243.8$ |
| 143.5 | 144.8 |  | $39_{1}^{2}$ | $243.8-99.0$ |
| 151.0 | 149.2 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{1}^{2}$ | $492.8-343.6$ |
| 152.9 sh | 152.9 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $251.9-99.0$ |
| 168.6 | 167.5 |  | $39_{2}^{3}$ | $364.5-197.0$ |
| 203.5 | 204.3 |  | $37_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $303.3-99.0$ |
| 243.8 | 243.8 |  | $39_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 243.8 | 244.9 |  | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $343.9-99.0$ |
| 250.0 | 249.0 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{0}^{2}$ | $492.8-243.8$ |
| 251.9 | 251.9 | 250 | $38_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 264.9 | 265.5 |  | $39_{1}^{3}$ | $364.5-99.0$ |
| 270.9 sh | 269.2 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{1}^{3}$ | $612.8-343.6$ |
| 273.9 sh | 273.6 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{1}$ | $372.6-99.0$ |
| 303.3 | 303.3 | 298 | $37_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 343.9 | 343.9 | 342 | $36_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 364.5 | 364.5 |  | $39_{0}^{3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12: (Continued)

| Observed $^{\text {Inferred }^{\mathrm{b}}}$ | Calculated $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Assignment |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 371.1 | 369.0 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{0}^{3}$ |
| 385.3 | 385.4 | $39_{1}^{4}$ | $484.8-243.8$ |
| 425.4 sh | 425.4 | $37_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 465.9 | 465.9 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 485.3 sh | $484.4^{\text {d }}$ |  | $39_{0}^{4}$ |
| 487.5 | 488.2 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{2}$ | $587.2-99.0$ |
| 492.8 | 492.8 | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 502.2 sh | 504.6 | $39_{1}^{5}$ | $603.6-99.0$ |
| 587.2 | 587.2 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 603.6 | 603.6 | $39_{0}^{5}$ |  |
| 607.8 | 608.5 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{3}$ | $707.5-99.0$ |
| 612.8 | 612.8 | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{3}$ |  |
| 687.0 | 687.0 | $36_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 707.5 | 707.5 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{3}$ |  |
| 721.5 | 721.5 | $39_{0}^{6}$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Frequencies are relative to the $\mathrm{S}_{1} \leftarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ electronic origin at $26,081.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Uncertainty in the relative frequency is $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Inferred values are based on the energy level diagram in Figure 27.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The inferred frequencies for the $39_{1}^{0}$ and $39_{0}^{4}$ bands were determined from patterns established by other observed $v_{39}$ overtones. The inferred values for these two bands were used in the $v_{39}$ potential energy function determinations. The observed band maxima in these cases lead to poorer potential energy fits, most likely because the rotationless band centers (unavailable at the present spectral resolution) are not necessarily coincident with the maxima.

Table 13: CRD transition frequencies ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(\right.$ in $^{\mathrm{cm}^{-1}}$ ) and assignments for 2-CHO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{3}$

| Observed | Inferred $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Calculated $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -300.0 | -300.0 | -302 | $37_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -232.3 | -232.3 | -224 | $38_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -187.6 | -187.6 |  | $39_{2}^{0}$ |  |
| -94.7 | -94.7 | -97 | $39_{1}^{0}$ |  |
| -74.2 | -73.2 |  | $39_{2}^{1}$ | $114.4-187.6$ |
| 4.6 | 4.6 |  | $38_{1}^{1}$ | $236.9-232.3$ |
| 26.6 | 26.6 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{1}^{1}$ | $350.4-323.8$ |
| 40.0 | 41.0 |  | $39_{2}^{2}$ | $228.6-187.6$ |
| 48.1 sh | 49.3 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{2}^{0}$ | $236.9-187.6$ |
| 114.4 | 114.4 | 114 | $39_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 118.9 | 118.1 |  | $38_{1}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ | $350.4-232.3$ |
| 133.1 | 133.9 |  | $39_{1}^{2}$ | $228.6-94.7$ |
| 143.0 sh | 142.2 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $236.9-94.7$ |
| 154.1 sh | 154.2 |  | $39_{2}^{3}$ | $341.8-187.6$ |
| 201.0 | 199.9 |  | $37_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $294.6-94.7$ |
| 228.6 | 228.6 |  | $39_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 236.9 | 236.9 | 236 | $38_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 236.9 | 237.3 |  | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{0}$ | $332.0-94.7$ |
| 247.6 | 247.1 |  | $39_{1}^{3}$ | $341.8-94.7$ |
| 256.0 | 255.7 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{1}$ | $350.4-94.7$ |
| 294.6 | 294.6 | 289 | $37_{0}^{1}$ |  |
| 332.0 | 332.0 | 332 | $36_{0}^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: (Continued)

| Observed $^{\text {Inferred }^{\mathrm{b}}}$ | Calculated $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Assignment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 341.8 | 341.8 |  | $39_{0}^{3}$ |
| 350.4 | 350.4 |  | $38_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ |
| 407.7 | 407.7 |  | $37_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ |
| 446.2 | 446.2 |  | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{1}$ |
| 466.1 | 465.3 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{1}^{2}$ | $560.0-94.7$ |
| 560.0 | 560.0 | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 665.2 | 665.2 | $36_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| 673.4 | 673.4 |  | $36_{0}^{1} 39_{0}^{3}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Frequencies are relative to the $\mathrm{S}_{1} \leftarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ electronic origin at $26,075.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Uncertainty in the relative frequency is $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Inferred values are based on the energy level diagram in Figure 28.
${ }^{c}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory
the corresponding fundamental vibrational frequencies in the ground state. Frequencies and deuterium shifts predicted from the DFT calculation (discussed below) also support these assignments.

The $v_{38}$ out-of-plane ring mode has the second-lowest fundamental frequency, $243.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state and $251.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ state for the $d_{0}$ isotopomer. At room temperature the $\mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{38}=1$ ground-state level has an appreciable Boltzmann factor (0.3), allowing the $38_{1}^{1}$ sequence band to appear with relatively large intensity in the CRD spectrum. This band appears at $8.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ relative to the origin in the $d_{0}$ spectrum, consistent with the value of $8.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained from the $38_{0}^{1}-38_{1}^{0}$ combination difference. In the $d_{3}$ spectrum this combination difference is $4.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and is reproduced exactly by the $38_{1}^{1}$ band observed at $4.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ relative to the origin.

Several of the prominent bands in the CRD spectra, including $38_{1}^{1}$ and $36_{0}^{1}$, serve as origins for the $122-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(d_{0}\right)$ or $114-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(d_{3}\right)$ progression in the upper-state inversion mode, $v_{39}$.' The frequencies of these progressions are listed in Tables 12 and 13.

## Potential energy functions for ring inversion

Figures 27 and 28 present energy maps for the lower energy quantum states of 2 CHO and the $d_{3}$ isotopomer. The data for $v_{39}$ for CHO in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground state were previously used to determine its potential energy function. Similar data for the $\mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ will be used in the present work to calculate the quantum states for this isotopomer in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ data in Figures 27 and 28 for both isotopomers will then be used to determine the potential energy functions for the excited state.

In order to determine the ring-inversion potential energy functions, the kinetic energy (reciprocal reduced mass) expansion must first be calculated. This is based on the structure of the molecule which was calculated by DFT methods using the B3LYP/6$311+G(d, p)$ level for both the $S_{0}$ ground state and the $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic excited state. Figure 29 compares the calculated structures for these two states. As can be seen, the excitation to a $\pi^{*}$ anti-bonding orbital increases the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond distances as

Figure 27. Energy map for 2 CHO in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states.


Figure 29. Calculated structures for 2 CHO in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states.
expected. However, the $=C-C(O)$ bond distance between carbon atoms 1 and 2 decreases from 1.480 to $1.392 \AA$. Similar changes occur in the 2 CPO molecule as a result of $\pi^{*} \leftarrow \mathrm{n}$ excitation. ${ }^{20,21}$ In those cases the bond shortening was explained on the basis of the character of the $\pi^{*}$ molecular orbitals involved in the conjugation. A qualitative picture of this orbital is shown in Figure 30 for both molecules. As can be seen the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ linkages increase in antibonding character whereas the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ linkage has bonding character in this $\pi^{*}$ orbital.

The ring-inversion coordinate $S$ was previously defined ${ }^{66,67}$ and for $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ involves mostly carbon atoms 6 (next to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group) and 5 moving out of plane. The displacements of carbon atoms $1,4,5$, and 6 are shown in Table 14 for both $S_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ states based on the DFT calculation. For $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ an increased out of plane displacement of C 4 can be seen as the conjugation is weakened with the $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transition. For this coordinate the kinetic energy expansion $g_{44}(S)$ was calculated for both 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ for both $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ states and the coefficients are shown in Table 15. The expansion has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{44}(S)=g_{44}^{(0)}+g_{44}^{(2)} S^{2}+g_{44}^{(4)} S^{4}+g_{44}^{(6)} S^{6} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $g_{44}^{(j)}$ are the coefficients in Table 15 . With the availability of the $g_{44}(S)$ expressions, the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{d}{d S} g_{44}(S) \frac{d}{d S}+V(S) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(S)=\mathrm{a} S^{4}-\mathrm{b} S^{2} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

was used to determine the potential energy parameters $a$ and $b$ which provide the best fit for the experimental data. The potential function for the ground state of 2 CHO is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=7.459 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-2.378 \times 10^{3} S^{2} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 30. Qualitative picture of the $\pi^{*}$ orbital involved in the $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transition of 2 CHO and 2CPO.

Table 14: Calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ relative out-of-plane displacements ( $\AA$ ) of $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 4$, and $\mathbf{C 6}$ atoms of $\mathbf{2 C H O}$ in its $S_{0}$ and $S_{\mathbf{1}}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ states

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 1 | 0.017523 | 0.014228 |
| C 4 | 0.130933 | 0.202797 |
| C 5 | -0.339025 | -0.340520 |
| C 6 | 0.269044 | 0.200370 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory

Table 15: Calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ kinetic energy coefficients of $\mathbf{2 C H O}$ and $2 \mathbf{C H O}-\boldsymbol{d}_{3}$

|  |  | $g_{44}^{(0)}$ | $g_{44}^{(2)}$ | $g_{44}^{(4)}$ | $g_{44}^{(6)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | 2 CHO | 0.03119 | -0.04782 | -0.11530 | 0.14896 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ | 0.02588 | -0.02238 | -0.14263 | 0.13538 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 2 CHO | 0.03211 | -0.05834 | -0.13086 | 0.22699 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ | 0.02775 | -0.03713 | -0.14440 | 0.16731 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Coefficients are $\mathrm{u} \AA^{-\mathrm{j}}$ for each $g_{44}^{(j)}$
which is reported in the previous chapter and this provides an excellent fit for the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ data. The barrier to inversion is $1895 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This same function should in principle also reproduce the $d_{3}$ data when using the correct reduced mass ratio between the $d_{0}$ and $d_{3}$ isotopomers. This ratio is calculated to be 1.205 (Table 15), but this value results in calculated frequencies which are about $4 \%$ too low when Eq. (7.4) is used. This is not too surprising since the one-dimensional vibrational model ignores the interactions of the ring-inversion with the other 38 vibrations of the molecule. To obtain a satisfactory fit using Eq. (7.4) for the $d_{3}$ calculation the reduced mass ratio was adjusted to 1.094 . Table 16 compares the observed and calculated energy separation for $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{0}$ and $-d_{3}$ based on Eq. (7.4) and the adjusted reduced mass ratio. Figure 31 shows this function along with the observed transitions. As discussed previously, the barrier height value is obtained by extrapolation and its accuracy is only $\pm 300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Using the data in Tables 14 and 15 and Figure 29 similar calculations were carried out for 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ for the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state. The potential function was determined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=8.586 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-3.493 \times 10^{3} S^{2} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the observed and calculated frequencies are shown in Table 16. In this case the calculated reduced mass ratio of 1.157 differs only slightly from the observed value of 1.146, which was used for the computation. If the higher value for the ratio is used, a frequency error of less than $1 \%$ would result. Figure 32 shows this function and the observed $v_{39}$ quantum spacings in the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state for both isotopomers. As can be seen for 2 CHO the observed transitions extend to about $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ above the energy minimum so the barrier of $3552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is again obtained by extrapolation. Its uncertainty is estimated to be $\pm 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Table 16: Observed and calculated frequencies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for the $v_{39}$ vibration of $\mathbf{2 C H O}-d_{0}$ and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-\boldsymbol{d}_{3}$ in their $\mathrm{S}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ state

|  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{0}$ |  |  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separation | Experimental $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Calculated |  | Experimental $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Calculated |
| $0-2$ | 99.0 | 99.0 |  | 94.7 | 94.7 |
| $2-4$ | 98.0 | 98.0 |  | 92.9 | 93.8 |
| $4-6$ | 96.9 | 96.9 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)=7.459 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-2.378 \times 10^{3} S^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 31. Ring-inversion potential energy function for 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ in their $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state.

Table 17: Observed and calculated frequencies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for the $v_{39}$ vibration of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{0}$ and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ in their $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ state

|  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{0}$ |  |  | $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separation | Experimental $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Calculated |  | Experimental $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Calculated |
| $0-2$ | 122.1 | 122.2 |  | 114.4 | 114.4 |
| $2-4$ | 121.7 | 121.4 |  | 114.2 | 113.7 |
| $4-6$ | 120.7 | 120.5 |  | 113.2 | 113.1 |
| $6-8$ | 119.9 | 119.8 |  |  |  |
| $8-10$ | 119.2 | 119.1 |  |  |  |
| $10-12$ | 117.9 | 118.5 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)=8.586 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-3.493 \times 10^{3} S^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |




## Comparisons with DFT results

The DFT calculation using the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) basis set predicts a barrier of $2265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state, a value considerably lower than that in Figure 32. The DFT calculation also by definition places the energy minima at $\mathrm{S}= \pm 1.0 \AA$ while Figure 32 has these at $\pm 1.4 \AA$. The conclusion then is that while the DFT calculation predicts a higher barrier for the $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ state than for $S_{0}$, it clearly underestimates the barrier and degree of out-of-plane distortion. Most likely, however, our extrapolated experimental barrier is an overestimation. Nonetheless, it is clear that the excited state value is substantially higher than that in the electronic ground state. Figure 33 compares the two experimental potential energy curves.

Although the $v_{39}$ barrier height from the DFT calculation does not agree quantitatively with the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ potential fit, the DFT calculated $\nu_{39}$ fundamental frequency ( $122.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) agrees exceptionally well with that obtained from the CRD spectrum (121 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). The agreement is also excellent (typically within $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ or less) for the other lowfrequency fundamentals in the $S_{1}$ state.

## CONCLUSIONS

The 2 CHO molecule, like many conjugated enones, has nearly zero fluorescence quantum yield following photoexcitation to its $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ state. Therefore this excited state is most amenable to spectroscopic probes based on absorption rather than emission. The high sensitivity of the CRD absorption technique has allowed us to detect the relatively weak absorption spectra corresponding to transitions to the vibronic levels of the $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ state in 2 CHO . The data allow the first several quantum states of $v_{39}$, the ring-inversion vibration, in this electronic excited state as well as of several other low-frequency modes to be determined. The $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ barrier to inversion is found by extrapolation of the potential energy curve to be $3550 \pm 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as compared to $1900 \pm 300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground state. DFT calculations also predict the barrier in $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ to be larger, but to a lesser degree. The increase in barrier height likely arises from the decreased conjugation allowing the six-membered ring to distort even further from a planar configuration.

Figure 33. Comparison of the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ ring-inversion potential energy functions.

## CHAPTER VIII

## THE RING-PUCKERING POTENTIAL ENERGY FUNCTION OF 1,4DIHYDRONAPHTHALENE IN ITS GROUND AND $S_{1}(\pi, \pi *)$ ELECTRONIC STATES

## INTRODUCTION

In 1971 Laane and Lord ${ }^{3}$ showed that 1,4-cyclohexadiene (14CHD) could be thought of as a pseudo four-membered ring molecule with respect to its ring-puckering vibration. The far-infrared spectrum of this molecule showed it to be planar although a previous electron diffraction study had postulated a puckered molecule. ${ }^{25}$ In 1988 Strube and Laane ${ }^{26}$ calculated the kinetic energy expression for this large-amplitude vibration and determined a quantitative vibrational potential energy function for the ringpuckering mode, again confirming that the molecule was planar. In 2001 Autrey et al. ${ }^{66}$ reported the Raman and far-infrared spectra and ring-twisting potential energy function for 1,3-cyclohexadiene (13CHD) and showed this molecule to be twisted with a barrier to planarity of $1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. More recently the low-frequency vibrations of number of molecules ${ }^{12-14,72}$ including 1,2-dihydronaphthalene ( 12 DHN$)^{67}$ in both their $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground and $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ excited states were studied using laser induced fluorescence (LIF) and ultraviolet absorption spectra. One and two-dimensional potential energy functions were determined for many of these molecules. 12DHN has high barriers to planarity and twisted structures in both its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ states.
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The study presented in this chapter reports the ring puckering potential energy function of 14 DHN in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states determined by jet-cooled LIF,
single vibronic level florescence (SVLF), and room temperature ultraviolet absorption spectra. The structure of this molecule is closely related to those of 12DHN and 14CHD. The LIF spectra of 14DHN have been previously studied by Chakraborty et al. but the reported results did not provide sufficient data or wavenumber accuracy needed to calculate reliable puckering potential energy functions. ${ }^{33}$ In fact, as will be seen later in this chapter, their conclusion that there is a barrier to planarity in both the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ states is incorrect.

## EXPERIMENTAL

14 DHN was purchased from TCI America and purified by vacuum transfer, but the sample used for the spectral studies still contained a few percent of 12 DHN . However, since the 12 DHN was previously thoroughly studied ${ }^{67}$ and since its electronic excitation is about $2700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower than that for 14 DHN , it was easy to recognize the weak bands present from the impurity. The ultraviolet absorption spectra of the 14 DHN sample ( 0.2 to 1 torr at 25 to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were recorded in a 15 cm glass cell with quartz windows using a BOMEM DA8.02 Fourier transform spectrometer. The LIF spectra were recorded using the apparatus previously described ${ }^{73-79}$ based on a Continuum Sunlite OPO laser system.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure 34 shows the jet-cooled fluorescence excitation spectra (FES) along with the UV absorption spectra for 14 DHN in the 0 to $250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region above the electronic absorption $0_{0}^{0}$ at $36,788.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The better resolved FES bands can be seen to be of type $B$ with the missing $Q$ branch corresponding to $\mathrm{B}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ electronic transitions. The figure labels several of the spectral bands important for determining the ring-puckering quantum states for both $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$. Figure 35 shows the UV spectra below the $0_{0}^{0}$ band and compares it to the SVLF spectra from the $0_{0}^{0}$ and $54_{0}^{2}\left(0_{0}^{0}+157.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ excitations.

Figure 34. Fluorescence excitation (bottom) and ultraviolet absorption spectra (top) of 14 DHN . The wavenumbers are relative to the $0_{0}^{0}$ band at $36,788.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Figure 35. SVLF spectra of 14 DHN from $0_{0}^{0}$ and $54_{0}^{2}$ excitation bands compared to the UV spectra in the same region.
The $54_{0}^{2}$ SVLF spectrum has been offset by $157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to allow easier comparison with other spectra.

The observed frequencies and assignments are tabulated in Table 18 and compared to the results of Chakraborty et al. ${ }^{33}$. From these data the first several puckering levels for the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ states were determined from several transitions and these were used for the potential energy calculations described below. As Table 18 shows, the correspondence between the observed UV, SVLF and FES values (whose resolutions are $0.25,2$, and $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively) and the inferred puckering levels is excellent. The jet-cooled LIF spectra show only transitions from the lowest vibrational state, whereas the ambient temperature UV spectra also show transitions from higher puckering levels. The out-of-plane ring-puckering vibration has $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ symmetry for the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ molecule assumed to lie in the xy plane. Hence the ring-puckering transitions with $\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ $0,2, \ldots$ transitions for the puckering quantum number $v_{p}$ are expected to be considerably more intense than those with odd quantum number changes. This is evident in Figure 34 and Table 18 where a strong series of $\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}=0$ bands corresponding to the $1 \rightarrow 1,2 \rightarrow 2$, $3 \rightarrow 3, \ldots$ transitions are evident. Nonetheless, weak $\Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}= \pm 1$ transitions can also be seen. As listed in Table 18 Chakraborty and co-workers ${ }^{33}$ also observed the stronger transitions in their work but with less wavenumber accuracy. Their $4 \rightarrow 0$ assignment at $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the SVLF spectra to $54_{4}^{0}$ is mistaken as this fairly strong band corresponds to $28_{1}^{0}$ for the ring twisting. A shoulder on the high frequency side is actually $54_{4}^{0}$ and its band center in the UV is at $160.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It should also be noted that in the earlier work ${ }^{33}$ the authors labeled their quantum states as $0^{+}, 0^{-}, 1^{+}, 1^{-}, 2^{+} \ldots$ based on the erroneous assumption that the molecule had a barrier to inversion. The energy levels presented here are labeled as $0,1,2,3,4 \ldots \ldots$ The spectra in Figures 34 and 35 also allow the assignment of the low-frequency ring-twisting ( $v_{28}$ ) and ring-flapping ( $v_{53}$ ) vibrations. For $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state these are at 148 and $231 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, while for $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ these drop to 92 and $115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These assignments are supported by the ab initio calculations which place these at 146 and $235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and 69 and $97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$. As is usually the case, the excited state calculated values are somewhat less accurate but they do show that the frequencies have dropped substantially.

Table 18: Fluorescence excitation (FES) and ultraviolet (UV) absorption bands (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 14DHN involving the ring-puckering ( $v_{54}$ ) vibration ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Transition ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | FES | SVLF |  | UV | Inferred ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{CDL}^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $54_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Ring-puckering | $54_{4}^{0}$ | ---- | ---- | -157 m | -160.1 vw | -160.1 | $-150^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | $54{ }_{3}^{0}$ | ---- | ---- | -112 s | -114.4 ms | -114.4 | ---- |
|  | $54_{2}^{0}$ | ---- | -71 m | -71 vvs | -72.2 s | -72.2 | -72 |
|  | $54_{1}^{0}$ | ---- | -35 vvw | -35 vvw | -33.8 w | -33.8 | ---- |
|  | $0_{0}^{0}$ | 0 vvs | 0 vvs | 0 vvs | $0^{\text {f }} \mathrm{vVs}$ | 0 | ---- |
|  | $54_{1}^{1}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 43.7 vs | 43.6 | 44 |
|  | $54_{2}^{2}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 85.0 s | 85.0 | 85 |
|  | $54_{3}^{3}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 124.1 ms | 124.0 | ---- |
|  | $54_{4}^{4}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 160.2 m | 160.7 | ---- |
|  | $54{ }_{0}^{1}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 77.2 m | 77.4 | ---- |
|  | $54_{0}^{2}$ | 157 s | ---- | ---- | 157.2 ms | 157.2 | 156 |
|  | $54_{0}^{3}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 238.4 | ---- |
|  | $54_{0}^{4}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | 320.8 w | 320.8 | ---- |
| Other vibrations | $28_{0}^{2}$ | 184 ms | ---- | ---- | ---- | --- | ---- |
|  | $53_{0}^{2}$ | 229 m | ---- | ---- | 228.6 vw | ---- | ---- |
|  | $28{ }_{1}^{0}$ | ---- | -148 m | -148 vs | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | $53_{1}^{0}$ | ---- | -231 w | -231 s | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|  | $28_{1}^{0} 54_{1}^{0}$ | ---- | ---- | -187 ms | ---- | ---- | ---- |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{v}$ - very, s - strong, m - medium, w - weak
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The transitions are labeled with respect to UV, FES and $0_{0}^{0}$ SVLF spectra
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Inferred from assignments in Table 2.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ FES or SVLF values for reference 33.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ This band at $-148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to $28_{1}^{0}$, the ring-twisting
${ }^{f} 0_{0}^{0}$ level at $36,788.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

## COMPUTATIONS

Figure 36 shows the definition of the ring-puckering coordinate x , previously used to define the puckering motion in the related 14 CHD molecule, ${ }^{3}$ and the corresponding ring-puckering angle $\tau$. For the present work, the puckering angle $\tau$ is chosen as the better coordinate for defining this large amplitude motion.

In order to calculate the ring-puckering potential energy function, first the kinetic energy dependence on the coordinate needed to be calculated. The planar structures of 14DHN for the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ states were calculated using MP2/CC-PVTZ and CIS/6$311++G(d, p)$ levels of theory, respectively. These calculated structures are illustrated in Figure 37. Comparison of the two structures shows that the C-C bond lengths of the benzene ring of the $S_{1}$ state increases due to the excitation of an electron from the $\pi$ to $\pi^{*}$ molecular orbital. The program previously described for tetralin ${ }^{80}$ was used for the kinetic energy calculation. This was accomplished by placing the two hydrogen atoms of the tetralin $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups at the carbons atoms across from the benzene ring into the plane of the full molecule and assuming each to have one-half the mass of a hydrogen atom so that the $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ atom motions could be reproduced. The kinetic energy expansions calculated for the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ states are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{0}: \mathrm{g}_{44}(\tau)=0.0410615-0.0030281 \tau^{2}-0.00726122 \tau^{4}+0.00535904 \tau^{6}  \tag{8.1}\\
& \mathrm{~S}_{1}: \mathrm{g}_{44}(\tau)=0.0406933-0.0033311 \tau^{2}-0.00674431 \tau^{4}+0.00505166 \tau^{6} \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{44}$ is the reciprocal reduced mass for the puckering in terms of the puckering angle $\tau$. Using these functions, the potential energy functions for the two states were calculated to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{0}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=2.58 \times 10^{2} \tau^{2}+1.31 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4}  \tag{8.3}\\
& \mathrm{~S}_{1}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=2.10 \times 10^{3} \tau^{2}+1.96 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4} \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 36. Definition of the ring-puckering coordinate $x$ and the ring-puckering angle $\tau$. The benzene ring is on the left.

Figure 37. Calculated structures of 14 DHN in its ground $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states.

The calculations were carried out as previously described. ${ }^{73-79}$ Table 19 compares the observed and calculated energy separations for both of these electronic states and Figure 38 shows the potential energy functions along with the quantum levels for both states. As is obvious, the energy minima correspond to puckering angles of $\tau=0^{\circ}$ and the molecule has a planar equilibrium structure. However, the puckering motion is very floppy so that the molecular amplitudes reach $\pm 30^{\circ}$ of puckering even at relatively low energies. As is evident from Eqs. (8.3) and (8.4) and from the energy separations between the quantum states in Figure 38, the 14 DHN potential function for the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state is dominated by the quartic term whereas for $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ it becomes more nearly harmonic. The quartic contribution typically arises from angle strain contributions. The potential energy functions in Figure 38 clearly show that the molecule becomes more rigid and stiffer in its electronic excited state. This is shown directly in Figure 39 where the two potential energy curves are compared to each other and also to 1,4 -cyclohexadiene (14CHD). This figure demonstrates that the bicyclic 14DHN is much floppier than the 14 CHD . The latter molecule possesses two full carbon-carbon double bonds while the 14DHN has, across from its double bond, a bond with the benzene ring of bond order 1.5. Thus, this change in angle strain likely is the cause of reducing the rigidity of the non-aromatic ring. In the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ state, however, the 14DHN becomes more rigid, and is in fact stiffer than the 14 CHD molecule in its ground state. This is similar to what was observed for the bicyclic molecule coumaran ${ }^{78}$ which has a five-membered ring containing an oxygen atom next to the benzene ring. For coumaran the molecule has a barrier to planarity of $154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the ground state, but in the $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ state the five-membered ring becomes more rigid and the barrier drops to $34 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Table 19: Observed and calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ring-puckering transitions (in $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ) of 14DHN in its $S_{0}$ ground and $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ excited states

|  | Transition | Observed | Calculated | $\Delta$ | $\mathrm{CDL}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 33.8 | 33.6 | 0.2 | 31.2 |
|  | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | 38.4 | 38.7 | -0.3 | 40.5 |
|  | $2 \rightarrow 3$ | 42.2 | 42.4 | -0.2 | 39.5 |
|  | $3 \rightarrow 4$ | 45.7 | 45.4 | 0.3 | 40.4 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 77.4 | 77.7 | -0.3 | 72 |
|  | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | 79.8 | 79.4 | 0.4 | 78 |
|  | $2 \rightarrow 3$ | 81.2 | 81.1 | 0.1 | 82 |
|  | $3 \rightarrow 4$ | 82.4 | 82.6 | -0.2 | 91 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)=2.58 \times 10^{2} \tau^{2}+1.31 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4}, \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=2.10 \times 10^{3} \tau^{2}+1.96 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ref. 33, calculated energy separations

Figure 38. Ring-puckering potential functions for 14 DHN in its ground (left) and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ excited (right) states.


Figure 39. Comparison of the $14 \mathrm{DHN}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ potential energy functions with the 1,4-cyclohexadiene (14CHD) function.

## CONCLUSIONS

The FES, SVLF, and especially the ultraviolet absorption spectra have been used to determine the ring-puckering potential functions for 14 DHN in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ states. The molecule is planar in both states but becomes considerably more rigid in the electronic excited state. Chakraborty et al. ${ }^{33}$ previously analyzed their FES and SVLF spectra and proposed a barrier of $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mole $\left(\sim 175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ for the ground state and also a small barrier for the excited state. However, as shown in Table 18, their lack of frequency accuracy which was obtain from the UV absorption spectra in this work, led them to believe that they had an irregular series of energy spacings whereas they are in fact quite regular. Discussion on this molecule will continue in the next chapter which presents the spectral and theoretical investigation of 14 DHN in detail in order to understand the changes of frequencies of vibrational modes between the ground and excited states and also to analyze the coupling of ring-puckering with the other vibrational modes.

## CHAPTER IX

## VIBRATIONAL ASSIGNMENTS OF 1,4-DIHYDRONAPHTHALENE IN ITS GROUND AND $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ STATES

## INTRODUCTION

As discussed in Chapter VIII the spectroscopic investigation of 1,4dihydronaphthalene (14DHN) utilizing ultraviolet (UV) and laser induced fluorescence (LIF) made it possible to determine the ring-puckering potential energy function of this molecule in its ground and excited $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states. The structure of 14 DHN was found to be planar in states, and the study revealed that the partially saturated ring becomes more rigid in the excited state. However, Chapter VIII presented only that portion of the UV and LIF spectra which was necessary to determine the levels of the low-frequency vibrational modes $\left(\nu_{54}, v_{28}\right.$, and $\left.v_{53}\right)$. 14DHN has 54 vibrational normal modes and analyzing these as possible is important for understanding the full structural features of the molecule. This chapter will therefore present a more complete discussion of the UV and LIF spectra together with infrared and Raman spectra which are necessary for a complete vibrational assignment of 14 DHN for both the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground and the singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ singlet excited electronic states. In addition to this, high level ab initio and density functional theory calculations were also carried out to facilitate these assignments.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental procedures for the acquisition of LIF and UV spectra have been described in the previous chapter. The Raman spectra of 14DHN were acquired on an SA Jobin-Yvon U-1000 spectrometer equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooled CCD (charge couple device) detector. Raman scattering was achieved using the Coherent Radiation Innova 20 argon ion laser with an excitation at 514.5 nm . The liquid-phase spectra were recorded at room temperature with a lasing power of 0.6 W . Vapor-phase Raman spectra were collected in the previously described vapor Raman cell at a
temperature of $300^{0} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{35}$ A laser power of 5 W was used for this purpose. The liquid mid-infrared spectra were collected on the Bruker Vertex 70 FT-IR spectrometer and a thin capillary film of the pure sample between two KBr discs was used. A total of 256 scans with a resolution of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were recorded.

## COMPUTATIONS

All of the computations were done using the Gaussian 03 quantum mechanical package. ${ }^{45}$ The vibrational frequencies, infrared and Raman intensities, and depolarization ratios of 14 DHN in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state were calculated using the B3LYP/6$311++G(d, p)$ level of theory. Calculated frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.964 for values over $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a factor of 0.985 for those below $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, as from previous work. ${ }^{50-53}$ The $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ state calculations were done at the CIS/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory again for the planar $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure. The scaling factor was 0.895 which had also been used for 12DHN. ${ }^{67}$ The CIS (or configuration interaction-singles) is an inexpensive $a b$ initio method which can be applied for excited electronic state calculations. It is comparable to the Hartree-Fock method used for the ground state and therefore does not take the electron correlation effects fully into account. More rigorous computational methods such as time-dependent density functional theory and CIS(D) (or CIS with second-order correction) were also carried out to determine the excited state structure and vibrational frequencies. However, all of these methods failed to calculate the minimum energy structure. Nevertheless, CIS calculations have provided fairly reasonable results and this will be noted later.

Although this work reports the frequencies calculated only for the planar structure $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right)$ of 14 DHN , computations were also done for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (bent) and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (structure with no symmetry) point groups as well. The calculated values for the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structures were very much similar to those of the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure and therefore are not presented here. The approximate descriptions of the vibrational normal modes were determined by the GaussView 3.0 molecular visualization program. ${ }^{63}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Infrared and Raman spectra

The potential energy functions of 14 DHN showed that this molecule has a planar structure with $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry in both the ground and $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states. Therefore the distribution of the 54 vibrational modes of 14 DHN can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
18 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+10 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+17 \mathrm{~B}_{1}+9 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This classification is very useful in assigning the spectral data as the vibrational and electronic transitions are governed by selection rules which are based on the symmetry of the molecule. For example vibrational modes with $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ symmetry are infrared forbidden and should not actually be seen in an infrared spectrum. The importance of this classification will be emphasized further later in this chapter. Figures 40 and 41 compare the infrared and Raman spectra of 14DHN to the computed scaled spectra using the DFT-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of calculation. Table 20 lists the values of the experimental and calculated vibrational frequencies and their relative intensities sorted by their symmetry. Although most of the predicted bands by theoretical calculations can be observed in the experimental spectra, there are still a number of bands whose frequencies and intensities do not agree with the computed ones. However, close analysis revealed that these unassigned peaks belong to those of 1,2-dihydronaphthalene $(12 \mathrm{DHN})$, an isomer of 14 DHN , whose vibrational spectra have previously been assigned. ${ }^{67}$ The most intense peaks of 12 DHN reported previously are at 782 and 1224 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the infrared and Raman spectra respectively, can be clearly observed in the spectra illustrated here also. The matching of frequencies and intensities of other impurity peaks very well with the reported bands of 12 DHN confirms that the main impurity present with 14 DHN is 12 DHN . This information is useful as no information about the impurities present in the commercial 14 DHN were provided by the manufacturer or by anyone who used the same source of sample before. ${ }^{33}$ Figure 40

Figure 40. Liquid-phase infrared spectra of 14 DHN compared to its calculated DFT spectrum.

Figure 41. Liquid and vapor-phase Raman spectra of 14 DHN compared to its calculated DFT spectrum. The
liquid-phase Raman spectrum of 12 DHN is also shown for comparison as vertical bars.
Table 20: Vibrational assignment (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 1,4-dihydronaphthalene ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sym. } \\ \hline \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}} \end{gathered}$ | $v$ | Approx. Description | IR | Raman |  | FES ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Calculated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Liquid | Liquid ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Vapor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 1 | Benzene C-H stretch | 3077 sh | 3080 (89, 0.26) | 3067 (372) | --- | 3071 (241, 656) | 3015 |
|  | 2 | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch | ----- | 3045 (329, 0.10) | 3042 (898) | ----- | 3044 (498, 635) | 2994 |
|  | 3 | Benzene C-H stretch | ----- | (3045) | (3042) | ----- | $3037(9,358)$ | 2973 |
|  | 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ stretch | ----- | (2882) | 2893 (695) | ----- | $2880(18,1000)$ | 2785 |
|  | 5 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch | 1667 ms | 1668 (176, 0.05) | 1663 (85) | ----- | $1705(87,879)$ | 1674 |
|  | 6 | Benzene C-C stretch | 1581 ms | 1572 (18, 0.25) | 1571 (30) | ----- | $1595(62,295)$ | 1625 |
|  | 7 | Benzene C-H ip wag | 1497 vs | 1497 sh | ----- | ----- | $1504(223,41)$ | 1518 |
|  | 8 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform | ----- | 1425 (190, 0.33) | 1433 (123) | --- | $1447(0.05,1000)$ | 1417 |
|  | 9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1342 w | $1342(10,0.54)$ | ----- | 1400 ms | 1356 ( 32,104 ) | 1396 |
|  | 10 | Benzene C-C stretch | 1297 m | $1298(69,0.16)$ | 1288 (54) | 1337 ms | 1313 (12, 277) | 1336 |
|  | 11 | Benzene C-C stretch | ----- | ----- | ---- | 1167 vs | $1188(4,368)$ | 1153 |
|  | 12 | = C-H wag | 1173 sh | 1172 (137, 0.20) | 1172 (159) | 1149 m | $1181(1,396)$ | 1142 |
|  | 13 | Benzene C-H ip wag | 1156 w | $1157(34,0.56)$ | 1156 (38) | 1130 m | 1166 (0.1, 107) | 1129 |
|  | 14 | Benzene C-C stretch | 1041 s | 1042 (1000, 0.06) | 1041 (1000) | 951 vs | 1047 (47, 808) | 960 |
|  | 15 | Sat. ring C-C stretch | -- | ----- | ----- | 873 w | $919(1,7)$ | 878 |
|  | 16 | Sat. ring C-C stretch | (746) | $734(451,0.08)$ | 730 (377) | 689 vs | $728(4,601)$ | 669 |
|  | 17 | Ring angle bend | ----- | 596 (51, 0.15) | 586 (107) | 459 ms | $599(3,107)$ | 517 |
|  | 18 | Ring angle bend | ----- | ----- | 446 (149) | 449 s | $460(3,225)$ | 434 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ stretch | -- | (2882) | (2893) | ----- | 2890 (0, 471) | 2789 |
|  | 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twist | ----- | --- | ----- | ----- | 1213 (0, 11) | 1163 |
|  | 21 | =C-H out-of-plane wag | 976 sh | $976(9,0.73)$ | ----- | ----- | $995(0,63)$ | 996 |
|  | 22 | Benzene C-H oop wag | ----- | ----- | ---- | --- | $974(0,0.4)$ | 904 |
|  | 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock | ----- | $958(1,0.49)$ | ----- | ----- | $961(0,6)$ | 813 |

Table 20: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sym. } \\ \hline \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}} \end{gathered}$ | $v$ | Approx. Description | IR | Raman |  | FES ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Calculated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Liquid | Liquid ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Vapor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 24 | Benzene C-H oop wag | 855 w | $856(6,0.64)$ | ----- | ----- | $859(0,2)$ | 630 |
|  | 25 | Benzene ring twist | ----- | $703(1,0.00)$ | ----- | ----- | $708(0,0.03)$ | 447 |
|  | 26 | Benzene ring twist | ----- | 513 (7, 0.27) | 510 (74) | ----- | $499(0,0.1)$ | 360 |
|  | 27 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ twist | ----- | 384 (16, 0.67) | 373 (10) | 310 mw | 373 (0, 22) | 288 |
|  | 28 | Ring twist at the bridge | ----- | ----- | ----- | (92) | $146(0,3)$ | 70 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 29 | Benzene C-H stretch | 3064 ms | 3065 (114, 0.30) | 3073 sh | ----- | $3056(234,185)$ | 3004 |
|  | 30 | Benzene C-H stretch | 3031 vs | 3034 (305, 0.19) | (3042) | ---- | $3034(169,12)$ | 2988 |
|  | 31 | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch | (3031) | (3034) | (3042) | ----- | $3022(97,226)$ | 2950 |
|  | 32 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ stretch | 2884 ms | 2882 (181, 0.17) | 2874 sh | ----- | 2881 (732, 23) | 2779 |
|  | 33 | Benzene C-C stretch | 1603 m | 1605 (100, 0.62) | ----- | ----- | $1621(6,512)$ | 1415 |
|  | 34 | Benzene C-C stretch | 1456 s | 1462 (4, 0.25) | 1460 (66) | ----- | 1463 (102, 8) | 1406 |
|  | 35 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ deform | 1426 s | ----- | ----- | ----- | 1449 (58, 21) | 1375 |
|  | 36 | =C-H in-plane wag | 1389 mw | 1381 (25, 0.15) | 1375 (267) | ----- | 1398 (0.01, 69) | 1369 |
|  | 37 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1355 w | ----- | -- | ----- | 1356 (2, 97) | 1320 |
|  | 38 | Benzene C-H ip wag | 1254 m | 1255 (15, 0.67) | ----- | ----- | $1258(10,156)$ | 1145 |
|  | 39 | Sat. ring C-C stretch | 1184 ms | ----- | --- | -- | $1184(20,4)$ | 1112 |
|  | 40 | Benzene C-H ip wag | 1111 ms | 1112 (7, 0.70) | ----- | ----- | 1118 (27, 29) | 1036 |
|  | 41 | Sat. ring C-C stretch | 998 s | ----- | ----- | 967 ms | $990(82,8)$ | 947 |
|  | 42 | Ring angle bend | 885 m | ----- | ----- | 867 w | $891(2,1)$ | 851 |
|  | 43 | Ring angle bend | 769 m | 762 (11, 0.08) | 765 (74) | ----- | $769(25,14)$ | 707 |
|  | 44 | Ring angle bend | 496 m | 498 (23, 0.68) | 493 (28) | 439 m | $495(0.4,124)$ | 424 |
|  | 45 | Ring angle bend | ----- | $351(6,0.65)$ | 354 (11) | 328 m | $346(3,13)$ | 312 |

Table 20: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sym. } . \\ \hline \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}} \end{gathered}$ | $v$ | Approx. Description | IR | Raman |  | FES ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Calculated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Liquid | Liquid ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Vapor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 46 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ stretch | (2884) | (2882) | (2874) | ----- | 2889 (337, 17) | 2788 |
|  | 47 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wag | 1193 mw | 1192 (98, 0.1) | 1192 (100) | ----- | $1209(24,261)$ | 1163 |
|  | 48 | Benzene C-H oop wag | 959 w | ----- | ----- | ----- | $967(5,0.5)$ | 910 |
|  | 49 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ rock | 920 ms | ----- | 925 (19) | ----- | 927 (53, 0.02) | 711 |
|  | 50 | Benzene C-H oop wag | 746 vs | $746(17,0.15)$ | ----- | ----- | $747(1000,42)$ | 653 |
|  | 51 | =C-H out-of-plane wag | 660 vs | $661(9,0.61)$ | 661 (8) | ----- | $657(178,97)$ | 593 |
|  | 52 | Benzene ring oop vibration | 427 ms | $430(1,0.68)$ | ----- | 298 ms | $435(89,7)$ | 261 |
|  | 53 | Sat. ring flapping | ----- | $248(59,0.64)$ | 231 (46) | (115) | $235(85,59)$ | 90 |
|  | 54 | Sat. ring puckering | ----- | ----- | ----- | 79 vw | $36(8,10)$ | 72 |

${ }^{a}$ s-strong, m-medium, w-weak, v-very, sh-shoulder, ip-in-phase, oop-out-of-phase.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The values in the parentheses are approximate expected values.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The relative Raman intensities and the depolarization ratios are shown in the parentheses.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The relative Raman intensities are shown in the parentheses.
${ }^{e}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. The relative infrared and Raman intensities are shown in the parentheses. The original calculated values were scaled by a factor of 0.985 for frequencies below $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and by a factor of $0.964 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ for those above $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Calculated using CIS/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. The scaling factor used was 0.895 .
highlights the most intense impurity 12DHN bands present in the liquid infrared spectra of 14DHN and Figure 41 shows a line spectrum derived from the reported original liquid Raman spectrum of 12 DHN . These illustrations make the comparison of calculated and experimental spectra of 14 DHN easier. The agreement between the calculated and experimental data for 14 DHN is very good and it demonstrates that computations are very useful in assigning the observed frequencies to the correct vibrational mode. The experimental depolarization ratios ( $\rho$ values) of the liquid Raman spectra are also listed in Table 20 together with their relative intensities. These values were calculated by taking the ratio of intensities of each band measured at perpendicular and parallel polarization. Most of the vibrational modes which are totally symmetric with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ symmetry have very low $\rho$ values (i.e. polarized) while many of the other modes which are non-totally symmetric (with $A_{2}, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ symmetries) have higher $\rho$ values, some closer to 0.75 (i.e. depolarized). However for a more accurate determination of $\rho$ values, a calibration of detector response (i.e. determination of absolute intensities) at all the wavelengths is required and the $\rho$ values obtained after such a calibration would be more reliable. Nevertheless, the depolarization ratios listed in Table 20 are still indications of the correct vibrational assignments. The calculated frequencies of the $S_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states using the CIS/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory are also listed in Table 20 along with some of the experimental values of the FES spectra. The comparison of calculated and observed frequencies of the excited state will be presented later in this chapter.

## FES spectra

This section presents a more complete assignment of FES spectra than what was done in the previous chapter. Figure 42 shows the FES spectrum of 14DHN with respect to the $0_{0}^{0}$ origin which also includes some major assignments. Table 21 lists the wavenumbers of the bands and the corresponding values observed in the UV spectra together with the calculated frequencies using the CIS/6-311++G(d,p) level

Figure 42. FES spectrum of 14 DHN with some assignments.

Table 21: FES spectrum of 14DHN compared to some selected UV bands

| FES | UV | Assignment (Sym.) | Calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Inferred ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 vw | 77.2 m | $54_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | 72 |  |
| 157 s | 157.2 ms | $54_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 184 ms | 182.2 w | $28{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 229 m | 228.8 w | $53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 298 ms | 299.2 w | $52_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ ? | 261 |  |
| 310 mw | -------- | $27_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ ? | 288 |  |
| 328 m | 327.1 vw | $45_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ | 312 |  |
| 367 w | 366.7 w | $28_{0}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 439 m | 438.5 w | $44_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ | 424 |  |
| 449 s | 448.4 m | $18_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 434 |  |
| 459 ms | 461.0 mw | $17_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 517 |  |
| 459 ms | 461.0 mw | $53_{0}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $2 \times 229$ |
| 606 w | 606.9 vw | $18{ }_{0}^{1} 54_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $449+157$ |
| 630 vw | 630.7 w | $18{ }_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $449+184$ |
| 640 w | 639.5 vw | $17_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $459+184$ |
| 682 ms | 681.8 ms | $188_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $449+229$ |
| 689 vs | 687.6 m | $16_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 669 |  |
| 699 m | 698.5 vw | ? |  |  |
| 735 m | 732.4 ms | $50_{0}^{1} 54_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ ? |  | $(653)+79$ |
| 846 m | 846.0 w | $16_{0}^{1} 54_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $689+157$ |
| 867 w | 866.7 mw | $42_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ | 851 |  |
| 873 w | 874.4 vw | $15_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 878 |  |
| 873 w | 874.4 vw | $16_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $689+184$ |
| 897 mw | 895.5 w | $18_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $2 \times 449$ |
| 918 s | 917.4 ms | $17_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $2 \times 459$ |
| 918 s | 917.4 ms | $16_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $689+229$ |
| 935 m | 935.5 mw | ? |  |  |
| 951 vs | 951.2 vs | $14_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 960 |  |
| 967 ms | 967.1 mw | $41_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ | 947 |  |

Table 21: (Continued)

| FES | UV | Assignment (Sym.) | Calculated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Inferred ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 975 s | 975.1 mw | ? |  |  |
| 1051 ms | 1050.9 mw | $15_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $873+184$ |
| 1075 m | 1074.3 mw | $17{ }_{0}^{2} 54_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $918+157$ |
| 1100 w | 1100.5 vw | $15_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $873+229$ |
| 1108 m | 1108.4 ms | $14_{0}^{1} 54{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $951+157$ |
| 1130 m | 1131.9 mw | $13_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 1129 |  |
| 1135 m | 1134.0 w | $14_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $951+184$ |
| 1149 m | 1147.3 m | $12{ }_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 1142 |  |
| 1167 vs | 1166.2 ms | $11_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 1153 |  |
| 1180 w | 1179.8 w | $14_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $951+229$ |
| 1323 m | 1322.2 w | $11_{0}^{1} 54{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $1167+157$ |
| 1337 ms | 1335.7 mw | $10_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 1336 |  |
| 1341 ms | 1340.7 w | $18_{0}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $3 \times 449$ |
| 1350 m | 1350.0 vw | $11_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $1167+184$ |
| 1355 m | 1355.0 vw | $13{ }_{0}^{1} 53{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $1130+229$ |
| 1373 m | -------- | $17_{0}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $3 \times 459$ |
| 1376 mw | ------- | $16_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $2 \times 689$ |
| 1395 vw | -------- | $11_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  | $1167+229$ |
| 1400 ms | -------- | $9_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | 1396 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated using CIS/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Inferred from the already assigned fundamental values or from the calculated values.
of theory. Most of the observed bands listed in Table 21 are of the energy levels with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ symmetry in the $S_{1}$ state. This is very clear since FES transitions originate from the ground vibrational level of the $S_{0}$ state which has an $A_{1}$ symmetry and therefore expect to end up at vibronic levels also with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ symmetry. This enabled us to assign many of the $A_{1}$ fundamental levels in the $S_{1}$ state (e.g. $v_{18}, v_{17}, v_{16}$ etc.) whose observed values agree very well with those of the calculated ones. The validity of such assignments are emphasized further by the observation of bands corresponding to the combinations of these fundamental $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ modes with the overtones of the lowest three vibrational modes, e.g. $188_{0}^{1} 54_{0}^{2}, 15_{0}^{1} 53_{0}^{2}, 14_{0}^{1} 28_{0}^{2}$ etc. which are also totally symmetric. There are only a few assignments which have symmetries other than $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Most of these are relatively weak compared to the totally symmetric vibrations. Table 21 also reports some of the UV bands which match with the corresponding FES bands. All of these must be "cold bands" originating from the ground vibration level of the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state, which were assigned with the aid of FES spectra. However, UV transitions can originate from higher vibrational levels as well and therefore can provide information on both the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ states. Therefore other transitions observed in the UV spectra will be presented later.

## SVLF spectra

SVLF or dispersed fluorescence spectra can also be used to determine the vibrational levels of the $S_{0}$ state. The advantage of utilizing SVLF is that it can also elucidate the higher vibrational quantum states ( $\mathrm{v}=2,3,4, \ldots .$. ). Overtone bands can sometimes also observed by infrared and Raman spectra, but their intensities may be too weak. Therefore SVLF can confirm the fundamentals already observed in the infrared and Raman spectra and also determine many of the overtone and combination levels. Figure 43 shows the labeling scheme used for SVLF transitions. As explained in Chapter II the molecule can be excited to the ground vibrational or to an excited vibronic level of the $S_{1}$ using FES. The fluorescent radiation or dispersed fluorescence originating from this transition will have a series of wavenumbers. The dispersed fluorescence with

Figure 43. Labeling scheme for SVLF spectra acquired by different excitations.
the same wavenumber as that used for the excitation is the band origin. Figure 43 shows that the vibrational levels of the ground state can be determined by comparing wavenumbers of the transitions relative to the band origin.

The SVLF spectrum (from 0 to $-1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) of 14 DHN originating from the $0_{0}^{0}$ excitation is illustrated in Figure 44. Analysis of the spectrum shows that the bands with totally symmetric transitions (i.e. $\mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ ) are much more intense than those with nontotally symmetric transitions. Therefore, most of the vibrational modes with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ symmetry can be clearly seen here, and their frequency values agree very well with those reported in Table 20. Two $A_{1}$ modes ( $v_{15}$ and $v_{11}$ ) which were not detected in the infrared or Raman spectra were observed in SVLF and these match perfectly with the calculated frequencies. However, some non-totally symmetric transitions are also observed, and a notable one is the peak at $-148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This is assigned to $28_{1}^{0}$ which originated from a forbidden $\mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ transition. This cannot be assigned to any other mode or combination. The calculated value of $148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $v_{28}$ also matches well with the observed frequency. The floppy nature of 14 DHN ring in its ground electronic state may deform the ring from its planar structure and perturb the symmetries of some of its vibrational levels. Hence, some forbidden transitions may be partially allowed. However, the $28_{0}^{1}$ transition, which is expected near $92 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FES is not observed so clearly. Since the ring is much stiffer in the $S_{1}$ state, the transitions may be more restricted by the selection rules of the planar $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure. This is even clearer when the FES and $0_{0}^{0}$ SVLF spectra are compared where the latter shows many non-totally symmetric transitions while the former has very few.

Figure 45 shows the SVLF spectrum recorded from the $54_{0}^{2}\left(0_{0}^{0}+157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ excitation. Some assignments of this spectrum were already presented in the previous chapter but more detail is presented here. This spectrum has enabled us to determine many levels of the ring puckering mode $\left(v_{54}\right)$ of the $S_{0}$ state. This was very helpful for determining the potential energy function of 14 DHN in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state.

Figure 44. SVLF spectra of 14 DHN from $0_{0}^{0}$ excitation with some assignments.

Figure 45. SVLF spectra of 14 DHN from $54_{0}^{2}$ excitation with some assignments.

Figure 46 and 47 depict two other SVLF spectra originating from the $28_{0}^{2}\left(0_{0}^{0}+\right.$ $\left.184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $53_{0}^{2}\left(0_{0}^{0}+229 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ excitations, respectively. These two provide somewhat less information than the previous SVLF spectra. However, the very intense band at $-298 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Figure 46 is clearly the $28_{2}^{2}$ transition which confirms the assignment of $184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $28_{0}^{2}$ in the FES spectra. The absence of $28_{1}^{2}$ peak at $-148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ peak is a little surprising which was observed in the SVLF of the previous two excitations. The $53_{0}^{2}$ excitation also gives a weak band at $-465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is assigned to $53_{2}^{2}$. The very strong peak at $-268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly belongs to the $53_{1}^{2} 54_{1}^{0}$ transition, a combination level of $v_{53}+v_{54}$. Table 22 lists the frequency values and their assignments of the SVLF spectra acquired by the four excitations discussed above. Nine of the eighteen $A_{1}$ vibrational modes ( $v_{18}$ to $v_{10}$ ) have been determined with certainty for the $S_{0}$ state and their frequencies match remarkably well with those reported in Table 20. In addition, some combinations of these modes with $v_{54}$ also have been observed confirming the accuracy of the assignments. Although the majority of the transitions are totally symmetric, there are a few observed bands with other symmetries. Some of these have considerable intensities (e.g. $v_{44}$ and $v_{51}$ ). This again verifies our explanation that the molecule is flexible in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state with very loose $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry, and hence some forbidden transitions for a planar structure can be allowed to a certain extent.

Figure 46. SVLF spectra of 14DHN from $28_{0}^{2}$ excitation with some assignments.

Figure 47. SVLF spectra of 14 DHN from $53_{0}^{2}$ excitation with some assignments.
Table 22: SVLF bands (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) observed from some excitations of $14 \mathrm{DHN}{ }^{\text {a }}$

| Excitation: | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $54_{0}^{2}$ |  | $28{ }_{0}^{2}$ |  | $53_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FES bands: | 0 vvs | +157 s |  | +184 m |  | +229 s |  | Inferred ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| -35 vvw | $54_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | -35 vvw | $54_{1}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -71 m | $54_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -71 vvs | $54_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -112 s | $54_{3}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -148 w | $28_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ | -148 vs | $28_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -157 m | $54_{4}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -187 m | $28_{1}^{0} 54_{1}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ | -183 vvw | $28{ }_{1}^{2} 54_{1}^{0}$ |  |  | $-(148+35)$ |
|  |  | -215 mw | $28_{1}^{0} 54{ }_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  | $-(148+71)$ |
| -231 vvw | $53_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | -231 ms | $53_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -240 m |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -268 mw | $53_{1}^{0} 54_{1}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  | -268 vs | $53_{1}^{2} 54{ }_{1}^{0}$ | $-(231+35)$ |
| -298 mw | $28_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  | -298 vvs | $28_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -315 m | ? |  |
| -346 w | $53_{1}^{0} 54_{3}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  | -341 ms | $53_{1}^{2} 54{ }_{3}^{0}$ | $-(231+112)$ |
| -375 vvw | $27_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ ? | -375 w | $27_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2} ?$ | -374 ms | $27_{1}^{0} 28_{0}^{2} ?$ |  |  |  |
| -453 m | $18_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -453 mw | $18_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  | -452 vvw | $18_{1}^{0} 53_{0}^{2}$ |  |
|  |  | -466 mw | $53_{2}^{0} 54{ }_{0}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  | -465 w | $53_{2}^{2}$ | $2 \times$-(231) |
| -472 m | ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -496 m | $44_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -596 vs | $17_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -596 mw | $17_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}$ | -596 ms | $17_{1}^{0} 28{ }_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |

Table 22: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Table 22: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Excitation: | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $54_{0}^{2}$ |  |  | $8_{0}^{2}$ | $53_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FES bands: | 0 vvs | +157 s |  | +184 m |  | +229 s |  | Inferred ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| -1043 vs | $14_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $-1043 \mathrm{mw}$ | $14_{1}^{0} 54{ }_{0}^{2}$ | -1044 w | $14_{1}^{0} 28_{0}^{2}$ | -1044 w | $14_{1}^{0} 53_{0}^{2}$ |  |
| -1077 w | $14_{1}^{0} 54_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ |  |  | -1077 m | $14_{1}^{0} 28{ }_{0}^{2} 54{ }_{1}^{0}$ | -1077 m | $14_{1}^{0} 53{ }_{0}^{2} 54_{1}^{0}$ | $-(1043+35)$ |
| -1115 m | $14_{1}^{0} 54_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -1116 vs | $14_{1}^{0} 54_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $-(1043+71)$ |
| -1156 w | $13_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -1157 vs | $13{ }_{1}^{0} 54{ }_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1169 m | $12_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -1169 vvw |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1190 s | $11_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -1193 vs | $11_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1200 mw | $14_{1}^{0} 54_{3}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-(1043+157)$ |
| -1225 w | $11_{1}^{0} 54_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-(1190+35)$ |
| -1265 m | $11_{1}^{0} 54_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | -1265 s | $11_{1}^{0} 54_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $-(1190+71)$ |
| -1300 ms | $10_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1326 s | $51_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \times-(661)$ |
| -1342 w | $9_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1460 vs | $16_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \times-(731)$ |
| -1853 ms | $15_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \times$-(926) |
| -2084 vs | $14_{2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2 \times-(1043)$ |

## UV spectra and the energy level diagrams

The assignment of the UV data is more complicated than the assignment of all the other spectra discussed so far. Unlike FES, UV is recorded at room temperature or at elevated temperatures. Therefore, in addition to the ground vibrational level, higher levels can also be significantly populated from which the UV transitions can originate. Hence, wavenumbers measured with respect to the origin can give energies of the vibrational levels of both the ground and excited electronic states. Use of UV spectra alone may lead to many erroneous assignments because of the uncertainty of the levels from which the UV bands originate. However, with the aid of the FES and SVLF spectra, a very clear picture of the energies of the vibrational levels of the molecule can be elucidated which can give a wealth of information on the molecule.

Figure 48 shows the energy diagram which depicts the vibrational energy levels of 14 DHN in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ states. This was derived by the previous assignments made using the FES, UV, SVLF, infrared and Raman spectra. The exact energies of some levels in the excited state are not determined as the excitations to these are restricted by symmetry. Therefore, approximate values for the expected frequencies are shown in parentheses. Table 23 lists the assignments of some of the bands observed in the UV spectra consistent with this energy level diagram. Although this table doesn't include a complete list of the wavenumbers of the bands observed, many peaks listed in this table are anticipated by the energy level diagram portrayed in Figure 48. This confirms that the energy level diagram presented here is accurate. The frequencies determined by UV spectra are more precise than what were determined by LIF since they have a higher resolution $\left(0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. In addition the wavenumbers are calibrated with respect to the $\mathrm{He}-\mathrm{Ne}$ laser of the Bomem DA8.02 FT-spectrometer. Therefore, the analysis of the UV spectra definitely helps to determine the vibrational and vibronic levels more accurately. However, there are still some UV bands which remained unassigned and further analysis may be helpful.

The infrared and Raman spectra showed the presence of 12DHN as an impurity in the 14 DHN sample. This was again confirmed by the UV spectra which showed
Figure 48. Energy level diagram of 14 DHN which depicts the vibrational levels involving some of the low-frequency modes.

Table 23: Some selected UV bands (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 14DHN

| UV | Assignment | Inferred $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -153.2 vw | $53_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{1}$ | -153.6 | $77.4-231$ |
| -142.9 ms | $28_{2}^{0} 54_{0}^{2}$ | -140.8 | $157.2-298$ |
| -114.3 ms | $53_{1}^{1}$ | $(-116)$ | $[115]-231$ |
| -81.7 w | $54_{4}^{1}$ | -82.7 | $77.4-160.1$ |
| -85.0 s | $28_{0}^{2} 53_{0}^{1} 54_{0}^{1}$ | -85.8 | $182.2-268$ |
| -72.2 s | $54_{2}^{0}$ |  |  |
| -57.8 vs | $28_{1}^{1}$ | $(-56)$ | $[92]-148$ |
| -36.5 m | $54_{3}^{1}$ | -37.0 | $77.4-114.4$ |
| -19.2 m | $28_{1}^{15} 54_{1}^{1}$ | $(-17.6)$ | $[169.4]-187$ |
| -4.1 vvw | $54_{4}^{2}$ | -2.9 | $157.2-160.1$ |
| 6.4 w | $54_{2}^{1}$ | 5.2 | $77.4-72.2$ |
| 8.2 w | $53_{1}^{0} 54_{0}^{3}$ | 7.4 | $238.4-231$ |
| 23.9 ms | $28_{2}^{0} 54_{0}^{4}$ | 22.8 | $320.8-298$ |
| 43.7 vs | $54_{1}^{1}$ |  |  |
| 77.2 s | $54_{0}^{1}$ |  |  |
| 85.0 vs | $54_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |
| 111.6 w | $28_{0}^{2} 54_{2}^{0}$ | 110.0 | $182.2-72.2$ |
| 124.1 s | $54_{3}^{3}$ |  |  |
| 153.4 m | $53_{0}^{2} 54_{2}^{0}$ | 156.8 | $229-72.2$ |
| 160.2 m | $54_{4}^{4}$ | 160.7 | $320.8-160.1$ |
| 237.2 ms | $54_{0}^{3}$ | 238.4 |  |

[^4]a series of very low-intense bands whose origin is at $34,093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This value perfectly agrees with the previously reported value for the $0_{0}^{0}$ band of $12 \mathrm{DHN} .{ }^{67}$ Since the band origins for 12 DHN and 14 DHN (with $0_{0}^{0}$ is at $36,788.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) are about $2700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ apart, the two spectra are readily distinguished.

## CONCLUSIONS

Spectroscopic analysis of 14DHN using FES, SVLF, UV, infrared and Raman techniques allowed us to determine the energies of many vibrational and vibronic levels of this molecule in its ground and excited $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states. The DFT and $a b$ initio calculations were very helpful for assigning the experimentally observed frequencies correctly. The calculated values for the excited state were especially helpful as they made it possible to assign many experimentally observed frequencies to the correct normal modes of this state. The frequencies of the ground state vibrational modes determined by infrared and Raman spectra agree very well with those observed by SVLF spectra. SVLF also determined the energies of many higher vibrational levels and also of levels arising from the combination of different modes. Many vibronic levels of the excited state also were determined by FES spectra together with UV. The present work provides a complete vibrational assignment of 14DHN molecule in both its electronic ground and singlet excited states for the first time. The identification of 12 DHN impurity present in the commercial source of 14 DHN may also be helpful for future research work.

## CHAPTER X

## STRUCTURE AND VIBRATIONAL FREQUENCIES OF 2-METHYL-2-CYCLOPENTEN-1-ONE IN ITS ELECTRONIC GROUND STATE

## INTRODUCTION

2-Methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (2MCP) is an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone with a fivemembered ring and a methyl group attached to it. The vibrational spectra of this molecule haven't previously been studied in detail. 2 MCP was investigated by infrared and Raman spectroscopy as well as by $a b$ initio and DFT calculations. Microwave studies done on the molecule 3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (3MCP) which is isomeric to 2 MCP have revealed the existence of strong interaction between the ring-puckering and methyl torsion vibration. ${ }^{34}$

## COMPUTATIONS

The structure 2MCP was calculated using the MP2/CC-PVTZ level of theory. Vibrational frequencies together with IR and Raman intensities were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. The calculated frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.985 for those below $1800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and by a factor 0.964 for those above 1800 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, values found to be suitable for this level of computations from previous work. ${ }^{50-53}$ The symmetry of 2 MCP can be either $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and therefore computations were carried out for both of these structures.

## EXPERIMENTAL

2MCP was purchased from Aldrich and purified using vacuum transfer. The Raman spectra of 2 MCP were acquired on the SA Jobin-Yvon U-1000 spectrometer. Raman scattering was achieved using a Coherent Verdi-V10 diode-pumped laser with an excitation line at 532 nm . The liquid-phase spectra were recorded at room temperature with a lasing power of 1 W . The liquid mid-infrared spectra were collected on the

Bruker Vertex 70 FT spectrometer. A total of 256 scans at $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution were recorded.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Structure and conformations of 2MCP

The structures of 2 MCP were calculated using second-order Möller-Plesset Perturbation Theory with the triple zeta basis set (MP2/CC-PVTZ). This calculation showed that the five-membered ring is planar. However it further revealed that depending upon the orientation of the methyl group, 2 MCP can have three different conformations. These three conformations are shown in Figure 49. Two of these have $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry and one has no symmetry at all, i.e. $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. The $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure was found to be the most stable. The other two structures have one of the hydrogen atoms of the methyl group lying in the plane of the ring. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ structure has this hydrogen "cis" with the carbonyl group while in the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(2)$ group it is "cis" with the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ group. The energies of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(2)$ group are similar to each other while the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ structure was about 300 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ higher in energy. The frequency calculations for all three conformations showed that the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ structure has an imaginary frequency for the methyl rotation. Therefore, this demonstrates that the conformation is not an energy minimum of the potential energy function of the internal rotation of the methyl group of 2 MCP .

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(2)$
Figure 49. The three calculated conformers of 2 MCP which show the orientation of the hydrogen atoms of its methyl group.

## Vibrational frequencies

The vibrational frequencies and their infrared and Raman intensities were calculated for all three conformers of 2 MCP using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. For $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry the distribution of vibrational modes of 2 MCP is

$$
\begin{equation*}
24 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}+15 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figures 50 and 51 compare the liquid-phase infrared and Raman spectra of 2 CHO to the calculated spectra. The agreement between the calculated and experimental frequencies and intensities is very good as also seen for other molecules discussed in previous chapters. However, since the spectra were recorded only for the liquid phase, the agreement of frequencies is not as close as what was seen for the vapor-phase spectra of other molecules. Table 24 lists the values of the experimental frequencies along with the calculated ones for the 3 conformers. The frequencies determined by infrared and Raman spectra match each other very closely but the infrared values are more accurate because they are from a FT-IR instrument which is accurately calibrated. The lowest frequency vibration ( $v_{39}$ ) was found to be the ring-bending while the methyl internal rotation is the next lowest $\left(v_{38}\right)$. The frequencies of these two modes are very close to each other and therefore a strong interaction between them can be expected as that was observed for 3MCP. The $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ conformer gives an imaginary frequency for $\mathrm{v}_{38}$. Therefore it does not correspond to an energy minimum for the internal rotation of the methyl group. The frequencies calculated for this structure are different by at least few wavenumbers for most of the normal modes from those calculated for other two conformers. This suggests that there is definitely an influence on the other vibrational modes of 2MCP by the internal rotation of methyl group, especially on the ring-bending mode $\left(v_{38}\right)$.

Figure 50. Liquid-phase infrared spectrum of 2 MCP compared to its calculated DFT spectrum.


Figure 51. Liquid-phase Raman spectrum of 2MCP compared to its calculated DFT spectrum.
Table 24: Experimental and calculated vibrational frequencies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of 2 $\mathrm{MCP}^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  | Liquid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ | A | 3044 m | 3048 (18) | 3052 (4,56) | 3054 (4,58) | $3054(4,58)$ |
| 2 |  |  | ------- |  | $3000(1,28)$ | 2998 (5,30) | 2996 (5,31) |
| 3 |  |  | 2947 sh | ------- | 2950 (3,74) | 2950 (3,77) | 2949 (3,77) |
| 4 |  |  | ------- | ------- | 2922 (8,80) | $2922(6,83)$ | $2921(6,85)$ |
| 5 |  |  | 2923 s | 2923 (94) | 2915 (9,100) | 2916 (9,100) | $2915(9,100)$ |
| 6 |  |  | 1700 vvs | 1694 (36) | 1743 (100, 73) | 1743 (100, 68) | $1744(100,68)$ |
| 7 |  |  | 1638 m | 1637 (100) | $1655(7,100)$ | $1662(6,100)$ | $1662(6,100)$ |
| 8 |  |  | ------- | ------- | 1465 (6, 20) | $1464(3,60)$ | $1464(3,64)$ |
| 9 |  |  | 1445 ms | 1446 (20) | 1465 (2, 37) | $1451(2,14)$ | $1452(3,14)$ |
| 10 |  |  | ------- | 1406 (4) | $1431(2,18)$ | $1431(2,18)$ | $1430(2,18)$ |
| 11 |  |  | 1380 m | 1379 (3) | 1389 (2, 10) | $1395(2,11)$ | 1395 (2, 11) |
| 12 |  |  | 1329 s | 1328 (23) | $1321(8,26)$ | 1325 (9, 32) | 1326 (9, 32) |
| 13 |  |  | 1298 mw | 1298 (2) | $1305(2,7)$ | $1305(2,7)$ | $1304(2,7)$ |
| 14 |  |  | 1260 ms | 1260 (0.5) | $1257(4,4)$ | $1259(3,2)$ | $1260(3,3)$ |
| 15 |  |  | 1201 m | ------- | $1197(4,1)$ | 1199 (3, 2) | 1200 (3, 2) |
| 16 |  |  | 1067 s | 1066 (2) | $1050(14,8)$ | $1058(9,5)$ | $1058(9,5)$ |
| 17 |  |  | 1027 m | 1026 (13) | $1028(3,17)$ | $1021(5,14)$ | $1022(5,14)$ |
| 18 |  |  | 977 m | 976 (2) | $977(2,2)$ | $972(5,4)$ | 973 (5, 4) |
| 19 |  |  | 912 mw | 911 (15) | $907(1,13)$ | $905(1,12)$ | 906 (1.11) |
| 20 |  |  | 766 m | 766 (16) | $756(3,10)$ | $757(3,9)$ | $757(3,9)$ |
| 21 |  |  | 686 vw | 686 (19) | $679(0.3,28)$ | $678(0.3,26)$ | $679(0.3,26)$ |
| 22 |  |  | 608 w | 608 (6) | $599(1,6)$ | $595(1,6)$ | $595(1,6)$ |
| 23 |  |  | 485 w | 485 (2) | $480(2,1)$ | $479(2,1)$ | $479(2,1)$ |
| 24 |  |  | ------- | 268 (6) | $268(2,3)$ | $255(1,2)$ | $256(1,2)$ |

Table 24: (Continued) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Sym. |  | Liquid |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | IR | Raman ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ | A | ------- | ------- | 2986 (3,29) | 2986 (3,34) | 2985 (3,34) |
| 26 |  |  | 2974 sh | --- | $2972(5,32)$ | 2969 (3,33) | $2969(3,35)$ |
| 27 |  |  | ------- | ------- | 2935 (4,32) | 2937 (4,41) | $2935(4,44)$ |
| 28 |  |  | 1445 ms | ------- | $1476(3,14)$ | 1466 (4,2) | 1466 (3,2) |
| 29 |  |  | ------- | 1205 (2) | 1214 (0.2, 9) | 1213 (0.2, 9) | 1213 (0.2, 9) |
| 30 |  |  | 1135 vw | 1134 (1) | $1141(0.03,2)$ | $1140(0.04,2)$ | 1141 (0.1, 2) |
| 31 |  |  | ------- | ------- | 1043 (0.4, 0.4) | 1052 (0.2, 0.3) | 1052 (0.2, 0.3) |
| 32 |  |  | 1003 m | 1003 (3) | $1009(2,3)$ | $1008(3,2)$ | $1008(3,2)$ |
| 33 |  |  | 858 w | 856 (2) | $862(1,8)$ | $858(1,6)$ | $858(1,6)$ |
| 34 |  |  | 792 ms | 791 (1) | 795 (4, 2) | $794(4,2)$ | $794(4,2)$ |
| 35 |  |  | 582 vw | 581 (1) | 588 (0.2, 2) | 586 (0.2, 2) | 585 (0.2, 2) |
| 36 |  |  | -- | 330 (3) | 334 (0.2, 1) | 332 (0.1, 1) | 331 (0.1, 0.5) |
| 37 |  |  | ------- | 241 (2) | $220(1,1)$ | 223 (1, 1) | 224 (1, 1) |
| 38 |  |  | ----- |  | $i$ | 108 (0.01, 0.3) | $119(0.05,0.4)$ |
| 39 |  |  | ------- | ------- | $98(1,0)$ | $91(1,0.1)$ | $90(1,0.03)$ |

[^5]
## CONCLUSION

This chapter discussed the conformers and the vibrational spectra of 2MCP. The theoretical calculations showed that the five-membered ring is planar, but it also revealed that depending upon the orientation of the methyl group, it can have three conformers. Two of these are very close in energy but the other lies about $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher. The comparison of liquid-phase infrared and Raman spectra with the calculated spectra showed very good agreement between the experimental and theoretical data. Further experimental and theoretical work will be required to record the vapor phase spectra and to determine the description of each vibrational mode of 2 MCP .

## CHAPTER XI

## CONCLUSIONS

The research work presented in this thesis focused on the investigation of structures, vibrational modes, and potential energy functions of several cyclic and bicyclic molecules in their ground and excited electronic states. A wide variety of spectroscopic and theoretical methods were utilized for this purpose.

The $a b$ initio and DFT calculations carried out on 1,3-disilacyclobutane (13DSCB- $h_{4}$ ) and on its $1,1,3,3-d_{4}$ isotopomer showed several highly unusual features of this molecule. First, the CSiC angles $\left(90.0^{0}\right)$ of the four-membered ring are larger than the SiCSi angles $\left(87.6^{\circ}\right)$ although this is opposite of expectations. Typically the force constant of the CSiC angle is much smaller than that of the SiCSi angle and hence the former should be able to accommodate the angle strain in the ring to a greater extent by lowering its value. The structure of 13DSCB determined by ab initio calculations showed that the partial negative charge on the carbon atoms $(-0.49)$ of the ring is greater than the partial positive charge on the silicon atoms $(+0.35)$. Hence, the carbon atoms will have a tendency to push each other further apart than do the silicon atoms, thereby expanding the CSiC angles. Second, the vibrational frequencies of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging and twisting modes of 13DSCB are much lower than those typically observed. The calculated values for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ wagging using the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory are 988 and $996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and 986 and $991 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13DSCB- $d_{4}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ twisting values are 935 and $976 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13DSCB- $h_{4}$ and 956 and $894 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 13DSCB$d_{4}$. These are about $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower than expected. The calculated structure of 13DSCB showed that the hydrogen atoms on the carbon atoms are positively charged while those on the silicon atoms are negatively charged. Therefore the attractive forces between these oppositely charged hydrogen atoms decreases the slope in the potential energy curves of the sideways motions of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups (i.e. twisting and wagging). This will lower the frequencies of these vibrational modes. The third unusual feature of 13DSCB is its change in structure in the solid phase. The theoretical calculations confirm the
previous conclusion that the individual molecules (vapor) have $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry whereas in the solid the molecules become planar with $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry. The vibrational coupling between the ring-angle bending mode and the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ in-phase rocking, which is present for the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structure, is forbidden for $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ and hence disappears.

The ring inversion potential energy functions of 2-cyclohexen-1-one (2CHO) and its $2,6,6-d_{3}$ isotopomer $\left(2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}\right)$ were determined in their $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground and singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states using cavity ringdown spectroscopy (CRDS). The $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}, \pi^{*}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{S}_{0}$ band origins for 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ are at $26,081.3$ and $26,075.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The CRDS data allowed several of the quantum states of $v_{39}$, the ring inversion vibrational mode, to be determined for both the ground and excited electronic states. The kinetic energy operator for the reduced mass calculation for the ring-inversion vibration of 2 CHO was calculated by slightly modifying computer program used for 1,2dihydrnaphthalene ( 12 DHN ) molecule which has an inversion motion similar to that of 2CHO. The vibrational model assumed that the inversion vibration labeled $S$ follows the out-of-plane displacements values calculated by density functional theory methods. The calculated kinetic functions of 2 CHO are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{44}(S)=0.03119-0.04782 S^{2}-0.1153 S^{4}+0.1490 S^{6} \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{44}(S)=0.03211-0.05834 S^{2}-0.13086 S^{4}+0.1490 S^{6} \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $S_{1}$ state. These were utilized to calculate the one-dimensional potential energy functions which best fit the data. The determined potential energy functions of $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ electronic states of 2 CHO are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=7.459 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-2.378 \times 10^{3} S^{2} \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=8.586 \times 10^{2} S^{4}-3.493 \times 10^{3} S^{2} \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.

These same potential energy functions were used to fit the vibrational data of $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ by adjusting the reduced mass ratio between these two isotopomers. The observed reduced mass ratios between $\mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ and CHO were 1.094 for the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state and 1.146 for the $S_{1}$ state. The barriers to inversion for the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}\left(n, \pi^{*}\right)$ states were found to be $1900 \pm 300$ and $3550 \pm 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The DFT calculations predict barrier values of 2090 and $2265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. In addition to the CRDS analysis, a complete vibrational assignment was also carried out for 2 CHO and $2 \mathrm{CHO}-d_{3}$ in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state using infrared and Raman spectroscopy which were facilitated by B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of calculations. A remarkable agreement was seen between the calculated and experimental vibrational frequencies and their intensities.

The potential energy functions for the ring-puckering vibration of 1,4dihydronaphthalene ( 14 DHN ) in its $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ground and singlet $\mathrm{S}_{1}\left(\pi, \pi^{*}\right)$ electronic states were determined using laser induced fluorescence (LIF) and high-resolution ultraviolet (UV) spectra. The $0_{0}^{0}$ transition observed in the UV spectra was $36,788.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Several energy levels of the ring-puckering vibrational mode $\left(v_{54}\right)$ in the $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ states were determined by the transitions observed in LIF and UV and these data were used for the potential energy fit. The structures of 14 DHN in the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ states were calculated using MP2/CC-PVTZ and CIS/6-311++G(d,p) levels and these calculated structures were used to determine the kinetic energy functions for the ring puckering vibrations. These functions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{0}: \mathrm{g}_{44}(\tau)=0.0410615-0.0030281 \tau^{2}-0.00726122 \tau^{4}+0.00535904 \tau^{6}  \tag{11.5}\\
& \mathrm{~S}_{1}: \mathrm{g}_{44}(\tau)=0.0406933-0.0033311 \tau^{2}-0.00674431 \tau^{4}+0.00505166 \tau^{6} \tag{11.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the puckering angle which is defined as the puckering coordinate. Using these functions, the potential energy functions for the two states were calculated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{0}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=2.58 \times 10^{2} \tau^{2}+1.31 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4} \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{1}: \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=2.10 \times 10^{3} \tau^{2}+1.96 \times 10^{3} \tau^{4} \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown by these functions, the energy minima correspond to puckering angles of $\tau=$ $0^{\circ}$ and the molecule has a planar equilibrium structure in both electronic states. The comparison of these two functions suggests that the molecule become more rigid in the excited state. In addition to the determination of potential energy functions, a full vibrational assignment of 14 DHN was also carried out using the spectral data from LIF and UV together with infrared and Raman spectra. The calculated frequencies, especially those of the excited states were very helpful in assigning the observed frequencies to the correct vibrational mode.

The $a b$ inito calculation carried out on the molecule 2-methyl-2-cyclopentenone ( 2 MCP ) showed that although the five-membered ring of this is planar, it can have three conformers depending on the orientation of the hydrogen atoms of the methyl group. Two of these conformers have a $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry while the other has $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry. The conformer with $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry was found to be the most stable. One of the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ conformers has a methyl group hydrogen atom "cis" with the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ group while in the other, this hydrogen atom is "cis" with the carbonyl group. The energy of the former was comparable to the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ conformer while the other one is about $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher in energy. The frequency calculations were carried out on all three conformers using B3LYP/6$311++G(d, p)$ level of theory. These were compared with the experimental frequencies determined by liquid-phase infrared and Raman spectroscopy. There was good agreement between the experimental and the calculated values for the stable conformer.

## REFERENCES

1. Carreira, L. A.; Lord, R. C.; Malloy Jr., T. B. Topics in Current Chemistry 1979, 82, 1-95.
2. Laane, J.; Lord, R. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1967, 47, 4941-4945.
3. Laane, J.; Lord, R. C. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1971, 39, 340-344.
4. Bell, R. P. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1945, 183, 328-337.
5. Danti, A.; Lafferty, W. J.; Lord, R. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1960, 33, 294-295.
6. Borgers, T. R.; Stauss, H. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1966, 45, 947-955.
7. Laane, J.; Lord, R. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1968, 48, 1508-1513.
8. Miller, F. A.; Capwell, R. J. Spectrochim. Acta A 1971, 27, 947-956.
9. Laane, J. J. Quart. Rev. 1971, 25, 533-553.
10. Laane, J. J. Pure and Appl. Chem. 1987, 59, 1307-1326.
11. Laane, J. In Structures and Conformations of Non-Rigid Molecules; Laane, J.; Dakkouri, M.; van der Veken, B.; Oberhammer, H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1993; Chapter 4.
12. Laane, J. J. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1994, 45, 179-211.
13. Laane, J. J. Intl. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1999, 18, 301-341.
14. Laane, J. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2000, 104, 7715-7733.
15. Irwin, R. M.; Cooke, J. M.; Laane, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3273-3278.
16. Kubota, T.; Ueda, K.; Tanaka, T.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1988, 128, 250-267.
17. Kubota, T.; Ueda, K.; Tanaka, T.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1985, 114, 234-235.
18. Irwin, R. M.; Laane, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1978, 82, 2845-2850.
19. Chao, T. H.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1973, 48, 266-276.
20. Cheatham, C. M.; Laane, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 7734-7743.
21. Pillsbury, N. R.; Choo, J.; Laane, J.; Drucker, S. J. Phys. Chem. A. 2003, 107, 10648-10654.
22. Manley, S. A.; Tyler, J. K. Chem. Commun. 1970, pp 382-383.
23. Carreira, L. A.; Towns, T. G.; Malloy Jr., T. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 70, 22732275.
24. Smithson, T. L.; Wieser, H. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 2518-2519.
25. Oberhammer, H.; Bauer, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 10-16.
26. Strube, M. M.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1988, 129, 126-139.
27. Shin, Y.-D.; Saigusa, H.; Zgierski, M. Z.; Zerbetto, F.; Lim, E. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 3511-3516.
28. Rabideau, P. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 141-147.
29. Rabideau, P. W.; Mooney, J. L.; Hardin, J. N. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5737-5740.
30. Lipkowitz, K. B.; Rabideau, P. W.; Raber, D. J.; Hardee, L. E.; Schleyer, P. R.; Kos, A. J.; Kahn, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1002-1005.
31. Rabideau, P. W.; Dhar, R. K.; Fronczek, F. R. Chem. Commun. 1992, pp 79-80.
32. Schaefer, T.; Sebastian, R.; Hruska, F. E.; J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 1653-1654.
33. Chakraborty, T.; Del Bene, J. E.; Lim, E. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 8-13.
34. Palaez, F. J.; Lopez, J. C.; Alonso, J. L. J. Mol. Struct. 1987, 159, 19-29.
35. Haller, K.; Chiang, W.-Y.; del Rosario, A.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Struct. 1996, 379, 1929.
36. O’Keefe, A.; Deacon, D. A. G. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1988, 59, 2544-2551.
37. Scherer, J. J.; Paul, J. B.; O’Keefe, A.; Saykally, R. J. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 25-51.
38. Busch, K.; Busch, M. Cavity Ring-down Spectroscopy. An Ultratrace-Absorption Measurement Technique; ACS Symposium series (Vol. 720): 1999.
39. Berden, G.; Peeters, R.; Meijer, G. Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2000, 19, 565-607.
40. Foresman, J. B.; Frisch, A. Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods, $2^{\text {nd }}$ ed.; Gaussian Inc: Pittsburgh, 1996.
41. Cramer, C. J. Essentials of Computational Chemistry; John Wiley: West Sussex, 2002.
42. Jensen, F. Introduction to Computational Chemistry, $2^{\text {nd }}$ ed.; John Wiley: West Sussex, 2007.
43. Labanowski, J. K.; Andzelm, J. W. Density Functional Methods in Chemistry; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1991.
44. Hassanein, A. A.; Evans, M. W. Computational Methods in Quantum Chemistry, Quantum Chemistry; World Scientific Publishing Co: Singapore, 1996; Vol. 2.
45. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, Revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
46. Laane, J.; Harthcock, M. A.; Killough, P. M.; Bauman, L. E.; Cooke, J. M. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1982, 91, 286-299.
47. Schmude, R. W.; Jr., Harthcock, M. A.; Kelly, M. B.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1987, 124, 369-378.
48. Tecklenburg, M. M.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1989, 137, 65-81.
49. Irwin, R. M.; Ph.D. Thesis, Texas A\&M University, College Station, TX, 1978.
50. Yang J.; McCann K.; Laane J. J. Mol. Struc., 2004, 695-696, 339-343.
51. Autrey, D.; Haller, K.; Laane, J.; Mlynek, C.; Hopf, H. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 403-408.
52. Autrey D.; Yang J.; Laane J. J. Mol. Struc., 2003, 661-662, 23-32.
53. Al-Saadi, A. A.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Struc. 2007, 830, 46-57.
54. Lin-Vien, D.; Colthup, N. B.; Fateley, W. G.; Grasselli, J. G. Infrared and Raman Characteristic Frequencies of Organic Molecules; Academic Press: San Diego, 1991; pp 23 and 257.
55. Seidl, E. T.; Grev, R. S.; Schaefer III, H. F.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 36433650.
56. Walsh, A. D. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, pp 2260-2266.
57. Brand, J. C. D., J. Chem. Soc. 1956, pp 858-872.
58. Wilsey, S.; González, L.; Robb, M. A.; Houk, K.N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5866-5876.
59. Fang, W.-H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2000, 325, 683-692.
60. Johansson, P.; Tegenfeldt, J.; Lindgren, J. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 954-961.
61. Guirgis, G. A.; Horn, A.; Klaeboe, P.; Nielsen, C. J. J. Mol. Struct. 2006, 825, 101114.
62. Sundaraganesan, N.; Anand, B.; Joshua, B. D. Spectrochimica Acta, A 2007, 67, 550-558.
63. GaussView, Version 3.09, Roy Dennington II, Todd Keith, John Millam, Ken Eppinnett, W. Lee Hovell, and Ray Gilliland, Semichem, Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2003.
64. Nam, S. I.; Min, E. S.; Jung, Y. M.; Lee, M. S. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2001, 22, 989-993.
65. Choo, J.; Kim, S.; Drucker, S.; Laane, J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 1065510659.
66. Autrey, D.; Choo, J.; Laane, J. J. Phys. Chem., 2001, 105, 10230-10236.
67. Autrey, D.; Arp, Z.; Choo, J.; Laane, J. J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 119, 2557-2568.
68. (a) Turro, N. J. Modern Molecular Photochemistry; Benjamin/Cummings: Menlo Park, CA, 1978; Chapter 10-12. (b) Schuster, D. I; Lem, G.; Kaprinidis, N. A. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 3-22.
69. García-Expósito, E.; Bearpark, M. J.; Ortuño, R. M.; Robb, M. A.; Branchadell, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6070-6077.
70. Reguero, M.; Olivucci, M.; Bernardi, F.; Robb, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6897-6902.
71. Zimmerman, H. E.; Nesterov, E. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 5422-5430.
72. Laane, J.; Takahashi, H.; Bandrauk; A. (eds.), Structure and Dynamics of Electronic Excited States; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1999; pp 3-35.
73. Sakurai, S.; Meinander, N.; Morris, K.; Laane, J. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5056-5062.
74. Sakurai, S.; Bondoc, E.; Laane, J.; Morris, K.; Meinander, N.; Choo, J. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2628-2634.
75. Bondoc, E.; Sakurai, S.; Morris, K.; Chiang, W.-Y.; Laane, J. J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 112, 6700-6706.
76. Arp, Z.; Laane, J.; Sakamoto, A.; Tasumi, M. J. Phys. Chem., 2002, 106, 34793484.
77. Arp, Z.; Meinander, N.; Choo, J.; Laane, J.; J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 6648-6655.
78. Yang, J.; Wagner, M.; Okuyama, K.; Arp, Z.; Choo, J.; Meinander, N.; Kwon, O.; Laane, J. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 034308.
79. Yang, J.; Wagner, M.; Laane, J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 9805-9815.
80. Yang, J.; Laane, J. J. Mol. Struct. 2006, 798, 27-33.

## VITA

| Name: | Mohamed Zuhair Mohamed Rishard |
| :--- | :--- |
| Country of Citizenship: | Sri Lanka |
| Permanent Address: | No. 808, Aluthmawatha Road <br> Colombo-15, Sri Lanka |
| E-mail Address: | rishiz74@yahoo.com |
| Marital Status: | Married with one child |
| Education: | Colombo, Sri Lanka, May 2000 |
|  | Ph.D., Chemistry, Texas A\&M University <br> College Station, TX 77843, December 2007 |


[^0]:    This dissertation follows the style of Journal of Physical Chemistry, A.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$, strong; m, medium; w, weak; v, very; P, polarized; D, depolarized; sh, shoulder peak; $i$, imaginary frequency; oop, out-of-plane; $\rho$, calculated depolarization ratios for the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ point group. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The values in the parentheses are relative Raman intensities. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory, the calculated values were scaled by a factor of 0.985 for frequencies below $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and by 0.964 for frequencies above $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Fermi resonance with relative intensities of 86 and 35 respectively. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Reference 24 . ${ }^{*}$ These modes are strongly coupled with each other.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference $22 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reference 23.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ From reference 24

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ inferred from the energy level diagram shown in Figure 48.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory. The values in the parentheses are relative infrared and Raman intensities respectively.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The values in the parenthesis are the relative Raman intensities.

