ABSTRACT

High-efficiency home designs have significantly reduced sensible cooling loads, and some building codes and IAQ standards have begun requiring continuous outdoor ventilation air. These trends have led to an increased prevalence of high indoor humidity conditions (Rudd and Henderson 2007). This paper presents a summary of low-cost or no-cost equipment selection and operation options for conventional residential air-conditioning equipment than can result in lower indoor humidity levels. These options should be evaluated and employed to the extent possible prior to considering the added first cost and operating costs of separate dehumidification equipment.

SELECT AC SYSTEMS WITH LOWER SHR

There exists a wide range of conventional direct expansion AC equipment in the marketplace, and different equipment combinations (coil, air handler, condensing unit) result in systems with different dehumidification performance. Sensible Heat Ratio (SHR) is the ratio of sensible cooling capacity (temperature reduction) of the system to the total cooling capacity of the system (temperature reduction plus dehumidification). Lower SHRs indicate better dehumidification performance (i.e., a larger portion of the system’s total cooling capacity is devoted to dehumidification).

SELECT AC SYSTEMS WITH LOWER SHR

There exists a wide range of conventional direct expansion AC equipment in the marketplace, and different equipment combinations (coil, air handler, condensing unit) result in systems with different dehumidification performance. Sensible Heat Ratio (SHR) is the ratio of sensible cooling capacity (temperature reduction) of the system to the total cooling capacity of the system (temperature reduction plus dehumidification). Lower SHRs indicate better dehumidification performance (i.e., a larger portion of the system’s total cooling capacity is devoted to dehumidification).

INTRODUCTION

There has been increased concern about controlling high humidity levels in homes as energy efficiently as possible. Newer energy codes and above-code programs encourage energy-efficient envelope methods that reduce sensible cooling loads from roofs, windows, floors, walls and doors. Multifamily housing is particularly problematic as many units have only one or two surfaces exposed to outdoors. Less sensible cooling load from outdoors means that the latent (dehumidification) portion of the cooling load, from infiltration, mechanical ventilation, and internal moisture sources, is a larger portion of the total cooling load. Especially during weather with high humidity but mild temperatures, interior humidity levels may become higher than desired in these homes.

There are many products on the market and many strategies that can successfully reduce the incidence of the problem. Some may have a minor impact on energy use while others can use significantly more energy in order to further dry the conditioned space. This paper summarizes selection, installation and operational issues for conventional direct-expansion air conditioners that can be employed prior to seeking the added first cost and operating costs of separate dehumidification equipment.
changing from high speed to low speed. As a result, the system operates with a high SHR (less dehumidification) at the low operating speed, which is the speed where the system operates most often. For systems installed in humid-climate residences, the SHR at both low speed and high speed operation (e.g., at AHRI rating conditions) should typically be on the lower end of the available range (e.g., <0.73).

Proper equipment selection requires evaluating the full range of sensible and latent loads expected throughout the cooling season (i.e., not just at a single design condition). These cooling loads then need to be compared with the performance of available AC equipment. Since influences from ductwork air leakage, moisture capacitance due to interior building materials and furnishings, and part-load equipment performance should also be considered, annual computer simulations are usually necessary for selecting the best equipment for each application.

**REDUCE SUPPLY AIR FLOW RATE**

Reducing the supply air flow rate through a cooling system (e.g., reducing the fan motor speed) will increase its dehumidification performance. This will typically result in a lower energy efficiency ratio (EER) (Parker et al. 1997), but the efficiency decrease may be modest in some cases depending on the supply air fan and compressor characteristics.

Operating at too low of a supply air flow rate could cause coil icing and/or sweating ductwork (i.e., moisture condensing on the outside of the ductwork due to cold surface temperatures). Placing ductwork and the air handler in conditioned space or increasing duct insulation levels can help alleviate the sweating ductwork issue, but the potential for coil icing remains so a lower limit on supply air flow rate is required.

The supply air flow rate can be set near 350 cfm/ton [47 L/s per kW] if the air handling unit (AHU) and/or supply air ductwork is located outside the conditioned space. If the AHU and supply air ductwork are both located within the conditioned space (i.e., within the thermal and air boundaries for the home), then there may be the opportunity to reduce air flows even lower (e.g., 300-320 cfm/ton [40-43 L/s per kW]). However, only operate the supply air flow as low as is needed for adequate dehumidification since over-dehumidification of the conditioned space results in increased energy use.

The following information is taken from Table 1:

**Point 1**: 1225 cfm (580 L/s), 35.6 kBtuh (10.4 kW) =

\[
\frac{1225 \text{ cfm}}{35.6 \text{ kBtuh}} = \frac{1225}{35.6} = 34.26 \text{ L/s per kW}
\]

Sensible capacity = \(35.6 \times 0.78 = 27.77 \text{ kBtuh (8.1 kW)}\)
Table 1. Air conditioner equipment performance over a range of operating conditions (Lennox 2007)

| Point 2: | 1090 cfm (515 L/s), 34.8 kBtuh (10.2 kW) = 376 cfm/ton (50.5 L/s per kW). Sensible capacity = 34.8 x 0.75 = 26.1 kBtuh (7.7 kW) |
| Reducing the air flow rate across the cooling coil lowers the sensible heat ratio (SHR, or S/T Ratio in Table 1) of the cooling equipment, and the lower SHR means the unit will remove more moisture from the air when it operates. In addition, the lower sensible capacity means the system will run a little longer to achieve the same dry-bulb temperature set point resulting in additional dehumidification. |
| Table 2 presents computer simulation results showing the impacts of reduced supply air flow rate on indoor humidity levels (annual hours above 60% RH) and energy use (Henderson et al. 2007). Some of the key characteristics for the modeled houses are summarized in Table 3. The computer simulation program accounts for the moisture capacitance of interior building materials and furnishings, ductwork air leakage, part-load air conditioner performance, and AUTO fan control was modeled for these cases (i.e., supply air fan operates only when the compressor operates). For these simulations, it was assumed that the ductwork size and duct air leakage decreased with the lower supply air flow rate. However, the unit’s nominal cooling capacity and the fan power rate (Watts per cfm of air flow) remained the same. |

Table 2. Impact of Lower Supply Air Flow on High Indoor Humidity and AC Energy Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miami</th>
<th>HERS Reference House</th>
<th>High Efficiency House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable Infiltration (S-G)</td>
<td>400 cfm/ton</td>
<td>300 cfm/ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours above 60% RH (hrs)</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>2075.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Runtime (hrs)</td>
<td>2075.9</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Energy Use (%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant Infiltration</td>
<td>400 cfm/ton</td>
<td>300 cfm/ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours above 60% RH (hrs)</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td>2166.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Runtime (hrs)</td>
<td>2166.2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Energy Use (%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: See Table 3 for house characteristics. Supply air flow and fan power decrease proportionally at 300 cfm/ton. Unit size remains the same. Duct surface area changes proportionally with air flow. Duct air leakage held as a constant percentage of supply air flow in each case (no leakage for high efficiency house). Fan power maintained at 0.35 W/cfm (0.74 W per L/s). S-G = Sherman-Grimsrud method. For Constant Infiltration/Ventilation case, outdoor air flow continuous at 98 cfm (46 L/s). “Relative Energy Use (%)) is relative to the 400 cfm/ton case for each infiltration scenario (e.g., 101% means a 1% increase compared to the 400 cfm/ton case for the same infiltration scenario).
Table 3. Summary Characteristics of Modeled Houses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HERS Reference House</th>
<th>High Efficiency House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulation Levels</strong></td>
<td>R11.2 (wall)</td>
<td>R19.4 (wall)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R24.3 (ceiling)</td>
<td>R38.5 (ceiling)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Windows</strong></td>
<td>Miami: U-value = 1.2</td>
<td>Miami: U-value = 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHGC = 0.40</td>
<td>SHGC = 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Houston: U-value = 0.75</td>
<td>SHGC = 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHGC = 0.40</td>
<td>SHGC = 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infiltration (Sherman-Grimrud method)</strong></td>
<td>3.9 in² per 100 ft²</td>
<td>2.5 in² per 100 ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLA = 0.00048</td>
<td>SLA = 0.000307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duct Leakage</strong></td>
<td>60% distribution</td>
<td>No leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>efficiency</td>
<td>(ducts in space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Set Points</strong></td>
<td>78°F cooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70°F heating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal moisture gains (from people only)</strong></td>
<td>10.3 lb/day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupancy</strong></td>
<td>3 max, 2.4 avg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A complete list of assumptions used for each house is given in the full report (Henderson et al. 2007). Both houses were single-story, slab-on-grade construction with ventilated attic.

The Table 2 results include the assumption that supply fan power decreased in proportion with the air flow at 300 cfm/ton (i.e., the normalized fan power remained constant at 0.35 W/cfm [0.74 W per L/s]). If the fan power is assumed to be 0.4 W/cfm (0.85 W per L/s) at 300 cfm/ton (40 L/s per kW), this is more representative of “riding the fan curve” with a forward-curve centrifugal fan. With this assumption, the hours above 60% RH do not change significantly from those shown in Table 2; however, the relative energy use for the 300 cfm/ton (40 L/s per kW) scenario increases to 102-103% (i.e., 2 to 3% increase over the corresponding 400 cfm/ton case).

**DISABLE SUPPLY AIR FAN OVERRUN**

Most air handlers have the ability to operate the supply air fan for a brief period after the compressor shuts off (i.e., Supply Air Fan Overrun). This control method provides some additional sensible cooling, which can increase the Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) rating somewhat (typical increase <= 0.5 SEER point). However under normal operating conditions, the additional “cooling” comes primarily from evaporating moisture from the wet cooling coil at the end of the compressor operation cycle and sending that moisture back into the conditioned space. This would be acceptable for systems installed in dry climates -- sending the extra moisture back into the conditioned space would be beneficial. But in hot/humid climates, this supply air fan strategy significantly increases indoor humidity levels which may lead to occupant discomfort and indoor air quality issues.

Air handlers are normally shipped with supply air fan overrun “enabled” (i.e., same configuration used for the SEER rating tests). However, the installation guide typically provides instructions on how to disable this feature. It is recommended that air conditioner (AC) contractors disable the “Supply Air Fan Overrun” feature on new system installations located in hot/humid climates. And if homeowners with existing AC systems are experiencing high indoor humidity levels, disabling supply air fan overrun may be an easy solution to the problem.

Table 4 summarizes computer simulation results showing the impacts of supply air fan overrun on indoor humidity levels (annual hours above 60% RH) and energy use (Henderson et al. 2007). With a typical 90-second fan overrun, the indoor humidity levels increase significantly. For this particular simulation, the hours above 60% RH rose by 80% (1,583 hrs => 2,854 hrs). The difference in energy use was minor.

Figure 2 shows the impact of a supply air fan overrun strategy that keeps the fan on at the same airflow rate for a fixed length of time after the
Table 4. Impact of Fan Delays on Dehumidification Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miami</th>
<th>Hours above 60% RH (hrs)</th>
<th>AC Runtime (hrs)</th>
<th>AC Electric Use (kWh)</th>
<th>Supply Fan Electric Use (kWh)</th>
<th>Total HVAC Electric Use (kWh)</th>
<th>Relative Energy Use (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Case</td>
<td>AUTO Fan</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td>2,166</td>
<td>5,201</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>6,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan Overrun (1s)</td>
<td>AUTO Fan</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td>2,165</td>
<td>5,199</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>6,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan Overrun (30 s)</td>
<td>AUTO Fan</td>
<td>1,894</td>
<td>2,143</td>
<td>5,159</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>6,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan Overrun (60 s)</td>
<td>AUTO Fan</td>
<td>2,854</td>
<td>2,096</td>
<td>5,075</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>6,373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: HERS Reference House (see Table 3 for characteristics), constant infiltration/ventilation at 98 cfm (46 L/s), 400 cfm/ton (54 L/s per kW) supply air flow rate. “Relative Energy Use (% )” is relative to the Base Case (e.g., 99% means a 1% reduction from the base case Total HVAC Electric Use).

Figure 2. Latent Capacity Degradation with Supply Air Fan Overrun
Some manufacturers implement a supply air fan delay that maintains 50% of full flow for a brief period after the compressor shuts off. Figure 3 shows the impact of reducing airflow during the fan delay period (Shirey et al. 2006). The reduced air flow during the fan overrun period does, in part, mitigate the latent capacity degradation. However, any supply air fan overrun negatively impacts the dehumidification performance of the system and is therefore not recommended for homes located in hot/humid climates.

**OPERATE SUPPLY AIR FAN IN AUTO MODE**

Most thermostats allow the supply air fan of the AC system to operate in AUTO mode (i.e., supply air fan cycles on and off in tandem with the compressor) or the ON mode (i.e., supply air fan operates continuously while the compressor cycles on and off to meet the cooling set point). Operating the supply air fan continuously when the compressor is off (i.e., ON mode) causes moisture from the wet cooling coil to be evaporated into the airstream and sent back into the conditioned zone, thereby increasing indoor humidity levels. While the moisture evaporation process is the same, the dehumidification performance degradation with fan ON mode is even more pronounced than that described previously for supply air fan overrun; that is, fan ON mode is like having a very long supply air fan overrun period.

Figure 4 shows the dehumidification performance for a typical cooling system with continuous supply air fan operation (Henderson 1990). Moisture removal starts shortly after compressor operation begins and eventually reaches steady state. After the compressor turns off but the supply air fan continues to operate, moisture from the wet cooling coil evaporates into the supply air stream. Figure 5 shows the negative impact on
dehumidification performance from a monitored field test site (Henderson 1998). For this site with a single-speed air conditioner, the system provided virtually no net dehumidification for compressor runtime fractions less than 0.4, and dehumidification performance was severely degraded for higher compressor runtime fractions.

Figure 4. On-cycle Condensation and Off-Cycle Evaporation of Moisture from a Cooling Coil

Figure 5. Comparison of Measured SHR with First-Generation Latent Degradation Model

Figure 6 and Table 5 compare indoor humidity levels achieved with AUTO fan mode and fan ON (constant) mode. Figure 6 shows measured daily indoor humidity levels versus outdoor air humidity levels during summer weather at a Florida field test site (Shirey et al. 2006). For this residence, constant supply air fan operation resulted in significantly higher indoor humidity levels (approximately 20 gr/lb higher) compared to AUTO fan mode, in spite of the fact that the home had a two-stage unit operating with low fan speed during the compressor off cycle. With a thermostat set point of 77°F, indoor relative humidity levels were approximately 50% RH with AUTO fan mode but rose to 60-65% RH with constant fan operation.

Table 5 summarizes computer simulation results showing the impacts of supply air fan operating mode on indoor humidity levels and energy use for a typical new house (HERS Reference) and a high efficiency house (Henderson et al. 2007). For both houses, continuous supply air fan mode increases indoor humidity levels tremendously (2X to 4X increase in hours > 60% RH). Continuous fan operation also results in a large increase in energy use due to increased supply air fan electrical energy use and fan motor heat, and increased duct air leakage (HERS Reference House only).

Homeowners may feel compelled to operate the AC system’s supply air fan in the ON (constant) mode for a variety of reasons. These reasons might include the perceived need for continuous air flow for occupant comfort or improved air cleaning from a high-efficiency filtration system installed in the air distribution system. But for residential applications in hot/humid climates, it is recommended that the system be operated in the AUTO mode (with no supply air fan overrun) to avoid the negative impacts on system dehumidification performance. If the homeowner feels that additional air circulation or air filtration is required beyond that obtained with AUTO fan operation, then local devices should be considered (e.g., ceiling fans, room air cleaners, etc.).

PROPERLY SIZE THE AC SYSTEM

Energy codes frequently contain provisions to limit AC equipment oversizing (e.g., <=115% of the size determined by ACCA Manual J [ACCA 2006]), and these provisions should be retained. However, the input assumptions for the sizing calculations can greatly impact the results. Therefore, methods for additional checking of equipment sizing assumptions and verification of the calculations results should be implemented to the extent possible.

In a field study of more than 300 Florida homes conducted in the early 1990s, AC systems sized 20% larger than the Manual J value consumed 3.7% more cooling energy (James et al. 1997). In this same study, systems sized 50% larger than the Manual J value consumed 9.3% more cooling energy. A recent computer simulation study (Henderson et al. 2007) indicates a slightly smaller impact on energy use, due mainly to recent data which implies that cycling degradation for cooling equipment (i.e., inefficiencies due to on/off cycling) seems to be improving over...
past levels (see Figure 7). Regardless, proper system sizing remains important in terms of system first costs, peak electric demand and indoor humidity levels.

REFRIGERANT EXPANSION DEVICE
Air-conditioning systems include a refrigerant metering device which separates the high pressure and low pressure sides of the system. There are two common types of metering devices: fixed orifice (e.g., capillary tube or short tube restrictor) and thermostatic expansion valves (TXVs). Fixed orifice expansion devices are inexpensive and reliable, but tend to yield lower system efficiencies when cooling loads vary. TXVs are more expensive and have some moving parts which might impact reliability, but use
of these metering devices typically produces higher efficiency units due to its ability to meter the refrigerant flow rate over a wide range of cooling loads. Good TXV operation must include proper location and attachment of the sensing bulb, so installation of TXVs (at the factory or in the field) needs to be completed with care.

Hard-shutoff TXVs do a better job at maintaining high/low refrigerant pressures during compressor off period, which leads to lower start-up losses when the compressor restarts. The compressor must be able to handle the higher starting torque requirements.

INSTALL AIRTIGHT DUCTWORK

The previous sections describe equipment selection and operation issues. A key installation issue is air leakage from the forced air distribution system. Duct air leakage can have significant negative impacts on indoor humidity levels, energy consumption, and indoor air quality (Modera 1989, Cummings et al. 1990, Cummings et al. 1991).

If the ductwork and/or air handler are located outside of the conditioned space (e.g., attic or garage), then ductwork air leakage can cause entrainment of humid outdoor air which can significantly increase the latent cooling load to be met by the air conditioner. Locating the ductwork and air handler within the conditioned space helps alleviate this issue. Regardless of location, it is important that ductwork be sealed airtight, including the connection of the ductwork to the air handler where air pressure differences are greatest.

The Florida Energy Code currently encourages installing airtight ductwork by providing an energy credit for tight tested ductwork (via Compliance Method A). Other code agencies should also investigate methods for promoting improved ductwork installation practices and performance testing.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The need for residential air-conditioning systems with improved dehumidification performance is on the rise. While there are many products and strategies available, there are a number of low-cost or no-cost options regarding the selection, installation and operation of conventional AC equipment which can result in lower indoor humidity levels. These include:

- Selecting AC systems with a lower sensible heat ratio,
- Reducing the supply air flow rate,
- Disabling supply air fan overrun,
- Operating the supply air fan in AUTO mode (without fan overrun) instead of fan ON (constant) mode,
- Properly sizing the AC system, and
- Specifying an AC system with a thermostatic expansion valve (TXV)
- Install airtight ductwork

The costs and benefits of these options should be assessed prior to considering the added first cost and operating costs of separate dehumidification equipment.

![Figure 7. Cooling Cycle Degradation Coefficient for Residential Air Conditions (Dougherty 2002)](image-url)
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