REKINDLING THE FLAME: RECONSTRUCTING A FIRE HISTORY FOR PETERS MOUNTAIN, GILES COUNTY, VIRGINIA

A Thesis

by

JENNIFER ANN HOSS

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillments of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

May 2007

Major Subject: Geography

REKINDLING THE FLAME: RECONSTRUCTING A FIRE HISTORY FOR PETERS MOUNTAIN, GILES COUNTY, VIRGINIA

A Thesis

by

JENNIFER ANN HOSS

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillments of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Approved by:

Chair of Committee, Committee Members,

Head of Department,

Charles Lafon David Cairns Robert Coulson Doug Sherman

May 2007

Major Subject: Geography

ABSTRACT

Rekindling the Flame: Reconstructing a Fire History for Peters Mountain, Giles County, Virginia. (May 2007)

Jennifer Ann Hoss, B.S., Texas A&M University;

M.S., Texas A&M University

Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Charles Lafon

Beginning in the late 1930s, fire exclusion has drastically altered the vegetation dynamics of the southern Appalachian Mountains. Extremely low fire frequency has allowed for more shade-tolerant species to invade once predominantly open forests and has made it almost impossible for fire-dependent species to establish on a site. One such species is the endangered Peters Mountain mallow (*Iliamna corei* Sherff.) located on Peters Mountain in The Nature Conservancy's Narrows Preserve in Giles County, Virginia. This paper focuses on the fire history and stand dynamics of Peters Mountain and how fire exclusion has altered the forest composition. The historic fire frequency and successional changes discovered here may provide an insight into management strategies for the mallow.

Seventy-nine fire scarred cross-sections were taken and aged to determine fire history dates and frequencies. Three 50x20 meter plots were set up on opposing aspects: northwest and southeast. The aspects were chosen at the direction of The Nature Conservancy personnel. All trees within were identified, cored and aged to determine species composition and the establishment dates of all trees. Fire history analysis revealed a mean fire interval of 2.48 years, a Weibull median fire interval of 2.18 years and a 25 percent scarred class mean fire interval of 12.5 years. Stand dynamic results show that *Quercus montana* has established on Peters Mountain prior to fire exclusion and remains the dominate species on the landscape. An increased number of fire intolerant species (including *Acer rubrum, Sassfras albidum, Nyssa sylvatica*) have been establishing on Peters Mountain during the decades of decreased fire frequency, suggesting a shift in forest composition. Frequent fires are suggested for mallow management and oak forest maintenance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor Dr. Charles Lafon for his support and mentoring throughout the completion of this thesis. I would also like to thank Dr. David Cairns and Dr. Robert Coulson for their guidance as educators and committee members.

I would like to express my gratitude to The Nature Conservancy for providing funding for this research and to TNC personnel Judy Dunscomb, Wanda SanJule, and Jennifer Allen for their help obtaining information and field data. Thank you to Jesse Overcash from the Forest Service for providing an aerial photo.

To Dr. Henri Grissino-Mayer and Georgina DeWeese from the University of Tennessee, your collaboration on this project has been invaluable. Thank you to Dave Mann, Michelle Pfeffer, Lisa LaForest and Stockton Maxwell for all of your valuable help in the field. Especially thank you to Serena Aldrich for her guidance and support in the field, in the lab and in life.

Lastly, I would like to thank the Texas A&M University Department of Geography for supporting me over the years. Thanks to the faculty and my colleagues for making my graduate experience so valuable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPTER	

Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background1.2 Objectives	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1 Disturbance and Succession Theory	3
	2.2 Fire Ecology	4
	2.2.1 Fire and Plants	5
	2.3 Historical Fire Regimes in the Southern	C
	Appalachian Mountains	6 7
	2.3.1 Natural Fire Regimes	/ Q
	2.3.2 Native American Uses of Fire	٥٥ ۵
	2.3.4 Forests in the Era of Fire Exclusion	
III	METHODS	13
	3.1 Study Area	13
	3.1.1 Climate	13
	3.1.2 Physiography	14
	3.1.3 Vegetation	15
	3.2 Field Methods	17
	3.3 Lab Methods	23

CHAPTER		Page
IV	RESULTS	25
	4.1 Master Chronology4.2 Fire History4.3 Age Structure and Size-Class Distribution	
V	DISCUSSION	35
	 5.1 Fire History 5.2 Age Structure and Size-Class Distribution 5.2.1 Site A 5.2.2 Site B 5.2.3 Site C 	
VI	CONCLUSION	41
LITERATUR	RE CITED	43
APPENDIX .	A	48
APPENDIX	В	64
VITA		110

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		Page
3.1	Location of Peters Mountain	13
3.2	Aerial Fhoto of Peters Mountain taken in 1930, Courtesy of Jesse Overcash, United States Forest Service	17
3.3	Photograph of Forest Conditions on Peters Mountain, taken by J. Hoss, 2005	18
3.4	Photograph of a Live Fire Scarred Sample taken from Peters Mountain, taken by J. Hoss, 2005.	19
3.5	Photograph of a Dead Fire Scarred Sample taken from Peters Mountain, taken by J. Hoss, 2005.	20
3.6	Location of the 79 Fire Scarred Samples taken from Peters Mountain	21
3.7	Approximate Location of the Three 20 x 50 m Plots	23
4.1	Fire History Chart for Peters Mountain	28
4.2	Age-Class Distribution of Site A	29
4.3	Age-Class Distribution of Site B	30
4.4	Age-Class Distribution of Site C	31
4.5	Size-Class Distribution of Site A	34
4.6	Size-Class Distribution for Site B	35
4.7	Size-Class Distribution of Site C	35

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Fire is an important mechanism for maintaining the forests of the southern Appalachian Mountains. Frequent surface fires, both natural and anthropogenic, have historically maintained open forests of oak (*Quercus* L.), chestnut (*Castanea* P. Mill.), and pine (*Pinus* L.) over much of this area (Van Lear and Waldrop 1989; Delcourt and Delcourt 1998; Shumway et al. 2001). Beginning in the mid-20th century, fire control efforts reduced the frequency of fire, altering the vegetation dynamics of the southern Appalachian Mountains. Low fire frequency in this area has allowed for more shadetolerant species to invade once predominantly open forests and has inhibited the establishment of fire-dependent species. One such species is the Peters Mountain mallow (*Iliamna corei* Sherff.).

Found only on Peters Mountain in Giles County, Virginia, approximately 50 individuals of *Iliamna corei* were first discovered in 1927 on the steep northwest-facing slopes of the mountain (Strausbaugh and Core 1932). The number of individual *I. corei* plants has decreased dramatically, most likely as a result of fire exclusion, reaching numbers as low as three individuals in 1989. Research has indicated Peters Mountain mallow requires periodic fire to stimulate germination (Edwards and Allen 2003;

This thesis follows the style of Ecology.

Dunscomb and Edwards 2001; Caljouw et al. 1994).

Currently, the Narrows Preserve, owned by The Nature Conservancy (TNC), serves as a protective habitat for the endangered and federally protected Peters Mountain mallow. Prescribed burning on Peters Mountain, started in April 2001 (Dunscomb and Edwards 2001), has encouraged the regeneration of *I. corei*, and currently the population is comprised of approximately 50-70 mature plants (The Narrows 2007). Yet, an appropriate fire management strategy for the mountain has yet to be determined. The Nature Conservancy provided funding for this thesis to characterize the fire regime under which *I. corei* was maintained historically.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this study are to: (1) reconstruct a fire history for Peters Mountain; (2) determine fire seasonality; and (3) establish species composition and age structure of the forest in the same area as the mallow to elucidate the influences of fire and fire exclusion on forest development and *I. corei* habitat.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Disturbance and Succession Theory

Disturbance is a short- term physical or biological event that alters the living organisms in an ecosystem (Huston 1994; MacDonald 2003). These disturbance events are important mechanisms for ecosystem maintenance, especially for vegetation as they are the initiators of succession. Succession is the gradual replacement of one species by another. Several successional models have been proposed over the years. The first of these models was proposed by Henry Cowles and Frederic Clements. Cowles described vegetation cycles as stages that approached equilibrium (Cowles 1911). The Clementsian model proposes successional stages, or seres, of vegetation eventually lead to a final climax community (Clements 1916, 3-4). This climax community is considered the normal state of a location and is largely determined by regional climate.

Since the Clementsian model, the facilitation, tolerance, and inhibition models of succession have been proposed (Connell and Slatyer 1977). The facilitation model suggests species that arrive later on a site are only able to do so because the earlier species suitably modified the site. The tolerance model suggests all species that could be found on at a site tolerate early successional environments, but the early dominants grow fastest and are eventually outcompeted by slower growing, more tolerant species which then would be considered the late successional species. In this model, late successional species are more tolerant of shade and low resource levels. The inhibition model suggests that later species only occupy a site after all of the early species have died as a

result of some type of disturbance. In this model, early arriving species outcompete late arriving species, thus later successional species are those that are long-lived and thrive after the early successional species have died.

Despite the reason for succession it is clear it occurs and that disturbance is critical. In fact, disturbance is responsible for creating the mosaic of landscape patterns in varying states (undisturbed, recently disturbed, or recovering from discovery) (Huston 1994; Pyne 1982). Thus it is disturbance that is responsible for many of the landscape patterns we observe.

2.2 Fire Ecology

Fire is significant in almost all terrestrial ecosystems (Huston 1994, 413) and is the primary type of disturbance with which this thesis is concerned. At its most basic, fire occurrence only requires two things: fuel and ignition source (MacDonald 2003). However, vegetation type, topography, and climate all influence fire behavior. The specific combination of these three elements determines the fire regime that will act upon a particular landscape. A fire regime is simply the historical manner in which fire acts upon a landscape. There are three major characteristics that define any particular fire regime: type and intensity, mean size, frequency, and seasonality.

Fire intensity is "the rate at which a fire releases heat" and is "determined by the amount of heat energy produced," (Fuller 1991, 40). The intensity of a fire often is an indicator of the length of its flames. Generally, low intensity flames will be shorter in stature, while higher intensity flames will be taller. Flame height and intensity

determine the type of fire: low-intensity surface fires, high-intensity crown fires (MacDonald 2003).

The average size of any given fire is variable based on the conditions present at the time of the fire. Vegetation must be flammable and dense enough to carry the flames over an area. The readiness of vegetation to burn may be increased by favorable climatic conditions, such as windy, hot, dry weather. Additionally, the topography of a particular area plays a large role in determining the size of a fire. Without natural breaks in topography a fire may be able to burn freely over a landscape.

Lastly, fire frequency is how often fire occurs on a particular landscape, usually expressed in years. This too is a function of fuel availability and climate. For example, spatially large, high-intensity fires could not occur frequently, even if climatic conditions were present, simply because there would be no fuel. Conversely, low-intensity fires may be able to occur on a landscape as frequent as every year or two, because sufficient fuel is available. Because climate plays a role in determining the frequency of fires, seasonality is often considered when analyzing fire regimes. Fire seasonality is the season in which a fire occurs. Regional climatic patterns such as precipitation or drought often dictate the seasonality of most fires for that region (Huston 1994; MacDonald 2003).

2.2.1 Fire and Plants

Evolutionary traits that plants have adapted to survive and thrive under periodic fire suggest the role of fire in forests is long standing (Smith 1986). Adaptations of vegetation found in several spatially distinct fire regions suggest the role of fire as an evolutionary architect (Bond and van Wilgen 1996; Pyne 2001, 18). Serotinous cones, hardseededness, thick bark, sprouting ability, flammability, and delayed maturation are examples of such evolutionary traits. Species with some of these types of adaptations are generally found in areas where fire frequency is long enough to allow for vegetation maturation, but short enough so that the space is not invaded by shade-tolerant species between fire intervals.

Fire is an important mechanism for maintaining both pine and oak forests. Several oak species display such fire adapted traits, indicating oak forests are indeed maintained by fire (Brose et al. 2001). These traits include: thick bark, compartmentalization of wounds, and sprouting ability. Additionally, the pine species (*Pinus pungens* Lamb. and *Pinus rigida* Mill.) often associated with the mixed oak-pine forests of the southern Appalachian Mountains also display fire adapted traits such as thick, flaky bark, self-pruning, early cone maturation, opening of sealed cones at low temperatures, relatively quick decline of resin in sealed cones, and basal sprouting (in *P. rigida* only) (Brose and Waldrop 2006).

2.3 Historical Fire Regimes in the Southern Appalachian Mountains

Fire is an important influence on vegetation, even on the forests of the southern Appalachian Mountains. However, there are not very many dendroecological studies in this area (Harmon, 1982; Sutherland et al, 1995; Shumway et al., 2001; Armbrister, 2002; Shuler and McCain, 2003). These studies, however, have indicated the importance of frequent fires in this area for maintenance of oak and pine forests. Natural and anthropogenic fires have played a large role in shaping and maintaining the forest composition and distribution in this area. Natural fires played a large role in shaping the southeastern landscape years before man arrived in the area 20,000 to 35,000 years ago (Van Lear and Waldrop 1989). Lightning strikes coupled with anthropogenically undisturbed fuel loads would have allowed this type of fire regime to thrive. The presence of charcoal dating back 3900 calendar years in sediment cores from the southern Appalachian Mountains provides evidence of the long history of fire in the region (Delcourt and Delcourt 1997).

Yet decreased fire frequency in this area has led to vegetation change. A study of 43 pine cross-sections in Great Smoky Mountains National Park revealed a fire interval of 12.7 years in the era prior to fire exclusion (Harmon 1982). The fire rotation for the same area increased to 10,700 years after 1940. A study in Maryland, using 19 fire scarred cross sections revealed a fire interval of 7.6 years for the period of 1616 to 1959, after which no fire scars were found (Shumway et al. 2001). Similarly, a West Virginia study using 17 fire scarred cross sections with scars from 1846 to 1962 revealed a fire interval of 15.5 years (Schuler and McCain 2003). These studies indicate that fire has virtually ceased since the middle of the 20th century. The following provides a review of natural fires, anthropogenic fires and the state of forests in the era of decreased fire frequency.

2.3.1 Natural Fire Regimes

Long before any anthropogenic fires were introduced into the Southern Appalachians, lightning fires played a part in determining the types of vegetation present in this area. While these fires may not have been as spatially extensive, intense, or as

7

frequent as anthropogenic fires (Lafon el al., 2005; Barden and Woods, 1974; Ruffner and Abrams, 1998), it is clear that lightning fires occurred and had some effect on shaping and maintaining the vegetation of the Southern Appalachians. Even today, lightning accounts for 10 percent of the fires in the United States (Sarvis, 1993b). *2.3.2 Native American Uses of Fire*

There is substantial evidence that Native Americans did in fact use fire and altered the environments immediately surrounding them. Documented purposes for Native American fire include cooking, warmth, hunting, crop management, improving vegetation growth and yields, fireproofing, insect collection, pest management, warfare, signaling, economics, travel, felling trees, and for clearing (Williams 2002; Delcourt and Delcourt 1997).

Additionally, several studies have found evidence of fire and forest maintenance in areas where Native Americans were known to have lived. Delcourt and Delcourt (1997) found paleoecological evidence that the dominant oak and chestnut species were maintained by frequent and deliberate fires set by Native Americans. Other studies suggest similar results of a periodic, low-intensity, surface fire regime (Ruffner and Abrams 2002; Brose et al. 2001) and suggest these frequent fires are needed for oak forest maintenance. The authors however, disagree as to whether Native Americans are responsible or merely supplemental to this surface fire regime.

While it is clear that Native Americans used fire in many ways to alter their environment, it is debatable whether or not their use of fire resulted in the structuring and maintenance of the North American landscape. Two sides of this debate exist. William M. Denevan (1992) coined the phrase "the pristine myth," arguing the New World was not wilderness, but instead was a landscape mosaic highly altered and manipulated by large (estimated 40 million) Native American populations found in Canada, the U.S., Mexico, Central and South America. In fact, Denevan argued that the New World was more pristine in 1750 than in 1492 due to the decrease in Native American populations as a result of disease, and thus the abandonment of lands that were allowed to revegetate.

Conversely, there is "the myth of the humanized landscape." While proponents of the myth acknowledge Native American use of fire for several reasons, they argue Native American fires were not ubiquitous across the large mass of land of North America and thus were not responsible for forest structure, composition or landscape maintenance. Instead, the myth suggests Native American fires were merely supplemental to the natural lightning fire regime and would have had the greatest impact on vegetation in localized areas (Vale 1998; Russell 1983).

2.3.3 European Uses of Fire

Early European settlers continued the frequent fire regime maintained by Native Americans when they took over the lands in the late 1700s. Europeans most likely continued Native American burning practices to suit similar needs (Brose et al. 2001; Delcourt and Delcourt 1997; Williams 1998). However, during the industrialization era (circa 1880) fire frequency increased. Fires caused by railroad construction, timber harvesting, coal mining and refining, as well was for agricultural purposes shaped the forests of the Appalachian Mountains. Capital intensive logging not only cleared the

9

forests, but also left the dried remains prone to wildfires (Brose et al. 2001; Williams 1998). The introduction of the railroad into forested landscapes also resulted in catastrophic anthropogenic fires (Caljouw et al 1994; Schuler and McClain 2003). By the first third of the 1900s fire was seen as a destructive force that needed to be either contained or stopped altogether.

As a result, fire suppression and prevention efforts by the United States government since 1940 have increased the fire interval for all forests. During the early years of fire exclusion, it was the policy of the U.S. Forest Service to extinguish all fires, whether anthropogenic or natural. However, anthropogenic fires were still a problem for the Jefferson National Forest. Several reasons account for human set fires, including accidents, revenge against the Forest Service, pyromania, and economic incentive (Sarvis 1993b). Decades of fire prevention education spearheaded by the Smokey Bear campaign starting in 1944 have led to the decline of these anthropogenic fires, though they have not been eradicated (Brose et al. 2001; Sarvis 1993b). Currently it is the policy of the Forest Service to suppress all anthropogenic and natural fires.

Several studies indicate that lightning fires are generally less intense and/or less extensive than anthropogenic fires (Barden and Woods 1974; Ruffner and Abrams 1998), suggesting that anthropogenic fires, first by Native Americans and then by early European settlers, were an important factor in maintaining the vegetation of the Appalachians. Records of the Jefferson National Forest, adjacent to the Narrows Preserve, reveal some of the largest fires on the landscape in the 20th Century, including the 1930 and 1942 fires, are a result of anthropogenic fires, whether accidental or intentional (Sarvis 1993b). As a result, prescribed burning of national forests lands has been considered since the 1950s.

2.3.4 Forests in the Era of Fire Exclusion

The effects of over a half century of fire suppression have had tremendous repercussions for the vegetation of the area, especially for the pine, pine-hardwood and oak forests. The fire interval for Great Smoky Mountains National Park from 1856-1940 was approximately 10 years for pine forests and 10-40 years for all forests (Harmon 1982). This interval increased to 10,700 years in the years following fire exclusion. Extremely low fire frequency in this area has allowed for more shade-tolerant species to invade once predominantly pine stands. In addition, the low fire frequency had made it almost impossible for pine to establish on a site as an early successional species after a fire disturbance.

While pine may still remain the dominant overstory species in several sites, few if any pine species occupy the midstory and understory. This may indicate that old growth pine stands will be replaced with more shade-tolerant, fire-intolerant species (Welch et al. 1999; Williams and Johnson 1990; Waldrop and Brose 1999). The same is true for oak species that rely on open, fire-maintained conditions and on sprouting after a fire for regeneration (Abrams 1992; Barden and Woods 1976; Harrod and White 1999). The fire-oak hypothesis suggests that oak forests thrive under a frequent fire regime (Abrams 1992). These oak forests may soon be replaced by fire-intolerant hardwoods such as *Nyssa sylvatica, Acer rubrum* L., *Sassafrass albidum*, and *Betula lenta* L. in the

current era of fire suppression (Ross et al. 1982; Williams and Johnson 1990; Shumway et al. 2001).

While fire suppression is not the answer, natural fires may not be enough. Lightning fires alone may not be extensive enough or produce the intensity needed to reduce existing forest canopy and generate pine or oak establishment (Barden and Woods, 1976; Lafon, et al, 2005). This reinforces the usefulness of anthropogenic fires.

CHAPTER III

METHODS

3.1 Study Area

The Narrows Preserve is located on Peters Mountain in Giles County, Virginia, situated in the Ridge and Valley physiographic province of the Appalachian Mountains (see Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1: Location of Peters Mountain.

3.1.1 Climate

The Southern Appalachian Mountains are classified as a humid subtropical climate (Cfa) (Christopherson 2006) or a humid continental climate (Bailey 1995). These climates are generally moist year round with a pronounced winter dry period. Generally, average annual precipitation for Southern Appalachia is between 762 and

1778 millimeters, with the highest average precipitation rates (1270-1778 millimeters) occurring in the west along the Appalachian Plateau and to the east along the tops of the Blue Ridge Mountains (Climate Atlas of the United States 2000).

Average annual precipitation for Narrows, VA ranges from 63.5 to 101.6 millimeters in the driest and wettest months and average monthly temperatures range from 0° C to 22.2° C in the coldest and hottest months (Intellicast 2007).

3.1.2 Physiography

The Ridge and Valley region is comprised mostly of sedimentary rocks that have been folded, faulted and eroded to form long, parallel ridges and valleys (Shankman and James 2002). Limestone and shale erode to form the valleys, while sandstones and conglomerates form the ridges.

These geological foundations create the basis for differing types of soils and the resulting soil moisture gradient, which has been demonstrated to influence vegetation distribution (Whittaker 1956). Mesic sites generally have the greatest number of tress species while xeric sites have the least number of tree species.

The soils found on Peters Mountain are mostly composed of udept inceptisols, specifically Lehew, Wallen and Berks soils (Swecker et al. 1985). These are highly weathered forest soils that occur on steeper slopes with sandstone bedrock. Lehew and Wallen soils occur on 35 to 65 percent slopes and are very stony with rapid permeability and runoff rates. Lehew and Wallen soils are poor crop and pasture soils, but have high potential for tree production. Berks soils occur on 30 to 80 percent slopes with rock outcrops. The soil is moderately permeable with very rapid runoff rates and low soil moisture capacity. Like Lehew and Wallen soils, Berks soil is also unsuitable for farming practices yet has high potential for tree productivity.

3.1.3 Vegetation

The southern Appalachian forests are mostly composed of oak forest species (Braun 1950, 231-233; Whittaker 1956, 49-50). The southern Virginia forests in the Ridge and Valley region have a high number of species due to the complexity of the terrain caused by the ridges and valleys. Generally, *Q. montana, Q. alba, A. rubrum, Oxydendrum arboreum* L., *Quercus rubra* L., *Liriodendron tulipifera* L., *Q. velutina, Carya* spp., *N. sylvatica, Pinus virginiana* and *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. species are common throughout the region.

The vegetation found on the dry southeast facing slopes of the Narrows Preserve is mostly oak. Strausbaugh and Core (1932) reported that *I. corei* inhabited open, pinehardwood woodlands on Peters Mountain, with *Q. montana, Q. rubra, Q. alba,* and *Q. coccinea* being the species with the highest importance values (Strausbaugh and Core 1932; Adams and Stephenson 1983).

An increase in tree cover is seen by comparing an aerial photo of Peters Mountain taken in the 1930s (Figure 3.2) to field observations in 2005 (Figure 3.3). The photo displays more open forest conditions while forest conditions observed in 2005 are generally more closed, with numerous smaller trees comprising the forest. Additionally, the aerial photo shows evidence of a thin and clearer forest on the southeast-facing slopes of the mountain. It is possible that this clearing was a result of logging as this aspect of the mountain is much less steep and more easily accessible than the northwestfacing aspect. During the peak of the industrial timber boom (circa 1910), large portions of forested lands in the Southern Appalachian Mountains were cleared for logging, including Giles county (Sarvis 1993a).



Figure 3.2: Aerial photo of Peters Mountain taken in the 1930s, courtesy of Jesse Overcash, United States Forest Service.



Figure 3.3: Photograph of forest conditions on Peters Mountain, taken by J. Hoss, 2005. *3.2 Field Methods*

A chain saw was used to obtain fire scarred cross-sections from both living and dead pine trees (Figures 3.4 and 3.5). Complete cross sections were taken from the dead pines with multiple fire-scars, and partial cross-sections were taken from fire-scarred living pines to preserve the live trees. Global Positioning System (GPS) points were taken at each sample for creation of a map displaying the spatial extent of all fire-scarred samples found on the mountain (See Figure 3.6)



Figure 3.4: Photo of a live fire scarred sample taken from Peters Mountain, taken by J.Hoss, 2005.



Figure 3.5: Photo of a dead fire scarred sample taken from Peters Mountain, taken by J. Hoss, 2005.



Figure 3.6: Location of the 79 fire scarred samples taken from Peters Mountain.

Forest age-structure and species composition were characterized by using three 20 x 50m plots on the northwest and southeast facing slopes of Peters Mountain (Figure 3.7). The northwest- and southeast-facing plots were located on opposing aspects near the mallow to characterize forest conditions in the area immediately surrounding the mallow. The third plot was situated farther down-slope on the southeast facing slope of the mountain to characterize the forest and fire conditions of the Narrows Preserve as a whole since the majority of the preserve is located on this aspect.

Within each plot, all trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) of at least 5.0 cm were cored using an increment borer. Two cores were taken from opposite sides of each tree at the base of the stem. All sapling (DBH < 5.0 cm, height \geq 50 cm) and overstory trees found in the plot were tallied and identified to species. Seedlings (height < 50 cm) were identified and tallied in a 10 x 20 m subplot nested in the center of the larger plot.



Figure 3.7: Approximate location of the three 20 x 50 m plots.

3.3 Lab Methods

In the lab, cross-sections were reassembled and increment cores glued to wooden mounts. All sample surfaces were sanded using progressively finer sandpaper (40 to 400 grit), enabling the cellular structure of the wood to be visible under 20-30X magnification. Tree-ring patterns from both the cross-sections and the cores were crossdated according to standard dendrochronological techniques outlined in Stokes and Smiley (1968) and Yamaguchi (1991). Each sample was visually crossdated using skeleton plots or the listing method. Skeleton plotting was done on living cores used for the master chronology and listing of significant rings (narrow, wide, locally absent, missing or containing a prominent false ring) was done for all cross sections. After measuring each cross-section and selected increment cores, the crossdating results were tested for accuracy using COFECHA software (Grissino-Mayer 2001a, Holmes 1986).

Fire dates were recorded and archived using FHX2 software (Grissino-Mayer, 2001b), and the seasonality of each fire was recorded and categorized by noting the position of the fire scar within the annual ring (Baisan and Swetnam, 1990): early earlywood, middle earlywood, late earlywood, latewood, dormant, and undetermined. Master fire charts representing temporal patterns of past fire occurrences were created using FHX2 software. Estimates of the Weibull Median Fire Interval, Upper and Lower Exceedance Intervals, and Maximum Hazard Interval were also determined using this software (Grissino-Mayer 1999).

The age structure of the forest was characterized by creating histograms depicting various age classes of each tree species. Basal area and density were calculated to determine the species composition of each plot. The combination of age structure data and fire history was used to infer patterns of past tree establishment with respect to change in the fire regime and to elucidate successional trajectories of the stands.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

4.1 Master Chronology

A master chronology was created using cores and cross sections from 19 living and dead pine trees to accurately cross date all samples obtained. The interseries correlation of the master is 0.628 with an average mean sensitivity of 0.375. The master series dates back to 1857 and the last year measured was 2004. (See Appendix A for entire COFECHA output).

4.2 Fire History

Seventy-one out of the 79 fire scarred samples taken from Peters Mountain were used for fire history analysis. Three of the samples were lost or destroyed in transit from Peters Mountain. Five of the samples were undatable, most likely because they were older than the master chronology.

A visual representation of all fire scars is displayed below (See Figure 4.1). Each horizontal line represents a fire scarred sample and each vertical dash represents a fire event. The bottom x-axis is a composite fire history chart displaying all fires that occurred during the time period. The fire history chart shows incidence of fires from 1867 to 1976. The fires that scarred the most trees on Peters Mountain occurred in 1896, 1910, 1922, 1942 and 1954.

A total of 45 fires were recorded for the period of 1867-1976 (Table 1, Appendix A). No fires were recorded after 1976. Analysis of the fire intervals for 1867-1976 revealed that the mean fire interval was 2.48 years, a median fire interval of 2.00 years, a

Weibull median interval of 2.18 years and lower and upper exceedance intervals of 0.73 years and 4.51 years, respectively. Additionally, seasonality results show that 93.5 percent (101 scars) of fires with determinable seasonality occurred during the dormant season, 1.9 percent (2 scars) occurred during the middle earlywood, and 4.6 percent (5 scars) occurred during the latewood of the growing season. Fifty-four fire scars had an undeterminable season.

Further analysis showed that seven fires (1867, 1871, 1886, 1896, 1910, 1922, and 1942) encompassed 25 percent of samples (See Table 2, Appendix A). The 25percent scarred class analysis is a standard dendroecological practice that selects the fires that presumably were the most severe and/or extensive. In this study, such an analysis is important because of the high frequency of small fires in the study area. The 25 percent scarred class analysis may better represent the frequency of the large fires that encompassed the entire study area. Analysis of the fires within the 25 percent scarred class revealed a mean fire interval of 12.50 years, a median fire interval of 13.00 years, a Weibull median interval of 12.32 years and lower and upper exceedance intervals of 6.90 years and 18.15 years, respectively.

The GPS points taken at each fire scarred sample were used to create maps to demonstrate spatial extent of each fire (See Maps in Appendix B). While most of the fires are spatially clustered, it is clear that some of the scars were spatially disjunct, indicating that at least some of the fires were quite large in extent.





4.3 Age Structure and Size-Class Distribution

Quercus Montana, the dominant species, established during the period from 1860 to 1980 on most of the sites. Age class distribution results for Peters Mountain site A (PMA) (Figure 4.2) show *Q. montana* establishment starting in 1866. It is possible, and very likely, there are much older *Q. montana* trees on the site. Eight *Q. montana* trees with DBH of 26.0 to 42.9 cm were not cored because of heart rot and thus were not included in the age structure anaylsis. Figure 4.2 also shows a peak in establishment in the late 1950s, immediately after fire cessation displayed in the fire history chart. The 'other oak' category established in this pulse are comprised of *Q. rubra*, *Q. coccinea* and *Q. velutina*.



Figure 4.2: Age-Class Distribution of Site A

Results for PMB (Figure 4.3) show a establishment of other hardwoods (A.

rubrum, O. arboreum, and C. glabra) during the decade of 1950. A large establishment
period of *P. virginiana* can be seen during the 1960s and 1970s; although there is evidence of this species establishing on the mountain since the late 1800s. Similar to PMA, there is also a *Q. montana* establishment in the 1940s to 1960s. There are fewer older *Q. montana* individuals on this site than site A. Only one core of *Q. montana* was not obtained due to rot, although it was 53.0 cm in diameter and likely very old. One *P. viginiana* sample was also excluded due to rot.



Figure 4.3: Age-Class Distribution of Site B

Site C (Figure 4.4) shows most establishment of all trees occurred after 1920. *Nyssa sylvatica, A. rubrum* and *O. arboreum* are the 'other hardwood' species that established the most between 1920 and 1990. The only *Q. montana* individuals found on the site established between 1920 and 1950 and only one rotten sample (37.2 cm DBH) was excluded. However, one of each of the following was not included due to rot: *P. pungens, N. sylvatica* and *A. rubrum*.



Figure 4.4: Age-Class Distribution of Site C

Quercus montana had the highest basal area in all three plots with 18.5, 20.3 and 8.0 m^2 /ha for plots A, B and C, respectively. Plot PMA, located on the northwest facing slope of the mountain is composed primarily of oak species, while plots PMB and PMC on the opposing slope are more mixed with different species of oaks, other hardwoods and some pines (Table 4.1).

Seedling and sapling data reveal *Q. montana* and *Q. rubra* are the most numerous seedlings and saplings on site PMA. While *Q. montana* had a large number of seedlings and saplings on site PMB, *Sassafras albidum* is the most numerous seedling type on the site and second most numerous sapling type. *Sassafras albidum* is also the dominant seedling on PMC. *Acer rubrum* seedlings and saplings on PMC are comparable to *S. albidum*.

	Tree basal area	Tree density	Sapling density	Seedling density
Species	(m^2/ha)	(stems/ha)	(stems/ha)	(stems/ha)
•	()	PMA		()
Acer rubrum	0.1	20	120	
Acer saccharum			10	
Castanea dentata			10	
Carva glabra			120	100
Carva tomentosa			10	
Nyssa sylvatica			60	200
Pinus virginiana	0.5	10	100	50
Pinus serotina	0.0	10	60	00
Amercus coccinea	0.1	10	20	
Quercus montana	18.5	390	320	1500
Quercus rubra	7 9	320	350	800
Quercus valutina	1.3	50	90	200
Sassafras albidum	1.5	50	260	150
Total	28.3	800	200	2000
Total	20.5	000 DMD	1550	3000
4iii		FNID	10	
Acer pensylvanicum	0.2	60	10	100
Acer rubrum	0.2	20	400	100
Carya glabra	0.1	20	230	100
Carya iomeniosa			40	100
Fraxinus americana			20	100
Gleditsia triacanthos	0.7	40	/0	150
Oxydendrum arboreum	0.6	40	90	50
Pinus pungens	2.0	/0	-	50
Pinus virginiana	1.5	110	70	a - a
Pinus serotina			70	950
Quercus alba			110	
Quercus coccinea	1.8	30	260	100
Quercus montana	20.3	350	2080	6200
Quercus rubra	0.5	40	280	500
Quercus velutina	1.1	40	600	1300
Sassafras albidum			1060	27,550
<i>Ulmus</i> spp.				50
Total	28.1	760	5390	37,300
		PMC		
Acer pensylvanicum			10	
Acer rubrum	1.7	110	710	2650
Castanea dentata			90	50
Nyssa sylvatica	2.8	270	130	200
Oxvdendrum arboreum	3.8	170	30	150
Pinus nungens	16	40		
Pinus rigida	3.5	80		
Quercus coccinea	43	80	30	700
Quercus montana	8.0	110	50	50
Quercus rubra	0.0			50
Quercus velutina	34	80		
Sassafras albidum	0.1		1100	2400
Total	29.0	940	2100	6250
10101	29.0	740	2100	0230

Table 4.1: Abundance of each tree species in the tree, sapling, and seedling size classes.

The size class analysis reveals there are several small and fewer large trees (Figures 4.5 - 4.7). The largest tree species found on site A is *Q. montana* followed by other oaks and hardwoods. The pine species are found only in the smallest DBH class.

Similarly, *Q. montana* is the largest species on sites B and C. However, the largest DBH class for both sites is by far is the smallest class. For site B, this is composed of *Q. montana*, *Q. coccinea*, *Q. velutina*, *Q. rubra*, *A. rubrum*, *O. arboreum*, *C. glabra*, *P. pungens* and *P. virginiana*. For site C, this is composed of *Q. montana*, *Q. coccinea*, *Q. velutina*, *N. sylvatica*, *A. rubrum*, *O. arboreum*.



Figure 4.5: Size-Class Distribution of Site A



Figure 4.6: Size-Class Distribution for Site B



Figure 4.7: Size-Class Distribution of Site C

CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

5.1 Fire History

It is clear from the fire history analysis that fires occurred frequently on Peters Mountain. The Weibull mean fire interval of 2.18 years indicates that Peters Mountain was historically dominated by a frequent low-intensity fire regime. These results are generally in line with the results of the few studies that have been conducted in the southern Appalachian Mountains and is evidence to support the fire-oak hypothesis presented by Abrams (1992). Results from these studies indicated a fire interval ranging from 7.6 to 15.5 years (Harmon 1982; Shumway et al. 2001, Schuler and McCain 2003). All of these studies were done using 43 or less samples. As a result, the fire interval for Peters Mountain is understandably more frequent (2.18 years) because the sample size was nearly double. A large sample size is necessary in order to ensure most of the fires that occurred on the landscape are included in the fire history analysis. It is specifically important for Peters Mountain since so many of the scars occurred on only one or two samples.

Analysis of the 25 percent scarred class revealed that larger fires occurred on an interval of approximately 12 years. The 25 percent scarred class analysis is important because it reveals how often larger fires occurred. For Peters Mountain these larger fires were in the years of 1867, 1871, 1886, 1896, 1910, 1922, and 1942. These fires covered large areas of Peters Mountain (see Maps 2, 3, 7, 11, 18, 26, 36 in Appendix B) and

crossed the ridge from the northwest to the southeast facing aspect indicating the ridge did not serve as a fire break.

The maps suggest varying spatial extent of all fires on the mountain. This indicates that most fires were small and spatially localized, which was not surprising since oak forests generally thrive under a frequent fire regime. Yet, several fire scarred samples containing the same fire scar are far apart indicating large fires occurred on the mountain.

Seasonality results indicate that most fires occurred during the dormant season, which is fall or spring. Winter burns were probably uncommon because the cooler temperatures inhibit drying and limit fire activity (Lafon et al. 2005). While the ignition source of any of these fires cannot be determined from fire scars, it is likely that both anthropogenic and natural fires occurred. The 1942 fire on Peters Mountain was, spatially, the largest fire on the landscape that this study revealed and there is documented evidence of anthropogenic fires being set in the same year in the adjacent Jefferson National Forest (Sarvis 1993b). Further, because of the spatial extent of several of the fires, they are most likely anthropogenic since these types of fires seem to be larger and more intense (Lafon et al. 2005, Barden and Woods 1974, Ruffner and Abrams 1998). While lightning fires can be large, it is less likely. A lightning fire occurred in 2004 (Judy Dunscomb, The Nature Conservancy, personal communication, 2005).

5.2 Age Structure and Size-Class Distribution

Age structure and size-class distribution results suggest that while *Q. montana* is the dominant and oldest species on the mountain, the forest is progressing into a later successional forest of fire-intolerant hardwoods such as *S. albidum, A. rubrum,* and *N. sylvatica.* Succession is responsible for these species establishing only after the cessation of the main disturbance type. The increase in these hardwoods coincides with the decrease in fire frequency following the last fire in the 1950s. Further, the last pulse of establishment of both pines and chestnut oaks across the mountain follows the largest fires in 1922, 1942 and 1954.

Seedling and sapling data reveal oaks are establishing on Peters Mountain, with chestnut oak stems per hectare being in the top three on all sites. However, the number of sassafras establishing on the mountain, especially on the southeast aspect, is overshadowing the oaks. Sassafras seedlings have reached 27,550 stems/ha on site B alone. Additionally, red maple establishment on the same aspect is increasing. This successional trajectory towards a forest with fire intolerant species, suggests indeed, fires were more frequent in the past and that these fires maintained open oak forests.

5.2.1 Site A

Age structure analysis revealed that *Q. montana* has been establishing itself on the site from 1870 to the present. It is possible there were older *Q. montana* individuals, however heart rot prevented gathering of information for these age classes. Their size indicates that these trees were quite old and it is possible they were established before any of the samples we were able to obtain. However, basal area was calculated for these larger individuals revealing they are the dominant and largest species on the site. This indicates the northwest slope was probably not cleared in recent history. Additionally, the 1930 aerial photo shows this aspect as having a uniform forest.

Few other species exist on this site. It is likely this is because most of the fires occurred on this aspect of the mountain. The few pines that did establish on PMA came after the 1922 fire. Additionally, other less fire tolerant oaks and hardwoods did not establish on the site until after the 1950s, after the largest fire on the mountain. This suggests the historical oak forest on this aspect was indeed maintained by fire (Abrams 1992).

Size class results support this as well, as *Q. montana* is the largest species with the most stems per hectare. The smallest species on the site include such fire intolerant species as *A. rubrum* and *Q. coccinea*.

Seedling and sapling data reveal that *Q. montana* can in fact establish on a site in the absence of fire, however, the abundance of other fire intolerant species establishing on the site suggest a shift in forest composition.

5.2.2 Site B

Similar to site A, *Q. montana* has established on this site early in the 1800s. Only one *Q. montana* could not be obtained due to heart rot. Again, its size (53.0 cm DBH) indicates it would be old. A large gap occurs between 1860 and 1920 where no *Q. montana* established. It is possible this is due to logging or clearing for pasture that occurred during this period and the oldest trees on this site could be remnants of a forest

that existed prior to logging and/or clearing. The aerial photo from 1930 indicates that this site, unlike site A, was much clearer than it is today.

In the absence of *Q. montana* during this time there is an establishment in the 1890s and 1930s of pines and other oaks on this site during the era prior to fire control efforts. The other hardwoods found on this site (*A. rubrum, C. glabra, O. arboreum*) established and continued to flourish after 1940. This is likely a result of fire exclusion.

Site B contains 17 species compared to site A, with 13. The increase in species is likely a result of aspect, topography and the high amount of disturbance from both fire and logging/agricultural clearing. The northwest aspect is much steeper and rockier. Thus, site A may remain dominated by Chestnut Oak for a longer period of time as fire intolerant species encroachment may be slower. As a result oak forests may become restricted to the most extreme sites while more favorable sites are overtaken by fire-intolerant species (Abrams 1992).

5.2.3 Site C

Age structure results reveal *Q. montana* establishment was much later, beginning in the 1900s. In fact, all other species found on this site did not establish until after that time as well. This too is likely a result of logging/agricultural clearing on this site since it is the lowest in elevation as well as the most accessible. Similarly, logging may be responsible for the large diversity of species found on this site as invasion by early successional species took over after the end of the logging era.

Similar to the other sites *Q. montana* is the largest and most dominant on the site; however, the remaining species are more evenly distributed in size class. Again this

may be an effect of logging. This even sized distribution has resulted in a more dense forest on this side of the mountain.

Seedling and sapling data show the most species establishing on the site are *A*. *rubrum, A. pensylvanicum,* and *S. albidum*; all fire intolerant species. Fire tolerant oaks and pines are establishing on this site but in far fewer numbers, indicating a shift in forest composition.

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

Since the last major fire on Peters Mountain (1954), the forest surrounding the mallow has markedly changed. While *Q. montana* is still the dominant species, there has been an obvious increase in *S. albidum, A. rubrum, N. sylvatica,* and other fire-intolerant species on the southeast aspect of the mountain. While the fire frequency prior to 1867 on Peters Mountain is unknown, the age structure and DBH graphs indicate that oaks thrived on the mountain. Natural, Native American and early European fires were common and may have supported the maintenance of this oak-dominated ecosystem. The lack of fire has created a much more closed forest than a frequent, low intensity fire regime would allow, leading to the decline of the oaks, and also of the Peters Mountain mallow. When the mallow was first discovered in 1927, Strausbaugh and Core describe an open mixed oak forest that was evidenced by the 1930s aerial photo. These clear conditions conducive to mallow maintenance and oak dominance were a result of a highly disturbed landscape as evidenced by the high fire frequency and the logging/clearing that took place on the mountain.

In conclusion, it is clear that fires occurred frequently on Peters Mountain. The last fire occurred on Peters Mountain in 1976, indicating a fire free period of 30 years, much higher than the historical mean fire interval. The fire history results reported here are not inconsistent with findings in surrounding areas. Historical evidence of both natural and anthropogenic fires occurring on this site show that indeed fire should be reintroduced on Peters Mountain at the maximum interval of 12.5 years (25 percent scarred class mean fire interval).

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrams, M.D. 1992. Fire and the development of oak forests. Bioscience 42 (5): 346-353.
- Adams, H.S. and Stephenson, S.L. 1983. A description of the vegetation on the south slopes of Peters Mountain, southwestern Virginia. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 110 (1): 18-22.
- Armbrister, M.R. 2002. Changes in fire regimes and the successional status of Table Mountain Pine (*Pinus pungens* Lamb.) in the Southern Appalachians, USA. Unpublished master's thesis, Department of Geography, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN.
- Bailey, R. March 1995. Description of the ecoregions of the United States. http://www.fs.fed.us/land/ecosysmgmt/ecoreg1_home.html
- Baisan, C.H. and Swetnam, T.W. 1990. Fire history on a desert mountain range: Rincon Mountain Wilderness, Arizona, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 20:1559-1569.
- Barden, L.S. and Woods, F.W. 1974. Characteristics of lightning fires in Southern Appalachian forests. Proceedings of the Annual Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference 13: 345-361.
- Barden, L.S. and Woods, F.W. 1976. Effects of fire on pine and pine-hardwood forests in the Southern Appalachians. Forest Science 22 (4): 399-403.
- Bond, W.J. and van Wilgen, B.W. 1996. Fire and Plants. Chapman & Hall. London, UK.
- Braun, E.L. 1950. Deciduous forests of eastern North America. The Blakiston Company. Philadelphia.
- Brose, P.H. and Waldrop, T.A. 2006. Fire and the origin of Table Mountain pine pitch pine communities in the southern Appalachian Mountains, U.S.A. *Can. J. For. Res.* 36: 710-718.
- Brose, P., Schuler, T., Van Lear, D., and Berst, J. 2001. Bringing fire back, the changing regimes of the Appalachian mixed-oak forests. Journal of Forestry 99: 30-35.
- Caljouw, C.A., Lipscomb, M.V., Adams, H.S., and Hobbs, M.St.C. 1994. Prescribed burn and disturbance history studies at The Narrows: habitat studies for the endangered Peter's Mountain mallow. Natural Heritage Technical Report, no. 94-8.

- Christopherson, R.W., 2006. Geosystems : an introduction to physical geography. Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA.
- Clements, F.E. 1916. Plant Succession: An Analysis of the Development of Vegetation. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington D.C.
- Climate Atlas of the United States; Disk 1, Contiguous 48 states. Asheville, N.C. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Data Center, 2000.
- Connell, J. H. and R. O. Slatyer. 1977. Mechanisms of succession in natural communities and their role in community stability and organization. The American Naturalist, 111 (982): 1119-1144.
- Cowles, H.C. 1911. The Causes of Vegetative Cycles. The Botanical Gazette, 51(3): 161-183.
- Delcourt, P.A. and Delcourt, H.R. 1997. Pre-Columbian Native American use of fire on southern Appalachian landscapes. Conservation Biology 11 (4): 1010-1014.
- Delcourt, P.A. and Delcourt, H.R. 1998. The influence of prehistoric human-set fires on oak-chestnut forests in the southern Appalachians. Castanea 63:337–345.
- Denevan, W.M. 1992. The pristine myth: the landscape of the Americas in 1492. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 82(3): 369-385.
- Dunscomb, J.K. and Edwards, R. 2001. Management and Monitoring of the Peters Mountain Mallow (Iliamna corei): Final Report 2001. TNC-VA Technical Report 01-1. The Nature Conservancy of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.
- Edwards, R. and Allen, J.C. 2003. Population Monitoring and Management of Peters Mountain Mallow (Iliamna corei): Annual Report 2002. TNC-VA Technical Report 03-01. The Nature Conservancy of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.
- Fuller, Margaret. 1991. Forest Fires: An Introduction to Wildland Fire Behavior, Management, Firefighting and Prevention. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, New York.
- Grissino-Mayer, H.D. 1999. Modeling fire interval data from the American Southwest with the Weibull distribution. International Journal of Wildland Fire 9:37–50.
- Grissino-Mayer, H.D. 2001a. Evaluating crossdating accuracy: a manual and tutorial for the computer program COFECHA. Tree-Ring Research 57:205–221.

- Grissino-Mayer, H.D. 2001b. FHX2 software for analyzing temporal and spatial patterns in fire regimes from tree rings. Tree-Ring Research 57:115–124.
- Harmon, M. 1982. Fire History of the westernmost portion of Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 109(1):74-79.
- Harrod, J.C. and White, R.D. 1999. Age structure and radial growth in xeric pine-oak forests in western Great Smokey Mountains National Park. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 126(2): 139-146.
- Holmes, R.L. 1986. Users manual for program COFECHA. Pages 41 49 in Holmes, R.L., Adams, R.K., and Fritts, H.C., eds. Tree-Ring Chronologies of Western North America: California, Eastern Oregon, and Northern Great Basin. Chronology Series 6. Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of Arizona, Tucson.
- Huston, M.A. 1994. Biological Diversity: The coexistence of species on changing landscapes. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK.
- Intellicast's 30 year Averages and Records for Narrows, Virginia. 2007. http://intellicast.com/IcastPage/LoadPage.aspx?seg=LocalWeather&loc=usvact1 7804&product=ClimateData&prodgrp=HistoricWeather&prodnav=1201 (last accessed 18 February 2007).
- Lafon, C.W., Hoss, J.A., and Grissino-Mayer, H.D. 2005. The contemporary fire regime of the central Appalachian Mountains and its relation to climate. Physical Geography 26:126–146.
- MacDonald, G. 2003. Biogeography: An Introduction to Space, Time and Life. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, New York.
- The Narrows. 2007. The Nature Conservancy in Virginia. http://www.nature.org/wherewework/northamerica/states/virginia/preserves/art12 42.html (last accessed 18 February 2007).
- Pyne, S.J. 1982. Fire in America: A Cultural History of Wildland and Rural Fire. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Pyne, S.J. 2001. Fire: A Brief History. University of Washington Press, Seattle, Washington.
- Ross, M.S., Sharik, T.L. and Smith, D. W. 1982. Age-structure relationships of tree species in an Appalachian oak forest in southwest Virginia. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 109 (3): 287-298.

- Ruffner, C.M. and Abrams, M.D. 1998. Lightning strikes and resultant fires from archival (1912-1917) information in Pennsylvania. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 125 (3): 249-252.
- Ruffner, C.M. and Abrams, M.D. 2002. Dendrochronological investigation of disturbance history for a native American site in Northwestern Pennsylvania. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 129(3): 251-260.
- Russell, E.W. B. 1983. Indian-set fires in the forests of the northeastern United States. Ecology 64 (1): 78-88.
- Sarvis, W. 1993a. An Appalachian forest: creation of the Jefferson National Forest and its effects on the local community. Forest and Conservation History 37:169–178.
- Sarvis, W. 1993b. The Great Anti-Fire Campaign. American Forests. 99 (3):33-58.
- Schuler, T. M. and McCain, W.R. 2003. Fire History of a Ridge and Valley Oak Forest. Newtown Square, PA: USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station, Research Paper NE-724.
- Shankman, D. and James, A.L. 2002. Appalachia and the eastern cordillera. In Orme, A.R. The Physical Geography of North America. Oxford University Press, New York, New York.
- Shumway, D.L., Abrams, M.D., and Ruffner, C.M. 2001. A 400-year history of fire and oak recruitment in an old-growth oak forest in western Maryland, USA. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31:1437–1443.
- Smith, R.L. 1986. Elements of Ecology. Second Ed. Harper Collins Publishers, New York, New York.
- Stokes, M.A. and Smiley, T.L. 1968. An Introduction to Tree-Ring Dating. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- Strausbaugh, P.D. and Core, E.L. 1932. Phymosia remota. Rhodora 34:142-146.
- Sutherland, E.K., Grissino-Mayer, H.D., Woodhouse, C.A., Covington, W.W., Horn, S., Huckaby, L., Kerr, R., Kush, J., Moore, M., and Plumb, T. 1995. Two centuries of fire in a southwestern Virginia *Pinus pungens* community. In: Inventory and Mangagement Techniques in the Context of Catastrophic Events: Altered States of the Forest. Pennsylvania State University, Center for Statistical & Environmental Statistics, University Park, Pennsylvania.

- Swecker, P.L., Jr.; Cauley, P.M.; Van Dine, J.W.; Conner, R.K. December 1985. Soil Survey of Giles County, Virginia: Southern and Central Parts. United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Conservation Science.
- Vale, T.R. 1998. The Myth of the humanized landscape: An example from Yosemite National Park. Natural Areas Journal 18 (3):231-236.
- Van Lear, D.H. and Waldrop, T.A. 1989. *History, Uses, and Effects of Fire in the Appalachians.* USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-54. Asheville, NC.
- Waldrop, T.A. and Brose, P.H. 1999. A comparison of fire intensity levels for stand replacement of table mountain pine (*Pinus pungens* Lamb.). Forest Ecology and Management 113: 155-166.
- Welch, N.T., Waldrop, T.A., and Buckner, E.R., 1999. Response of southern Appalachian table mountain pine (*Pinus pungens*) and pitch pine (*P. rigida*) stands to prescribed burning. Forest Ecology and Management, 136:185-197.
- Whittaker, R.H. 1956. Vegetation of the Great Smoky Mountains. Ecological Monograms 26: 1-80.
- Williams, C. E. 1998. History and status of Table Mountain Pine-Pitch Pine forests of the Southern Appalachian Mountains (USA). Natural Areas Journal 18 (1); 81 90.
- Williams, C.E. and Johnson, W.C. 1990. Age structure and the maintenance of *Pinus pungens* in pine-oak forests of southwestern Virginia. American Midland Naturalist 124 (1): 130-141.
- Williams, G.W. 2002. Aboriginal use of fire: Are there any "natural" plant communities? USDA Forest Service. National Office. Washington, D.C.
- Yamaguchi, D.K. 1991. A simple method for cross-dating increment cores from living trees. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 21: 414-416.

K	
IX	
E	
PE	
AP	

Crossdating and Fire History Analysis

COFECHA Output for Master Chronology.

[] Dendrochronology Program Library Page 1	Run MASTR	Program COF	18:35	Thu 21 Sep 2006	
[] [] РКОGRАМ СОFЕСНА 26198				Version 6.06P	
					1
QUALITY CONTROL AND DATING CHECK OF TREE-RING MEASUREMENTS					
File of DATED series: mastr.txt					

CONTENTS

- Title page, options selected, summary, absent rings by series
- Histogram of time spans Master series with sample depth and absent rings by year Bar plot of Master Dating Series Correlation by segment of each series with Master Part 1: Part 2: Part 3: Part 4:

 - Part 5: Part 6: Part 7:
- Potential problems: low correlation, divergent year-to-year changes, absent rings, outliers Descriptive statistics

RUN CONTROL OPTIONS SELECTED

-

- VALUE
- Cubic smoothing spline 50% wavelength cutoff for filtering
- 32 years 50 years lagged successively by 25 years A Residuals are used in master dating series and testing Y Each series log-transformed for master dating series and Segments examined are 0 0 4
 - Autoregressive model applied Series transformed to logarithms
 - പ testing
- z z .3281 CORRELATION is Pearson (parametric, quantitative) Critical correlation, 99% confidence level .328: Master dating series saved
 - Ring measurements listed 9 1 8 6
- 1234567 Parts printed
- Absent rings are omitted from master series and segment correlations (Y)

Time span of	Master dating se	ries is	1857	t t 0	2004	148 years			
continuous ti Portion with	me span is two or more seri	es 1s	1866 1866	t c	2004 2004	140 Years 139 Years			
			* * * *	* * * *	*****	****	*****	* * *	
			* U *	Numb	er of (dated series	19	* D*	
			0	Mast	er ser	ies 1857 2004 1	48 yrs	*0*	
			* 54 *	Tota	l ring	s in all series	1793	* 년 *	
			* 되 *	Tota	1 date	d rings checked	1784	* [*] 고*	
			* U *	Seri	es into	ercorrelation	.628	*0*	
			H	Aver	age me	an sensitivity	.375	*日*	
			A	Segm	ents,]	possible problem	s 0	*A*	
			* * *	Mean	lengt]	h of series	94.4	* * *	
			* * * *	* * * *	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	* * *	
ABSENT RINGS	listed by SERIES)	See	Master	Dating Series f	or abse	nt rings	
PMT141	. absent rings:	1994							
PMT128B 1	. absent rings:	1994							
PMT115 1	. absent rings:	1994							

listed by year)

-	
: sou	
7	
absent	
-	
MT141	
ם	

Т Ч	.167%
• ¤ 6111 т	rings
auseiic	absent
-	С
ņ	

PART 2 	2: TI	ME PL	OT OF	- TREE	-RING	SER!												1 18	35 Thu 21	Sep 2006 Page
 1050	1100	1150	1200	1250	1300	1350	1400	1450	1500	1550	1600	1650	1700	1750 1	800	.850 1	900 1950 20	000 20)50 Ident	Seq Time-span
 1 1																	 		- - - - - - -	
, . , . , .					•		•		•									A II	. PMT140	1 1930 2004
			•												•			A II	. PMT111	2 1904 2004
TOT			•		•		•										" " " " "	۸ ۱۱	. PMT156	3 1930 2004
۰ در		•	•		•		•		•								<pre>/===</pre> / <pre>.</pre>		. PMT145	4 1922 1990
69					•		•											^	. PMT148	5 1931 2004
74																		A II	. PMT141	6 1899 2004
106																		^	. PMT177	7 1920 2004
85																"		^	. PMT128B	8 1878 2004
127																		^ 	. PMT115	9 1934 2004
71 .																				
102	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•									. FMILI4	ראסא דאיס
						•										"	<======		. PMT147A	11 1870 1952
																	<===>		. PMT137B	12 1897 1951
, , , ,					•		·									" '	<=========		. PMT121	13 1873 1989
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	•			•									" "		A II	. PMT120	14 1875 2000
9 . 7 .																" " "		A II	. PMT150	15 1868 2004
13/ 13/			•												•	" ~			. PMT138	16 1876 1990
			•				•								•	" " "	^ ======		. PMT149	17 1866 1952
			•				•								•		.<====:		. PMT118A	18 1857 1949
ר א ה	•						•									" " "	<======		. PMT174	19 1869 1963
95																				
1050	1100	1150	1200	1250	1300	1350	1400	1450	1500	1550	1600.	1650 .	1700	1750 1	800	.850 1	900 1950 20	000 20	050	

Thu 21 Sep 2006 Pag	Ab Year Value N				
18:35	Lue No				
	Year Val				
	No Ab	0 0 0 0 0 H			
	Value	. 290 . 188 . 291 . 062 1. 049			
	Year	2000 2001 2003 2003 2003			
	No Ab			4 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	value	1.552 .417 .417 .683 .683 .084 .084 .210 210 210 220 506	1.386 1.386 1.246 1.246 1.246 1.5243 1.5243 1.523 1.521 1.501 1.501	756 937 1.152 1.128 1.128 1.128 1.652 1.651 1.557 1.557	.125 .179 .598 281 363 363 1.315 1.315 1.315
	Year	1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955	1960 1962 1962 1965 1965 1966 1966 1968 1968	1970 1971 1972 1973 1975 1976 1976 1976 1978 1978	1980 1981 1982 1983 1985 1985 1987 1987 1987
	No Ab	13333333555555555555555555555555555555	13333333333333333333333333333333333333	1111111144 11111111144	
	Value	.563 1.221 .384 .015 .015 .015 318 372 372 318	149 1.265 .270 .2770 .2983 1.1884 .781 .862	1.119 195 .163 .722 .382 1.180 .354 .593 .354	3.719 .623 .623 .212 .212 .212 .212 .212 .212 .331 .331
Series:	Year	1900 1901 1903 1904 1906 1908 1908 1908	1910 1911 - 1912 1913 1915 1916 1916 1917 - 1918 1919	1920 1922 1922 1925 1925 1926 1926 1928 1928	1930 - 1931 - 1933 - 1935 - 1935 - 1937 - 1937 - 1937 - 1937 -
Dating	To Ab	ннн	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<i>७७७८८७७७</i> ००	0000000000
Master	/alue D	936 797	1.269 	024 1.1024 .8029 802 807 807 807 832 807 391	
PART 3: 3	 Year V	1857 1858 -1 1858 -1	1860 1865 1865 1865 1865 1865 1865 1865 1865	1870 1871 1873 1875 1875 1875 1875 1876 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877 1877	18881 18881 18882 18884 18885 18855 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 189555 1895555 1895555 1895555 189555555 1895555 1895555555 1895555555555

	10	.620	1999	19	.901	1949	12	623	1899
	10	.031	1998	19	.966	1948	11	1.189	1898
	10	355	1997	19	455	1947	11	.474	1897
	10	-1.067	1996	19	075	1946	10	923	1896
	10	-1.296	1995	19	232	1945	10	805	1895
č	10	-1.554	1994	19	-1.786	1944	10	496	1894
	10	159	1993	19	590	1943	10	638	1893
	10	.239	1992	19	.233	1942	10	278	1892
	10	.918	1991	19	704	1941	10	1.103	1891
	12	1.862	1990	19	.514	1940	10	144	1890
	13	1.150	1989	19	.381	1939	10	1.325	1889

$ \begin{array}{c} 1900-\dotsB \\ 1911-\dotsB \\ 1900-\dotsB \\ 1911-\dotsB \\ 1911-\dots$	Rel value	Year Rel value	Year Rel value	rear Rel value	 Year Rel value	Year Rel value	Year Rel value	r 2000 Faye
$ \begin{array}{c} 1900$	эпт	IEAT REI VAIUE	rear ket value	rear ket value	rear ket value	rear ket value	rear ket value	саг кет
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1900B 1901E	1950B 1951B	2000A 2001A				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1902B 1903@	1952@ 1953C	2002a 2003D				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1904@	1954B	2004D				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1905b	1955@					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ſ	1906g	1956A					
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	- -	1908@	1958@					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1909a	1959b					
$ \begin{array}{c} 1911e \\ 1911e \\ 1013-\cdots \\ 1013-\cdots \\ 1915-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1917-\cdots \\ 1917-\cdots$	国 	1910a	1960f					
$ \begin{array}{c} 1312-\cdots-5 \\ 1312-\cdots-5 \\ 1915-\cdots \\ 1915-\cdots \\ 1915-\cdots \\ 1915-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots- \\ 1916-\cdots- \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1916-\cdots \\ 1917-\cdots \\ 1918-\cdots $		1911e	1961-c					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1912A	1962E					
C $1114-b$ $1065b$ $1065b$ 1117 $1055b$ $1065b11191c$ $1065b1219c$ $1069b1221a$ $1970c1222b$ $1971c1222b$ $1976c1222b$ $1985c1222b$ $1986b1222b$ $1986c1922b$ $1999c1922b$ $1999c$		1913D	1963e					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1914b	1964c					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ņ	1915a	1965D					
C 1917f 1967 1966F 1912C 1968F 1922 1969B 1922 1977 C 1922 1977 C 1922 1977 1972 1977 1972 1977 1972 1977 1972 1976		1916E	1966-d					
C 1918 C 1968 F 1929C 1969 F 1921A 1971 A C 1923 A 1971 A 1923D 1973 B 1924D 1974 D 1926B 1974 B 1926B 1974		1917f	1967a					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ņ	1918C	1968F					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1919C	1969B					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1920D	1970c					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(1921a	T-1.6T					
C $1923 = \dots = 1$ $1974 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1925 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1926 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = 1$ $1976 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1978 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1928 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1981 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = 1$ $1981 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1938 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1988 = \dots = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1940 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1991 = \dots \dots = \dots = 1$ $1941 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1992 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1942 = \dots = \dots = 1$ $1992 = \dots = \dots = 1$		1922A	T 0.72A					
3 1924C 1974D 19255B 1975 1975 19269A 1976 1976 19289A 1976 1976 19299A 1979G 1976G 19299A 1979G 1979G 1931A 1981A 1981A 1931C 1981A 1981A 1931C 1982B 1981A 1933C 1982B 1982B 1933C 1983B 1983C 1933C 1984B 1984B 1935C 1986B 1984	ņ	1923D	1973E					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1924C	1974D					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1925B	1975a					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1926-e	1976G					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1927B	1977@					
3 1929C 1979B 1930. 1980A -E 1931B 1931. 1981A -E 1932B 1932. 1982B 1933. 1982B 1934. 1982B 1935. 1983B 1935. 1983B 1935. 1983B 1935. 1986D 1935. 1986D 1937. 1986D 1937. 1986D 1937. 1989C 1938. 1999C 1939. 1999C 1941. 1991D 1942. 1991D	<u>Д</u>	1928A	1978f					
19300 1980A 1931B 1932B 1981A 1932B 1982B 1934F 1983B 1935C 1983B 1936C 1984B 1935C 1984B 1937C 1984B 1937C 1984C 1937C 1984C 1937B 1988C 1938B 1988C 1938B 1999C 1938B 1999E 1941C 1991D 1942A 1992B	~	1929C	1979B					
1931B 1981A -E 1932B 1933A 1982B 1935C 1982B 1935C 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1986D 1937C 1988C 1938C 1989C 1938B 1989C 1940B 1990D 1941C 1991D 1942A 1992D		19300	1980A					
E 1932a 1982B 1933A 1983a 1934f 1983a 1935C 1986b 1936b 1936c 1938H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1941c 1941c 1941c 1942A 1992D		1931B	1981A					
1933A 1983a 1934f 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1985C 1935H 1986b 1937H 1986b 1938H 1986b 1938H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1938H 1989C 1941C 1991D 1942A 1992D	[2] -	1932a	1982B					
1934f 1984A 1935C 1984A 1935C 1985C 1937H 1985C 1937H 1986D 1938H 1987-e 1938H 1988C 1938H 1989C 1939H 1989C 1940B 1990C 1941C 1991D 1942A 1992D		1933A	1983a					
F 1935C 1985c 1935c 1986b 1937H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1939H 1988C 1940B 1990E 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992D		19345	19842					
F 1936c 1986b 1937A 1987C 1938H 1988C 1938H 1988C 1939H 1988C 1940B 1990E 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992D		10250						
E 1937A 1987e 1938A 1988C 1938B 1989C 1940B 1989E D 1941c 1991G 1942A 1992D	ß							
1937A 1987e 1938 1988C 1939B 1989E 1940B 1990G 1941c 1991G 1942A 1992A	ц 	T A 20 C	T 200 D					
1938H 1988C E 1939B 1989E 1940B 1990G D 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992A		1937A	1987e					
E 1939B 1989E 1940B 1990G -D 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992A		1938H	1988C					
1940B 1990G -D 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992A) 日 日	1939B	1989E					
D 1941c 1991D 1942A 1992A		1940R	1990					
1942A 1992A	Д 	1941c	1991D					
		1942A	1992A					

Раде 18:35 Thu 21 Sep 2006

1993a	1994f	1995e	1996-d	1997a	1998@	1999B	
1943b	1944g	1945a	1946@	1947b	E 1948D	1949D	
1893c	1894b	1895-c	1896-d	1897B	1898	1899b	

rage - rage																				
21 Sep 2006	tion																			
Thu	posit	 																		
18:35 	an dated																			
	ler th																			
	at otŀ										5 7 .50 8 .69 .59 9 .57 8 .65 0 .64 .65									
	.gher	 																	segment correlation .50 .56 .67 .70 .64 .65	
	id noi	 																		
	relat																			
	COT COT																			
	В 																			
	25 years as date	1975 2024 	.54	. 60		.79	.61	78.	.72				ļ	.47	.59				.65	
	ged ghest	1950	.47 69	.61	.56	.79	. 77	8/.	. 78			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
	, lagg ut hig	1925 1974 	. 69	.74	.58	.75	. 77	с. г.а	. 17	. 73	.75									
	ments 281 b	1900 1949 	64	•	.56		.63	0/.	ר כ	.80	.76	. 69	.78	. 58	.43	0 0 0 0		.79	.67	
	d seg	1875 1924 				ļ	.67	46		.56	.67	.70	.36	. 65	.47	י ת י י	. 64	.58	.56	
	r date under	1850 1899 								.45	.64		.39	0	.38	5.4	.57	.51	.50	
	0-year ation	pan 	2004	2004	1990	2004	2004	2004	2004	1970	1952	1951	1989	2000	2004	10701	1949	1963	tion	
	of 5 orrel:	'imes]	1904	1930	1922	1931	1899	07.6T α 2 α Γ	1934	1869	1870	1897	1873	1875	1868 1076	ο 2 2 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	1857	1869	rrela.	
	hions A = c	i es I T	L40	156 156	145	148	141 11	1./.T	1011	114	l47A	L37B	121	L20 	L50	100	118A	L74	ent cc	
	 rrelat ags:	g Ser:	1 PMT	3 PMT	4 PMT	5 PMT	E PMT.	T.M.A. 7	ETME 0	TMA 0	1 PMT	2 PMT.	3 PMT.	4 PMT.	TMT 2	7 DMT	TMT 8	TMT 6	segme	
i I L	I O H	i Q					-			Ч	Ч		-	-			i	Ч	Av	

ip 2006 j	Page
11 193	38
13 197(76
140 194 [,]	44

===== PMT145 1922 to 1990 69 years Series 4
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.561) is: Lower 1925<045 1942<044 1926>039 1922<024 1978>016 1947>015 Higher 1930 .089 1968 .015</pre>
PMT148 1931 to 2004 74 years Series 5
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.761) is: Lower 1947>025 1977>017 1958>010 1945>009 1942>008 1975>008 Higher 1944 .014 1976 .012</pre>
PMT141 1899 to 2004 106 years Series 6
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.642) is: Lower 2003<027 2001>017 1949<016 1910<014 1905>013 1943>013 Higher 1930 .063 1963 .012</pre>
[D] l Absent rings: Year Master N series Absent 1994 -1.554 10 3
<pre>[E] Outliers 1 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year 1911 -5.1 SD</pre>
PMT177 1920 to 2004 85 years Series 7
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.739) is:</pre>
<pre>[E] Outliers 1 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year 1948 -5.5 SD</pre>

PMT128B 1878 to 2004 127 years Series 8							
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.695) is: Lower 1884<024 1891<021 1881>013 192 .010</pre>	21<011	1890>009	1903>008	Higher	1930	.054	1944
[D] 1 Absent rings: Year Master N series Absent 1994 -1.554 10 3							
PMT115 1934 to 2004 71 years Series 9							
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.720) is: Lower 2001>023 1978>019 1949<015 194 .010</pre>	4 2>014	1967<013	1977>010	Higher	1934	.010	1976
[D] 1 Absent rings: Year Master N series Absent 1994 -1.554 10 3							
PMT114 1869 to 1970 102 years Series 10							
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.589) is: Lower 1869<033 1896<023 1897<015 197 .020</pre>	70>014	1885>014	1870>008	Higher	1930	.051	1917
PMT147A 1870 to 1952 83 years Series 11							
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.727) is: Lower 1934>024 1918<016 1870<015 191 .014</pre>	19<011	1875>009	1916<008	Higher	1930	.051	1917
PMT137B 1897 to 1951 55 years Series 12							
[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.710) is:							

Lower 1903<032 1944>013 1906<011 1940<009 1911>009 1902>007 Higher 1.	1930 .024	1938
==== PMT121 1873 to 1989 117 years Series 13		
[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.568) is: Lower 1895>033 1877>033 1989<021 1987>017 1880<015 1968<014 Higher 1. .012	1930 .088	1978
<pre>[E] Outliers 2 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year 1877 +4.2 SD; 1895 +4.1 SD</pre>		
PMT120 1875 to 2000 126 years Series 14		
[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.530) is: Lower 1989<038 1942<027 1950<022 1896>015 1988<013 1914<011 Higher 1. .012	1906 .012	1917
<pre>[E] Outliers 1 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year 1989 -4.6 SD</pre>		
PMT150 1868 to 2004 137 years Series 15		
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.453) is: Lower 2001<026 1944>016 1884<014 1868<011 1915>010 1930>010 Higher 1 .013</pre>	1978 .014	1917
<pre>[E] Outliers 1 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year 1930 +3.8 SD</pre>		
PMT138 1876 to 1990 115 years Series 16		
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.609) is: Lower 1911>025 1963>019 1973<015 1885>011 1969>010 1926>008 Higher 1. .015</pre>	1930 .099	1944
[E] Outliers 1 3.0 SD above or -4.5 SD below mean for year		

1911 +3.4 SD
PMT149 1866 to 1952 87 years Series 17
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.593) is: Lower 1876>012 1935<011 1905<010 1934>010 1911<009 1868>008 Higher 1938 .021 1944 .014</pre>
PMT118A 1857 to 1949 93 years Series 18
[*] Early part of series cannot be checked from 1857 to 1865 not matched by another series
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.549) is:</pre>
PMT174 1869 to 1963 95 years Series 19
<pre>[B] Entire series, effect on correlation (.692) is: Lower 1872<016 1903<015 1899>015 1963>011 1960<010 1883<009 Higher 1930 .074 1944 .013</pre>
[*] All segments correlate highest as dated with correlation with master series over .3281

18:35 Thu 21 Sep 2006 Page

PART 7: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS: 6 -----

Seq Serie	تە H	nterval	No. Years	No. Segmt	No. Flags	Corr with Master	// Mean msmt	UJ Max msmt	nfiltere Std dev	ed Auto corr	Mean sens	// Max value	Filter Std dev	ed Auto corr	-//\ AR ()
															ł
1 PMT14	0	930 2004	75	c	0	.666	1.23	2.90	.588	.618	.330	2.62	.430	009	Ч
2 PMT11	1	904 2004	101	4	0	.661	1.39	4.27	.956	.587	.408	2.89	.544	057	Ч
3 PMT15	6 1	930 2004	75	c	0	.670	1.59	4.47	1.148	.849	.349	2.64	.463	015	Ч
4 PMT14	5	922 1990	69	m	0	.561	1.35	3.63	.962	.769	.349	2.89	.615	054	Ч
5 PMT14	8:	931 2004	74	£	0	.761	1.43	3.58	.789	.514	.418	2.81	.551	029	Ч
6 PMT14	1 1	899 2004	106	ъ	0	.642	.75	2.15	.455	.671	.398	2.90	.506	027	0
7 PMT17	7 1	920 2004	85	4	0	.739	1.17	5.04	1.079	.866	.327	2.49	.358	081	Ч
8 PMT12	8B 1	878 2004	127	ъ	0	.695	1.67	4.88	.964	.594	.431	2.72	.451	.004	7
9 PMT11	5	934 2004	71	m	0	.720	1.39	5.66	1.209	.768	.430	2.75	.509	.054	Ч
10 PMT11	4 1	869 1970	102	4	0	.589	1.97	5.04	1.235	.753	.328	2.68	.508	.041	0
11 PMT14	:7A 1	870 1952	83	4	0	.727	2.20	4.01	.772	.385	.346	2.60	.557	016	Ч
12 PMT13	7B 1	897 1951	55	m	0	.710	1.84	3.17	.794	.716	.273	2.80	.486	004	Ч
13 PMT12	1 1	873 1989	117	ъ	0	.568	1.42	4.69	.911	.627	.407	2.71	.423	022	Ч
14 PMT12	1	875 2000	126	വ	0	.530	1.14	3.46	.644	.674	.343	2.70	.559	.042	Ч
15 PMT15	1	868 2004	137	9	0	.453	. 68	2.05	.385	.604	.395	2.75	.474	008	Ч
16 PMT13	8	876 1990	115	4	0	.609	1.29	3.91	.782	.676	.359	2.53	.342	061	ć
17 PMT14	9	866 1952	87	4	0	.593	1.51	4.60	.738	.416	.362	3.06	.549	.071	Ч
18 PMT11	.8A 1	857 1949	93	m	0	.549	1.19	3.21	.637	.485	.434	2.79	.444	.020	Ч
19 PMT17	4 1	869 1963	95	4	0	.692	1.09	3.28	.517	.571	.365	2.72	.461	037	
Total or	mean:		1793	75	0	.628	 1.35	5.66	.801	.634	.375	3.06	.482		ł

- = [COFECHA MASTRCOF] = -

Table 1. Statistical analysis of all fires from 1867-1976

Total Intervals	:	44
Mean Fire Interval	:	2.48
Median Fire Interval	:	2.00
Weibull Modal Interval	:	1.35
Weibull Median Interval	:	2.18
Fire Frequency	:	0.46
Standard Deviation	:	1.87
Coefficient of Variation	:	0.76
Skewness	:	2.03
Kurtosis	:	4.37
Scale parameter	:	2.78
Shape parameter	:	1.51
Minimum Fire Interval	:	1.00
Maximum Fire Interval	:	9.00
Lower Exceedance Interval	:	0.73
Upper Exceedance Interval	:	4.51
Maximum Hazard Interval	:	2.36

Table 2. Statistical analysis of the 25 percent scarred class from 1867-1976

Total Intervals	:	6
Mean Fire Interval	:	12.50
Median Fire Interval	:	13.00
Weibull Modal Interval	:	12.03
Weibull Median Interval	:	12.32
Fire Frequency	:	0.08
Standard Deviation	:	5.36
Coefficient of Variation	:	0.43
Skewness	:	-0.25
Kurtosis	:	-0.94
Scale parameter	:	14.02
Shape parameter	:	2.84
Minimum Fire Interval	:	4.00
Maximum Fire Interval	:	20.00
Lower Exceedance Interval	:	6.90
Upper Exceedance Interval	:	18.15
Maximum Hazard Interval	:	22.95

Total number of fires for site	:	162
Number and percentage with season	: 108	66.7%
Number and percentage undetermined	: 54	33.3%
Number and percentage of D fires	: 101	93.5%
Number and percentage of E fires	: 0	0.0%
Number and percentage of M fires	: 2	1.9%
Number and percentage of L fires	: 0	0.0%
Number and percentage of A fires	: 5	4.6%
Number and percentage DE fires	: 101	93.5%
Number and percentage MLA fires	: 7	6.5%

Table 3. Summary Information on Seasonality of Fires, 1867 - 2005

APPENDIX B

Maps of fire scarred samples



Map 1. Location of the 71 samples used for fire analysis.



Map 2. Location of samples with 1867 fire scar.


Map 3. Location of samples with 1871 fire scar.



Map 4. Location of samples with 1876 fire scar.



Map 5. Location of samples with 1879 fire scar.



Map 6. Location of samples with 1885 fire scar.



Map 7. Location of samples with 1886 fire scar.



Map 8. Location of samples with 1889 fire scar.



Map 9. Location of samples with 1891 fire scar.



Map 10. Location of samples with 1893 fire scar.



Map 11. Location of samples with 1896 fire scar.



Map 12. Location of samples with 1897 fire scar.



Map 13. Location of samples with 1898 fire scar.



Map 14. Location of samples with 1900 fire scar.



Map 15. Location of samples with 1903 fire scar.



Map 16. Location of samples with 1907 fire scar.



Map 17. Location of samples with 1910 fire scar.



Map 18. Location of samples with 1911 fire scar.



Map 19. Location of samples with 1912 fire scar.



Map 20. Location of samples with 1913 fire scar.



Map 21. Location of samples with 1914 fire scar.



Map 22. Location of samples with 1916 fire scar.



.Map 23. Location of samples with 1917 fire scar.



Map 24. Location of samples with 1918 fire scar.



Map 25. Location of samples with 1921 fire scar.



Map 26. Location of samples with 1922 fire scar.



Map 27. Location of samples with 1925 fire scar.



Map 28. Location of samples with 1926 fire scar.



Map 29. Location of samples with 1928 fire scar.



Map 30. Location of samples with 1930 fire scar.



Map 31. Location of samples with 1932 fire scar.



Map 32. Location of samples with 1934 fire scar.



Map 33. Location of samples with 1937 fire scar.



Map 34. Location of samples with 1939 fire scar.



Map 35. Location of samples with 1940 fire scar.



Map 36. Location of samples with 1942 fire scar.



Map 37. Location of samples with 1943 fire scar.



Map 38. Location of samples with 1944 fire scar.


Map 39. Location of samples with 1945 fire scar.



Map 40. Location of samples with 1954 fire scar.



Map 41. Location of samples with 1956 fire scar.



Map 42. Location of samples with 1965 fire scar.



Map 43. Location of samples with 1969 fire scar.



Map 44. Location of samples with 1972 fire scar.



Map 45. Location of samples with 1975 fire scar.



Map 46. Location of samples with 1976 fire scar.

VITA

Name:	Jennifer Ann Hoss
Address:	706 O&M, Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3147
Email Address:	ja.hoss@gmail.com
Education:	B.S. Geography, Texas A&M University, 2004. M.S. Geography, Texas A&M University, 2007.