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ABSTRACT

Decoupling HAMP from TM2 in the Escherichia coli Aspartate Chemoreceptor.
(April 2009)

Rachel Leann Crowder
Department of Biology
Texas A&M University

Research Advisor: Dr. Michael D. Manson
Department of Biology

The HAMP (often found in Histidine kinases, Adenylate cyclases, Methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins, and Phosphatases) domain is a widely conserved motif often found in transmembrane signaling proteins in many prokaryotes and lower eukaryotes. It consists of a pair of two amphipathic helices connected by a flexible linker. Recently, the solution structure of the Archeoglobus fulgidus Af1503 HAMP domain was isolated and resolved using NMR. The Af1503 HAMP domain forms a stable four helix bundle with parallel helices that pack into a non-canonical knob-on-knob conformation. Several models have been proposed in methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs) to explain how the four-helix bundle transmits the downward piston movement of transmembrane 2 (TM2) into the signaling domain, inhibiting kinase activity. It is likely the connector between TM2 and the HAMP domain is important in transducing the input signal from TM2 to HAMP. Following this rationale, increasing the flexibility of the connector should reduce the intensity of the output signal. To test the effect of increasing the flexibility of the TM2/HAMP linker, residues methionine 215 through threonine 218 of
the *Escherichia coli* aspartate chemoreceptor Tar were replaced with four glycine residues. Glycine residues were then deleted (-1G through -4G) and added (+1G through +5G). Aspartate sensitivity, rotational bias, mean reversal frequency, and *in vivo* methylation levels were measured. These experiments suggest that increasing the flexibility between TM2 and HAMP reduces the strength of signal transmission from TM2 to HAMP, and places the receptor in an inactive form that mimics the attractant-bound state.
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# NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS1</td>
<td>amphipathic helix 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS2</td>
<td>amphipathic helix 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCW</td>
<td>counterclockwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>cytoplasmic domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Che</td>
<td>chemotaxis protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>clockwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMP</td>
<td>histidine kinase, adenylate cyclase, methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein, phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPK</td>
<td>histidine protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRF</td>
<td>mean reversal frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM2</td>
<td>transmembrane 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

*Escherichia coli* is a rod-shaped, gram-negative bacterium. It is approximately 2µm long and 0.5-1.0µm in diameter. An *E. coli* cell is too small to affect its environment appreciably; thus, a cell must continuously monitor its surroundings and take appropriate measures to ensure survival. *E. coli*, along with many other prokaryotes and eukaryotes, uses chemotaxis to move up or down gradients of environmental stimuli (1), such as pH (2), redox potential (3, 4), amino acids (5), sugars(6), metal ions, and small peptides(7).

**E. coli motility and chemotaxis**

*E. coli* have five to seven petrichous flagella located randomly around the cell (8). A bi-directional proton-driven motor at the cytoplasmic base of each flagellum allow rotation of each flagellum. Motors turn either clockwise (CW) or counterclockwise (CCW) (9). During counterclockwise rotation, the flagellar filaments coalesce to form a bundle that propels the cell in a smooth swim, called a run (10). Clockwise rotation dissociates this bundle, thereby allowing the cell to reorient itself in three-dimensional space—an event known as a tumble (11) (Figure 1, Part A). The rate of reorientation is determined by the number of flagella involved in a clockwise motion.

---

This thesis follows the style of *Biochemistry*.
Figure 1: Chemotaxis of *E. coli* in a chemoeffector gradient. (A) Counterclockwise (CCW) rotation causes the flagellar filaments to coalesce into a bundle that propels the cell in a run. Clockwise rotation (CW) dissociates the bundle, causing the cell to reorient itself in three-dimensions—a tumble. (B) In an environment lacking attractant or repellent, cells carry out a random walk, alternating between runs and tumbles. (C) In a gradient of chemoeffector, runs in the favorable direction are extended, thus biasing the random walk and allowing for overall migration toward higher concentrations of attractant (shaded region) or lower concentrations of repellent.
In an environment lacking a detectable chemical gradient, the flagellar motor alternates between CCW and CW rotation, causing the cell to execute a three-dimensional random walk (Figure 1, Part B). The cell continuously assesses its surroundings in order to compare its current chemical environment to the one it occupied in the recent past (~3 seconds) (12, 13). The cell uses this information to continue swimming in its present direction or to randomly reorient itself to sample a new direction (12, 14, 15). *E. coli* initiates a biased three-dimensional walk when unidirectional smooth-swimming runs become longer because tumbling events become less frequent (Figure 1, Part C).

**Allosteric signaling regulates cellular behavior through the induction of a phosphorelay system**

These physiological responses are the result of the phosphorelay signaling cascade triggered by external stimuli sensed by the chemoreceptors. In *E. coli* this pathway is controlled by four transmembrane chemoreceptors and a set of cytosolic chemotaxis (Che) proteins. The internal phosphorelay system begins with the histidine protein kinase (HPK), CheA, which undergoes autophosphorylation that is stimulated by contact of the CheA dimer with the basal tip of the chemoreceptor cytoplasmic domain (16-18). This coupling also requires the CheW protein (19). In the absence of ligand, CheA is allosterically induced to its “on” state, and autophosphorylates at His48 in the N-terminal P1 domain. The response regulator, CheY, then binds to the P2 domain of CheA, and the phosphoryl group is transferred to Asp57 of CheY to produce phospho-CheY (CheY-P). CheY-P has a much lower affinity for CheA than CheY and quickly
dissociates from the kinase. CheY-P diffuses through the cytosol to the flagellar motor, where it interacts with FliM (20-22), the protein responsible for motor switching. As the concentration of intracellular CheY-P increases, more CheY-P associates with FliM throughout the cell to induce a reversal of the flagellar motor to CW rotation (23, 24). This reversal causes a tumbling event. As the concentration of intracellular CheY-P decreases, the flagellar motor resumes a CCW rotation. Although CheY-P spontaneously dephosphorylates of CheY-P, its dephosphorylation by the phosphatase, CheZ, ensures that the steady-state ratio of CheY and CheY-P is maintained in the cell that results in frequent switching between CW and CCW flagellar rotation (22, 25-27).

When an attractant binds, the ability of the receptor to stimulate CheA is inhibited. This inhibition is transient because the ligand-induced changes are counterbalanced by methylation of specific amino acid residues within the adaptation region of the receptor. The methyltransferase CheR adds methyl groups to Glu295, 302, 309, and 491 (28), leading to four methyl-glutamyl residues per receptor monomer in the fully methylated state. Methyl groups are removed by the methylesterase CheB, which is activated by phosphorylation by CheA (29, 30). Increased methylation increases CheA stimulation, and decreased methylation decreases CheA stimulation (a schematic illustration of the circuit is shown in Figure 2).

Chemotaxis is the result of the interplay between the phosphorelay and the adaptation machinery. Without either system, cells could bind to chemoeffectors but would be
unable to respond by moving up or down a particular chemical gradient. This is because the methylation of the adaptation region serves as a “memory” for the cell. Due to short lag between the initial ligand-induced signal and the compensating methylation, the cell is able to store “information,” in the form of methylated residues, about the previous surrounding environment. Because of this, the cell has the ability to compare two environments and move toward the more favorable direction.

**Chemoreceptors transmit environmental stimuli**

*E. coli* has five different transmembrane chemoreceptors that sense changes in chemical concentrations outside the cell. These include the serine chemoreceptor, Tsr; the aspartate/maltose chemoreceptor, Tar; the ribose/galactose-glucose chemoreceptor, Trg; and the dipeptide/pyrimidine chemoreceptor, Tap (7, 31-34). There is also a receptor involved in detecting redox potential, Aer (3). All of these chemoreceptors are methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCP’s), except Aer, which lacks the adaptation region to which methyl groups would normally be attached (35). Each chemoreceptor regulates the histidine kinase, CheA. Since CheA is inhibited by attractant binding to the periplasmic region of the chemoreceptor, the attractant is a negative allosteric effector of CheA.
Tsr and Tar, the two most abundant chemoreceptors in *E. coli*, correspondingly elicit the strongest chemotactic responses. These proteins function as organized oligomers, forming trimers of dimers under normal cellular conditions (17, 36-39). The homodimer, however, appears to be the smallest functional unit of all MCP’s, with each peptide chain running parallel to the other. Within each homodimer, five distinct domains exist (40)—the periplasmic domain, the transmembrane region, the HAMP domain, the adaptation region, and the signaling domain (the latter two compose the cytoplasmic domain) (Figure 3). The periplasmic domain resides in the periplasmic space. It consists of a four-helix bundle that creates a pocket to which ligand binds (41-43). The transmembrane region is composed of two hydrophobic helices—transmembrane 1 (TM1) and transmembrane 2 (TM2)—that connect the periplasmic domain to the cytoplasm. TM2 is thought to propagate the conformational change created by the binding of ligand to the periplasmic region to the cytoplasmic domain
Figure 2: Flagellar rotation controlled by phosphorelay. (A) In the absence of ligand, CheA maintains production of phospho-CheY, resulting in a three-dimensional random walk. (B) Upon binding of attractant, CheA stimulation stops. This reduces the level of inner cellular phospho-CheY, thereby reducing the probability of a tumbling event and increasing the likelihood of a run. (C) Methylation of the adaptation region reactivates CheA, restoring the cell to a pre-stimulus state.
through a downward piston-like motion through the membrane (44-46). This signal is received by the HAMP (Histidine kinase, Adenylate cyclase, Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein, Phosphatase) domain, which lies adjacent to the cell membrane. HAMP is composed of two amphipathic helices, AS1 and AS2, which are connected by a flexible connector, creating a parallel four-helix bundle in its dimeric state (47, 48). Input signals pass through this region and are converted into conformational changes that can be communicated to the cytoplasmic domain. The cytoplasmic domain is an extended four-helix bundle (composed of CD1, CD2, CD1’, and CD2’) that encompasses the adaptation region and the signaling domain (17). Signals propagated through HAMP pass through the adaptation region to the signaling domain, where they induce a change in the helical packing of CD1, CD2, CD1’, and CD2’. Tighter packing of these helices triggers the autophosphorylation of CheA, whereas looser packing inhibits CheA (49-51).
Figure 3: The chemoreceptor homodimer. A cartoon (left) and a ribbon structure (right) illustrate the structure of the Tar homodimer. Modules (transmembrane signaling, signal conversion, and kinase control) depicted on the far left indicate regulatory regions of the receptor. The functional domains (ligand binding, transmembrane, HAMP, adaptation, and signaling) of the receptor are indicated in the center.
Solving the structure of HAMP

Although chemoreceptors have been well characterized and have provided much insight into the mechanism of receptor-mediated transmembrane signaling, one region of these proteins have remained elusive to the fine-toothed comb of science. Due to their dynamic nature, it is difficult to isolate the transmembrane region and HAMP domain of MCP’s for study. For this reason, much still remains unknown about how HAMP and TM2 transmit signals to the cytoplasmic domain. Recently, the solution structure of the Archeoglobus fulgidis Af1503 HAMP domain was resolved using NMR (52). The Af1503 HAMP domain forms a stable, parallel four-helix bundle composed of two amphipathic helices connected by a flexible linker which packs into a non-canonical knob-on-knob conformation. It remains unknown whether this protein assumes a similar structure in the E. coli chemoreceptors. However, recent disulfide-crosslinking studies using the Tar, Tsr, and Aer HAMP domains can be modeled on the Af1503 structure (53).

Understanding HAMP’s role in signal conversion

The Af1503 structure constitutes only one state (presumably the most stable) of the dynamic HAMP domain. Signal transduction may very well involve movement between two or more conformations, making it difficult to understand the molecular mechanisms that govern HAMP dynamics and its role on signal output. To further understanding of the relationship between possible HAMP conformations and receptor function, we designed an experiment to test the effect of modifying the coupling between TM2 and
HAMP in the *E. coli* aspartate chemoreceptor, Tar. Flexibility of the TM2-HAMP linker was increased by replacing the native residues in this region with glycine residues in an. As a result, we observed the nature of signal transduced by TM2 and the role HAMP plays in transmitting this signal to the cytosol. The result was an apparent uncoupling of TM2 and HAMP, suggesting that the structure of this connector is an important aspect of transmembrane signaling.
CHAPTER II

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids

Strains RP3098 ($\Delta$flhD-flhB)$^4$ and VB13 ($thr^+\ ha^+\ \Delta$tsr7201 trg::Tn10 $\Delta$tar-tap5201) are derived from the *E. coli* K-12 strain RP437. Strain HCB436 is VB13 $\Delta$cheRcheB.

Plasmid pMK113CV5, a derivative of pBR322 with an additional C-terminal seven-residue linker (GGSSAAG) and V5 epitope attached to the 3’ end of *tar*, was utilized to express the mutated *tar* gene at physiological concentrations. Plasmid pBAD18 was used to over-express *tar*. Mutations were introduced into the *tar* gene via standard site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene).

Measuring protein expression

Cells were grown in 15 mL of Luria Broth containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin overnight at 30°C. Cultures were back diluted 1:100 in fresh medium to obtain an optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of ~0.1. Cultures were shaken at 30°C for another 5.5 hours until an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.6 was reached. Cells were resuspended in 2X SDS loading buffer and boiled for 5 minutes. The cells were subjected to 3 freeze/boil cycles, each lasting 10 minutes in order to degrade protein. Ten µL of the protein samples were loaded into a 7.5% SDS-PAGE gel. Current was applied to the gel in order to separate bands of protein based on molecular weight. Once electrophoresis was complete, protein from the gels was transferred to nitrocellulose paper. A Western blot was performed by
adding anti-V5 epitope goat-anti-mouse antibody (Invitrogen) to the nitrocellulose paper. Visualization of protein was achieved using goat-anti-mouse antibody conjugated to an alkaline phosphotase (Bio-Rad).

**Measuring motility and chemotaxis towards attractant**

Swarm assays were conducted to measure motility and chemotaxis towards attractant. Semi-solid motility media agar plates were made with the addition of 3.25 g/L BD Bacto agar, Che salts (10mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), 1mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1mM Mg SO₄, 1mM glycerol), 1mM MgCl₂, 90 mM NaCl, 0.1mM attractant (aspartate or maltose), 200 µM IPTG, 0.2% THM, 0.1% B1 vitamin, and 100 µg/mL ampicillin. Plates were inoculated with isolated colonies and incubated at 30°C. First measurements (ring diameter in centimeters) were taken after 8 hours at 30°C. Subsequent measurements were taken every 4 hours until colonies had swarmed for 24 hours. The rate of ring expansion is expressed in mm/hour and normalized against wild-type values.

**Observing tethered cells**

Cultures were grown as described previously, however with a few modifications. Cells were grown in tryptone broth with the addition of 50 µg/mL ampicillin. After cultures reached an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.6, cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in tethering buffer, containing 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.01 mM EDTA, 0.02 mM L-methionine, and 20 mM sodium lactate. The addition of 20 µg/mL chloramphenicol prevented the regrowth of flagella after shearing. Cells were sheared in
the 50 mL cup Waring blender 8 times for 7 sec., with 20 sec. cooling intervals. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed 3 times and resuspended in tethering buffer with chloramphenicol. Twenty µL of a 200-fold dilution of anti-flagellar filament antibody was added to 20 µL of these cells. After allowing 12 mm glass cover slips to soak in nitric acid for 1 hour and thorough rinsing with deionized water, Apiezon-L grease was added to the edge of these cover slips and 40 µL of the cell/antibody master mix was added to the center of the cover slip. A humidity chamber was created to store cells by placing a ring of wet filter paper around a piece of dry filter paper in a Petri dish. Cover slips were placed facing upward into the humidity chamber for 30 minutes at 30°C. After incubation, cover slips were placed into a flow chamber and non-tethered cells were washed from the solution. Cells were observed under phase contrast at 1000x magnification using an oil immersion 100x objective and 10x eyepiece on an Olympus BH-2 microscope. One hundred rotating cells were observed for 30 sec. each to determine flagellar rotational bias and flagellar switching frequency.
CHAPTER III

RESULTS

A central question in receptor biology is the mechanism by which a signal is sensed at the external tip of the receptor and is then transmitted to the cytoplasmic tip of the receptor to effect receptor activity. In this study we have attempted to understand the process in the *E. coli* chemoreceptor Tar. More specifically, we have focused on the region that connects TM2 to the signaling region. This region, known as HAMP, plays a large role in signal conversion, and mutations targeting this region drastically affect activity levels of the receptor-coupled CheA kinase.

Decoupling HAMP from TM2

This study was designed to observe the effect of decoupling HAMP from TM2 in the *E. coli* Tar chemoreceptor. To perform this task, a series of site-directed point mutations were made in the TM2-HAMP connector region. The residues Met-215 through Thr-218 were replaced with four Gly residues (4G). We hypothesized that this mutation would essentially decouple HAMP from TM2, resulting in the inability of HAMP to transmit input signals from TM2. Additional mutations were made by adding Gly residues (+1G through +5G) and deleting Gly residues one at a time (-1G through -4G) (Figure 4).
Figure 4: Introduction of Gly residues create a flexible tether. A cartoon of the HAMP domain four-helix bundle is depicted. The native TM2-HAMP linker consists of Met-215, Leu-216, Leu-217, and Thr-218. In order to introduce flexibility to region, this sequence was replace with four Gly residues (4G). Additional mutations were made by adding Gly residues (+1G through +5G) and deleting Gly residues one at a time (-1G through -4G).
Receptor sensitivity towards aspartate

A swarm plate assay provided an initial analysis of receptor function by determining whether the mutated Tar receptors were able to sense the chemoattractant aspartate. pMK113 plasmids containing the mutated tar genes were introduced into competent VB13 cells. Isolated colonies were stabbed into soft agar containing minimal media supplemented with aspartate. Colonies were allowed to swarm for 24 hours, at which time swarm ring diameters were measured and normalized to wild-type measurements (Figure 5). The 4G mutant had ~80% of the wild type swarm diameter. However, chemotactic ability toward aspartate drastically decreased in a stepwise manner as Gly residues were subtracted or added. The most extreme mutations, such as -3G, -4G, and +5G, exhibited a complete loss of receptor function (Figure 5).

Observing flagellar motor rotation

In order to observe the effect of the Gly-substituted TM2-HAMP tether on flagellar motor rotation, mutant pMK113CV5 plasmids were transformed into VB13. Cells were tethered to a glass slide via a single flagellum and rotational switching frequencies and rotational biases were observed for cells of each mutant in the absence of ligand in order to estimate the basal level of kinase activity induced by the Tar receptor.
Figure 5: Glycine mutant aspartate swarm rates. Mutant receptors were expressed in pMK113CV in the VB13 strain. After 24 hours, swarm ring diameters were measured in millimeters. Rate of ring expansion is expressed as mm/hr and normalized against wild-type values.
Mean reversal frequency of cheR^B^ strain

In the CheR^B^ strain, wild type Tar supports a mean reversal frequency (MRF) of 0.672 reversals/sec. Substitution of the MLLT sequence with four (4G) or five Gly residue (+1G) results in comparable MRFs of 0.577 and 0.518 reversals/sec, respectively. However, the MRF drastically decreased as more Gly residues were added (+2G through +5G) or subtracted (-1G and -2G). The data is depicted in Figure 6.

Rotational bias of cheR^B^ strain

In addition to mean reversal frequencies, rotational bias was determined. Cells were separated into five groups based on the ratio of CW to CCW rotation. These groups were CCW locked, CCW biased, CCW/CW, CW biased, and CW locked. These categories correlate with CCW to CW rotation ratios of an average of 100:0, 80:20, 60:40, 20:80, and 0:100, respectively. Out of 99 WT cells, 63 exhibited an equal distribution of CCW to CW rotation, 33 cells were CCW biased, and 3 were CCW locked. The 4G mutant had very similar rotational characteristics. However, as additional Gly residues were added or subtracted, cell rotation became increasingly CCW biased and eventually became CCW locked in the -3G, -4G, and +5G mutants (Figure 7).
Figure 6: Glycine mutant mean reversal frequencies. Reversal frequencies of the flagellar motor were recorded for each mutant. Mean frequencies are expressed as reversal/sec, with a reversal defined a single change in direction (CW or CCW) of the flagellar motor. Cells with adaptation machinery (cheR⁺B⁺) are represented as black columns; while ΔcheRB cells are represented as gray columns.
Figure 7: Flagellar rotational bias as a result of methylation compensation. The flagellar motor rotational bias during a 30 sec interval was recorded in cells expressing cheRB. One hundred cells per mutant were classified into five categories based on rotational bias: CCW locked, CCW biased, CCW/CW, CW biased, and CW locked (depicted graphically from left to right). Extreme Gly additions or deletions resulted in a CCW locked phenotype.
Flagellar motor characterization of the ΔcheRB strain

Reversal frequencies and rotational biases were observed in HCB436, a strain that lacks CheR and CheB, to understand the effects of removing the adaptation machinery. The only cells that reversed at all were those expressing the 4G mutation. These cells showed a MRF of 0.032 reversals/sec (Figure 6), with only 3 cells out of 100 experiencing reversals. Although not completely CCW locked like all of the other Gly mutants, 4G was overwhelmingly CCW biased (Figure 8).
Figure 8: Flagellar rotational bias without adaptation. The flagellar motor rotational bias during a 30 sec interval was recorded in cells lacking the cheR and cheB genes. One hundred cells per mutant were classified into five categories based on rotational bias: CCW locked, CCW biased, CCW/CW, CW biased, and CW locked (depicted graphically from left to right). All mutants, except 4G, exhibited a completely CCW locked phenotype.
CHAPTER IV
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

E. coli chemotaxis is a system that serves as a model for other two-component signaling pathways, and many of its properties are conserved in other prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. Recently, the focus of study has shifted to the mechanisms employed to transfer stimuli from the extracellular environment to the cell interior. This effort faces difficulties, since the cell membrane interferes with direct observation of the transmembrane region. Because of this complication, ligand binding and kinase activation have been described in detail, but the means by which the signal is transferred for the external to the internal domains remains elusive. This study has attempted to address the issue of signal propagation through the region that connects the signal input and signal output domains. We have utilized the E. coli aspartate chemoreceptor, Tar, to focus on the region of the receptor immediately C-terminal of, and adjacent to, TM2 and the cytosolic membrane, called the HAMP domain. We hypothesized that by replacing the native MLLT sequence of the TM2-HAMP linker with a flexible Gly-residue linker, HAMP would be decoupled from TM2, resulting in a receptor that is incapable of transducing signals initiated by ligand binding to the cytoplasmic domain.

As expected, all mutations in the TM2-HAMP linker resulted in a CCW-locked (locked off) phenotype in cells lacking adaptation machinery (HCB436 ΔcheR*B). A CCW-locked phenotype suggests that the concentration of intracellular CheY-P is decreased.
This can be explained by decreased CheA kinase activity. According to these data, the receptor is locked “off” even when attractant is not present. This suggests that inserting a flexible linker (in this case, a string of Gly residues) between TM2 and HAMP alters the basal signal normally seen in a fully intact receptor.

Previous studies show that CheA is activated by the cytoplasmic domain of the receptor in the presence of CheW (18). However, if HAMP is added to the cytoplasmic domain, CheA activity is substantially decreased (J.S. Parkinson, personal communication). These data, and the results of this experiment, indicate that HAMP acts as an inhibitor of the kinase-activating function of the cytoplasmic domain. Kinase activity is restored when the periplasmic and transmembrane regions are present, indicating that HAMP inhibition of the cytoplasmic domain is overcome. It seems logical to conclude that the role of the periplasmic and transmembrane regions is to inhibit HAMP, therefore counteracting its inhibition of kinase-activating activity. The addition of attractant may inhibit the HAMP-override imposed by the periplasmic/transmembrane region, in which case HAMP would again inhibit stimulation of CheA, causing the flagellar motor to rotate CCW (Figure 9).

The rotational bias and reversal frequencies of the mutants are “recovered” (are closer to wild-type levels) in the presence of the adaptation system (CheR+B+). Preliminary results indicate that mutant receptors are overmethylated, suggesting that they are a good substrates for CheR but poor substrates for CheB.
Methylation of Glu residues in the adaptation region neutralizes their negative charge, decreasing the repulsion by Glu residues in the adaptation region of the other subunit in the homodimer. A tighter packing of the CD1, CD2, CD1’, and CD2’ helices should result. This mechanism would explain the rescue of the CCW-locked phenotype by an overmethylated receptor. Flexibility introduced into the TM2-HAMP linker may stabilize the four-helix bundle of HAMP in its inhibitory conformation, resulting in the destabilization of the four-helix bundle of the cytoplasmic domain through loosening of the helical packing. Methylation may then compensate by causing the helices to pack more tightly. Tighter packing may transfer further upstream the receptor where it may be interpreted as stabilization in HAMP. Therefore, the key to optimal chemotaxis is the maintenance of HAMP in a state of intermediate stability. Any deviation from this critical point may bias the receptor enough to shift its fine tuning away from equilibrium. Methylation by the adaptation system may provide a means to keep the receptor from deviating too far from equilibrium.

Although methylation rescued receptor function of the -1G, 4G, and +1G mutants, the more extreme mutants, such as the -3G, -4G, and +5G, did not regain kinase activity in the presence of CheR and CheB. These receptors undergo equal levels of methylation as the 4G mutant, indicating that they experience a similar level of destabilization of the four-helix bundle in the cytoplasmic domain, yet have drastically decreased chemotactic ability. If kinase activity were solely dependent upon stability in the TM2-HAMP linker
affecting the cytoplasmic domain, similar receptor sensitivity toward ligand would be expected, regardless of tether length. However, according to these results, kinase activity is dependent upon an optimal tether length. Upon shortening and lengthening the TM2-HAMP linker, methylation is incapable of restoring CheA kinase activity. This difference suggests that the signal created by the binding of attractant is not being completely transferred to HAMP. Input signals are thus dampened or completely lost by a longer or shorter tether, suggesting that signal propagation from TM2 to HAMP is determined by the length of the TM2-HAMP linker.

From these data, it is evident that increasing the flexibility and changing the length of the TM2-HAMP linker decouples the TM2 input signal from the cytoplasmic domain’s output signal, because CheA kinase is inhibited by the introduction of multiple Gly residues. If the effect is not too large, however, receptors are capable of being rescued by increased methylation.
Fig. 9: Schematic illustration of the chemoreceptors influence on ChA kinase activity in the absence of adaptation machinery (CheR-B). (A) In the absence of receptors, CheA kinase activity is very low, resulting in a low level of intracellular CheY-P. (B) When the cytoplasmic domain (red cylinder) is introduced into the system along with CheW, CheA is activated and phosphorylates CheY. (C) Addition of HAMP (purple cylinders) destabilizes the cytoplasmic domain four-helix bundle, inhibiting the kinase activating function of the cytoplasmic domain. (D) In the absence of attractant, kinase activity is restored when the periplasmic and transmembrane regions (yellow cylinders) are present, indicating that HAMP inhibition of the cytoplasmic domain is overcome. (E) The addition of attractant inhibits the HAMP-override imposed by the periplasmic/transmembrane region, allowing HAMP to once again inhibit stimulation of CheA.
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