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#### Abstract

Statistical rate theories such as transition state theory (TST) are central to the understanding of the rates of chemical reactions. This dissertation describes an investigation of a variety of observations in simple organic reactions that cannot be understood with statistical rate theories. Such observations are referred to as dynamic effects.

The long history of experimental observations in carbocation reactions has treated ion pairs as ordinary intermediates reacting statistically. The combined experimental, computational, and dynamic trajectory study of one such reaction here suggests that this view is incorrect due to the importance of nonequilibrium solvation and solvent dynamics in the mechanism. This idea complicates our understanding of the nature of charged intermediates in solution and suggests a reinterpretation of a series of historically notable observations in carbocation reactions.

The fundamental idea of symmetry in chemistry breaks down on a sufficiently short time scale, but this theoretical pedantry is assumed to be irrelevant to reactive chemistry. However, for the carboborative ring contraction of cyclohexenes studied here, the apparent symmetry of an intermediate structure is lost due to its short lifetime. This reaction affords an unequal mixture of two equivalent products, and molecular dynamics studies are able to account for the product mixture based on the formation of a nominally symmetrical carbocation structure with a nonsymmetrical distribution of vibrational energy. This dynamical asymmetry complicates the understanding and interpretation of stereochemical observations in chemistry.


Machine-learning transition state theory (MLTST) is an approach to the numerical prediction of dynamic effects and their qualitative understanding, while retaining the strengths of statistical rate theories. The central idea of MLTST is that machine learning algorithms are used to divide the transition state hypersurface into regions associated with each possible outcome of a trajectory crossing the transition state. This mapping of the surface allows the prediction of trajectory outcomes from the first point of the trajectory, sidestepping the numerous and computationally expensive trajectories needed to achieve statistical significance. This approach allows for an understanding of selectivity controlling elements outside of the TST paradigm.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Chemical Models

Dating back its origins in alchemy, a central focus of chemistry has been the transformation of chemical compounds. To understand and control these transformations, early practitioners assembled a body of principles and strategies to guide their experiments. This collection of rules and heuristics is known as a paradigm. The earliest paradigms were simple and shrouded in symbolism, ritual and superstition, e.g., the classical elements of earth, wind, fire, and water. The need for explanations of observations and for testable hypotheses however led to progressively more complex ideas. The iterative process of development, extinction, and adaptation of axioms ushered in new ideas and technological breakthroughs that further feed back into the evolutionary process. Crucial to this process is failure. Schools of thought become obsolete when their models continually fail to rationalize observations or anticipate experimental outcomes. Although modern chemistry is a far cry from the incantations and elixirs of antiquity, its conceptual frameworks and constituent models are still not able to account for all observations. This introduction will briefly discuss the predominant approach for understanding chemical reactions, the reaction mechanism, an associated model, transition state theory, and a collection of chemical phenomena, dynamic effects, that challenge both.

### 1.2 Transition State Theory

Chemists understand reactions from their mechanism. A mechanism is generally viewed as the sequence of transition states (TS) and intermediates that a reactant must
proceed through as it transforms into the product. These 'thin' mechanisms, focused on critical points, are routinely assumed to be sufficient to account for the observations in reactions. Although chemists are taught first about the intermediates in mechanisms, the transition state is an equal partner. The transition state, especially, is used as a conceptual tool in order to understand reactivity trends and tune reaction outcomes.

The theoretical framework for the importance of transition states is Eyring's transition state theory (TST). TST predicts the absolute rates of reactions from a formal free energy barrier $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ (eq 1 ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\kappa \frac{k_{B} T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{R T}} \tag{eq1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model facilitates an intuitive understanding of chemical reactions, as ground-state stereoelectronic, steric, and electrostatic concepts can be applied to the transition state in order to make qualitative predictions about the free energy cost, and by extension, the reaction rate. Using TST is therefore operationally simple, but that simplicity arises from a series of assumptions and approximations.

TST requires knowledge of a reaction's potential energy surface, a multidimensional topology that connects a molecule's atomic configuration to an energy value. Such surfaces exist within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, that is, the surface is valid assuming that the electron wavefunction is separable from the nuclear wavefunction. TST then assumes that the energy of a reacting system at a critical point is statistically distributed. Additionally, TST assumes that all degrees of freedom in the reactant(s) are orthogonal. In solution, this would apply to solvent, and the solvent is assumed to be at equilibrium with respect to the solvent at every geometry. TST models reactions as irreversible equilibria with no recrossing of molecules
traversing the TS. As a quasiclassical model, TST also neglects rate acceleration from quantum mechanical tunneling (QMT).

These assumptions and approximations can fail, requiring adjustments to TST. Nonadiabatic TST (NTST) is an adaptation to handle reactions on excited state surfaces. In NTST, the time course of chemical reaction is reliant not on the TS, but on the crossing between diabatic surfaces that takes place at minimum energy crossing points (MECP) at a shared seam of the surfaces. Theoretical consideration of the MECP's geometric configuration, potential energy, and vibronic coupling permits the calculation of transition probabilities by extension, the rate of a chemical reaction that proceeds through nonadiabatic transitions.

The free energy difference used in the Eyring equation is determined at a TS structure that is a first-order saddle point on the potential energy surface (PES). Recrossing is the traversal of a system through the TS and then back to starting material. This occurs when the barrier on the free energy surface (FES) is higher than the barrier on the PES. As a result, the rates in reactions that recross are then lower than those predicted from TST. Working contemporaneously with Eyring, Wigner devised a pre-exponential recrossing factor, $\gamma$, in order to scale TST rate predictions to allow for recrossing. The modern formulation of Eyring's theory, variational transition state theory (VTST) takes a different approach to addressing recrossing. VTST variationally optimizes the position of the TS to minimize the rate constant, effectively measuring the reaction barrier at the free energy maximum. This remedies the mismatch of surfaces and accounts for the rate diminution from statistical recrossing. VTST also makes use of QMT calculations in order to scale reaction rates by the amount of tunneling present at a given temperature.

Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus theory (RRKM) is a theory developed to understand the reactivity of molecules that are vibrationally-excited and whose energy distribution deviates
from a Boltzmann distribution. This aids in modeling the kinetics of a thermally or photochemically excited species traversing a TS, however RRKM also makes a series of assumptions that limit its applicability. In RRKM, the collisional excitation and de-excitation, intramolecular vibrational distribution processes are assumed to be much faster than any barriercrossing process. These assumptions are valid for an excited molecule that decomposes slowly, however, these assumptions are not appropriate for an excited molecule that crosses barriers quickly. And even less appropriate for molecules crossing multiple barriers in rapid succession. In these cases, there is insufficient time for the molecule's kinetic energy to equilibrate to a statistical distribution, and so local excitation of degrees of freedom may influence the rate and ratio of product formation.

The simplicity and generality of TST have made it a uniquely privileged and ubiquitous model whose application spans incongruous areas in chemistry such as mechanistic enzymology, heterogeneous catalysis, total synthesis, and even astrochemistry. Despite this, there exist numerous qualitative failures of TST and the study of these cases will illustrate that 1) these failures become more frequent when one starts to look for them 2) these failures occur for even the most basic reactions 3) adequate simulation of these systems demands techniques not typically employed or developed in physical organic chemistry 4) a successful study ultimately provides an intuitive explanation for results and begins framing the next series of questions and hypotheses.

### 1.3 Dynamic Effects

Dynamic effects are broadly defined as phenomena that cannot be well described with statistical treatments like TST and its associated models. Dynamic effects appear when the system in question violates the assumptions of TST. This chapter will provide a modern
overview of dynamic effects, the conditions under which they arise, and the models constructed in order to understand them.

## Bifurcating Surfaces

Early studies of dynamic effects focused on the theoretical simulation of so-called "thick mechanisms" taking place on bifurcating surfaces. In contrast with "thin mechanisms" focused on the identity and energies of critical points along the PES, thick mechanisms describe the reaction's motion along the contours of the PES, not unlike a motion picture. A bifurcating PES is a reaction pathway that forks into two paths, and with some exceptions, most of these branching points took place at or after the rate-limiting TS. In the new millennium, studies from the Singleton group provided much-needed experimental and computational studies to assess the impact of dynamic effects on experimental observations and develop a conceptual framework for understanding their role in organic chemistry. ${ }^{1-7}$ With the initial groundwork laid, the field of dynamic effects blossomed quickly with publications from Houk ${ }^{8-12}$, Tantillo $^{13-15}$, and others ${ }^{16-19}$. While the Singleton group has contributed extensively to this area, this review will focus on recent contributions from other groups.

A recent example of dynamics on a bifurcating surface was published by Mandal et $a l .{ }^{20}$ The authors theoretically modeled a deazetization reaction that leads to two degenerate semibullvalene products (Figure 1). ${ }^{20}$ The TS for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ expulsion is a synchronous, concerted structure that should lead to a diradical intermediate. This intermediate then travels along a symmetric bifurcating PES falling into either identical bond-rearranged product well. As is often the case, the authors' study of the minimum energy path offered little insight into the underlying issue of dynamics. An intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculation follows the

PES straight down the middle, depicting a pathway that starts at the reactant state ( 0.0 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, proceeds through the concerted $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion transition state $(+12.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, passes a valley-ridge inflection point $(+6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and arrives at the submerged transition state for the Cope rearrangement of the products $(-41.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. Any substitution of the starting material breaks the symmetry of the surface and results in an MEP that bypasses the valley-ridge inflection (VRI) and arrives directly at the thermodynamically favored of the two products, suggesting that thermodynamically unfavored products arise solely from Cope rearrangement of the products. To mitigate this failure in TST, quasiclassical trajectory (QCT) molecular dynamics simulations were initiated at the TS for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond cleavage. QCTs are not obligated to follow the minimum energy path and so allow for an analysis of the bifurcating nature of the PES. Their limited run of QCT trajectories gives a 1.03 $( \pm 0.32): 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, reflecting the expectation from experiments. Because of the bifurcation of the PES, the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion TS can then be classified as then ambimodal, capable of forming both products.


Figure 1 Reaction scheme for the generation and partitioning of diradical 2.

The authors next probed the effect of isotopic substitution on the bifurcating energy surface. Performing trajectories at 300 K with isotopic substitution at key positions, the
authors were able to predict intramolecular KIEs. Because the product-determining step occurred after the TS, the KIEs did not report on isotopic fractionation occurring at the TS, but rather, these Newtonian KIES (Figure 2) reflect the effect of de-symmetrizing the PES in mass-weighted coordinates.


Figure 2 Computational KIE predictions for diazetization.

The authors interpret the unity KIE for $\mathbf{1 a}$ as arising from the "distal position" of the isotopic substitution, but given the uncertainty, a deeper analysis is not reasonable. For 1bd, the trajectories show that bond breaking occurs more frequently on the more side with greater mass. This selectivity is explained as a consequence of the heavier side having the higher gradient on the steepest-descent path in mass-weighted coordinates.

## Nonstatistical Energies and Recrossing

Non-statistical recrossing is a phenomenon in which a trajectory will pass through the TS and rebound off of an obstruction on the surface (Figure 3). This behavior is commonly encountered in the trajectory study of reactions with bifurcating surfaces and/or intermediates on flat regions of the PES. An additional factor that induces recrossing in trajectories is the initiation of trajectories at a point on the PES that does not correlation to the TS on the FES. VTST corrects for this by variationally optimizing the TS to give a
structure that minimizes recrossing, however the effects of non-statistical recrossing cannot be predicted quantitatively with a statistical apparatus like VTST.


Figure 3 Archetypal recrossing on an idealized PES.

Doubleday et al ${ }^{21}$ published a theoretical study outlining how nonstatistical recrossing can significantly impact the H/D KIEs in Bergman cyclizations. The reaction of 5 faces a $28.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ enthalpic barrier to form p-benzyne $\mathbf{6}$. The newly formed $\mathbf{6}$ is metastable on the PES, but it is imbued with $20.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ excess thermal energy from the TS, allowing 6 to recross back to 5 or undergo a second barriered reaction to 5'. Doubleday used the TS for conversion of $\mathbf{5}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ to conduct QCT studies at 470 K for three isotopologs of $\mathbf{5}$ and found that recrossing was sensitive to isotopic substitution.


Figure 4 Reaction scheme for recrossing in the Bergman cyclization.

Monitoring the decay of 6a over time, trajectories leaving the TS terminated rapidly by recrossing to $\mathbf{5 a}$ or $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{a}$. Exponential fitting of the decay curve to the infinite time asymptote gave an predicted transmission coefficient of 0.68 . $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 c}$ decayed by recrossing more gradually, giving extrapolated transmission coefficients of 0.84 and 0.82 , respectively. In all three cases, recrossing to 5 predominated over recrossing to $\mathbf{5}^{\prime}$ ' The authors hypothesized that the excess energy was likely localized in the nascent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds and caused large amplitude $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ motions that facilitated cycloreversion. An extension of this hypothesis was that isotopic substitution influences the rate of energy dissipation from the new C-C bonds.

Analysis of vibrational energies in trajectories supported this idea. For 5a, kinetic energy in symmetric and asymmetric C-C stretching modes remained roughly constant for over 1200 fs. For $\mathbf{5 b}$, this kinetic energy was rapidly redistributed into C-D stretching and bending motions. The authors interpreted this as a form of Fermi resonance where the
redshifting of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch frequency induced by isotopic substitution, aligned the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ frequency to an overtone of a C-C stretching frequency, increasing energy transfer via vibrational coupling.

The authors also observed that a small proportion of $\mathbf{6}$ molecules in each system recrossed to 5 and then recrossed again to form 6. The authors built a kinetic model that predicted the transmission coefficient corrected for single and multiple recrossings. From the model, predicted ratios of transmission coefficients of 0.857 were calculated for both $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5 c}$. Canonical variation transition state theory (CVT) was used to calculated the transmission coefficient for each system, giving ratios of transmission coefficients of 0.93 and 0.92 , for $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5 c}$, respectively. The CVT ratios were interpreted as KIE predictions that accounted for zero-point energy effects in the TS and for statistical recrossing. The clear mismatch of the two sets of ratios indicated that nonstatistical recrossing was occurring to a considerable extent. The two ratios for each system could then be multiplied to give an overall KIE prediction that accounted for statistical isotope effects from TST and Newtonian KIEs isotope effects from trajectories (given in blue in Figure 4). Acknowledging that long QCT simulations were subject to spurious results from ZPE leakage, the authors performed additional simulations with $25 \%$ less ZPE in C-H/C-D stretches to find that the final predictions remained unchanged. The authors conclude that unpublished preliminary studies on other Bergman cyclizations suggest that similar nonstatistical behavior.

More recently, mechanochemistry has opened an interesting new avenue of research of dynamic effects. Chen et al ${ }^{22}$ describes a force-induced reaction cascade in polymer-
grafted ladderanes/enes (Figure 5). The application of tensile strain across the extended bicyclic system was expected to induce two sequential cycloreversions.


Figure 5 Transition states and intermediates for the mechanochemical ring-opening of ladderanes.

This was indeed the case and the reaction afforded a mixture of alkenes. The experimental product ratios of $79: 21$ for $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ did not appear to change over the course of the reaction or change in response to varying the reaction temperature. These factors in addition to the absence of the single cycloreversion species in their spectroscopic observations suggested to the authors that the two cycloreversion were dynamically linked and that the intermediate was bypassed.

The authors studied this reaction with MD trajectories that featured varying mechanical forces on the polymer linkages. In MD trajectories from 7, it became clear that no reaction occurred at $<2.9 \mathrm{nN}$ of mechanical tension. Trajectories initiated at $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ exhibited biexponential decay behavior. The rate of the slower process from biexponential fit matched with the monoexponential decay of trajectories initiated at $\mathbf{9}$, while the faster process
appeared to be an order of magnitude faster. The slow process was attributed to the decay of the $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ to an equilibrated $\mathbf{9}$ that slowly underwent the second cycloreversion. The fast process was attributed to a pathway where the residual exotherm from $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ was efficiently transduced into parallel C-C bonds and accelerated the second cycloreversion step, a hallmark dynamic effect referred to as dynamic-matching. In a systematic study of mechanical activation, the dynamic matching pathway appeared to be significantly more sensitive to increased mechanical stress. The trajectories correctly predicted the major product of the first cycloreversion, but the limited dataset did not allow a reliable analysis. The authors claimed that complete $E$ stereoselectivity in the first cycloreversion is imparted by the dynamic effect, while stereoselectivity in the second cycloreversion varied little between trajectories initiated at $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{9}$. Analysis of the MEP under different mechanical stress regimes gave insight to the fundamental changes imparted by external forces. Increasing forces dramatically changes the surface by increasing the reaction exothermicity and flattening topographic features. At 0-2 nN external force, the surface exhibits a caldera at the region of 11 with low ( $<3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) barriers along the exit channels to products, however the energetics of the barriers are inverted relative to experimental observations. At forces near 3 nN , the surface morphs into a steeply sloped terrain with no barriers after $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$. Dynamics on this surface recovered the experimental product ratio within error. Finally, the authors used logistic regression to delineate the boundary line between the two product regions with a linear combination of torsional angles and velocities during the first $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond scission.

## Entropic Intermediates

Entropic intermediates are metastable structures on a FES, but not so on the PES. Put another way, an entropic intermediate is a species that is expected to quickly collapse to another bound state, except that those transformations are barred by an entropic penalty. In Wigner's parlance, the phase space of newly formed intermediate is relatively large and the phase space of each exit channel is sufficiently small that from a statistical standpoint, it is not likely as expected that a trajectory crossing the TS can "thread the needle" (dynamic matching) and proceed directly to the product. The narrowest volumes of phase space are also called "dynamical bottlenecks". Gonzalez-James et al first articulated the experimental implications of entropic intermediates in the cycloaddition of dichloroketene to 2-butene. In that case study, the phase space is such that fast-moving trajectories crossing the TS down the center proceed through VRI, and as the first C-C bond continues to contract, climb an energetic barrier that re-directs the momenta backwards towards starting material (nonstatistical recrossing). Trajectories passing the TS symmetrically with low momentum do not ricochet so violently and so once they arrive at the VRI, they sample the available phase space in the region for extended periods of time before proceeding to a PES minimum.

In recent years, the literature has elucidated that bond changes in Diels-Alder reactions are not always concerted as postulated in the Woodward-Hoffmann rules. Reports suggest that these reactions can take place via two sequential steps if the single bond adduct is relatively stable, or alternatively, if the concerted pathway is kinetically unfeasible. The existence of these two pathways has implications for the stereochemistry of Diels-Alder reactions. When both new bonds are made simultaneously, the stereochemistry of the reactants is relayed into the products, that is, the reaction is stereospecific. However,
stepwise mechanisms with long-lived intermediates may be subject to a loss of stereochemical information as the intermediates can sample conformational space before forming the second bond.

Recently Tan et al ${ }^{24}$ performed a theoretical study to understand the lack of stereospecificity in some radical cation Diels-Alder reactions studied by Bauld in the 1990's (Figure 6). ${ }^{25,26}$ The authors state prior dynamic effects studies to support a claim that even when calculated TS structures show a favorable concerted bond formation, synchronicity in the two bond formations is not guaranteed. As reported elsewhere, the existence of an entropic intermediates blurs the lines between the extreme concerted and extreme stepwise mechanisms and impacts product selectivity.


Figure 6 Product distribution from Bauld's stereochemical studies.

To test their hypothesis, the authors truncated the system significantly to the addition of ethylene to cyclopentadiene. In studying the reaction of neutral ethylene and cyclopentadiene at the $\operatorname{SMD}(\mathrm{DCM})-\mathrm{M} 06-2 \mathrm{X} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ level of theory, the authors could only locate a TS for concerted bond-formation with a free energy of $22.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the encounter complex ( $29.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from separate reactants). The addition of radical cation ethylene to cyclopentadiene was found to proceed by three conformers of a single bond formation TS.

$+30.0$


Figure 7 Mechanistic scheme for the Diels-Alder addition of ethylene or cation radical ethylene to cyclopentadiene.

Analysis of the flat PES region between intermediate $\mathbf{2 5}$ and product $\mathbf{2 3}^{+}$did not reveal a second TS for the second bond formation. The authors note that the $\mathbf{2 5}$ can also give product
$\mathbf{2 6}$, albeit through a TS $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ uphill from 25. In order to understand the decay of $\mathbf{2 5}$ into product, the authors conducted QCT studies on the SMD-M06-2X surface using the ProgDyn software with a timestep of 2.5 fs

Of 150 trajectories of the neutral system initiated at $\mathbf{2 4 a} \mathbf{a}^{\ddagger}, 142$ completed the DielsAlder reaction within $\sim 50 \mathrm{fs}$ with an average time gap between bond formations of $\sim 2 \mathrm{fs}$. The remaining trajectories recrossed to a loose reactive complex. Of 150 trajectories of the cation radical system, 110 led to the Diels-Alder product and 40 recrossed. The authors observed that four of the product forming trajectories "roamed" for $>1000$ fs. Although unmentioned in the text, QCTs suffer from the phenomenon known as ZPE-leakage after 500 fs. The product forming trajectories completed after 200-2700 fs with average duration of $\sim 365 \mathrm{fs}$ and gap of 167 fs between bond formations. The authors observed bond rotations that would scramble stereochemistry, but only in trajectories that last well beyond the onset of ZPEleakage. On the basis of trajectory durations and bond formation time gaps, the authors concluded that $\mathbf{2 5}$ was an entropic intermediate and that the two C-C bonds were formed in "dynamically stepwise" fashion. The authors' classification of $\mathbf{2 5}$ as an entropic intermediate appears unusual as the species $\mathbf{2 5}$ was calculated as a traditional PES intermediate by the authors prior to their QCT studies.

## Dynamic Effects in Solvation

The study of solvent effects in organic chemistry is typically concerned with the effects of solvent properties on the efficiency and selectivity of reactions. Equilibrium properties such as dielectric strength, hydrogen-bonding capability, and viscosity are traditional parameters for screening conditions for organic reactions. From a physical organic chemistry perspective, a reaction's sensitivity to the parameters can be leveraged as
a point of mechanistic inquiry, e.g. distinct mechanisms have different TSs and intermediates that may have differing sensitivity to solvent properties. Marcus theory is a statistical rate theory designed to predict the rates of electron transfers in solution and frames the solvent reorganization as a phenomenon that modulates barrier-heights. More recently, the idea that solvent behavior in the midst of a mechanism need not mimic its equilibrium behavior has appeared in the literature and a handful of studies have suggested that this unusual solvent behavior has significant explanatory power in the interpretation of experimental observations.

It is understood that excess energy in reactive intermediates can have consequences for reaction outcomes, and this behavior falls under the umbrella term "dynamic effects". Most dynamic effects studies are conducted in the gas phase or with an implicit solvent model, and the origins of these dynamic effects are slowly becoming known. What is relatively less understood is how the solvent impacts the energy content of these intermediates. Currently, the normal assumptions for solution phase reactions are similar to those made in RRKM, that is chemical steps are slow relative to other mechanistic steps such that intermediates in solution are thermally equilibrated and the solvent is organized to match the polarity of intermediates. This approach simplifies the conception of reaction mechanisms in solution and is often sufficient to establish a mechanistic model for reactions. Studies in this area are relatively few due to the computational and conceptual difficulties with considering solvent motion on equal footing with solute motion, but both classic and modern studies have demonstrated that these considerations must made to understand some simple, even unremarkable, systems.

In order to study the effect of solvation on vibrationally excited solute, Essafi et al ${ }^{27}$ performed a suite of explicit solvent MD studies at the semi-empirical level of theory. The authors simulated a variety of solutes immersed in a bath of solvent molecules in a periodic boundary box. The boxes were subjected to NVT equilibration followed by production runs as an NVE ensemble. The transfer of energy during MD runs between the solvent and solute was probed through the continuous calculation of the solute internal energy. The timedependent deviation of solute internal energy from initial conditions was used to calculate a decorrelation time. The decorrelation times and the variances of internal energy (Table 1) permit analysis, although care should be taken in interpreting the results as the simulations utilize the harmonic approximation.

Table 1 Results from Essafi et al and structures of glucose and paniculatine solutes investigated in that study.

| Solute | Solvent | Decorrelation time $\boldsymbol{\tau} / \mathrm{ps}$ | $\sigma(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{kcal}$ <br> $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethanol | Ethanol | 6.9 | 2.8 |
| DMSO | DMSO | 7.0 | 2.9 |
| THF | THF | 12.1 | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{8}$-THF | $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF | 17.8 | 3.4 |
| n-Pentane | n-Pentane | 13.6 | 4.0 |
| DCM | DCM | 74.6 | 1.9 |
| Glucose | THF | 11.0 | 5.0 |
| Paniculatine | THF | 18.7 | 6.7 |
| THF | DCM | 40.4 | 3.6 |
| THF | Supercritical | 238.4 | 3.3 |




In pure solvent systems, decorrelation times are quite short. The authors agree with existing literature that energy transfer is facilitated by resonance of vibrational modes between energy acceptors and donors. This energy transfer is especially efficient in pure solvent systems, where the vibrational modes of the donor-accepter pair are identical. This same effect was expected to lead to a shorter decorrelation time in THF than the one shown, so the authors remarked that this effect must not be a major contributor. The decorrelation in ethanol and DMSO is further expedited by intermolecular hydrogen bonding that provides an electrostatic impetus for solvent molecules to collide. The authors do not comment on the small change in decorrelation for pure $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-THF, but one possibility is that isotopic substitution stiffens the harmonic potential for the C-D bonds and redshifts their oscillation frequency. This may reduce the vibrational overlap between C-D bonds with other normal mode, reducing efficiency of intermolecular energy transfer and intramolecular vibrational distribution (IVR). The behavior of non-polar n-pentane appears similar to that of polar solvent THF, but this similarity was not investigated. DCM was also not discussed.

In mixed systems, the results do not vary significantly. In discussing glucose and paniculatine, the authors state that these similarities indicate that vibrational relaxation does not depend on the size of the solute and that difference in decorrelation times mirrors the differing hydrogen-bonding capabilities of the solute. The slower relaxation of THF in DCM is again explained with vibrational resonance arguments. Lastly, the vibrational relaxation of THF in supercritical argon is extremely slow due to the lack of resonance between THF and argon normal modes. The authors conclude by stating that chemical steps that occur faster than these decorrelation times, such as ozonolysis, ${ }^{28}$ may exhibit non-statistical
dynamic effects. An interesting addition to the study would be to study the relationship between solute temperature and decorrelation time in solvent.

North and Hase have also studied the influence of solvent on the relaxation of vibrationally excited molecules. ${ }^{29}$ In the case of $148 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ excited benzene immersed in a $\mathrm{N}_{2} /$ benzene bath at 1 atm , it was observed that relaxation occurred by primarily by vibration-vibration resonance and that even after 2 ns excited (apparent temperature 573 K ) benzene populations still persisted. The implications of this study emphasis again that relaxation can take considerably longer than some chemical steps, and that reactive intermediates in solution cannot always be generalized as having a single defined structure or thermal energy content.

While not meeting all of the criteria used to define catalysis, solvents can be thought as superstoichiometric catalysts. They increase reaction rates without being consumed. Prior studies have attempted to control the stereochemistry of reactions using chiral solvents. This is theoretically possible, but due to the weak nature of solvent-solute noncovalent interactions (NCI) and the need for tight-binding of solvent to significantly discriminate between enantiomeric TSs, the experimental enantiomeric excesses (ee) reported are typically $\sim 1 \% .{ }^{30-32}$

Carpenter et $a^{33}$ published a computational study outlining the effects of chiral solvent on the stereoselectivity of a reaction where the product-determining step takes place on a bifurcating surface. The authors argued that when product selectivity is decided on a flat region of the PES, the small forces exerted by nearby solvent molecules are competitive with the forces of the reacting solute, leading to a stereoselective reaction. The study
discusses the ring opening of a singlet meso cyclopropanylidene to form chiral allenes (Figure 8).


Figure 8 Mechanistic hypothesis and solvent selection for studying the ring opening of 27.

This system has historical significance as one of the earliest bifurcating systems studied theoretically by Valtazanos. ${ }^{34}$ It is thought that cyclopropanylidene ring opening proceeds by a single TS for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion 26 that generates highly unstable carbenoid 29 that rapidly and exothermically rearranges to give a racemic mixture of allenes $\mathbf{3 0 .} 29$ is not considered to be an intermediate with an associated energy minimum, but a transient species on a sloped portion of a bifurcating PES. The authors calculated the PES for this system in the gas phase with M06-2X (Figure 9). The surface was considered to have two distinct geometric regimes. Stage 1 is a region of the PES after the TS and is dominated by the C-C bond breaking coordinate, Stage 2 occurs after the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is fully-broken and is dominated by the torsion of the substituents to form $\mathbf{3 0}$ products.


Figure 9 Gas phase potential energy surface for the ring opening of 27. Re-printed with permission from "Prediction of Enhanced Solvent-Induced Enantioselectivity for a Ring Opening with a Bifurcating Reaction Path". Carpenter, B. K.; Harvey, J. N.; Glowacki, D. R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 8372-8381. Published by the PCCP Owner Societies. ${ }^{33}$

The authors developed an empirical valence bond (EVB) model to describe the system. Classical reactive trajectories were initiated from the EVB highest-energy structure on the DFT IRC. 1200 simulations in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (control), CHFClBr , and trifluoro-isopropanol (TFIPA) gave a predicted ees of $1.2 \pm 4.6 \%, 2.3 \pm 3.7 \%$, and $15.2 \pm 2.1 \%$, respectively. The authors discovered the energy dissipation to solvent, especially $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and TFIPA, was rapid and trajectories arriving at the product wells were unable to escape, in contrast to the product isomerization observed in gas phase trajectories. In analyzing the solvent motion of TFIPA, the authors found that the solute-solvent interactions were strongest just after C-C rupture, and that solute-solvent motions were not significantly correlated afterwards. The authors concluded that this interaction took place too early to significantly influence the stereochemical outcome and that the $\mathbf{2 9}$ core, while eventually cooled by solvent, jettisoned
nearby solvent molecules in the beginning of Stage 1 and limited their influence in Stage 2. From a technical perspective, this level of detail is not possible to gain through the implicit modeling of solvent. Conceptually, this study highlights that solvent equilibration is not always possible during a rapid succession of mechanistic steps, and that solvent dynamic effects do not necessary make reactions more selective.

The reaction coordinate is a conceptual tool that simplifies the description of a chemical step by ignoring some geometric motions and focusing on one as the single descriptor of reaction progress. As in the case of cyclopropanylidene ring opening, all of the atoms are in flight, but the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length is considered the geometric coordinate that describes the reaction's progress in Stage 1. This is a logical extension of TST and qualitatively fails when a reaction's location on the PES is controlled by several interwoven geometric changes, e.g., the complete transformation of a cyclopropanylidene to an allene cannot be described by the C-C coordinate alone. An additional complication encountered in simulation reactions in explicit solvent is that the system gains even higher dimensionality and thus demands an even greater trivialization of degrees of freedom in order to arrive at a low-dimensionality representation of reaction progress.

This is an old problem and was encountered by Hynes and coworkers in studying the solvolysis of tert-butylchloride in water. ${ }^{35}$ The authors truncated the tertbutyl group into a single carbon atom in order to simplify the reaction into a single reaction coordinate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$. Using semi-empirical methods, the authors calculated a potential of mean force (PMF) free energy surface for the rupture of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. Reactive trajectories were initiated from TS at $2.28 \AA$ and integrated forwards and backwards in time for 1 ps in each direction. For a set of 200 trajectories, 91 completed a transition from neutral reactant to ion-pair and 109
recrossed. Clearly even for a solute with a single degree of freedom, the trajectories were not initiated at the true configuration that exactly divides reactant and product states. One might surmise that an additional dimension such as velocity along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ coordinate would have allowed a more precise definition of the TS and reduced recrossing, however it is possible that the outcomes of trajectories are under solvent control. In a later computational study Hynes focused on the effect of water libration on methyl chloride energy transfer. ${ }^{36}$ Hynes observed that vibrational relaxation in the solute is a complex phenomenon where distant solvent molecules restructure their networks to facilitate energy structure, precluding the possibility of describing the solvent coordinate with a geometric parameter of a single adjacent solvent molecule. In summary, when a mechanistic step in solvent generates or consumes charged species, the step occurs only because the instantaneous solvent configuration allows. Hynes outlines the absence of a well-defined and controllable solvent coordinate as a critical problem that plagues the understanding of molecules crossing the TS in solution and ultimately frames solvent dynamic effects as unexpected deviations from the norm.

In 2020 Leitold et al ${ }^{37}$ proposed a general means of constructing solvent coordinates for organic reactions. Studying the degenerate $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction of chloride anions with methyl chloride, the authors used commitor analysis to screen a selection of parameters and determine the parameters' accuracy in predicting the outcome of a trajectory. Ultimately, three parameters were considered sufficient to locate the dividing surface (DS) and judge where an initialized trajectory was relative to it. The first parameter was the difference between the two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond lengths, the second was a vector representation of the Walden inversion, and the last was a solvent charge descriptor. To generate this descriptor, the
average atomic charges for each solvent molecule is calculated at each point along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ dimension. The magnitude of this descriptor is the difference between the instantaneous charge distribution and the average distribution, and the direction of this magnitude is decided by the difference between the instantaneous distribution and the net change in average charges between the two final states. Qualitatively, this solvent coordinate describes the solvent polarization as being either product-like or reactant-like. The statistical analysis showed that the bond length and pyramidalization parameters performed well at predicting the outcome of trajectories initiated far from the DS, while the additional solvent parameter improves prediction accuracy for trajectories initiated close to the DS.

The study of proton-coupled electron transfer, or PCET, reactions has become increasingly common in organic chemistry and biochemistry. The charm of PCET reactions is that electrons and proton move in a concerted fashion, bypassing unfavorable sequential electron-transfer/proton-transfer intermediates or kinetically unfeasible TSs. Because these two translocations of charged particles takes place so rapidly, it was anticipated that nonequilibrium solvation may have an influence on these reactions. And because some biologically relevant PCET reactions are photoinduced, the decay of excited states via vibrational energy transfer to solvent is a promising locus of mechanistic study. The Hammes-Schiffer group has computationally studied the photoinduced PCET reaction of $p$ -nitrophenyl-phenol and amine bases in 1,2-dichloroethane and discussed the complex influence of solvation (Figure 10). ${ }^{38}$ Proton transfer from oxygen to nitrogen is kinetically and thermodynamically unfavorable on the $S_{0}$ surface in solution. Calculations and experiment support that the $S_{1}$ state in solution is best described as an electron-proton transfer (EPT) state where the electron from the phenolic ring has shifted to the nitro group
and the proton is closer to oxygen, but electron density around the proton originates from nitrogen. The $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ manifold is an intramolecular charge transfer state (ICT) where nitro-phenyl electron density shifts to the nitro group and the proton is still covalently bound to oxygen, displaying a much smaller change in dipole than $\mathrm{S}_{1}$. Proton transfer in all three manifolds is energetically unfavorable in the gas phase, but $S_{1}$ permits proton transfer with low barriers in solution.

Hammes-Schiffer conducted $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ simulations in explicit solvent using a surface-hopping algorithm that allowed transitions between electronic states. Trajectories initiated from vertical excitation to $S_{2}$ decayed to $S_{1}$ in $\sim 100$ fs before relaxing back to $S_{0}$ equilibrium over the course of $\sim 0.9 \mathrm{ps}$. Analysis of the trajectories showed that proton transfer did not occur on the S1 manifold until the first solvation charge reorganized ( $\sim 250$ fs), causing the buildup of negative potential at nitrogen, and tipping the balance to favor the formation of an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond over an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond.


Figure 10 Mechanistic scheme from Hammes-Schiffer. Re-printed with permission from "Nonadiabatic Dynamics of Photoinduced Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer in a Solvated Phenol-Amine Complex" by Goyal, P.; Schwerdtfeger, C. A.; Soudackov, A. V.; HammesSchiffer, S. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2015, 119, 2758-2768. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. ${ }^{38}$

Furthermore, their analysis showed that the solvent's response was immediate and acted to negate the reorientation of the solute dipole toward the nitro group. However, solvent dynamics could not explain why roughly half of the trajectories never completed a proton transfer. The authors analyzed the geometric space of the solute and recognized that in nonproductive trajectories the linearity of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ assembly had broken, and the two fragments separated in space. Solvent dynamics were also used to explain why $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ decayed to $S_{1}$ rather than directly to $S_{0}$. The $S_{1} / S_{0}$ conical intersection for the system lay in a region of geometric space after the proton transfer, so any process accelerating the movement of the proton would do the same for electronic relaxation. This work reiterates the fact that seemingly facile movements of small groups and even electronic transitions are gated by solvent dynamics.

Due to the relatively small number of contributions to this area, the nature and regularity of the experimental consequences of non-equilibrium solvation have not been enumerated so thoroughly as analogous studies in the gas phase. The study of solvent dynamic effects in the literature is limited by a variety of factors, outlined above, but additional issues prevent some existing studies from being included in the physical organic chemistry canon. Many of the reactions studied use small molecules that are irrelevant to organic synthesis in order to draw parallels to significant benchmark gas phase reactions in the chemical physics literature. Additionally, organic chemists may overlook studies conducted in less popular solvents such as water or supercritical argon. A study with the right choice of solutes and solvents will ultimately give solvent dynamic effects greater visibility in the field of organic chemistry, bringing the role of solvation to the forefront of mechanistic inquiry.

## CHAPTER II

# SOLVATION DYNAMICS AND THE NATURE OF REACTION BARRIERS AND ION-PAIR INTERMEDIATES IN CARBOCATION REACTIONS* 

### 2.1 Introduction

As discussed in the previous chapter, the regular mechanistic paradigm fails when the understanding of experimental observations requires the consideration of additional information, such as the multifurcating shape of an energy surface ${ }^{3,6,23,39-43}$ the excess energy in an intermediate and its distribution, ${ }^{9,28,51,52,29,44-50}$ or the non-equilibrium solvation of structures. We describe all such reactions as involving "dynamic effects" in that they possess experimental characteristics that can be understood by allowance for the motions and momenta of atoms, if not for now by the standard statistical theories of chemistry.

The common denominator for dynamic effects is time. That is, when an experimental observation is associated with the evolution of a sufficiently short-lived structure, whether it is a formal intermediate or simply on the slope of an energy surface, then the inaccuracy or inapplicability of statistical theories is unsurprising. Molecular dynamics is inherently nonlinear, and so chaotic, but the descent into the past-forgetting chaos that engenders statisticality takes time, often multiple picoseconds.

Carbocations are the most studied reactive intermediates in organic chemistry. Over the course of a half-century of experimental observations of carbocation reactions have been

[^0]understood within the normal conception of mechanisms; a 'thin' mechanism in which the reactions of the carbocation intermediate are governed by the height of surrounding potential energy barriers. ${ }^{53}$ Carbocations are often generated from neutral starting materials in polar solutions, and the transition states that form these intermediates from neutral molecules exhibit partial charge separation. The solvation of these transition states is by theorem approximately at equilibrium, the idea being that any departure from equilibrium solvation would raise the barrier. However, downhill progress from these transition states involves rapidly changing charge separation over the course of a few dozen femtoseconds. ${ }^{54,55}$ The burden of stabilizing this charge separation falls on the polar solvent, which, moving on the picosecond timescale, is inevitably behind in responding to the progress of the reaction coordinate (RC). ${ }^{56,57}$ Carbocations exhibit short lifetimes in solution, ${ }^{58}$ making the assumption of equilibrium solvation in later steps appear dubious.

This makes carbocation intermediates ${ }^{58}$ make them ripe for dynamic effects. ${ }^{15,59}$ The abundant observations in classical carbocation chemistry have usually been fit, by implicit assumption, into a normal mechanistic model in which carbocations and ion pairs act as ordinary intermediates and their reactions are controlled by the barrier heights along exit channels. In some carbocation reactions, however, the observations are more difficult to shoehorn into a standard mechanism. We explore such a case here involving a textbook observation in electrophilic addition reactions. Here, we establish the extent to which solvation dynamics impact regioselectivity of a fundamental organic reaction.

In introductory organic chemistry textbooks, the mechanism of hydrohalogenation of alkenes is often depicted as involved proton transfer from the acid followed by nucleophilic attack by the halide of the resulting carbocation (Figure 11). Regioselectivities
and rates in these reactions can be understood from the analysis of the carbocation intermediate. Pathways proceeding through the more stable carbocation are favored over less stable alternatives because the stability of the carbocation intermediates modulates the kinetic barriers at the rate-limiting TS for alkene protonation.


Figure 11 The Markovnikov paradigm for hydrohalogenations.

Additions of acids to dienes introduce the added complexity of delocalized carbocation intermediates. The addition of acids to dienes gives products of both 1,2- and 1,4- addition (Figure 12). ${ }^{60,61}$ Textbooks provide a standard perspective on the matter, that is, that the 1,2 - addition product and the 1,4 -addition product are the kinetic and thermodynamic products of nucleophilic trapping of conjugated carbocations, respectively. ${ }^{62}$


Figure 12 The regiochemistry of the addition of hydrogen halides to conjugated dienes.

In 1979, Nordlander offered a simple means of studying the kinetic selectivity of HCl additions to dienes. ${ }^{63}$ Studying the reaction of piperylene (1) with deuterium chloride in a range of solvents and temperatures, Nordlander found that the 1,2-addition product (2) and
the 1,4-addition product (3) were formed in disparate quantities (Figure 13) - an interesting discovery as the dimethylallyl carbocation intermediate should have given equal quantities of 1,2- and 1,4-addition. Nordlander explained that the product ratios were determined by a competition that took place after rate-limiting deuteron transfer from the deuterium chloride: the attack of the chloride on the nascent cation $(4-2 \mathrm{Cl})$ to form $\mathbf{2}$ or the isomerization of this cation-anion complex to give an intermediate (4-4Cl) that would collapse to give 3.


Figure 13 Nordlander's model for the regioselectivity of DCl additions to piperylene.

Although Nordlander's explanation invoked a carbocation intermediate, other chemists have proposed mechanisms for hydrochlorinations that forgo reactive intermediates altogether. The Ade3 mechanism was put forth as a possible means of bypassing especially unstable carbocations such as vinyl cations. ${ }^{64}$ This mechanism is first order in alkene, second order in HCl and would render products of concerted trans addition. An illustrative example was Pocker's study of the addition of HCl to alkenes in nitromethane. ${ }^{65}$ After observing a greater than first order dependence of reaction rate on HCl , Pocker investigated the stereochemistry of the addition of deuterium chloride ( DCl ) to 1methylcyclopentene. Pocker found that the product was almost exclusively trans (Figure 14). It bears mentioning that Pocker's determination of stereochemistry relied on mass
spectrometric analysis of the base elimination product of the hydrochlorination reaction mixture, and as such was reliant on multiple assumptions about stereochemistry, regiochemistry and isotope effects in the basic elimination. These two pieces of evidence provided the most compelling argument for the Ade3 mechanism in normal alkenes.


Figure 14 Reaction stereochemistry from Pocker et al.

Fahey too performed crucial studies in this area. ${ }^{64,66-72}$ In 1969, Fahey noticed that the addition of hydrogen chloride to cyclohexene was second order in the acid and that adding exogeneous chloride anions in the form of tetramethylammonium chloride not only accelerated the rate of the reaction but increased the ratio of hydrochlorination product:acetolysis product in the product mixture. ${ }^{73}$ Fahey pursued cyclohexene further and published the stereochemistry of the hydrochlorination of cyclohexene- $1,3,3-d_{3}$ in acetic acid (Figure 15). ${ }^{74}$ With ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, Fahey observed that the hydrochlorination reaction proceeded in a predominately trans fashion while the solvolysis product was exclusively trans.


Figure 15 Stereochemistry of hydrochlorination for cyclohexene from Fahey.

Fahey's two studies on cyclohexene allowed the construction of an Ade3 model for the reaction mechanism (Figure 16). The model used to explain the formation of hydrochlorination and solvolysis products from two concerted trans-diaxial TSs.



Figure 16 Fahey's proposed $A_{E} 3$ mechanism.

There is, however, another means by which the observation of termolecular kinetics and syn stereoselectivity can be married into a coherent mechanism. Pocker's graduate student, Kenneth Stevens, detailed that he was aware of a proposition made by Mayo that the high order in acid could be explained by a catalytic mechanism where protonation of alkenes is facilitated by an additional molecule of acid as an electrostatic stabilizer for the building charge (Figure 17), however his advisor ultimately sided with Fahey on the mechanism. ${ }^{75,76}$


Figure 17 A termolecular alternative to the Ade3 mechanism.

### 2.2 Experimental Results and Discussion

## Reaction of 1-methylcyclopentene with DCl

As mentioned before, Pocker et al used an indirect measurement of stereoselectivity to support their proposed concerted trans addition mechanism for 1-methylcyclopentene in nitromethane. Pocker's method may have been the best approach available at the time, but ultimately a direct analysis of the product mixture would be able to settle the matter and offer a more reliable measurement. With the advantage of modern NMR methodology, we were able to directly examine the concentrated $6 / 7$ mixture (Figure 18).


96 : $4( \pm 4)$ Pocker
25 : $75( \pm 2)$ This work

Figure 18 Experimental product distributions from previous and current work.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the $6 / 7$ mixture was obtained using a 5 second delay between $90^{\circ}$ pulses, and with no special precautions for high-accuracy integrations. Since the width of the $\beta$ carbon signals of interest were indistinguishable for 6 and 7 , suggesting similar relaxation rates, this was considered adequate for the purpose at hand of determining an approximate product ratio. As shown in Figure 19, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the $\mathbf{6} / 7$ mixture exhibits two deuterium-split triplets that are shifted upfield from the otherwise equivalent carbon by $\sim 0.37 \mathrm{ppm}$, in a $75: 25$ ratio. The assignment of these triplets was based on the HSQC spectrum shown below (Figure 20). In this HSQC, it should be understood that the cross peaks are for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ on the deuterated materials. The HSQC then shows that the larger of the
triplets correlates with the upfield ( H trans to Cl ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 1.73$, while the smaller triplet correlates to the downfield $(\mathrm{H}$ cis to Cl$){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 2.12$. The assignment of the downfield H as cis to Cl and the upfield H as trans to Cl was initially based on general correlation observations (chlorine atoms shift nearby $\beta$ hydrogens downfield), but this was also supported by calculated chemical shifts based on WP04/aug-cc-pVDZ/PCM(chloroform) calculations on $6 / 7$, which predicted the cis protons to be 0.35 ppm downfield from the trans protons.


Figure $19{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum illustrating two disparate triplets belonging to $\mathbf{6 / 7}$.

The syn addition of HCl is thus the major pathway, by a ratio of $75: 25$ versus the alternative anti addition. This is inconsistent with a concerted Ade3 mechanism. This result is perplexing in the context of existing evidence. A possible explanation for the second order acid dependence is the pathway in which a second molecules HCl acts as a catalyst for protonation. Understanding the regioselectivity, however, will require a new mechanistic paradigm.




Figure 20 Observed product ratio in addition of DCl to 1-methycyclopentene.

## Reaction of Cyclopentene with DCl

Fahey's choice in alkene may have strongly influenced the results of the study. The cyclohexyl cation's faces are not symmetrical and this manifests in cyclohexenes having a strong preference for trans diaxial additions vis à vis the Fürst-Plattner rule. ${ }^{77}$ In testing this hypothesis, the stereochemistry of addition to cyclopentene was studied. It was thought that the cyclopentyl cation would have less stereochemical bias than the cyclohexyl cation, though still be equal in reactivity. Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer (DEPT) NMR analysis offered results that are qualitatively different than Fahey's (Figure
21). The major halogenated product was identified by comparison with a synthetic, diastereopure sample prepared from cyclopentene oxide (Figure 22).
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Figure $21{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT NMR indicating that the regiochemistry of addition to cyclopentene is not consistent with an Ade3 mechanism.


Figure 22 Experimental product ratios/synthetic protocol for preparing a stereochemistry standard.

## Reaction of 1,3-pentadiene with DCl

Nordlander's measurement appeared subject to possible error since it was based on incompletely resolved ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks and since the analysis required purified material subject to isomerization under the reaction conditions and in the isolation process. Accordingly, after all of the computations for this project were complete, we undertook a redetermination of the product ratio. The deuterated carbons in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are completely resolved from their unlabeled isotopologs, and their ratio was readily determined by direct ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis of the reaction mixture without isolation, after quenching of the excess acid with 2-trimethylsiloxypropene. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3}$ was obtained under conditions previously found to allow high accuracy integrations, including the use of calibrated $90^{\circ}$ pulses, inverse gated decoupling, and with 31.6 s delays between pulses and 4 s acquisitions. Spectra of the sample prepared above were obtained immediately after quenching and at times of $24 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \mathrm{~h}$, and 144 h , and the difference in spectra (due to isomerization) were used to extrapolate a product distribution at the outset of the reaction. The time at the midpoint of each spectrum acquisition was used for the extrapolation. An example spectrum is shown below (Figure 23). The uncertainty associated with the relative integrations was examined in two ways. The first of these was to consider the range of relative integrations that could be obtained using marginally acceptable spectral parameters. For example, the spectrum below changes from $100: 35.94$ (least favorable for agreement with the computational prediction) to $100: 33.87$ using a lvl parameter of 0.001 (most favorable for agreement with the computational prediction). The second way was to examine the
uncertainty expected based on a simulation that allowed for the observed signal-to-noise ratio. The latter gave rise to the larger uncertainty.


Figure 23 Example ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of $2 / 3$ with integration lines shown on critical triplet peaks.

## Reaction of tert-butylethylene with DCl

Experiments from Fahey and Pocker reported that the hydrochlorination of tertbutylethylene give two alkyl halide products, a tertiary chloride and a secondary chloride. ${ }^{65,69}$ The observation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ is unusual given that the secondary carbocation intermediate can rearrange to the tertiary carbocation in an exothermic and barrierless methyl shift. Our hypothesis is that after protonation, the surrounding solvent cage has yet to equilibrate and so a true carbocation intermediate is not generated until a few picoseconds later. This structure then has the potential to undergo nucleophilic addition before the solvent cage allows methyl shift to take place.


Figure 24 Compiled product selectivity data for the hydrochlorination of tertbutylethylene.


Figure $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for a $\mathbf{1 1}+\mathrm{HCl}$ reaction mixture after concentrating. Integration on the left is for the methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ on 12, integral on the right is for the $\mathbf{1 3}$ isopropyl methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$.

Our own work on this reaction in dichloromethane suggests that solvation dynamics may still influence reactions taking place is considerably less polar and viscous solvents. While it is possible that these product mixtures arise from the competition of Ade2 and Ade3 mechanism, as proposed by Fahey, the Ade3 mechanism does not seem to be likely. Every
ordinary alkene hydrochlorination in this dissertation thus far has not proceeded through an Ade3 mechanism (vide supra). Trajectory studies on this reaction in nitromethane are forthcoming.

## Reaction of Norbornene with DCl

Brown's observation of unequal mixtures of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ from the deuterochlorination of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was leveraged as proof of an equilibrating classical norbornyl carbocation (Figure 26). Another possible explanation for this distribution, much like in the case of symmetrical pentadienyl cation, is the influence of solvent dynamics. Efforts to replicate Brown's experiments of the addition of DCl to norbornene yielded results contradictory to Brown's reported 58:42 ratio in nitromethane.


Figure 26 Product ratios from Brown et al. Equates to 58:42.

Despite repeated efforts to reproduce this result at both high and low acid concentrations, and in nitromethane and in dichloromethane, the product ratio never appeared more unequal than $\sim 53: 47$ (Figure 27). Collection of spectra over the course of a few days showed no change, suggested that the product mixture does not change with time.


Figure 27 Inverse-gated decoupling ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the reaction mixture of DCl and norbornene in nitromethane. A 53:47 ratio of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}$ was observed.


Figure 28 Inverse-gated decoupling ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the reaction mixture of DCl and norbornene in dichloromethane. A $\sim 50.1: 49.9$ ratio of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}$ was observed.

It is not completely certain why these outcomes deviate from Brown's observations, but a likely cause is the inaccuracy of Brown's deconvolution technique which relied on estimates on the extent of overlap between peaks in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.

## Conclusions

Taken together, these results suggest that the Ade3 mechanism is neither the major hydrochlorination pathway in simple alkenes and dienes nor the cause for the unsymmetrical product distributions from nominally symmetric intermediates. ${ }^{67,69,78}$ Furthermore, these experiments highlight that control of stereoselectivity is sensitive to the identity of the reacting alkene and solvent. Finally, the results demonstrate that the experimental foundation for classical carbocation chemistry may need re-evaluation as the existing experimental data proved to be qualitatively unreliable.

### 2.3 Molecular Dynamics Results and Discussion

## Computational Methods Selection

DFT methods tend to overestimate the proton affinity of 1,3-pentadiene in comparison with $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-cc-pVTZ calculations, most by $6-11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, but M06-2X calculations mirrored the ab initio value within $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with little dependence on the basis set (see Section 2.6). The proton affinities of Cl - and $[\mathrm{ClHCl}]-$ were underestimated by every DFT method explored, by $1-6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $6-11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. In contrast, MP2/6-31+G** calculations performed uniformly well, within $1.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The MP2/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ calculations also closely reproduced DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ energies along a series of 98 points sampled from solution trajectories (see below), with a correlation R2 of 0.996 and a slope of 0.98 . M06-2X/6-31+G* calculations performed less well on each of these tests but were more practical for large-scale trajectory calculations in explicit 43
solvent. In practice, both methods were fully explored and gave similar results. We will emphasize the MP2 calculations, since they were notably superior in every comparison with high-level energies from highly correlated methods.

It was anticipated that implicit solvent models would prove inadequate for this reaction, but they provide a baseline for consideration of the reaction in explicit solvent. Four series of stationary points and trajectories were obtained, arising from the use of the MP2 and M06-2X methods in combination with PCM and SMD implicit solvent models. Calculated free energies for stationary points are based on DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ gas-phase potential energies, with PCM or SMD implicit solvent corrections, and MP2 or M06-2X enthalpies and entropies corrected to a 1 M standard state. The DLPNO$\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / \mathrm{PCM}$ results are presented here, but very similar results were obtained with all the combinations.

For trajectories and free-energy surface calculations in explicit solvent, the computational model consisted of 1,3-pentadiene and either one or two molecules of HCl in a sphere of 120 nitromethane molecules with a diameter of $29.5 \AA$ and a density of 1.18 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (Figure 29). This model was explored on the QM/QM' ONIOM surface, using either MP2/6-31+G** or M06-2X/6-31+G* for the 1,3-pentadiene and HCl , and using PM6-DH + for the nitromethane. The low-level component of the ONIOM calculation was supplemented by an additional empirical dispersion term, modeled after the Grimme GD2 dispersion, ${ }^{79}$ with a global parameterization to approximate a realistic density and heat of vaporization. A notable limitation of this approach is that the polarizability of the nitromethane is $29 \%$ too low, as is normal for semiempirical methods. The PM6-DH + dipole moment for nitromethane is 3.98 , in reasonable agreement with the experimental value of
3.46. The low solvent sensitivity of the Nordlander results suggested that the accuracy of the computational solvent may be adequate, but this will be probed by comparison of computed and experimental observations.


Figure 29 A demonstrative image of 1,3-pentadiene and HCl immersed in 120 nitromethane molecules.

## Implicit Solvation

Transition structures were located in the various calculations for the protonation of 1,3-pentadiene by either one or two molecules of HCl . The lowest-energy structure $8^{\circ}$ is predicted to have a $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ of $21.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}$ of only $9.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These barriers are consistent with the observation that these reactions occur experimentally at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The implicit-solvent calculations thus appear satisfactory for the prediction of the barrier for the addition. However, they fail to account for the observed preponderance of the 1,2addition product 2. Two approaches were used to gauge the products from each transition structure.

The first was based on the dynamic reaction path (DRP) method, ${ }^{80,81}$ with the variation that the DRP was calculated repeatedly with the addition of a Boltzmann-random amount of energy to the transition vector $v^{\ddagger}$. In the case of $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$, the outcome of the DRPs varied with the energy put into $v^{\ddagger}$ with $86 \% 1,4$-product and $14 \% 1,2$-product. The alternative transition structure $9^{\ddagger}$ is only modestly higher in energy and would contribute significantly to the product mixture. The DRPs from this structure lead consistently to the 1,4-product 3. Neither prediction fits with the experimental preponderance of $\mathbf{2}$.

For a more complete evaluation of the products that would be produced from $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ and $9^{\ddagger}$ within the implicit solvent model, each was used as the starting point for quasiclassical direct-dynamics trajectories on the MP2/6-31+G**/PCM surface. Each normal mode in the two structures was given it zero-point energy (ZPE) plus a randomized excitation energy based on a Boltzmann distribution, along with a randomized displacement of the modes. The trajectories were then propagated forward and backward in time until $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ was formed, the $\mathbf{4}^{+} / \mathrm{ClHCl}-$ ion pair dissociated (gauged by a minimum C-Cl distance of $5.0 \AA$ ), the starting materials were reformed, or a 500 fs time limit was reached. The outcome of these trajectories is summarized in Figure 30. In each case, dissociation of the ions predominated. When a neutral product was formed, it was predominantly the 1,4-product. Clearly, the implicit-solvent approach cannot account for experimental observations. Equivalent results were obtained with the SMD solvent model and in M06-2X/6-31+G** calculations with both the PCM and SMD solvent models. As we observed previously in a study of the nitration of toluene, ${ }^{82}$ implicit solvent models appear simply inadequate for the prediction of product mixtures that arise from the dynamics of ionic reactions in solution.


Figure 30 The outcomes of several standard computational techniques in implicit solvent. Energies are given in kcal/mol.

## Potential of Mean Force Calculations in Explicit Solvent

For the reaction of MP2/6-31+G** 1 with dimeric HCl in PM6D+H 120 nitromethane molecules, the 2-dimensional PMF surface for diene protonation along the C1---H and $\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance dimensions was determined by umbrella sampling in molecular dynamics (MD) calculations over a total of 1.0 ns . The PMF was then calculated by the weighted histogram analysis method. ${ }^{83}$ The resulting surface is shown in Figure 31; similar surfaces obtained in M06-2 X calculations and for protonation by monomeric HCl are shown in the Section 2.6. A saddle point $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ on this surface has $\mathrm{C} 1---\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of approximately 1.29 and $1.68 \AA$, respectively. The saddle point in explicit solvent is then in reasonable agreement with the implicit-solvent transition structures $8^{\ddagger}$ and $9^{\ddagger}$. By adding the free-energy cost of bringing the reactants together to form a pre-reactive complex in MP2/PCM calculations ( $6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) to the PMF barrier for reaching the saddle point (13.4 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) from the area of this complex, a total reaction barrier of $20.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ may be
estimated. This barrier is then in good agreement with the implicit solvent calculations and experimental observations.


Figure 31 PMF for the protonation of 1,3-pentadiene by $(\mathrm{HCl})_{2}$ in nitromethane.

Explicit Solvation

By its nature, a PMF surface of this type is a dimensionally trivialized representation of a high-dimensional problem..$^{84}$ In this reaction, the unconstrained dimensions include both the motions of the second HCl molecule and the multitude of solvent motions associated with the protonation. The PMF saddle point then presents an incomplete and potentially
misleading picture of the reaction. Our analysis below of trajectories started from the area of $\mathbf{1 0}$ will examine the impact of these additional dimensions on the reaction.

## Trajectories in Explicit Solvent

The protonation of the diene and the subsequent 1,2- versus 1,4 -product selectivity were explored in trajectory studies using the same 1,3-pentadiene / two $-\mathrm{HCl} / 120$ nitromethane system as used for the PMF surface. The starting points for trajectories were obtained from a series of independent simulations that were equilibrated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the $\mathrm{C} 1---\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances constrained in the area of $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ by a harmonic potential. At intervals of 250 fs , structures and velocities were extracted from the equilibrating systems and integrated forward and backward in time with no constraint. For clarity later, we define 'forward in time' as the direction in which the transferring proton moves closer to C 1 of the diene. The trajectories were then stopped when the products $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ were formed, the starting materials were re-formed, a time limit of 3000 fs was reached, or the $\mathrm{Cl}--\mathrm{HCl}$ anion / pentadienyl cation tight ion pair dissociated. Dissociation was defined by the chlorides being $>5 \AA$ separated from the cationic carbons. The $5 \AA$ criterion was chosen to delineate approximately the formation of a solvent-separated ion pair. ${ }^{85}$

The trajectories of interest for understanding the rate and selectivity in this reaction are those that fully traverse the protonation transition state, passing from the starting materials to form the pentadienyl cation. We refer to such trajectories as "productive." An expected but important observation for later analysis is that only a small proportion of the trajectories ( $22 \%$ ) started from the area of $\mathbf{1 0}$ are productive. The remainder are recrossing trajectories, passing either from starting materials to starting materials ("SM-SM", 46\%) or
between the differing outcomes ( $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, ion dissociation, time-limited ion pair) on the productside of $\mathbf{1 0}$ ("product-product", $32 \%$ ).

## Comparison with Experiment. Redetermination of the Product Ratio

A critical issue was whether the explicit-solvent trajectories could account for the experimental product ratio. The productive trajectories include those forming the 1,2- and 1,4-products with either of the two chloride anions along with trajectories that result in dissociation of the ion pair and those reaching the time limit as an associated tight ion pair. Table 2 summarizes the results from trajectories with either MP2 or M06-2X employed as the high-level component of the ONIOM calculations. To predict the product ratio from these results, we make the assumption that the dissociating and time-limited trajectories would ultimately form equal amounts of 1,2 and 1,4 products. The expectation that dissociated ions will reassociate randomly seems evident, but the disposition of the longlived tight ion pairs will require further consideration below. Allowing for the mixture of products from these trajectories leads to predictions of $77 \%$ 1,2-product from the MP2 ONIOM simulation and $69 \%$ 1,2-product from the M06-2X ONIOM. The full trajectory count is given below (Table 2).


The limited number of solvent molecules and the under-estimation of their polarizability in the ONIOM method employed are significant limitations in the computational models. However, their ability to accurately account for the product selectivity supports the necessity and adequacy of the ONIOM models for understanding the origin of the 1,2 -selectivity in these reactions.

## The Multi-Stage Nature of Product Formation

The productive trajectories exhibit two striking features. The first of these is that very few trajectories afford the 1,4-product within the 3000 fs time limit. Only five of the MP2 ONIOM trajectories form the 1,4-product, and two of these involve initial geometries that place the second HCl molecule in a position that is favorable for its chloride to attack $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of the diene, leading to product derived from the distal chloride. The low formation of 1,4product might be understandable if 1,2- and 1,4-ion pairs rarely interconvert, but in fact ionpair equilibration happens routinely. The ion pairs in trajectories that last to the time limit of 3000 fs undergo isomerization, defined by a switch in whether $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ versus $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ is closest to a chloride atom, an average of six times (median of four). This observation supports our
assumption above that the time-limited ion pairs will afford equal amounts of 1,2 and 1,4 products. Equilibration, however, does not lead to much 1,4-product formation within 3000 fs. Instead, the trajectories that last long enough to undergo ion-pair equilibration rarely form either product at all!

This leads to the most intriguing observation for the trajectories, which is that product formation at first occurs rapidly then nearly stops. Half of all of the observed product formation occurs within the first 640 fs , $75 \%$ within 1000 fs , and $90 \%$ within 1500 fs (Figure 32). Although $50 \%$ of the ion pairs survive to 1000 fs , any subsequent product formation is slow and progressively slower, with dissociation of the ions becoming their major decay route.


Figure 32 The survival curve for MP2 trajectories in explicit nitromethane solvent.

The plot of the fraction of surviving ion pairs versus time after release from the area of $\mathbf{1 0}{ }^{\ddagger}$ in Figure 32 can be divided into three phases. The first is a lag time of approximately 200 fs , required for completion of the proton transfer (see the discussion later) and for the chloride of the HCl performing the protonation to approach $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of the diene from a median starting separation of $\sim 3.6 \AA$, requiring a relative motion of $\sim 1.8 \AA$. The second phase involves the rapid decay of ion pairs as chloride attack at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ occurs. Dissociation of ions is a minor pathway in this time regime, but it is notable that, like the 1,2 -addition, the dissociation process also involves an initial rapid phase. The third phase of ion pair decay is slow, far slower than the illustrated exponential decay fit to the first 800 fs. A doubleexponential fit of the data found nominal rate constants of $1.8 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}( \pm \sim 15 \%)$ and $1.3 \times$ $10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}( \pm \sim 30 \%)$, with the slow phase roughly thirteen times slower than the rapid-decay phase. Qualitatively, the decay of the tight ion pairs is similar to what might be observed if the protonation step formed two distinct species that react at different rates.

To understand this dichotomous behavior of the trajectories, we examine in more detail the role of the solvent in these reactions. This starts with an examination of how the solvent impacts which trajectories are productive.

## Solvation Dictates Productive Trajectories

Only $22 \%$ of the trajectories initiated from $10^{\ddagger}$ were productive. The idealized expectation arising from the 'no-recrossing' assumption of conventional transition state theory is that trajectories initiated from a transition state and propagated forward and backward in time will all be productive. In practice this does not happen for any modestly complex reaction, even for gas-phase simulations, but trajectory simulations of simple organic reactions started from a well-defined transition state often result in greater than $90 \%$
productive trajectories. An alternative possibility for consideration is that the outcome of the forward and backward branches of trajectories could be independent of each other. If the outcome of each branch were fully random, $\sim 50 \%$ would be productive $(49 \%$ for the observed excess of SM-SM trajectories). The much lower proportion of productive trajectories observed here excludes a random outcome. Instead, there is specific preference for recrossing.

This is unsurprising, especially for a polar reaction in a polar solvent. ${ }^{86,87}$ The starting points for trajectories have controlled only two dimensions of a high-dimensional transition state. The many uncontrolled dimensions when starting from $10^{\ddagger}$ can readily take on values that displace the trajectory starting points away from the actual transition states. The uncontrolled dimensions then serve as a gate that enforces recrossing most of the time but allows a productive trajectory when these dimensions have values in the area of a true high-dimensional transition state.

This idea is well established but vague. We therefore sought to uncover what aspects of the trajectory starting points dictated whether they were productive versus recrossing. We first explored whether dimensions internal to $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$, such as the orientation of the second HCl molecule or the particular starting distances $a, b, c$, and $d$ affected the likelihood of $a$ trajectory being productive. Within a broad range, the effect of these internal dimensions was minor (see Section 2.6).

The initial orientation of the solvent however had a major effect on the productivity of trajectories and their outcome on the product-side of the transition state. To evaluate the role of solvent, we defined an "incipient ion-pair stabilization parameter" $E_{\text {ip }}$ for each point in the trajectories. The $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ value, defined by eq 3, represents the hypothetical electrostatic
stabilization that would be present if the solvent atoms were atomic point charges (using equilibrium M06-2X/6-31+G**/MK charges, chosen based on dipole-moment accuracy) and if the solute atoms took on the charges calculated for separated pentenyl cation and [ClHCl]- anion (see Section 2.6 for calculational procedures and congruent results obtained by alternative approaches). The $E_{\text {ip }}$ then represents the degree to which the solvent would electrostatically stabilize the protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ to form an ion pair or the continued presence of the ion pair. An $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ near zero would mean that the solvent is randomly oriented and unable to stabilize an ion pair, while an $E_{\text {ip }}$ of approximately $-72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ is the maximum average stabilization of full ion pairs by the solvent. It should be noted that structures in the area of $10^{\ddagger}$ have taken on only approximately $55 \%$ of the charge separation present in the ultimate ion pair (based on MK charges for $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ ). The average starting-point $E_{\text {ip }}$ for all trajectories reflects this partial charge separation, being $-39 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or a little more than half of the maximum.

A key observation is that the initial $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ was associated strongly with the outcome of trajectories (Figure 33). For trajectories that underwent recrossing on the starting-material side of the transition state, the average initial $E_{\text {ip }}$ was only $-34.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This shows that the solvent was on average not well disposed to stabilize the charge separation that would result from proton transfer. Trajectories released from $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ would take an initial step toward ion-pair formation but the proton transfer could not be completed in trajectories with a low initial $E_{\text {ip }}$.


Figure 33 Plots of the average values for the incipient ion-pair stabilization parameter $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ versus time for trajectories with differing outcomes. For each trajectory, the $E_{\text {ip }}$ was only followed "forward in time", defined as the direction in which the initial motion of the proton transfer was toward $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ of the diene.

In contrast, trajectories that underwent recrossing on the product side of the transition state were associated with a high initial $E_{\text {ip }}$ of $-51.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. In these cases, the solvent is initially arranged to strongly stabilize charge separation, making it energetically disfavored for the trajectories to revert to the starting materials. In either case, solvent reorganization simply takes too much time to allow the transition state to be crossed. In Hynes theory the relevant time scale is the longitudinal dielectric solvent relaxation time, $\tau_{\mathrm{L}} .{ }^{88,89}$ The $\tau_{\mathrm{L}}$ for nitromethane is $\sim 400 \mathrm{fs}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{90}$ and the average decay of $E_{\text {ip }}$ in Figure 33 appears to reflect this reasonably. ${ }^{91}$

The productive trajectories are associated with a "Goldilocks" level of initial electrostatic stabilization of charge separation, with $E_{\text {ip }}$ averaging $-42.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The
middle range of $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ appears to provide an energetic balance between reversion to starting material and completing protonation to form the ion pair. This allows trajectories to traverse from one realm to the other.

The time course of the $E_{\text {ip }}$ followed forward in time from $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ also bears an intriguing relationship with the choice of outcomes among productive trajectories. In trajectories that result in ion-pair dissociation, the $E_{\text {ip }}$ rapidly drops to near its terminal value (Figure 33, purple line), indicating that the solvent has become organized to optimally stabilize the separating ions. The solvent organization appears to be a cause, rather than an effect of dissociation, because the greater $E_{\text {ip }}$ shows up in the first 200 fs while the median time for dissociation is 1020 fs . Trajectories that ultimately form the 1,2-product in contrast exhibit a much smaller stabilization of the ion pair (Figure 33, blue line). In such trajectories, the solvent is never fully organized to stabilize an ion pair. The ions are formed in a relatively non-stabilizing "electrostatic hole" in the solvent, then spend their first few hundred femtoseconds pushed toward tight association by this low stabilization. Within 1000 fs , three-quarters of these ion pairs annihilate.

The curves in Figure 33 represent averages of large numbers of trajectories, while individual trajectories vary. The standard deviation for the initial $E_{\text {ip }}$ in each category is $\sim 7$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The categories then overlap, but the solvent orientation as gauged by $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ is a strong predictor of individual trajectory outcomes. For example, a trajectory with a starting $E_{\text {ip }}$ of 51.5 has a 33 -fold greater chance of undergoing product-side recrossing than a trajectory with a starting $E_{\text {ip }}$ of -34.9 .

Another way in which the solvation influences the reaction in the area of the proton transfer can be seen when individual trajectories are examined. Figure 34 shows the early
time course of the $E_{\text {ip }}$ for a series of 1,2-product forming trajectories with completion times of $\sim 700 \mathrm{fs}$. As the trajectories leave the area of the transition state, the solvation slowly changes in the direction of greater stabilization of the forming ion pair. The proton transfer itself, however, is remarkably slow. To assess this, we examined the time required for the bottom of the proton's energy well, as judged by the forces on the proton, to be at a distance from C 1 that is less than $1.14 \AA$. (It would be misleading to examine the proton position by itself, since it vibrates broadly within its energy well.) Figure 34 shows these proton-transfer completion points on each trajectory, and the median time after release from the TS was $\sim 50$ fs. For comparison, an ordinary C-H vibration takes $\sim 11 \mathrm{fs}$ and moves a proton an equivalent distance within 6 fs. Completion of the proton transfer requires that the solvation first energetically allow it by reorganizing to stabilize the forming ion pair. This takes time, so the simple proton transfer is a surprisingly plodding process.

Eip for Individual Trajectories


Figure 34 Plots of the incipient ion-pair stabilization parameter $E_{\text {ip }}$ versus time for individual productive trajectories.

## Is There An Intermediate?

The term "intermediate" can be misleading when applied to the ion-pair structures that are formed after the proton transfer step. An intermediate is defined by IUPAC as a molecular entity in a mechanism that has a lifetime that is "appreciably longer than a molecular vibration" and corresponds to a "local potential energy minimum." With minimum and median lifetimes of $\sim 200$ and $\sim 1000 \mathrm{fs}$, the ion pairs are sufficiently long-
lived to be considered intermediates, but whether they exist within energy minima is a more complicated problem on multiple levels. We have argued previously that free energy is more appropriate than potential energy as a defining feature for intermediates. ${ }^{23}$ We will follow that definition here, and a species that exists for an extended time in a free-energy well will be described as a "true" intermediate. It should be recognized that free energy is an equilibrium concept that is not rigorously definable at sufficiently short time scales.

To explore the equilibrium free-energy landscape available to the $\mathbf{4}^{+} / \mathrm{ClHCl}-$ ionpair structures, a one-dimensional PMF surface based on the $\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance was determined by umbrella sampling in MD calculations over a total of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~ns}$. The complication that either $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ may undergo bond formation with either of the two chlorine atoms was handled by an interlocking-sphere algorithm, ${ }^{82}$ (see Section 2.6) so that the PMF obtained (Figure 35) applies to the shortest of the four relevant $\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances. The PMF has a notable broad minimum at a C---Cl distance of $\sim 4.1 \AA$. This supports the potential existence of a true intermediate in the system, which we will describe as the "solvent-equilibrated ion pair." To form the neutral product, the solvent-equilibrated ion pair must surmount a small barrier in the PMF, only $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, to pass through a transition state with a C---Cl distance of 3.5 $\AA$. The $\sim 20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ barrier for formation of the solvent-equilibrated ion pair from product is notably in good agreement with the experimental observation that a slow product isomerization occurs at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 35 QM/QM' PMF for the approach of a chloride ion to either $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of $\mathbf{4}^{+}$in explicit nitromethane. The energy scale is relative to a minimum on the surface at $1.85 \pm 0.025 \AA$.

The trajectory behavior of solvent-equilibrated ion pairs. The existence of a true intermediate in the system leaves open the question of whether addition pathways actually pass through the intermediate, or alternatively bypass it. To gauge this issue, we studied the behavior of trajectories initiated from the area of the solvent-equilibrated ion pair. The starting points for trajectories were obtained from a series of independent simulations that were equilibrated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the $\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances constrained in the area of the intermediate by a harmonic potential. At intervals of 250 fs , structures and velocities were extracted from the equilibrating systems and integrated forward in time with no constraint. As shown in Figure 36, the resulting trajectories decayed slowly with time to a mixture of the addition product and dissociated ions, but $63 \%$ of the solvent-equilibrated ion pairs survive to the time limit of 3000 fs .

The decay fit well with a single exponential with a rate constant of $1.7 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Figure 36). This may be compared with the $1.3 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ observed above for the slower
phase of the double-exponential decay of the original trajectories. Allowing for the uncertainty in each number, particularly the latter due to the double-exponential fitting, the two rate constants are indistinguishable. This agreement supports the idea that the slower phase of the decay of the ion pairs formed on protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ results from the reaction of species that approximate solvent-equilibrated ion pairs.


Figure 36 Decay of solvent-equilibrated ion-pairs, and an exponential fit.

## A Consistent Mechanism

The observation in the last section, in combination with the strong and timedependent effect of solvation in stabilizing the formal ion pairs, supports a mechanism that may be viewed as the combination of two limiting prototypes. At one extreme, the solvationgated protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ through $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ leads in time to solvent-equilibrated ion pairs. Such ion pairs slowly form the addition product in competition with dissociation, and they are representatively viewed as intermediates within the conventional ideas of mechanistic
chemistry. These intermediates have time to geometrically equilibrate, or dissociate, and lead to a mixture of 1,2- and 1,4-addition products by passage over barriers.

At the opposite extreme, the protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ through $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ leads to a formal ion pair that is neither fully solvated nor becomes so quickly. The prevalence of such "holes" in the solvation for ion pairs at their creation may be understood from two perspectives. The first is to recognize that the transition state for protonation is not as polar as the ion pair, so solvation that is approximately optimized for the protonation process will not be so for the resulting ion pair. The second perspective is that solvation that strongly stabilizes the ion pair promotes recrossing trajectories, not reactive trajectories. At this extreme, the ion pairs afford the product $\sim 13$ times faster than the solvent-equilibrated ion pairs. These ion pairs exist only on the slope of a notional free energy surface that leads inexorably to exclusively 1,2-product formation. This does not make the adduct formation instantaneous; as we have seen previously with nitration reactions, the trajectories of barrierless reactions can proceed slowly as they await solvent reorganization. ${ }^{82}$ However, the absence of a barrier for their reaction, or equilibrated solvation as they decay, makes their consideration as conventional mechanistic intermediates misleading.

These extremes are likely an incomplete description. The initial $E_{\text {ip }}$ for reactive trajectories is not bimodal, but rather approximates a normal distribution. Ion pairs are formed with a range of solvent stabilizations (with a $\sigma$ of $\sim 7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) but none have an initial $E_{\mathrm{ip}}$ that is very close to equilibrium. Then, as illustrated in Figure 34, all of the ion pairs tend to show an increase in their solvation over time, at varying rates. The ion-pair decay of Figure 32 was modeled using two exponentials that can be taken as representing the two limiting pathways, but this cannot be cleanly distinguished from a continuum.

A closely analogous mechanism would provide a consistent explanation for syn selectivity in the addition of DCl to 5 . We would suggest that the protonation step can lead to, at one extreme, a weakly solvated ion pair that collapses without barrier to the major syn addition product 7 , and, at the other extreme, a highly solvated ion pair that may dissociate or isomerize to afford a mixture of syn and anti addition products. In this regard, it is notable that the $s y n$ selectivity with 5 and the 1,2 -selectivity with 1 are similar.

### 2.4 Conclusions

The trajectory studies in explicit solvent accurately account for the 1,2 versus 1,4 product selectivity in the addition of DCl to piperylene in nitromethane. In this way, they provide an experimentally consistent model for the mechanism of the reaction, one that differs in fundamental ways from the conventional mechanism. The protonation of the diene is gated by the solvent: solute geometries that nominally appear to be in the area of the transition state mainly lead to recrossing trajectories unless the solvent's ability to stabilize the incipient ion pair is neither too high nor too low.

After the protonation, the solvation of the resulting ion-pairs is not at equilibrium, and equilibrium solvation is on average approached over the course of a few hundred femtoseconds. The ion pairs decay to the product by two limiting processes. The faster of these leads exclusively to the 1,2-addition product, and its rate is inconsistent with that seen after fully equilibrated solvation. The slower decay is at a rate that cannot be distinguished from that of equilibrated ion pairs, and this lower rate appears associated with a barrier that is observed on the PMF surface. These ion pairs lead to a mixture of 1,2 and 1,4 products.



These results suggest a modification of the classical Winstein formulation of the role of ion pairs in carbocation reactions. That is, the tight ion pairs that are produced in ordinary carbocation reactions are initially formed with nonequilibrium solvation, and these species may react differently from solvent-equilibrated ion pairs. Their partitioning between subsequent pathways in particular may not be decided by relative free energy barriers. Whether or not such ion pairs are designated as "intermediates," their evolution is akin to that of molecules on the slopes of bifurcating energy surfaces. ${ }^{3,6,93,94,7,10,23,39-41,43,92}$ With time, these species may lead to tight ion pairs whose solvation is at equilibrium. It then becomes meaningful to describe the ion pair as having a well-defined free energy and having well-defined rates and free energy barriers for its subsequent reactions. As discussed in the introduction for other dynamic effects, the key factor distinguishing these possibilities is time. When the lifetime of an ion pair exceeds a few picoseconds, as is generally true for highly stabilized carbocations, then its internal energy and solvation will reach equilibrium. The conventional Winstein formulation may then apply fully. When the carbocations have shorter lifetimes, the physical reality is just more complex.

We would suggest that this expanded description of ion pairs accounts for many observations in the literature, observations that otherwise appear shoehorned into mechanistic models not considering dynamic effects. We briefly describe here a series of
examples. This is unavoidably speculative, but suggestive of experimental and computational studies that could assess the role of solvation dynamics in fundamental aspects of carbocation reactions.

A historically notable example is the addition of DCl to norbornene (11). In Brown's hands, this reaction did not give an equal mixture of nonrearranged product 12 and rearranged product $\mathbf{1 3},{ }^{95}$ despite extensive evidence that the intermediate " 2 -norbornyl" cation itself is nonclassical with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetry. Our own results call this experimental ratio into question. Nordlander had attempted to explain this observation based on the equilibrating ion-pair ideas that he had used to account for observations with $\mathbf{1}$, but the structure and nature of the requisite unsymmetrical but equilibrating ion pair intermediates was nebulous. The experimental observations in fact merely require that the initial positioning of the chloride ion and surrounding solvent molecules be unsymmetrical, as will necessarily follow from the protonation transition state, and that a portion of the initial ion pairs react rapidly before achieving equilibrium solvation. As we have recently shown in another context, ${ }^{96}$ when product selectivity is decided without barrier, initial asymmetry in structure readily leads to unequal amounts of otherwise equivalent products.


Figure 37 Experimental results from Brown et al.

A broader if less notorious historical controversy was regarding the origin of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ rate accelerations in nucleophilic solvents and the role of nucleophilic solvation (né
nucleophilic solvent participation ${ }^{97,98}$ ) versus reversible ionization and "hidden return" of ion pairs. ${ }^{99,100}$ A preponderance of data has favored the importance of nucleophilic solvation, at least for secondary substrates, but aspects of the complex debate long persisted. ${ }^{101} \mathrm{An}$ early lynchpin observation by Shiner, appearing to strongly favor the importance of hidden return, was that the addition of 4-bromobenzenesulfonic acid (14) to propene in trifluoroacetic acid affords the isopropyl brosylate $\mathbf{1 5}$ instead of the isopropyl trifluoroacetate 16 adduct from solvent (Figure 38). ${ }^{102}$ Shiner argued that since the tight ion pair arising from the protonation predominantly collapses to $\mathbf{1 5}$ instead of reacting with solvent, then the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ reaction of $\mathbf{1 5}$ must involve a rate-limiting step that is later than formation of the tight ion pair, and so at the formation of a solvent-separated ion pair.


Figure 38 Reaction product of propene and brosic acid in trifluoroacetic acid.

This idea influenced the interpretation of a substantial history of observations. ${ }^{103-105}$ The flaw in this argument, as suggested by the present work, is the assumption that there is only one tight ion pair operative in the system. We would suggest that a nonequilibrium solvated ion pair is initially formed from protonation of propene by $\mathbf{1 4}$, and that this ion pair rapidly collapses to $\mathbf{1 5}$. This then undercuts the original support for the importance of solvent-separated ion pairs in the solvolysis of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and other secondary systems. ${ }^{103-105}$

We would note that in both of the above controversies, the ultimately disfavored side was supported by observations that could not have been comfortably interpreted otherwise
employing the ideas available at the time. The expansion of ideas to include a role for solvation dynamics then allows explanations that do not conflict with the prevailing view.

Undergraduate organic chemistry textbooks routinely describe the rearrangements of secondary carbocations to tertiary cations that accompany the additions of acids to alkenes. ${ }^{62}$ A notable aspect of these rearrangements, exemplified by Fahey's study of the addition of HCl to tert-butylethylene (17), ${ }^{69}$ is that mixtures of nonrearranged and rearranged products (e.g., 18 and 19) are often produced in acetic (Figure 39). This becomes surprising when it is recognized that there is no calculated barrier for the highly exothermic conversion of $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 1},{ }^{106,107}$ as is typical for secondary to tertiary rearrangements. The cation $\mathbf{2 0}$ can only exist dynamically for a short time, with simulations placing the median lifetime at around 300 fs. ${ }^{107}$ This is seen again in Pocker's study in nitromethane (1:4 ratio of 18:19) and our study in dichloromethane. If these reactions involved only solvent-equilibrated ion pairs with lifetimes crudely on the order of that seen for solvent-equilibrated ion pairs here, then significant amounts of unrearranged products could not be formed. We would suggest that these reactions may initially form nonequilibrium solvated ion pairs that react rapidly to mainly afford unrearranged products, then mainly afford rearranged products as solventequilibrated ion pairs arise and the ion-pair lifetimes increase. The mixture of products would then be decided dynamically on a bifurcating surface rather than representing the crossing of competing barriers.


Figure 39 The reaction of the tert-butylethylene with HCl in acetic acid shows formation of a secondary alkyl halide product.
$S_{N} 1$ reactions of RX have often exhibited a striking memory effect when the nature of their ion pairs has been probed. That is, studies of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ or ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ isotopic equilibration and racemization or allylic transposition in recovered reactants have evidenced a definable structure in the ion pairs. The detailed observation varies: sometimes allylic transposition or racemization occurs faster than isotopic equilibration, ${ }^{108-111}$ sometimes the reverse is true, ${ }^{112}$ sometimes the transposition is accompanied by bond formation to a new oxygen, ${ }^{108,109}$ sometimes preferentially to the original oxygen. ${ }^{111,113}$ A prominent example occurs in the solvolysis of 2-norbornyl brosylate, where racemization is faster than ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ exchange. ${ }^{111}$ Another example is the solvolysis of $\mathbf{2 2}$, in which racemization from formation of $\mathbf{2 3}$ is faster than ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ equilibration by formation of 24 (Figure 40). This suggests that the ion pairs are very short-lived. In contrast, the products in these reactions, as in 2-norbornyl solvolyses or the formation of $\mathbf{2 5}$, have generally lost all memory of the original structure. ${ }^{108-112,114} \mathrm{~A}$ possible economical explanation for the contrasting features of these reactions is that the return to isomerized reactant involves a short-lived nonequilibrium solvated ion pair, while product formation involves longer-lived equilibrium ion pairs. As is consistent with this idea,
changes in solvent polarity (either by changing the solvent or by adding salts) have a larger effect on the rate of product formation than on isomerization or isotopic equilibration. ${ }^{108,109,112}$


Figure 40 Isotope scrambling experiments were evidence for Shiner's concept of "hidden return".

The era of carbocation chemistry in the twentieth century featured some of the most elegant experiments in chemical history, experiments that defined subtle features of these very complex mechanisms but that occasionally led to conflicting interpretations. We view it as probable that some misinterpretations and conflicts arose from the assumed foundational idea that a reaction mechanism in solution is fully defined by its sequence of intermediates and transition states. This then led to the implicit expectation that identical solute structures should behave identically, and the corollary that differing behavior implied differing intermediates. The nature of an intermediate and its subsequent reactions will however depend on its solvation and its dynamics, which in turn depends of how the intermediate was prepared ${ }^{115}$ and its lifetime. The results here show that this can have a substantial effect on observations for short-lived cations, suggesting the need for some modern reconsideration of the results from the era.

### 2.5 Experimental Procedures

## General Methods

Nitromethane was dried over activated $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. DCl was prepared by the method of Brown and Groot. ${ }^{116}$ Solutions of DCl in nitromethane were freshly prepared and titrated by dilution of an aliquot with excess water and titration using phenolphthalein as indicator. Norbornene from Oakwood Chemical was used without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were taken at 500 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were taken at 125.7 MHz .

## Reaction of 1-methylcyclopentene with DCl

To mimic the conditions of Pocker and Stevens, ${ }^{65}$ a mixture of 28.57 mL of 1.4 M DCl in nitromethane ( 40 mmol of HCl ), 10 mL of additional nitromethane, and 5.27 mL $(4.11 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-methylcyclopentene was diluted to a total volume of 50.0 mL and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with 200 mL of $10 \%$ by weight NaCl in water, then the organic phase was rinsed with three $100-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and partially distilled by vacuum transfer to remove additional nitromethane. The residual liquid, including 1-chloro-2-deutero-1-methylcyclopentane (6 and 7 in main text) contaminated with traces of nitromethane, was analyzed by NMR without further purification.

## Reaction of Cyclopentene with DCl

13.62 mL of $1.89 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DCl}(25.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in AcOD was added to the flask, followed by $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}(12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cyclopentene. The reaction flask was quickly filled to the mark with AcOD, a small stirbar was added. Once sealed with a glass stopper, the flask was inverted several times and allowed to stir on a magnetic stirrer. After two days, the reaction mixture was separated with 100 ml of pentane and 100 mL of $10 \%$ by weight NaCl in water. The
organic phase was rinsed with three $50-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. About $\sim 150 \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was used for NMR analysis in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-benzene.

## Reaction of Cyclopentene with DCl and Tetramethylammonium Chloride

1.1618 grams ( 10.6 mmol ) of tetramethylammonium chloride was dissolved in 8.2 ml of AcOD and the mixture was placed into a 25 mL volumetric flask. 7.67 mL of 1.89 M $\mathrm{DCl}(14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in AcOD was added to the flask, followed by $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}(14.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of cyclopentene. The reaction flask was quickly filled to the mark with AcOD, a small stirbar was added. Once sealed with a glass stopper, the flask was inverted several times and allowed to stir on a magnetic stirrer. After two days, the reaction mixture was separated with 100 ml of pentane and 100 mL of $10 \%$ by weight NaCl in water. The organic phase was rinsed with three $50-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. About $\sim 150 \mathrm{mg}$ of sample was used for NMR analysis in d6-benzene.

## Reaction of 1,3-pentadiene with DCl

Approximating Nordlander's conditions, ${ }^{63}$ a mixture of 0.62 mL of 1.6 M DCl in nitromethane ( 1 mmol of DCl ) and $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,3-pentadiene was added to a Wilmad 528 low pressure valve NMR tube. The NMR tube was sealed and shaken vigorously. After five minutes, the mixture was quenched with $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2- (trimethylsiloxy)propene, sealed and shaken again. The tube was then fit with a sealed capillary tube filled to the height of 5 cm with $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone, sealed, and analyzed by NMR directly.

## Synthesis of trans-2-dl-cyclopentanol


0.624 grams ( 14.9 mmol ) of lithium aluminum deuteride was suspended in 47 ml anhydrous ethyl ether. The mixture was cooled in an ice-water bath. 2.5 grams ( 29.7 mmol ) of cyclopentene oxide was added slowly under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was quenched in the manner described by the Merlic group, ${ }^{117}$ dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The material was further refined by fractional distillation, keeping the mother liquor.

## Synthesis of Cyclopentan-2-d-ol acetate



200 ml of dichloromethane, 3.74 ml of pyridine ( 46.4 mmol ), 567 mg of dimethylaminopyridine $(46.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and a stirbar were added to a 500 ml roundbottom flask. 2.19 ml of acetic anhydride ( 23.2 mmol ) and 2.00 grams of trans-2-d $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-cyclopentanol (23.2 mmol) were added to the flask.

$$
\text { Synthesis of trans-1-toluenesulfonyl-2-d } l_{1} \text {-cyclopentane }
$$

11 ml dry pyridine (dried over $4 \AA$ mol. sieves) and 4.42 grams ( 23.2 mmol ) tosyl chloride were added to a flask in an ice bath. $1 \mathrm{~g}(11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the flask and the
mixture was stirred for thirty minutes. The reaction was then placed into a $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ fridge for two days. The reaction was quenched by slow addition of 1 ml of water (slow addition avoids exotherm and maintain homogeneity). The mixture was poured into a separatory funnel with crushed ice and conc. HCl . The mixture was extracted with 15 ml ether. The ether layer was then washed with $12 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and 12 mL saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo and the product was recrystallized in pentane at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Synthesis of syn-1-chloro-2-d $d_{l}$-cyclopentane


0.25 grams ( 1 mmol ) of the tosylate product and $0.62 \mathrm{~g}(5.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetramethylammonium chloride was dissolved in 4.7 ml of DMSO. After 48 hours, the reaction reached (conversion measured by $1 \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ). The reaction mixture was separated with cold water and pentane, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo.

Reaction of Norbornene with DCl
A separate solution of 1.83 grams ( 19.4 mmol ) of norbornene dissolved in 5 mL dichloromethane was prepared at room temperature. DCl gas was made through the slow addition of $0.35 \mathrm{ml}(19.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ deuterium oxide to $0.68 \mathrm{ml}(7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ phosphorus trichloride in Schlenk flask with an outlet to a solution of 19.4 mL dichloromethane and norbornene in a three-neck flask at -78 C . At high gas pressures, the reaction flask was allowed to vent to a mercury bubbler. The reaction was initiated by the addition of a small quantity of the norbornene solution into the reaction flask, followed by the addition of a
small quantity of deuterium oxide into the phosphorus trichloride flask. When the bubbler indicated vacuum inside the reaction flask, more norbornene was added to the reaction flask and more deuterium oxide was added to the phosphorus trichloride flask. After the addition of deuterium oxide was complete, the Schlenk flask was then removed from the ice bath and gas evolution became more vigorous. Once the evolution of gas was complete, the reaction flask was removed from the dry-ice bath and allowed to vent through the bubbler. Once at ambient temperature, the contents of the reaction flask were poured into a separatory funnel and extracted twice with $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ petroleum ether. The organic layer was washed twice with $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to remove traces of acid. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR without further purification.

## Reaction of tert-butylethylene with DCl

1.63 grams ( 19.4 mmol ) of tert-butylethylene was pulled up into a plastic syringe. HCl gas was made through the slow addition of $0.19 \mathrm{ml}(10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ distilled water to 0.68 $\mathrm{ml}(7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ phosphorus trichloride in Schlenk flask with an outlet to a solution of 19.4 mL dichloromethane in a three-neck flask at -78 C. At high gas pressures, the reaction flask was allowed to vent to a mercury bubbler. The reaction was initiated by the addition of a small quantity of the tert-butylethylene into the reaction flask, followed by the addition of a small quantity of distilled water into the phosphorus trichloride flask. Unlike in the norbornene case, the reaction of tert-butylethylene was very slow and no noticeable vacuum occurred over the course of the experiment. Water and tert-butylethylene additions took place dropwise in a staggered pattern over half an hour. After the addition of water was complete, the Schlenk flask was then removed from the ice bath and gas evolution became
more vigorous. Once the evolution of gas was complete, the reaction flask was removed from the dry-ice bath and allowed to vent through the bubbler. Once at ambient temperature, the contents of the reaction flask were poured into a separatory funnel and extracted twice with $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ petroleum ether. The organic layer was washed twice with $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to remove traces of acid. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR without further purification.

### 2.6 Computational Procedures

## General

The calculations of DFT or MP2 structures, energies, and frequencies employed default procedures in Gaussian $16^{118}$ unless otherwise noted. DLPNO-CCSD $(T)$ energies were calculated using ORCA. ${ }^{119}$ Complete structures and energetics are provided in sections below. All absolute energies are in Hartrees. All relative energies are presented in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Calculations of trajectories in the gas phase or with implicit solvation models employed the program suite PROGDYN. PROGDYN consists of a series of component programs written as either Unix shell scripts or awk programs. Gaussian16 was used to calculate the forces at each point in trajectories. A full description of PROGDYN can be found in a later section. A full listing of the component programs can be found as a permanent public dataverse set at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/TQZR7E. The original version of this program was published in the Supporting Information of a previous paper.

Calculations of trajectories in explicit solvent employed the program suite ProgdynONIOM. ProgdynONIOM was previously reported, ${ }^{82}$ but the new version contains significant bug fixes important for highly polar reactions and should supersede the prior version. ProgdynONIOM uses a combination of A) Gaussian09 for the DFT or MP2 calculations needed for an ONIOM, and B) MOPAC2016 ${ }^{\circledR 120}$ for all semi-empirical calculations. A full description of ProgdynONIOM can be found in a later section.

A diverse collection of short helper and data-analysis programs were used to aid the running of trajectories and to analyze the data. A later section describes the general purpose, input, and output of each of these programs. A full listing of each can be found in Appendix C.

## Trajectory Terminology

An MD trajectory is a trajectory in explicit solvent that is equilibrated and run with one or more constraints, typically harmonic constraints on interatomic distances, for the purpose of umbrella sampling. The constraints vary from trajectory to trajectory.

A feeder trajectory is similar in that it is a trajectory in explicit solvent that is being equilibrated and run with one or more constraints, but its purpose is to generate the starting points for spawned trajectories below. Such trajectories are typically equilibrated and run for tens or hundreds of thousands of fs. The constraints are chosen to place the trajectory in an interesting region, typically the region of a transition state, and the constraints are typically identical for a series of feeders.

A spawned trajectory is one that is created by extracting points from feeder trajectories and continuing them without constraint to see what reaction occurs. Each
spawned trajectory is run in a separate directory until it reaches defined endpoints such as a product formation or time limit.

## Computational Methods Validation Studies

To explore the accuracy of computational methods for the addition of HCl to 1,3pentadiene, a series of structures were first optimized in gas-phase M06-2X/6-31+G* calculations. This includes 1,3-pentadiene (1), pentadienyl cation (S4) two different conformations of the addition product ( $\mathbf{S 2} 2$-conf $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{S 2} \mathbf{- c o n f} \mathbf{B}$ ), $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, the HCl dimer, and the bichloride anion ( $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$). Single-point energies for each of these structures were then calculated in $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-cc-pVTZ calculations, and the energetics were compared with energetics calculated in a series of DFT calculations, including M062X/6-31+G*, M11/6-31+G**, wB97xD/6-31+G**, BP86/6-31+G**, B3PW91/6-31+G**, B3P86/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}, \mathrm{M} 06 / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}, \mathrm{~B} 97 \mathrm{D} 3 / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}, \quad$ SOGGA11X/6-31+G**, SOGGA11/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$, B3LYP/6-31+G**, M06-2X/6-31+G**, M06-2X/6-311+G**, M06-2X/aug-ccpVDZ, and M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations, along with MP2/6-31+G**, MP2/6-31G*, and MP2/6-31+G* ab initio calculations. Relative potential energy energetics were in each case calculated for the protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ to give $\mathbf{S 4}$, the protonation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to give HCl , the protonation of $\mathbf{1}$ by HCl to give $\mathbf{S 4}$ plus $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, the overall energetics for the addition of HCl to $\mathbf{1}$ to give $\mathbf{S 2}$-conf $\mathbf{A}$, the relative energy of the two product conformations, the energy of dimerization of HCl , and the proton affinity of $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.

Scheme 1 Additional structures calculated for energetics and trajectories in implicit solvent.


For each calculational method, an RMS error was calculated, treating the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-cc-pVTZ calculations as the standard. The DFT methods in general did not perform very well, giving RMS errors of 5.7 to $7.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with Pople basis sets, though the M06-2X error went down to $4.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with an aug-cc-pVDZ basis set and only 2.9 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with an aug-cc-pVTZ basis set. MP2 calculations faired much better, with an RMS error of $1.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for MP2/6-31+G**. M06-2X $/ 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{*}$ and M06-2X/6-31+G** were only off by $0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ on the proton affinity of $\mathbf{1}$, versus $1.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for MP2/6-31+G** (though the MP2 calculation is only off from the experimental value by 0.2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), but the M06-2X calculations performed much less well on any calculation involving a Cl atom.

To explore the accuracy of methods for structures directly relevant to trajectories, single-point calculations were carried out on a series of 98 points taken at intervals from a full trajectory in explicit solvent. For the high-level core (1,3-pentadiene +2 HCl going from reactants to the addition product), single point calculations were carried out using DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations along with MP2/6-31+G**, M06-2X/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{*}$, and M06-2X/6-31+G**. The graphs below (Figure 41) show plots of each, with the DLPNO energies on the horizontal axis. The MP2 calculations are clearly better, both in giving a higher correlation and if giving a slope of energies that is near unity. The M06-2X calculations were considered adequate for structures with separate ions (those in the higher-
energy range) but they err significantly for the low-energy structures in which the Cl approaches a carbon.


Figure 41 Correlation of energies calculated at test DFT MP2/6-31+G** levels versus DLPNO-CCSD $(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-cc-pVTZ for solute points in a sample trajectory.


Figure 42 Correlation of energies calculated at test DFT M06-2X/6-31+G** levels versus DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ for solute points in a sample trajectory.


Figure 43 Correlation of energies calculated at test DFT M06-2X/6-31+G* levels versus DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ for solute points in a sample trajectory.

## DRP and Trajectories in Implicit Solvent

DRPs starting from $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{9}^{\ddagger}$ on the MP2/6-31+G**/PCM surface as described in the main text were obtained using PROGDYN by using the "classical 4" option. This turns off putting energy in all normal modes except for the imaginary mode associated with the transition vector. The imaginary mode is then given a classical Boltzmann-random sampling of energy in the product-forming direction. The trajectories were then stopped when a chloride bonded with a cationic carbon, as defined by a C-Cl distance of $<1.8 \AA$. For $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$, a total of 44 DRPs were run, with 38 undergoing 1,4-addition and 6 undergoing 1,2-addition. For $\boldsymbol{9}^{\ddagger}$, a total of 30 DRPs were run, with 19 undergoing 1,4-addition and 11 undergoing 1,2addition.

The DRPs described above and in the main text are on the MP2/6-31+G**/PCM surface. The table below (Table 3) shows the results of studies with alternative methods and solvent models, starting the DRPs from the various TSs. The listed TS names correspond to structures labeled and depicted in a later section. In each case the major outcome was either ion dissociation or 1,4-addition, and the results do not fit with experimental observations.

Table 3 Trajectory outcomes for a selection of initialization geometries in implicit solvent.

| method / basis set / solvent model | Starting TS | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP2/6-31+G**/SMD | $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl conf B SMD | 18 ion dissociation |
|  |  | no addition product |
|  | $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl conf C SMD | 18 ion dissociation |
| M06-2X/6-31+G**PCM | $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl conf A PCM | 11,2 -addition |
|  |  | 91,2 -addition |
|  | $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl conf B PCM | 121,4 -addition |
|  |  | 481,4 -addition |
| M06-2X/6-31+G**/SMD | $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl conf A SMD | 11 ion dissociation |
|  |  | no addition product |

Quasiclassical trajectories starting from the area of $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{9}^{\ddagger}$ on the MP2/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} / \mathrm{PCM}$ surface as described in the main text were obtained using PROGDYN by using the "classical 0" and "initialdis 2" options. For this, each normal mode is given it zero-point energy (ZPE) plus a randomized excitation energy based on a Boltzmann distribution, along with a randomized displacement of the modes. The phase of each of the normal modes was mapped from Gaussian distribution of random numbers. This distribution is not correct for a classical oscillator but would be approximately correct for a quantum oscillator in its ground state (only ignoring mode displacements outside of the classical limit), and has the advantage that a larger portion of the trajectories start with an energy approximating the initially desired energy. PROGDYN now has the capability to perform a full quantum distribution in its initialization, which would be more accurate physically, but
this capability had not been built into PROGDYN at the time. The trajectories were then propagated forward and backward in time until $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ was formed or the $\mathbf{4}^{+} / \mathrm{ClHCl}^{-}$ion pair dissociated (gauged by a minimum C-Cl distance of $5.0 \AA$ ) or the starting materials were reformed or a 500 fs time limit was reached. For $\mathbf{8}^{\ddagger}$, a total of 100 trajectories were run, with 98 undergoing dissociation and 2 undergoing 1,4-addition. For $\mathbf{9}^{\ddagger}$, a total of 40 trajectories were run, with 27 undergoing dissociation, 12 undergoing 1,4-addition and 1 undergoing 1,2-addition.

The quasiclassical trajectories described above and in the main text are on the MP2/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} / \mathrm{PCM}$ surface. The table below (Table 4) shows the results of studies with alternative methods and solvent models, starting the trajectories from the various TSs. In listed TS names correspond to structures labeled and depicted in a later section. In each case the major outcome was either ion dissociation or 1,4-addition, and the results do not fit with experimental observations.

Table 4 Trajectory outcomes for a comprehensive selection of initialization geometries in implicit solvent.

| method / basis set / solvent model | Starting TS | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP2/6-31+G**/SMD | TS / two HCl conf B SMD | 90 ion dissociation 1 1,4-addition |
|  | TS / two HCl conf C SMD | 82 ion dissociation <br> 4 1,4-addition <br> 2 1,2-addition |
| M06-2X/6-31+G**/PCM | TS / two HCl conf A PCM | 217 ion dissociation 2 1,4-addition <br> 1 1,2-addition |
|  | TS / two HCl conf B PCM | 129 ion dissociation 58 1,4-addition 2 1,2-addition |
| M06-2X/6-31+G**/SMD | TS / two HCl conf A SMD | 166 ion dissociation 1 1,4-addition |
|  | TS / two HCl conf B SMD | 109 ion dissociation <br> 9 1,4-addition <br> 11,2-addition |
|  | TS / two HCl conf C SMD | 94 ion dissociation <br> 1 1,4-addition |

## PMF for Protonation in Explicit Solvent

Series of initial structures for molecular dynamics including 1,3-pentadiene, one or two molecules of HCl , and 120 nitromethane molecules was generated using Packmol Version $16.344^{121}$ with an initial sphere radius of $13.66 \AA$. These structures were used as input to a Gaussian 09 PM3 calculation, which was then used as a freqinHP file to initiate trajectories in ProgdynONIOM using the "classical 2" facility. These structures were then equilibrated at 298 while a series of parameters were adjusted to obtain reasonable densities and heats of vaporization while keeping pressures at reasonable values. The parameters explored included the choice of low-level method (PM6, PM6-DH+, PM6-DH2, PM7, finally choosing PM6-DH+), spheresize (finally choosing 14.75), sphereforce (ProgdynONIOM uses a "soft" sphere boundary in which atoms outside of the boundary
experience an inwardly propelling harmonic potential, final parameter value 0.1 ), and parameters for the additional empirical dispersion (empiricaldispersion 2.0, radiusmultiplier 1.5 , empiricaldispersionb -0.3 , radiusmultiplierb 0.8 ). These parameters led to a median final density (measured for the inner $90 \%$ of the sphere) of 1.17 (compared to 1.14 for pure nitromethane), and a median pressure of 174 atm . The initial equilibrations included a weak harmonic potential (with a force constant of $118.58 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA \AA$ ) that held an HCl molecule with a proton $\sim 1.4 \AA$ from $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ of the diene, a weak quartic potential that held the H of the second HCl molecule at $\sim 2.1 \AA( \pm 0.4 \AA)$ from the Cl of the first HCl , and a weak harmonic potential that impelled $C_{2}$ of the diene toward the center of the sphere ( $\pm 2 \AA$ ) using the "zeroatom" keyword. The total equilibration times for the structures before further use ranged from 80,000 to $150,000 \mathrm{fs}$.

At this point, these starter trajectories were "dispersed" to create MD trajectories for umbrella sampling by applying varying harmonic potentials (with force constants of either $474.32 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA$ or $664.05 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA$ ) at a series of $\mathrm{C}_{1}---\mathrm{H}$ distances (ranging from 1.08 to $1.78 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances (ranging from 1.25 to $2.1 \AA$ ). The dispersal was performed in steps of $<0.2 \AA$, followed by equilibrations of $\sim 1000$ fs, to avoid applying very strong forces to the relevant atoms. After dispersal, equilibrations were continued for 3000 fs before collecting $\sim 10,000 \mathrm{fs}$ of data in each umbrella section, with a total time of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~ns}$. The raw data was then collected from the dynfollowfile outputs for each trajectory and the PMF was calculated using the weighted histogram analysis method ${ }^{83}$ employing the program wham-2d. ${ }^{122}$ Figure 31 of the main text depicts the results within a limited region, with the zero of energy set at a flat region of the surface in the area of a pre-reactive complex. It should be understood that Figure 31 has had selected points on the edge of the energy profile
deleted in order to make the important portion of the energy profile more visible and clear. An expanded depiction of the data, with no point selection along the edge, is given in the two following figures (Figures S4 and S5). For these profiles, points that received no counts in the umbrella sampling were assigned an arbitrary energy value of $25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The effect of this is that the data shows sharp "cliffs" in passing from populated data points to data points receiving no counts. This makes the edges of the energy surface look unusual, but these edges are not meaningful. The selection of points for Figure 31 and the arbitrary value assignments have no effect on the interpretation of the results.


Figure 44 Expanded version of Figure 31 from the main text with no point selection at the edges.


Figure 45 Expanded version of Figure 31 from the main text with no point selection at the edges, viewed from a higher angle.

The PMF figures above along with Figure 31 in the main text were obtained in systems using two $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ molecules and in which MP2/6-31+G** was used as the high-level method (used for the 1,3-pentadiene and two- HCl solute) for the QM/QM ONIOM. The figure below (Figure 46) shows a similar PMF that was obtained in calculations using only a single HCl molecule and using $\mathrm{M} 06-2 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{*}$ for the ONIOM high-level method. The zero of the surface was set arbitrarily at the lowest-energy point.


Figure 46 PMF for the addition of one HCl molecule to 1,3-pentadiene, using M06-2X/6$31+G^{*}$ for the ONIOM high-level method.

Trajectories in Explicit Solvent Started from the Area of $10^{*}$

## Initialization and Propagation

A series of 24 feeder trajectories were created from independent $M D$ trajectories by adjusting the harmonic constraints to the following distances and force-constant values : C1---H $1.28 \AA \AA, 1067.22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA$
(ProgdynONIOM listing: "applyforce $4 \begin{array}{llllll} & 0.9 & 1.28 & 0.0 & 40 ")\end{array}$

H---Cl $1.68 \AA, 711.48 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA$
(ProgdynONIOM listing: "applyforceB $4 \begin{array}{llllll} & 0.6 & 1.68 & 0.0 & 1 ")\end{array}$ The distance between the Cl of the reacting HCl molecule and the H of the second HCl molecule was constrained by a loose fourth-order constraint to between 1.8 and $2.6 \AA$, (ProgdynONIOM listing "applyforceC 40.002 .200 .001 .0 ") to prevent the dissociation of the second HCl molecule (since the experimental kinetics indicate that the reaction is second order in HCl ). The $\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance in the second HCl molecule was loosely constrained to less than $1.7 \AA$ (ProgdynONIOM listing "applyforceD 40.001 .300 .001 .0 ") to prevent a rare protonation of the nitromethane by the HCl (experimental observations of the ion content of the solution suggest that this does not happen significantly experimentally). The feeder trajectories were then equilibrated for a minimum of $10,000 \mathrm{fs}$, then continued for typically 20,000 to $25,000 \mathrm{fs}$.

At intervals of 250 fs , structures and velocities were extracted from the feeder trajectories to create a spawned trajectory. The spawned trajectories were continued without constraint and integrated forward and backward in time. As described in the main text, the trajectories were continued until the products $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ were formed, the starting materials were reformed, a time limit of 3000 fs was reached, or the $\mathrm{Cl}--\mathrm{HCl}$ anion / pentadienyl cation tight ion pair "dissociated." Dissociation was defined by the chlorides being $>5 \AA$ separated from the cationic carbons

## Autocorrelation and the Randomization of Initial Conditions

Exploratory studies were conducted to examine the rate of randomization of initial trajectory conditions and whether 250 fs was a sufficiently long time between extraction of spawned trajectories to obtain independent results. For one of the feeder trajectories, points
were extracted every 50 fs and the trajectories were integrated without constrain in the normal way. It was then examined whether sequential results were more likely to be the same than would be dictated by random chance. After 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 fs , the chance that a trajectory result would match the previous result relative to random chance was $1.57,1.31,1.22,1.16$, and 1.14 , respectively. Because of the limited size of the dataset, there is a significant uncertainty for each number, but the data suggests that the initial substrate / solvent structure does not fully equilibrate over 250 fs . This is unsurprising considering the time required for solvation to relax around charges (see Figure 33 in the main text). However, the degree of autocorrelation after 250 fs was judged to be low enough to not impact significantly the uncertainty in the product ratios obtained from the trajectories.

## PMF for C-Cl Bond Formation in Ion Pair

A series of 24 independent starting geometries and velocities for trajectories were obtained by extracting points with appropriate $\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distances from the trajectories that had been started in the area of $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$. These trajectories were then dispersed to create 68 MD trajectories for umbrella sampling, setting C---Cl distances in a range from 2.0 to $4.6 \AA$ with a force constant that was either $118.58 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA$ or $237.16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA \AA$. The constraints for these trajectories using the interlocking-sphere biasing potential that we developed in a previous paper.

The idea of the interlocking-sphere potential is that the use of simple biasing potentials based on the distance of a particular Cl atom from a particular carbon atom, such as $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, fails. The failure occurs because the Cl remains free to attack $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and the other Cl atom can attack either $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, as happens invariably with only a single bias applied. If four constraints were applied instead, the dimensionality of the data would be too high to
either obtain or interpret. As previously described, the interlocking sphere algorithm gets around this problem by defining a surface of zero potential based on a combination of atoms. In effect, the algorithm works by applying a potential to the shortest (or longest) or a set of relevant distances, while leaving the other distances in the set unconstrained. This allows the two chlorine atoms to roam from $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ in the MD , with the constraint only affecting two atoms at a time.

On rare occasions, a proton transfer would occur from $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ of the diene to one of the Cl atoms. To avoid this outcome, which interferes with the PMF calculation, a second weak $(11.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \AA)$ interlocking sphere potential was applied to the distance between $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and their attached hydrogens. This has the effect of preventing deprotonation steps, but otherwise did not unduly affect the MD.

The dispersed MD trajectories in each umbrella section were equilibrated for a minimum of 10,000 fs before collecting data, with a total time of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~ns}$. The raw data was then collected from the dynfollowfile outputs for each trajectory and the PMF was calculated using the weighted histogram analysis method ${ }^{83}$ employing the program wham ${ }^{122}$. The zero of energy was assigned to a minimum in the PMF at a nominal C---Cl distance of $1.85 \pm$ $0.025 \AA$. The result is shown in Figure 7 in the main text and is shown below in more complete form (Figure 47).


Figure 47 Expanded PMF for Figure 35.

## Trajectories Initiated from Solvent-Equilibrated Ion Pairs in Explicit Solvent

A subset of the MD trajectories, those with $\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance constraints ranging from 3.8 to $4.1 \AA$, were used directly as the feeder trajectories for spawned trajectories. The spawned trajectories were continued without constraint, and integrated only forward in time. (Since there is no transition state being crossed, integrating both directions in time provides no particular information of value, and since the forward and backward legs are not independent, running both legs provides less information/cost relative to running a new spawned trajectory.) The trajectories were continued until the products $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ were formed, a time limit of 3000 fs was reached, or the $\mathrm{Cl}---\mathrm{HCl}$ anion / pentadienyl cation tight ion pair "dissociated." In no case did the ion pair revert to 1,3-pentadiene. A total of 508 results were obtained, of which 320 reached the 3000 fs time limit, 40 had the ions dissociate, and formed the 1,2 or 1,4 addition product. The ordered raw times used for Figure 8 were: $115,214,220,312,322,344,353,359,359,362,364,382,386,386,397,407,430,440,441,462,466,474$, $504,518,535,541,568,582,585,592,595,603,605,623,626,645,653,663,676,681,681,688,707,719$, $723,733,736,740,768,782,785,788,790,796,832,843,846,859,868,880,921,931,934,949,973,987$,

```
1007, 1049, 1062, 1080, 1084, 1094, 1103, 1107, 1112, 1115, 1127, 1162, 1162, 1173,1180, 1180, 1224, 1241,
1296, 1318, 1333, 1347, 1352, 1362, 1367, 1385, 1393, 1421, 1446, 1459, 1485, 1491, 1492, 1494, 1501, 1504,
1591, 1601, 1619, 1653, 1654, 1678, 1680, 1683, 1684, 1696, 1699, 1706, 1707, 1711, 1732, 1748, 1757, 1781, 1797, 1811, 1868, 1877, 1885, 1905, 1932, 1952, 1962, 1966, 1972, 2012, 2023, 2024, 2027, 2041, 2046, 2075, \(2112,2143,2143,2162,2178,2189,2193,2199,2221,2258,2290,2311,2331,2344,2350,2360,2380,2435\), \(2455,2458,2469,2473,2501,2519,2550,2556,2564,2588,2634,2674,2680,2685,2714,2722,2743,2753\), 2765, 2768, 2779, 2793, 2821, 2827, 2831, 2832, 2836, 2837, 2868, 2901, 2937, 2950
```


## Incipient Ion-pair Stabilization Parameter Analyses

The program progcharge that calculates the "incipient ion-pair stabilization parameter" $E_{i p}$ for each point in a trajectory is described in another section and listed in a separate zip file. Parameters such as the choice of atomic charges can be found in the program. Here, we provide more detail on the calculation and explain the choice of charges employed.

The progcharge calculation of $E_{i p}$ is employs constant pre-assigned charges for all of the atoms. For the nitromethane solvent, MP2/6-31+G** overestimates the dipole moment, giving a dipole moment of 4.4 compared to experimental of 3.46 (https://cccbdb.nist.gov/exp2x.asp?casno=75525\&charge=0\#NSRDS-NBS10). This dipole moment was reproduced better by M062X/6-31+G** calculations (3.86), so this method was used to calculate the charges. The charges were calculated by the Merz-Singh-Kollman scheme ${ }^{123}$ on gas-phase optimized structures using Gaussian09, and the charges for the equivalent H's were averaged as well as those for the equivalent oxygen atoms.

The choice of atomic charges on the solvent made a change in the $E_{i p}$ that appeared approximately proportional to the dipole moment, so the use of MP2 charges instead of

M062X charges increased $E_{i p}$ values but had no qualitative effect. The choice of atomic charges for the incipient ion pair made a nearly negligible effect on the $E_{i p}$, so that an initial run with drastically differing charges on the ions (but still adding up to +1 on the pentadienyl cation) did not change averaged $E_{i p}$ values by more than $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The figure below (Figure 48) shows the alternative to Figure 33 using the differing charges.


Figure 48 Analog of Figure 33 from the main text except using $\sim 50 \%$ smaller atomic charges for the cationic atoms.

Real charges in the molecules would naturally vary as the geometry varies. Our view was that little could be gained from looking at the fs-by-fs charges since our goal was to recognize overall differences in the solvation and how they vary by time. Limited exploratory studies were carried out using xtb charges for the solute that were calculated at each point, but this led to no qualitative difference versus the simple approach calculating $E_{i p}$ based on constant charges.

For the trajectories in which the 1,2-product was formed, it was reasoned that the end of these trajectories was misleading with regard to ion-pair stabilization, since the charge
separation goes away as the product is formed. To avoid this problem, the last 25 fs of runs forming the 1,2-product was not used in the calculation of average $E_{i p}$ values. As noted in the main text, the initial $E_{i p}$ for reactive trajectories is not bimodal, but rather approximates a normal distribution. This is illustrated in the figure below (Figure 49), which shows a histogram of the complete set of initial $E_{i p}$ values.


Figure 49 Histogram showing the range of initial $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ip}}$ for reactive trajectories.

## Exploration of Effect of Solute Internal Dimensions

The effect of a series of variables reflecting internal solute dimensions versus the productivity of the trajectories was explored. The table below (Table 5) shows the effect of the starting C-H for the proton being transferred on the percentage productivity of the trajectories. For the relatively few trajectories outside of this range ( $\sim 3 \%$ ), the productivity percentage was lower, but within the range of most trajectories the productivity was approximately equal allowing for the normal statistical variations.

Table 5 ONIOM trajectory productivity as a function of internal C-H coordinate selection.

| C-H distance ( $\pm 0.005 \AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.25 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.30 | 1.31 | 1.32 | 1.33 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.36 | 1.37 |
| Number of trajectories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 30 | 46 | 114 | 167 | 266 | 291 | 287 | 251 | 226 | 167 | 106 | 38 | 17 | 9 |
| Number productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 33 | 73 | 71 | 70 | 61 | 54 | 39 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Percentage productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13\% | 17\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 27\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% | 19\% | 13\% | 18\% | 33\% |

The table below (Table 6) shows the effect of the starting $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance for the proton being transferred on the percentage productivity of the trajectories. The productivity was low, $\sim 1 \%$, for the $6 \%$ of trajectories outside of this range. The productivity peaks for distances from 1.62 to $1.78 \AA$, and this distance is a factor in productivity but not to a major extent within this range.

Table 6 ONIOM trajectory productivity as a function of internal C-H coordinate selection. Truncated range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ values.

| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance ( $\pm 0.02 \AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.56 | 1.6 | 1.64 | 1.68 | 1.72 | 1.76 | 1.8 | 1.84 |
| Number of trajectories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 262 | 586 | 574 | 271 | 99 | 66 | 48 |
| Number productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 37 | 139 | 160 | 84 | 26 | 12 | 7 |
| Percentage productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11\% | 14\% | 24\% | 28\% | 31\% | 26\% | 18\% | 15\% |

The table below (Table 7) shows the effect of the starting $\mathrm{Cl}---\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances (the distance to the H of the second HCl molecule to Cl of the HCl doing the proton transfer) on the percentage productivity of the trajectories. Only $0.2 \%$ of trajectories were outside of this range. Within this range, there is no discernable effect of this distances on productivity.

Table 7 ONIOM trajectory productivity as a function of internal C-Cl coordinate selection.

| $\mathrm{Cl}---\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance ( $\pm 0.025 \AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.95 | 2 | 2.05 | 2.1 | 2.15 | 2.2 | 2.25 | 2.3 | 2.35 | 2.4 | 2.45 | 2.5 |
| Number of trajectories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 55 | 140 | 184 | 236 | 296 | 348 | 332 | 259 | 169 | 69 | 7 |
| Number productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 11 | 27 | 43 | 60 | 82 | 67 | 66 | 55 | 39 | 17 | 1 |
| Percentage productive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26\% | 20\% | 19\% | 23\% | 25\% | 28\% | 19\% | 20\% | 21\% | 23\% | 25\% | 14\% |

The effect of the general orientation of the second HCl molecule on productivity was explored visually in a qualitative manner by examining productive versus non-productive trajectories, with no discernable effect. A more quantitative examination was hampered by the multiple variables involved in defining the orientation of the second HCl molecule.

## CHAPTER III

## BOND MEMORY IN DYNAMICALLY DETERMINED STEREOSELECTIVITY*

### 3.1 Introduction

Much of the understanding of molecular structure in chemistry implicitly assumes time-averaged geometries and statistical distributions of energy in molecules. For example, symmetry-linked bonds to atoms are considered equivalent, even though in individual molecules at any instant the positions and energies of the atoms may be inequivalent. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$ is not viewed as being chiral in any meaningful sense, because no ordinary experiment can detect the ephemeral positional and energetic differences between the hydrogen atoms (Figure 50). ${ }^{124}$


Figure 50 Even achiral molecules such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$ exhibit instantaneous chirality. Over the course of an asymmetric C-H stretch vibration, one C-H bond is short ( Hs ) and another is long ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ), making these bonds connections inequivalent. This asymmetry exists on the femtosecond timescale, but at large time intervals converges to a time-averaged achiral form.

[^1]On sufficiently short time scales, however, the de facto dynamical asymmetry of structures with symmetrical connectivity can influence experimental observations, either through bond breaking in specifically energized fragments of molecules ${ }^{44,47}$ or through dynamic matching (see Section 1.3 for a detailed discussion). ${ }^{9,125-129}$

One system where this idea was encountered unexpectedly involves the $E / Z$ isomerization of cyclohexenes. Alkenes undergo photoisomerization under radiation with UV light. The $E / Z$ isomerization of linear alkenes in chemistry and biology is welldocumented, while the photochemical behavior in cyclic structures is less productive. ${ }^{130-134}$ In the three to five-membered rings, directly photoexcited cycloalkenes will decompose intramolecularly before intermolecular processes can intercede, precluding any direct or indirect observation of $E / Z$ isomerization. ${ }^{135-137}$ Six-membered rings are recognized as the smallest cycloalkene to exhibit $E / Z$ isomerism - the strained $E$-alkenes serving as reactive intermediates with a lifetime of $\sim 9 \mu$ s and barriers to back-isomerization on the order of 8 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{138,139}$ In the absence of suitable reaction partners, $(E)$-cyclohexenes are prone to non-stereospecific $[2+2]$ cyclodimerizations. ${ }^{140,141}$ Photosensitizing solvents such as phenols, ketones, and alkylbenzenes have been used to control the extent of photoexcitation of cycloalkenes and impart selectivity to their reactions. ${ }^{142-144}$

The Larionov group recently reported the photoinduced carboborative ring contraction of cyclohexenes to afford chiral cyclopentanes (Figure 51). ${ }^{145,146}$ The reaction was proposed to occur by a sensitized cis/trans isomerization, giving rise to a strained transcyclohexene nucleophile (1). Electrophilic addition by a tertiary borane via $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$ then forms the zwitterionic adduct 3. Ring contraction through $\mathbf{4}^{\ddagger}$ leads to the betaine structure 5 . The nature of $\mathbf{5}$ and its dynamics will be of central importance here. Stereodivergent alkyl
migrations via $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{I}$ quench the charge separation and give the product cyclopentanes 7-R and 7-I. The stereochemistry of the combination of ring contraction and boron-alkyl migration steps cannot be experimentally determined with cyclohexene itself, but a strong preference for inversion is observed with complex substrates.


Figure 51 The proposed mechanism for the carboborative ring contraction reaction, starting at trans-cyclohexene.

Here, we have carefully assigned and quantitated the relatively simple reaction of $(+)$-limonene with triethylborane (Figure 52).



Figure 52. The mechanistic scheme for the reaction of equatorial trans-(+)-limonene (8-eq) with triethylborane. Axial trans- $(+$ )-limonene ( $8-\mathbf{- a x}$ ) contributes to the observed product mixture and will be discussed later.

An unusual experimental observation is that the two rearrangement steps are stereochemically linked, but not completely so. That is, if the bond to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ lost in the ringcontraction step is viewed as the "leaving group" and the migrating boron-alkyl is the "nucleophile", the combination of steps converting the first zwitterion intermediate to the product occurs with preferential, but not exclusive, inversion of configuration at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ (see 9 -I/10-I versus $\mathbf{9 - R} / \mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{R}$ in Figure 53). If the two migrations occurred simultaneously, stereospecific inversion would be expected, as in any $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ step. If the ring-contraction step were complete before the boron-alkyl migration, equal inversion and retention might be expected. The high stereoselectivity but absence of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-like stereospecificity then appears inconsistent with either a concerted or a two-step process. We describe here how the
combination of energetic non-equivalence in newly formed versus pre-existing bonds and the initial geometrical non-equivalence of the two bonds on the slope of an energy surface can direct high stereoselectivity at an adjacent reactive center.


Figure 53 Stereochemistry of the photoinduced carboborative ring contraction of (+)limonene.

Our hypothesis was that this unexpected stereoselectivity pattern is associated with dynamics on a bifurcating energy surface. ${ }^{2,3,6,7,10,34,39,40,93,147}$ The trajectory study here supports this idea but uncovers an origin for the selectivity that is uniquely dynamical in nature. The results complicate the mechanistic interpretation of stereoselectivity in reactions.

### 3.2 Computational Results and Discussion

## Initial Exploration of Computational Models

In order to understand the basics of this reaction, the relatively simple model of the reaction of trans-cyclohexene with trimethylborane (as shown in Figure 51) was used for initial exploration of the potential energy surface. After the computational method was
validated (see Section 3.4), GAUSSRATE/POLYRATE was used to calculate the M11/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ minimum energy path (MEP) connecting 1 to 7 (Figure 54).


Figure 54 The M11/6-31+G** MEP for the reaction of trans-cyclohexene with trimethylborane.

The ring contraction of $\mathbf{3}$ is barrierless, ${ }^{148}$ and the MEP has $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ migrate from $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ to pass into the area of structure $\mathbf{5}$, though $\mathbf{5}$ is not an energy minimum. The MEP then continues downhill by a boron-alkyl shift to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ through 6-I to afford 7-I. The energy surface for the ring contraction and boron-alkyl migration is steeply declining, going downhill by $61 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ overall and $45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from 5 to 7-I.

## Potential Energy Surface Studies

The stereochemically tractable $(+$-limonene/triethylborane reaction of Figure 53 was the focus of the calculations here. All calculations discussed hereon were performed with the M11/6-31+G** method validated in the simple model system described above. Unlike the model reaction, this system is configurationally and conformationally complex. The initial cis/trans isomerization can occur in two ways, leading to a distorted chair with the isopropenyl group either equatorial (8-eq) or axial (8-ax). For each, the isopropenyl group and the attacking triethylborane can adopt multiple conformations, and the possibilities were explored systematically (see Section 3.4). Importantly, the conformation of the triethylboryl group later in the mechanism is established in the transition state for its attack on the alkene. The structures subsequent to the initial addition step are extremely short-lived. As a result, their conformations are in a non-Curtin-Hammett regime, ${ }^{149}$ and they cannot interconvert prior to the final product formation.

Figure 55 outlines the calculated mechanism. The lowest-energy TS $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ for the addition of triethylborane to $\mathbf{8 - e q}$ involves a low barrier ( $8.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in free energy) despite the steric hindrance. The resulting zwitterion $\mathbf{1 2}$ exists in a shallow energy well of only 0.3 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ versus the subsequent ring-contraction TS $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$. The MEP forward from $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ faces no further barrier before arriving at the inversion product 9-I, so in this respect the two rearrangement steps are concerted. However, the ring contraction is complete in the MEP before any significant motion of a boron-ethyl group, and the MEP passes through the shoulder structure 14 (the limonene analog of $\mathbf{5}$, not an energy minimum). Because of the tertiary cation at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in $\mathbf{1 2}$, the ring contraction is less favored than in the unsubstituted model,
and it is only $\sim 2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ downhill from 12 to 14 . The final boron-alkyl migration is extremely exothermic. No TS leading by MEP to $\mathbf{9 - R}$ could be located, and the same was true for the other conformations explored. This absence of TSs leading to retention means that conventional stationary-point calculations cannot account for the experimentally observed minor product.


12 (5.0)


14 (2.2)

$13^{\ddagger}(5.3)$


Figure 55 Lowest-energy calculated mechanism for the reaction of 8-eq with triethylborane. Relative free energies are shown in parentheses in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The energy for non-minimum 14 is based on when the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ distance is $1.6 \AA$.

## Trajectory Studies

## Trajectory Results

To explore whether the retention product could arise from dynamic motions after the ring contraction, trajectory studies were conducted. Quasiclassical direct-dynamics trajectories were initiated from the area of $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$, a conformational analog of $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$, and a configurational analog derived from 8-ax. The choice of TSs used was based on the two lowest-energy $11^{\ddagger}$ analogs for addition to 8 -eq and the lowest-energy analog for addition to 8-ax. Each normal mode was given its zero-point energy, a Boltzmann-random distribution of thermal energy appropriate for 298.15 K , and a random phase. The trajectories were integrated in 1 fs steps forward and backward in time using a Verlet algorithm and were continued until they terminated at $\mathbf{9 / 1 0}$ or returned to intermediate $\mathbf{1 2}$. Our earliest exploratory studies (using an M06-2X/6-31+G** surface) were initialized at $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$, and these trajectories afforded a 21:7 mixture of inversion to retention products. However, there were two substantial problems with starting at $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$. The first problem is that trajectories tended to get stuck in the area of $\mathbf{1 2} ; 53 \%$ were still there after 700 fs . The trajectories that did go on to product took a median time of 489 fs after initialization. This is too long because leakage of the zero-point energy out of the C-H stretching vibrations starts to be substantial (our general rule is that quasiclassical trajectories should not be extended beyond 500 fs ), making the trajectories too "hot" and unphysical.

The second problem is that the DFT (either M11 or M06-2X) is not very accurate in the area of $11^{\ddagger}$, relative to DLPNO-CCSD $(T) /$ aug-cc-pVTZ energies, though they are very good in the area of $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$. This is described in Section 3.4 The DFT methods overestimate the energy of $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$, relative to $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$, and because of this DFT trajectories started
at $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ are too hot when they reach $\mathbf{1 3} \ddagger$. It might be argued that trajectories started from $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ are too "cool" since in reality trajectories reaching $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ would have retained excess energy coming from $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$. However, the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ energies place the change from $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ at less than $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, making the effect minimal.

Table 8 summarizes the stereochemical results. For both $\mathbf{8 - e q}$ and $\mathbf{8 - a x}$, the inversion products (9-I and 10-I) were strongly favored, but in each case significant amounts of the retention products were formed. Due to the practicality-limited number of trajectories, the $95 \%$ confidence limits on the trajectory ratios are $2.0 \%$ and $3.0 \%$ for $\mathbf{8}$-eq and $\mathbf{8}$-ax, respectively. The trajectories overestimate slightly the amount of inversion, but the predicted ratios are within error of the experimental observations.

Table 8 Final trajectory results and comparison with experimental observations from the Larionov group.

| System | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Inversion } \\ & (9-\mathrm{I} / \mathbf{1 0 - I}) \end{aligned}$ | Retention $(9-R / 10-R)$ | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8-eq, TS $13{ }^{\text { }}$ | 360 | 30 | 92.3:7.7 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$-lowest TS | 328 | 32 | 91.1:8.9 |
|  |  | weighted: 91. <br> experiment: 9 | $\begin{aligned} & : 8.3( \pm 2.0) \\ & : 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8-ax, lowest-energy TS | 373 | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.9: 10.1 \\ & ( \pm 3.0) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | experiment: $87: 13$ |  |

The trajectory results and their close fit with experimental observations support our hypothesis that the reaction involves a bifurcating energy surface and that the inversion and retention products arise from the same ring-contraction transition state. After the ring contraction, a sharply downward-sloping energy surface can lead from 14 to either product. The interesting question then is not why some retention is observed, but rather why inversion is so strongly favored.

A striking feature of the trajectories is that the ring contraction and boron-alkyl shifts are separated in time, eschewing any degree of synchronicity. ${ }^{150}$ An average time of 216 fs was required to traverse from $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ to $\mathbf{9 - I} / \mathbf{9 - R}$. This includes on average 78 fs to get to $\mathbf{1 4}$ (defined as $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}<1.6 \AA$ with $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ethyl }}>2.3 \AA$ ), 107 fs in the area of $\mathbf{1 4}$, and $\mathbf{3 1} \mathrm{fs}$ for the final highly exothermic boron-alkyl shift (defined by the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ - Cethyl distance reaching an approximate no-return threshold of $<2.3 \AA$ ). Only $5 \%$ of the trajectories involve temporal overlap between the ring contraction and boron-alkyl shifts, as judged by a $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ethyl }}$ distance of less than $2.3 \AA$ before the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ distance reaches $<1.6 \AA$. The remaining $95 \%$ of trajectories involve well-separated stages for the ring contraction and boron-alkyl shift, remaining on the edge of an energy cliff near $\mathbf{1 4}$ for a remarkable 113 fs on average. Trajectory Analyses

We considered first whether the inversion-engendering ethyl group (Eti, Figure 56) was initially better aligned with the empty p-orbital of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ than the alternative ethyl group leading to retention ( $\mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ). The migration of $\mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{i}}$ would then be favored by a steeper, leastmotion path. Trajectories, however, initially place the $E t_{i}$ and $E t_{r}$ in nearly equivalent positions with respect to $C_{1}$ in the area of 14 . A continuation of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ motions in the transition vector for $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ (Figure 56) brings the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ bond and the non-migrating ethyl group ( $\mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) into a surprisingly encumbered eclipsed conformation (average $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{n}}$ dihedral angle $-4^{\circ}$ with $\sigma=11^{\circ}$ ) as the trajectories reach 14 . The slightly advantageous alignment of $E t_{i}$ is ephemeral as conformational twisting continues, so that the $93 \%$ of the trajectories at some point in the area of $\mathbf{1 4}$ have Etr better aligned. Dihedral alignment then cannot account for predominant inversion.


Figure 56 Composite conformational motion as $\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}$ descends to $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ evolves with time.

The crux of the problem of understanding inversion is that the nominal structure of 14 (or 5 ) is misleading. The symmetry of the bond connections to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ makes the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bonds appear equivalent. (See Section 3.4 for evidence that the distant isopropenyl group has a negligible effect on the stereoselectivity.) However, $\mathbf{1 4}$ is actually highly asymmetric in three subtle but mutually reinforcing ways: (1) the newly formed $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond is initially vibrationally excited, similarly to what has been seen in the acetone cation radical, ${ }^{47}$ and it maintains this local excitation with a time constant of $\sim 50 \mathrm{fs}$, with some energy retained for up to 160 fs ; (2) the empty p-orbital of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is initially lined up with the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond, and donation from the electrons of the excited bond to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is retained for an extended time; (3) the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ group as a whole is initially much closer to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ than $\mathrm{C}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{B}\right.$ averages $\sim 3.1 \AA, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{B}$ averages $\sim 4.1 \AA$ ) and the inertial effect of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ holds $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ closer to the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond.


Figure 57 Evolution of the average $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ angles in inversion and retention trajectories after formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$.

These effects and their dissipation can be seen in the slow evolution of the average $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ angles after the formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Figure 57). For trajectories that lead to the inversion product, the average $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ angle increases and the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ angle decreases over time, but the system never reaches approximate symmetry, even after 200 fs . In contrast, the retention product is associated with trajectories that symmetrize the connections to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ more rapidly. A low $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ angle prevents formation of the retention product. This is understandable as a short-lived steric effect in which the $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ methylene blocks a migrating Etr. Inversion is then favored because $\sim 80 \%$ of the trajectories retain asymmetric geometries throughout their time in the area of $\mathbf{1 4}$.

This behavior can also be seen in the kinetic energy content of equivalent bond connections in $\mathbf{1 4}$. In the case of $\mathbf{1 4}$, much of the excess energy appears to pass out of the area of the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond at a faster rate. This is illustrated in Figure 58 and Figure 59. The first of these depicts, in blue, the average of the sum of the kinetic energies in carbons C 2 , $\mathrm{C}_{6}$, and the hydrogens attached to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ versus time after the formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ (defined as when
$\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}<1.6 \AA$, excluding the $\sim 5 \%$ of trajectories in which this point has $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ Cethyl $<2.3 \AA$ ), and, in red for comparison, the same quantity for carbons $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$, and the hydrogens attached to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$. It should be noted that initial point in this graph involves a coherence of motion in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond because of the way that $\mathbf{1 4}$ is defined.


Figure 58 Kinetic energy in selected bonds and attached hydrogens versus time after initial formation of 14.

A notable feature of this chart is that it shows that a small amount of excess energy, averaging $0.46 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, is retained in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond over that in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bond for an extended time. This difference is more clearly illustrated in Figure 59, which is just the same as Figure 58 except that the points are time-averaged over a $\pm 5$ fs range to smooth and filter the raw data. It should be noted that only about $20 \%$ of the trajectories survive to 160 fs past the formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$, so that the averaged energies become statistically less meaningful as the chart reaches the end (averaging 150 to 250 trajectories in 60 to 100 fs range but only 70 at $160 \mathrm{fs})$. The long-term retention of energy $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ is possibly in part a manifestation of Newton's law of cooling, where the areas adjacent to the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ bond take up extra energy
themselves very quickly initially, but after warming, they are no longer able to accept energy as quickly.


Figure 59 Time-smoothed plot of kinetic energy in selected bonds and attached hydrogens versus time after initial formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$.

Symmetry and time are intimately intertwined. Chiral atropisomers are defined by the long time required for their racemization, while the rapidly inverting ethylmethylamine is "operationally" achiral (Figure 60). ${ }^{124}$


Figure 60 Much like $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$, ethylmethylamine is chiral as it the nitrogen has four unique substituents in its valence. The pyramidal inversion that interconverts the enantiomeric structures on the picosecond timescale, rendering ethylmethylamine achiral for most purposes.

Most "memory effects" impact stereoselectivity through the time persistence of conformations. ${ }^{151-154}$ On shorter, sub-picosecond time scale, dynamic matching arises when the symmetry of a short-lived formally symmetrical intermediate is broken by the retained
non-symmetrical momentum of the atoms as they enter the area of the intermediate. The general idea here is the same, but it is taken to its logical extreme since $\mathbf{1 4}$ is not an intermediate and since the molecular asymmetry is both energetic and geometrical. ${ }^{33,155}$

### 3.3 Conclusions

When the products of a reaction are selected within a sufficiently short time, symmetry all but disappears. As a result, molecular events that are separated in time can be interconnected by short-lived dynamical differences. This possibility is not new, ${ }^{44,47}$ but here it affects the stereochemistry of an ordinary reaction in solution. This dynamical asymmetry complicates the understanding of stereochemical observations and their origin, since stereospecificity in formally concerted concomitant bonding changes would not necessarily require any degree of temporal overlap.

### 3.4 Computational Procedures

## Method Validation

Minimum energy paths (MEPs) for the reaction of trans-cyclohexene with trimethylborane were obtained using GAUSSRATE/POLYRATE, first with M06-2X/6$31+G^{* *}$. Points were extracted from the latter MEP at regular intervals and subjected to single-point calculations with a large variety of DFT and ab initio methods, including DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ. The relative energies for the DFT methods were compared against DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ relative energies to establish which method most closely resembles the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ. The first figure below shows a comparison of various DFT methods with the DLPNO results. It is clear that no functional was completely representative of the DLPNO (bold red) results for the entire reaction coordinate, but the $\mathrm{M} 11 / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ (bold purple) energies exhibited a shape in the 113
key area of the energy surface around structure 5 that was judged to reasonably reflect that exhibited by the high-level energies.


Figure 61 Large screen of DFT methods against an ab initio standard across an MEP constructed with M06-2X. Red trace indicated standard.

The similarity in this key area of the surface is illustrated in the second figure below, which is an GAUSSRATE/POLYRATE MEP recalculated with M11/6-31+G**.


Figure 62 Benchmark of the DFT method used for trajectories versus an ab initio standard.

## Trajectory Details

The trajectories were in all cases quasiclassical. For quasiclassical trajectories, each normal mode in the transition structure of interest was given its zero-point energy plus a Boltzmann distribution of quantized vibrational energies. The desired energy in each of the normal modes of the transition structure was mapped from a random number generator to a Boltzmann distribution set at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phase of each of the normal modes was mapped from a Gaussian distribution of random numbers. This distribution is not correct for a classical oscillator but it approximates that for a quantum oscillator in its ground state (only ignoring mode displacements outside of the classical limit), and it has the advantage that a larger portion of the trajectories start with an energy approximating the initially desired energy. By a new algorithm in PROGDYN, the displacement of the normal modes was
performed in internal coordinates. The sign on the velocity of the normal modes was randomized. After an energy/force calculation on the initial geometry, the total initial energy was calculated and the trajectory was thrown out if the energy did not agree satisfactorily (within $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) with the desired energy. The average energy of accepted trajectories was $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the desired energy. The mode corresponding to the transition vector was treated classically. A sample PROGDYN parameter file (progdyn.conf) is given in a later section. The specific TS used for the trajectories in the main text are designated TS2-eq-A, TS2- eq-D, and TS2-ax-H. Exploratory trajectory studies were also carried out using the higher energy structures TS2-eq-O and TS2-ax-V, giving inversion to retention ratios of 173:23 and 135:28, respectively.

## Trajectory Error Analysis

For the trajectories in the 8-ax series, started from the area of TS2-ax-H, the standard deviation for the observed ratio of 373 to 42 was calculated from the standard formula: $\sigma=$ $\left(p^{*} 1-\mathrm{p} * N\right)^{0.5}$ where p and 1-p are the proportions of each outcome (373/415 and 42/415) and $N$ is the total number (415), giving a $\sigma$ of 6.14 . For a $95 \%$ confidence limit, we used $2 \sigma$, or 12.28 . At the limit of this range in both directions, the ratios would be $92.8: 7.2$ and 86.9 : 13.1 , giving rise to the $\pm 3.0$ in the main text. For the trajectories in the $\mathbf{8 - e q}$ series, the TSs used as the starting points are derived from TS1 structures that are very nearly equal in energy (see table in Appendix B) and the results were weighted equally in the error analysis for simplicity. Applying the formula above, we get $\mathrm{p}=0.917,1-\mathrm{p}=0.083, \mathrm{~N}=750$, and $\sigma$ $=7.54$. This sets the $95 \%$ confidence range between $93.7: 6.3$ and $89.7: 10.3$, giving rise to the $\pm 2.0$ in the main text.

The isopropenyl group of limonene is a stereochemical marker, allowing the experimental observation of the preference for inversion over retention in the carboborative ring contraction. However, the isopropenyl group also clearly desymmetrizes the system, making the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bonds in $\mathbf{1 4}$ non-equivalent. In principle, the observed preference for formation of the inversion product could simply be the result of stereochemical induction by the distant isopropenyl group. We addressed this issue both experimentally and computationally. Experimentally, the simplification of system by changing the isopropenyl group to a methyl group and by changing the triethylborane to a trimethylborane, described below (Figure 63) for the reaction of 15, made very little difference in the stereoselectivity. This showed that the steric size of the substituent group and boron-alkyl groups is not important in the stereoselectivity.


Figure 63 Experiments from the Larionov group show that the product ratio is not sensitive to replacement of the isopropenyl group with a methyl group.

Computationally, quasiclassical reactive trajectories were initiated from the area of transition structures $\mathbf{1 8}^{\ddagger}$ and $19^{\ddagger}$, with $\mathbf{1 8}^{\ddagger}$ corresponding to the reaction of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and with $\mathbf{1 9}^{\ddagger}$ having a transposed pseudoequatorial methyl group relative to $\mathbf{1 8}^{\ddagger}$. If the methyl group were
controlling the stereochemistry, then $\mathbf{1 9}^{\ddagger}$ would be expected to exhibit predominant retention. Instead, the stereochemistry is unchanged, at least to the degree that is observable with this limited number of trajectories. This supports the intuitive expectation that the distant alkyl group is not impacting the stereoselectivity to a significant extent.


Figure 64 Trajectory studies on $\mathbf{1 8}^{\ddagger}$ closely reproduced the experimental selectivity observed with 15. Shifting the methyl group to a remote position also does not affect the sense of stereoselectivity.

# CHAPTER IV MACHINE LEARNING TRANSITION STATE THEORY AND THE <br> DESCENT OF TRAJECTORIES INTO CHAOS 

### 4.1 Introduction

## Starting at Transition State Theory

Eyring's model of calculating reaction rates, transition state theory, is a cornerstone model in chemistry. ${ }^{156}$ The importance of TST in the fields of chemistry and biology cannot be overstated and with the rise of modern supercomputing clusters, this model is becoming increasingly inescapable in the literature. One might argue that the ease of use for TST has become an obstacle to developing a model to supersede it. A fundamental assumption within TST is that molecules traversing the TS do not recross, that is, any that cross the TS proceed to the product well. However, recrossing does happen. By its nature, the rate constant in TST reflects the total number of trajectories that cross the transition state, but since the recrossing trajectories are unproductive, TST in the absence of quantum mechanical tunneling (QMT) is the upper-bound on rate predictions. Working contemporaneously with Eyring, Wigner devised a recrossing factor, $\gamma$, in order to match TST rate predictions to experiment. In the modern formulation of the Eyring equation, the effects of recrossing and quantum mechanical tunneling (QMT) are reflected in the transmission coefficient, $\kappa$ (eq 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\kappa \frac{k_{B} T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{R T}} \tag{eq1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to point out the free energy difference used in the Eyring equation is determined at a TS structure that is a first-order saddle point on the potential energy surface (PES). Statistical recrossing events occur when there is a mismatch between the PES and the
free energy surface (FES). Neglecting rate acceleration from QMT, $\kappa$ is adjusted to compensate for this discrepancy and match the prediction to the phenomenological rate.

Variational transition state theory (VTST) addresses this issue in a different way. The reaction barrier is determined by the free energy change at the free energy saddle point. This remedies the aforementioned mismatch and accounts for the rate diminution from statistical recrossing. The Singleton group has been investigating reactions that exhibit dynamic effects. ${ }^{3,5,94,157-160}$ One such dynamic effect is non-statistical recrossing, a phenomenon in which a trajectory will pass through the and ricochet off of a feature on the FES. This behavior is prevalent in reactions with trajectories on bifurcating surfaces and reactions with intermediates that react before they can thermalize. The effects of nonstatistical recrossing cannot be predicted quantitatively even from a FES as VTST is still a statistical apparatus.

In Wigner's formulation of TST, phase space TST (PSTST), the TS is not a geometry, it is an entity described with coordinates and momentum. PSTST accommodates non-statistical recrossing through its explicit consideration of momentum and affords the most complete description of a reaction coordinate's dividing surface, the separatrix. PSTST is not attractive to the computational community as it constitutes a problem of $6 \mathrm{~N}-9$ dimensionality. A possible means of grappling with this dimensionality problem is the use of machine learning

## The Limitations of Molecular Dynamics as a Tool for Redeeming TST

The studies mentioned in the previous chapters illustrate that molecular dynamics simulations are a critical tool for understanding reactions that exhibit dynamic effects. However, the practitioners of reactive molecular dynamics strike a Faustian bargain. The 120
ability to predict non-TST behaviors requires simulations that are orders of magnitude more computationally expensive than traditional DFT calculations - up to 1000 DFT calculations are required for a single trajectory. Furthermore, a large dataset of trajectories is required to achieve statistical significance, on the order of $10^{2}-10^{6}$ trajectories. Users seeking to establish trends across varying conditions (e.g., temperature and energy) are burdened with the generation of multiple datasets. And most importantly, these simulations offer little direct insight to users.

In contrast to TST, where structure-activity relations are quantitative, establishing causality or correlation in trajectory outcomes is immensely difficult. The understanding of the trajectories' outcomes requires significant human effort and is not practical except in simple systems. More specifically, it is not normally possible to investigate the ensemble of initial configurations (floating points) and make a correlation to the classification of the trajectory outcome (a string), Even then, this understanding is qualitative. The high-cost, and nontrivial interpretation of reactive dynamics makes this technique impractical for most circumstances, except to domain experts. This situation obviates the need for an approach that reduces the computational cost of the simulations and increases the simulations' capacity to provide chemical ideas.

## Machine Learning Transition State Theory

This methodology is inspired by Carpenter's work on three-body trajectories on double Morse potentials. ${ }^{161}$ Carpenter's initial publication introduced the possibility of constructing a phase space coordinate system and predicting a trajectory outcome from the initial configuration in phase space. Our current efforts are to adopt a similar approach on systems with higher degrees-of-freedom in order to answer a fundamental question: which
trajectories will recross? This is done by subdividing the TS phase space region into segments that define trajectories that have a defined outcome such as a specific reaction product or recrossing (Figure 65). In machine-learning a model that can predict nonstatistical recrossing from initial configurations, it may be possible to predict $\kappa$ across a broad temperature range. The key advantage to such a development precludes the need for propagating a statistical ensemble of dynamics at the same temperature at which the prediction of $\kappa$ is desired. Additionally, this methodology bypasses the variational nature of VTST altogether or a direct calculation of a FES. More broadly, this technique can be used to make predictions without using a TS structure at all - the relative energy of the starting point and this $\kappa$ are sufficient for rate predictions. This $\kappa$ can then be subdivided into кs for each possible outcome from the TS.


Figure 65 The subdivision of the low-dimensional cross-section of the high-dimension hypersurface.

The protocol for harvesting training set data has been developed. Upon running a large set of trajectories at some temperature, the redundant coordinates and velocities of the initial points, a TS structure, are extracted. These phase space data are associated with a reaction outcome; e.g. product A , product B , recrossing, and then formatted into a feature matrix for input into a neural network (NN). The NNs are constructed from a series of nodes that connected in a somewhat rhizomic fashion, each layer communicating some permutation of the input data to the next layer of the NN. Dropout and L2 regularization are employed in order to avoid overfitting and to minimize the number of parameters necessary to make a prediction. This model is trained for accuracy against a large set of input data. After training, the NN's robustness is determined through stratified cross-validation against data not used for learning. In order to make a prediction for another temperature, a large series of QCTs are spawned at that temperature, but not run. As with the training set, the initial configuration data is extracted. The NN accepts this data and its model predicts an outcome for each trajectory. This will be referred to as "Level-1" or "Level-2" accuracy. Level-1 accuracy is the network's ability to predict product-forming and recrossing trajectories in a test set. Level-2 accuracy is the network's accuracy in predicting subclasses within the same test set. These trajectory subclasses make a distinction between reactantside recrossing and product-side recrossing. "Level-3" accuracy is the ML function's ability to make predictions across varying temperature or energy conditions.

### 4.2 The Dimerization of Cyclopentadiene

## Introduction

The Diels-Alder dimerization of cyclopentadiene is mechanistically unique. Both cyclopentadiene reactants can react as either diene or dienophile and the reaction proceeds
through a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric, bispericyclic TS. This highly symmetric TS structure is understood to decompose along a bifurcating surface into a $1: 1$ mixture of degenerate products. However, isotopic labeling at any position of the reactants would desymmetrize the PES and lead to isotopomeric products. In an exhaustive study, the Singleton group characterized this TS structure and examined its ambimodal nature. ${ }^{7}$ Experimental KIEs were readily determined from the product mixture in an intramolecular fashion. Isotopic substitution desymmetrizes this bifurcating surface in mass-weighted coordinates, leading to steeper gradient along the path that gives ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ priority in reacting. Because this Newtonian isotope effect does not stem from ZPE effects at the TS, it is not possible to predict it with conventional approaches such as applying the Bigeleisen-Mayer equation, ${ }^{162}$ which are reliant on the validity of the assumptions and approximations inherent to TST. The prediction of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs from isotopically labeled MD trajectories is possible, however it requires a prohibitively large number of trajectories $\left(\sim 10^{6}\right)$ to render a KIE prediction with acceptable precision. The authors devised an elegant solution: perform a small number of trajectories with a series of superheavy carbon isotopes and extrapolate to the results of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ system.


Figure 66 Experimental and predicted Newtonian KIEs from Kelly et al. Numbers in parentheses represent $95 \%$ confidence limits in the last digit. The intramolecular KIEs are defined as $k_{12 \mathrm{C}} / k_{13 \mathrm{C}}(a) / k_{12 \mathrm{C}} / k_{13 \mathrm{C}}\left(a^{\prime}\right), k_{12 \mathrm{C}} / k_{13 \mathrm{C}}(b) / k_{12 \mathrm{C}} / k_{13 \mathrm{C}}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$. No noticeable KIE was seen in positions $b / b$ ' and $e / e$ '.

The analyses in this work suggest that the observed KIEs arise from the desymmetrization of steepest descent paths in mass-weighted coordinates for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $a, c$, and $d$. With this perturbation to the steepest descent path, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ atoms in positions $a$ and $d$ must travel further than analogous ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ atoms to complete the bond formation process. The KIE observed at position $c$ reflects the issue that heavier atoms at this position slow the bondforming motion of the adjacent $d$ position and exert an influence on the overall product selectivity.

## Computational Results and Discussions

## Trajectory Studies

A variety of room temperature B3LYP/6-31G* QCTs were initiated at $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$ (Table 9-
Table 11). The first set of trajectories are standard QCTs, the second set are anharmonicitycorrected QCTS, and the third set are anharmonicity-correct QCTs with the $a$ position labeled with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$. The results of these trajectories are shown below.

Table 9 Trajectory outcomes for standard QCTs initiated at 298 K.

| Trajectory | Counts | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM to $a$ | 14771 | $43.7 \%$ |
| SM to $a^{\prime}$ | 14839 | $43.9 \%$ |
| Starting Material | 1141 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Recrossing | 3018 | $8.9 \%$ |
| Product Recrossing | 33769 |  |
| Total Trajectories | $0.995 \pm 0.01$ |  |
| Predicted "KIE" |  |  |

Table 10 Trajectory outcomes for anharmonicity-corrected QCTs initiated at 298 K .

| Trajectory | Counts | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM to $a$ | 20901 | $46.8 \%$ |
| SM to $a^{\prime}$ | 21331 | $47.8 \%$ |
| $a$ to SM | 92 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $a^{\prime}$ to SM | 75 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Starting Material Recrossing | 1395 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Product Recrossing | 855 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total Trajectories | 44649 |  |
| Predicted "KIE" | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |  |

Table 11 Trajectory outcomes for anharmonicity-corrected QCTs initated 298 K. These trajectories are spawned with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ at the $10^{\text {th }}$ atomic position, $a$.

| Trajectory | Counts | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM to $a$ | 2489 | $45.9 \%$ |
| SM to $a^{\prime}$ | 2436 | $44.9 \%$ |
| $a$ to SM | 11 | $0.2 \%$ |
| $a^{\prime}$ to SM | 11 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Starting Material | 217 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Recrossing | 263 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Product Recrossing | 5427 |  |
| Total Trajectories | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2 2} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ |  |
| Predicted ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ KIE |  |  |

The predicted KIE in Table 11 is as close to the experimental 1.020(6) as the prediction (1.018(8)) from the original paper ( $\mathrm{a}^{13} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ KIE), however, the KIE prediction here is subject to significant uncertainty.

## Machine Learning Studies - Groundhog

The Groundhog algorithm uses a training set of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled anharmonicity-corrected trajectories to train a neural network model. The features used for training include the velocity of all real and imaginary modes (60), displacement of all real modes (59), and a handful of handmade measures of the structure's symmetry (more on this later). As new ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ trajectories are spawned, the neural network predicts the outcome of the trajectory. If the neural network is greater than $60 \%$ (called the "interesting" parameter in the PROGDYN software) certain about the trajectory outcome, the trajectory is discarded. Trajectories whose outcomes are less certain are allowed to run. Once completed, the trajectory's initial phase space features and outcome are extracted and added to the training set. The effect of this algorithm is to run trajectories that are especially difficult to make predictions about. This enhanced sampling technique eventually leads to finer definition of boundaries between regions of phase space that lead to different trajectory outcomes. An addition benefit of this approach is that the new data collected is not redundant with data in the training set. An indirect consequence of this approach is that the outcome of Groundhog trajectories has an increased population of rare-event trajectories such as recrossing, and the ratio of products does not represent the mixture predicted by a traditional Boltzmann ensemble of trajectories. Table 12, below, shows that in Groundhog trajectories, recrossing trajectories are $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{x}$ more abundant than in the trajectories in Table 11. The large KIEs observed in these trajectories pose an interesting question: what about the "SM to $a$ " trajectories is so difficult to predict that the Groundhog algorithm preferentially spawns them? The answer is not yet clear. Table 11 Trajectory outcomes for anharmonicity-corrected QCTs initated 298 K. These trajectories are spawned with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ at the $10^{\text {th }}$ atomic position, $a$.

Table 12 Groundhog trajectory outcomes for ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled QCTs at 298 K .

| Trajectory | Counts | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM to $a$ | 8592 | $39.7 \%$ |
| SM to $a^{\prime}$ | 7995 | $36.9 \%$ |
| Starting Material | 2755 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Recrossing | 2317 | $10.7 \%$ |
| Product Recrossing | 21659 |  |
| Total Trajectories | $1.075 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| Predicted "KIE" |  |  |

Monitoring the accuracy over time, the Groundhog NN model begins at $22.3 \%$ accuracy in predicting the outcome of trajectories from an outside test set, but after a few hundred trajectories the accuracy improves to $83 \%$ and slowly reaches $88.5 \%$ accuracy (Level 2). A Level-1 accuracy of 95.5 was calculated separately. Finally, the neural network model was trained on the Groundhog trajectory dataset (21308 datapoints) was used to predict the outcome of $3,092,142{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled trajectories. This was performed 100 times in order to ascertain the precision in this prediction (Figure 67).


Figure 67 MLTST KIE predictions, sorted by increasing KIE. Vertical bars indicate uncertainties at the $95 \%$ confidence interval as calculated by a standard error function.

The predictions carried an average $95 \%$ confidence interval of 0.002 . This free lunch amplification offers a precision far exceeding that of any practical QCT dataset. The average ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ KIE from these predictions is $1.024 \pm 0.001$. This uncertainty offers a metric of the variance in the predictions caused by variance in the model construction, but does not account for the variance due to insufficient sampling in the training data, therefore does not reflect the expected variance of the training data were harvested from scratch.

Satisfied with the accuracy and precision of the KIE prediction, the neural network model analyzed for insight into important correlations. This was initially done by removing one input feature from the neural network, re-training the network, and checking for any large changes in accuracy. A systematic screen of all input features suggested that besides
the velocity of the first real mode (Figure 68), no single feature had a strong impact on prediction accuracy. This does not mean that only mode 1 is important, it is possible that a collection of seemingly unimportant features combine in a nonlinear fashion to produce an accurate model.


Figure 68 Displacement vectors for a $84 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ normal mode in $\mathbf{2}$.

Mode 1 is a low frequency $\left(84 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ torsional mode that desymmetrizes the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric transition state structure. Depending on its phase, motion along this most places atoms $a$ and $d^{\prime}$ in proximity, while distancing atoms $a^{\prime}$ and $d$, or vice versa. There are other low frequency modes in $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$ that distort the inter-atomic distances in a similar fashion, further investigations will focus on why mode 2 is particularly important for prediction accuracy.

A separate analysis of which input features are decisive in $a$ vs $a^{\prime}$ selectivity was conducted through the binning of input feature values into numerical ranges and comparing them against the probability of a trajectory terminating with either $a$ or $a^{\prime}$ product formation. Like the previous analysis, this analysis suggested that the velocity of real mode 1 is
important for product selectivity. The analysis also suggested that the displacement in this mode decisive as well. Of the custom-defined features, the displacement and velocity along the $a-d^{\prime}$ (Param 1,4) and a'-d (Param 3,6) internuclear axes also seemed to toggle the trajectory outcome.


Figure 69 Color coded representation pairing parameter number to descriptors of internuclear relationships along the three key bonds in $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$.

## Computational Procedures

## Anharmonicity Corrections

Anharmonicity corrections are performed by taking the structure for $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$ and systematically varying the phase of each real normal mode. As each mode is varied, a single point energy calculation is performed. The energy from this calculation is compared to the energy predicted via the harmonic force constants from the frequency analysis. The difference in these energies, as well as the change in normal mode phase, are used to fit a first order correction to the harmonic force constants (Table 13).

Table 13 Force constants and anharmonic corrections for $\mathbf{2}^{\ddagger}$

| Mode | Force Constant | Force Constant Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2.397 | -0.017 |
| 3 | 2.889 | -0.045 |
| 4 | 5.121 | -1.729 |

Table 13 continued from above

| Mode | Force Constant | Force Constant Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 9.543 | -0.178 |
| 6 | 16.544 | 0.009 |
| 7 | 14.917 | 0.285 |
| 8 | 16.107 | -0.396 |
| 9 | 37.923 | 2.581 |
| 10 | 36.433 | 0.573 |
| 11 | 27.856 | 0.558 |
| 12 | 26.824 | -0.125 |
| 13 | 32.275 | 0.374 |
| 14 | 34.542 | 0.756 |
| 15 | 82.24 | -0.773 |
| 16 | 111.231 | -4.627 |
| 17 | 129.138 | 6.328 |
| 18 | 119.374 | 3.376 |
| 19 | 69.568 | -13.382 |
| 20 | 69.629 | 2.728 |
| 21 | 59.619 | 1.871 |
| 22 | 55.026 | 0.489 |
| 23 | 54.734 | -1.683 |
| 24 | 61.524 | 2.004 |
| 25 | 67.074 | 4.72 |
| 26 | 78.502 | -6.782 |
| 27 | 60.577 | 6.034 |
| 28 | 68.251 | -0.848 |
| 29 | 97.606 | -22.01 |
| 30 | 61.38 | -1.658 |
| 31 | 59.165 | -2.983 |
| 32 | 66.264 | -2.929 |
| 33 | 69.227 | 10.317 |
| 34 | 73.173 | 6.921 |
| 35 | 62.683 | 7.099 |
| 36 | 72.889 | 2.029 |
| 37 | 134.072 | 11.919 |
| 38 | 127.233 | 8.206 |
| 39 | 102.723 | 0.577 |
| 40 | 115.259 | -4.412 |

Table 13 continued from above

| Mode | Force Constant | Force Constant Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 239.01 | -49.04 |
| 42 | 249.121 | -33.704 |
| 43 | 213.918 | 61.729 |
| 44 | 226.389 | 70.293 |
| 45 | 106.668 | 15.729 |
| 46 | 105.634 | 12.989 |
| 47 | 351.787 | 162.289 |
| 48 | 429.288 | -337.378 |
| 49 | 418.313 | 620.581 |
| 50 | 412.795 | -17.36 |
| 51 | 452.294 | -662.682 |
| 52 | 450.549 | 9.984 |
| 53 | 471.849 | 1.848 |
| 54 | 463.889 | 669.967 |
| 55 | 479.512 | 67.921 |
| 56 | 488.624 | -671.12 |
| 57 | 486.697 | -633.783 |
| 58 | 491.956 | 744.092 |
| 59 | 500.596 | 699.963 |
| 60 | 498.458 | -742.886 |

4.3 The Dissociation of Acetone Cation Radicals

## Introduction

McLafferty rearrangement has frustrated chemists for the last forty years. Ubiquitous in mass spectrometry, this reaction generates acetone cation radicals in the enol form (4). The enol rearranges to the keto-form (6) via hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) (5) in a highly exothermic fashion, imbuing the keto intermediate with excess energy. This intermediate rapidly fragments into acylium cations and methyl radicals. Although the acetone cation radical is a symmetrical intermediate, a large body of experimental literature suggests that
the "active" carbon that receives the hydrogen atom is more likely to dissociate than the "spectator" carbon.


Figure 70 The mechanism of the conversion of acetone cation radical to acylium cations and methyl radicals proceeds via intramolecular HAT, followed by an uphill dissociation process.

At room temperature, the product mixture from metastable ion and collision induced dissociation experiments is $\sim 1.4: 1$ active : spectator. ${ }^{163-166}$ Brauman performed continuous wave infrared multiple-phone dissociation experiments to measure a rather uncertain minimum selectivity of 1.17 . Brauman's metastable ion and pulsed laser experiments placed the selectivity at 1.36 and 1.55 , respectively. These methods were expected to imbue $\mathbf{4}$ with greater energy upon formation and grant a more selective fragmentation. Tureček's work in this area studied this energy-activity relationship with isotopically labeled hydrogen and carbon systems. Key observations from the study were that the dissociations experienced a quick rise and extended fall with increasing electron impact energy. Tureček proposed a kinetic scenario (Figure 71) in which reaction selectivity ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) was complicated by the convergence of three pathways. The formed acetone enol cation radical could 1) fragment directly to give exclusively spectator methyl dissociation $\left(\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) 2$ ) undergo 1,3-isomerization
to scramble the isotope labels in the enol ( $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{-2}$ ) 3) isomerize to the keto form and fragment from there $\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)$.


Figure 71 Tureček's mechanistic proposal invokes unselective side-reactions to rationalize the monotonic energy trend from experiments.

Tureček proposed that reaction selectivity reaches a point of diminishing returns because the more energetically costly $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ pathways become more competitive with $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ as the system energy increased. Direct dissociation via k3 was judged to be least competitive with the productive reaction, in agreement with previous work from McLafferty. Attempts to understand this energy trend with RRKM theory produced predictions inconsistent with the observations. ${ }^{167}$ It was understood that these failures reflected the non-ergodic (nonstatistical) nature of the acetone cation radical intermediate.

There is not a consensus on the relationship between energy and selectivity between Brauman's and Tureček's studies. Figure 72 shows the qualitatively different trends for the
branching selectivity as a function of energy. A complicating factor is that correlating the energy of the ionization source to the energy of the formed ion is not straightforward and not readily done for comparison between ionization conditions, even with identical precursors for the ions.


Figure 72 Experimental branching ratios from Brauman (left) and Tureček (right). Left figure re-printed with permission from "Infrared Multiple-Photon Dissociation of the Acetone Enol Radical Cation. Dependence of Nonstatistical Dissociation on Internal Energy" by Osterheld, T.H.; Brauman, J.I. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10311-10316. ${ }^{168}$ Copyright 1993 American Chemical Society. Right figure re-printed with permission from "Loss of Methyl from $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]+$ Ions Prepared by Electron Impact Ionization of Unstable 2-Hydroxypropene" by Tureček, F.; Hanuš, V. Org. Mass. Spectrum. 1984, 19, 631-638. ${ }^{166}$ Copyright 1984 Wiley Heyden Ltd.

More recent reactive dynamics studies from Carpenter and Schlegel give a qualitative perspective on the behavior of this intermediate. ${ }^{47,169,170}$ Carpenter's study of the PES accurately describes that the HAT is highly exothermic and that the dissociation of methyl radicals from the acetone intermediate is uphill with respect to the intermediate. Conducting reactive QCTs starting at the TS for HAT, Carpenter observed a branching ratio of $1.13 \pm 0.09$ favoring the active methyl group. The analysis of trajectories suggested that
after HAT, active methyl dissociation trajectories never truly visit the geometric space defined for the symmetrical keto intermediate before fragmenting. Trajectories initiated at the $\mathbf{6}$ with additional energy commensurate to $5^{\ddagger}$ fragmented in a $1.01: 1 \pm 0.01$ ratio. While the significance of this result is questionable, the fact that any trajectories from $\mathbf{5}^{\ddagger}$ exhibited dissociation at all agrees with the suggestion from experimentalists that the acetone cation radical keto form retains with sufficient excess energy to rapidly surmount a steep energetic barrier to dissociation.

Schlegel's work is similar to Carpenter's in most respects. Trajectories starting at $\mathbf{5}^{\text { }}$ with an additional $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of excess energy predicted a branching ratio of 1.53:1 $\pm 0.20$, in good agreement with a ratio of 1.55:1 from Brauman's experiments. Schlegel's trajectory analysis showed that, on average, $\sim 50 \%$ of energy available after the cleavage is converted to vibrational energy in the acylium fragment and $\sim 25 \%$ is converted to translational energy in the methyl radical fragment, with dissociation active methyl groups receiving $\sim 0.8$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more translational energy than their dissociating spectator counterparts. In trajectories initialized at $5^{\ddagger}$ with excess energy, Schlegel observed greater selectivity for the active methyl group, especially when this energy was localized to normal modes that involved stretching or bending of methyl group bonds.

This body of evidence suggests that selectivity in this dissociation originates in $\mathbf{5}^{\ddagger}$ as a bond-memory effect, much like the one seen in Chapter III. This is not a radical idea and some form of this notion has existed in the literature consensus for decades. Still, quantitative relationships between energy and selectivity for this simple unimolecular reaction elude chemists.

## Potential Energy Surface Studies

After extensive benchmarking of methods against a set of $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-CC-pVTZ calculations, the B2PLYP double hybrid DFT method and 6-31+G** basis set proved to be sufficiently accurate for the description of PES for entire mechanism. The stationary points along the pathway connecting the acetone radical cation (enol) to dissociated acylium ion and methyl radical are shown in Figure 73.


Figure 73 Energies are B2PLYP/6-31+G** potential energies given in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

This surface shows that, classically, the keto isomer $\mathbf{6}$ is formed with $37.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ excess energy. If this energy is retained, the intermediate can escape the potential well and go on to dissociation, calculated to have a potential energy of $24.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at infinite separation. With the inclusion of ZPE, this exothermicity and exit barrier decrease to 35.1 and $19.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. An additional pathway is available to $\mathbf{6}$, whereby the
dissociated radical is capable of abstracting a hydrogen from acylium to form an ketene radical cation/methane pair (Figure 74).


Figure 74 Energies are B2PLYP/6-31+G** potential energies given in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Initial Trajectory Studies

## Trajectory Results

Three different approaches to study the behavior of $\mathbf{5}^{\ddagger}$ were made. QCTs at 298 K and anharmonicity-corrected QCTs at 298 K were initiated at $\mathbf{5}^{\ddagger}$. Anharmonicity parameters (described in Section "Computational Methods") improve the initial structure guess in trajectory initializations, but do not qualitatively change the behavior of trajectories. Classical reactive trajectories that treat the TS as a classical harmonic oscillator, were also initiated. Aside from the quantization of displacements and velocities in QCTS, an important distinction between quasiclassical and classical trajectories is that quasiclassical trajectories include quantum mechanical ZPE in the calculation of kinetic energy in each mode, whereas
classical trajectories only provide an average of RT kinetic energy to each normal mode. Although classical trajectories can be run indefinitely, these trajectories were terminated at 1000 fs . QCTs were limited to a maximum duration of 500 fs to avoid the spurious transfer of ZPE into kinetic energy. Trajectories that reached the time limit for any series were assumed to have undergone IVR and expected to partition equally between the spectator and active pathways. A trajectory's selectivity was judged by which bond had reached a length of $5 \AA$ first. The results of these three trajectories studies are below (Table 14).

Table 14 Results from the first runs of reactive trajectories.

| Outcome | Quasiclassical | Quasiclassical w/ <br> anharmonicity | Classical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Dissociation | 64 | 338 | 41 |
| Spectator Dissociation | 8 | 51 | 20 |
| Reached Time Limit | 98 | 614 | 234 |
| Recrossed to Enol | 6 | 29 | 7 |
| Percent Recrossing | $3.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Total Trajectories | 176 | 1032 | 302 |
| Ratio | $1.98 \pm 0.13$ | $1.80 \pm 0.05$ | $1.15 \pm 0.05$ |

## Trajectory Analysis

All three methods of simulating the dissociation after $\mathbf{5}^{\ddagger}$ arrive at the same qualitative conclusion; the active methyl group dissociates at a higher frequency than the spectator methyl group. The selectivities from trajectories show significant deviation from experimental observations, however these trajectories will form the basis of a more robust approach.

No reactivity along the ketene radical cation pathway was as observed with any method. A small portion of trajectories recrossed back to the enol structure, typically within 50 fs . This recrossing did not vary significantly between the methods. The classical trajectory
method, although inferior to the other methods, when compared to the QCTs demonstrates that the reaction selectivity is dependent on the energy content available initialization. This is can be gleaned from the number of trajectories reaching the maximum duration threshold for each method. In classical trajectories the barrier to exit relative to the excess energy is greater than in QCTs. Classical trajectories arriving at the structure 6 lack the energy to continue to dissociation. A few of these trajectories do exhibit C-C cleavage, however the fragments lack the momentum to escape the potential well centered at $\mathbf{6}$ and persist on a nearly flat region of the potential energy surface between 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ (Figure 75).


Figure 75 The time-evolution of C-C bond lengths in classical trajectories that reach the 1000 fs time constraint.

This behavior, aptly named "roaming" by Bowman, ${ }^{171}$ has been implicated in numerous experimental and theoretical studies of aldehyde and ketone photodissociation in the gas phase. ${ }^{172-179}$ The fragments in these roaming trajectories will reach a separation of almost $5 \AA$ between fragments, liberating and orbiting each other for hundreds of
femtosecond, before coalescing to reform the acetone keto structure. This is because the fragments lack the energy and velocity coherence to cross the dynamical bottleneck between loose complexation of the two fragments and freely dissociated fragments. This behavior calls previous studies from Schlegel and Carpenter into question, as those trajectories were terminated prematurely at inter-fragment distances of $2.0 \AA$.

The effect of energy on selectivity and recrossing was indirectly investigated by performing trajectory at a range of temperatures. Classical trajectories conducted at 1094 K displayed even greater selectivity than their 298 K counterparts (Table 15), but the small sample size renders any discussion of recrossing speculative.

Table 15 Results of investigation of energy/selectivity relationship in classical trajectories.

| Outcome | 298 K Classical | 1094 K Classical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Dissociation | 41 | 68 |
| Spectator | 20 | 37 |
| Dissociation | 234 | 3 |
| Reached 500 fs | 7 | 5 |
| Recrossed to Enol | $2.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Percent Recrossing | 302 | 113 |
| Total Trajectories | $1.15 \pm 0.05$ | $1.81 \pm 0.12$ |
| Ratio |  |  |

In anharmonicity-corrected QCTs (Table 16), the influence of energy is more lucid. Even at absolute zero, trajectories display a preference for active methyl group dissociation. The trajectories become more selective with increasing energy, a promising result in light of the mismatch with experimental selectivity at 298 K . Recrossing and long-lived trajectories become less frequent as the simulation temperature increases.

Table 16 Results of investigation of energy/selectivity relationship in QCTs.

| Outcome | 0 K | 298 K | 1500 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Dissociation | 23 | 338 | 377 |
| Spectator | 8 | 51 | 104 |
| Dissociation | 102 | 614 | 204 |
| Reached Time Limit | 318 | 29 | 15 |
| Recrossed to Enol | $70.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Percent Recrossing | 451 | 1032 | 700 |
| Total Trajectories | $1.25 \pm 0.08$ | $1.80 \pm 0.05$ | $2.33 \pm 0.13$ |
| Ratio |  |  |  |

## Machine Learning Studies

A training set of 4417 anharmonicity-corrected QCTS at 298 K (including 2660 Groundhog trajectories), 1000 K , and 1500 K were combined in order to train a neural network model. Neural network was trained on thirty phase space features of the input data and classified by outcome as "active", "spectator", or "time limit reached". Even for a simple such as this, the testing accuracy for the neural network was $\sim 60 \%$. It was judged that this was acceptable as the classification errors largely canceled out to give predicted ratios that matched the input set ratios. The reason for this error cancelation is not entirely clear, but it is possible that the "reached time limit" trajectories act as a quantitative cushion as error in predicting these only contributes "half" trajectory to both active and spectator classes. The trained neural network was then fed $10^{7}$ initial trajectory points and used to make predictions of trajectory outcomes in $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ increments from $49 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $147 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 76 MLTST prediction of energy-dependent dissociation selectivity. Error bars calculated at $99 \%$ confidence interval.

The results produce an energy-selectivity trend that matches with expectations from the Brauman and with the intuition afforded by these and other trajectory studies. The issue however remains that the most physically accurate trajectories, those anharmonicitycorrected QCTs at 298 K , are not a quantitative match with experiment.

In order to see if higher energy pathways might affect the agreement with experiment, a basic kinetic model was constructed (Figure 77).


Figure 77 Kinetic scenario invoked for RRKM corrections

Structures $\mathbf{9}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ were located with standard techniques (Figure 78) and $\mathbf{9}^{\ddagger}$ was used to calculate the ratio of microcanonical rate constants $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ in $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy increments with Hase's QCPE 644 RRKM program. ${ }^{180}$ The $\mathrm{k}_{2}\left(\right.$ via $\left.\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}\right)$ and $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ pathway were judged to be too energetically uphill to contribute significantly in the energy range of interest. The values of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are predicted from MLTST.


Figure 78 Two TS structures for the 1,3-isomerization in the enol.

The value of $\mathrm{k}_{1} / \mathrm{k}_{2}$ was then used for kinetic simulations to scale the predicted active:spectator ratio at each energy interval. The resulting selectivity profile, shown below, indicates that while the intrinsic selectivity increases with energy, hydrogen atom scrambling
becomes increasingly competitive. This model is then in agreement with the trend observed by Tureček. The net effect is that the growing selectivity of the dissociation process is negated by the scrambling of active/spectator positions via 1,3-isomerization.


Figure 79 MLTST prediction of energy-dependent dissociation selectivity after inclusion of RRKM corrections. Error bars calculated at 99\% confidence interval.

MLTST allows the prediction of product ratios without the high computational cost associated with high precision. However, additional experiments are necessary to elucidate what the intrinsic branching ratio of the reaction is and to what extent side reactions impact the apparent selectivity.

## Method Validation

Initial benchmarks against $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-CC-pVTZ (Table 17, shown graphically in Figure 80), suggested that $\mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{D} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ was an acceptable method for further computational studies, but later B2PLYP/6-31+G** proved to have higher accuracy across the various stationary point structures as well as trajectory points (Figure 81, Figure 82).

B2PLYP/6-31+G** was used for all trajectories mentioned in the main text.

Table 17 Benchmark energetics for stationary points in the conversion of acetone (enol) cation radical to separated fragments. Energies are potential energies in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

| Structure | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { ug-cc- } \\ & \text { pVTZ } \end{aligned}$ | M06-2X/631+G* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M11/6- } \\ & 31+G^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wB97X- } \\ \text { D/6- } \\ 31+\mathbf{G}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3PW91 } \\ 16- \\ 31+G^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |  | PBEIPB <br> E/cc- <br> pVTZ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B97- } \\ & \text { D3/6- } \\ & 31+\mathrm{G}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MPW 1K } \\ \text { /6- } \\ 31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | M08HX /6$31+\mathrm{G}^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M06- } \\ 2 X / 6- \\ 31+G^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| enol | -9.2 | -9.8 | -10.0 | -7.2 | -9.4 | -7.7 | -9.9 | -5.1 | -10.8 | -10.6 | -12.5 |
| TS1 | 38.5 | 41.7 | 39.1 | 40.9 | 36.5 | 36.2 | 36.3 | 38.6 | 39.7 | 41.1 | 39.2 |
| keto | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| acylium complex | 18.0 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 25.3 | 23.7 | 20.6 | 23.3 | 20.9 | 26.5 | 21.6 | 22.1 |
| TS3 | 18.0 | 26.7 | 22.0 | 27.2 | 24.2 | 19.7 | 24.1 | 20.4 | 29.8 | 25.9 | 26.1 |
| ketene complex | 18.2 | 21.1 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 19.4 | 23.7 | 19.6 | 27.5 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| dissociated acylium complex | 23.5 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 32.0 | 30.1 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 32.1 | 28.0 | 28.7 |
| dissociated ketene complex | 22.5 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 28.5 | 26.9 | 26.2 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 29.9 | 25.4 | 25.7 |
| tw strucs | 0.0 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 4.8 |
| ts vs exit chan | 15.0 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 10.5 |
| ts vs complex | 20.4 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 12.8 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 19.5 | 17.2 |
| TS-endo | 49.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS-exo | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| protonated ketene with methyl radical | 66.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { ug-cc- } \\ \text { pVTZ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M06- } \\ 2 \mathrm{X} / 6- \\ 31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/6- } \\ 31+\mathrm{G}^{*} \mathrm{D} 3 \\ \text { BJ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{D} / 6- \\ 31+\mathrm{G}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{D} / 6- \\ & 31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B97D/6- } \\ 311+\mathrm{G}^{*} \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MP4/6- } \\ & 31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B2PLYP } \\ / 6- \\ 31+\mathrm{G}^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B3LYP/6 } \\ & -31+G^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MP2/6- } \\ & 31+G^{* *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2PLYP/ } \\ & 6- \\ & 31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| enol | -9.2 | -12.5 | -6.4 | -4.9 | -7.6 | -7.0 | -11.5 | -7.4 | -9.0 | 15.8 | -10.0 |
| TS1 | 38.5 | 39.2 | 40.6 | 38.6 | 36.2 | 36.8 | 37.5 | 40.2 | 38.0 | 35.1 | 37.9 |
| keto | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| acylium complex | 18.0 | 22.1 | 23.7 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 17.1 | 12.9 | 19.4 | 21.9 | 8.2 | 19.0 |
| TS3 | 18.0 | 26.1 | 24.5 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 15.9 | 20.4 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 15.8 | 22.5 |
| ketene complex | 18.2 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 17.9 | 17.7 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 19.6 | 21.9 | 10.8 | 32.1 |
| dissociated acylium complex | 23.5 | 28.7 | 31.5 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 27.0 | 17.2 | 24.8 | 28.5 | 12.5 | 24.4 |
| dissociated ketene complex | 22.5 | 25.7 | 27.6 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 22.4 | 17.3 | 22.1 | 24.8 | 13.7 | 21.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { main } 3 \text { strucs } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 11.6 | 0.6 |
| tw strucs | 0.0 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 13.3 | 1.5 |
| ts vs complex | 20.4 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 19.8 | 24.6 | 20.8 | 16.0 | 26.9 | 18.9 |
| TS-endo | 49.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49.8 |
| TS-exo | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.0 |
| protonated ketene with methyl radical | 66.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.5 |



Figure 80 A screen of numerous DFT methods against CCSD(T)/aug-CC-pVTZ. Vertical axis units are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 81 Benchmark of B2PLYP/6-31+G* against $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-CC-pVTZ. Axis units are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 82 Benchmark of B2PLYP/6-31+G** against $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) /$ aug-CC-pVTZ. Axis units are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

## Anharmonicity Corrections

Anharmonicity corrections are performed by taking the structure for $5^{\ddagger}$ and systematically varying the phase of each real normal mode. As each mode is varied, a single point energy calculation is performed. The energy from this calculation is compared to the energy predicted via the harmonic force constants from the frequency analysis. The difference in these energies, as well as the change in normal mode phase, are used to fit a first order correction to the harmonic force constants (Table 18).

Table 18 Force constants and anharmonic corrections for $5^{\ddagger}$

| Normal <br> Mode | Force <br> Constant | Force Constant <br> Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.974 | 0.003 |
| 3 | 27.523 | -61.05 |
| 4 | 228.487 | 2.733 |
| 5 | 216.999 | 1.869 |
| 6 | 82.1 | -1.578 |
| 7 | 40.6 | 0.024 |
| 8 | 151.893 | -98.993 |
| 9 | 55.244 | -0.077 |
| 10 | 63.254 | 6.513 |
| 11 | 56.252 | 0.08 |
| 12 | 318.281 | -4.225 |
| 13 | 134.261 | 25.182 |
| 14 | 101.647 | -9.172 |
| 15 | 176.666 | 32.604 |
| 16 | 110.423 | 0.53 |
| 17 | 94.454 | 0.031 |
| 18 | 322.872 | 518.972 |
| 19 | 175.271 | 75.024 |
| 20 | 414.643 | -492.738 |
| 21 | 461.456 | 1.61 |
| 22 | 447.724 | 629.533 |
| 23 | 492.965 | 781.025 |
| 24 | 515.274 | 2.861 |

### 4.4 The Diels-Alder Reaction of Vinylfuran and Cyclopentadienones

## Introduction

The Diels-Alder reaction of conjugated dienes with cyclopentadienones developed by the Harmata group was studied by the Singleton group in 2008. ${ }^{158,181}$ The study found that both possible cycloadditions, $2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}$ and $4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}$, were formed by the same ambimodal TS. In the case of the reaction of vinylfuran (13) with $\mathbf{1 2}$, products $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ arise from a PES bifurcation at $\mathbf{1 4}$. An experimental ratio of 1.6 to $1(\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1 6})$ was
observed for the reaction in toluene, at room temperature. This ratio was found to be stable with respect to Cope rearrangement - no product interconversion (conversion of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to more stable 16) was observed over the course of two months at room temperature, indicating that this ratio was indeed a kinetic ratio.


Figure 83 Mechanistic scheme for Harmata's reaction of 12 and 13.

Gas phase MPW1K/6-31+G** QCTs initiated at $\mathbf{1 4}^{\ddagger}$ gave a prediction of 1.58 to 1
( $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1 6}$ ), although this number is subject to appreciable uncertainty due to the limited trajectory dataset. The high computational cost of these trajectories prevented a more detailed investigation of the Newtonian dynamics controlling the partitioning of $\mathbf{1 4}$ into $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 16. This chapter will discuss how MLTST can ease the computational burden of this study and permit analysis.

## Experimental Results and Discussion

Given that selectivity in this reaction is not governed by the competition of transition states, predicting the effect of temperature on selectivity in the addition of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $\mathbf{1 3}$ is not simple. Experiments were conducted at 273 K and 333 K , and 298 K and 333 K , in toluene and benzene, respectively. The results are shown below (Table 19).

Table 19 Experimental ratios of $\mathbf{1 5}: 16$ from 1H NMR measurements.

| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Toluene | Benzene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -20 | 1.62 | x |
| 23 | 1.66 | 1.49 |
| 60 | 1.86 | 1.62 |

The trend seen in both solvents is that reaction selectivity increases with temperature and the magnitude of this change does not track with the exponential dependence given by TST. This because the selectivity does not depend on the competition between transition states - it depends on the bifurcating surface after the ambimodal transition state. No change in the product mixture was seen at extended reaction times, suggesting that the reaction mixture does not equilibrate over time. This trend will be used to validate the performance of MLTST.

## Computational Results and Discussion

Gas phase MN15/6-31G* QCTs were initiated at $\mathbf{1 4}$. Due to the size of this system (29 atoms), only a limited set of trajectories was collected at 298 K . The results closely match the published experimental and predicted product ratios, albeit at higher precision (Table 20).

Table 20 Outcomes and MLTST and accuracy benchmarks for gas phase QCTs at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Outcome | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $57.3 \%$ |
| 16 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Starting material recrossing | $4.8 \%$ |
| Product recrossing | $1.4 \%$ |
| Double recross | $0.1 \%$ |
| Level-1 Accuracy | $90.4 \%$ |
| Level-2 Accuracy | $83.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Total trajectories | 11,482 |
| Predicted $15 / 16$ ratio | $1.59 \pm 0.06$ |

These trajectories were used to train a neural network. The neural networks proved to be effective, as judged by the Level-1 and 2 accuracy metrics. Afterwards, the neural network predicted the outcome of new trajectory points generated at 298 K and 333 K . The predicted product selectivity is shown below.

Table 21 15:16 ratios from MLTST predictions.

| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | MLTST Gas Phase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1.48 |
| 60 | 1.54 |

While these predictions are not a quantitative match for the experimental data, it is clear that MLTST allows a prediction of product ratios that simply cannot be made with conventional TST. A surprising aspect of this is that the increased selectivity at high temperature was predicted at all. The neural network was trained on trajectories conducted at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and so the neural network is not expected to encounter relatively energetic trajectories during training. Further inspection of neural network model and its sensitivity to the selection of input features will permit the understanding of which normal mode 153
displacements and velocities are important to prediction accuracy and to deciding whether a trajectory forms $\mathbf{1 5}$ or 16. Another important question to answer is whether or not the measured Level-2 accuracy is sufficient to accurately predict product ratios and transmission coefficients across a broad range. This will depend on the extend of error cancelation in the neural network, an analysis that remains nebulous.

## Experimental Procedures

## Preparation of 11-1



A solution of 11.6 ml dimethylformamide and 40 ml chloroform was prepared in a round bottom flask. The flask was fitted with a stirbar and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .11 .8 \mathrm{ml}$ fresh phosphorus tribromide ( 125 mmol ) was added to this flask dropwise. In a separate flask, 4.43 ml of cyclopentanone ( 50 mmol ) was dissolved in 20 ml chloroform. The cyclopentanone solution was slowly added to the reaction flask. The reaction was allowed to stir and warm to room temperature. The reaction was then concentrated on a rotary evaporator. Generous quantities of ice and dry sodium bicarbonate were added to the flask. Once the suspended solids settled or dissolved, the solution was subjected to vacuum filtration. The filter solution was extracted twice with diethyl ether. The collected organics were washed with $5 \%$ aqueous potassium carbonate and then with distilled water. The solution was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered through glass wool and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oily material was taken on to the next step without purification.

## Preparation of 11-2


5.00 grams of $\mathbf{1 1 - 1}(28.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 28 ml acetonitrile were added to a 100 ml round bottom flask and the flask was fitted with a stirbar. In a separate vial, 0.773 grams of sodium phosphate was dissolved in 4.3 ml distilled water. The salt solution was added to the reaction flask. The flask was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a salt-ice bath. In a beaker, a sodium chlorite solution was prepared through the slow addition of $3.61 \mathrm{grams}(40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium chlorite to 28 ml distilled water. The sodium chlorite solution was added to the reaction flask dropwise over the course of two hours via pressure-equalizing addition funnel. The reaction was stirred for 6 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution until pH test strips showed a pH of $\sim 3$. The mixture was extracted thrice with 75 mL diethyl ether, before being dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered through glass wool and concentrated in vacuo. The crude solid material was taken on to the next step without purification.

## Preparation of 11-3


4.34 grams of $\mathbf{1 1 - 2}$ was dissolved in 50 ml of methanol. The reaction was fitted with a stirbar and water condenser and then brought to reflux for 24 hours. Afterwards, the
reaction mixture was poured into 100 mL of saturated brine and extracted thrice with 70 ml of diethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered through glass wool and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified over a 200 grams of silica with 1:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate to give a crystalline material.

## Preparation of 11


11.56 grams of pyridinium dichromate ( 30.7 mmol ), 2.36 grams of potassium carbonate ( 17.1 mmol ), 7.00 grams of $\mathbf{1 1 - 4}(34.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 70 ml of dichloromethane were added to a 250 mL round bottom. The flask was fitted with a stirbar, cooled in an ice bath and purge with nitrogen gas. While the flask was cooling, 31 mL of $5-6 \mathrm{M}$ tertbutyl hydrogen peroxide in decane was added to 10.5 mL of dichloromethane. Once the reaction flask was ready, half of the peroxide solution was added dropwise under positive nitrogen pressure. The reaction was stirred for 24 hours. The reaction flask was cooled again, followed by the addition of another 11.56 grams of pyridinium dichromate and second dropwise addition of peroxide solution. After an additional 24 hours, the reaction was filtered through a Celite pad. The pad was rinsed with diethyl ether. The filtered solution was concentrated in vacuo and then re-suspended in 100 ml fresh diethyl ether. The solution was washed twice with 100 ml of saturated ammonium chloride, followed by a single wash with 100 ml saturated brine. The organic solution was then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered through glass wool and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified over silica with $6: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate to give a white solid.

## Preparation of Vinylfuran (13)

Vinylfuran was prepared via Peterson olefination, described by Waser et al. ${ }^{182}$

## Reaction of Vinylfuran with Cyclopentadienone

A mixture of 100 mg of vinylfuran (13) ( 1 mmol ) and 49 mg of $11(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 3.6 mL of deuterated benzene or toluene solvent under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at, and $89 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (1 mmol ) of triethylamine was added and left to stir for 2 days at room temperature. Reaction aliquots were quenched with 5 ml saturated NaCl , extracted with more deuterated solvent as needed to separate layers, then dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$, and then analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS

Transition state theory is the central physical model for predicting the rates of chemical reactions, and its applicability is implicit assumed in the depiction of reaction mechanisms. Some flaws in TST were known at its conception, but recent years have seen a rising recognition of experimental observations that cannot be understood within the limitations and assumptions of TST. These "dynamic effects" have been the subject of this dissertation, and it has illustrated how dynamic effects impact the understanding of reaction mechanisms and other fundamental chemical ideas. Through the exposition of dynamic effects in diverse reactions, this dissertation illustrates how quickly the standard understanding of mechanisms falls apart, even for simple reactions.

Intermediates in reaction mechanisms are implicitly assumed to be equivalent to reactants from the perspective of being energetically equilibrated and equilibrated with respect to the surrounding solvent structure. This assumption can be misleading for reactions forming ionic intermediates because the solvation of these intermediates takes time. While awaiting solvent equilibration, the reactive behavior of an ion pair is not subject to a welldefined barrier and cannot be well-described by TST. This becomes apparent in the regiochemistry of the addition of hydrogen chloride to 1,3-pentadiene in nitromethane. The carbocation intermediate is symmetric, meaning there is no distinction between thermodynamic and kinetic products, but the product ratio is $74: 26$ 1,2-addition to 1,4 addition. Detailed experiments and computational simulations suggest that this is due to a dynamic effect in the solvation. On one extreme, the solute's reaction is exceedingly fast, the solvent is not able to reorient itself in time to stabilize the ionic intermediates, and the 158
chloride anion rapidly binds the allylic cation at the 2 position. On the other extreme, the solvent dipoles synchronize with the reaction, pry the chloride away from the cation, and over the course of picoseconds equilibrate to form a true ion-pair intermediate. Remarkably, the 1,2-addition product is formed by both the "dynamical" and ion-pair pathways, while the 1,4-addition product is produced almost exclusively by the ion-pair mechanism. This solvation effect is not limited to dienes, 1D and 2D NMR techniques and theoretical calculations suggest that the product mixtures of the addition of HCl to 1 methylcyclopentene and tert-butylethylene may also be controlled by solvent dynamics. The reinterpretation of experimental results from the classic physical organic literature sheds light on the generality of this dynamic effect in solution chemistry.

The photoinduced carboborative ring contraction of cyclohexenes is a reaction in which the experimental stereochemistry of additions to a carbocation is not controlled by competing transition states. Existing on a sheer slope on the potential energy surface, the key structure in this mechanism rearranges to the final product so rapidly that equivalent bond connections never symmetrize in geometry or vibrational motion. This period of dynamical asymmetry only lasts about 50 femtoseconds, the duration of just $5 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond oscillations, but it strongly influences the geometric symmetry about the carbocation center such that one enantiotopic alkyl migration is significantly favored.

MLTST is a $21^{\text {st }}$ century revision to transition state theory that has special practicality for studying reactions that exhibit dynamic effects. These dynamic effects are poorly described by TST. This methodology redeems the failures of statistical rate theories, opening new means to understand reactivity and selectivity. In addition to its success in predicting observables, MLTST provides detailed understanding of causal relationships in trajectories.

After an analysis of correlations, it was confirmed that the phases and velocities of normal modes orthogonal to the transition vector were decisive in the outcome of trajectories. This insight suggests the possibility of controlling the outcomes of dynamically controlled reactions through the modulation of the nature and population of the vibrational normal modes.

The design of reactions is predicated on knowing the sequence of events known as the reaction mechanism - understanding the logic of a reaction invites means to control its outcome. More deeply, mechanisms are a necessary symbolism bridging structure with activity, and allowing the understanding of complex biological transformations with same principles as simple acid/base reactions. To that end, TST is an immensely useful model. Nonetheless, it is still a shadow on the wall of the cave. After almost a century of TST, chemistry needs a model that permits better understanding and offers more agency in controlling the outcome of chemical transformations. It is by understanding these dynamics effects, that this new model can be brought about, and organic chemistry can transcend transition state theory.
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## APPENDIX A

## NMR SPECTRA

## NMR Spectra for Chapter II



Figure 84 Time-lapsed (6-days) inverse-gated decoupling ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra show that the equilibration of $1,2-$ and 1,4 - products takes place at a low rate. This reaction was quenched with acetone enol ether prior to analysis.


Figure 85 Inverse-gated decoupling ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for peak assignment. Crude cyclopentene deuterochlorination reaction mixture (top) and the same mixture doped with authentic syn-1-chloro-2-d $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-cyclopentane (bottom)


Figure 86 Inverse-gated decoupling ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for peak assignment. Crude cyclopentene deuterochlorination reaction mixture (bottom) and the same mixture doped with authentic trans-1-acetoxy-2-d1-cyclopentane (top).


Figure $87{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of syn-2-d $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-cyclopentanol in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $88{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of synthetic cyclopentan-2- $d$-ol acetate.


Figure $89{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of synthetic cyclopentan-2- $d$-ol acetate.


Figure $90{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of trans-1-toluenesulfonyl-2-d $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-cyclopentane in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure $91{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of syn-1-chloro-2-d $\mathrm{d}_{1}$-cyclopentane in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Contains pentane impurities.

## NMR Spectra for Chapter IV

Reaction of Vinylfuran (12) with Cyclopentadienone 13


1. 3.27
2. 6.89
3. 3.35
4. 3.01
5. 1.84
6. 1.15
7. 3.13
8. 5.45
9. 5.89
10. 6.8

11. 1.71
12. 2.72
13. 2.68
14. 5.00
15. 5.88
16. 6.91
17. 3.21
18. 3.40
19. 5.09
20. 6.05

Figure 92 Spectral peak assignments in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-benzene


Figure $93{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra in benzene showing that the as the reaction in toluene at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ progresses, the ratio of products does not change appreciably. Spectrum timepoint 3 spectrum is mistitled. These measurements were made 16 hours apart.




$\qquad$

| Compound | Peak | Integral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 6.79 | 100 |
| 16 | 6.05 | 68.116 |
| 15 | 5.44 | 98.716 |
| 16 | 5.04 | 65.688 |
| 16 | 5.01 | 66.251 |
| Ratio |  | 1.49 |

Figure $\mathbf{9 4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in benzene of $\mathbf{1 2}+\mathbf{1 3}$ reaction at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in benzene and tabulated integrations for this sample.


Figure $\mathbf{9 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in benzene of $\mathbf{1 2}+\mathbf{1 3}$ reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in benzene tabulated integrations for this sample.


Figure $96{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in benzene of $\mathbf{1 2}+\mathbf{1 3}$ reaction at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene and tabulated integrations for this sample.


| Compound | Peak | Integral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 5.98 | 55.91 |
| 15 | 5.79 | 100.00 |
| 16 | 5.75 | 61.82 |
| 15 | 5.37 | 94.19 |
| 16 | 4.96 | 57.04 |
| 15 | 2.91 | 101.17 |
| 16 | 2.64 | 67.72 |
| 16 | 2.54 | 54.13 |
| Ratio |  | 1.66 |

Figure $97{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in benzene of a reaction running in toluene at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Some of shown integrals were determined to be reliable for use in quantifying product ratios.


| Compound | Peak | Integral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 6.66 | 100.0 |
| 16 | 5.98 | 52.7 |
| 15 | 5.79 | 99.3 |
| 16 | 5.75 | 53.9 |
| 15 | 5.37 | 99.2 |
| 16 | 4.96 | 54.2 |
| Ratio |  | 1.86 |

Figure $98{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in benzene of a reaction running in toluene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Some of shown integrals were determined to be reliable for use in quantifying product ratios.

## APPENDIX B

## CALCULATED STRUCTURES AND ENERGIES

## Calculated Structures and Complete Energies for Chapter II

Guide to Structures and Structure Titles

The structure titles below are in most cases self-explanatory. PCM and SMD refer to structures optimized with the PCM and SMD implicit solvent model. TS refers to transition structures in which the 1,3-pentadiene is being protonated at C 1 , and this was explored with both one HCl and two HCl molecules present. When two HCl molecules are present, the second HCl may be located in varying positions and these are designated as "Conf A", "Conf B", etc. Not every conformation was located for every computational method / solvent model combination, despite careful searches in each case. For the product (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene, three conformations were located but only the lowest-energy conformation is presented here. Bichloride refers to the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ anion, which was generally unsymmetrical in implicit solvent but was symmetrical for M06-2X calculations in the gas phase.

## Tables of Energies

Table 22 Collected MP2 and M06-2X raw energies.

|  | Pot. E. | E+zpe | H | G | S (E.U.) | G 1 M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP2/6-31+G** structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCl-gas phase | -460.207617 | -460.200527 | -460.197223 | -460.218391 | 44.55 | -460.215373 |
| HCl SMD | -460.213106 | -460.206156 | -460.202851 | -460.224030 | 44.58 | -460.221012 |
| HCl PCM | -460.211164 | -460.204120 | -460.200815 | -460.221987 | 44.56 | -460.218969 |
| HCl Dimer-gas phase | -920.418518 | -920.402724 | -920.396567 | -920.430797 | 72.04 | -920.427779 |
| HCl Dimer SMD | -920.427032 | -920.411759 | -920.405431 | -920.440047 | 72.86 | -920.437029 |
| HCl Dimer PCM | -920.424550 | -920.408716 | -920.402680 | -920.436479 | 71.14 | -920.433461 |
| Chloride anion - gas phase | -459.671145 | -459.671145 | -459.668785 | -459.686168 | 36.59 | -459.683150 |
| Chloride anion - SMD | -459.775357 | -459.775357 | -459.772996 | -459.790380 | 36.59 | -459.787362 |
| Chloride anion - PCM | -459.780255 | -459.780255 | -459.777895 | -459.795278 | 36.59 | -459.792260 |
| Bichloride anion SMD | -920.000061 | -919.991806 | -919.987619 | -920.015845 | 59.41 | -920.012827 |
| Bichloride anion PCM | -920.002148 | -919.995235 | -919.990657 | -920.014395 | 49.96 | -920.011377 |
| Pentadienyl cation - gas phase | -194.998888 | -194.871875 | -194.864144 | -194.901797 | 79.25 | -194.898779 |
| Pentadienyl cation - SMD | -195.095043 | -194.968443 | -194.960645 | -194.998474 | 79.62 | -194.995456 |
| Pentadienyl cation - PCM | -195.078935 | -194.951888 | -194.944081 | -194.981965 | 79.73 | -194.978947 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - gas phase | -194.669694 | -194.554659 | -194.547457 | -194.583755 | 76.40 | -194.580737 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - SMD | -194.674749 | -194.559992 | -194.552815 | -194.589016 | 76.19 | -194.585998 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - PCM | -194.671881 | -194.557010 | -194.549798 | -194.586133 | 76.47 | -194.583115 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - gas phase | -654.910587 | -654.779735 | -654.771254 | -654.811787 | 85.31 | -654.808769 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD | -654.919429 | -654.788938 | -654.780450 | -654.820975 | 85.29 | -654.817957 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM | -654.914356 | -654.783702 | -654.775207 | -654.815754 | 85.34 | -654.812736 |
| TS / one HCl SMD | -654.867425 | -654.744800 | -654.735952 | -654.778580 | 89.72 | -654.775562 |


| TS / one HCl PCM | -654.859761 | -654.736546 | -654.727737 | -654.770334 | 89.65 | -654.767316 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS / two HCl conf B SMD | -1115.090018 | -1114.958685 | -1114.947012 | -1114.998187 | 107.71 | -1114.995169 |
| TS / two HCl conf C SMD | -1115.090006 | -1114.958721 | -1114.947045 | -1114.999134 | 109.63 | -1114.996116 |
| TS / two HCl conf A PCM | -1115.079533 | -1114.947843 | -1114.936062 | -1114.988721 | 110.83 | -1114.985703 |
| TS / two HCl conf B PCM | -1115.081097 | -1114.949254 | -1114.937593 | -1114.988789 | 107.75 | -1114.985771 |
| M06-2X/6-31+G** structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCl -gas phase | -460.762018 | -460.755187 | -460.751882 | -460.773067 | 44.59 | -460.770049 |
| HCl SMD | -460.767806 | -460.761125 | -460.757820 | -460.779017 | 44.61 | -460.775999 |
| HCl PCM | -460.765738 | -460.758967 | -460.755662 | -460.776851 | 44.60 | -460.773833 |
| $(\mathrm{HCl}) 2$ dimer-gas phase | -921.527331 | -921.512445 | -921.505984 | -921.542172 | 76.16 | -921.539154 |
| $(\mathrm{HCl}) 2$ dimer SMD | -921.536203 | -921.521639 | -921.515214 | -921.550656 | 74.59 | -921.547638 |
| $(\mathrm{HCl}) 2$ dimer PCM | -921.533574 | -921.518915 | -921.513401 | -921.546204 | 69.04 | -921.543186 |
| Chloride anion - gas phase | -460.235297 | -460.235297 | -460.232937 | -460.250320 | 36.59 | -460.247302 |
| Chloride anion - SMD | -460.339779 | -460.339779 | -460.337419 | -460.354802 | 36.59 | -460.351784 |
| Chloride anion - PCM | -460.344843 | -460.344843 | -460.342483 | -460.359866 | 36.59 | -460.356848 |
| Bichloride anion - SMD | -921.124048 | -921.121093 | -921.116239 | -921.141285 | 52.71 | -921.138267 |
| Bichloride anion - PCM | -921.125594 | -921.120193 | -921.115949 | -921.144165 | 59.39 | -921.141147 |
| Pentadienyl cation - gas phase | -195.547125 | -195.422385 | -195.414674 | -195.452204 | 78.99 | -195.449186 |
| Pentadienyl cation - SMD | -195.642802 | -195.518575 | -195.510778 | -195.548562 | 79.52 | -195.545544 |
| Pentadienyl cation - PCM | -195.626628 | -195.501984 | -195.494175 | -195.531989 | 79.59 | -195.528971 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - gas phase | -195.218451 | -195.104296 | -195.097236 | -195.133135 | 75.56 | -195.130117 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - SMD | -195.224268 | -195.110463 | -195.103413 | -195.139270 | 75.47 | -195.136252 |
| 1,3-pentadiene - PCM | -195.221028 | -195.107071 | -195.099997 | -195.135938 | 75.65 | -195.132920 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - gas phase | -656.014201 | -655.885432 | -655.876904 | -655.917521 | 85.49 | -655.914503 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD | -656.023907 | -655.895665 | -655.887090 | -655.927814 | 85.71 | -655.924796 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM | -656.018604 | -655.890154 | -655.881580 | -655.922305 | 85.71 | -655.919287 |
| TS / one HCl SMD | -655.982935 | -655.862335 | -655.853519 | -655.895980 | 89.37 | -655.892962 |
| TS / one HCl PCM | -655.975242 | -655.853793 | -655.844992 | -655.887611 | 89.70 | -655.884593 |
| TS / two HCl conf A SMD | -1116.758546 | -1116.630365 | -1116.618501 | -1116.671075 | 110.652 | -1116.668057 |
| TS / two HCl conf B SMD | -1116.759243 | -1116.631206 | -1116.619365 | -1116.670544 | 107.71 | -1116.667526 |
| TS / two HCl conf C SMD | -1116.759577 | -1116.631063 | -1116.619388 | -1116.669688 | 105.87 | -1116.666670 |
| TS / two HCl conf A PCM | -1116.74942 | -1116.620670 | -1116.608870 | -1116.661239 | 110.22 | -1116.658221 |
| TS / two HCl conf B PCM | -1116.750787 | -1116.622070 | -1116.610328 | -1116.661010 | 106.669 | -1116.657992 |

In the last column, " 1 M " refers to free energies adjusted for a standard state of 1 M instead of the default standard state of 1 atm by adding $0.001987 * 298.15 * \ln (24.5) / 627.509$.
Table 23 Selected MP2 and M06-2X relative energies ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).

|  | MP2 gas <br> phase | MP2 SMD | MP2 PCM | M06-2X <br> gas phase | M06-2X <br> SMD | M06-2X PCM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chloride proton affinity (H) | 331.6 |  |  | 325.6 |  |  |
| 1,3-pentadiene proton affinity (H) | 198.7 |  |  | 199.2 |  |  |
| HCl dimer versus monomer (G, 1M) | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Bichloride vs chloride +HCl |  | -2.8 | -0.1 |  | -6.6 | -6.6 |
| Diene $+\mathrm{HCl}->$ cation $+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{G}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ | 134.4 | 15.2 | 19.4 | 127.8 | 9.4 | 13.1 |
| Product from $\mathrm{HCl}+$ diene $(\mathrm{G}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ | -7.9 | -6.9 | -6.7 | -9.0 | -7.9 | -7.9 |


| TS / one HCl vs diene $+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{G}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ | 19.7 | 21.8 | 11.9 | 14.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS / two HCl vs diene $+(\mathrm{HCl}) 2(\mathrm{G}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ | 17.5 | 19.3 | 10.9 | 11.5 |
| $\mathrm{TS} /$ two HCl vs diene $+\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{G}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ | 20.6 | 22.1 | 13.7 | 14.3 |

All energies here refer to a standard state of 1 M . For reactions involving competitive transition states, the barrier for only the lowest-energy transition state is shown. The " 1 M " refers to free energies adjusted for a standard state of 1 M instead of the default standard state of 1 atm .

Table 24 DLPNO/aug-cc-pVTZ derived energies.

| Structure | DLPNO/aug-ccputz Pot. En. | Pot. Energy MP2 gas phase | G, MP2, <br> solution, 1 Atm | G, DLPNO, solution, $1 \mathrm{M}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{rel}} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP2 PCM Structures |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCI PCM | -460.343187 | -460.207614 | -460.221987 | -460.354540 |  |
| 1,3-pentadiene - PCM | -194.950054 | -194.669687 | -194.586133 | -194.863480 |  |
| HCl Dimer PCM | -920.689674 | -920.418458 | -920.436479 | -920.704675 | 2.8 |
| TS / one HCl PCM | -655.249629 | -654.832479 | -654.770334 | -655.184464 | 21.1 |
| TS / two HCl conf B PCM | -1115.613100 | -1115.061527 | -1114.988801 | -1115.537354 | 22.1 |
| TS / two HCl conf A PCM | -1115.609916 | -1115.057592 | -1114.988721 | -1115.538025 | 21.7 |
| pentadienyl_cation_mp2PSpcm | -195.277765 | -194.998813 | -194.981965 | -195.257898 |  |
| Bichloride anion PCM | -920.182229 | -919.911814 | -920.014395 | -920.281791 |  |
| Chloride anion - PCM | -459.804960 | -459.671145 | -459.795278 | -459.926072 |  |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM | -655.321443 | -654.910510 | -654.815754 | -655.223668 | -3.5 |
| MP2 SMD Structures |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCl SMD | -460.343210 | -460.207586 | -460.224030 | -460.356634 |  |
| 1,3-pentadiene - SMD | -194.950047 | -194.669675 | -194.589016 | -194.866368 |  |
| HCl Dimer SMD | -920.689713 | -920.418445 | -920.440047 | -920.708295 | 3.1 |
| TS / one HCl SMD | -655.251698 | -654.834675 | -654.778580 | -655.192583 | 19.1 |
| TS / two HCl conf C SMD | -1115.614326 | -1115.062741 | -1114.999134 | -1115.547699 | 20.0 |
| TS / two HCl conf B SMD | -1115.614586 | -1115.063111 | -1114.998187 | -1115.546642 | 20.7 |
| Pentadienyl cation - SMD | -195.277748 | -194.998765 | -194.998474 | -195.274437 |  |
| Bichloride anion SMD | -920.182843 | -919.912226 | -920.015845 | -920.283442 |  |
| Chloride anion - SMD | -459.804960 | -459.671145 | -459.790380 | -459.921174 |  |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD | -655.321421 | -654.910443 | -654.820975 | -655.228934 | -3.7 |
| Structure | DLPNO/aug-ccputz Pot. En. | Pot. Energy M062X gas phase | G, MP2, <br> solution, 1 Atm | G, DLPNO, solution, $1 \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}_{\text {rel }} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ |
| M06-2X PCM Structures |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCI PCM | -460.343198 | -460.762013 | -460.776851 | -460.355016 |  |
| 1,3-pentadiene - PCM | -194.950058 | -195.218440 | -195.135938 | -194.864536 |  |
| ( HCl )2 dimer PCM | -920.689726 | -921.527173 | -921.546204 | -920.705737 | 2.7 |
| TS / one HCl PCM | -655.249637 | -655.947052 | -655.887611 | -655.187176 | 20.3 |
| TS / two HCl conf B PCM | -1115.616602 | -1116.733211 | -1116.661010 | -1115.541381 | 20.8 |
| TS / two HCl conf A PCM | -1115.613848 | -1116.729518 | -1116.661239 | -1115.542548 | 20.1 |
| Pentadienyl cation - PCM | -195.277803 | -195.547055 | -195.531989 | -195.259717 |  |
| Bichloride anion - PCM | -920.184919 | -921.038543 | -921.144165 | -920.287521 |  |


| Chloride anion - PCM | -459.804960 | -460.235297 | -460.359866 | -459.926508 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM | -655.321441 | -656.014068 | -655.922305 | -655.226658 | -4.5 |
| M06-2X SMD Structures |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCl SMD | -460.343151 | -460.761979 | -460.779017 | -460.357169 |  |
| 1,3-pentadiene - SMD | -194.950042 | -195.218422 | -195.139270 | -194.867869 |  |
| (HCI)2 dimer SMD | -920.689669 | -921.527233 | -921.550656 | -920.710072 | 2.7 |
| TS / one HCl SMD | -655.252939 | -655.949817 | -655.895980 | -655.196083 | 18.2 |
| TS / two HCl conf C SMD | -1115.618684 | -1116.734578 | -1116.669688 | -1115.550774 | 19.7 |
| TS / two HCl conf A SMD | -1115.616859 | -1116.731929 | -1116.671075 | -1115.552986 | 18.3 |
| TS / two HCl conf B SMD | -1115.619081 | -1116.734827 | -1116.670544 | -1115.551778 | 19.1 |
| Pentadienyl cation - SMD | -195.277747 | -195.547016 | -195.548562 | -195.276273 |  |
| Bichloride anion - SMD | -920.185002 | -921.038981 | -921.141285 | -920.284286 |  |
| Chloride anion - SMD | -459.804960 | -460.235297 | -460.354802 | -459.921444 | 17.1 |
| (E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD | -655.321341 | -656.013975 | -655.927814 | -655.232160 | -4.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated as Column 3 - Column $2+$ Column $1+0.001987 * 298.15 * \ln (24.5) / 627.509$ (the last term corrects from 1 atm to 1 M$).{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative to separate starting materials.

$$
M P 2 / 6-31+G^{* *} \text { structures }
$$

## HCl-gas phase

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.46020761713804 \mathrm{D}+03$
Zero-point correction $=0.007090$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy $=0.009450$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.010394$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy = 0.010774
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -460.200527
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.198167
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=-460.197223$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -460.218391

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E CV } \mathrm{S} \\
& \text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
& \text { Total } 5.9304 .96844 .553
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.3873357934,-3.152125816,0.7128749805$
H,0,-1.2288842066,-2.308634184,0.2732650195

## HCI SMD

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.46021310572512 \mathrm{D}+03$
Zero-point correction $=0.006950$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy 0.009311
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.010255$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.010924
Sum of electronic and ZPE $=-460.206156$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.203795
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=-460.202851$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-460.224030$

```
    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
```

Total 5.8434 .96844 .575
Cl,0,-0.3850243313,-3.1544426155,0.7140824473
H,0,-1.2311956687,-2.3063173845, 0.2720575527

## HCI PCM

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.46021116360143 \mathrm{D}+03$
Zero-point correction= 0.007044 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy $=0.009404$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.010348$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=-0.010824$
Sum of electronic and ZPE $=-460.204120$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.201760
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=-460.200815$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -460.221987

E CV S<br>$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$<br>Total 5.9014 .96844 .560

Cl,0,-0.3865779149,-3.1528854445,0.7132708828
H,0,-1.2296420851,-2.3078745555,0.2728691172

## HCl Dimer-gas phase

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.92041851838371 \mathrm{D}+03$
Zero-point correction= 0.015795 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy $=0.021008$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.021952$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.012278
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-920.402724
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -920.397511
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -920.396567

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -920.430797

E CV S<br>$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$<br>Total 13.18313 .28872 .044<br>H,0,-2.0505141611,0.4812496329,-0.2640794703<br>Cl,0,-2.7018667446,-0.5920673714,-0.4630106611<br>$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.2658837139,-3.33122548,0.7701505738$<br>H,0,-1.1237053804,-2.4929567815,0.3448895576

## HCl Dimer SMD

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.92042703189231 \mathrm{D}+03$

Zero-point correction= 0.015272 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.020657
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.021601$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.013016
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-920.411759
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -920.406375
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=-920.405431$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-920.440047$

```
    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 12.962 13.49372.856
H,0,-2.0312533251,0.4508446608,-0.2538150919
Cl,0,-2.7070689877,-0.6140037846,-0.4632445705
Cl,0,-0.2892845415,-3.3257858407,0.7603474654
H,0,-1.1143631457,-2.4460550356,0.344662197
HCl Dimer PCM
MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 = -0.92042455018430D +03
Zero-point correction= 0.015834 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.020926
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.021870
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.011928
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-920.408716
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -920.403624
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-920.402680\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-920.436479\)
```

Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416

```
```

    E CV S
    ```
    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 13.131 13.200 71.135
Total 13.131 13.200 71.135
H,0,-2.041580278,0.4577644712,-0.2412473748
H,0,-2.041580278,0.4577644712,-0.2412473748
Cl,0,-2.6902031624,-0.6141177003,-0.4680085383
Cl,0,-2.6902031624,-0.6141177003,-0.4680085383
Cl,0,-0.3078017666,-3.3447098615,0.7523475298
Cl,0,-0.3078017666,-3.3447098615,0.7523475298
H,0,-1.1023847929,-2.4339369094,0.3448583833
H,0,-1.1023847929,-2.4339369094,0.3448583833
Chloride anion - gas phase
Chloride anion - gas phase
MP2/6-31+G**
MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 = -0.45967114538099D+03
```

EUMP2 = -0.45967114538099D+03

```

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.002360
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.015023\)
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies \(=-459.671145\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-459.669729\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-459.668785\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -459.686168

\section*{E (Thermal) CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal/Mol-Kelvin}\) \\ Total 0.8892 .98136 .586}

\section*{Chloride anion - SMD}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.45977535694708 \mathrm{D}+03\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.000000\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.002360\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.015023
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-459.775357
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -459.773941
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -459.772996
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -459.790380
```

E CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$
Total 0.8892 .98136 .586
Chloride anion - PCM
MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 $=-0.45978025499771 \mathrm{D}+03$

```

Zero-point correction \(=0.000000\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.001416
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.002360\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy = -0.015023
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-459.780255
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-459.778839\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -459.777895
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -459.795278
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 0.8892 .98136 .586

\section*{Bichloride anion SMD}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.92000006107913 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction= 0.008255 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.011498
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.012442\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.015784\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-919.991806
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -919.988563
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -919.987619
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -920.015845

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 7.2158 .60159 .407
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.6308407661,-1.0085694525,-0.4012935497\)
Cl,0,-0.3881788666,-3.1343659994,0.7031350289
H,0,-1.3009646093,-2.2691456198,0.253621591

\section*{Bichloride anion PCM}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.92000214785299 \mathrm{D}+03\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.006913\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.010546\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.011491\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.012247
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-919.995235
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -919.991601
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-919.990657
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-920.014395\)

> E CV
> KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
> Total 6.6188 .84249 .961

Cl,0,-2.6500252448,-0.9911983622,-0.4102938476 Cl,0,-0.3826060758,-3.1396919337,0.7059033626 H,0,-1.2873886794,-2.2812197041,0.2598704851

\section*{Pentadienyl cation - gas phase \\ MP2/6-31+G** \\ EUMP2 \(=-0.19499888809994 \mathrm{D}+03\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.127013\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.133800
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.134744\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.097091
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.871875
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -194.865088
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-194.864144\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -194.901797

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 83.96122 .38479 .248
}

C, \(0,1.8922072426,-0.3592629232,-0.3156541599\)
H, \(0,1.9704872805,-0.1696073453,-1.3844503717\)
C,0,0.7921813258,0.1617612585,0.3488755183
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6634572611,0.0039675293,1.4126860519\)
C, \(, 0,-0.1398492157,0.8886460229,-0.3764383141\)
H,0,0.0402499333,1.0157547051,-1.4421914459
C,0,2.9622054018,-1.1472709532,0.3049755035
H,0,2.8211363827,-1.2982709435,1.3705883157
H,0,3.0330442831,-2.1114770751,-0.2093841909
H,0,3.9200266129,-0.6515125108,0.1149611672
C, \(0,-1.3521104082,1.5021292415,0.1759167385\)
H,0,-1.4691654808,1.3366428992,1.242286995
H,0,-1.3345185265,2.575115914,-0.0424477519
H,0,-2.2211320925, 1.1148341804,-0.3663840556

\author{
Pentadienyl cation - SMD \\ MP2/6-31+G** \\ EUMP2 \(=-0.19509504268429 \mathrm{D}+03\)
}

Zero-point correction= 0.126600 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.133453
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.134397
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.096569
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.968443
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -194.961590
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-194.960645\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-194.998474
E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 83.74322 .57279 .616

C, \(0,1.8855777759,-0.3554186563,-0.3138988269\) H,0,1.9587723979,-0.1629717222,-1.3808446868 C,0,0.7913421715,0.1608449452,0.3577561851
H,0,0.6627246681, \(0.0032114035,1.4211226818\)
C, \(0,-0.133524327,0.8845053221,-0.3743198572\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0512044424,1.0082367345,-1.4379603146\)
C,0,2.9558465366,-1.1431508474,0.3029074732
Н,0,2.8104838746,-1.2917168963,1.3687863733
H,0,3.0190861659,-2.1047257121,-0.215922096
H,0,3.9088415292,-0.6408891464,0.109538107
C,0,-1.3454647418,1.4982843933, 0.1742248529
H,0,-1.4582946283,1.3302577554,1.2411566279
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3197705079,2.5697917439,-0.0472650745\)
H,0,-2.208605357,1.1051906828,-0.3719414451

\section*{Pentadienyl cation - PCM}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.19507893460018 \mathrm{D}+03\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.127046\) (Hartree \(/\) Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.133910\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.134854\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.096970
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.951888
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-194.945025\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-194.944081
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-194.981965\)
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 84.03022 .48879 .734
C, \(0,1.8876442894,-0.3558291203,-0.3163777163\)
H,0,1.9641465504,-0.1646832651,-1.3830496589
C,0,0.7918846738,0.1614659291,0.3528438278
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6630209482,0.0032563173,1.4155065605\)
C, \(0,-0.1346847067,0.886242671,-0.3767207843\)
H,0,0.0472936501,1.0125079017,-1.4402614572
C, \(0,2.9574546451,-1.1446310544,0.3039346781\)
H,0,2.81081572,-1.2938399124,1.3685099993
H,0,3.0234211848,-2.1063741031,-0.2125019259
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9112855791,-0.6440261604,0.1149506794\)

C,0,-1.3475030292,1.4990932933,0.17548495
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4598750651,1.3299887447,1.2412532945\)
H,0,-1.3246045809,2.5705969669,-0.0422700073
H, \(0,-2.212079859,1.1076817916,-0.3679624397\)

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - gas phase}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.19466969392284 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.115035\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.121293
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.122237\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.085939
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.554659
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -194.548401
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-194.547457\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -194.583755

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 76.112 21.28176 .396
C, \(0,1.8986175416,-0.3063618261,-0.3530631927\)
H,0,2.0545875479,-0.0835808938,-1.4058816623
С, \(0,0.844621285,0.2551048761,0.2739797037\)
H,0,0.6773123759,0.0404229432,1.3270872652
С,0,-0.1042572819,1.1477603725,-0.3767771216
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0650305416,1.3606054755,-1.4286168364\)
C,0,2.8836892478,-1.2256332597,0.299160429
H,0,2.6361369662,-1.3812924108,1.3490783945
H,0,2.9000585455,-2.1983968283,-0.1963343313
H,0,3.8957366431,-0.8198806311,0.2428044867
C, \(,,-1.1576259486,1.709470842,0.2481003164\)
H,0,-1.3558506296,1.5189436999,1.2954884082
Н,0,-1.8378868345,2.3671776406,-0.2741558594

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - SMD}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.19467474942796 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction= 0.114757 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.120991
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.121935\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.085733
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.559992
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -194.553759
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-194.552815\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -194.589016
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 75.92321 .28776 .193
C,0,1.8986506177,-0.3068394863,-0.351625699
H,0,2.0542324707,-0.0836117741,-1.4049379043
C, \(0,0.8440547099,0.2542024714,0.278082517\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6757571333,0.0400645379,1.3316978647\)
C,0,-0.103605015,1.1473584644,-0.3770015171
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0679136855,1.3588301033,-1.4295386438\)
C,0,2.8832163327,-1.2255035634,0.2998315953

H,0,2.637888906,-1.383003695,1.3505698919
H,0,2.8999091636,-2.1963702869,-0.2005848917
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8950936022,-0.8185722467,0.2382925317\)
C, \(0,-1.1581536098,1.7099159741,0.2478946605\)
H, \(0,-1.3575182837,1.5199021249,1.2962135764\)
H,0,-1.8372697131,2.3679673764,-0.2780239816

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - PCM}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.19467188131503 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction= 0.114872 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.121139
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.122083
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.085748
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-194.557010
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-194.550742\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-194.549798\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-194.586133
```

E CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$
Total 76.01621 .29876 .473

```

C, \(0,1.8987457869,-0.3067875372,-0.3520884305\)
H,0,2.0546869952,-0.0839042488,-1.4050086382
C, \(0,0.8443065787,0.2544989767,0.276609854\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6764521076,0.0400996601,1.3298921265\)
С,0,-0.1041795484,1.147775429,-0.376736048
H,0,0.0661963138,1.3600299947,-1.4288493043
С, \(0,2.8841335986,-1.2262002102,0.299735835\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6377617847,-1.3831025606,1.3497488521\)
H,0,2.9008604977,-2.1970934331,-0.1992080587
H, \(0,3.895560725,-0.8196140232,0.2400276397\)
C,0,-1.1587257348,1.7103701202,0.2478487224
H,0,-1.3576946048,1.5201979866,1.29547575
H,0,-1.8379345001,2.368069846,-0.2765783

\section*{(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - gas phase}

Two alternative conformations were located but they were higher in energy by \(>1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\).
MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.65491058652331 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction= 0.130852 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.138388
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.139332
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy 0.098800
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -654.779735
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-654.772199\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-654.771254\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -654.811787
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 86.84026 .01585 .307
C,0,-3.2925531327,-0.1071523078,-0.0091588398
H, \(,-2.5605937451,-0.0937028347,-0.8186151747\)
Н, \(,--4.2265807461,0.31062227,-0.3834741079\)
H,0,-3.4645175151,-1.152555433,0.2532777581

C,0,-2.7966995236,0.6632648295,1.1751779092
H,0,-1.8676690195,0.3261809732,1.628360819
C,0,-3.4214341268,1.7261102179,1.7076960031
H,0,-4.3589435812,2.0790701119,1.2852918924
C, \(0,-2.8874755406,2.4931577298,2.8687114528\)
H,0,-1.9393669411,2.0607303071,3.1891939089 C,0,-2.7184178154,3.9735610308,2.5699137514 H,0,-3.6705072634,4.415299463,2.2767005455 H,0,-2.3419529373,4.5067953905,3.4415327799 H,0,-2.0099247095,4.0914538259,1.7488830912 \(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-4.0036831926,2.3016632958,4.283032291\)

\section*{(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.65491942935983 D+03\)
Zero-point correction= 0.130492 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.138035\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.138980\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.098454
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-654.788938\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -654.781394
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -654.780450
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-654.820975\)
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { E CV } \quad \text { S } \\
\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
\text { Total } 86.619 \text { 26.111 } 85.293
\end{gathered}
\]

C, \(0,-3.2958154036,-0.1112620922,-0.0068775017\)
H,0,-2.5497911896,-0.1094332105,-0.8043007435
H,0,-4.2203797265,0.3158539832,-0.395569642
H,0,-3.4789346601,-1.1550284534,0.2572507902
C,0,-2.8043555629,0.654939887,1.1803677641
Н, \(0,-1.8839704289,0.3088699057,1.644993285\)
C,0,-3.4192522198,1.7326501455,1.6982006271
H,0,-4.3427323616,2.104366653,1.2599578159
C, \(0,-2.8744162842,2.4960141781,2.8548555887\)
H,0,-1.9244836283,2.0677834946,3.1722834743
C,0,-2.7280402243,3.9792573617,2.5755564114
H,0,-3.6851322261,4.4156951977,2.2889223744
H,0,-2.3439440637,4.5074391135,3.447631781
H,0,-2.0240789492,4.1089862365,1.75185107
Cl, 0,-3.9849928612,2.2783664694,4.2914009854

\section*{(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM}

MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.65491435631652 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.130654\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.138205
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.139149\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.098603
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-654.783702
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-654.776151\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-654.775207\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -654.815754
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)

Total 86.72526 .09885 .337
C,0,-3.2950014165,-0.1105234363,-0.0081159605
H,0,-2.554252851,-0.1015271968,-0.8094250695 H,0,-4.2233971105,0.3126422715,-0.3902797175 H,0,-3.471788296,-1.1552089504,0.2536881272
C, \(0,-2.802403249,0.6565279307,1.1795580916\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8788937772,0.3136286269,1.6393420352\)
C,0,-3.4206615768,1.7294477402,1.7018374183
H, \(,-4.3478275523,2.0953322431,1.2675766272\)
C, \(0,-2.8787365547,2.4952933424,2.8586973003\) H,0,-1.9304828625,2.0654359228,3.1791457347
C,0,-2.7243065714,3.9783939704,2.5735879207
H,0,-3.6794441152,4.4162473399,2.2846276642
H,0,-2.3427682363,4.5071664827,3.4455872317
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0190619973,4.1039922083,1.7512834774\)
Cl,0,-3.9912936231,2.2876503745,4.2894131994
TS / one HCl SMD


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.65486742472659 \mathrm{D}+03\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.122625\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.130529
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.131473
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy 0.088845
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-654.744800
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -654.736896
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-654.735952\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -654.778580

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 81.90826 .50589 .718
C,0,1.906869462,-0.3190801909,-0.3194016219
H,0,2.0149998658,-0.0892567782,-1.3764309099
C, \(, 0,0.854930977,0.2271148658,0.3490388147\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7001471122,0.029881773,1.404111111\)
C, \(0,-0.0541343529,1.0734417814,-0.3393885819\)
H, \(0,0.1438576185,1.2484760914,-1.3945142704\)
C,0,2.9329931043,-1.194995172,0.2931624372
H,0,2.7352042206,-1.3762003363,1.3477262782
H,0,2.9698857681,-2.1473097106,-0.2411300043
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9185422011,-0.7362634465,0.1808836563\)
C,0,-1.2466169259,1.5512056289,0.1981354329
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3520199066,1.5426736473,1.2784619132\)

H,0,-1.7436571403,2.3583884618,-0.3284557787
Н, \(0,-1.9043565594,0.5427435719,-0.1577078187\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-3.0022254444,-0.8007201873,-0.4918106577\)

\section*{TS / one HCl PCM}


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.65485976096748 \mathrm{D}+03\)

Zero-point correction= 0.123215 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.131079
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.132023\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.089427
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-654.736546\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -654.728682
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -654.727737
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-654.770334\)

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 82.25326 .28089 .653
}

C, \(0,1.9053438602,-0.3207654083,-0.3155452003\)
H, \(, 2.20086385871,-0.0953106519,-1.3735165429\)
C,0,0.854755916,0.2276361288,0.3558172977
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7050131926,0.0341752085,1.4114256405\)
C, \(0,-0.0542544822,1.0696033955,-0.3332852798\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1429490573,1.2417846401,-1.3884855908\)
C, \(0,2.9366793534,-1.1949735983,0.2920551463\)
H,0,2.7522390318,-1.3696641763,1.3491393074
H,0,2.9648689059,-2.1500124074,-0.2363562098
H,0,3.9210080199,-0.7396311126,0.1646069372
C,0,-1.253462111,1.5493425714,0.1982267781
H,0,-1.3575762392,1.5514736059,1.2779981007
H,0,-1.736317885,2.3643382112,-0.3281025515
Н,0,-1.9100175876,0.5578519989,-0.1549120869
Cl,0,-3.0054476191,-0.8157484055,-0.516385746
TS / two HCl conf B SMD


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.11150900183123 \mathrm{D}+04\)
Zero-point correction= 0.131333 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.142062\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.143007
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy 0.091832
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1114.958685\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -1114.947956
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -1114.947012
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-1114.998187\)
\[
\text { E CV } \quad \mathrm{S}
\]
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 89.14534 .972107 .707
C,0,-2.0107804706,-0.9201827246,-0.503670501
Н, \(0,-2.0217408152,-1.4310822536,-1.4632457834\)
С, \(0,-0.9803310121,-1.1763020941,0.3437232003\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9164253433,-0.6907380421,1.311513035\)
C, \(0,0.0439093856,-2.0866585645,-0.0458354138\)
H, \(,--0.0669511138,-2.5586604843,-1.019565269\)
C, \(0,-3.138034121,-0.001551897,-0.2125429905\)
H,, ,-3.0332891434,0.4719321184,0.7618407433
Н,0,-3.1963782109,0.7674959041,-0.9865085978 H,0,-4.0807118297,-0.5531313468,-0.2482632639 C,0,1.2333506459,-2.2685093537,0.6409269644 H,0,1.293541434,-1.9334124397,1.6712970917 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8695190372,-3.0983022524,0.3558549996\) H,0,1.7596733093,-1.2762413854,0.0009625348 \(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,2.6201567556,0.045608343,-0.6565187022\) Cl,0,0.1388080885,1.3028695631,-2.7145468288 H,0,1.0641544037,0.8321489096,-1.9361102185
TS / two HCl conf C SMD


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.11150900058283 D+04\)

Zero-point correction= 0.131285 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.142016
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.142961\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.090871
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1114.958721\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -1114.947989
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1114.947045\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-1114.999134\)
```

    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 89.117 34.996 109.631
6-2.151880-0.706361-0.458131
1-2.156234-1.020974-1.498821
6-1.158560-1.168524 0.345303
1-1.105716-0.881550 1.390098
6-0.159135-2.032745-0.186809
1-0.252846-2.303218-1.236154
6-3.247419 0.189134-0.014132
1-3.143596 0.466749 1.033183
1 -3.261945 1.090816-0.630842
1-4.209856-0.306882-0.163392
60.996981-2.395374 0.485513
11.030767-2.272626 1.563239
11.616369-3.176092 0.059985
11.570567-1.312903 0.078930
172.484218 0.098418-0.245441
170.0610352.535975 0.149755
1 0.963271 1.615231-0.000020

```

\section*{TS / two HCl conf A PCM}


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.11150795326429 \mathrm{D}+04\)

Zero-point correction= 0.131690 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.142527
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.143471
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.090812
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1114.947843\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-1114.937006\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1114.936062\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -1114.988721

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 89.43734 .899110 .830

C,0,-2.0704254843,-0.9266551322,-0.4392028426
H,0,-2.0731717953,-1.4214147928,-1.4066872948
С, \(0,-0.9699224797,-1.0668206591,0.3477432148\)
H,0,-0.917343687,-0.5940408728,1.3216606471
C, \(0,0.125791589,-1.8531940428,-0.1018670474\)
H, \(, 0.0280458435,-2.3138599937,-1.0818411384\)
C,0,-3.2849969593,-0.158697425,-0.0698332636
H, \(,,-3.1899241743,0.3060535619,0.9086424106\)
H,0,-3.4767823863,0.6104100916,-0.8205261834
Н,0,-4.1525646918,-0.8216898345,-0.073102717
C,0,1.3552193007,-1.9370393402,0.5417713931
H,0,1.4083507595,-1.6395666061,1.583464315
H,0,2.0375719539,-2.7177099905,0.2278024326
Н, \(0,1.7985932237,-0.916474155,-0.0669252\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,2.6183015696,0.4852957599,-0.697382034\)
Cl,0,5.1325002674,-0.7013146321,1.3838269065
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2232671505,-0.2242249367,0.5936984016\)
TS / two HCl conf B PCM


MP2/6-31+G**
EUMP2 \(=-0.11150810974512 \mathrm{D}+04\)
Zero-point correction= 0.131841 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.142559
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.143503\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.092297
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-1114.949256
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=-1114.938538
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1114.937594\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -1114.988801
```

E CV S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K

```

C, \(0,1.8347004771,-0.4106001163,-0.2588846025\)
H, \(0,1.7728489307,-0.5147252813,-1.3387413798\)
C, \(0,0.9125641048,0.3723951461,0.3624138479\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9263923216,0.5032740044,1.4384216533\)
C, \(0,-0.1016896971,1.0092154294,-0.4030948287\)
H,0,-0.0670038525,0.8611240513,-1.4797457183
C, \(0,2.9347102677,-1.131453275,0.42642887\)
H, \(0,2.9062195474,-0.9857877813,1.503729557\)
H,0,2.869868969,-2.1977578338,0.2014160585
H,0,3.8961648783,-0.7871920919,0.0396420068
C, \(0,-1.2188453852,1.6372536464,0.1357790105\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1850425675,1.9408846093,1.1763358222\)
H,0,-1.8319719044,2.2383105495,-0.5243055778
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8420314131,0.5302003845,0.1902241082\)
Cl, \(0,-2.7994861648,-0.903049798,0.4308087554\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.4288318945,-3.299221791,-0.4158261412\)
Н, \(0,-1.3203676175,-2.4135308524,-0.0957834415\)

\section*{M06-2X/6-31+G** structures}

\section*{HCl-gas phase}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(E(\) RM062X \()=-460.762018131\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.006832\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.009192
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.010136\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.011048
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-460.755187\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-460.752826\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -460.751882
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-460.773067\)

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 5.7684 .96844 .587
H,0,0.,0., 0 .
Cl,0,0.,0.,1.2809

\section*{HCl SMD}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-460.767806264\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.006681\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.009042\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.009986\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.011210
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-460.761125
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-460.758765\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-460.757820\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-460.779017\)
```

E CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$

```

Total 5.6744 .96844 .612
Cl,0,-0.3810752433,-3.1584008224,0.7161453808
H,0,-1.2351447567,-2.3023591776,0.2699946192

\section*{HCI PCM}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-460.765738082\)
Zero-point correction= 0.006771 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.009132\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.010076\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.011113\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-460.758967\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-460.756606\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-460.755662\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-460.776851\)

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 5.7304 .96844 .595 \\ Cl,0,-0.3828436838,-3.1566282983,0.7152215788 \\ H,0,-1.2333763162,-2.3041317017,0.2709184212 \\ (HCl)2 dimer-gas phase \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-921.527330709\)
}

Zero-point correction= 0.014886 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.020402\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.021346
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.014841\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-921.512445
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -921.506929
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-921.505984\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-921.542172\)
```

E CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$
Total 12.80313 .39276 .163
11.9028321 .2156260 .004412
$171.868993-0.066067-0.000619$
$17-1.939857$ 0.013197-0.000735
$1-0.698142-0.3168300 .018600$

```

\section*{(HCl)2 dimer SMD}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-921.536203447\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.014564\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.020045
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.020989
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.014453\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-921.521639
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-921.516158\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -921.515214
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -921.550656

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 12.57813 .48174 .594 \\ H,0,-1.9774656865,0.4064149934,-0.2062048138 \\ Cl,0,-2.7073344093,-0.6191137879,-0.4911642161 \\ Cl,0,-0.2632137611,-3.2608088049,0.7402692635 \\ H,0,-1.193955143,-2.4614924006,0.3450507665
}

\section*{\((\mathbf{H C l}) 2\) dimer PCM}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-921.533573966\)
Zero-point correction= 0.014659 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.019228
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.020172\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.012630\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-921.518915\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -921.514346
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-921.513401\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-921.546204\)
```

    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 12.066 11.44469.039

```

H, \(0,-2.0054861315,0.4129814016,-0.2168371338\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.6994177596,-0.6357041248,-0.4772544091\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.31361205,-3.3123082894,0.749124104\)
H, \(0,-1.1234540589,-2.3999689874,0.3329174389\)

\section*{Chloride anion - gas phase \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-460.235297359\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.000000\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.001416\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.002360\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.015023\)
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies \(=-460.235297\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-460.233881\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-460.232937\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -460.250320
E (Thermal) CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal/Mol-Kelvin}\)
Total 0.8892 .98136 .586

\section*{Chloride anion - SMD}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-460.339779210\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.000000\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.001416\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.002360\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.015023\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-460.339779
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -460.338363

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -460.337419
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-460.354802\)
```

    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 0.889 2.981 36.586
Chloride anion - PCM
M062X/6-31+G**
E}(\textrm{RM}062\textrm{X})=-460.34484325

```

Zero-point correction= 0.000000 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.001416\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.002360\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.015023
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-460.344843\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-460.343427\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-460.342483\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-460.359866\)
```

        E CV S
        KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 0.889 2.981 36.586
Bichloride anion - SMD
M062X/6-31+G**
E}(\textrm{RM}062\textrm{X})=-921.12404847

```
Zero-point correction= 0.002956 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.006865\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.007810
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= -0.017237
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-921.121093
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -921.117183
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-921.116239
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-921.141285\)
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 4.30810 .09452 .714
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.5168414281,-1.1345281374,-0.3353119414\)
Cl,0,-0.3676479508,-3.1382948219,0.7047063261
H,0,-1.4355306211,-2.1392870407,0.1860856153

\section*{Bichloride anion - PCM \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-921.125593733\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.005400\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.008701
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.009645\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=-0.018571\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-921.120193
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -921.116893
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= - 921.115949
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -921.144165
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 5.4609 .13459 .385

Cl, 0,-2.54443073,-1.1054689919,-0.35049718
Cl,0,-0.3836506949,-3.1243626452,0.6975054644
H,0,-1.3919414505,-2.1822807238,0.208473096

\section*{Pentadienyl cation - gas phase}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(E(\) RM062X \()=-195.547125325\)
Zero-point correction= 0.124740 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.131507\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.132451\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.094921
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-195.422385\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= \(=195.415618\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-195.414674\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-195.452204\)
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { E CV } & \text { S } \\
\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
\text { Total } 82.522 & 22.577 \\
78.988
\end{array}
\]

C, \(0,1.8915383279,-0.358632542,-0.3169984956\) H,0,1.9757194848,-0.1717039753,-1.3896130202 C, \(0,0.7926973786,0.1623636768,0.3440068769\) H,0,0.6634850011,0.0039660088,1.4117606751 C,0,-0.1389191421,0.8883121522,-0.3777310033 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0362503051,1.0193440159,-1.4476266867\) C,0,2.9573891473,-1.1449091423,0.3077394682 H,0,2.8167029241,-1.2969355972,1.3770206572 Н,0,3.0343284854,-2.1125993527,-0.2093610679 H,0,3.9215739895,-0.6519583999,0.1150963386 C,0,-1.3479503874,1.4989817402,0.1789503529 H,0,-1.4662694187,1.3334433446,1.2489340931 H,0,-1.3356237132,2.5763011277,-0.0423711696 H,0,-2.2227023823,1.1154769431,-0.3664670185

\section*{Pentadienyl cation - SMD}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(E(\) RM062X \()=-195.642801576\)
Zero-point correction= 0.124227 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.131079
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.132024
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.094240
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-195.518575\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-195.511722\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-195.510778\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-195.548562\)
```

    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 82.254 22.797 79.523

```

C, \(0,1.8857342176,-0.3556097727,-0.314578006\) H,0,1.9648443651,-0.1660346004,-1.3852431976 C, \(, 0,0.7914350287,0.1609417811,0.3533074053\) H,0,0.6620684635,0.002673198,1.4207400657

C,0,-0.1337131284,0.8843527075,-0.375513388 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0463708416,1.0117697994,-1.4431578282\) C,0,2.9515212147,-1.1411158729,0.3066547742
H,0,2.8071590866,-1.2916254783,1.375924924
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0221642489,-2.1048669369,-0.216788163\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9103558257,-0.6415863392,0.1092917045\)
C,0,-1.3418295532,1.4955515249,0.1773170704
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4576495315,1.3271869822,1.2474215751\)
H,0,-1.3201758401,2.5710756844,-0.0477236856
H,0,-2.2100652393,1.108737323,-0.3743132506
Pentadienyl cation - PCM
M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-195.626628369\)
Zero-point correction= 0.124644 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.131509
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.132453
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.094639
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-195.501984
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-195.495119\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -195.494175
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-195.531989
E \(\quad\) CV \(\underset{\text { S }}{\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K }}\)
Total 82.52322 .73479 .587

C,0,1.8874873385,-0.355689829,-0.3173545985
H,0,1.9702339601,-0.1671380279,-1.3876057593
C, \(0,0.7920812296,0.1616609781,0.3481104029\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6623878133,0.0025795226,1.4146939015\)
C, \(0,-0.1342879021,0.8861658469,-0.3780365471\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0430297619,1.0165672984,-1.4454581998\)
C,0,2.9527200721,-1.142514578,0.3074755711
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8062944213,-1.2934763795,1.3753367406\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0260689927,-2.1065617473,-0.213164418\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9124301986,-0.6444314246,0.1154482236\)
C,0,-1.3435680985,1.4961926235,0.1785569407
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4576912841,1.3271437519,1.2476593829\)
H,0,-1.3257287013,2.5715470506,-0.043225677
H,0,-2.2132378022,1.1094049144,-0.3690959637

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - gas phase}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-195.218450825\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.114155\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.120270
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.121214
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.085315
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-195.104296
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-195.098181\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-195.097236\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-195.133135
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 75.47121 .05675 .556
C,0,1.8920441373,-0.3038310985,-0.3461098071

H,0,2.0463750967,-0.0787892503,-1.4023143613
C, \(0,0.845874336,0.2531205264,0.2773747453\)
Н, \(, 0.675475632,0.0396722821,1.3336212811\)
С, \(0,-0.1062881338,1.1484533514,-0.3743436774\)
Н, \(0,0.0692879901,1.3577620724,-1.429337583\)
C,0,2.8820196052,-1.2244298297,0.2991681633
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6411412391,-1.3861758304,1.3530544546\)
H,0,2.8988270397,-2.1979173903,-0.2030427251
H,0,3.8967713926,-0.8162616565,0.2370981309
C,, ,-1.1530207814,1.7078069548,0.2428802307
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3529634666,1.5172556349,1.2942365901\)
H,0,-1.8353740869,2.3676742338,-0.2814154419

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - SMD \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(E(\) RM062X \()=-195.224267908\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.113805\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.119911
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.120855
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.084998
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-195.110463\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=-195.104357
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-195.103413
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -195.139270

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 75.24521 .08875 .468
}

C, \(0,1.8916836388,-0.30485062,-0.3431683221\)
H,0,2.0445878668,-0.0795579002,-1.4000664538
C, \(0,0.8447817487,0.2519730063,0.2840235993\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6732153543,0.0401274775,1.3410109021\)
C,0,-0.105635,1.1473209625,-0.3738801279
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0737602688,1.3531480759,-1.4297296037\)
C, \(0,2.8822579715,-1.2243447162,0.2994516239\)
H,0,2.6465641848,-1.3892724789,1.3545315142
H,0,2.8992310299,-2.1949991154,-0.2092424635
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8962784254,-0.8143819045,0.2293030073\)
C,0,-1.1544595666,1.7091821845,0.2418265075
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3569542747,1.5211041431,1.2943027524\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8351416561,2.3688908735,-0.2874929395\)

\section*{1,3-pentadiene - PCM \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-195.221027859\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.113957 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.120087
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.121031
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.085089
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-195.107071
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -195.100941
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-195.099997
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -195.135938

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 75.35521 .09075 .645

C, \(0,1.8918510865,-0.3046382317,-0.3440008932\)
H,0,2.0456856779,-0.0799405837,-1.4004668143
C, \(0,0.8452084093,0.2523834219,0.2816421112\)
H,0,0.6740074613,0.040183006,1.3382394255
C,0,-0.1063220985,1.1479496029,-0.3739260511
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0714914636,1.3549922247,-1.4293287538\)
C,0,2.882947602,-1.224952695,0.2995346522
H,0,2.6456093375,-1.3886625134,1.3538372648
H,0,2.8997364018,-2.1959172391,-0.2070612694
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8966059736,-0.8155789854,0.2316848205\)
C,0,-1.1546682344,1.7092813716,0.2420212202
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3561681131,1.5205058298,1.2937487044\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8358149675,2.3687347914,-0.2850544171\)

\section*{(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - gas phase}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-656.014200700\)
Zero-point correction= 0.128769 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.136352
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.137296\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.096680
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-655.885432
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-655.877848\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -655.876904
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -655.917521
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 85.56226 .29285 .485

C,0,-3.2959339927,-0.1068581332,-0.0107816581
H,0,-2.565320829,-0.0901615549,-0.8261025845
Н,0,-4.2382501887,0.3020389801,-0.3834492793
Н, \(,-3.4567059166,-1.1567918395,0.2551316836\)
C,0,-2.7980465646,0.668591427,1.171198102
H,0,-1.8609368187,0.3327158955,1.6176154996
C, \(0,-3.4179073148,1.7207029767,1.7064623863\)
H,0,-4.3631301162,2.0749601481,1.2930441184
C,0,-2.8806990104,2.4920472881,2.8680056759
H,0,-1.938979843,2.0547703763,3.2071366656
C,0,-2.713592095,3.9739618459,2.569269339
H, 0,-3.6689268333,4.4111634072,2.2660533471
H,0,-2.3479476626,4.5111529045,3.4469053866
H,0,-1.9975346428,4.0963848463,1.7509489354
Cl,0,-4.0164079617,2.3098203017,4.2850864625

\section*{(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - SMD}

M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-656.023907117\)
Zero-point correction= 0.128242 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.135873
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.136817
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.096094
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -655.895665
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-655.888034\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -655.887090
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= \(=655.927814\)

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 85.26126 .47385 .709
C,0,-3.3007960436,-0.1137179762,-0.0078734143
H, \(0,-2.5522603706,-0.1161969454,-0.8078162664\)
H,0,-4.2295061055,0.3092242287,-0.4002848091 H,0,-3.47954401,-1.1604627606,0.2620643973
C,0,-2.8065775479,0.6574112566,1.1765187533
Н, \(,-1.8820377907,0.307938141,1.6391101481\)
C,0,-3.412133041,1.7304262094,1.6920489769
H,0,-4.339481199,2.1080542042,1.2578857957
C,0,-2.8627272356,2.4966818928,2.8490468938 H,0,-1.9181826309,2.0648598801,3.1841635077 C,0,-2.7264419539,3.9831457149,2.577958125 H,0,-3.6886392519,4.4129941202,2.2843083132 H,0,-2.3522380648,4.5128348721,3.4572351292 H,0,-2.0167357828,4.1241848267,1.7563204649 Cl,0,-3.9930187617,2.2771212053,4.2958380647

\author{
(E)-4-chloropent-2-ene - PCM \\ M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(E(\) RM062X \()=-656.018604171\)
}

Zero-point correction \(=0.128450\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.136080
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.137025\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.096300
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-655.890154\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-655.882524\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -655.881580
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-655.922305\)

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 85.39226 .44385 .713
}

C,0,-3.3000333938,-0.1128722242,-0.008982679
H,0,-2.5569007607,-0.1083847734,-0.81287881
H,0,-4.2324347921,0.3063800528,-0.3947359367
H,0,-3.4728772164,-1.1603027005,0.2589517677
C, \(0,-2.8045208078,0.659298202,1.1756310623\)
H,0,-1.8767012724,0.313029163,1.6330261973
C,0,-3.4134007094,1.7271695019,1.6957232804
H,0,-4.34449838,2.099089286,1.2658534282
C,0,-2.8671397924,2.4957848585,2.85301186
H,0,-1.9242125187,2.0627035552,3.1910807063
C,0,-2.7226784438,3.9821697822,2.5758954429
H,0,-3.6828870754,4.412887982,2.2791073839
H,0,-2.3521480011,4.5126137881,3.455395329
Н,0,-2.0110925318,4.1188317791,1.756218388
Cl,0,-3.9987940941,2.2861006175,4.29322666

\section*{TS / one HCl SMD}


M06-2X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-655.982854808\)
Zero-point correction= 0.120261 (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.128176
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.129120\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.086619
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-655.862594
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -655.854679
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -655.853735
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -655.896236
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 80.43126 .87889 .451
C,0,1.9285979896,-0.3023708143,-0.3173152528
H,0,2.0557197106,-0.0562659872,-1.3722841561 C,0,0.86232593, \(0.2217621306,0.3368706081\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.690893148,0.0085126672,1.3904337167\) C, \(0,-0.0486351997,1.0662935257,-0.3549853606\) H,0,0.1581031586,1.2526948784,-1.4098584904
C,0,2.9485875617,-1.1837491249,0.297247169
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7366956379,-1.3889811775,1.3481706756\)
H,0,3.0023512321,-2.127539007,-0.2583419309
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9381825569,-0.7195876036,0.2065968361\)
C,, ,-1.235605934, \(1.5540294206,0.1856587875\)
H,0,-1.3478931911,1.5368231813,1.2693855504
H,0,-1.7450880253,2.3556932636,-0.3446786149 H,0,-1.9275058816,0.5392938592,-0.1528576485
Cl,0,-3.0605106937,-0.779727212,-0.4244208892
TS / one HCl PCM


M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-655.975241704\)
Zero-point correction= 0.121448 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.129305
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.130250\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.087630
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-655.853793
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-655.845936\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -655.844992
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -655.887611

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 81.14026 .34189 .700
C,0,1.9249228192,-0.3100566217,-0.3133336428
H,0,2.0493309999,-0.0676448985,-1.3687636527
C,0,0.8586075349,0.2148223063,0.3432283264
H,0,0.6913657135,0.0036285111,1.3967343317
C,0,-0.051467335,1.0543143798,-0.3480424634
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1546253121,1.2415876563,-1.4021168815\) C, \(0,2.9486983616,-1.1898187748,0.2974166\) H, \(0,2.7501703235,-1.3849816173,1.3516344943\) H,0,2.9899247656,-2.1377344524,-0.250642674 H, \(0,3.9378314123,-0.7309045813,0.1887467851\) C,0,-1.2483429895,1.5417750381,0.1875513161 H,0,-1.3530087135,1.5371308041,1.2713841108 H,0,-1.7341494259,2.3608095467,-0.3370312778 H,0,-1.9429195897,0.5606284748,-0.1502840613 Cl,0,-3.1011691892,-0.7834557714,-0.4638013109

\section*{TS / two HCl conf A SMD}

\author{
M062X/6-31+G** \\ \(E(\) RM062X \()=-1116.75854615\)
}

Zero-point correction= 0.128181 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.139101
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.140046
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.087471
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1116.630365\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -1116.619445
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1116.618501\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-1116.671075\)
```

    E CV S
    KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
    Total 87.287 35.549 110.652

```

C, \(,,-2.0626795417,-0.9421207812,-0.432294246\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0697293794,-1.4397281601,-1.402763446\) С, \(0,-0.9656004586,-1.075859343,0.3449550053\) H, \(0,-0.9091523904,-0.5996998343,1.322506353\) C,0,0.1486366593,-1.8550939322,-0.1052090592 H,0,0.0580674843,-2.3195784194,-1.0878566754 C,, ,-3.2754660403,-0.1690198473,-0.06233132 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1807358058,0.2934126812,0.9221952028\) H,0,-3.4629006448,0.6082145697,-0.8122875479 H,0,-4.1528874776,-0.8260797368,-0.0723728106 C,0,1.3516792789,-1.9506703916,0.5594974897 H,0,1.4199656787,-1.6073966528,1.5910819514 H,0,2.0895073875,-2.6748608546,0.2228945145 Н,0,1.7876713853,-0.8366008087,-0.0890435725

Cl,0,2.5860651426,0.4963724871,-0.6327872979 Cl,0,5.0790687207,-0.7607912943,1.3275756414 H,0,4.1510000014,-0.2314426817,0.5574818173

\section*{TS / two HCl conf B SMD}


M062X/6-31+G**
\(E(\) RM062X \()=-1116.75924267\)
Zero-point correction= 0.128037 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.138933
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.139877\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.088699
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1116.631206\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -1116.620310
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1116.619365\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-1116.670544\)

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 87.18235 .701107 .713
C, \(0,-2.012893179,-0.9120542401,-0.5051678252\)
H,0,-2.028147455,-1.4010607961,-1.4798161101
С, \(0,-0.9860842965,-1.1855573712,0.3297387772\) Н, \(,,-0.9224012994,-0.7201364844,1.311943014\) C, \(0,0.0573677762,-2.0774933434,-0.0771779253\) H, \(0,-0.0408173643,-2.5300625178,-1.064916764\) C,0,-3.1313443351,0.0152212606,-0.1994956025 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0379521035,0.4551094285,0.7953695452\) H,0,-3.1596986125,0.8155052064,-0.9488598209 Н,0,-4.0879687176,-0.5143573231,-0.2764694736 C, \(0,1.2244938992,-2.2803818239,0.6268795277\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2885209243,-1.9451944955,1.6610359797\) H,0,1.9110066326,-3.0603338275,0.3086050686 H,0,1.7504727326,-1.1928649854,0.0045589591 Cl, \(0,2.5638180425,0.1377303004,-0.5395791074\) Cl,0,0.1328152372,1.0937791412,-2.7557789747 H,0,1.0572791183,0.7274538713,-1.8915802677

\section*{TS / two HCl conf C SMD}


M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-1116.75957665\)
Zero-point correction= 0.128513 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.139244
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.140188\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.089888
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1116.631063\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-1116.620333\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1116.619388\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -1116.669688

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 87.37735 .440105 .865
C,0,1.8867329602,-0.2907435859,-0.329720355
H,0,1.99969153,-0.0722017062,-1.3921847413
C,0,0.8644010857,0.290014808,0.336599993
H, \(0,0.7068442184,0.0989406985,1.3970547961\)
С, \(0,-0.0548415316,1.1460601215,-0.3500361505\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1318384459,1.3206951753,-1.4103376389\)
C, \(0,2.8761234481,-1.2149857364,0.2786569576\)
H,0,2.682789434,-1.3793562081,1.3406533259
H,0,2.8548461942,-2.1768527045,-0.2472634807
H,0,3.8885552239,-0.8143871376,0.150013132
C,0,-1.2231157891,1.6268788305,0.2016967732
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3626356681,1.5780135142,1.2807297491\)
H, \(,-1.8138262758,2.3544366733,-0.34812801\)
Н, \(0,-1.8129737831,0.4597724578,-0.1484230846\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.7046195431,-0.9228122375,-0.3563550463\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.3999714082,-3.2306981604,0.698472775\)
H,0,-1.2616385416,-2.3234348025,0.2873910054
TS / two HCl conf A PCM


M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-1116.74942001\)

Zero-point correction= 0.128750 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.139606
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.140550
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.088181
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1116.620670\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-1116.609814\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1116.608870\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= \(=1116.661239\)
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 87.60435 .300110 .221
C,0,-2.0766888111,-0.9395778978,-0.4388491044
Н, \(0,-2.0837285369,-1.4433434188,-1.4054958038\)
C, \(0,-0.977181058,-1.0642664367,0.3393901887\)
Н,0,-0.9238759348,-0.5813269655,1.3127164343
C, \(0,0.1346544993,-1.842612654,-0.1042683249\)
H, \(0,0.0460346202,-2.3131972593,-1.083687497\)
С, \(0,-3.2929955375,-0.1692540364,-0.0744498102\)
Н, 0,-3.200189741,0.3043007539,0.90381066
Н,0,-3.4861624768,0.5971392163,-0.8328407493
Н,0,-4.1652147141,-0.8320274538,-0.0758788193
C, \(0,1.3458076166,-1.9327021658,0.5593084189\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4027058645,-1.6063444591,1.5962503553\)
H,0,2.0650138868,-2.6814463611,0.23888501
Н, \(, 1.1 .8082362223,-0.8592279429,-0.0591040638\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,2.6459763509,0.4982142281,-0.6153536192\)
Cl,0,5.1358819679,-0.7853851278,1.3292955798
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2142357816,-0.2398850191,0.5615131448\)

\section*{TS / two HCl conf B PCM}


M062X/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 062 \mathrm{X})=-1116.75078734\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.128718\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.139515
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.140459
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.089778
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-1116.622070\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -1116.611272
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-1116.610328\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-1116.661010\)

\author{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 87.54735 .405106 .669
}

C, \(0,1.8560461444,-0.3330454932,-0.3608328225\)
Н, \(0,1.9312743391,-0.1864159291,-1.4384658752\)
C,0,0.8680604278,0.3077498219,0.3049357936
H,0,0.7497180014,0.1857943047,1.3797917796
C, \(0,-0.0655280854,1.1234436544,-0.4015546837\)
H, \(0,0.0836612173,1.2256060137,-1.4766804841\)
C, \(0,2.8509264403,-1.237970573,0.2666219014\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7078068124,-1.3170113727,1.3452504679\)

H,0,2.7671808258,-2.2351277903,-0.1803922146
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8654827094,-0.8835734674,0.0549984146\)
C,0,-1.2195449503,1.6466837487,0.1555860931
H,0,-1.305151162,1.6946074447,1.2395497298
H, \(,-1.8097130459,2.354123425,-0.4187658877\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8325365947,0.4970286841,-0.0652757731\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.7371934389,-0.9366068102,-0.1197732441\)
Cl,0,-0.2514950391,-3.1570322059,0.7075093981
H,0,-1.2007946016,-2.2989134554,0.3963174069

\section*{Calculated Structures and Complete Energies for Chapter III}

Key to Structures
The intermediate formed when \((+)\)-limonene undergoes cis-trans isomerization is referred to as "trans-limonene." Trans-limonene exists as two diastereomers, 8-eq and 8-ax. Each of these had two low-energy conformations, referred to as " \(A\) " and " \(B\) ". The intermediates and transition structures derived from \(\mathbf{8 - e q}\) are described as being in the "isopropenyl-equatorial series" and the intermediates and transition structures derived from 8-ax are described as being in the "isopropenyl-axial series."


8-eq


Triethylborane conformers are designated as triethylborane-A, triethylborane-B, etc.
"TS1" structures refer to transition structures for attack of the borane on the trans-limonene isomers, and are analogs of \(\mathbf{1 1}{ }^{\ddagger}\) in the main text. There are up to 22 of these in each of the axial and equatorial series, arising from the different conformations of the borane and the differing conformations of the isopropenyl group. These are labeled TS1-eq-A, TS1-eq-B, etc., in the equatorial series and TS1-ax-A, TS1-ax-B, etc., in the axial series.
"Int" structures refer to the shallow local potential energy minima in between TS1 and TS2, and are analogs of \(\mathbf{1 2}\) in the main text. There are up to 22 of these in each of the axial and equatorial series, arising from the different conformations of the borane and the differing conformations of the isopropenyl group. These are labeled Int-eq-A, Int-eq-B, etc., in the equatorial series and Int-ax-A, Int-ax-B, etc., in the axial series.
"TS2" structures refer to transition structures for the ring contraction, and are analogs of \(\mathbf{1 3}^{\ddagger}\) in the main text. There are up to 22 of these in each of the axial and equatorial series, arising from the different conformations of the borane and the differing conformations of the isopropenyl group. These are labeled TS2-eq-A, TS2-eq-B, etc., in the equatorial series and TS2-ax-A, TS2-\(\mathrm{ax}-\mathrm{B}\), etc., in the axial series.

We have endeavored to make the lettering consistent, so that "Int-eq-A" is derived from "TS1-eq-A" and leads on to "TS2-eq-A."

To gauge whether conformational interconversion could be significant in these series, a limited study of the barriers for conformational interconversion at the stage of the intermediate was undertaken. The TSs located are referred to as TSR-A-1, TSR-A-2, etc.

The systems \(\mathbf{S 6}^{\ddagger}\) and \(\mathbf{S} 7^{\ddagger}\) that were used to examine the effect of distant substituent groups on the stereochemistry, described in a previous section, are referred to as "Truncated Model Systems."

\section*{Complete Table of Energies}

The energies here are not an exact match with those in Figure 2 of the main text. The energies here were obtained with Gaussian 09, default grids, and default optimization parameters. The energies in the main text were based on structures reoptimized in Gaussian 16, with its enlarged default grid. Since most results were only obtained with Gaussian 09, the complete Gaussian 09 set is presented here for consistency.

The free energies reported in the " \(\mathrm{G}(1 \mathrm{~atm})\) " column are the raw Gaussian values in the gas phase based on the harmonic approximation for every frequency. Free energies in the " G (1 M )" column are converted from the raw Gaussian free energies, changing the standard state from 1 atm to 1 M . No corrections for symmetry have been applied.

The listed relative energies ( \(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\) ) are versus the lowest-energy reactant structures in the separate \(\mathbf{8 - e x}\) and \(\mathbf{8 - a x}\) series.
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
structure \\
name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
potential \\
energy
\end{tabular} & \(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{ZPE}\) & enthalpy & \(\mathrm{G}(1 \mathrm{~atm})\) & \(\mathrm{G}(1 \mathrm{M})\) & \(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{u})\). & \begin{tabular}{c} 
relative \\
energy \\
\(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline trans-limonene-eq-A & -390.341063 & -390.107693 & -390.096280 & -390.142952 & -390.141055 & 98.23 & \\
trans-limonene-eq-B & -390.342558 & -390.109418 & -390.097855 & -390.144960 & -390.143063 & 99.14 & \\
triethylborane-A & -262.356708 & -262.157581 & -262.146136 & -262.193390 & -262.191493 & 99.455 & \\
triethylborane-B & -262.357274 & -262.158459 & -262.147813 & -262.192584 & -262.190687 & 94.228 & \\
triethylborane-C & -262.358680 & -262.160151 & -262.148630 & -262.196194 & -262.194297 & 100.107 & \\
triethylborane-D & -262.355996 & -262.156519 & -262.145168 & -262.191777 & -262.189880 & 98.10 & \\
TS1-eq-A & -652.711243 & -652.277583 & -652.255100 & -652.327024 & -652.325127 & 151.38 & 7.7 \\
TS1-eq-B & -652.709950 & -652.276070 & -652.253614 & -652.325407 & -652.323510 & 151.10 & 8.7 \\
TS1-eq-C & -652.709761 & -652.276077 & -652.253649 & -652.325323 & -652.323426 & 150.85 & 8.7 \\
TS1-eq-D & -652.712249 & -652.278502 & -652.256284 & -652.326957 & -652.325060 & 148.74 & 7.7 \\
TS1-eq-E & -652.709564 & -652.275320 & -652.253187 & -652.324688 & -652.322791 & 150.49 & 9.1 \\
TS1-eq-F & -652.710428 & -652.276581 & -652.254296 & -652.325580 & -652.323683 & 150.03 & 8.6 \\
TS1-eq-G & -652.708794 & -652.274883 & -652.252496 & -652.324004 & -652.322107 & 150.50 & 9.6 \\
TS1-eq-H & -652.711559 & -652.277419 & -652.255366 & -652.325481 & -652.323584 & 147.57 & 8.6 \\
TS1-eq-I & -652.711020 & -652.276588 & -652.254512 & -652.325025 & -652.323128 & 148.41 & 8.9 \\
TS1-eq-J & -652.710834 & -652.277041 & -652.254665 & -652.326437 & -652.324540 & 151.06 & 8.0 \\
TS1-eq-K & -652.711106 & -652.277436 & -652.255007 & -652.326657 & -652.324760 & 150.80 & 7.9 \\
TS1-eq-L & -652.710490 & -652.276641 & -652.254278 & -652.325884 & -652.323987 & 150.71 & 8.4 \\
TS1-eq-M & -652.709164 & -652.275423 & -652.252949 & -652.325144 & -652.323247 & 151.95 & 8.9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TS1-eq-N & -652.708980 & -652.275160 & -652.252798 & -652.324218 & -652.322321 & 150.32 & 9.4 \\
\hline TS1-eq-0 & -652.711483 & -652.277751 & -652.255549 & -652.326269 & -652.324372 & 148.84 & 8.1 \\
\hline TS1-eq-P & -652.708638 & -652.274098 & -652.252036 & -652.322596 & -652.320699 & 148.51 & 10.5 \\
\hline TS1-eq-Q & -652.709721 & -652.275479 & -652.253429 & -652.323986 & -652.322089 & 148.50 & 9.6 \\
\hline TS1-eq-R & -652.707952 & -652.274320 & -652.251930 & -652.323857 & -652.321960 & 151.38 & 9.7 \\
\hline TS1-eq-S & -652.710724 & -652.276778 & -652.254685 & -652.325093 & -652.323196 & 148.19 & 8.9 \\
\hline TS1-eq-T & -652.710299 & -652.275876 & -652.253787 & -652.324712 & -652.322815 & 149.28 & 1 \\
\hline TS1-eq-U & -652.709975 & -652.276158 & -652.253764 & -652.325729 & -652.323832 & 151.46 & 8.5 \\
\hline TS1-eq-V & -652.710326 & -652.276395 & -652.254074 & -652.325330 & -652.323433 & 149.97 & 8.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-A & -652.717808 & -652.283179 & -652.260873 & -652.331333 & -652.329436 & 148.30 & 5.0 \\
\hline Int-eq-B & -652.716199 & -652.281531 & -652.259157 & -652.330014 & -652.328117 & 149.13 & 5.8 \\
\hline Int-eq-C & -652.716073 & -652.281638 & -652.259152 & -652.330369 & -652.328472 & 149.89 & 5.6 \\
\hline Int-eq-D & -652.718028 & -652.283417 & -652.261208 & -652.331182 & -652.329285 & 147.27 & 5.1 \\
\hline Int-eq-E & -652.716880 & -652.282608 & -652.260211 & -652.330954 & -652.329057 & 148.89 & 5.2 \\
\hline Int-eq-F & -652.716242 & -652.281885 & -652.259474 & -652.330453 & -652.328556 & 149.39 & 5.5 \\
\hline Int-eq-G & -652.718657 & -652.284009 & -652.261778 & -652.331962 & -652.330065 & 147.72 & 4.6 \\
\hline Int-eq-H & -652.716616 & -652.281965 & -652.259740 & -652.329908 & -652.328011 & 147.68 & 5.9 \\
\hline Int-eq-I & -652.715909 & -652.280921 & -652.258853 & -652.328551 & -652.326654 & 146.69 & 6.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-J & -652.718594 & -652.284036 & -652.261812 & -652.332158 & -652.330261 & 148.06 & 4.5 \\
\hline Int-eq-K & -652.716958 & -652.282369 & -652.260166 & -652.330109 & -652.328212 & 147.21 & 5.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-L & -652.717541 & -652.282768 & -652.260558 & -652.330597 & -652.328700 & 147.41 & 5.4 \\
\hline Int-eq-M & -652.715865 & -652.281301 & -652.258873 & -652.330063 & -652.328166 & 149.83 & 5.8 \\
\hline Int-eq-N & -652.715801 & -652.281110 & -652.258793 & -652.329330 & -652.327433 & 148.46 & 6.2 \\
\hline Int-eq-O & -652.717785 & -652.283084 & -652.260831 & -652.331362 & -652.329465 & 148.45 & 5.0 \\
\hline Int-eq-P & -652.716821 & -652.282428 & -652.260115 & -652.330498 & -652.328601 & 148.13 & 5.5 \\
\hline Int-eq-Q & -652.715917 & -652.281387 & -652.259065 & -652.329674 & -652.327777 & 148.61 & 6.0 \\
\hline Int-eq-R & -652.718426 & -652.283760 & -652.261490 & -652.331819 & -652.329922 & 148.02 & 4.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-S & -652.716325 & -652.281333 & -652.259306 & -652.328545 & -652.326648 & 145.73 & 6.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-T & -652.715674 & -652.280818 & -652.258642 & -652.329182 & -652.327285 & 148.46 & 6.3 \\
\hline Int-eq-U & -652.718355 & -652.283752 & -652.261550 & -652.331716 & -652.329819 & 147.68 & 4.7 \\
\hline Int-eq-V & -652.716698 & -652.281934 & -652.259806 & -652.329476 & -652.327579 & 146.63 & 6.1 \\
\hline TS2-eq-A & -652.716407 & -652.282628 & -652.260557 & -652.331557 & -652.329660 & 149.43 & 4.8 \\
\hline TS2-eq-B & -652.714945 & -652.280923 & -652.258908 & -652.329620 & -652.327723 & 148.83 & 6.0 \\
\hline TS2-eq-C & -652.715171 & -652.281250 & -652.259155 & -652.330030 & -652.328133 & 149.17 & 5.8 \\
\hline TS2-eq-D & -652.717113 & -652.282653 & -652.261006 & -652.329746 & -652.327849 & 144.68 & 6.0 \\
\hline TS2-eq-E & -652.715025 & -652.281209 & -652.259137 & -652.329999 & -652.328102 & 149.14 & 5.8 \\
\hline TS2-eq-F & -652.713643 & -652.279434 & -652.257504 & -652.327688 & -652.325791 & 147.71 & 7.3 \\
\hline TS2-eq-G & -652.717245 & -652.283189 & -652.261285 & -652.331642 & -652.329745 & 148.08 & 4.8 \\
\hline TS2-eq-H & -652.714472 & -652.279812 & -652.258136 & -652.327181 & -652.325284 & 145.32 & 7.6 \\
\hline TS2-eq-I & -652.714094 & -652.279654 & -652.257889 & -652.327746 & -652.325849 & 147.03 & 7.2 \\
\hline TS2-eq-J & -652.717731 & -652.283207 & -652.261600 & -652.330419 & -652.328522 & 144.84 & 5.5 \\
\hline TS2-eq-K & -652.715105 & -652.281200 & -652.259268 & -652.329611 & -652.327714 & 148.05 & 6.1 \\
\hline TS2-eq-L & -652.716478 & -652.282247 & -652.260423 & -652.330320 & -652.328423 & 147.11 & 5.6 \\
\hline TS2-eq-M & -652.714995 & -652.281162 & -652.259089 & -652.330179 & -652.328282 & 149.62 & 5.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline TS2-eq-N & -652.715191 & -652.281224 & -652.259266 & -652.329494 & -652.327597 & 147.81 & 6.1 \\
\hline TS2-eq-O & -652.717149 & -652.282945 & -652.261249 & -652.330225 & -652.328328 & 145.17 & 5.7 \\
\hline TS2-eq-P & -652.715299 & -652.281325 & -652.259381 & -652.329517 & -652.327620 & 147.61 & 6.1 \\
\hline TS2-eq-Q & -652.713745 & -652.279251 & -652.257493 & -652.327033 & -652.325136 & 146.36 & 7.7 \\
\hline TS2-eq-R & -652.717325 & -652.283021 & -652.261229 & -652.330863 & -652.328966 & 146.56 & 5.3 \\
\hline TS2-eq-S & -652.714548 & -652.280149 & -652.258426 & -652.327544 & -652.325647 & 145.47 & 7.3 \\
\hline TS2-eq-T & -652.714302 & -652.279634 & -652.257982 & -652.327594 & -652.325697 & 146.51 & 7.3 \\
\hline TS2-eq-U & -652.717651 & -652.283524 & -652.261758 & -652.331075 & -652.329178 & 145.89 & 5.1 \\
\hline TS2-eq-V & -652.715235 & -652.280898 & -652.259219 & -652.328355 & -652.326458 & 145.51 & 6.8 \\
\hline TSR-A-1 & -652.709975 & -652.275711 & -652.254042 & -652.323303 & -652.321406 & 145.77 & 10.0 \\
\hline TSR-A-2 & -652.711494 & -652.276836 & -652.255368 & -652.323831 & -652.321934 & 144.09 & 9.7 \\
\hline TSR-A-3 & -652.712527 & -652.277995 & -652.256383 & -652.325291 & -652.323394 & 145.03 & 8.8 \\
\hline TSR-B-1 & -652.709720 & -652.275519 & -652.253921 & -652.322876 & -652.320979 & 145.13 & 10.3 \\
\hline TSR-B-2 & -652.711242 & -652.276569 & -652.255137 & -652.323590 & -652.321693 & 144.07 & 9.8 \\
\hline TSR-B-3 & -652.710307 & -652.275779 & -652.254188 & -652.323128 & -652.321231 & 145.10 & 10.1 \\
\hline trans-limonene-ax-A & -390.340818 & -390.107280 & -390.095938 & -390.142716 & -390.140819 & 98.45 & \\
\hline trans-limonene-ax-B & -390.338851 & -390.105212 & -390.093943 & -390.140266 & -390.138369 & 97.49 & \\
\hline TS1-ax-A & -652.710988 & -652.277196 & -652.254833 & -652.326467 & -652.324570 & 150.77 & 6.6 \\
\hline TS1-ax-B & -652.708762 & -652.275105 & -652.252669 & -652.324806 & -652.322909 & 151.83 & 7.7 \\
\hline TS1-ax-C & -652.709604 & -652.275157 & -652.253229 & -652.323301 & -652.321404 & 147.48 & 8.6 \\
\hline TS1-ax-D & -652.712064 & -652.277601 & -652.255898 & -652.324939 & -652.323042 & 145.31 & 7.6 \\
\hline TS1-ax-E & -652.711174 & -652.276789 & -652.254879 & -652.324936 & -652.323039 & 147.45 & 7.6 \\
\hline TS1-ax-F & -652.708476 & -652.274819 & -652.253066 & -652.324128 & -652.322231 & 149.56 & 8.1 \\
\hline TS1-ax-G & -652.710559 & -652.276232 & -652.254197 & -652.324202 & -652.322305 & 147.34 & 8.0 \\
\hline TS1-ax-H & -652.712957 & -652.278923 & -652.256772 & -652.328157 & -652.326260 & 150.24 & 5.6 \\
\hline TS1-ax-I & -652.710085 & -652.275466 & -652.253528 & -652.323394 & -652.321497 & 147.05 & 8.5 \\
\hline TS1-ax-J & -652.710763 & -652.275834 & -652.254169 & -652.323215 & -652.321318 & 145.32 & 8.7 \\
\hline TS1-ax-K & -652.711569 & -652.277494 & -652.255336 & -652.326222 & -652.324325 & 149.19 & 6.8 \\
\hline TS1-ax-M & -652.708889 & -652.274505 & -652.252334 & -652.323075 & -652.321178 & 148.89 & 8.7 \\
\hline TS1-ax-N & -652.707243 & -652.272291 & -652.250560 & -652.319713 & -652.317816 & 145.55 & 10.9 \\
\hline TS1-ax-0 & -652.709023 & -652.274186 & -652.252647 & -652.321312 & -652.319415 & 144.52 & 9.9 \\
\hline TS1-ax-P & -652.709573 & -652.274862 & -652.252976 & -652.322880 & -652.320983 & 147.13 & 8.9 \\
\hline TS1-ax-Q & -652.708299 & -652.274092 & -652.252007 & -652.322756 & -652.320859 & 148.90 & 8.9 \\
\hline TS1-ax-R & -652.707919 & -652.273511 & -652.251517 & -652.321523 & -652.319626 & 147.34 & 9.7 \\
\hline TS1-ax-S & -652.709870 & -652.275275 & -652.253580 & -652.322468 & -652.320571 & 144.99 & 9.1 \\
\hline TS1-ax-T & -652.707760 & -652.272776 & -652.251048 & -652.320405 & -652.318508 & 145.97 & 10.4 \\
\hline TS1-ax-U & -652.709044 & -652.274172 & -652.252455 & -652.321513 & -652.319616 & 145.35 & 9.7 \\
\hline TS1-ax-V & -652.709727 & -652.275318 & -652.253246 & -652.323645 & -652.321748 & 148.17 & 8.4 \\
\hline Int-ax-A & -652.718934 & -652.284254 & -652.262089 & -652.332376 & -652.330479 & 147.93 & 2.9 \\
\hline Int-ax-B & -652.718775 & -652.284182 & -652.261942 & -652.333029 & -652.331132 & 149.61 & 2.5 \\
\hline Int-ax-C & -652.713478 & -652.277887 & -652.255905 & -652.325884 & -652.323987 & 147.28 & 7.0 \\
\hline Int-ax-D & -652.717263 & -652.281898 & -652.260036 & -652.328474 & -652.326577 & 144.04 & 5.4 \\
\hline Int-ax-E & -652.717355 & -652.281965 & -652.260240 & -652.328840 & -652.326943 & 144.38 & 5.1 \\
\hline Int-ax-F & -652.716819 & -652.282070 & -652.259968 & -652.329451 & -652.327554 & 146.24 & 4.7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Int-ax-G & -652.718682 & -652.284008 & -652.261959 & -652.331299 & -652.329402 & 145.94 & 3.6 \\
\hline Int-ax-H & -652.719048 & -652.283648 & -652.261878 & -652.330579 & -652.328682 & 144.59 & 4.0 \\
\hline Int-ax-I & -652.719003 & -652.284193 & -652.262220 & -652.331720 & -652.329823 & 146.28 & 3.3 \\
\hline Int-ax-J & -652.718452 & -652.283246 & -652.261458 & -652.330167 & -652.328270 & 144.61 & 4.3 \\
\hline Int-ax-K & -652.720192 & -652.285231 & -652.263277 & -652.332552 & -652.330655 & 145.80 & 2.8 \\
\hline Int-ax-L & -652.717097 & -652.281823 & -652.260039 & -652.328502 & -652.326605 & 144.09 & 5.3 \\
\hline Int-ax-M & -652.717238 & -652.282499 & -652.260430 & -652.330334 & -652.328437 & 147.13 & 4.2 \\
\hline Int-ax-N & -652.710867 & -652.275672 & -652.253575 & -652.323698 & -652.321801 & 147.59 & 8.4 \\
\hline Int-ax-O & -652.712465 & -652.277222 & -652.255401 & -652.324453 & -652.322556 & 145.33 & 7.9 \\
\hline Int-ax-P & -652.715403 & -652.279991 & -652.258312 & -652.326730 & -652.324833 & 144.00 & 6.5 \\
\hline Int-ax-Q & -652.715096 & -652.280086 & -652.258082 & -652.327123 & -652.325226 & 145.31 & 6.2 \\
\hline Int-ax-R & -652.716227 & -652.281000 & -652.259164 & -652.327724 & -652.325827 & 144.30 & 5.8 \\
\hline Int-ax-S & -652.716084 & -652.280426 & -652.258749 & -652.327145 & -652.325248 & 143.95 & 6.2 \\
\hline Int-ax-T & -652.717239 & -652.282498 & -652.260430 & -652.330332 & -652.328435 & 147.12 & 4.2 \\
\hline Int-ax-U & -652.716771 & -652.281595 & -652.259717 & -652.328685 & -652.326788 & 145.15 & 5.2 \\
\hline Int-ax-V & -652.717828 & -652.282712 & -652.260801 & -652.329964 & -652.328067 & 145.57 & 4.4 \\
\hline TS2-ax-A & -652.717871 & -652.283090 & -652.261612 & -652.329728 & -652.327831 & 143.36 & 4.6 \\
\hline TS2-ax-B & -652.718262 & -652.283672 & -652.262060 & -652.331262 & -652.329365 & 145.65 & 3.6 \\
\hline TS2-ax-C & -652.714206 & -652.279695 & -652.258082 & -652.326630 & -652.324733 & 144.27 & 6.5 \\
\hline TS2-ax-D & -652.715267 & -652.280325 & -652.258870 & -652.326751 & -652.324854 & 142.87 & 6.4 \\
\hline TS2-ax-E & -652.716827 & -652.281424 & -652.260362 & -652.327083 & -652.325186 & 140.43 & 6.2 \\
\hline TS2-ax-F & -652.716507 & -652.282068 & -652.260506 & -652.329041 & -652.327144 & 144.24 & 5.0 \\
\hline TS2-ax-G & -652.718550 & -652.283898 & -652.262504 & -652.330256 & -652.328359 & 142.60 & 4.2 \\
\hline TS2-ax-H & -652.718714 & -652.284226 & -652.262782 & -652.331003 & -652.329106 & 143.58 & 3.8 \\
\hline TS2-ax-I & -652.717288 & -652.282966 & -652.261351 & -652.330609 & -652.328712 & 145.77 & 4.0 \\
\hline TS2-ax-J & -652.716130 & -652.281080 & -652.259726 & -652.327709 & -652.325812 & 143.08 & 5.8 \\
\hline TS2-ax-K & -652.719567 & -652.284794 & -652.263410 & -652.331261 & -652.329364 & 142.81 & 3.6 \\
\hline TS2-ax-L & -652.715155 & -652.280448 & -652.259010 & -652.327396 & -652.325499 & 143.93 & 6.0 \\
\hline TS2-ax-M & -652.715630 & -652.280952 & -652.259413 & -652.328240 & -652.326343 & 144.86 & 5.5 \\
\hline TS2-ax-N & -652.709389 & -652.274302 & -652.252960 & -652.322185 & -652.320288 & 145.70 & 9.3 \\
\hline TS2-ax-O & -652.710761 & -652.275826 & -652.254471 & -652.322803 & -652.320906 & 143.82 & 8.9 \\
\hline TS2-ax-P & -652.714360 & -652.279146 & -652.257959 & -652.325157 & -652.323260 & 141.43 & 7.4 \\
\hline TS2-ax-Q & -652.714481 & -652.279665 & -652.258222 & -652.326204 & -652.324307 & 143.08 & 6.8 \\
\hline TS2-ax-R & -652.715773 & -652.280929 & -652.259589 & -652.327203 & -652.325306 & 142.31 & 6.2 \\
\hline TS2-ax-S & -652.715670 & -652.280424 & -652.259321 & -652.326333 & -652.324436 & 141.04 & 6.7 \\
\hline TS2-ax-T & -652.715096 & -652.280755 & -652.259102 & -652.328287 & -652.326390 & 145.61 & 5.5 \\
\hline TS2-ax-U & -652.713697 & -652.278568 & -652.257174 & -652.325452 & -652.323555 & 143.70 & 7.3 \\
\hline TS2-ax-V & -652.716926 & -652.281919 & -652.260654 & -652.328103 & -652.326206 & 141.96 & 5.6 \\
\hline Truncated Model System \(\mathbf{S 6}^{\ddagger}\) & -457.511448 & -457.196261 & -457.180348 & -457.236392 & -457.234498 & 117.955 & \\
\hline Truncated Model System \(\mathbf{S 7}^{\ddagger}\) & -457.512488 & -457.197832 & -457.181884 & -457.237952 & -457.236055 & 118.01 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Isopropenyl-Equatorial Series, Derived from}

\section*{Reactants \\ trans-limonene-eq-A \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-390.341063282\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.233370\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.243839\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.244783
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.198112
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-390.107693
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-390.097224\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-390.096280\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-390.142952

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 153.01141 .59598 .228

C,0,-1.8274446076,-2.2125530374,-1.1741229445
C, \(0,-2.9038204813,-1.1754802081,-0.6394784225\) H,0,-1.6085687,-2.0051731061,-2.2314541697 H,0,-2.2109799989,-3.2397027174,-1.0773910144 C,0,-0.679324202,-1.8402921825,-0.2752028473 C, \(0,-0.2524821747,-0.5923198155,-0.5857284475\) С,0,-0.6995543229,-2.3640000102,1.137696518 C, \(0,-0.9815587519,0.4633480937,0.1974574918\) Н, \(0,-0.2614391697,-0.3600516443,-1.6604303153\) C, \(0,-2.4250642879,0.2978202015,-0.4818537506\) H,0,-0.6242640999,1.4940032778,0.0670548736 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0544078277,0.2438678391,1.2721459178\) C,0,-3.4435631767,1.1938621238,0.2054813198 H,0,-2.2987382096,0.7059388182,-1.5028021209 H,0,-3.2561631826,-1.5343556252,0.3392443677 H,0,-3.7785310474,-1.185207965,-1.3067014963 Н,0,-0.0839308158,-1.7430637107,1.8012410604 H,0,-1.7156881302,-2.4363199372,1.5560387838 H,0,-0.2774431263,-3.380590031,1.1525637955 C,0,-4.6280189117,0.7762710976,0.6624719086 C,0,-3.039502555,2.6454995318,0.3372349604 Н, \(0,-5.3155578338,1.4796279062,1.1364543911\) H,0,-4.9622151181,-0.257751565,0.5826587188 H,0,-2.6389926194,3.0304771341,-0.6130851523 H,0,-3.8941451092,3.2670615979,0.630766586 Н,0,-2.2522985395,2.771274934,1.0949229879
trans-limonene-eq- \(B\)

\(E(\) RM11 \()=-390.342557539\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.233139\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.243758
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.244703\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.197597
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-390.109418\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-390.098799\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-390.097855\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-390.144960
E CV S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 152.96141 .76199 .141
C, \(0,-1.8614235917,0.7981417044,-1.0759096588\)
C,0,-0.329592407,1.1032577466,-0.7748488568
H,0,-1.9590036509,0.3728161085,--2.085000603
H,0,-2.4502740293,1.7261582249,-1.0145919374
C,0,-2.1151143321,--0.2256662868,-0.004570317
C,0,-1.4036635847,-1.3468618963,-0.274936416
C,0,-2.3139067271,0.2826053223,1.4015934905
C,0,-0.0431114525,-1.3066257602,0.3576185698
H,0,-1.3470712089,-1.6135520987,-1.3398118111
C,0,0.5913512389,-0.1377465203,-0.5410015666
H,0,0.5838305674,-2.2038453856,0.2590146721
H,0,-0.0657409829,-1.0072707603,1.4140904869
C,0,1.9406676294,0.2613659302,0.0285621395
H,0,0.7692126646,-0.6001107789,-1.5257439814
H,0,-0.2887307875,1.756178642,0.1085369817
H,0,0.0945555736,1.6867353887,-1.6055884946
H,0,-2.1959618751,-0.5250960775,2.1350058797
H,0,-1.6214109983,1.1001388526,1.663370452
H,0,-3.3325022002,0.6852188349,1.5080605375
C,0,3.076805398,-0.1718644237,-0.5243915314
C,0,1.9450981697,1.1277024936,1.2674503487
H,0,4.049352779,0.0706254727,-0.0911574421
H,0,3.070405734,-0.7843976331,-1.4285858986
H,0,1.1697236145,0.813618458,1.9831284303
H,0,2.917816014,1.0867534382,1.7723968841
H,0,1.742233565,2.178354994,1.0122912722
triethylborane- \(A\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-262.356707725\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.199127\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.209628\)

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.210572
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.163318
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-262.157581
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-262.147080\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-262.146136
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -262.193390
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 131.54336 .77099 .455

B,0,-1.4613023462,-3.5621435732,-0.1893749645
C, \(0,-0.5667609212,-4.0420967294,1.0278621582\)
C,0,-0.981230678,-3.8108881332,-1.6722042553
C, \(0,-2.8987049389,-2.9809334448,0.1343101062\)
H,0,-1.015451037,-5.0076031927,1.3358104722
H,0,-0.7301578748,-3.3861331177,1.899214765
C,0,0.9326154838,-4.238020286,0.7701090019
H,0,-2.7284247391,-2.0403772888,0.6915060982
H,0,-3.3769745096,-3.6385955182,0.8819276455
C, \(0,-3.8485852918,-2.7225608853,-1.0412102718\)
C,0,-1.2933951564,-5.3015181694,-1.9634067886
H,0,0.0987552935,-3.6445673847,-1.8018885663
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5071770049,-3.1860918259,-2.4083096832\)
H,0,1.4605187007,-4.6035255209,1.661775408
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1081604848,-4.9633090321,-0.0379303455\)
H,0,1.4106374912,-3.2951981324,0.4667018367
H,0,-1.0194280131,-5.5765449344,-2.9908051162
H,0,-0.7415420766,-5.9665659609,-1.2823127633
H,0,-2.3649538825,-5.5210142644,-1.8394503369
H,0,-4.8309983591,-2.3622048132,-0.7059627665
H,0,-3.4376203442,-1.9676081454,-1.7263757809
H,0,-4.0161122809,-3.6361786473,-1.6308208531

\section*{triethylborane-B}

M11/6-31+G**
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-262.357274078\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.198816\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.208517
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.209461\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.164690 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-262.158459
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-262.148757\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-262.147813\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -262.192584

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 130.84634 .91494 .228
B, \(0,-1.3793497976,-3.5012738098,-0.2335966265\) С, \(0,-0.3322336015,-3.7099276145,0.9333843093\) C, \(0,-1.0117956909,-4.0391800059,-1.67345162\) C,0,-2.7959341198,-2.8657046285,0.0647820137 Н, \(0,0.4800000584,-2.9886659277,0.7158789108\) H,0,0.1549410614,-4.692534827,0.8036464699 C, \(0,-0.8129206706,-3.5318328141,2.3781477284\) Н,0,-2.658761305,-1.9815461514,0.7116232995 H, \(0,-3.3121835267,-3.5863677588,0.7292772496\) C,0,-3.6876196284,-2.5184700778,-1.1328537109 C,0,-1.5963190801,-5.4709103,-1.7638142211 H,0,0.0758698079,-4.0893874954,-1.8364242965 H,0,-1.4440703245,-3.427913618,-2.480002486 H,0,-0.0004081845,-3.67289447,3.1042668485 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.2298060205,-2.5278531941,2.5418493476\) H,0,-1.6042015294,-4.2543924176,2.6259406073

H,0,-1.4002855726,-5.9248349924,-2.7445392825
H,0,-1.1564457285,-6.1309277022,-1.0013659867
H, \(0,-2.6861933178,-5.4732417038,-1.6109650412\)
H,0,-4.6651889284,-2.1261217869,-0.8201768607
H, \(,--3.2152588582,-1.7567555825,-1.7699121714\)
Н, \(,-3.8717330425,-3.3983621214,-1.7664734812\)

\section*{triethylborane-C}

M11/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-262.358679592\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.198528\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.209105
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.210049
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.162485
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-262.160151\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-262.149575\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-262.148630
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -262.196194
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 131.21537 .096100 .107
B,0,-1.4306313363,-3.6137906658,-0.137625269
C,0,-0.4650406374,-3.9143019917,1.0780876827
C,0,-1.0495037274,-4.1065836047,-1.591161653
C, \(0,-2.7956585858,-2.8525527489,0.105889586\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5461263228,-3.564160686,0.8016908625\)
H, \(0,-0.3462057815,-5.0137459753,1.1195358717\)
C, \(0,-0.8565665903,-3.3744687162,2.4582269343\)
H,0,-2.5714909734,-1.9239752532,0.6616797661
H,0,-3.3624928071,-3.4541750411, 0.8417429339 C,0,-3.6740907403,-2.5455242601,-1.1121963714 C, \(0,0.2977495449,-4.8122625155,-1.7820935493\) Н,0,-1.8739921258,-4.7630501698,-1.9281510051 H,0,-1.1278788315,-3.238707819,-2.2711994001 H,0,-0.1350100362,-3.6648671234,3.2343627981 H, \(0,-0.9125941197,-2.2762358828,2.4563544643\) Н,0,-1.8433870517,-3.746686489,2.7697174568 H,0,0.4511599558,-5.1339028349,-2.8215452419 H,0,1.1360093405,-4.1524387447,-1.5153527909 H, \(0,0.3759780272,-5.7057576591,-1.1457729136\) H,0,-4.6083708134,-2.0405445612,-0.8303934806 H,, ,-3.1512831178,-1.8942715905,-1.8275482682 H,0,-3.9460879158,-3.464144667,-1.6521684134

\section*{triethylborane-D \\ \(E(\) RM11 \()=-262.355995503\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.199477\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.209883
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.210827\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.164218
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-262.156519
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-262.146112\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-262.145168\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-262.191777
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 131.70436 .51298 .097
B, \(0,-0.9442628726,1.9591742958,0.1310099042\)
C, \(0,-1.7157957732,0.5868724282,0.2484331865\)
H,0,-2.7676510705,0.6704285294,-0.0665951083

Н,0,-1.2521606184,-0.2214447798,-0.3369974471 C,0,-1.7637286196,3.3106395796,0.161638005 H,0,-1.1698832822,4.1617737621,0.5292055234 H,0,-2.6608082776,3.2274020848,0.7962699616 C,0,0.6324672414,2.0156333754,0.0466258964 H,0,0.9261975963,2.835821055,-0.6318404633 H,0,0.9540309695,2.3783562738,1.0430060951 C, \(0,1.3778656415,0.7232683648,-0.3050041034\) H, \(0,1.1015394776,0.3666587149,-1.3078290557\) Н,0,2.4685009977,0.8561424267,-0.2906815585 H,0,1.1338469173,-0.0834856886,0.4019654588 C,0,-1.6709786233,0.207906531,1.7502101022 H,0,-2.1427296356,0.9827140982,2.3732301532 H,0,-2.1988712072,-0.7355137106,1.9435623744 H,0,-0.6357699787,0.0865485803,2.1034979118 C,0,-2.2106718382,3.5972891678,-1.2919558362 H,0,-2.8278587412,2.7782451647,-1.6902868967 H,0,-2.8042245234,4.5191808522,-1.356487261 H,0,-1.3457568997,3.715913814,-1.9617700324

TS1 Structures
TS1-eq-A


Zero-point correction \(=0.433660\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455199
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456143\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384219
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277583
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256044\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.255100\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327024

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 285.64281 .814151 .377

C,0,-1.1261906902,-2.2345666496,0.0100969838 C,0,-2.4275658715,-1.3314514666,0.182930855 H,0,-0.9922512178,-2.4848930845,-1.0514075094 H,0,-1.2196576847,-3.1545210025,0.6051614747 C, \(0,-0.1254995709,-1.2333772121,0.4812648569\) C, \(0,0.0114772063,-0.197175474,-0.4006218392\) C,0,0.0850520622,-1.0862184381,1.959516995 C,0,-0.9919494773,0.8805786017,-0.0348807196 Н, \(0,-0.1321171875,-0.4975567345,-1.4489520015\) C,0,-2.3413085016,0.124547908,-0.3801174085 Н,0,-0.920230305,1.8093063465,-0.6204723509 H,0,-0.9631463223,1.1374242254,1.0331655454 C,0,-3.5626442442,0.9150317321,0.0610599942

H,0,-2.3737244306,0.0451601604,-1.4791089332 H,0,-2.6688253454,-1.2905129431,1.2561263302 Н,0,-3.2737619788,-1.8364597998,-0.3036283946 B,0,2.2629570962,0.3124503186,-0.7214158584 C,0,2.6877781583,-1.0499021576,-1.4456249741 C, \(0,2.8267155934,0.5928334346,0.7475871863\) C, \(0,1.942429039,1.5720273024,-1.6561604827\) H,0,3.6267860061,-0.811166757,-1.982111875 Н, \(0,1.9702516,-1.3021024199,-2.2456377355\) C,0,2.9310922915,-2.2658728354,-0.5471974331 H,0,1.3407618229,2.3277962977,-1.1253446852 Н,0,1.3697086422,1.2815449669,-2.5534562046 C,0,3.2707989675,2.2247354657,-2.0952012342 С,0,2.3432480048,1.8816154052,1.4239984193 H,0,3.9287063758,0.6339671129,0.6337960299 H,0,2.6587239308,-0.2763838264,1.4045275234 H,0,0.4400862607,-0.0836527491,2.2277388375 H,0,-0.8231901872,-1.3255892989,2.533348652 H,0,0.8594642528,-1.8054385554,2.2748347378 C,0,-4.5649597162,1.1653270826,-0.7846696283 C, \(0,-3.5846490446,1.4101042345,1.4886223707\) Н,0,-5.452250901,1.7177450021,-0.4688852053 H,0,-4.5287899737,0.8274265543,-1.8225936336 H,0,-3.2336036361,0.6411317528,2.1935321662 H,0,-2.9204461553,2.2793930564,1.6063207143 H,0,-4.5953027982,1.714858723,1.7857564333 H,0,3.2073125732,-3.1649752443,-1.1169044074 H,0,3.7357980183,-2.0724823183,0.1773525062 H,0,2.0247110293,-2.5099121397,0.0330875599 H,0,2.711866348,1.9839161156,2.4552709494 H,0,2.6723739462,2.7711145936,0.8666223549 H,0,1.2424423184,1.9252997891,1.4546789565 H,0,3.1062479006,3.1161440108,-2.7174052311 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8677827019,2.5358506534,-1.2239320901\) H,0,3.8877113637,1.5253527906,-2.6785330374

\section*{TS1-eq-B \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.709949972\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.433880 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455391
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456336
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384543
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276070
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254559\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.253614
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325407
E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 285.76281 .728151 .101

Total 285.76281 .728151 .101

C,0,-1.1105517918,-2.0698998776,-0.4106340174 C, \(0,-2.4089903129,-1.2290193962,-0.0307887717\) H,0,-1.0137020698,-2.1123475328,-1.504146658 H,0,-1.1781643255,-3.0861401921,0.0031182542 C,0,-0.1080936598,-1.1568728584,0.2128620245 C, \(0,-0.0023444134,0.0257421265,-0.46525727\) C,0,0.162269901,-1.2833431769,1.6802861354 C, \(0,-1.0162600364,1.0068508974,0.0928401428\) H,0,-0.1578305122,-0.083482559,-1.5484927612 C, \(0,-2.3589390494,0.29763196,-0.3575322492\) Н,0,-0.9646674716,2.0248052067,-0.3224944438 H, \(0,-0.9788330066,1.0749525081,1.1885198072\) C,0,-3.5847935398,0.9886601517,0.2182105401 H,0,-2.4064482274,0.3931291958,-1.4547748131 H,0,-2.595635483,-1.3624161753,1.0462776874

H,0,-3.2735049459,-1.664489011,-0.5515191308 B, \(0,2.2687555954,0.4843243956,-0.5929414166\) C,0,2.8754085424,-0.9144338391,-1.0924438707 C,0,2.7036506886,0.9243223663,0.883839939 C, \(0,2.010616015,1.635350683,-1.6776074117\) H,0,2.7753691662,-1.6811571709,-0.3044214255 H,0,3.9661596928,-0.738945614,-1.171690401 C,0,2.3716050186,-1.4653573829,-2.4312003346 H,0,1.3723272097,2.4397229386,-1.2764689461 H,0,1.5036269074,1.2517918398,-2.5779842369 C,0,3.3741415172,2.2297176657,-2.0887797809 C,0,2.0912961083,2.2098078777,1.44978742 H,0,3.8009316594,1.0593945337,0.8061528234 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5924103959,0.09180011,1.5981742104\) H, \(0,0.3729285621,-0.3120656495,2.1464060978\) H,0,-0.6527056943,-1.7910160241,2.2162037225 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0663455911,-1.9040159267,1.8053547367\) C, \(0,-4.5984969303,1.3595768649,-0.5675334173\) C,0,-3.5988332223,1.2450911681,1.7073287906 H,0,-5.488206478,1.843044533,-0.1590008356 H,0,-4.569383858,1.1949075783,-1.6467638222 H,0,-3.2433268826,0.3724286694,2.2759565455 H,0,-2.9353963896,2.0853697577,1.9614624109 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.6082036661,1.494954665,2.0557441395\) H,0,2.8824939809,-2.3950191475,-2.72103322 H,0,1.2929803647,-1.6860291263,-2.3915718277 H,0,2.5240665077,-0.7388563693,-3.2436207526 H,0,2.4736374882,2.4528393942,2.4520108064 H,0,2.3061201332,3.0701165094,0.798357736 H,0,0.9957869061,2.133251239,1.5217999846 H,0,3.2680097535,3.0320241135,-2.8329727962 H,0,3.9029386934,2.6517471217,-1.2200278065 H,0,4.0272944878,1.4577542086,-2.5246653375

\section*{TS1-eq-C \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709761369\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433685\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455168\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456112\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.384438\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276077
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254594\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.253649
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325323
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.62281 .832150 .850
C,0,-1.1227543684,-2.2380541768,0.1387170002
C, \(0,-2.3981816367,-1.2853906188,0.2115438796\) H,0,-0.9526541408,-2.5401025939,-0.9036339808 H,0,-1.2762067531,-3.1248676323,0.7702272348 C,0,-0.1122769622,-1.2491530782,0.6107403413 C, \(0,0.1088013536,-0.2592745846,-0.3091386446\) C, \(0,0.0435331954,-1.0534839144,2.0883906807\) C, \(0,-0.8829888378,0.8615248614,-0.0397237929\) H,0,-0.0069211933,-0.6139000712,-1.3427967649 C, \(0,-2.2359916012,0.1344271772,-0.4191699161\) H,0,-0.7490957227,1.760505972,-0.6603469866 H, \(0,-0.8942983497,1.1668577612,1.0160211431\) C,0,-3.4497194019,0.9871663885,-0.0869302328 H, 0, -2.2124464734,-0.0009533357,-1.5134967424 H,0,-2.6826648505,-1.1820699321,1.2700449282 H,0,-3.2389190995,-1.7887832648,-0.2861692867 B, \(0,2.3165721753,0.31470256,-0.5669433466\)

C,0,2.7871942832,-1.0261874538,-1.3100659864 C, \(0,2.8530337817,0.5385858984,0.9243053949\)
C, \(0,2.0481629329,1.6202333774,-1.4556100015\)
H,0,2.0916120485,-1.3150379615,-2.1161161328 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8190750398,-1.8753253538,-0.6062655903\) C,0,4.1870332113,-0.8193926122,-1.9233973973 Н, 0,3.0559413037,2.0675882123,-1.5784685317 Н,0,1.4837406459,2.3788767546,-0.8885694874 C, \(0,1.4198822684,1.4243179349,-2.8407910801\) C,0,2.3810093493,1.8081767152,1.6446821001 H,0,3.9563185581,0.5735047242,0.834392075 H,0,2.6611284783,-0.3554186921,1.5405902799 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4025521302,-0.0478598967,2.338123554\) H,0,-0.8868962828,-1.2668442016,2.636044556 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7968879106,-1.7717800859,2.4526196821\) C,0,-4.3869408958,1.2400350634,-1.0034660029 C, \(0,-3.5365593147,1.5468333695,1.3139294564\) H,0,-5.2672012736,1.8410704273,-0.7666290296 H,0,-4.3019468688,0.8573377712,-2.022839548 H,0,-3.262514794,0.7980166943,2.0725326223 H,0,-2.8439745419,2.3932002575, 1.4345235929 H,0,-4.5490933653,1.9055522749,1.5345093838 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5573789847,-1.7246100171,-2.4258947175\) H,0,4.1801817161,-0.0080997931,-2.6675734161 H,0,4.9248866317,-0.5466413376,-1.1537233103 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7117844281,1.849066269,2.6931513838\) H,0,2.7620901151,2.7100650054,1.1441339165 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2816435029,1.8898367423,1.6361339957\) H,0,1.393461805,2.3573309097,-3.4223404067 H, \(0,1.9747517363,0.6814073902,-3.4333192681\) H,0,0.3817722515,1.0635221162,-2.7639444203

\section*{TS1-eq-D \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.712248606\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433746\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455020\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455965
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.385292\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.278502
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.257228\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.256284
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326957
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.53081 .730148 .743
C,0,-1.0861646209,-2.2432730656,0.0523172883
C,0,-2.3722751746,-1.3107063221,0.1678283574 H,0,-0.9291155383,-2.5211252119,-0.9989001958 H, \(0,-1.2150190874,-3.1456283838,0.6672106823\) C, \(0,-0.0792834603,-1.251334865,0.527808781\) C, \(0,0.1140638576,-0.2392086895,-0.3754702767\) C,0,0.0998163801,-1.0864424974,2.0066686528 C, \(0,-0.8903791504,0.8602718711,-0.0682465466\) Н, \(,-0.0145076554,-0.5748362067,-1.4141063057\) C, \(0,-2.2400675939,0.1224432116,-0.438201051\) Н,0,-0.781189176,1.7724972004,-0.6742502481 Н, \(0,-0.8875786241,1.1454804429,0.9931813259\) C,0,-3.4607235681,0.9500519699,-0.0699484744 H,0,-2.2333156946,0.0071759991,-1.5349291856 H,0,-2.6375110097,-1.230652798,1.2334739902 H,0,-3.2160262774,-1.8157268724,-0.3229550003 B, \(0,2.3169713667,0.368903553,-0.6304172091\) C, \(0,2.7664161137,-0.9354435173,-1.4452711303\) C, \(0,2.8673717532,0.5344934551,0.8633570618\)

C,0,2.0283272794,1.7128043683,-1.4534761497
H,0,3.7139083251,-0.6500645076,-1.9443986913
H,0,2.0719290671,-1.1458555774,-2.2760269514 C,0,2.9993370605,-2.2053849463,-0.6222779351 H,0,3.0207086248,2.2012543309,-1.5316980483 H,0,1.427350133,2.4190198561,-0.8567982034 C, \(0,1.4335891784,1.5735723688,-2.8597018256\) C,0,2.423426128,1.7949012611,1.6165196146 H,0,3.9714150257,0.543274708,0.7687890382 H,0,2.6581615654,-0.3673648021,1.4613216472 H, \(0,0.4356794261,-0.0774583444,2.2740984746\) H,0,-0.8129394442,-1.3400153793,2.5663158399 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8818769524,-1.7909834582,2.3370276126\) C,0,-4.4264203305,1.1884488006,-0.9604311279 C, \(0,-3.5255469894,1.4955556806,1.3377200746\) H,0,-5.3134946188,1.7678837592,-0.696743574 H,0,-4.359471253,0.8135076898,-1.9839719552 H,0,-3.2322472544,0.7405298869,2.0831015705 H,0,-2.836869813,2.3456869225,1.4543607635 H,0,-4.5364706962,1.8442662225,1.5807085457 H,0,3.287985736,-3.0661429482,-1.2428012878 H,0,3.7897731022,-2.0572926433,0.1281396377 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0829497682,-2.4870927733,-0.0741654039\) H,0,2.7669376874,1.8077247174,2.6615033747 H,0,2.8101365718,2.702473583,1.1307948294 H, \(0,1.3250327827,1.8909681124,1.6229469367\) H,0,1.3414518013,2.5428594998,-3.3710525627 H,0,2.0534197126,0.9232855955,-3.4941116655 H,0,0.4266426914,1.1277841335,-2.8273557341

\section*{TS1-eq-E \\ \(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.709564341\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434244\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455433\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456377\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384877
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275320
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254131\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253187\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.324688
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.78981 .472150 .486
C, \(0,-0.8792124174,-1.6335822703,-1.6543504488\)
C, \(0,-2.2065504021,-0.9421905719,-1.0993108722\)
H,0,-0.5193096643,-1.0782460769,-2.5308882297
H,0,-1.0849493148,-2.6791541501,-1.9241164437 C, \(0,-0.0184093145,-1.4159368449,-0.4592958192\) C, \(0,0.3091919631,-0.0933810112,-0.28398443\) C,0,-0.1780590435,-2.385604208,0.677986779 C,0,-0.7777504402,0.4997565855,0.6075446393 H, \(0,0.3577705509,0.4546979593,-1.2351730483\) C, \(0,-2.0234652112,0.4237024352,-0.3627533281\) H,0,-0.6085897379,1.5426982133,0.9101414045 H,0,-0.9381348912,-0.099997818,1.5143730501 C,0,-3.3176301569,0.7964877962,0.3430360054 H,0,-1.8377572335,1.1820576302,-1.1414686326 H,0,-2.7071348524,-1.6578569862,-0.4305523404 H,0,-2.8907680889,-0.7842724139,-1.9443988587 B,0,2.364398054,0.422797647,0.3334903339 C,0,3.073280259,0.3001004158,-1.1021528982 C, \(0,2.7375450008,-0.6730275578,1.4456593861\) C,0,2.0892359136,1.9057068301,0.8870521362 H, \(0,2.5683753962,0.9124473484,-1.8682236188\)

H,0,3.061261123,-0.7378305476,-1.4739214006 C, \(0,4.5330953326,0.7793052302,-0.9698885798\) H,0,3.0617490628,2.2276671217,1.3081161863 H,0,1.4115968258,1.8865779053,1.7576886355 C, \(0,1.6320514278,2.9577162491,-0.131761538\) C,0,3.1561132333,-2.0505489698,0.9195018591 H,0,1.9246814709,-0.758020205,2.1882632256 H,0,3.577361007,-0.2421689583,2.0239702995 Н, \(0,0.3694363576,-2.0629350631,1.5699891858\) Н,0,-1.2406050744,-2.5166444604,0.9404942939 H,0,0.1944965487,-3.37494429,0.3738959174 C,0,-4.143398445,1.7133121116,-0.1664473538 C,0,-3.618336274,0.0889484223,1.6436005383 H,0,-5.0818122525,1.9715999697,0.3282575747 H,0,-3.9054670279,2.2385075763,-1.0939765577 Н, \(0,-3.4077213778,-0.9898528569,1.5800507878\) H,0,-2.9935994505, 0.4902198113,2.4554775205 H,0,-4.6688892611,0.2170193045,1.9306590809 H,0,5.0944452406,0.6735934093,-1.9095326084 H,0,4.5751096053,1.8394113042,-0.6760655587 H,0,5.0715840187,0.2085824026,-0.1967378758 H,0,3.2499590684,-2.8043232888,1.7157067223 H,0,2.4369924174,-2.4313027372,0.1769026954 H,0,4.1264586514,-1.9923831753,0.4044043102 H,0,1.4771275825,3.9461828699,0.324977222 H,0,2.3761515817,3.0789200557,-0.9332973284 H,0,0.6857956589,2.6722316566,-0.6196824597

\section*{TS1-eq-F}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.710428245\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433848\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455188\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456132\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384848 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276581
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255240\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254296
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325580
E CV S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 285.63581 .657150 .029
C,0,-0.8185239082,-1.8033440579,1.2877698927
C, \(0,-2.172643291,-1.0873845385,0.8508178055\)
H,0,-0.5836460791,-2.6064032543,0.5760406481 H, \(0,-0.9153081237,-2.2124474265,2.3034587931\) C, \(0,0.0837329303,-0.6287132457,1.1264894324\) C,0,0.2602966489,-0.2802157813,-0.18869237 C,0,0.1746077465,0.3553059966,2.2519226 C, \(0,-0.8438356835,0.7053322822,-0.5491141405\) H, \(0,0.2068581577,-1.1448438689,-0.8643966851\) C, \(0,-2.110067615,-0.2358194929,-0.456232761\) H,0,-0.7663017214,1.1441420642,-1.555146485 H,0,-0.928795136,1.5244842576,0.1777749791 С, \(0,-3.4071153745,0.5387314936,-0.6260077292\) H,0,-2.0320331952,-0.9439989036,-1.2974193735 H,0,-2.5011504733,-0.4484437326,1.6851430539 H,0,-2.948538347,-1.8555517421,0.7261609251 B,0,2.4352047175,0.2250445954,-0.6068097148 С, \(0,2.7061996406,-1.08293669,-1.4995786131\) C,0,3.1575775212,0.2869476755,0.8229217675 C,0,2.2214591201,1.6052048159,-1.3928611349 H,0,2.0601479097,-1.1013718794,-2.3938560024 H,0,2.4891386284,-2.0066167224,-0.9349749827 C, \(0,4.1811299331,-1.1111393769,-1.949665408\)

H,0,1.4535780576,1.4915617135,-2.1765538203 H,0,3.1637540376,1.767674997,-1.9517880629 C,0,1.9386544264,2.8468260894,-0.5401293967 C,0,3.3273557246,-1.0467132602,1.5581986618 Н,0,2.6876360225,1.0340543847,1.4830034525 H,0,4.1606322219,0.710581657,0.6146387324 H,0,0.4047113937,1.3672126875,1.8952746244 H,0,-0.7383872622,0.3688292646,2.8654887779 Н, \(0,1.0024806525,0.0461493715,2.9119100753\) C,0,-4.3319978867,0.1495293258,-1.5066208383 C,0,-3.5946427315,1.7682318198,0.231962543 Н,0,-5.2721457442,0.6926565393,-1.6220597694 H,0,-4.1770878454,-0.7236080032,-2.1441324855 H,0,-3.2841659466,1.5923225477,1.2731123095 H,0,-2.9837728008,2.6007807023,-0.1480222059 H,0,-4.6423264757,2.0920328242,0.2348483517 H,0,4.4146092292,-2.00453372,-2.5468198934 H,0,4.4260802927,-0.2322000183,-2.5641860397 H,0,4.8639909481,-1.1030229602,-1.086240652 H,0,3.7674894338,-0.928317592,2.559837067 H,0,2.3582045301,-1.5625018698,1.6778578664 Н,0,3.973469671,-1.7344781627,0.9933899912 Н,0,1.7857819142,3.7513918368,-1.146780099 H,0,1.0357181144,2.7084053647,0.0758813368 H,0,2.7695667665,3.0495796727,0.1514436161

\section*{TS1-eq-G \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.708794120\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433912\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455354\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456298\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384790 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274883
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253440\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252496
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.324004
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.73981 .731150 .500
C, \(0,-0.9610379671,-1.7809440816,1.4970764031\) C, \(0,-2.2851479439,-1.0748353829,0.9629366167\) Н, \(0,-0.7369120245,-2.6534269982,0.8687787186\) H,0,-1.0916651779,-2.0891004932,2.5441016892 C, \(0,-0.0245910741,-0.6497429345,1.2489746165\) C,0,0.199673971,-0.4297763987,-0.0875829269 С, \(0,0.0813360707,0.4172726992,2.2916960476\) C,0,-0.8828224338,0.5310972446,-0.5724215272 H,0,0.139790888,-1.3578957056,-0.6720791403 C, \(0,-2.1680868002,-0.3713428754,-0.4235951939\) Н, 0,-0.7695256747,0.8623330436,-1.6155544167 H,0,-0.9648555907,1.4226933066,0.0649140403 C,0,-3.4442283547,0.3986727172,-0.7230954203 H,0,-2.0743521365,-1.1677570562,-1.1806996383 Н,0,-2.6052168079,-0.3397237782,1.7177696678 H,0,-3.0824097488,-1.8289646083,0.9054325134 В, \(0,2.3429303281,0.1547923762,-0.5843065918\) C, \(0,2.5755035523,-1.0993046349,-1.5626064075\) С,0,3.1173565854,0.1240144355,0.8212741609 C,0,2.1309739144,1.5855620851,-1.2768497891 H,0,2.357493811,-2.0452139923,-1.0378140953 H,0,3.6663600183,-1.1293584767,-1.7493329473 C, \(0,1.8501330853,-1.0543310408,-2.9137136278\) H,0,1.3571244727,1.5443044354,-2.0606259878 H,0,3.0730392784,1.7568890449,-1.8367904337

C, \(0,1.8803542469,2.7824079401,-0.353369111\) C,0,4.5934351431,0.5170644152,0.6079214421 H,0,3.0704354599,-0.8767917023,1.2845492563 H,0,2.6780535339,0.8281434434,1.5465766631 H,0,0.3530572655,1.3888710221,1.8607573355 H,0,-0.8361936016,0.5078967996,2.8918253622 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8915865537,0.1321431209,2.983569357\) C,0,-4.3403471521,-0.0677925814,-1.5959790645 C, \(0,-3.6448917041,1.7123780876,-0.0041627738\) Н,0,-5.2658353547,0.4724579226,-1.8051480694 H,0,-4.1752894485,-1.0042227786,-2.1331434752 H,0,-3.3998017761,1.6355435256,1.0659979326 H,0,-2.9912230979,2.489185598,-0.4282984889 Н,0,-4.681919276,2.0570446279,-0.0950376953 H,0,2.0164050793,-1.961424456,-3.5129504983 H,0,0.7612870358,-0.9392215512,-2.7841210906 H,0,2.1884684611,-0.1970789567,-3.5136752135 H,0,5.1617823465,0.5210482065,1.5493612404 H,0,5.0987941861,-0.1791182663,-0.0777251624 H,0,4.6769322782,1.5228278969,0.167954062 H,0,1.815508774,3.7325875745,-0.9033562715 H,0,0.9353789331,2.6644402013,0.1998516163 H,0,2.679644813,2.8878173497,0.3955483066

TS1-eq-H
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.711559237\)
Zero-point correction= 0.434140 (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455249
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456193
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386078 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277419
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=-652.256310
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.255366 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325481

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 285.67381 .485147 .569

C, \(0,0.5337601199,-1.9143203378,1.3356288144\) C,0,1.9318709739,-1.3819956978,0.7922157917 H,0,0.3279746905,-1.4689770702,2.3182881874 H,0,0.5492837823,-3.0109290913,1.4099695963 C, \(0,-0.3200189701,-1.3331124466,0.2616667703\) C,0,-0.4086014043,0.0350848431,0.3395409413 C, \(0,-0.4659446579,-2.0975897441,-1.01573383\) С, \(0,0.759688772,0.616089216,-0.4495063695\) Н, \(0,-0.3449498178,0.3908766393,1.3770132886\) C,0,1.9842573461,0.1288746966,0.4282140674 H,0,0.7651633704,1.7136429255,-0.5152443475 H,0,0.8315449966,0.2113270944,-1.4691205397 C,0,3.2941850448,0.5002884106,-0.2453106738 H,0,1.920837413,0.6981761146,1.3703747498 H,0,2.1897702614,-1.9784198273,-0.0962962165 H,0,2.702760664,-1.5940886783,1.5472678766 B,0,-2.4716004691,0.8316129186,-0.1536443554 С, \(0,-2.7101086664,1.4595358411,1.3066009469\) C,0,-3.330121231,-0.4689202676,-0.5283191247 C, \(0,-2.1315502567,1.8570288999,-1.3382019772\) H,0,-2.5459335301,0.7017941773,2.0918977853 H,0,-3.7950013148,1.6792614303,1.357854197 C,0,-1.9271797419,2.7384620427,1.6304900611 H,0,-1.2820151322,2.5069983306,-1.0721250409 H,0,-3.0001778533,2.5449714612,-1.3687334609 C,0,-1.9234150679,1.2648060677,-2.7361303763 C,0,-3.6199405734,-1.4495233647,0.6118949453

H,0,-2.9038091356,-1.0015975374,-1.3937985116 H,0,-4.2919912304,-0.0694250285,-0.9070690696 Н, \(0,-0.6114893289,-1.4354333123,-1.8784747973\) H,0,0.3793665503,-2.7777823519,-1.1947348486 H,0,-1.3713699237,-2.7228430833,-0.9305813274 C,0,4.004503664,1.5498221691,0.175029229 C,0,3.7346114477,-0.3334989647,-1.4264095135 H,0,4.9216695664,1.8564615513,-0.3317210629 H,0,3.6880978514,2.1400036534,1.0376349155 H,0,4.1467486307,-1.2984398882,-1.0949343785 H,0,2.8942795354,-0.5552001274,-2.1019473766 H,0,4.5114498507,0.1826715081,-2.0030728903 H,0,-2.1053813052,3.0947680176,2.6555802637 H,0,-0.8408505337,2.5844044138,1.5188500026 H,0,-2.2009925323,3.5538123246,0.9452487937 H,0,-4.1759598062,-2.3384195149,0.2780933312 H,0,-2.683200888,-1.7992266302,1.0815154989 H,0,-4.2084932729,-0.9711760495,1.4080913454 H,0,-1.7183122273,2.0354837658,-3.4935454831 H,0,-1.0736161935,0.5638016035,-2.7488797093 H,0,-2.808265887,0.7020410773,-3.0680225181

TS1-eq-I
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.711020047\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434432\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455564
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456508\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385995
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.276588\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255456\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254512
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325025

\footnotetext{
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.87181 .346148 .407

C,0,-1.0901020899,-1.9514732948,1.2399143559 C,0,-2.4436334748,-1.2041145807,0.858935846 Н, \(0,-0.8667166854,-2.7091641788,0.4764323724\) Н, \(0,-1.180835186,-2.4232399734,2.2284942805\) C,0,-0.1843179442,-0.771339338,1.1460626616 C,0,-0.0128267205,-0.3395174456,-0.1449356665 С, \(0,-0.0840072138,0.141565259,2.3281875827\) C,0,-1.1232154357,0.6606524717,-0.4417427799 H,0,-0.0597100641,-1.1597021201,-0.8754026405 C,0,-2.3840528383,-0.2902906107,-0.4040342196 H,0,-1.0477937873,1.1619044402,-1.4186190586 H,0,-1.2115389672,1.4322790639,0.3350870337 C,0,-3.6847581707,0.4846065461,-0.5441031817 H,0,-2.2973237498,-0.9561166328,-1.2785152509 H,0,-2.7567771802,-0.603962538,1.7272286165 H,0,-3.2270446777,-1.9590962178,0.7045218699 B,0,2.1604422471,0.2107385742,-0.5109250627 C, \(0,2.5135528252,-1.1408763646,-1.3033895169\) С, \(, 2.2 .8537355579,0.4118903705,0.9209755024\) C,0,1.9096769843,1.5194090315,-1.4044597337 Н,0,3.5013692966,-0.9515183783,-1.7668005252 H, \(0,1.8318187125,-1.2891319496,-2.1578186131\) C, \(0,2.6031242747,-2.4163540296,-0.4592437902\) H, \(0,1.1564612739,1.3196411628,-2.1852295714\) H,0,2.8507441024,1.6776672433,-1.9663950853 C, \(0,1.5684261435,2.8031690105,-0.6392162021\) C, \(0,4.3533923509,0.6958146645,0.6968338961\) H,0,2.7514796361,-0.4822173224,1.5587092246 Н,0,2.4178604682,1.2526342189,1.4849085829
}

H,0,0.1392391021,1.173569777,2.0283814218 H,0,-0.9886915039,0.1142870034,2.9533495495 H,0,0.7543922071,-0.2006180551,2.9566678271 C,0,-4.6028817305,0.1301815118,-1.4462944953 C,0,-3.8838655983,1.6721332572,0.3683895386 H,0,-5.5452252254,0.6731206415,-1.5430427701 H,0,-4.4397146513,-0.7130107928,-2.1210398813 Н,0,-3.5906827027,1.4454138151,1.4047197647 H,0,-3.2660193283,2.5208672677,0.0385658889 H,0,-4.9310879372,1.997484793,0.3704222939 H,0,2.7926650708,-3.3147074502,-1.0645221459 H,0,3.4116405414,-2.3426611645,0.2834383114 H,0,1.6699955596,-2.5857741924,0.1050740261 H,0,4.8909632416,0.8667023162,1.6406854877 H,0,4.8435487253,-0.1464548325,0.1844314551 Н,0,4.49955172,1.5875423001,0.0671308376 H,0,1.3293556485,3.6450322614,-1.3054221747 H,0,0.7040784283,2.650854923,0.0273502261 H,0,2.4083773654,3.1162978887,-0.0008969776

TS1-eq-J
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.710834013\)
Zero-point correction= 0.433793 (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455224
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456169\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384397
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277041
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255610\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254665
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.326437
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.65881 .714151 .055
C,0,-1.0656661569,-2.2247873573,0.7466639211 C,0,-2.3865271905,-1.3642906588,0.517938193 Н,, ,-0.8489223348,-2.8105430118,-0.1572262162 Н,0,-1.1948493565,-2.8958822112,1.6081770944 C, \(0,-0.114005283,-1.0883365175,0.9224040234\) C, \(0,0.0815926328,-0.4054056093,-0.2458731762\) C, \(0,-0.0362694734,-0.4473784696,2.2766442453\) C,0,-0.9540979312,0.6990482512,-0.3336039028 H,0,0.0263727056,-1.0512860399,-1.1340106655 С,0,-2.2727439722,-0.1681649765,-0.4829547718 Н, \(0,-0.8517257506,1.3714185854,-1.198453375\) Н, \(0,-0.9883261565,1.3105519757,0.5788428086\) C,0,-3.5253046731,0.6889702371,-0.3967773127 H, \(0,-2.2332237084,-0.6023569118,-1.4958448701\) H,0,-2.7186719342,-0.9871015208,1.4971774934 H,0,-3.1832923091,-2.0318276389,0.1609971981 B, \(0,2.3200445337,0.2677033275,-0.5499829279\) C, \(0,2.8655876397,-1.1672207533,-0.9961576147\) C,0,2.7573527774,0.830461879,0.8812902656 C, \(0,2.0012539333,1.3521416079,-1.6818062951\) Н,0,3.838749761,-0.9612496211,-1.4862933469 H,0,2.2424438185,-1.5951151142,-1.7989355408 C,0,3.0731566075,-2.1969730388,0.1179221228 H, \(0,2.9663019125,1.869003106,-1.8517825875\) H,0,1.3385098825,2.1388608315,-1.283057668 C, \(0,1.479437501,0.8414052172,-3.0304515777\) C,0,4.25049128,1.2199687564,0.834362492 H,0,2.6199071738,0.079640955,1.6760677333 H,0,2.1646626598,1.7162211645,1.1688423759 H,0,0.2880104277,0.5979609364,2.2195084725 H,0,-0.99012365,-0.5126706008,2.8220429992

H,0,0.7108687536,-0.9928710128,2.8768414136
С,0,-4.4756703691,0.6214771879,-1.332001311
C,0,-3.6383419141,1.6231643718,0.7856193721
Н, 0,-5.3843651221,1.2231330146,-1.2643197397 Н,0,-4.3738705172,-0.0360760817,-2.1980380769 H,0,-3.3148046637,1.1400513528,1.7202128348 H,0,-2.9967705162,2.5054013173,0.6422748639 H,0,-4.669176344,1.9736700643,0.9165809807 H,0,3.4378200261,-3.1625223082,-0.2613561578 H,0,3.7975530144,-1.8396750078,0.8651591123 H,0,2.1265323898,-2.386358023,0.6530235646 H,0,4.6097121367,1.5949125732,1.8034195475 H,0,4.8767817229,0.3563897605,0.561715695 H,0,4.4371598036,2.0057320323,0.0877508679 H,0,1.3151418325,1.6563736705,-3.7503291164 H,0,2.1878489532,0.135947706,-3.4887936228 Н, \(0,0.5227400173,0.306678573,-2.9185132076\)

\section*{TS1-eq-K}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.711106318\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433670\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455155
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456100\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384449
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277436
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.255951
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.255007\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326657

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.61481 .795150 .802

C,0,-0.812257427,-1.7433557305,1.5706237897 C,0,-2.1547605033,-1.0852351633,1.0205735309 H,0,-0.5964624507,-2.6592398134,1.0035695186 H,0,-0.9131580114,-1.9777402737,2.6402204375 C,0,0.1157220861,-0.6294469663,1.2170120066 C,0,0.2853935074,-0.5109805074,-0.133771451 C, \(0,0.2087171225,0.5344367398,2.1573801556\) C,0,-0.7825397233, \(0.4253381328,-0.6685166983\) Н, \(0,0.2489093631,-1.4746851883,-0.6626250605\) C, \(0,-2.0769015781,-0.4480176256,-0.4046645024\) H,0,-0.7070884913,0.6650951339,-1.7396085113 Н,0,-0.8230363386,1.369637612,-0.107377986 C, \(0,-3.3510686924,0.3321445824,-0.6851088927\) H,0,-2.0301267147,-1.2826540726,-1.1238332945 H,0,-2.4798485142,-0.3215286907,1.7434391839 Н,0,-2.9425027496,-1.8515694302,1.008887841 B, \(0,2.5106440483,0.2050790172,-0.5544638128\) C,0,2.7690608372,-0.9482060095,-1.6340796765 C,0,3.274005206,0.0841918856,0.8450179941 C, \(0,2.1685763732,1.6805211956,-1.0704303051\) Н,0,2.5674995496,-1.9398687471,-1.1952571258 H,0,3.8640200828,-0.9434900056,-1.8078123032 C, \(0,2.0443369587,-0.8071836417,-2.9778337502\) H,0,1.7665599251,2.3151664043,-0.2618182175 H,0,1.4125017462,1.6715266218,-1.8714636683 C,0,3.457390621,2.3303284107,-1.6189296554 C,0,3.4031820909,-1.312193351,1.4620837771 H,0,2.8729238599,0.7973807067,1.5838906832 H,0,4.2915718537,0.4640476322,0.6257883493 H, \(0,0.5493940408,1.4441121314,1.6469774422\) H,0,-0.7430907009,0.7310759753,2.6744598223 H,0,0.9510596869,0.2905229091,2.935901599 С,0,-4.30298475,-0.1608536927,-1.4809055892

C,0,-3.4830748534,1.6884608497,-0.0321207394
H,0,-5.2266433677,0.3893113892,-1.6715836659
H,0,-4.1870788015,-1.1300151601,-1.9709102575
H,0,-3.142349912,1.6726060074,1.014502996
H,0,-2.8650387068,2.4312957364,-0.5582716372 H,0,-4.5220465998,2.0388136474,-0.0517157648 H,0,2.2751552697,-1.631181183,-3.6683774277 H,0,0.9516027941,-0.7886589758,-2.8399128214 H,0,2.3170881204,0.1320069887,-3.4818748247 H,0,3.957319277,-1.3032090552,2.4121292479 H,0,2.4095336822,-1.7487752119,1.6604162875 H,0,3.925356713,-2.0016405665,0.7829614446 H,0,3.270387125,3.3315235417,-2.0330352574 H,0,4.2184861667,2.435592507,-0.8319915754 H,0,3.8998364092,1.7190501636,-2.4211293349 TS1-eq-L
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710490338\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.433850\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455268
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456212
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.384607\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -652.276641
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255222\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254278
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.325884
E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total \(285.68581 .671 \quad 150.707\)

C,0,-1.1539537168,-2.3291402081,-0.4168036645 C, \(0,-2.4651094124,-1.4450802746,-0.2372319092\) Н,0,-0.8955483312,-2.3879861893,-1.4833313462 Н, \(0,-1.3186594977,-3.3388007819,-0.0134183802\) C, \(0,-0.2127715165,-1.452559489,0.3420153133\) С, \(0,0.021305126,-0.2769476526,-0.3145834002\) C,0,-0.1706581024,-1.5847688041,1.8355393112 C,0,-1.0250263753,0.7348002678,0.116965677 H,0,0.0080949623,-0.3810383494,-1.4095154724 C, \(0,-2.310379235,0.0855158951,-0.5270360402\) H,0,-0.8788762444,1.7548752515,-0.2682595726 H,0,-1.1362458041,0.7832165685,1.209718018 C,0,-3.6028049567,0.8128969182,-0.1884091781 H,0,-2.1793186314,0.1812054092,-1.6216440812 H,0,-2.8277284124,-1.5663294951,0.7962292936 Н,, ,-3.2424568171,-1.8598882953,-0.8939668627 B, \(0,2.3116437107,0.2688579138,-0.2802173349\) C, \(0,2.8252534576,-0.9445419432,-1.1861175387\) C,0,2.6911844691,0.2704405676,1.2710381818 C,0,2.0742279782,1.6766893403,-1.0021092578 H,0,3.8176702242,-0.6242176985,-1.5596013218 H,0,2.2059183901,-1.0361062664,-2.0951466708 C, \(0,2.9731809272,-2.3071064515,-0.5030782195\) H,0,1.4135598787,2.3301065004,-0.409430481 H,0,1.5998638039,1.5552199233,-1.9908415786 C,0,3.4337383139,2.3868277129,-1.1782606286 C,0,2.1161088018,1.4196312083,2.108021031 Н,0,3.7984393878,0.3169886625,1.3021201629 H,0,2.4523514204,-0.7019576937,1.7321199414 H,0,0.1295013102,-0.6493689919,2.3237907427 H,0,-1.1289631453,-1.9309627068,2.2503001092 H,0,0.5834250956,-2.34706948,2.0944137828 C, \(0,-3.6653812336,1.8334794195,0.6704375266\) C,0,-4.8405224926,0.3259875168,-0.9080820599 H,0,-4.6140061897,2.3375951035,0.8648012657 H,0,-2.7913976999,2.209332678,1.2021469456

H,0,-4.6438267391,0.1980256711,-1.9835416666
H,0,-5.1674252302,-0.6498595303,-0.5190511134 H,0,-5.6718622197,1.0315984836,-0.7893963998 H,0,3.321109893,-3.0902759153,-1.1922043488 H,0,3.6872605908,-2.2596731311,0.3322548425 H,0,2.0078959863,-2.6408207326,-0.0853245958 H,0,2.358982318,1.3282076102,3.1768884009 H,0,2.4992201618,2.3920863957,1.7647680096 H,0,1.0188659587,1.4675993395,2.015971 H,0,3.3239493594,3.3771963283,-1.6428271243 H,0,3.9359353569,2.5308114727,-0.2089364958 H,0,4.1139069909,1.7993001923,-1.8123646425

\section*{TS1-eq-M}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709163618\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433740\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455270\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456215
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.384020\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275423
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253893\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252949
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325144
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.68781 .714151 .947
C,0,-1.1041054203,2.3195447498,-0.4083926592
C,0,-2.4262040458,1.4520092226,-0.2317436153
Н,0,-0.7959879732,2.713928429,0.5698770748
H,0,-1.2816379197,3.1471823957,-1.1099655548
C,0,-0.2134276357,1.2187541522,-0.8828182469
С, \(0,0.0480848912,0.3168512924,0.1095825404\)
C,0,-0.2317783057,0.856143455,-2.3356124065 C, \(0,-1.0290904592,-0.7516343857,0.1061847012\) Н,0,0.1059488151,0.7763760656,1.1070191364 C,0,-2.2691691378,0.111351156,0.5600275742 Н, \(0,-0.8644128055,-1.5869004493,0.8035499544\) H,0,-1.2127029236,-1.1615426561,-0.8967660735 C,0,-3.5835744181,-0.6549295719,0.5573405626 H,0,-2.0762359416,0.392953841,1.6126424985 H,0,-2.827060717,1.2182586394,-1.2316156988 H,0,-3.1748654375,2.0821688552,0.2684246001 B,0,2.3351107623,-0.169060625,-0.0404169594 C, \(0,2.9861179161,1.2951231777,-0.1032238196\) C,0,2.4947169933,-1.0436000765,-1.3701710384 C, \(0,2.2915255516,-0.9300596276,1.3676547344\) H,0,2.733000144,1.7880947625,-1.0580080908 H,0,4.0775607783,1.1157464835,-0.1641527596 C,0,2.7121524486,2.2436436386,1.0690523413 H,0,1.6102565114,-1.7961995794,1.3428998573 H, \(0,1.9412358834,-0.2770804223,2.1836236865\) С,0,3.718324066,-1.4182620367,1.6968584682 C, \(0,1.8123346384,-2.4152965303,-1.4024987624\) H,0,3.5895147618,-1.1894970399,-1.4618816794 H,0,2.2321206632,-0.4561943214,-2.2654587274 Н,0,-0.0849274306,-0.2201219643,-2.4942038973 H,0,-1.1484252109,1.1906596048,-2.8420320247 H,0,0.6140295493,1.3722508247,-2.8221069392 C,0,-3.7000524156,-1.9075625656,0.1094282996 C,0,-4.7771730707,0.0818655112,1.1227510615 H,0,-4.6614925768,-2.4231747101,0.1485010982 H,0,-2.8592340028,-2.4664958794,-0.3009314922 H,0,-4.5210707401,0.5722695497,2.074386655 H,0,-5.1203870558,0.8680040622,0.4337531586

Н,0,-5.6171575457,-0.6009304738,1.2997790718
H,0,3.2517157066,3.196900322,0.9715812931
H,0,1.6397357293,2.4836830779,1.1451005984
H,0,3.012454514,1.7912094486,2.0262225437
H,0,1.9991259492,-2.9566061654,-2.3414465337
H,0,2.1668234695,-3.0531359777,-0.5789562411
H,0,0.7219500188,-2.3217585217,-1.2839000275 H,0,3.7604635039,-1.9572909295,2.6541156115 H,0,4.0966029997,-2.097707321,0.9171809077
H,0,4.4210745945,-0.5729098268,1.7616724583

TS1-eq- \(N\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.708980169\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433820\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455238\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456182
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.384762\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -652.275160
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253742\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252798
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.324218
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.66681 .731150 .315
C,0,-1.1253807235,-1.6160610233,-1.8822978879
C,0,-2.4233349338,-0.991464635,-1.2048654308
Н, \(,--0.8024658197,-0.9723432521,-2.7119512827\) H,0,-1.3420266977,-2.6287365865,-2.2516848626 C, \(0,-0.2149616816,-1.5174775446,-0.7043362973\) C, \(0,0.0994638535,-0.218718893,-0.4077963618\) C,0,-0.2624108419,-2.6120446513,0.3174791598 C, \(0,-0.9602627124,0.3136717218,0.5460898397\) H,0,0.1450942734,0.4168348762,-1.3031983759 C,0,-2.2105117348,0.3382766563,-0.4108483013 H,0,-0.7635558677,1.3201369391,0.945226569 H,0,-1.1435286196,-0.3680103388,1.3888400994 C,0,-3.5044487778,0.7496353754,0.2747826792 Н, \(0,-1.9966621351,1.1201749376,-1.1649096937\) Н,0,-2.85426826,-1.7387995479,-0.5189387596 Н, \(0,-3.1664610168,-0.8260927253,-1.9973565309\) B,0,2.3100518536,0.1541964437,0.1843601701 C, \(0,2.9419896446,-0.0584262621,-1.2728071305\) C,0,2.6170959348,-0.9693539313,1.2805887171 C, \(0,2.0898271644,1.6526636548,0.7034152129\) H,0,2.3761916293,0.4837715203,-2.0492428392 H,0,2.925435873,-1.1237444975,-1.5589019302 C,0,4.3980154172,0.4504651704,-1.282434314 H,0,3.0789049109,1.9367917012,1.1181068022 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4149123487,1.6788869514,1.5747853931\) C,0,1.6621874423,2.707069084,-0.3250996395 C,0,1.9928299643,-0.7679724455,2.6671452763 H,0,3.7195203817,-0.9794922561,1.3864861382 H,0,2.3756113336,-1.9693439624,0.8837524314 H,0,0.0097789642,-2.2564826666,1.3186044118 H,0,-1.2414342488,-3.1123703385,0.3488976762 H,0,0.4771713542,-3.3781463267,0.0300064494 C,0,-3.6061590416,0.9405537308,1.5924713626 C,0,-4.6943118659,0.9569076039,-0.6352285056 H,0,-4.5527596861,1.2559404758,2.035637642 H,0,-2.7669458392,0.8019893113,2.2741743083 H,0,-4.4168344618,1.5611043197,-1.5125024904 H,0,-5.0784893579,-0.0035638636,-1.0099670683 H,0,-5.5121985302,1.4645926106,-0.1094682224 H,0,4.8805839293,0.3082426564,-2.2601456214

H,0,4.4453093222,1.5240180483,-1.0433627916 H,0,5.0108397196,-0.075356202,-0.5346166797 H,0,2.1711429758,-1.6194459298,3.3404650052 H,0,2.3995895841,0.1291682388,3.15593587 H,0,0.9030472764,-0.6171112167,2.5981968279 H,0,1.6505517402,3.7220224329,0.0980433561 H,0,2.3378189236,2.7189456945,-1.19351245 H,0,0.6493996388,2.5059739314,-0.7092087307

\section*{TS1-eq-O \\ \(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.711483070\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433732\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454989
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455934
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385214
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277751
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256494\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.255549\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326269

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.51081 .726148 .842
C, \(0,-0.8644411397,-2.2342603169,-0.9376945966\) C,0,-2.227263755,-1.5107330174,-0.5493544482 H,0,-0.6111137836,-2.0007029584,-1.9811089545 H,0,-0.9619452851,-3.3217364456,-0.807246692 C, \(0,0.0241509348,-1.5244756931,0.0290847262\) C,0,0.1847568719,-0.2063250455,-0.303430786 C,0,0.0953856789,-2.0432592677,1.4331825605 C,0,-0.9292435785,0.5909504143,0.3560712383 H,0,0.1609585844,-0.0432705884,-1.3901054334 C, \(0,-2.1720324083,0.0487578477,-0.4463471176\) H,0,-0.8492342865,1.6828963551,0.2438285165 H,0,-1.0361986697,0.3550071206,1.4246147981 C,0,-3.5065338181,0.5887297453,0.0442500814 H,0,-2.0471888204,0.4238687417,-1.480625979 H,0,-2.5710950151,-1.9092431979,0.4189735443 H,0,-2.9831777839,-1.797894762,-1.2934838708 B,0,2.3672353342,0.5774602249,-0.0513649622 С, \(0,2.9721228178,-0.1995363894,-1.3129440244\) С,0,2.7916532011,0.0765387997,1.4063551745 C,0,1.9948619919,2.1248925597,-0.2186567857 H,0,3.9189248555,0.3274921632,-1.5463617805 H,0,2.3471473552,-0.0483821543,-2.2090672551 C,0,3.2651346513,-1.6901863787,-1.1210353643 H,0,2.9364696713,2.6584479839,0.0226532124 H,0,1.2937613573,2.4480694481,0.5684297682 C, \(0,1.4978870929,2.5934592918,-1.5914681404\) C,0,2.1776722015,0.8295758388,2.5931804571 H,0,3.8940521455,0.1831297226,1.443942923 H,0,2.6256298142,-1.0081971954,1.5090942041 H,0,0.2977364775,-1.2478795335,2.1608897989 H,0,-0.8121459445,-2.5941155583,1.7205745946 Н,0,0.9344179334,-2.7575692159,1.489431865 C,0,-3.6307345066,1.358850889,1.128102603 C,0,-4.7133199105,0.2191045517,-0.788817385 H,0,-4.6086066269,1.7405563405,1.4279945571 H,0,-2.780756348,1.6446593156,1.7477343809 Н,0,-4.510373674,0.3659796851,-1.8607033503 Н,0,-4.9813391621,-0.8390523869,-0.6505341735 H,0,-5.5848916973, \(0.8260720094,-0.5148573891\) H,0,3.6664035153,-2.1629331027,-2.0292488804 H,0,3.9921295977,-1.8547461921,-0.3122577399 H,0,2.3454677235,-2.2346248189,-0.8441249417

H,0,2.4446518334,0.3823047197,3.5620483913 H,0,2.5084032482,1.878169868,2.6118582736 H,0,1.077459524,0.8517953641,2.5259576335 H,0,1.3354697462,3.680553927,-1.626811057 H,0,2.2168602325,2.3414780595,-2.3846068019 H,0,0.5422296525,2.1142944218,-1.8590597832

\section*{TS1-eq-P}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.708637537\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434540\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455658
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456602\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386041
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274098
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.252980\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252036
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.322596
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.93081 .392148 .507
C, \(0,1.0464797105,-1.7219094163,1.7069574819\) C,0,2.3875282376,-1.082873557,1.1298404646 H,0,0.7072998218,-1.1356692804,2.5718060943 H,0,1.2254311325,-2.7655679593,2.002464475 C, \(0,0.1800756635,-1.5121378564,0.5121038796\) C,0,-0.1014944998,-0.1850583077,0.3050030215 C, \(0,0.2947681033,-2.5193461562,-0.5968515429\) C,0,1.0008073738,0.3524656052,-0.6026232189 H,0,-0.1326471622,0.3872873531,1.2423952819 C,0,2.2353986108,0.2770257078,0.3710425503 H,0,0.8567028801,1.3871057246,-0.9436568207 H,0,1.1528091163,-0.2848824386,-1.48538552 C,0,3.557093859,0.658109261,-0.2779569167 H,0,2.0394584815,1.041388784,1.1476402255 Н,0,2.8601639336,-1.8124025078,0.454172374 H,0,3.0774456968,-0.9466542464,1.9741010628 B,0,-2.1562801712,0.4257764695,-0.3190220333 С, \(0,-2.8706433248,0.2882815214,1.1103438848\) С,0,-2.5443636459,-0.6287003396,-1.4646298016 C,0,-1.8138620177,1.9077377382,-0.8315942802 H,0,-2.335821843,0.8456667664,1.8975633492 Н, \(0,-2.9105608499,-0.7617545409,1.4432618046\) C,0,-4.3049492383,0.8446374496,0.9987727364 H,0,-2.7766982505,2.282832154,-1.2306399144 Н,0,-1.1496263333,1.88232647,-1.712059515 C, \(0,-1.2974578249,2.911370266,0.2073122118\) С,0,-3.0454180538,-1.9956714058,-0.9849665768 Н,0,-1.7081836291,-0.7383777694,-2.1778471453 Н, \(0,-3.3402230323,-0.1465639547,-2.0642241513\) Н,0,-0.215841919,-2.1848574703,-1.5064561916 H,0,1.3489671736,-2.7324302592,-0.8347778094 Н, \(,--0.1536391285,-3.4705991163,-0.2729500001\) C,0,3.6896122632,0.8909468718,-1.5861533803 C,0,4.7383207675,0.7807656847,0.6578752292 H,0,4.6567431663,1.1786621315,-2.0029539841 H,0,2.8569003571,0.8143938348,-2.2851915044 H,0,4.475448557,1.3760555984,1.5456176684 H,0,5.0657545691,-0.2073601012,1.014263476 H,0,5.5907250092,1.2589160732,0.1602472127 H,0,-4.8741631578,0.7212460794,1.93147698 Н,0,-4.2947614662,1.918475828,0.7565579332 H,0,-4.8681444296,0.3385807482,0.1985921424 H,0,-3.1652296548,-2.7194030393,-1.8051527153 H,0,-2.3602089024,-2.4359307178,-0.2438017286

H,0,-4.0205298349,-1.9004622127,-0.4843852714
H,0,-1.1357023558,3.9119182932,-0.2196434963
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0105268735,3.0197395623,1.0384381203\)
H,0,-0.340634135,2.5885963571,0.6492138984
TS1-eq-Q
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.709720874\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434242\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455348\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456292
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385734
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275479
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254373\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253429\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323986

> E CV S KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K Total 285.735 81.425 148.502  C, \(0,-0.9652723058,-2.3423000767,-0.3343577757\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3501801048,-1.5664154879,-0.2240112036\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6759897797,-2.4237369874,-1.3909661272\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0543216931,-3.3421995547,0.1136120726\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.1266762358,-1.3556168041,0.4037393676\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.0444181597,-0.1890187841,-0.2976513469\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.1017666912,-1.4242331216,1.89947436\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.114552567,0.7322058428,0.0656846179\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0515678408,-0.3479219197,-1.3846926216\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3167436412,-0.0460036504,-0.5860986853\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0437711579,1.7501163507,-0.34549335716\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.2661236914,0.8069736087,1.1514249014\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.6755020299,0.5822881149,-0.3155830027\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1599666163,0.0101779081,-1.6800269711\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7264422266,-1.6687930494,0.8067172985\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0709443882,-2.080165131,-0.875104832\) \(\mathrm{~B}, 0,2.1962755938,0.5484735256,-0.1613218695\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.5522022895,0.2067501561,-1.6897002735\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.8926667572,-0.3544814229,0.964659328\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.9066239332,2.0846764639,0.1899479532\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9161686842,0.7745111744,-2.3899989055\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3862167795,-0.8625647157,-1.9084365218\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,4.0299009912,0.5480153578,-1.9702198101\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1586577102,2.5088434337,-0.500923869\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.844616649,2.6185589942,-0.0591189688\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.5350121753,2.4000983757,1.6429919259\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,3.1427237039,-1.8218415153,0.6004620694\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3570929518,-0.2821454139,1.9249912112\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8660815959,0.1363710173,1.164724839\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0600084933,-0.4382830997,2.3533139299\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.012569305,-1.8839199218,2.3095441914\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7460072438,-2.0634001296,2.1983186837\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.8503346638,1.6226178885,0.5033511293\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.8437692343,-0.0302894134,-1.0550021583\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.8431943646,2.0521617789,0.6503036333\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0285953024,2.0884068775,1.0472000113\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.6017679411,-0.175338736,-2.1189494581\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.1016715691,-1.0171326231,-0.6424334825\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.7341378141,0.6064485108,-0.9859209649\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.3234427049,0.3001232146,-3.0005563146\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2258013057,1.620353011,-1.8220122402\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.7034396581,-0.0005011634,-1.2939029138\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5536233898,-2.4048004398,1.4385355654\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2100287918,-2.3154182003,0.2748293575\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8468591977,-1.9109465306,-0.2397231795\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3307860506,3.4684784371,1.8059258969\)

\section*{H,0,0.6363681943,1.8429240234,1.952078209}

H,0,2.3430743681,2.1097652264,2.3304434552
TS1-eq-R
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.707951635\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433632\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455077
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456022
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384095
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274320
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.252874\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.251930
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.323857
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.56581 .780151 .382
C,0,-1.1806456981,1.8189230667,1.6947865547
C,0,-2.4553495001,1.2360283275,0.9409334129
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0169991468,1.2527050024,2.6216500881\)
H,0,-1.330045722,2.8841943116,1.9213655687
C, \(0,-0.1684118106,1.4974171721,0.6497547825\)
C,0,0.0603331351,0.149146904,0.5354619074
C, \(0,0.0173761052,2.4668004322,-0.4735669653\)
C,0,-0.9604363961,-0.3978642031,-0.4597867823 H,0,-0.0614091124,-0.3717141216,1.4949455055 C,0,-2.2955874173,-0.1976599069,0.343020666 Н, \(0,-0.8224463624,-1.4575866307,-0.7209728826\) Н,0,-0.9903377304,0.187457208,-1.3897745619 С, \(0,-3.5457913108,-0.5814551415,-0.4342551072\) H,0,-2.2404616843,-0.8904021339, 1.2045418377 Н,0,-2.7242275576,1.9251967927,0.1239728949 H,0,-3.2935122877,1.2454751217,1.6513613175 B,0,2.2446465223,-0.3951762387,0.1295509802 C, \(0,2.391185746,-1.2691418351,1.469754646\) C,0,3.0190667237,1.0096121596,0.1016337341 C,0,2.1139386543,-1.1965469507,-1.2520907846 H,0,2.11068816,-0.6701038966,2.3529668421 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.4775184564,-1.4467076745,1.5886676435\) C, \(0,1.671522241,-2.6240824446,1.4885996679\) H,0,1.3315546803,-1.9707214674,-1.1955411178 H,0,3.0590604896,-1.7731339551,-1.3206981909 C, \(0,1.9459018984,-0.3701856944,-2.5316216964\) C,0,4.5161204528,0.7710418071,-0.1836365063 H,0,2.9126003439,1.5445232157,1.0612552828 H,0,2.6256363929,1.6806652616,-0.6791754338 H,0,0.3478055049,1.9702744895,-1.3943743952 Н, 0,-0.8859248667,3.0644188373,-0.6641347889 H,0,0.8146753593,3.1705350088,-0.1811471069 C, \(0,-3.5250324944,-0.9468540099,-1.7184544062\) C,0,-4.8415245318,-0.5491312101,0.3448308297 H,0,-4.4464663899,-1.234859972,-2.2281931539 H,0,-2.6075836624,-0.9824412102,-2.3059249777 H,0,-4.7240357183,-1.0396680128,1.3231699882 Н,0,-5.16339555,0.4855188382,0.5361138091 H,0,-5.6459495415,-1.0556793839,-0.2022943245 H,0,1.7889652149,-3.150253555,2.4472219594 H, \(0,0.5900781617,-2.5117045889,1.3050027913\) Н,0,2.05797498,-3.286470248,0.7002852352 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,5.0863170668,1.7108115139,-0.2156972715\) H,0,4.9757082038,0.1346949504,0.587028525 H,0,4.6608772139,0.2645932181,-1.1504983259 H,0,1.934547514,-0.9940528815,-3.4372872803 H,0,1.0014461551,0.1959601426,-2.5174307285 H,0,2.7577562252,0.3638057352,-2.6457959815

TS1-eq-S
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.710723758\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433946\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455095
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456039\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385631
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276778
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255629\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.254685\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325093

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 285.57681 .568148 .186

C, \(0,0.8842317405,2.1479954826,-1.1104066664\) C,0,2.2377082075,1.4551528476,-0.6421618957 H,0,0.6546665186,1.8392193686,-2.1392752605 H,0,0.9792701547,3.2417022209,-1.0536069346 C, \(0,-0.0201334473,1.5073546427,-0.1143944862\) C,0,-0.1964739096,0.1665894992,-0.3513001453 C, \(0,-0.1339090994,2.1310744376,1.2403593204\) C, \(0,0.9244264991,-0.5721107079,0.3747521034\) H,0,-0.1445065941,-0.0702389509,-1.4227824414 C, \(0,2.176585732,-0.092815672,-0.4449758652\) H,0,0.8423828418,-1.6688858651,0.3461612699 H,0,1.0189653215,-0.2593764034,1.4243187887 C,0,3.5019838405,-0.6047750938,0.097930223 H,0,2.0641271028,-0.5309268661,-1.4553710311 H,0,2.5559318192,1.9141346351,0.3080390616 H,0,3.0099078082,1.6990945587,-1.3848001718 B,0,-2.3270938313,-0.5580279865,0.0266944791 С, \(0,-2.5840488898,-0.9737092614,-1.503406992\) C,0,-3.0946080693,0.7389307342,0.5681920373 C, \(0,-2.0549038514,-1.7443835802,1.0689631582\) H,0,-2.3581592893,-0.1320342185,-2.180259644 H,0,-3.6796431372,-1.1129259591,-1.5932034483 C,0,-1.879045125,-2.2477163859,-1.9862949835 H,0,-1.2505055438,-2.4094976095,0.7141708171 H,0,-2.9669597423,-2.3726135855,1.0199838027 C, \(0,-1.8075763189,-1.3455369065,2.5277258981\) C,0,-3.3193505171,1.8708131152,-0.4386086649 H,0,-2.6260255237,1.1289114488,1.4863098917 H,0,-4.0795989178,0.362280161,0.9091506504 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.3224621318,1.3866203797,2.0242336978\) H,0,0.7459383838,2.7388455482,1.495899972 H,0,-1.0038095303,2.8102736869,1.2229293408 C,0,3.6078336167,-1.2998166051,1.2332787022 C,0,4.7210373466,-0.2984194829,-0.7428481667 H,0,4.5795721028,-1.6651352548,1.5710966642 H,0,2.7484133879,-1.5387909237,1.8597400851 H,0,4.5306271045,-0.5165403552,-1.8048460614 H,0,4.9942076934,0.7651676086,-0.6729008113 Н, 0,5.5854528616,-0.889970346,-0.417434614 H,0,-2.0638343962,-2.4552105855,-3.0503790556 H,0,-0.7870586553,-2.1799268646,-1.8475656131 Н,0,-2.2144606802,-3.1257049008,-1.4154852271 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8092379948,2.7483033906,0.0095879788\) H,0,-2.3618958341,2.209141858,-0.8729742412 H,0,-3.9434902707,1.5373164045,-1.2803898696 H,0,-1.6441891314,-2.2150005385,3.181117179 H,0,-0.9196247004,-0.6996509776,2.6172773471 H,0,-2.6575884411,-0.7799894817,2.9368120222

\section*{TS1-eq-T}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.710299388\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434424\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455568\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456513\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385587
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275876
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.254731
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253787\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.324712

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.87481 .307149 .275

C,0,-1.0171779646,-2.2878691208,0.6569340576 C,0,-2.3780033403,-1.4703097086,0.5569683286 H, \(0,-0.822044973,-2.7864082906,-0.3026940294\) H,0,-1.0791414017,-3.0313120056,1.4643054069 C,0,-0.1039568856,-1.1308622189,0.8856450952 C,0,0.018895626,-0.3376749285,-0.2256912541 C,0,0.0508085954,-0.6091468178,2.2803249623 C,0,-1.0959974201,0.6993243121,-0.1749773435 H, \(0,-0.0533888329,-0.9063182067,-1.164225072\) C,0,-2.3542780449,-0.2216148936,-0.3822009259 Н, \(0,-1.0474639471,1.471665977,-0.9571795823\) H,0,-1.159310187,1.1980109409,0.8022190895 C,0,-3.6790226349,0.5218195334,-0.2981259125 Н, \(,-2.2812374648,-0.6108545487,-1.4155795838\) H,0,-2.6633862316,-1.1390976954,1.5685345896 H,0,-3.1597637044,-2.1651152052,0.2201665671 B, \(0,2.2010412724,0.3283060215,-0.537940302\) C,0,2.5339551953,-0.7953858218,-1.633167573 C, \(0,2.927811146,0.2048756335,0.8834046685\) C,0,1.8813902636,1.7985440718,-1.0907345917 Н,0,3.5024462231,-0.4860039731,-2.0725496178 H, \(0,1.822742696,-0.7504079634,-2.4751258104\) C,0,2.6646445508,-2.2310455858,-1.1153766869 H,0,1.0888231054,1.7607643324,-1.8573204514 H,0,2.7861333838,2.108616308,-1.6491013883 C, \(0,1.5620955637,2.8614111456,-0.0328586163\) C,0,4.4147688885,0.5723487845,0.6952812959 H,0,2.8618761342,-0.8158239691,1.2951603598 H,0,2.4905455429,0.8790978434,1.6376958711 H,0,0.2605420261,0.4683193883,2.2917675298 H,0,-0.8222020321,-0.8329270834,2.9103807621 H,0,0.9189131338,-1.1109422677,2.738679345 C,0,-3.7755283997,1.8086512194,0.0452704579 С, \(0,-4.9095038469,-0.2824452625,-0.6528742696\) Н,0,-4.7479071943,2.3042990632,0.0707631027 H,0,-2.908033076,2.4158991691,0.303502341 H,0,-4.7485408278,-0.8590561379,-1.5765315441 H,0,-5.1554941051,-1.00311676,0.1414536878 H,0,-5.7802861515,0.3685207744,-0.7978835932 H,0,2.8484738408,-2.9580636694,-1.9197597141 H,0,3.4930183537,-2.3179534705,-0.3963551009 H,0,1.7495741984,-2.5447806506,-0.5846074486 H,0,4.9755781011,0.5365910649,1.6403151468 H,0,4.9070106022,-0.1170292446,-0.0081177501 H,0,4.524597214,1.5881465717,0.2838349692 H,0,1.2719115945,3.8263323047,-0.4738218606 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7402625201,2.5342378853,0.6244630228\) H,0,2.4316695744,3.0436263351,0.6163249456

TS1-eq-U
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.709975352\)

Zero-point correction= 0.433818 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455267\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456211\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384247
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276158
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254708\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253764\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325729
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.68581 .695151 .462

C,0,-1.0666420674,-2.1454663304,-1.0542914563 C, \(0,-2.4095872002,-1.4417739287,-0.5712259875\) Н, \(0,-0.8478955192,-1.8445118533,-2.0882174435\) Н, \(,-1.1694081334,-3.2387565737,-0.99459288\) C, \(0,-0.1376356713,-1.5086876062,-0.072660601\) C, \(0,0.0189052329,-0.1742731477,-0.3232313478\) C,0,-0.0464229254,-2.1138786105,1.2968726509 C,0,-1.0528984936,0.5879893932,0.4336607553 H,0,-0.0257954218,0.0691225269,-1.3947487564 C,0,-2.3357979128,0.1058815361,-0.3481033749 Н,0,-0.9726866909,1.6842011749,0.385923259 Н,0,-1.1096396093,0.286484868,1.4893364676 C, \(0,-3.6419011505,0.6125574342,0.2444524414\) Н,0,-2.2566341661,0.5592471628,-1.3549957872 Н,, ,-2.7310306107,-1.912438407,0.3716945041 Н,0,-3.1899645983,-1.6617766954,-1.3131656212 B, \(0,2.2531430805,0.5897622914,0.0246937734\) С,0,2.837797972,-0.0053959272,-1.3384844881 C,0,2.6763324871,-0.1064527671,1.3984089976 С,0,1.8705332348,2.1401668089,0.0857684575 H,0,3.7913154739,0.5369906268,-1.5007333571 H,0,2.2102360851,0.2855640481,-2.1971880296 C, \(0,3.1043195976,-1.5130431884,-1.3660152589\) H,0,2.8102714678,2.64557732,0.3838860153 H,0,1.1809645518,2.3322477021,0.9250228981 C,0,1.3509096971,2.7960021735,-1.1991879933 C,0,4.1516515931,0.235427004,1.700304312 H,0,2.578057495,-1.2025170204,1.3475068594 H,0,2.0483693154,0.2361259347,2.2388964873 H,0,0.2220514875,-1.3738306702,2.059839746 H,0,-0.9733903935,-2.6306210637,1.5863491175 H,0,0.7521209129,-2.8746194424,1.2835157863 С,0,-3.709247719,1.2964676567,1.3896842939 C, \(0,-4.8890803192,0.3173719313,-0.5580728962\) H,0,-4.6687629141,1.65893018,1.7637197546 H,0,-2.8291809848, 1.5286048369,1.9891690959 Н,0,-4.7378118488,0.5592173815,-1.6212508776 H,0,-5.1541130868,-0.7489846783,-0.5017372596 Н,0,-5.7437913162,0.8973379932,-0.1890828102 H,0,3.487774041,-1.8573822001,-2.3373177332 H,0,3.8361447461,-1.805882037,-0.5983066132 H,0,2.1768273505,-2.0732348727,-1.1566885455 H,0,4.5038662625,-0.2413264117,2.6261549541 H,0,4.8110405751,-0.0999742425,0.884602869 H,0,4.2981669547,1.3197786023,1.8123591066 H, \(0,1.1559510142,3.8705800495,-1.0704627439\) H,0,2.0747557113,2.6880931862,-2.0200492236 H,0,0.4111071133,2.3315713415,-1.536976277

TS1-eq-V
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710326179\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433931\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455308\)

Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456252\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384996
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276395
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.255019
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254074
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325330
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.71081 .625149 .970

C,0,1.2111552502,-2.3204856836,0.6552329623
C, \(0,2.4896712166,-1.4420005027,0.3011442057\)
H,0,1.0153961153,-2.2594784293,1.7347388215
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3758603502,-3.3672524369,0.3612966306\)
C,0,0.2101608152,-1.553421238,-0.1441962059
C, \(0,-0.0241749589,-0.3150317118,0.3827382198\) C,0,0.0923833801,-1.8578093397,-1.6067669326 C, \(0,0.9774141079,0.6571650023,-0.216098694\) H,0,0.0318285018,-0.2919951659,1.4811648918 C,0,2.3116692574,0.1084318123, 0.4187124895 Н,0,0.8332534118,1.7119442753,0.0609333138 H,0,1.0121814113,0.5893580758,-1.3129468191 C,0,3.5637942682,0.8152222269,-0.0780959374 H,0,2.2389706844,0.3285949299,1.5011200374 H,0,2.8036830675,-1.6778353356,-0.7283063677 H,0,3.3086598905,-1.7617210324,0.9604302346 B, \(0,-2.3106261512,0.275316711,-0.0107512723\) С,0,-2.5509092868,0.6874331404,1.5167321668 С, \(,,-3.0087510427,-1.0646163557,-0.5306603554\) C,0,-2.0497636927,1.4273862721,-1.08942083 H, \(0,-2.2824165723,-0.1460947454,2.1871783581\) H,0,-3.6501837696,0.7816317356,1.6247836492 C, \(0,-1.8834043445,1.9835437103,1.9911156988\) Н,0,-1.6441241,1.0225213377,-2.0327364702 H,0,-1.3251671589,2.174002529,-0.7273834446 C,0,-3.3851280416,2.1432287675,-1.3871757909 C, \(0,-3.050241157,-2.2589979207,0.4280869757\) H,0,-2.6094312513,-1.3701793641,-1.5115661919 Н, \(0,-4.0503639865,-0.7542040175,-0.7467491866\) H,0,-0.2879007043,-0.9971290454,-2.1714532797 H,0,1.0420370491,-2.2020916399,-2.0427043882 H,0,-0.6329721666,-2.6803072235,-1.727087082 C,0,3.5512554818,1.7253879498,-1.0554324653 C,0,4.852831286,0.4413994625,0.6187225301 H,0,4.4745083853,2.2190741907,-1.3649513996 H,0,2.6394288763,2.0183772327,-1.5755392061 H,0,4.722355369,0.4411432046,1.7117683496 H,0,5.1812991265,-0.5682835654,0.3302553182 H,0,5.6576145541,1.1425287722,0.3660175006 H,0,-2.0966644945,2.2042256072,3.046976959 Н,0,-0.7888982232,1.9296152155,1.8795844047 H,0,-2.2214593104,2.8466199775,1.3983110405 H,0,-3.5628883044,-3.129850154,-0.0061296043 H,0,-2.0308317907,-2.5766636022,0.7052829454 H,0,-3.5707765636,-2.0026437475,1.362134668 H,0,-3.256677642,2.9809191569,-2.0875450654 H,0,-4.1190716766,1.453461865,-1.8289695734 H,0,-3.8318583061,2.5486454366,-0.465759479

\section*{Intermediate Structures}

Int-eq- \(A\)

\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717807795\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434629\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455990\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456934\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386475
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283179
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261818\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260873\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-652.331333\)

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.13882 .656148 .295
C, \(0,-0.8618243508,-2.1545744118,0.1298530971\) C, \(0,-2.0743489387,-1.1722713325,0.3789923056\) H,0,-0.8470287634,-2.4571386914,-0.9247059753 H,0,-0.9510752406,-3.0281760229,0.7884742394 C, \(0,0.2572593293,-1.2492039344,0.4613259186\) C,0,0.6049055344,-0.2551495947,-0.4872365562 C,0,0.7054587852,-1.2021319872,1.8755399749 C,0,-0.5242556955,0.7918739628,-0.0687776353 H,0,0.2991831714,-0.5942027631,-1.492774808 C,0,-1.9057760826,0.1666025663,-0.3659505152 Н,0,-0.3523399271,1.6929839549,-0.6723624877 H,0,-0.4427597999,1.0792880931,0.9896411202 C,0,-3.053209087,1.1094898736,-0.0369723129 H,0,-1.94453703,-0.0467754919,-1.446816604 H,0,-2.1601094723,-0.9901725877,1.4624959183 H,0,-2.9951434775,-1.6798167294,0.062466535 B,0,2.2240285191,0.3701731503,-0.6745837214 C, \(0,2.9771308742,-0.8777469769,-1.4451976443\) С,0,3.0151554829,0.7629522585,0.7099790728 C, \(0,2.0592712122,1.6631363734,-1.6698178221\) H,0,4.0288183424,-0.5782910351,-1.6022056226 H,0,2.55818197,-1.0184698049,-2.46016163 C,0,2.9748407175,-2.2234350853,-0.7137736275 H,0,1.5079801137,2.4879117513,-1.1801540358 H,0,1.4514995594,1.3864124566,-2.5545540714 C,0,3.4017580571,2.2299746539,-2.1572402192 C,0,2.507624544,1.996597201,1.4724145143 H,0,4.0568554282,0.968110477,0.4010210488 H,0,3.1169807269,-0.0866529512,1.409288591 H,0,1.0368214898,-0.2015072824,2.1721169959 H,0,-0.0494610836,-1.6044709007,2.5640972249 H,0,1.5924772415,-1.8576194199,1.9262438857 C, \(0,-4.0307645831,1.3280516186,-0.918812596\) С,0,-3.0300603785,1.786516694,1.3131128775 Н, \(0,-4.8666749686,1.9912171865,-0.6881005886\) H,0,-4.0234526222,0.8570115204,-1.9040723231 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.8169967625,1.0751926829,2.1255813493\) H,0,-2.2463019265,2.5579611259,1.3470328514 H, \(0,-3.9904601966,2.2705169461,1.5261913749\)

H,0,3.5161874763,-3.0152750516,-1.253555821 H,0,3.4335862894,-2.1357111616,0.2844366556 H,0,1.9455146909,-2.6085866481,-0.5699550661 H,0,3.1023133852,2.2233480159,2.3710081028 H,0,2.5332512509,2.888881032,0.8288191874 H,0,1.4590322982,1.8876178946,1.800352235 H,0,3.2797359929,3.0983154356,-2.8229084498 H,0,4.0234296419,2.5540329907,-1.3076787555 H,0,3.9782013318,1.4719124189,-2.7083362273

\section*{Int-eq-B \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716199312\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.434669 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.456098
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457043\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386185
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281531
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260101\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259157
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330014

E CV S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 286.20682 .686149 .132

C,0,-1.1575479902,-2.1054852628,-0.2188274148
C, \(0,-2.3516433397,-1.1557609602,0.208527163\)
H,0,-1.1666276996,-2.2274306253,-1.3091757519 H,0,-1.2520539957,-3.0726832383,0.2910465712 C,0,-0.0349852574,-1.2703466178,0.2375230298 C, \(0,0.3030797621,-0.1352529745,-0.5408317113\) C,0,0.4875816762,-1.4598289821,1.6078397117 С,0,-0.8091426979,0.8511226315,0.0222644034 Н, \(0,-0.0015330918,-0.3112323347,-1.5859444293\) C,0,-2.195701195,0.2755983949,-0.3441356926 H,0,-0.6320510712,1.82901559,-0.4477171079 Н,0,-0.7199214222,0.9825940458,1.1095480545 C,0,-3.3380348373,1.159103896,0.1341291506 Н,0,-2.253047349,0.2166970528,-1.4434336633 H,0,-2.4012330393,-1.1308434061,1.3093682887 Н, \(0,-3.2844860679,-1.6120875123,-0.1479661353\) B, \(0,1.9324291119,0.4759301176,-0.5766987297\) C,0,2.8371853813,-0.7853632189,-1.128928143 C, \(0,2.5600246218,0.980481242,0.8569192599\) C,0,1.8459205726,1.7066553257,-1.6670535534 H,0,2.9083700396,-1.5809860738,-0.3591098151 H,0,3.8753588413,-0.4234736933,-1.2402884641 C,0,2.3926415357,-1.4205410912,-2.454513679 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3742355457,2.600145271,-1.2160164973\) H,0,1.1913509743,1.437532911,-2.5194899689 C,0,3.213405411,2.1233603931,-2.2310253536 C, \(0,1.8181745789,2.0497479723,1.6760040135\) H,0,3.5460896171,1.3983908421,0.5829570408 H,0,2.8178901051,0.1313168428,1.5181360696 H,0,0.7513949999,-0.5057142092,2.078776592 H,0,-0.1688691902,-2.0694799978,2.2410379664 Н, \(0,1.4441704461,-1.9985544269,1.47161321\) C,0,-4.3195674061,1.5131536592,-0.6980340576 C, \(0,-3.3053114289,1.6225322813,1.5712793806\) Н,0,-5.1504323059,2.1370198018,-0.3631406195 H,0,-4.3200435426,1.1980453928,-1.743639899 Н,0,-3.1094469856,0.7922719533,2.2666437594 H,0,-2.5068339334,2.3641329802,1.7226489535 H,0,-4.2569489975,2.0872975302,1.8549811877 H,0,3.112126096,-2.1581906208,-2.8416798524 H,0,1.4280140765,-1.9487953092,-2.3512574095

H,0,2.2531373895,-0.6543907414,-3.2343000492
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4644142547,2.53302201,2.4245130266\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4176043314,2.8458924936,1.0285858919\)
H,0,0.9612102188,1.6361761851,2.2349814352
H,0,3.1492150876,3.0010846544,-2.8923839088
H,0,3.9191224189,2.3726486076,-1.4233906491
H,0,3.6681882502,1.3066145486,-2.8123126647

\section*{Int-eq-C}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716073215\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434435\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455977\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456921\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.385704\) Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281638\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260096\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259152\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.330369
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.13082 .796149 .889
C,0,-1.5687747009,-2.2728016264,0.080880715 C,0,-2.7289640989,-1.2454548502,0.386751847 H,0,-1.5748734066,-2.5147924143,-0.9890784249 H,0,-1.7024263509,-3.1757971176,0.690303151 C,0,-0.399917905,-1.4529788221,0.4543834808 C, \(0,0.0042213747,-0.4256451627,-0.4353914025\) C,0,0.1113518976,-1.5457931663,1.8393109367 C,0,-1.0900879953,0.6459900422,0.0292410548 H, \(0,-0.3068413809,-0.7011866775,-1.4564712943\) C,0,-2.4952022381,0.1088149629,-0.308977866 H, \(,--0.8528183824,1.5661741,-0.5239068991\) H,0,-1.0053587307,0.8729801734,1.1014931757 C, \(0,-3.5971878491,1.0946773565,0.0488660801\) H,0,-2.5347565883,-0.0608513114,-1.3979612108
H,0,-2.7903095361,-1.1003151231,1.4776634117 H,0,-3.67721677,-1.6952210672,0.0644915857 B, \(0,1.6233366123,0.1699626506,-0.5236342185\) C,0,2.4352801051,-1.1454980441,-1.1079937463 C, \(0,2.302189739,0.6784764256,0.8877786148\) C, \(0,1.5902694984,1.4088734716,-1.6039241552\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8787604851,-1.6153741256,-1.9422270889\) H,0,2.4853497982,-1.9240911056,-0.3183115741 C, \(0,3.8617913924,-0.8491915662,-1.5915627443\) H,0,2.6406755883, 1.7126665386,-1.7636816362 H,0,1.110769085,2.3130265423,-1.1832040458 C, \(0,0.955773234,1.1096362334,-2.9708258854\) C,0,1.6164945211,1.8033409232,1.6828039321 H,0,3.2952042592,1.059193712,0.5872568195 H,0,2.537676268,-0.1612517608,1.5698304959 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4625657076,-0.5776359431,2.2111998106\) H,0,-0.5945682385,-2.0269964231,2.5279815174 H,0,1.0127686783,-2.1824302221,1.7631803596 C,0,-4.5508787249,1.3991199913,-0.8336198947 C,0,-3.5580949589,1.71286074,1.4263986873 H,0,-5.3542336593,2.0947466162,-0.5839387725 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5545123328,0.9703394416,-1.8379732685\) H,0,-3.4137370166,0.9564475644,2.2127178476 H,0,-2.7249639839,2.4266685656,1.5087898967 H,0,-4.4883224823,2.2525262404,1.6397616965 H, \(0,4.4021652345,-1.7550340938,-1.907915978\) H,0,3.8478299469,-0.1598143161,-2.4498132112 H, \(0,4.4588753337,-0.368753736,-0.8015414445\) H,0,2.2797303642,2.2531442875,2.4373845076

H,0,1.2825688574,2.6145752332,1.0174405866 H, \(0,0.7214320518,1.4593615841,2.2299866845\) H,0,1.1078504658,1.9200307348,-3.699996738 H,0,1.3742552976,0.1891700528,-3.4098564361 H,0,-0.1355913757,0.960625451,-2.8928435194

\author{
Int-eq-D \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.718027928\)
}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434611\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455876\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456820\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386846
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283417
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262152\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261208\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331182
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.06682 .728147 .273
C,0,-0.8004852239,-2.1184240113,0.0023403401
C,0,-1.9953877248,-1.1161586397,0.2516647224
H,0,-0.7637635314,-2.3843917023,-1.0615466991 H,0,-0.9314870692,-3.0124208402,0.6258900802 C, \(0,0.3387587366,-1.2629221315,0.3953616079\) C,0,0.7317904583,-0.2422367735,-0.5065974484 C,0,0.767061071,-1.2986721037,1.816011014 C,0,-0.3806700887, 0.8127395766,-0.0512055968 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.435002659,-0.5360529645,-1.528023049\) C,0,-1.7677048262,0.2497743744,-0.4263641428 H,0,-0.1535992601,1.7472913298,-0.5831346962 H,0,-0.321895274,1.0269204583,1.025714831 C, \(0,-2.897114669,1.2114133239,-0.0902361727\) H, \(0,-1.775159873,0.0896518791,-1.517649635\) H,0,-2.1130506531,-0.977394452,1.3386105022 H,0,-2.9175395068,-1.5845911295,-0.1164796859 B, \(0,2.3326162379,0.4027545776,-0.6169799546\) C,0,3.1717257803,-0.8238570599,-1.3342343416 C, \(0,3.0475494348,0.8300672107,0.8017582172\) C,0,2.2382353989,1.7002983828,-1.6228519597 H, \(0,4.2193624055,-0.4822503428,-1.4226127372\) H,0,2.8306477837,-0.9860108572,-2.3730295398 C,0,3.1622381699,-2.1746331239,-0.6122481314 H,0,3.2813132063,1.9747694814,-1.8669476451 H, \(0,1.8335694881,2.5925046029,-1.1092585829\) C, \(0,1.4684682327,1.5140745461,-2.9406899943\) C,0,2.4206838899,1.9580285938,1.6392053072 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.0559363966,1.1712960826,0.5019598279\) H,0,3.2468859346,-0.038100637,1.4578366153 H,0,1.1745691333,-0.3401535625,2.1495731279 H,0,-0.0297225947,-1.6600275227,2.4802619276 Н, \(0,1.5934167641,-2.0292974195,1.8579568484\) C, \(0,-3.8334643015,1.504936639,-0.9948566942\) C, \(0,-2.9055281694,1.81858642,1.2928314907\) H,0,-4.6566998181,2.1825599855,-0.7607497217 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8024444712,1.0845571522,-2.0022992066\) H,0,-2.763796916,1.0582567103,2.0757881115 H, \(0,-2.0900803153,2.5492142693,1.4017020104\) H,0,-3.852034612,2.3365270141,1.4876066284 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7755648231,-2.9390463179,-1.1132744738\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5336889985,-2.083713158,0.4212717672\) H,0,2.1392807253,-2.5981635639,-0.5601433228 H,0,3.0740878853,2.2848698919,2.4628447143 H,0,2.210954529,2.8434522275,1.020959434 H, \(0,1.4610030784,1.6697856174,2.1015040814\)

Н,0,1.5764959975,2.3740412729,-3.6189915224
H,0,1.8148004686,0.623546735,-3.4875538113
H,0,0.3847507115,1.3834329683,-2.7734224617

\section*{Int-eq-E}

E(RM11) = -652.716880140

Zero-point correction= 0.434272 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455725\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456669\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385926
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282608
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261155\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.260211
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330954
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.97282 .784148 .891

C,0,-1.1781121491,-1.8430985013,1.4926524174 C, \(0,-2.4214572486,-0.984978167,1.0362735054\) Н,0,-1.1019144018,-2.7366403296,0.8602114796 H,0,-1.2821395453,-2.1177652145,2.5503043251 C,0,-0.091336269,-0.8825592149,1.2198866297 C, \(0,0.3047957049,-0.6716782905,-0.1256530338\) C,0,0.3325322372,0.022327249,2.3102391016 C, \(0,-0.8890835219,0.3121742459,-0.5080739383\) H,0,0.1084317434,-1.5878374905,-0.7097369601 C, \(0,-2.2367428573,-0.4273975786,-0.3875508028\) H,0,-0.6903162683,0.6309040514,-1.5411217516 H,0,-0.8666186181,1.2129355491,0.1252215354 C, \(0,-3.4156141389,0.450292255,-0.7797667147\) Н,0,-2.2082644768,-1.2847851573,-1. 0800109507 H,0,-2.5597246601,-0.1559970954,1.7494673003 H,0,-3.3163337277,-1.6181593157,1.0969722726 B, \(0,1.8569999795,-0.0223133033,-0.5362017151\) C,0,2.0377115042,-0.5340470746,-2.0910851519 C, \(0,2.9529375022,-0.7277213932,0.4752570098\) C,0,2.0171119918,1.6171580809,-0.4261446758 H,0,1.1686959428,-0.2275495063,-2.7079077521 H,0,2.0332444518,-1.6403027549,-2.1267268071 C, \(0,3.3174528699,-0.0306193373,-2.7752917664\) H,0,3.104226399,1.7766057023,-0.5530133744 H,0,1.824044648,2.0054355832,0.5926545111 C,0,1.2988903943,2.5297391197,-1.4393515677 C,0,2.761980297,-2.2232743767,0.756508121 H,0,3.0222335494,-0.1823204751,1.4371448595 H,0,3.9491534824,-0.5875812939,0.019233206 H,0,0.722511984,0.9705666598,1.9267901787 H,0,-0.4442719302,0.1633384087,3.0733754558 H,0,1.1821140362,-0.5003278421,2.7882910521 C,0,-4.3551074935,-0.0023653214,-1.6124198468 C, \(0,-3.467256559,1.8431208252,-0.1977770167\) Н,0,-5.213357859,0.6127643254,-1.889529268 H,0,-4.2929951806,-1.0004842392,-2.0513633482 Н,0,-3.2888584842,1.8407964281,0.8883375614 H,0,-2.6933831614,2.4821518499,-0.6497933593 H,0,-4.4419501439,2.3096796055,-0.3829657274 H,0,3.4352024158,-0.4250386616,-3.7964264828 H,0,3.3321339739,1.0678241916,-2.8442489622 H,0,4.2121690586,-0.3264476669,-2.2061048155 Н,0,3.5787159079,-2.6642559303,1.348143775 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8303221769,-2.427337955,1.3211302811\) H,0,2.6848298144,-2.796952937,-0.1805124617 H,0,1.7706339584,3.5219718999,-1.510375975 H,0,1.3074245941,2.0935728764,-2.4508372484

H,0,0.2446834568,2.7084413671,-1.1777823237
```

Int-eq-F
$\mathrm{E}($ RM11 $)=-652.716242044$

```

Zero-point correction \(=0.434357\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455824\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456768\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.385789\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281885
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260418\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.259474
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330453
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.03482 .801149 .387

C,0,-0.790590145,-1.4243429628,1.7551619525
C, \(0,-2.047605504,-0.7046212287,1.1229887174\)
Н,0,-0.6472405461,-2.3964556315,1.2669922835 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9346418713,-1.5442959357,2.83671304\) C, \(0,0.2574670268,-0.4557401121,1.3797230081\) C, \(0,0.6879641131,-0.4367559821,0.0300379415\) С, \(, 0,0.5806914129,0.6239877372,2.3350671277\)
C, \(0,-0.5142494425,0.4187681262,-0.5627433615\) H,0,0.5400467463,-1.4419444097,-0.3996588039 C,0,-1.8334239487,-0.3583509602,-0.3630858368 H,0,-0.2887475081,0.5664207077,-1.6282875291 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5588163313,1.4131952953,-0.0934289027\) C,0,-3.0386833577,0.3890942298,-0.9139341126 H,0,-1.7439494789,-1.3083020207,-0.9154867336 H,0,-2.253189421,0.2133227354,1.69743083 H,0,-2.9158525623,-1.3652160559,1.2471259212 B, \(0,2.2523006327,0.1946327184,-0.4673342937\) C,0,2.5642144628,-0.7949116456,-1.7511425612 C, \(0,3.3294963868,-0.0288429668,0.7515907778\) C,0,2.2199115984,1.7570443404,-0.9795841972 Н,0,1.8035686983,-0.6255697081,-2.5401012251 H,0,2.4469846458,-1.8561855583,-1.4604477851 C,0,3.9597818007,-0.6278905758,-2.3694362982 H,0,1.530003186,1.8789923677,-1.8363532702 H,0,3.2204984457,1.9255364528,-1.4162255948 C,0,1.9773874424,2.9055913196,0.013952473 C,0,3.4002216215,-1.4552817821,1.3103961776 H,0,3.1834829199,0.6813978463,1.5861710529 H,0,4.3243288696,0.2378486168,0.3510638905 H,0,0.9443313579,1.5256017993,1.8322591084 H,0,-0.2414241755,0.8318001729,3.0330213994 H,0,1.427528165,0.2273369407,2.9261591378 C,0,-3.9236732455,-0.2289416722,-1.6987176959 C,0,-3.1819086679,1.8458761029,-0.541458245 Н,0,-4.8014723888,0.2919611785,-2.0857453182 H,0,-3.7959071281,-1.2748221278,-1.9860081987 H,0,-3.0323386408,2.0108055006,0.5364991209 H,0,-2.4325915365,2.4565088229,-1.0669164036 H,0,-4.1754490697,2.2237144202,--0.8101530578 H,0,4.1193046773,-1.290302879,-3.2343298387 H,0,4.1301891151,0.4031464747,-2.7128537311 Н, 0,4.7460342507,-0.8583161339,-1.6334507073 H,0,4.1298283796,-1.5710813743,2.1269394571 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4232726648,-1.7930396712,1.7101589488\) H,0,3.6700470123,-2.1738521187,0.5215157514 H,0,2.205136416,3.8900185823,-0.4230190174 H,0,0.9276685098,2.9605593834,0.3504307399 H,0,2.6081803013,2.8020219012,0.9118591818
```

Int-eq-G
$\mathrm{E}($ RM11 $)=-652.718657371$

```

Zero-point correction= 0.434649 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455935\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456880\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386695
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284009
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262722\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261778\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331962

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.10482 .607147 .716
C,0,-1.6356389779,-2.1959669721,-0.3106899963
C,0,-2.7676046444,-1.1818722205,0.1167221219
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6307807077,-2.2978925491,-1.4032385006\)
H,0,-1.8036757078,-3.1668208067,0.1734532425
C,0,-0.4462657983,-1.4707827134,0.1773057685 C, \(0,0.0122791375,-0.3519291561,-0.5619311061\) C,0,0.0147189381,-1.7403977921,1.559646134 C, \(0,-1.0476229812,0.6817726423,0.0471763998\) H,0,-0.2839645596,-0.4672270381,-1.6190845664 C, \(0,-2.4711255724,0.2517993616,-0.3626320432\) H,0,-0.7854577845, 1.664862827,-0.3644607434 H,0,-0.942697614,0.75191377,1.140963724 C,0,-3.5367010302,1.2134305846,0.1415244694 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5105766397,0.2514165501,-1.4647690699\) H,0,-2.856815058,-1.1983648553,1.2148364603 H,0,-3.7216550789,-1.5409209145,-0.2910460818 B, \(0,1.664815909,0.1945744076,-0.4371226137\) C,0,2.6254134542,-1.1261603397,-0.202657818 C, \(0,1.8513855219,1.2939695128,0.772422814\) C,0,1.9700273693,0.8433564051,-1.9172480636 H,0,2.6686697901,-1.4306682717,0.8612453682 H,0,3.6577392067,-0.8094881765,-0.4353696149 C, \(0,2.3128846449,-2.3520617983,-1.0709474883\) Н,0,1.8533320503,0.0753696372,-2.7051597036 H,0,3.0401715013,1.1171722142,-1.9470515351 C,0,1.1369215887,2.072107658,-2.311801563 C,0,3.2551959321,1.9213633725,0.7883193397 H,0,1.6765567616,0.8386299517,1.7679755726 H,0,1.1191199919,2.1198423124,0.7032586441 H,0,0.5508933274,-0.886741316,1.9866618886 H,0,-0.7986419725,-2.0904618518,2.2098921633 H, \(0,0.7424145023,-2.5665719049,1.4664119958\) C,0,-4.4839022412,1.6729199564,-0.6787095493 C,0,-3.4695207858,1.6242724036,1.5932040056 H,0,-5.2626981012,2.3503860619,-0.3234857001 H,0,-4.5069815884,1.3934929299,-1.734235455 H,0,-3.3371608501,0.7583752837,2.2596521622 H,0,-2.6162992098,2.2967274068,1.7666249213 H,0,-4.3827508985,2.1506339955,1.8949484912 H, \(0,3.0258582452,-3.1792534224,-0.9315924667\) H,0,1.3093129375,-2.7738300733,-0.8628091068 H, \(, 2.2 .3154234853,-2.0904999086,-2.1398255333\) H,0,3.4056906609,2.6041520475,1.6387249823 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.0364408795,1.1478776626,0.8438140468\) H,0,3.4369535463,2.4984168689,-0.1312394599 H, \(0,1.4642445535,2.5240284469,-3.2607977418\) H,0,0.0708166649,1.8157446043,-2.4402426861 H,0,1.1928173819,2.857196316,-1.5397687191

Int-eq- \(H\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716616260\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434652\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455932
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456876
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386709
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281965\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.260684
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.259740 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329908
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { E } \quad \text { CV } \quad \text { S } \\
\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
\text { Total } 286.102 & 82.657147 .680
\end{array}
\]

C, \(0,-0.6142382048,-1.4319180032,1.7177316263\) C,0,-1.9004627651,-0.7520553842,1.1018469551 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4514788468,-2.4036151521,1.2348457572\) H, \(0,-0.7386444625,-1.5479484095,2.8022080491\) C,0,0.4059271634,-0.4415140089,1.3208319549 C, \(0,0.8139013228,-0.4200210981,-0.0359292468\) C, \(0,0.7103044756,0.6602476961,2.2601959704\) C, \(0,-0.418090539,0.4290020301,-0.5878731048\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6709581644,-1.4270611693,-0.4633289823\) C, \(0,-1.7138959603,-0.3840881259,-0.3833818429\) H,0,-0.2180007454,0.6143275859,-1.6515688353 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4754876237,1.4092001087,-0.0903047912\) C,0,-2.9421600735,0.3369482462,-0.9171613814 H,0,-1.6038828179,-1.324375232,-0.9495158244 H,0,-2.1313490951,0.1532356214,1.6861782847 H,0,-2.7444812307,-1.442799404,1.2280939939 B, \(0,2.3658552303,0.2213203263,-0.5363021608\) C, \(0,2.7640797356,-0.7717769871,-1.7916273612\) C, \(0,3.4417113082,0.0277612969,0.6925974541\) C, \(0,2.3194248295,1.777470698,-1.0692753907\) H,0,2.8893082073,-1.812344478,-1.4377417131 H,0,3.7655512261,-0.4628696907,-2.1442976716 C,0,1.8082502299,-0.7889632927,-2.9943414745 H, \(0,1.6062126581,1.9005138056,-1.9048391838\) H,0,3.3093912616,1.9325510581,-1.5372508972 C, \(0,2.0978925154,2.9358523093,-0.0820125312\) C,0,3.487035935,-1.3634635356,1.339488723 H,0,3.3257561097,0.7870417452,1.4878959219 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.4388455892,0.237641004,0.2638377738\) H,0,1.0633777831,1.5573493648,1.7417719054 Н, \(0,-0.1240766909,0.8715951498,2.9428512428\) H,0,1.5541538559,0.2918985438,2.8721063743 C, \(0,-3.8032597277,-0.2888232753,-1.7222903054\) C,0,-3.1350115478,1.7783889819,-0.5088908858 H,0,-4.6949725953, 0.2143657414,-2.100909277 H,0,-3.6399024683,-1.3217696869,-2.0368843275 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0061476472,1.9192290248,0.5749603932\) H,0,-2.3982482545,2.4257761623,-1.0074746785 H, \(0,-4.1359180646,2.13262215,-0.7823728463\) H,0,2.1749783974,-1.4210800058,-3.8176531224 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8131552256,-1.1813682018,-2.7203892833\) H,0,1.6570976421, \(0.2214768085,-3.4049858112\) H,0,4.222116269,-1.4396979028,2.1557154349 H,0,2.50974551,-1.6574002758,1.7719779957 H,0,3.7348414848,-2.138928145,0.6004675643 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3304410138,3.9147405729,-0.528614767\) H,0,1.0503039827,3.0014978039,0.2590873343 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7321250343,2.8348711293,0.8134441887\)

Int-eq-I
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715909276\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434989\) (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456112\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457056\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387358
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280921
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259797\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258853\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328551

\footnotetext{
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 286.21582 .464146 .692

C, \(0,-1.4343509236,-2.2490679633,0.7665832584\) C,0,-2.6739510582,-1.2682479436,0.6812797674 H, \(0,-1.3397798408,-2.8034304431,-0.1752590864\) H,0,-1.5611827513,-2.9312914917,1.6171185559 C, \(0,-0.3690291021,-1.2433065807,0.9343297781\) C, \(0,0.0339097768,-0.5151271522,-0.2131213105\) C, \(0,-0.0571112633,-0.8103673056,2.3202353862\) C,0,-1.1263065174,0.5695977663,-0.1917354969 H,0,-0.176164486,-1.1192478858,-1.1131442838 C,0,-2.4798024873,-0.1588786444,-0.3712162048 Н,0,-0.9389029474,1.2458551276,-1.0352164085 H,0,-1.1036748207,1.1677065601,0.732398086 C,0,-3.663635527,0.7964665338,-0.3572891778 Н, \(0,-2.4533656638,-0.6459280604,-1.3601552788\) H,0,-2.8361766304,-0.821426021,1.675201544 H,0,-3.5637817423,-1.8678243541,0.4483107743 B, \(0,1.6707002575,0.0983575081,-0.4259320226\) C, \(0,2.4653532174,-1.2700479622,-0.8757315021\) C,0,2.325655589,0.7788388786,0.9153811823 C,0,1.595777176,1.1576032572,-1.6822834 Н,0,3.5010951165,-0.9826742521,-1.1304453929 Н,0,2.0426948084,-1.6725506578,-1.815844274 C,0,2.5117712642,-2.3824916383,0.1749062364 H, \(0,0.840071122,0.8223996578,-2.4209876185\) H,0,2.5517858603,1.0929087727,-2.2336020852 C, \(0,1.3529120571,2.6381333218,-1.3382555065\) C,0,3.7936653288,1.1768005851,0.6738250781 H,0,2.3218155552,0.1064725521,1.7901212573 H,0,1.7568686507,1.6772665697,1.2266967214 H,0,0.2122914315,0.2491840346,2.3747033611 H,0,-0.8597192337,-1.0658753414,3.0245263501 H,0,0.8429993839,-1.3794350496,2.6133629899 C, \(0,-4.5960843139,0.7410638958,-1.3105187131\) C,0,-3.7329858947,1.8001697151,0.769317314 H,0,-5.4593723203,1.4091632562,-1.3006582836 Н,0,-4.5225218531,0.0308846366,-2.1369269869 H,0,-3.5394396179,1.3331837337,1.7470040949 Н,0,-2.977973533,2.5889465298,0.6341482674 H,0,-4.7184908896,2.279146609,0.8085338806 H,0,3.0639437119,-3.2762883474,-0.1532001962 H,0,2.9810873985,-2.027940536,1.1075694795 H,0,1.4938972213,-2.7355523309,0.4369443907 H,0,4.2131292181,1.7771587839,1.4957481526 Н,0,4.4236705716,0.2801306142,0.5693425971 H,0,3.9106792887,1.75477475,-0.2555054839 H,0,1.2241688344,3.2663293498,-2.2334548087 H,0,0.4642610071,2.7866291991,-0.7039838904 H,0,2.198326851,3.0523418328,-0.7692557014

\section*{Int-eq-J}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.718594172\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434558\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455838\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456782\)
}

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386436
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284036
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262757\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261812
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.332158

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 286.04282 .630148 .055
C,0,-0.7854902737,-2.1363065802,0.5593091695 C,0,-1.9853209709,-1.1096497074,0.5025190158 H, \(0,-0.7018214842,-2.654464331,-0.4040532505\) H,0,-0.9508055037,-2.8504680285,1.3765810809 C, \(0,0.3366652002,-1.2010942839,0.7842123616\) C,0,0.784043961,-0.4412095274,-0.3251972385 C, \(0,0.6717735497,-0.8708315738,2.1942074497\) C,0,-0.3387556195,0.6922144071,-0.1966644101 H,0,0.5369473664,-0.9845289022,-1.2529075678 C,0,-1.7169190669,0.0545056538,-0.4715733565 Н, \(0,-0.0831962642,1.457013599,-0.9429958692\) H,0,-0.3097968435,1.1757900245,0.7912029956 C,0,-2.8503396998,1.067770745,-0.4173473118 Н, \(0,-1.6860318875,-0.3624036879,-1.4922650941\) H,0,-2.1559150962,-0.7167428168,1.5177042427 H, \(0,-2.8908166959,-1.6582812774,0.2121311251\) B, \(0,2.3750060768,0.2121300151,-0.5102292271\) C,0,3.3068455432,-1.1137050095,-0.8087893151 C, \(0,2.8825935774,1.0556519464,0.8077323844\) C, \(0,2.316047593,1.1854116609,-1.8357459288\) H,0,4.3513837426,-0.7629563744,-0.8974982928 H,0,3.0671769463,-1.5487481367,-1.7964886656 C,0,3.2604069791,-2.2321519046,0.2360797881 H,0,3.3636701638,1.3837937421,-2.1255205087 H,0,1.9076637609,2.1845465166,-1.5841808848 C,0,1.5808730644,0.6442961719,-3.0728522694 C,0,4.145214821,1.8879087518,0.5272278364 H,0,3.1056978083,0.3878668623,1.6610453801 H,0,2.0954528466,1.7514075641,1.1650583779 H,0,1.0545782999,0.147495979,2.3037652637 H,0,-0.1684509349,-1.0666333222,2.87413987 H,0,1.4914923844,-1.5549317531,2.4736849235 C,0,-3.7585704844,1.127991207,-1.3932338929 C,0,-2.8956325989,1.995715759,0.773578833 H,0,-4.5848979723,1.8403498118,-1.3566521506 H,0,-3.7002377947,0.4732462778,-2.2653574036 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7639760477,1.4533391019,1.7221606159\) Н,0,-2.0894144612,2.7420831812,0.7150422808 Н, \(0,-3.8506857794,2.5323728476,0.8168836951\) Н,0,3.9355895719,-3.0706205111,0.0067228793 H,0,3.5322716707,-1.8583407961,1.2367065343 H,0,2.2464851991,-2.6730929994,0.3119169232 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5395194762,2.3770058413,1.431302958\) H,0,4.949923177,1.2584833847,0.1162109442 H,0,3.944465938,2.6759964049,-0.2127579435 H,0,1.7315815511,1.272780222,-3.9635993197 H, \(0,1.924357247,-0.370338381,-3.3295477031\) H,0,0.4895346937,0.5807038954,-2.9179440545

\section*{Int-eq-K \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716958287\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434589\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455848\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456792
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386850 Sum of electronic and ZPE= -652.282369

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261110\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260166
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330109
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 286.04982 .606147 .207
C,0,-1.1004922143,-2.1698043939,-0.2793519761 C,0,-2.2169503723,-1.1197979593,0.0954088481 Н, 0,-1.1139274188,-2.3409265546,-1.3633406144 Н,0,-1.2698658942,-3.1065860709,0.267375148 C, \(0,0.1071267737,-1.431685869,0.1414692412\) C,0,0.5587088333,-0.3602407014,-0.6686089066 C, \(0,0.6221420837,-1.6517987349,1.5113036632\) C,0,-0.4927937343,0.7171167312,-0.1341802783 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.2575220219,-0.5419137439,-1.7150404511\) C, \(0,-1.9212541175,0.2687145368,-0.5027542234\) Н,0,-0.2163907581,1.6638875296,-0.6210532109 H,0,-0.389797363,0.8642551168,0.9517097414 C, \(0,-2.9766436103,1.2765529483,-0.0730634382\) H,0,-1.9703884792,0.1772999428,-1.6002184711 H,0,-2.2791135394,-1.0493846096,1.1936135549 H,0,-3.1822033928,-1.5031110855,-0.2605987729 B,0,2.1879133488,0.2314319952,-0.5886991801 C, \(0,3.1788047662,-1.0777745092,-0.4352102315\) C, \(0,2.3890312117,1.3305556284,0.6324426175\) C, \(0,2.3364410321,0.9469667662,-2.0652062972\) H,0,3.2611224779,-1.4186343731,0.6151379439 H,0,4.2022949116,-0.7464645358,-0.6878122426 C,0,2.8340692235,-2.2819817024,-1.3206488147 Н, \(0,1.480345852,1.6318327569,-2.2345894114\) H,0,2.2588525706,0.1882542635,-2.8674722656 C,0,3.6270394394,1.7514723104,-2.2745752892 C,0,3.8379506592,1.452430901,1.13765468 H,0,1.7604090326,1.1237586571,1.5206333975 Н,0,2.0515208474,2.3281465891,0.2888923092 H,0,1.197270789,-0.7961119244,1.878607661 Н,0,-0.1632633725,-1.9663712322,2.212053127 H,0,1.3295187318,-2.495926761,1.408432214 С,0,-3.9161568914,1.685026617,-0.9284190688 C,0,-2.9078822158,1.7944045514,1.3440233205 H,0,-4.6870452051,2.3971044968,-0.6278129935 H,0,-3.9405174924,1.3271775836,-1.9599212746 H,0,-2.7910790199,0.9790796503,2.0739797326 H,0,-2.0455325306,2.4656746315,1.4711285355 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8141130811,2.3553234642,1.6011170532\) H,0,3.5762737404,-3.0927042115,-1.2612418537 H,0,1.8606503493,-2.7355645142,-1.0474071098 H,0,2.7489039436,-1.9884127164,-2.3781949303 H,0,3.9592968611,2.2551576133,1.8816256829 H,0,4.1695719911,0.5147837548,1.6093331737 H,0,4.5392590253,1.6549690326,0.3156680179 H,0,3.7079628835,2.1618043913,-3.2931879478 H,0,3.6812412635,2.6000286482,-1.5757539867 H,0,4.5190773888,1.1288766552,-2.1012011231
Int-eq- \(L\)
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.717541389\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434774\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456039\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456983\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386944 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282768
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261502\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260558\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330597

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 286.16982 .530147 .410

C,0,-1.1752746954,-2.3609172221,-0.3783262252
C, \(0,-2.4393969203,-1.4564549181,-0.1095311932\) Н,0,-1.0083900527,-2.4464248277,-1.4595404156 H,0,-1.324322777,-3.3496757331,0.0745573813 C,0,-0.1335758054,-1.5410961154,0.2760380416 C,0,0.3076029664,-0.3753890893,-0.3969785067 C,0,0.1287794242,-1.7873681723,1.7156706965 C, \(0,-0.9014440039,0.5548993186,0.0789990014\) Н, \(0,0.1421249341,-0.4997652433,-1.4817448738\) C, \(0,-2.210868527,0.0133093651,-0.522907099\) Н,0,-0.6722334371,1.5621591415,-0.2927336978 H,0,-0.9711199081,0.6103075777,1.175266498 C,0,-3.4365621396,0.854407038,-0.2011142588 H,0,-2.0932593003,0.0281622608,-1.6226893995 H,0,-2.6863261471,-1.4920820986,0.9643752349 H,0,-3.2829202644,-1.9021541525,-0.6527650139 B, \(0,1.9125502443,0.2840504245,-0.2371121831\) С, \(0,2.7998647165,-0.775065205,-1.1377232319\) C, \(0,2.5080468405,0.3908327186,1.2895771754\) C, \(0,1.8336272117,1.7513649005,-0.9653554282\) H,0,3.8534504675,-0.4473238558,-1.0841094342 H,0,2.5242503137,-0.7023384463,-2.2074708464 C, \(0,2.7405161513,-2.2434879553,-0.7059822047\) H,0,1.2075784536,2.4598210337,-0.3907116557 H,0,1.3407075478,1.6570019894,-1.9538031093 C,0,3.2083335602,2.40753888,-1.1665501218 C, \(0,1.8644206073,1.4349630542,2.2151343543\) H,0,3.5720073618,0.6663641991,1.1679540419 H,0,2.5519442886,-0.5845525442,1.8074784389 H,0,0.4103239594,-0.8732148925,2.2479970878 H,0,-0.7052841713,-2.3064214426,2.2062896861 H,0,1.0066560765,-2.4565240687,1.7441588588 C,0,-3.4010900974,1.9157156044,0.6080534813 C,0,-4.7198381922,0.4226732901,-0.8731852418 H,0,-4.3039756079,2.49988416,0.7955571275 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4884270463,2.2501099956,1.1017115153\) H,0,-4.5567168526,0.2247490866,-1.9435198768 H, \(0,-5.1134303302,-0.5028572833,-0.4262898752\) Н,0,-5.4936812513,1.1938948568,-0.7793582296 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3751565851,-2.9045370763,-1.3157273537\) H,0,3.0562024907,-2.3636472369,0.3430616485 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7139429424,-2.6532992081,-0.7896878645\) H,0,2.339215931,1.4821695874,3.2075011964 H,0,1.9313739967,2.440025545,1.7719704126 H,0,0.7895419248,1.2463093918,2.3829699236 H,0,3.1420299408,3.3897868813,-1.6593792718 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7174737758,2.5602861992,-0.20172409\) Н, \(, 3.3 .8655467646,1.7749630376,-1.7818041\)

\section*{Int-eq-M}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715865314\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434565\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.456048
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456992\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385802
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281301\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259817\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258873\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330063

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.17582 .684149 .833
C,0,-1.134798444,2.415432689,-0.1898495136
C, \(,-2.4076029412,1.4768190448,-0.180445347\) H,0,-0.9045277238,2.7223595316,0.8382266844 H,0,-1.3209634919,3.2858747676,-0.8317394946 C,0,-0.1445569475,1.4603634892,-0.7161025893 C, \(0,0.3369449285,0.4578680156,0.161366741\) C,0,0.0821226807,1.3949100838,-2.1756620342 C, \(0,-0.8897056,-0.5453672808,0.0106303061\) H,0,0.2606119662,0.820099322,1.2000895438 C,0,-2.1567223347, 0.1408859001,0.5525735041 Н,0,-0.6257723227,-1.4414725018,0.589652295 H, \(0,-1.0379889976,-0.8527284694,-1.0337620148\) C,0,-3.4032401003,-0.7298307898,0.5048334428 H,0,-1.972857085,0.3809695369,1.6165563568 H,0,-2.7070928168,1.265842893,-1.2204906723 H,0,-3.2226213064,2.0465751133,0.2845868173 B, \(0,1.9193621852,-0.2324301092,-0.0296067862\) C, \(0,2.9470284743,1.0496907239,0.0962714755\) C,0,2.2212216843,-1.0059915977,-1.4493337952 C,0,2.039601086,-1.2568190673,1.2544284321 H,0,2.8707605951,1.6987757744,-0.8002026546 H,0,3.9776732997,0.6529404808,0.0559648305 C, \(0,2.8043543201,1.9229813633,1.3512383782\) H,0,1.4655800105,-2.1851882607,1.0728939972 Н, \(0,1.5841312294,-0.8125756343,2.1616229287\) C,0,3.4858515974,-1.6506368001,1.5933993461 C, \(0,1.3020477892,-2.1536300724,-1.8994977047\) H,0,3.2339325966,-1.4274725295,-1.3125838742 H, \(0,2.3523379706,-0.2960715144,-2.2881998683\) H,0,0.2401557423,0.3657210242,-2.5178054337 H, \(,-0.6898867944,1.9132192783,-2.7577520478\) H,0,1.0473341453,1.9127302297,-2.3315106886 C, \(0,-3.4216322315,-1.9459951826,-0.045873138\) C,0,-4.6399481693,-0.1377440506,1.1412518116 H, \(0,-4.3365693978,-2.5414609268,-0.0429945373\) H,0,-2.5433780628,-2.398716681,-0.5069152291 H,0,-4.4096273616,0.2818198595,2.1323919878 H,0,-5.0541618773,0.6779999442,0.5294636421 H,0,-5.4235282298,-0.8952820231,1.2611165289 H,0,3.6049110148,2.6722246071,1.448557352 H,0,1.8514794941,2.4818161552,1.3542760846 H,0,2.8144627245,1.3079636522,2.265653496 H,0,1.7656940151,-2.7893244161,-2.6691786369 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0340879764,-2.8085877425,-1.0551199308\) H,0,0.3537191195,-1.7924811899,-2.3331068567 H,0,3.5435007681,-2.3998913458,2.3979354566 H,0,4.0006376306,-2.0718868948,0.715913401 H,0,4.0698229219,-0.7754823492,1.9172911276

\section*{Int-eq- \(N\)}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715801041\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434691\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456064\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457008\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386471 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281110\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259737\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258793\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329330
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.18582 .585148 .459

C, \(0,-1.0824822617,-1.9733135111,-1.5065202384\)
C, \(0,-2.3712580514,-1.327609054,-0.8675003454\)
H,0,-0.8841322267,-1.5004360993,-2.4765163381 H,0,-1.2275206923,-3.0553546918,-1.6197611625 C, \(0,-0.0714213436,-1.5991064075,-0.4971859956\) C, \(0,0.3751759161,-0.2549396263,-0.4700678879\) C,0,0.215356057,-2.5528648356,0.5971279086 C, \(0,-0.8813363853,0.3105224296,0.3497741011\) H,0,0.2561358783,0.1785577192,-1.476429277
C, \(0,-2.1548788529,0.15351346,-0.4954343243\) H,0,-0.6397923973,1.3650798268,0.5461164059 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9941254367,-0.1907584898,1.3216245589\) C,0,-3.4052508949,0.7267137136,0.1539829627 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.9958281056,0.7112088851,-1.4379543227\) H,0,-2.6444082525,-1.8894460715,0.0412393073 H,0,-3.190629085,-1.4518699178,-1.587422964 B, \(0,1.9168566622,0.2280160501,0.1310913119\) C, \(0,2.9372220993,-0.4525474559,-0.9766648766\) C, \(0,2.3094031511,-0.2707122054,1.6503439589\) C, \(0,1.9322459415,1.8710127608,0.0665438126\) Н, \(0,2.5714475441,-0.2813925022,-2.007948129\) H,0,2.9328400799,-1.5551413137,-0.8466410977 C, \(0,4.3880418787,0.0424970442,-0.8969045947\) H,0,2.9596177551,2.1854937704,0.3249789241 Н, 0, 1.3076341312,2.3203276097, 0.8617205245 C, \(0,1.5492656167,2.5121554037,-1.2762180037\) C, \(0,1.3965471232,0.1226212259,2.8252726344\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.2935331673,0.1946934106,1.8402684898\) H,0,2.5187702984,-1.3569276676,1.6967281379 H,0,0.4251910033,-2.0423022273,1.5427520971 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5538693621,-3.3281496219,0.7039115963\) H,0,1.1625456227,-3.0415893386,0.3010688758 C, \(0,-3.416496494,1.2405384854,1.3861460673\) C, \(0,-4.6553397898,0.6921638225,-0.6948968417\) H, \(0,-4.3357164037,1.6534406892,1.8057817355\) H,0,-2.5276663262,1.2813276567,2.0163569407 H,0,-4.45126045,1.065053839,-1.7101206852 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.0421456207,-0.3332396233,-0.7952688078\) H, \(0,-5.4494584473,1.3069081329,-0.2547256362\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,5.0555146629,-0.4774400519,-1.6017283274\) H,0,4.4463465257,1.1182220694,-1.1237312799 H,0,4.803090248,-0.0976015361,0.1128868882 H,0,1.886668606,-0.0043208725,3.8026275395 H,0,1.0841621181,1.1760526574,2.7520682734 H,0,0.4702286295,-0.4759548222,2.8689163435 H,0,1.7363996962,3.5965539493,-1.3015433396 H,0,2.115243366,2.0612276197,-2.1077412069 H,0,0.4777299409,2.3753295119,-1.5056975936

\section*{Int-eq-O \\ \(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.717785441\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434701\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456010\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456954\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386423
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283084
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261775\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260831\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331362
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.15182 .574148 .445
C, \(0,-0.7481134205,-2.3048125703,-0.8594437192\)

C,0,-2.1076412593,-1.6417258693,-0.4139735091 H,0,-0.5820307227,-2.1167278293,-1.9277516672 H, \(0,-0.7778602011,-3.3825797729,-0.6526558605\) C,0,0.206274492,-1.5506303721,-0.0175371548 C,0,0.4917927719,-0.2155072816,-0.3935787255 C,0,0.5360258463,-2.1090083959,1.3177131477 C, \(0,-0.8107753835,0.413626229,0.2943480414\) Н, 0,0.3136613455,-0.1059146126,-1.476638487 C,0,-2.0556643395,-0.0987793287,-0.4493980505 H, \(,--0.6937826332,1.5031732186,0.2118531753\) H, \(,--0.8710081922,0.1687676695,1.3645491626\) C,0,-3.3654518768,0.488046631,0.054265925 H,0,-1.9519006063,0.2057623743,-1.5082157644 H,0,-2.3456540258,-1.9653323942,0.612776172 H,0,-2.8954810622,-2.0343724984,-1.0699971337 B, \(0,1.9650175236,0.6129205274,-0.0466047422\) C, \(0,3.0371984566,-0.1055258357,-1.0754140908\) C, \(0,2.5075703827,0.5168774759,1.5026277488\) C, \(0,1.7218148494,2.1819809613,-0.4772095602\) H,0,4.01678866,0.3756646962,-0.8999485154 H, \(0,2.788187118,0.1181932215,-2.1288514242\) C,0,3.2103633188,-1.6212059156,-0.9367693405 H,0,2.718382601,2.6609759202,-0.4686054184 H,0,1.145062349,2.7357675901,0.2870577306 C,0,1.073015962,2.441477324,-1.8472906807 C,0,1.6528283853,1.1308947464,2.6245966195 H,0,3.4684473408,1.0642833593, 1.4882803025 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7928593831,-0.512778222,1.7895381182\) H,0,0.7622736511,-1.3286235085,2.0500672586 H,0,-0.2386203187,-2.798604929,1.6797444319 H,0,1.4623869972,-2.6922346864,1.1740128472 C,0,-3.4407036086,1.3024357275,1.1095021493 C,0,-4.5987923815,0.0990345761,-0.7279062523 H, \(,-4.401841662,1.7112476646,1.4266096083\) H,0,-2.5653972271,1.601186824,1.6868545422 H,0,-4.4313971,0.2159039093,-1.809459427 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.8689148722,-0.9531269909,-0.551104637\) H, \(0,-5.458469447,0.7172791268,-0.4431010927\) H,0,3.9653197862,-2.0362308711,-1.6219441364 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5084481026,-1.9021889085,0.0862947722\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2690370363,-2.1594617811,-1.1669896104\) H,0,2.1894409236,1.1817005126,3.584561238 H,0,1.3414434193,2.1554130037,2.3723504071 H,0,0.7257884574,0.5647548593,2.8183473166 H,0,1.0836507847,3.506149451,-2.1259150926 H,0,1.5883003557,1.8902264925,-2.649230342 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0156087003,2.1238135319,-1.8704579906\)

\section*{Int-eq-P}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.716821262\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434394\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455762\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456706\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386324 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.282428\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261059\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260115\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330498
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.99582 .662148 .133
C, \(0,-1.16732342,-2.4533161682,-0.2307259482\)
C, \(0,-2.4262438253,-1.5300214805,-0.0209105864\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9915932211,-2.591905357,-1.3051969403\)

H,0,-1.3254833136,-3.4183482216,0.2679210414 C, \(0,-0.1196896305,-1.6175198123,0.3900282031\) C, \(0,0.3549944856,-0.4883828561,-0.3231174392\) C, \(0,0.181195262,-1.8204754658,1.8235548819\) C,0,-0.868831598,0.4652894288,0.0503248007 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.2455970356,-0.6702533444,-1.4066779916\) C, \(, 0,-2.1776564295,-0.0946621293,-0.5281569783\) H,0,-0.6147039112,1.4406775188,-0.3873587555 H,0,-0.9411541173,0.6004040616,1.1409815202 C, \(0,-3.3903718114,0.7858053178,-0.267074255\) H, \(,-2.0528179372,-0.1529577558,-1.6257789174\) H,0,-2.675053839,-1.494374256,1.0526878204 H, 0,-3.2720249613,-2.003308258,-0.536426457 B, \(0,1.8881193723,0.2360601359,0.0133633698\) C, \(0,2.2024555427,1.0389057075,-1.3900337187\) C,0,2.9537860898,-0.9989514054,0.2645852503 C, \(0,1.9481128795,1.2583405751,1.3083496943\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3569178567,1.7034248842,-1.6608427902\) H,0,2.2742485533,0.3182171198,-2.2270816864 C,0,3.4875177842,1.879665822,-1.3599497889 H,0,3.0298440036, 1.4558924303,1.4276859288 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6755950745,0.7681720021,2.2629544446\) C, \(0,1.2375781402,2.6245902373,1.2417836139\) C, \(0,2.8251042168,-2.2117422282,-0.6653350763\) H,0,2.9305384498,-1.3436485675,1.3172748956 Н,0,3.9686748579,-0.5797016743, 0.1450539772 H,0,0.550949028,-0.9054186921,2.2969342142 H,0,-0.654139426,-2.2727157509,2.3743447831 H,0,1.0188663102,-2.542930512,1.8293346692 C, \(0,-3.3333731349,1.908285767,0.4536534965\) C,0,-4.6839253004,0.3200079494,-0.8948285286 H, \(0,-4.2265932087,2.5183921853,0.6002629459\)
H,0,-2.4119337586,2.2693230272,0.9121124793
H,0,-4.5331019488,0.0472867732,-1.9505291799
H, \(0,-5.0802962991,-0.5692793277,-0.3816546553\) H,0,-5.4504963761,1.1026271667,-0.8472173706 H,0,3.7030439748,2.3602263638,-2.3267791981 H,0,3.4287654023,2.6767253816,-0.6032384719 H,0,4.3595567112,1.2590063191,-1.1021496104 H, \(0,3.6271517334,-2.9533332665,-0.5298671764\) H, \(0,1.8737804263,-2.7591266622,-0.511015632\) H,0,2.8339444755,-1.9037542308,-1.7227471655 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6638166858,3.3492655823,1.9525631172\) H, \(0,1.315245621,3.0709585076,0.2376025892\) H, \(0,0.1645416149,2.5572662582,1.4789448609\)

\section*{Int-eq-Q \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715916950\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434530\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455908
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456852\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386243 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281387\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260009\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259065\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329674

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.08782 .684148 .609

C,0,-1.1182609659,-2.3706631532,-0.46347615
C, \(0,-2.4068547914,-1.506299068,-0.1778786904\)
H, \(0,-0.9443243881,-2.4154698871,-1.5460511871\) H,0,-1.2445852868,-3.3772900275,-0.0444708257 C, \(0,-0.1036741286,-1.546210344,0.2236326657\)

C,0,0.3090701834,-0.3460068131,-0.4041935026 C,0,0.2057101014,-1.8552584825,1.6343869221 C,0,-0.9318022818,0.5471816029,0.0403782475 H,0,0.1814026998,-0.457700527,-1.4942196485 C,0,-2.2195371448,-0.0272730384,-0.5752269619 H,0,-0.718731963,1.5583111457,-0.3331088346 H,0,-1.0065847169,0.6067261937,1.1369145276 C, \(0,-3.4719134081,0.7747578448,-0.2555732147\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0949562278,0.0001543757,-1.6741377997\) Н,0,-2.6497128716,-1.5630547638,0.8961867496 H,0,-3.2385066866,-1.9693497216,-0.7248477799 B,0,1.8397610128,0.4420311579,-0.0649912498 C,0,2.1513422193,1.1073291453,-1.5434914133 C,0,2.9486692124,-0.700931313,0.3373135299 C, \(0,1.7563091752,1.6374483203,1.0617492947\) H,0,1.3596185058,1.8435705863,-1.7907772037 H,0,2.0853328745,0.3366689123,-2.3349052037 C,0,3.5180058449,1.7959341893,-1.668031305 H,0,1.0521483085,2.4313000695,0.7464106768 H,0,2.7461017331,2.1256659877,1.0188162172 C,0,1.4952363175,1.3088986835,2.5413277292 C,0,3.0702305061,-1.8720080482,-0.6455452211 H,0,2.8004106562,-1.0984381315,1.3583412219 H,0,3.9276373483,-0.1912915892,0.3938277739 H,0,0.5454985179,-0.9729192688,2.1855697647 H,0,-0.6137297764,-2.3809856893,2.1424651125 H, \(0,1.0665424368,-2.5483726766,1.5824358367\) C, \(0,-3.4705714461,1.8383022149,0.5514084134\) C,0,-4.7398712048,0.3026368194,-0.9297477811 H,0,-4.39167253,2.3941802966,0.7362685342 H,0,-2.5698752237,2.2013087828,1.0469030114 H,0,-4.5675980053,0.1054274368,-1.9988179728 Н,0,-5.1075025047,-0.6323292109,-0.4803873445 Н,0,-5.536549911,1.0509003086,-0.841372743 H,0,3.6836380673,2.2246534859,-2.6685831716 H,0,3.6272243937,2.6141676774,-0.9409502349 Н,0,4.3361892196,1.0830904777,-1.479608277 H,0,3.819459524,-2.6209425899,-0.3451460058 H,0,2.1114259681,-2.4151069238,-0.763779046 H,0,3.3438020713,-1.5153452541,-1.6501920303 H,0,1.6929375199,2.1680465302,3.2006078859 H,0,0.4484542699,1.0168044647,2.7328047173 H,0,2.1388415957,0.4851864019,2.8906846962

\section*{Int-eq-R}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.718426373\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434667\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455992
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456936
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386608
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283760
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.262434
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261490
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331819

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.13982 .596148 .018

C,0,-1.1406340736,-2.3868147516,-0.4593679728 C, \(0,-2.4000552021,-1.5243580116,-0.0668799053\) H,0,-1.0241976348,-2.3884535691,-1.5505954532 H,0,-1.2561798346,-3.4091878477,-0.0765726698 C,0,-0.0733264679,-1.6088563796,0.2017929664 C, \(0,0.340352221,-0.3913819794,-0.3922541856\) C,0,0.2899820264,-1.9679185053,1.5925784291

C,0,-0.8681062984,0.4767619594,0.2040489252 H,0,0.1484307896,-0.4305195988,-1.4786107591 C,0,-2.1996402607,-0.0261621431,-0.3757364411 Н,0,-0.6606487458,1.5129911258,-0.0908953293 Н,0,-0.8701356226,0.4474998028,1.3047473688 C,0,-3.4123220807,0.7754121882,0.0721115911 H,0,-2.1343557255,0.0760765905,-1.4756431476 H,0,-2.5983120677,-1.6443245089,1.0108772479 Н, \(0,-3.2626703653,-1.9377451612,-0.6054298462\) B, \(0,1.9106081479,0.2868806232,-0.0615824159\) C, \(0,2.9719673578,-0.9597513811,0.1470238293\) С,0,1.8828943285,1.2766975797,1.2525838576 C,0,2.2813277143,1.1009597466,-1.4417865035 H,0,2.9511643279,-1.3610274196,1.1790394273 H,0,3.9852401372,-0.532379955,0.0431855034 C,0,2.8567593339,-2.1193580137,-0.8514858584 H,0,2.3120150115,0.4056036193,-2.3020196567 H,0,3.3171628731,1.4726039344,-1.3423430472 C, \(0,1.3687047098,2.2818432777,-1.8054858513\) C,0,3.2104087531,2.0253255251,1.4556603232 H,0,1.6695299315,0.7131152185,2.1829765858 H,0,1.0801818596,2.0347799418,1.1876491072 H,0,0.710452351,-1.1172053221,2.1381301421 H,0,-0.5424553233,-2.4397211381,2.1323689816 H,0,1.0919306496,-2.7217385952,1.4957979958 C,0,-3.341598299,1.7671714656,0.9630608345 C,0,-4.7252395637,0.3856064107,-0.5671626694 H, \(0,-4.2375632408,2.3235547808,1.2446570305\) H,0,-2.4070727938,2.0699663451,1.4358817864 H,0,-4.6179956214,0.2870569414,-1.6581882091 Н,0,-5.0842361731,-0.5823739757,-0.1858437925 H,0,-5.5010281511,1.1331406613,-0.3631700555 H,0,3.6327641642,-2.8888619463,-0.7182476631 H,0,1.8854823572,-2.6482789717,-0.7777093381 H,0,2.9261429085,-1.7566891136,-1.8881802759 H,0,3.2202794461,2.6311942436,2.3748404019 H,0,4.0578447588, 1.3250739535,1.5128353757 H,0,3.4097341462,2.7050188828,0.6131449974 H,0,1.7289019355,2.8479870169,-2.6782822631 H,0,0.3468990382,1.944768061,-2.0529613552 H,0,1.2831846079,2.9931951727,-0.9675251833
```

Int-eq-S
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.716324911$

```

Zero-point correction \(=0.434992\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456074\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.457019
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387780
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281333
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.260251
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259306\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328545
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.19182 .452145 .725

C,0,0.8013278318,-2.3205418181,0.8670661457
С, \(0,2.14161923,-1.6484365187,0.3767351952\)
H,0,0.6783825148,-2.1453994514,1.9433434276
H,0,0.8225314111,-3.395284921,0.6445955497
С,0,-0.1757317415,-1.5511438227,0.0704650609
C, \(0,-0.4557914233,-0.2224352235,0.4718946248\)
С, \(0,-0.5686339311,-2.0823381171,-1.2528734499\)
C, \(0,0.8257094714,0.4419942487,-0.2085103095\)
Н,0,-0.2727522926,-0.1346952353,1.556410276

C,0,2.0968213753,-0.1077205695,0.461420176 H,0,0.7234761386,1.5247709575,-0.0590954504 H,0,0.8363236998,0.2593054474,-1.2937868966 C,0,3.3880343865,0.4912783695,-0.0760919342 H,0,2.040050954,0.1607830587,1.533616136 H,0,2.3316086722,-1.9437997798,-0.6682916946 H, \(0,2.9563332357,-2.0621272291,0.9852693458\) B,0,-1.9503241667,0.5885343507,0.0505921164 C, \(0,-2.2367936301,1.502883551,1.3923243282\) C,0,-3.1383603114,-0.5355552453,-0.1257394909 C, \(0,-1.8429492278,1.5703900373,-1.2659006091\) H,0,-2.3843480015,0.856371795,2.277649219 H, \(0,-3.2062374766,2.0124027792,1.2394496265\) C,0,-1.1801953024,2.5609859463,1.7465692021 H,0,-1.0507595101,2.3318358274,-1.1452521057 H,0,-2.7809879474,2.1561661341,-1.2435876852 C,0,-1.7146973126,0.9726721464,-2.67719463 C,0,-3.2615399917,-1.5718099246,1.00000092507 H,0,-3.0893892136,-1.0647062512,-1.0952263945 H,0,-4.0877782398,0.0286168751,-0.1755900145 H,0,-0.8650644029,-1.2875856067,-1.9447512398 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1918747149,-2.7494767043,-1.6806044376\) H,0,-1.4726143091,-2.6879925537,-1.056854631 C,0,3.4233924788,1.3440571612,-1.1027689621 C,0,4.6514492073,0.0697165557,0.6383649823 H,0,4.3729140004,1.7600168606,-1.444719435 H,0,2.5267835341,1.6674476533,-1.6319130588 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5316287842,0.1505921102,1.7295303105\) H,0,4.9074164466,-0.9770194052,0.4146941823 H,0,5.5019584939,0.6931278353,0.3377512267 H,0,-1.4666702986,3.1717092265,2.6165125727 Н,0,-0.2086042734,2.0997045493,1.9967783393 H,0,-1.0039592672,3.2532655436,0.9087349733 H, \(,-4.0645452078,-2.3058263528,0.8296032231\) H,0,-2.3295023113,-2.155920522,1.1374765674 H,0,-3.4590168213,-1.0880528435,1.9674482822 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8869116461,1.7226452325,-3.4643771189\) H,0,-0.7092687157,0.5598313767,-2.8687216772 H,0,-2.4420574978,0.1621481564,-2.8444072245

\section*{Int-eq-T \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715673892\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434856\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456088\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457032\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386492
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.280818\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259586\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.258642
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329182
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.19982 .492148 .464
C, \(0,-1.1187632565,-2.4332559877,-0.150980695\) C,0,-2.3995496689,-1.5595177464,0.1533044947 H,0,-1.0268188547,-2.5865599719,-1.2334157025 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1918281111,-3.3918881282,0.3787301431\) C,0,-0.0851474349,-1.5189375802,0.3725945722 C, \(0,0.2590654227,-0.3935709429,-0.4163916762\) C, \(0,0.2562629421,-1.6366691736,1.8126853613\) C,0,-0.9481609564,0.5374117083,0.0390804489 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0432993477,-0.6167159436,-1.4760980142\) C, \(0,-2.2761247393,-0.1196051741,-0.3871175669\) H, \(0,-0.8045908537,1.4913738463,-0.4827747236\)

H,0,-0.9242735816,0.7352078261,1.1220677475 C,0,-3.5108696233,0.6944604584,-0.0301978016 H,0,-2.2532917348,-0.1930256595,-1.491135377 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5629956735,-1.5256765796,1.2429478478\) H,0,-3.2593107046,-2.080345073,-0.2880553193 B, \(0,1.8523505224,0.3438713518,-0.3980192215\) C, \(0,2.6912597543,-0.6951321289,-1.3598667932\) C,0,2.527465323,0.4816112934,1.0911605014 C, \(0,1.6879571788,1.8042941106,-1.1376382524\) H,0,3.7045081005,-0.2765434805,-1.4952492221 H, \(0,2.2551236224,-0.7198693555,-2.3765853291\) C,0,2.8200217807,-2.1255998801,-0.8296697684 H, \(0,0.9260454645,1.7433777973,-1.9409023974\) H,0,2.628055798,2.0137759686,-1.6802325053 C, \(0,1.3873148366,3.0174597167,-0.2387924216\) C,0,3.9656666313,1.0247127726,1.0015801184 H,0,2.5870082601,-0.4795683304,1.6296447306 H,0,1.9311485357,1.1521054452,1.7412229203 H,0,0.5033435609,-0.6703780977,2.2632206655 H,0,-0.5198510546,-2.1667804519,2.3801758692 H,0,1.1763786,-2.2469756163,1.8479516414 C, \(0,-3.4543540403,1.8528372894,0.6310612423\) C,0,-4.8301491207,0.1207905173,-0.4944968029 H,0,-4.3664606001,2.4130206941,0.845183564 H,0,-2.5165606663,2.2933446978,0.9705643517 H,0,-4.7714214975,-0.1982766023,-1.5464698829 Н,0,-5.1136928021,-0.7615756392,0.0989438812 H,0,-5.6361609001,0.8584935014,-0.4025332925 H,0,3.392975724,-2.7917590342,-1.4926224242 H,0,3.3109400013,-2.1403491996,0.1574213256 H,0,1.8271659829,-2.603222102,-0.7053366501 H,0,4.3877708385, 1.2769104234,1.9865826749 H,0,4.6316119949,0.2781692071,0.5422559199 H,0,4.0218615986, 1.9268601127,0.3734745622 H,0,1.2032570492,3.937566383,-0.8150848377 H, \(0,0.5118671001,2.8592845576,0.4119251705\) Н,0,2.2291663739,3.2213647505,0.4392509229

\section*{Int-eq- \(U\) \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.718355113\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434604\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455861\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456805\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386639
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283752
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= \(=652.262494\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261550\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331716
\(\quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{CV} \quad \mathrm{S}\)
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 286.05782 .540 147.677

C,0,-1.0537503769,-2.2797002283,--0.7813011481
C, \(0,-2.3406799186,-1.5249437791,-0.2685791799\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.930560155,-2.1054281337,-1.857565619\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1458087137,-3.3522533037,-0.5659786312\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.015474197,-1.5867275829,0.011216586\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.350853632,-0.2806407964,-0.3976752247\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.3093572502,-2.1525387393,1.3463223046\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.8704202691,0.4503261154,0.338158914\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1404765986,-0.1715453442,-1.4749980031\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.1870909687,0.0098587414,-0.3235885705\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6860310011,1.5259851925,0.2141335408\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8774292314,0.2397807415,1.418175691\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.4259419386,0.6874154009,0.2414447794\)

H,0,-2.1227359854,0.2960298503,-1.3908189724 H,0,-2.5433978339,-1.8270529329,0.7720457989 H,0,-3.1866046134,-1.8681625385,-0.8782688944 B, \(0,1.8702375113,0.4734358292,-0.0825082336\) C, \(0,2.9145416825,-0.3230453214,-1.0784161825\) C,0,2.3178334211,0.3866808952,1.4993375177 C,0,1.7109525434,2.0420724,-0.5534057292 H,0,3.9223213889,0.0894207119,-0.8886901152 H,0,2.704234052,-0.0869844217,-2.1378245233 C,0,2.9801965134,-1.8458962384,-0.9280383146 H, \(0,2.7339125886,2.4548385506,-0.6163610552\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2185233551,2.6505839463,0.2312831133\) C,0,1.0124597032,2.304825284,-1.8976629272 C,0,3.5003973553,1.3119988513,1.8324754688 H,0,2.607058516,-0.6407762576,1.7899860828 H,0,1.4765977321,0.6645557769,2.1674514965 H,0,0.5966377935,-1.3827119094,2.0678110431 H,0,-0.4977117973,-2.7909019314,1.7303476636 H,0,1.1951951089,-2.7921034836,1.1893471946 C, \(0,-3.3868325579,1.5311174865,1.2752707383\) С,0,-4.7264286591,0.356000484,-0.4537521919 H,0,-4.3001676255,2.0062998481,1.6379544766 H,0,-2.4613096612,1.7895471649,1.7898888839 H,0,-4.6176064665,0.4278180215,-1.5467029976 H,0,-5.0516696878,-0.6701657706,-0.2247638163 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.5265226011,1.0375828094,-0.1412686069\) H,0,3.724635241,-2.3161258347,-1.5885762306 H,0,3.2304080221,-2.1384024151,0.104818008 H,0,2.0109446672,-2.3208113023,-1.180476062 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8632666147,1.1801335756,2.8634658715\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.3505012148,1.1253267209,1.157776777\) H,0,3.2223717323,2.3691288805,1.713951564 H,0,1.0945921079,3.3538887282,-2.2198269323 H,0,1.4422660952,1.6837502094,-2.6994291461 H,0,-0.066663502,2.074173019,-1.8608640967

\section*{Int-eq-V \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716697891\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434764\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455948\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456892\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387222\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281934
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260750\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259806
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329476

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.11182 .461146 .632
C,0,1.3575016293,-2.3454232325,0.3017698555
C, \(0,2.5775988541,-1.4004914554,-0.0135478824\)
H,0,1.246368781,-2.4446041422,1.389281008
H, \(0,1.5145997728,-3.326194907,-0.1656918258\) C,0,0.2494392614,-1.5655682619,-0.2876967427 C, \(0,-0.204504754,-0.4147534958,0.4017835788\) C,0,-0.1476425835,-1.8536680677,-1.6835606239 C, \(0,0.9695095089,0.5482430235,-0.1003248447\) H,0,-0.0160322048,-0.5374740234,1.4825644432 C,0,2.3186090665,0.0509353129,0.4410602778 H,0,0.7069206305,1.5434385279,0.2867525288 H,0,0.9872271292,0.6159417774,-1.1987638583 C,0,3.4976272501,0.9425084741,0.0815437471 H,0,2.2487729673,0.0417453793,1.5448705217
H,0,2.7696532875,-1.4068842822,-1.0993325635

H,0,3.4613601903,-1.8289485471,0.4767692739 B, \(0,-1.7701829136,0.2696826336,0.1202229637\) C, \(0,-2.8305999604,-0.9843241566,-0.0374288653\) C,0,-1.7794869604,1.2834585286,-1.1879520249 C, \(0,-2.009881885,1.1047118106,1.5199990146\) H,0,-2.8406354883,-1.3977284052,-1.0647567538 H,0,-3.848258803,-0.5740028235,0.0936030239 C,0,-2.6491372488,-2.1380139669,0.9576399279 Н, \(0,-1.1280816771,1.7456027101,1.7262977462\) H,0,-2.0632247839,0.4065939534,2.3774739822 C,0,-3.255449542,2.0020554358,1.5411522824 C,0,-3.1608797798,1.4502816214,-1.8461124757 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0804687294,0.9771484407,-1.9905449876\) H,0,-1.4145972608,2.2827792317,-0.8792164641 H,0,-0.6302902037,-0.9952813297,-2.16080735
H,0,0.6780147843,-2.2622857407,-2.2819225249 H,0,-0.9139444915,-2.6462746221,-1.5927927872 C,0,3.3872778831,2.0142402502,-0.7068259083 C,0,4.8235480955,0.5475951019,0.6900682526 H,0,4.2588960309,2.6346506746,-0.9235930544 H,0,2.4421657867,2.322005711,-1.1547265142 H,0,4.7160330377,0.3358819825,1.7648233862 H,0,5.2260785259,-0.3609635606,0.2171297863 H,0,5.5667821039,1.3445390967,0.5685279949 H,0,-3.4395425475,-2.9010280408,0.8884894481 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6911090392,-2.6741560958,0.8057849534\) H,0,-2.6335755184,-1.7710640796,1.9955053504 H,0,-3.1581144186,2.2054191679,-2.6476078839 Н,0,-3.5021301434,0.5024490976,-2.2899456449 Н, \(0,-3.9261061474,1.7471138208,-1.1146138288\) H,0,-3.4031716977,2.4962420613,2.5140195861 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1852112447,2.7941587276,0.7800858099\) H,0,-4.1681981902,1.4238955834,1.3263922458

\section*{TS2 Structures}

TS2-eq-A

\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.716406599\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433779\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454906\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455850\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384850
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282628
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261501\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260557
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331557
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.45881 .149149 .432

C, \(0,-0.8933765188,-2.1206634512,0.1346473236\) C,0,-2.1030222184,-1.1753933092,0.3678656645 H,0,-0.8913720786,-2.477501944,-0.904100436 H,0,-0.9344840984,-2.9800586213,0.8172806737 C,0,0.3136861906,-1.2692680467,0.3986978851 C,0,0.8109782606,-0.4191405952,-0.6043066859 C, \(0,0.843546653,-1.2899327164,1.794860845\) C, \(0,-0.4115888566,0.6083015476,-0.0173973568\) H, \(0,0.400782314,-0.6971680819,-1.5904096045\) C, \(0,-1.8262088643,0.1391722793,-0.3765493405\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0947359019,1.4951582764,-0.584133725\) Н, \(0,-0.3171771922,0.8575897647,1.0475616235\) C,0,-2.871534095,1.202508441,-0.0780103212 H,0,-1.8504681954,-0.065573633,-1.4589877404 H,0,-2.2042979474,-0.9787204586,1.4477830834 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0356479533,-1.6463591119,0.0310862512\) B, \(0,2.3390378799,0.2513084981,-0.8143331178\) C,0,3.1457029567,-1.0020902571,-1.5358753635 C,0,3.1432343901,0.7435965314,0.5324776089 C,0,2.1389929937,1.4863357083,-1.884384019 H,0,4.1915667104,-0.6791213116,-1.682069511 H, \(0,2.7512229196,-1.1853762551,-2.5539977662\) C,0,3.159389192,-2.3287054119,-0.7640273032 H,0,1.5066067438,2.2995359809,-1.4741697821 H, \(0,1.5953356273,1.1184772125,-2.7775916384\) C,0,3.4556450485,2.128047907,-2.3465207962 C,0,2.5705172548,1.956874862,1.2827475123 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1551724802,1.0178715656,0.18314498\) H, 0,3.3294492458,-0.0796465066,1.246431602 H,0,1.4275962169,-0.3962752179,2.0297864699 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0411847316,-1.4476963873,2.5299350134\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5225022668,-2.1570169804,1.8493001906\) C, \(0,-3.7819863955,1.5344665421,-0.9951887542\) C, \(0,-2.8301626072,1.8585804421,1.2817775278\) H, \(,-4.5500331076,2.2818094756,-0.7876590926\) H,0,-3.7854585503,1.075600363,-1.9860291984 H, \(0,-2.7598364271,1.1183303188,2.0930238268\) Н,0,-1.9556016294,2.5206167501,1.3699054349 H, \(0,-3.7280465813,2.464323634,1.4509722028\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7862193422,-3.0987522482,-1.2392131902\) H,0,3.5378894247,-2.1881728352,0.2616001872 H,0,2.1460877503,-2.7652850179,-0.6832448081 H,0,3.2214722115,2.3043050222,2.1000372998 H,0,2.4257684669,2.8063477065,0.596939607 H,0,1.5836563432,1.7509771439,1.7334762163 H,0,3.3049407949,2.909329598,-3.1073525686 H,0,3.9852927631,2.5940079594,-1.5009153931 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1335193261,1.3754070881,-2.7769169468\)

\section*{TS2-eq-B}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.714945092\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434022\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455092\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456037\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385325 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.280923\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259853\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258908\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329620
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.57581 .070148 .825

C, \(0,-0.8760119137,-2.0310540593,-0.1093947853\)

C,0,-2.0644404443,-1.0932588776,0.2421455387 H,0,-0.9017213722,-2.2788371063,-1.1791373965 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9219140171,-2.9538449945,0.4843758003\) C, \(0,0.3479394647,-1.2291870052,0.2122100612\) C,0,0.8336801045,-0.2788325887,-0.7019777331 C, \(0,0.9338458566,-1.4026233929,1.5714820503\) C, \(0,-0.3635554372,0.7009806448,-0.0131721256\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4133847453,-0.4507473677,-1.7060293311\) C, \(0,-1.7890354487,0.280723889,-0.3873163341\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0438104684,1.6365750141,-0.49416604\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.2522804111,0.8423482763,1.0692231969\) C, \(0,-2.8183218687,1.3180748545,0.0336324658\) H, \(0,-1.8395804039,0.1760176894,-1.4831303445\) H,0,-2.1330626123,-0.9939795476,1.3380022976 H, \(,-3.0119907354,-1.5214874851,-0.1095149912\) B, \(0,2.3641329864,0.4152611271,-0.8114197048\) C, \(0,3.3039099102,-0.8270621323,-1.3620818888\) C, \(0,3.0481169638,0.9906766337,0.5739062304\) C, \(0,2.1964509306,1.6096132583,-1.936687194\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3538161884,-1.6248484522,-0.5934003045\) H, \(0,4.3399551457,-0.4507386654,-1.4408266179\) C,0,2.9051466572,-1.4539666622,-2.7057334087 H, \(0,1.6143488888,2.4655598313,-1.5397281239\) H,0,1.6074182667,1.2435223304,-2.8012268579 C,0,3.5285405261,2.1606532236,-2.4665226385 C, \(0,2.3244422889,2.0882048935,1.3729891101\) H,0,4.0164997214,1.4078985219,0.2450618875 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3450127463,0.1737568909,1.2588294642\) H,0,1.5060707651,-0.5254070806,1.8860237386 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1778137852,-1.6837894513,2.3178366422\) H,0,1.6472646783,-2.2397770555,1.480794552 C, \(0,-3.7628343906,1.7206126629,-0.8186435282\) C, \(0,-2.7246387248,1.8627290086,1.4393775633\) H,0,-4.52199059,2.4467099886,-0.5221862887 H,0,-3.8047071039,1.3426987929,-1.8422937019 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.6131119446,1.0600615278,2.1840445861\) H,0,-1.8522192925,2.5254110227, 1.5443968722 H,0,-3.6197943573,2.4417808654,1.6947286851 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.6225249687,-2.2127576772,-3.0545758242\) H,0,1.9218717557,-1.9525627037,-2.6505308377 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8297996253,-0.6873503492,-3.4930565215\) H,0,2.9861967123, 2.5946863128,2.0921933158 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9172401373,2.8652063075,0.705751188\) H,0,1.4760413693, 1.6979203286,1.9616227934 H,0,3.3929502163,2.9585669796,-3.2128894488 H,0,4.1394503673,2.577346248,-1.6510609479 H,0,4.1244588743,1.3646935503,-2.9396573303

\section*{TS2-eq-C \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715170864\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433920\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455072\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456016\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385141
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281250\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260099\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259155
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330030
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.56281 .144149 .170
C,0,-1.5655532006,-2.2026695578,0.1571978373
C, \(0,-2.733651853,-1.2078974648,0.4003983843\)
H, \(0,-1.5825225124,-2.5456912693,-0.8858909306\)

H,0,-1.6463286729,-3.0670980241,0.8298605818 C, \(0,-0.3212853345,-1.4103776727,0.4267034995\) C, \(0,0.2025317012,-0.5587121357,-0.5611039015\) C,0,0.2409518957,-1.4933614707,1.8043703367 C, \(0,-0.9773780088,0.5087240324,0.0241262526\) H,0,-0.2090969366,-0.8183344291,-1.5491617979 C,0,-2.407194865,0.0975730077,-0.3402784488 H,0,-0.6225219591,1.3868448839,-0.5362346987 H,0,-0.8723727214,0.7454248591,1.0909094017 C,0,-3.4128491353,1.1971249632,-0.0372969322 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4379985985,-0.1013315604,-1.4241288313\) H,0,-2.8207036095,-1.0109216701,1.4815510864 H,0,-3.6861452242,-1.64005343,0.0670575337 B, \(0,1.738834108,0.101610794,-0.7204007338\) C, \(0,2.5830171536,-1.202145308,-1.2949744072\) C,0,2.4683469731,0.686605156,0.63804745 C,0,1.6257255965,1.3054909831,-1.8408384837 H,0,2.0163605414,-1.7309766722,-2.0860922939 H,0,2.6952745087,-1.9421154012,-0.4763744021 C,0,3.9734672625,-0.8641943048,-1.8502239704 H,0,2.6558897028,1.6447905687,-2.049620076 H,0,1.1287716207,2.2079138053,-1.4326146864 C, \(0,0.9509399452,0.9413650288,-3.1724741359\) C,0,1.7789170035,1.8132788846,1.4290433948 H,0,3.4313755669,1.0930909812,0.2805978238 H,0,2.7658028619,-0.1215440157,1.3338519054 H,0,0.8724465126,-0.6343903535,2.0439461127 H,0,-0.5402302916,-1.6487175985,2.5618487895 H,0,0.8889819729,-2.3866177716,1.8042955534 C, \(0,-4.3129377106,1.5617822331,-0.9523571865\) C,0,-3.3453482061,1.8493509888,1.3233620244 H,0,-5.0546268338,2.334563063,-0.7422191022 H,0,-4.333955879,1.104486129,-1.9437078877 H,0,-3.2996413941,1.105924217,2.1335324821 H,0,-2.4473726244,2.4795438858,1.4107393526 H, \(0,-4.2212766934,2.4857543774,1.4952183693\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5424200331,-1.7590111136,-2.1472168047\) H,0,3.8939638569,-0.217437223,-2.7378134566 H,0,4.5786258812,-0.3209501732,-1.1084828021 H,0,2.4563778789,2.3032663905,2.1450526515 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3980734842,2.5976242192,0.7559000379\) H,0,0.9163530327,1.4585694377,2.0195680658 H,0,1.0607993145,1.7249639428,-3.9375581388 H,0,1.3731525955,0.0123426553,-3.5894045162 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1347239793,0.7758732926,-3.0527114419\)

\section*{TS2-eq-D \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717112933\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434460\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455163\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456107\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387367 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.282653\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261950\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261006 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329746

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.61980 .896144 .675

C,0,-0.8137312219,-2.097419935,0.047580687 C, \(0,-1.9873919897,-1.1077130783,0.2727710651\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8178367511,-2.449445627,-0.9929252053\) H, \(0,-0.8966858179,-2.9588355586,0.7238382679\) C, \(0,0.4332677053,-1.3050632127,0.3237852004\)

C, \(0,0.9707941976,-0.4698578085,-0.670095016\) C,0,0.9750148176,-1.3908109892,1.712504985 C, \(0,-0.2158928149,0.5953269835,-0.0653333624\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5528969736,-0.7255175774,-1.6574710235\) C, \(0,-1.6441181206,0.2017431921,-0.4525550091\) H,0,0.1560936445,1.4782867539,-0.606246369 Н, \(0,-0.1186878356,0.8183171346,1.0051189655\) С, \(0,-2.6421073567,1.3083945651,-0.1515044361\) H,0,-1.6617351652,0.0135970401,-1.5386923116 H,0,-2.0963331635,-0.916392186,1.3529683234 H, \(0,-2.9336280753,-1.5362873891,-0.0820249873\) B, \(0,2.4845364926,0.2200629299,-0.8346219029\) C,0,3.3772290462,-1.0077132227,-1.4916506352 С,0,3.2290822156,0.7827793776,0.5255574282 C, \(0,2.3372491299,1.4548334732,-1.9212001099\) H,0,4.4135365668,-0.635028465,-1.5830335591 H,0,3.0548201137,-1.2273818236,-2.526448099 C,0,3.3951829732,-2.3225896242,-0.7000761573 H,0,3.3633224885,1.6984123133,-2.2511824383 H,0,1.9786248561,2.3872941582,-1.442472905 C,0,1.4761908106,1.1963812515,-3.1686264667 C, \(0,2.5391659672,1.8754969225,1.3629140612\) H,0,4.1748913443,1.2132096223,0.148156839 H,0,3.5600761252,-0.0302860288,1.1990755027 H,0,1.6905704833,-0.593711021,1.9275294306 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1690742072,-1.4224731066,2.46066293\) H,0,1.5117845614,-2.3520988728,1.766222139 C, \(0,-3.5271262059,1.6915308205,-1.0736571577\) C,0,-2.5844531601,1.9462470776,1.2163969165 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.2625168689,2.4706315134,-0.8648766009\) H,0,-3.541093506,1.2440254779,-2.0696005545 H,0,-2.5502535284,1.1941384119,2.0189837405 H,0,-1.6843483865,2.5710803545,1.318733281 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.4586603482,2.5854157867,1.3866110539\) H,0,4.0829897738,-3.0717694921,-1.1212475984 H,0,3.6976195082,-2.1556385028,0.3468480724 H,0,2.3962143741,-2.7965428757,-0.681424467 H,0,3.2281356307,2.3616829669,2.0703767985 H,0,2.1231810411,2.6691736546,0.7226619112 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7021501765,1.4892467345,1.969698633\) Н, \(0,1.5795338722,1.9858835819,-3.9282113712\) H,0,1.744459981,0.2429528958,-3.6514769749
H,0,0.3993128786,1.1408474131,-2.9263863136

\section*{TS2-eq-E \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715025333\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433816\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454945
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455889
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385026 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281209
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260081\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259137\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.329999

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.48281 .265149 .142
C,0,-1.2412470154,-1.8990073833,1.4425507541
C, \(0,-2.4913839385,-1.0908973197,1.0232788022\)
H,0,-1.1657994859,-2.8140378959,0.8390045108 H,0,-1.279214492,-2.1689432637,2.5064540158 C,0,-0.0744786922,-0.9903004573,1.1639122458
C,0,0.5348911991,-0.9396035,-0.0970159143
C, \(0,0.3747521512,-0.1253816055,2.2965929571\)

C,0,-0.8015251191,0.1199169654,-0.3370503017 Н, \(, 0,0.2426766858,-1.797285344,-0.7259331477\) C, \(0,-2.1913574372,-0.5229530402,-0.3708878181\) H,0,-0.4474343653,0.4026194541,-1.338726858 Н, \(0,-0.7479877864,1.0254966783,0.2817423214\) C,0,-3.2546440935,0.4542563113,-0.8470077307 H,0,-2.1562145442,-1.3635397081,-1.0828872065 H,0,-2.654096556,-0.2670875468,1.7376374351
H,0,-3.3947046453,-1.7145893686,1.0274045703 B, \(0,2.0056553896,-0.2468425765,-0.5198132386\) C, \(0,2.2242325693,-0.7394486866,-2.0777985564\) C,0,3.1207448822,-0.9078635575,0.503847278 C,0,2.1190467704,1.4040739117,-0.4149920069 Н, 0, 1.3592697788,-0.4380817154,-2.706105422 H, \(0,2.2287551454,-1.8456302508,-2.1227151552\) C,0,3.5075104458,-0.2211481922,-2.7407932646 H,0,3.2029215248, \(1.5867014974,-0.5316269966\) H, \(0,1.9054141908,1.8026932202,0.5953574786\) C, \(0,1.3967915616,2.2930085705,-1.4472432413\) C,0,3.019040255,-2.4227840455,0.7356683727 Н,0,3.1048650784,-0.3932198266,1.4844540287 H,0,4.1216847445,-0.6827624779,0.0946946105 H,0,0.9836794616,0.7144415951,1.9516257623 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4659357777,0.2131072242,2.918796106\) H,0,1.0188289715,-0.7685731405,2.9196744374 C, \(0,-4.152101045,0.0850183215,-1.7626209852\) C,0,-3.2505033139,1.8373931419,-0.2403582194 H,0,-4.9381682077,0.7661208156,-2.0936710058 H,0,-4.128106764,-0.9086048494,-2.2149962731 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1608521505,1.8040484318,0.8561573528\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4008006752,2.4272534167,-0.6174160689\) H,0,-4.1709967747,2.3773683936,-0.4910257281 H,0,3.6444218411,-0.6046473049,-3.7636467257 H, \(0,3.5160170101,0.8782382671,-2.7991896841\) H,0,4.3948618328,-0.5159171275,-2.1596627207 H,0,3.8420632362,-2.8232269354,1.3473270926 H,0,2.0816106597,-2.6987140616,1.2534066426 H, \(, 3.3 .0240538557,-2.9718446236,-0.2191901808\) H,0,1.8538805064,3.2915599657,-1.5244115701 H, \(0,1.4249307731,1.8473185968,-2.4542081256\) H,0,0.336505419,2.4645281863,-1.201223629

\section*{TS2-eq-F \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.713642664\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434209\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455194\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456138\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385955
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279434\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258448\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257504\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= \(\mathbf{- 6 5 2 . 3 2 7 6 8 8}\)
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.63981 .048147 .714
C, \(0,-1.4491586634,-1.6614431842,1.754189577\)
C, \(0,-2.7184076714,-0.9875416563,1.1853537652\) H,0,-1.3236042769,-2.6591176188,1.3115827174 Н, \(0,-1.5036386897,-1.7570252468,2.846928631\) C,0,-0.3027454006,-0.7656295005,1.3550145467 C, \(0,0.3335871732,-0.9049884618,0.1137712985\) C, \(0,0.1017046951,0.268281578,2.3575488547\) C, \(0,-1.0356267,0.0911230829,-0.2750262332\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0351232082,-1.8439046157,-0.3813286595\)

C, \(0,-2.3939914133,-0.6150067206,-0.2677518154\) H, \(0,-0.6521880563,0.2731059784,-1.2885692863\) H,0,-1.0482962775,1.0649916521,0.2301824538 C,0,-3.4777923868,0.2292284607,-0.9184061198 H, \(0,-2.2931024572,-1.5465831513,-0.8476866974\) H, \(0,-2.941166439,-0.077061074,1.7654651285\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.5928904771,-1.6471569143,1.2566187227\) B, \(0,1.8270097736,-0.3059031684,-0.4184572497\) C, \(0,2.1648157118,-1.3094562391,-1.6895189466\) C,0,2.9142923308,-0.5014464615,0.8036822755 C, \(0,1.8020670167,1.2482273154,-0.9737674889\) H,0,1.4479701286,-1.1097090897,-2.5129806791 H,0,1.9868168069,-2.3654408272,-1.4063206285 C,0,3.5925651484,-1.2086155264,-2.2426756426 H, \(0,1.08691371,1.3594056079,-1.8135020188\) H,0,2.7875504183,1.3880884515,-1.4510673278 C, \(0,1.6122260513,2.4288607747,-0.006545862\) C,0,3.0085477935,-1.9311855652,1.3557518768 H, \(0,2.737400039,0.1930739628,1.6449880243\) H,0,3.9055276003,-0.2080414222,0.4131725623 H,0,0.6963776992,1.0676946371,1.9079245778 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7656738166,0.6734455289,2.898723462\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7411337501,-0.2550733515,3.0878172254\) С,0,-4.2850824323,-0.2997410152,-1.8397049242 C,0,-3.5984571081,1.6691493486,-0.4786569478 H,0,-5.0837058325,0.2862765579,-2.2981718619 H,0,-4.1714185961,-1.3344121812,-2.1696936338 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.6012663943,1.7640961619,0.6176399319\) H,0,-2.7536477216,2.2660754743,-0.8543048893 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5222779125,2.1182785666,-0.8613899189\) H,0,3.7544460745,-1.8525956479,-3.1209156339 H,0,3.8392878274,-0.1792764913,-2.543424528 H,0,4.3294920455,-1.5055309807,-1.4799752614 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7487716983,-2.0389453335,2.1638136082\) H,0,2.0392451977,-2.2756420705,1.762319189 H,0,3.2823045968,-2.6424321046,0.5612667436 H, \(0,1.8422023531,3.3960796824,-0.4794130041\) H, \(, 0.0 .5779969532,2.5131547659,0.3688125304\) Н, \(0,2.2728621819,2.3364225222,0.8704863664\)

\section*{TS2-eq-G \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717244750\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434056\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455016\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455960\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385602
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283189
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.262229
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261285
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331642
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.52781 .041148 .080
C,0,-1.024717067,-2.0760468043,-0.281133279
C,0,-2.170386705,-1.1138172013,0.1204289247
H, \(,-1.0344854578,-2.2382790305,-1.3675318487\)
H, \(,-1.122874224,-3.0418631511,0.2326027355\)
C,0,0.2374830938,-1.3729205353,0.130320795
C, \(0,0.8506619191,-0.4265890393,-0.7046946748\)
C,0,0.7566289049,-1.6813554351,1.496520727
C, \(0,-0.3385616964,0.5670706733,0.0364641665\)
H, \(0,0.4657088793,-0.4915058502,-1.7364613621\)
C, \(0,-1.7834969391,0.2832836727,-0.3847977109\)
H, \(0,0.0520866353,1.4941304505,-0.4033616535\)

H,0,-0.2095073522,0.6606166372,1.1230829285 C,0,-2.7394601864,1.3600835602,0.104021607 H,0,-1.8152795889,0.2730284065,-1.4868593559 H,0,-2.267405949,-1.1008976739,1.2182677635 H, \(0,-3.1317622508,-1.4474681661,-0.2911356023\) B,0,2.4263704676,0.175151032,-0.576817132 C,0,3.4231196946,-1.1178074569,-0.316338078 C,0,2.5836604099,1.2941354693,0.6278775316 C,0,2.7410359848,0.8363903399,-2.05209798 Н, \(0,3.4619592196,-1.4035010667,0.7531105669\) H,0,4.448959747,-0.781807032,-0.5498921809 C,0,3.1388113233,-2.3706710029,-1.15951114 H,0,2.6449103974,0.0664071086,-2.8416575343 H,0,3.8011155058,1.143903434,-2.0856716322 C, \(0,1.8667071402,2.0389093363,-2.4403738558\) C, 0,3.9917047722,1.9078405894,0.6778019494 H,0,2.3713355093,0.8650991917,1.6277448754 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8642646852,2.1286948359,0.52135507\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4509494909,-0.9144348214,1.8514078919\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0566163106,-1.8558225747,2.2155791863\) H, \(0,1.3240636957,-2.621664906,1.3949639731\) C,0,-3.6265809898,1.9080341737,-0.7285029571 C, \(0,-2.6421687514,1.7714843688,1.5539423618\) H,0,-4.3350860581,2.6637905145,-0.384563054 H,0,-3.6695047702,1.6246090323,-1.7822096501 H,0,-2.5991632922,0.9010536529,2.2259567715 H,0,-1.7317515293,2.3633486743,1.73177738 H,0,-3.5031286424,2.384343752,1.8453679329 H,0,3.8911221094,-3.1628808606,-1.0237997657 H,0,2.1602995928,-2.8258161246,-0.9175196663 H,0,3.110875409,-2.1269048712,-2.2328669547 H,0,4.1164419647,2.6195176691,1.5085148015 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.7602369943,1.1284588375,0.7918507271\) H, \(0,4.2156987924,2.448316034,-0.2543148835\) H,0,2.1380994619,2.4750902651,-3.4140388926 H,0,0.7994854306,1.7600171974,-2.5140455486 H,0,1.9407944596,2.8447516948,-1.6916846641

\section*{TS2-eq-H \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.714472341\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434660\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455392\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456336
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387291
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.279812
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259081\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.258136
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.327181

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.76380 .854145 .317
C, \(0,-0.6176275295,-1.5162272211,1.6334016507\) C,0,-1.9084196366,-0.8764367601,1.0697307073 H, \(0,-0.4691267839,-2.51121183,1.1919949163\) H, \(0,-0.6668477513,-1.6103208716,2.7265624244\) C,0,0.5019588165,-0.5943970893,1.2248082316 C, \(0,1.1129680753,-0.7105058063,-0.0317534927\) C, \(0,0.8965691939,0.4518477503,2.2163776702\) C, \(0,-0.273182374,0.2522188095,-0.4111107447\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8387622119,-1.6622591532,-0.5162743037\) C,0,-1.6113466477,-0.4910818153,-0.3863437513 H, \(0,0.0824751358,0.4554729672,-1.4301246669\) H,0,-0.3040962628,1.2205176118,0.1052980393 C, \(0,-2.7273373022,0.3248119957,-1.0185419942\)

H,0,-1.492437173,-1.4170731021,-0.9728617438 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1523281104,0.02658133,1.6528736373\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7626907184,-1.5611427348,1.1487886481\) B, \(0,2.5841398011,-0.0717823348,-0.5699476246\) C, \(0,2.9734505421,-1.041947581,-1.8490682156\) C,0,3.6922696337,-0.2576988124,0.6372979402 C,0,2.5291539533, 1.4911092323,-1.1055197049 H,0,3.0780821597,-2.0932595125,-1.5192732516 H,0,3.9755518341,-0.7511237188,-2.2128605496 C,0,1.9947311089,-1.0074628495,-3.033972813 H,0,1.7907055576,1.6177308989,-1.9202272229 H,0,3.5024454577,1.6346645238,-1.6098147114 C, \(0,2.3527198668,2.6621249533,-0.1236032336\) C,0,3.7424041208,-1.6470442826,1.2921197409 H, \(, 3.3 .5836460565,0.5002037503,1.4350130987\) H,0,4.6819386659,-0.0489610205,0.1914515707 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4766072779,1.2547106674,1.754200282\) H,0,0.0280595321,0.8474354803,2.7627725879 H, \(0,1.5498691915,-0.0568603204,2.9447935556\) C,0,-3.5282473089,-0.2204872636,-1.9358961021 C, \(0,-2.8859293551,1.757654287,-0.567729897\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.3477514583,0.3459444955,-2.3818920764\) H, \(,-3.3874840035,-1.2485721717,-2.2758650055\) H, \(0,-2.8729062103,1.8466747626,0.5289065432\) H,0,-2.0673584337,2.3831741922,-0.9545819125 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8302523603,2.1790482332,-0.9315964561\) H,0,2.2912842201,-1.6772668203,-3.8557982451 H,0,0.9761625467,-1.3181949598,-2.7355454414 H,0,1.9111899096,0.005255346,-3.4572710544 H,0,4.5302981894,-1.7355532365,2.0561456621 H,0,2.7894884872,-1.9029215249,1.7918251003 H,0,3.9224293151,-2.4336195197,0.5443370008 H,0,2.5728356549,3.6333749161,-0.5927156778 H,0,1.3223321853, 2.7398959029,0.2639701299 H,0,3.0238729888,2.5679529064,0.7449647056

\section*{TS2-eq-I \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.714093723\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434440\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455261
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456205\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386348 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.279654
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258833\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257889\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327746
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{CV} \quad \mathrm{~S} \\
& \mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Total } 285.680 \text { 80.813 147.026 } \\
& \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.768385971,-2.1237906397,0.7551466293 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.9947242483,-1.1784784511,0.6574573028 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6931231288,-2.7387134928,-0.1516107787 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8458319832,-2.775886819,1.6356480604 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.4064698916,-1.1964196363,0.8674173139 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.0071541938,-0.6581188835,-0.2823759708 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.7876983581,-0.8019395466,2.2583481335 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.2750392442,0.458671452,-0.12846943 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.6897546458,-1.2077238575,-1.1852974225 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.6688115684,-0.1198330254,-0.4055413874 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.0657989457,1.094277639,-0.9559331728 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.2259899959,1.0584007193,0.789948249 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.7299825924,0.9663675985,-0.4843629877 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6267287029,-0.6242049635,-1.384776194 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1602072404,-0.6936415676,1.6334447259
\end{aligned}
\]

H,0,-2.9057341469,-1.7386580343,0.4100857413 B, \(0,2.5486700189,-0.0150643454,-0.5288965964\) C,0,3.3616570394,-1.3673704386,-1.0229606682 C,0,3.2582241894,0.6559498451,0.7942296018 C, \(0,2.4441150262,1.0568507684,-1.7817285733\) H, \(0,4.3761847148,-1.0583324093,-1.3300600495\) H,0,2.9013820381,-1.7798476229,-1.9416037195 C,0,3.4797297139,-2.4804145651,0.0261115325 H,0,1.6622593167,0.7322266357,-2.5002228026 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.379310077,0.9963042151,-2.3665722287\) C, \(0,2.2185117847,2.5377396341,-1.4220157924\) C,0,4.7184112274,1.0503577849,0.5113074729 H,0,3.2747805808,-0.0311661521,1.6584186295 H,0,2.7056690786,1.5542707436,1.1370900172 H,0,1.2872064766,0.1693836646,2.2956703185 H,0,-0.0754564005,-0.8322445266,2.938090718 H,0,1.5152693252,-1.5577845715,2.599395017 C,0,-3.5899595594,1.0011870482,-1.503912364 C,0,-2.7662378213,1.9865165848,0.6289158491 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.3720262734,1.7605286174,-1.5611942253\) H,0,-3.5370752242,0.2752965659,-2.3179624642 H,0,-2.7012424786, 1.5136286199,1.620508851 H,0,-1.9198118316,2.6850760947,0.546559593 H,0,-3.6907565357,2.5743874028,0.5915539052 H,0,4.0442896699,-3.3565935439,-0.328096083 Н, 0,3.9815547961,-2.1123110875,0.9357955479 H,0,2.4857381303,-2.8547017332,0.3361583712 H,0,5.1667880994,1.643797631,1.3230487714 H,0,5.3408675572,0.1518915877,0.3807230636 H,0,4.8091157992,1.6333115326,-0.4181310484 H,0,2.0790499787,3.1747261053,-2.3092713454 H,0,1.3433280526,2.695194694,-0.7694262816 H,0,3.0775182911,2.9387837088,-0.8643179396

\section*{TS2-eq-J \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717731458\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434524\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455187\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456131\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387313
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283207
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262544\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261600
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330419
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.63480 .766144 .841
C, \(0,-0.7774546448,-2.2079134618,0.5628366813\)
C,0,-1.9571054462,-1.1999759904,0.5075915425
H,0,-0.7180741653,-2.7657064052,-0.3813422949
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9072305158,-2.9114267222,1.3962867549\) C,0,0.4466088217,-1.3582873446,0.7492865306 C, \(0,1.0547007561,-0.7532828922,-0.3641109972\) C, \(0,0.8665532825,-1.1175237632,2.1627615411\) C, \(0,-0.1588969758,0.4102102608,-0.0914856472\) H,0,0.7077161459,-1.2291816083,-1.295788385 C, \(0,-1.5671322731,-0.0700692993,-0.4569158603\) H,0,0.2448486664,1.1440897561,-0.8043711081 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1137620715,0.8745839227,0.9029334189\) C,0,-2.5784596888,1.0650872447,-0.4531915906 H, \(,-1.5258425858,-0.48486125,-1.4776555712\) H,0,-2.1269754858,-0.7882208112,1.5158455312 H,0,-2.8833073527,-1.7005666793,0.1970197929 B, \(0,2.5668462914,-0.0601497225,-0.573705535\)

C,0,3.5493451786,-1.3543279816,-0.8685245593 C, \(0,3.0928141908,0.8296462461,0.7120302019\) C, \(0,2.4633112032,0.8971042438,-1.9167956603\) H,0,4.5809494821,-0.9709770859,-0.9666137887 H,0,3.3194471823,-1.8096775714,-1.8498707665 C,0,3.5351461986,-2.4591133041,0.1965080841 H,0,3.4982656156,1.0941250096,-2.2475046056 H,0,2.0634252232,1.9018276595,-1.6675328134 C, \(0,1.6777156585,0.3410643618,-3.11601019\) C,0,4.3059598682,1.7105051047,0.3701150081 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3832831562,0.1843994042,1.5623876463\) H,0,2.2944923955,1.4971384247,1.1001119443 H, \(0,1.5056899727,-0.2370148585,2.2617642887\) H,0,0.0003398536,-1.0618313104,2.8379883856 H, \(0,1.4590866382,-1.9998882112,2.4555616281\) C,0,-3.4099788231,1.2345117809,-1.4828599032 C, \(0,-2.5968658126,1.978660241,0.7494242725\)
H,0,-4.1563117098,2.0310584223,-1.4847582545 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.3675467182,0.5863890431,-2.3606749205\) H,0,-2.5928266345,1.4143108913,1.6940411186 H,0,-1.711150391,2.6315840722,0.7572838889 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.4861548636,2.6196577274,0.739801878\) H,0,4.2741295671,-3.2528413583,0.0076639176 H,0,3.7460081599,-2.0502355037,1.198446621 H,0,2.5499647455,-2.9584183645,0.2465767052 H,0,4.719717701,2.2223623161,1.2526267705 H,0,5.1160639087,1.1097423882,-0.0718283377 H,0,4.0427746748,2.4839230924,-0.3657632572 H,0,1.8193838448,0.9367114374,-4.0303157294 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9829132365,-0.6917892161,-3.3498480642\) H,0,0.5887496688,0.3183045647,-2.9290597831

\section*{TS2-eq-K \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715104640\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433905\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454892\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455836
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.385494\) Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281200
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260213\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259268
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329611
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.44981 .120148 .048
C, \(0,-1.0574035794,-2.2482421305,-0.1632910364\) C, \(0,-2.1898836715,-1.2479413056,0.1684509989\) H,0,-1.0858036563,-2.5048929664,-1.2313793391 H,0,-1.1531953054,-3.1669368181,0.4307845937 C, \(0,0.2278844894,-1.5333216852,0.1605409902\) C, \(0,0.8339803867,-0.6697410151,-0.7621030853\) C, \(0,0.8125245029,-1.785192451,1.5130294243\)
C, \(0,-0.3381186018,0.37756058,-0.0519951465\) H, \(0,0.4164180082,-0.792939226,-1.7753437457\) C, \(0,-1.7890343939,0.0977423828,-0.4525801522\) H,0,0.087748386,1.2669458788,-0.539949764 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.2148471936,0.5285326057,1.0284189026\) C,0,-2.7212141647,1.2296278327,-0.0513863229 H, \(0,-1.827472226,-0.0019564146,-1.5493310891\) H,0,-2.275861042,-1.1435906397,1.262522175 H,0,-3.1588248558,-1.6021260946,-0.2065221692 B, \(0,2.3922479288,-0.038540312,-0.7213848288\) С, \(0,3.4032328333,-1.337441843,-0.581291204\) C,0,2.6036074107,1.0725310571,0.497237551

C,0,2.52658189,0.6979836348,-2.1906980594
H,0,3.4606762925,-1.698444955,0.4637470935 H,0,4.4290746858,-0.9967667272,-0.8084572242 C,0,3.0753704621,-2.5316766055,-1.490247156 H, \(0,1.6753915462,1.3993646944,-2.3284134319\) H, \(0,2.4155956888,-0.0456205684,-3.0036783167\) C,0,3.8235478677,1.4845756045,-2.4192759247 C,0,4.0490430793,1.1704446666,1.0143543087 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9600577067,0.9032117439,1.3827561995\) H,0,2.2962483171,2.0724179783,0.1302117386 H, \(0,1.5645798024,-1.0377264318,1.7816600366\) H,0,0.0382187512,-1.8792067124,2.2879119395 H, \(0,1.3259289829,-2.758242399,1.4311653664\) C,0,-3.5953496278,1.7287810186,-0.9272340428 C, \(0,-2.6158298087,1.7534553719,1.3611867444\) H,0,-4.2877776999,2.5244782457,-0.6465850609 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.6448874043,1.3620613479,-1.9545764434\) H,0,-2.6096688677,0.9394180145,2.101624232 H,0,-1.685296837,2.3244743117,1.4986348606 H,0,-3.4551460936,2.4189118478,1.5945444775 H,0,3.8281682196,-3.3331266422,-1.4377650302 H,0,2.1063637685,-2.9942857791,-1.2258835943 H,0,2.9992305064,-2.222697773,-2.5443346934 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1816237525,1.9786412425,1.7504459428\) H,0,4.3574921511,0.2304511587,1.4971542802 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.7593555729,1.3488875421,0.1944351782\) H,0,3.8884339811,1.9134581059,-3.4313461984 H,0,3.9110971858,2.3181429821,-1.7056894325 H,0,4.707510623,0.8423602468,-2.2788303125

\section*{TS2-eq-L \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716478163\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434231\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455111
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456055\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386158
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282247
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261367\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260423\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330320

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.58680 .908147 .111
C,0,-1.2403582987,-2.3420292205,-0.3553698813
C, \(0,-2.5304240109,-1.5121781511,-0.1175787072\)
H,0,-1.0611590603,-2.4570968433,-1.4329201929
H,0,-1.3273959423,-3.3326993912,0.110540469
C,0,-0.1465203709,-1.5316712641,0.273143385 C, \(0,0.4193953964,-0.4534013818,-0.4293130206\) C,0,0.1779695334,-1.8477260777,1.6950609927 C,0,-0.9297170525,0.3763994366,0.1690848417 H, \(0,0.1766767697,-0.5196129897,-1.5039900976\) C, \(0,-2.2434093879,-0.0468251908,-0.4884457268\) H, \(0,-0.598832182,1.3779511336,-0.138393954\) H,0,-1.0068166391,0.3830761442,1.2644182291 C,0,-3.4157704526,0.8583209772,-0.1463996244 H,0,-2.1021172896,0.0035390413,-1.5838730851 H, \(,-2.8119298415,-1.561533511,0.9476448834\) H,0,-3.3593301149,-1.9378374394,-0.6980750517 B, \(0,1.9191528529,0.2936855162,-0.2520524313\) C,0,2.8944161935,-0.7300589736,-1.1140276314 C, \(0,2.4972838097,0.474845526,1.2762935334\) C,0,1.7902611206,1.7401780871,-1.0257313702 H,0,3.9287395833,-0.354099123,-1.0225728673

H,0,2.6580950729,-0.6765298754,-2.1943986212 C, \(0,2.8810656771,-2.2011080525,-0.6763443923\) H, \(0,1.0635215429,2.4169547707,-0.5327664013\) H, \(0,1.3915783272,1.5799962386,-2.0475734921\) C,0,3.1169886785,2.5061546833,-1.1356367963 C, \(0,1.7587338614,1.4678785367,2.1882505071\) H,0,3.5325211587,0.8426601169,1.1557201406 H,0,2.6242589151,-0.4884592966,1.8035623034 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6527890639,-1.0078176537,2.2088589465\) Н,0,-0.7059219845,-2.2049295224,2.2424236409 H, \(0,0.9079752737,-2.6737760982,1.6607177128\) C, \(0,-3.319777301,1.9053323088,0.6762357246\) C, \(0,-4.72238581,0.4945461408,-0.8118366331\) H,0,-4.1909163241,2.5302319583,0.880873762 H, \(0,-2.3880454363,2.189598553,1.1662168602\) H,0,-4.5817565576,0.3272406034,-1.8905457449 H, \(,-5.1385829044,-0.4324482396,-0.3895343334\) H,0,-5.4671519529, 1.2882513039,-0.6798796953 H,0,3.609977384,-2.8210970166,-1.2203954924 H,0,3.108400792,-2.2985592447,0.3977739165 H,0,1.8932008972,-2.6692483459,-0.8463204709 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2625658544,1.6210691659,3.1552704577\) H,0,1.6755023524,2.4533634557,1.7037966759 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7272044333,1.1480594808,2.4185884791\) H,0,3.0216331384,3.4439430035,-1.7043919594 H,0,3.5055049587,2.7676176915,-0.1388394518 H,0,3.8867982429,1.8964139287,-1.6324717751

\section*{TS2-eq-M \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.714994801\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433833\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454962\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455906\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384816
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281162
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260033\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259089
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330179
E CV \(\quad\) S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal/Mol-K}\)
Total 285.49381 .134149 .622

C,0,-1.2793838607,2.3947906279,0.0097484168 C,0,-2.5491184576,1.499042626,0.0110450847 H,0,-1.0191983868,2.6722903693,1.0402562437 Н, \(,-1.448355869,3.30183254,-0.5859064578\) C, \(0,-0.2101456926,1.5316598103,-0.5843325352\) C, \(0,0.4473126522,0.5769977997,0.2105214154\) C, \(0,0.0177460947,1.6422421052,-2.0516331219\) C, \(0,-0.9087528608,-0.3696881375,-0.1087516998\) H, \(0,0.310387933,0.7992882964,1.2811693591\) C,0,-2.1771875828,0.1216241034,0.5863902524 H,0,-0.5137367759,-1.3010697003,0.3209245197 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.079231798,-0.552547627,-1.1771688595\) C,0,-3.3373546631,-0.8565330565,0.4899887217 H,0,-1.9471410218,0.2522761635, 1.6599183379 H,0,-2.9101304809,1.3678011064,-1.0228574074 Н, \(0,-3.3478511868,1.9918782304,0.5804282476\) B,0,1.9256143263,-0.19101198,-0.0496050447 C,0,3.0289719885,1.0134715676,0.1900034023 C,0,2.2012148911,-0.8467742677,-1.5378722544 C, \(0,2.0024050562,-1.3461218462,1.1271683441\) H,0,2.9326258409,1.7731342616,-0.6121051178 H,0,4.0344418498,0.5789662114,0.0439161199 C,0,2.9900916878,1.720154467,1.5528067535

H,0,1.3404453394,-2.204131397,0.8939296169 H,0,1.6225287769,-0.9484600786,2.0897267485 C,0,3.4146674509,-1.900324576,1.3677362452 C,0,1.2328721958,-1.9061600708,-2.0936245891 H,0,3.1916053001,-1.3263727637,-1.4417087175 H,0,2.3707146935,-0.0685873511,-2.3063013722 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4574061025,0.7315002181,-2.467899719\) H,0,-0.8895089802,1.941841925,-2.594117318 H, \(, 0.0 .7647377271,2.4463022952,-2.1710530303\) C,0,-3.2655793313,-2.0162735662,-0.1674483099 C,0,-4.5992997828,-0.4281634254,1.2015286945 H,0,-4.1252359908,-2.6879262192,-0.2001387915 H,0,-2.3647480244,-2.3486144233,-0.6850285154 H,0,-4.3786280517,-0.0987063219,2.2282315104 H,0,-5.0807071612,0.415751628,0.6848243491 H,0,-5.3238843575,-1.2495406934,1.25071631 H,0,3.8208809195,2.4286465286,1.693652958 H,0,2.0587685459,2.2972449908,1.6883291999 H,0,3.0360768768,0.9915179484,2.3779542496 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6706360745,-2.4871671765,-2.9196178634\) H, \(0,0.9361845888,-2.6272319671,-1.314340347\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3022682734,-1.4661181783,-2.4915623296\) H,0,3.4356093275,-2.6984551163,2.12582768 H,0,3.8421844894,-2.3174354104,0.4430696922 H,0,4.0983280841,-1.1065484899,1.7063871282

\section*{TS2-eq- \(N\) \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715191348\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.433967\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454982\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455926\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385698 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281224
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260210\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259266
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329494
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.50581 .056147 .807
C, \(0,-1.1908641745,-1.9050805204,-1.5347057832\) C, \(0,-2.4851289294,-1.2780286986,-0.948189368\) H,0,-0.9627214132,-1.4442540002,-2.5050780743 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3116091395,-2.9893863522,-1.6603483247\) C,0,-0.121887611,-1.5780718959,-0.5374925705 C, \(0,0.4691386503,-0.3024037875,-0.5370867116\) C, \(0,0.1738034573,-2.6076280335,0.4967572311\) C, \(0,-0.8989286403,0.1422660114,0.3394644102\) H, \(0,0.2917313588,0.200819039,-1.5006874314\) C, \(0,-2.1751187727,0.1609377309,-0.4993996433\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5458028293,1.145946095,0.6186590311\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0352196216,-0.4215341606,1.2716521323\) C,0,-3.3618770405,0.7919212319,0.2105983146 H,0,-1.976009835,0.7648532569,-1.4045167303 H,0,-2.8138594334,-1.8604755458,-0.071123485 H,0,-3.2887761984,-1.3220372887,-1.6947202823 B,0,1.9305244299,0.2041833559,0.1222252799 C, \(0,3.0031514471,-0.4059984611,-0.981751622\) C,0,2.3165166196,-0.3300426496,1.6341178142 C,0,1.9090528571,1.8521292902,0.1190078757 H,0,2.6605385583,-0.2201504824,-2.0185231563 H,0,3.0296210542,-1.5101574784,-0.879277852 C, \(0,4.4324175194,0.1370454088,-0.8477777514\) H,0,2.9202481354,2.1829516823,0.4160206478 H,0,1.2541629345,2.2609616604,0.9139601737

C, \(0,1.5419721647,2.532802374,-1.2088393547\) C, \(0,1.3675748387,-0.0150947249,2.8051789373\) H,0,3.2769927283,0.165739514,1.8622226374 H,0,2.5666337534,-1.4086494243,1.6453302717 H,0,0.6189892932,-2.1747258548,1.3961150695 H,0,-0.7042942515,-3.2243805442,0.7334832469 Н, \(0,0.9350356429,-3.2655045569,0.0422868669\) C,0,-3.3056307364,1.2598485264,1.4596405463 C, \(0,-4.633108738,0.8617725577,-0.602763002\) H,0,-4.1850847652,1.7124429649,1.9210908075 H,0,-2.3991500734,1.2231008683,2.065239825 H,0,-4.4368683539,1.2726997194,-1.6047365536 H,0,-5.0721119093,-0.1378346428,-0.7408710456 H,0,-5.3829511036,1.4931341909,-0.1116387915 H,0,5.1370334492,-0.339998811,-1.5467911255 H,0,4.4590456646,1.2200228104,-1.0442258528 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.8241995936,-0.0162584244,0.1693375418\) H, \(0,1.8421400256,-0.1683680004,3.7864906671\) H,0,1.0266652846,1.0318122782,2.7720362223 H, \(0,0.4596633584,-0.6425547885,2.8049501944\) H,0,1.713751001,3.6198691054,-1.1956453702 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.1295955686,2.1165751813,-2.0434837145\) H,0,0.4764145115,2.3910612738,-1.4638360278

TS2-eq-O

\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.717149211\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434204\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454956\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455900\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386924
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.282945\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262194\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261249
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330225
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.48980 .945145 .172

C, \(0,-0.826114165,-2.2616875093,-0.9279374307\)
C, \(0,-2.1965320875,-1.6535916523,-0.5239027182\) H,0,-0.6363579318,-2.0768536965,-1.9939945254 H, \(0,-0.8146050455,-3.342987556,-0.736187106\) C, \(0,0.188777907,-1.5412737087,-0.0893263721\) C, \(0,0.6171324741,-0.2572228652,-0.4690756222\) C,0,0.5867306004,-2.2025131368,1.186529099 C,0,-0.8038492064,0.2296974566,0.3072975712 H, \(0,0.3724386977,-0.0711145682,-1.5275246532\) C, \(0,-2.0650819731,-0.1212559309,-0.4777427922\) Н, \(,--0.5749405738,1.3053807364,0.3138539542\) Н, \(0,-0.8773640908,-0.0857862892,1.356605078\) C,0,-3.3242180915,0.5290754646,0.0719778588 H, \(,--1.9321092489,0.2443288813,-1.5132364566\) H,0,-2.4797630996,-2.0147361792,0.4789232946 H,0,-2.9718080821,-1.9877186746,-1.2256796809 B, \(0,1.9672381274,0.630768908,-0.0240788068\) C, \(0,3.1596627871,-0.0014455952,-0.978829673\) C, \(0,2.433640149,0.5851247887,1.5575108798\) C, \(0,1.6705690346,2.1978266531,-0.4545571472\) H,0,4.0997121987,0.5049065228,--0.6941104077 H,0,3.000234988,0.2572593563,-2.0421240729 C,0,3.3672262728,-1.5184291135,-0.8750182348 H,0,2.6511262259,2.7071247923,-0.4563350701 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0869183831,2.7364537679,0.3177781134\) C,0,0.998027814,2.4370624099,-1.8165718671 C, \(0,1.4382147048,1.0239192188,2.6473981884\) H,0,3.2888074489,1.284555869,1.5989343882 H, \(0,2.8752218009,-0.3878950926,1.8456201238\) H,0,1.1109753296,-1.5201890968,1.8598444942 H, \(0,-0.2729745639,-2.6790729379,1.6806679522\) H,0,1.2861151194,-3.0058263631,0.9018643909 C, \(0,-3.3338606386,1.3033130621,1.1595896276\) C, \(0,-4.5874923215,0.2401366173,-0.7042151247\) H,0,-4.2642946896,1.752973182,1.5107520114 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4341116273,1.5283160206,1.7334749232\) H, \(,-4.4341626415,0.4082131001,-1.7810837521\) H,0,-4.9003011991,-0.807495958,-0.5790232344 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.4124255797,0.8797526098,-0.3688517056\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2413473469,-1.8759452923,-1.4405156369\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5062443326,-1.8323118458,0.1725601584\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4962837282,-2.0731284305,-1.2711612053\) H,0,1.9300675308,1.2218968142,3.6120067066 H,0,0.9099827343, 1.9473774086,2.3610118055 H,0,0.6627027197,0.265876594,2.8505138071 H, \(0,1.0016118415,3.496596675,-2.1137824518\) H,0,1.5014915761,1.8719582892,-2.6176053567 H,0,-0.060385266,2.1194669839,-1.8170181822

TS2-eq- \(P\)
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.715299294\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433974\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454974\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455918\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385782 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281325\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260325\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259381\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329517
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.50081 .129147 .613
C, \(0,-1.2649029081,-2.4026657632,-0.2684163882\)

C,0,-2.5560956277,-1.5682843529,-0.1012266947 H, \(0,-1.0581231183,-2.5647267143,-1.3353025541\) H,0,-1.3543418799,-3.3740814776,0.2359771698 C, \(0,-0.1773374866,-1.571964732,0.3532044051\) C,0,0.4839364481,-0.5730246567,-0.3745777408 C, \(0,0.122497765,-1.8351610076,1.7914488577\) C,0,-0.9148783318,0.2914269877,0.100032106 H, \(0,0.3161708969,-0.6830457154,-1.4591848591\) C, \(0,-2.2279440074,-0.1363064002,-0.5533377825\) H, \(0,-0.5335261562,1.2387850457,-0.3067583831\) H, \(0,-0.9940142309,0.4234538244,1.1878261343\) C, \(0,-3.3731547283,0.828192546,-0.2917514183\) H, \(,-2.0636308943,-0.1591608154,-1.6468340604\) H,0,-2.8579686999,-1.5509983928,0.9594113484 H,0,-3.3794883805,-2.0150875613,-0.6736833883 B, \(0,1.9133383702,0.2214757812,0.0150791664\) C, \(0,2.2608019464,1.0706517135,-1.3550649784\) C, \(0,3.0221615723,-0.968243021,0.3057691177\) C,0,1.8819149194,1.2333669376,1.3270207085 H, \(0,1.4011533519,1.7105042383,-1.6474364336\) H, \(0,2.3879619017,0.3714785836,-2.2039795753\) C,0,3.511196261,1.9556581535,-1.2609605165 H,0,2.9502127353,1.4697044404,1.4831843847 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5960568505,0.7310341643,2.2707897871\) C,0,1.1255669452,2.5745294489,1.2439370857 C,0,3.0231267332,-2.1554940646,-0.6687361693 H,0,2.9199893598,-1.3536738426,1.3390868698 H,0,4.0226956241,-0.5005789288,0.2875741293 Н,0,0.6625253961,-1.0048795405,2.2544343579 H, \(0,-0.7778189882,-2.1029102774,2.3620381206\) H,0,0.7959785625,-2.7092073244,1.791533388 C, \(0,-3.2398469023,1.9520852863,0.4164507265\) C,0,-4.6951823358,0.4335330443,-0.9065829388 H, \(0,-4.0927851014,2.6167592261,0.5642126703\) H,0,-2.295052026,2.2626271089,0.8645332027 H,0,-4.5725950368,0.1731732052,-1.9690686437 H,0,-5.1209760293,-0.4469346383,-0.4024073179 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.4238170642,1.2494547135,-0.833289964\) H,0,3.7512906942,2.458061936,-2.210695827 H,0,3.3936498585,2.7393464861,-0.4967091139 H,0,4.3919266868,1.3605930444,--0.9738470843 H,0,3.8453886908,-2.8642814737,-0.486265114 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0867862593,-2.7408876034,-0.6066208639\) H,0,3.111392273,-1.8135230925,-1.7121186816 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5188516537,3.317840469,1.9542529103\) H, \(0,1.1990847799,3.019314079,0.2384607626\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0530704282,2.4746819126,1.4750288321\)

\section*{TS2-eq-Q \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.713745079\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434494\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455308\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456252\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386712
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279251\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258437\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257493\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327033
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.71080 .915146 .360
C, \(0,-1.2360842573,-2.2932365184,-0.5241826223\)
C, \(0,-2.5628873808,-1.5330539904,-0.2982843311\)
H, \(0,-1.0181530615,-2.3553916255,-1.5992225055\)

Н,0,-1.2857245157,-3.3077217016,-0.1065697874
C,0,-0.1802649842,-1.4761803665,0.1736680399
C, \(0,0.4384461804,-0.39395365,-0.4681756009\) C, \(0,0.1359217106,-1.8682665275,1.5797647928\) C,0,-1.0017700346,0.3493162996,0.1174494525 H,0,0.230485501,-0.4071610909,-1.5508930366 C, \(0,-2.2886345443,-0.0508146913,-0.5994849448\) H,0,-0.6516658396,1.3533867005,-0.1575445815 H,0,-1.104585773,0.3421013237,1.210189394 C,0,-3.4740504746,0.8355454826,-0.2555987483
H,0,-2.1118580055,0.046151803,-1.6866979724 H,0,-2.8790839624,-1.6329779984,0.7535912457 H,0,-3.3596708621,-1.9529729538,-0.9260394193 B,0,1.8685591935,0.4286421445,-0.0688093174 C, \(0,2.2780284226,1.115449613,-1.5167780701\) C,0,2.9854582877,-0.6873061431,0.4006256795 C,0,1.6995460735,1.6290558637,1.0511976117 H, \(0,1.5285824713,1.8905401334,-1.7802997327\) H,0,2.2111522205,0.3653893315,-2.3290336626 C,0,3.6772863508,1.7427257182,-1.5726005481 H,0,0.9753146589,2.3954023285,0.7085363615 H,0,2.6671252829,2.1601951512,1.0353936842 C,0,1.4063105841,1.3001279886,2.5250267793 C,0,3.2210963388,-1.8241581824,-0.6039724213 H,0,2.7577793127,-1.1275235417,1.388442631 H,0,3.9395137691,-0.1518681355,0.5567185991 H,0,0.6502828681,-1.0713618316,2.1225708426 H,0,-0.7575993611,-2.211076767,2.120827689 H,0,0.8312735,-2.7209776799,1.5003522885 C, \(0,-3.4016493467,1.8655769642,0.5906659532\) C,0,-4.7649668341,0.4724380015,-0.950818463 H,0,-4.2824619078,2.4769315842,0.7944815836 H,0,-2.4812343949,2.1486071761,1.1026617535 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.6055052125,0.3356056239,-2.0312125551\) H,0,-5.1740849295,-0.4712131153,-0.5596825069 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.5224838681,1.2523389405,-0.8097222724\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8916313045,2.2174285054,-2.5427074413\) H,0,3.8079741015,2.5129879831,-0.7976607438 H,0,4.4545515383,0.9809364988,-1.4033537114 H,0,3.977171652,-2.5517201758,-0.2700580711 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2938333427,-2.3946772637,-0.8001641907\) H,0,3.5524657604,-1.4275264927,-1.5760532446 H,0,1.5414201522,2.173584649,3.1814422979 H,0,0.3716179455,0.9539186294,2.6904518334 H,0,2.0794324667,0.5122983951,2.8998580199

\section*{TS2-eq-R \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717325291\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434304\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455152\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456096\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386462 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283021
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262173\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261229
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330863
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.61280 .928146 .557

C,0,-1.2210754575,-2.3450073675,-0.4742370386 C, \(0,-2.5114782473,-1.5655446352,-0.1177634532\) H,0,-1.0863895348,-2.3679986672,-1.5642811745 H,0,-1.2648260536,-3.3726623655,-0.0892650762 C,0,-0.1102178206,-1.56969505,0.1713252152

C, \(0,0.4559610956,-0.4523636553,-0.4620457379\) C, \(0,0.2884273704,-1.9902181426,1.5462542736\) C,0,-0.9060289789,0.3086354004,0.2280973756 H,0,0.2029396697,-0.4301945001,-1.5355365076 C,0,-2.2470083836,-0.0762697886,-0.3937518978 Н,0,-0.592044664,1.3230044402,-0.0497568891 H,0,-0.9152560966,0.2725464301,1.3261547515 C,0,-3.4013299316,0.79983406,0.0647076046
H,0,-2.153822662,0.0527679579,-1.4886224572 H,0,-2.7434512518,-1.6962274873,0.9524226903 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.3615734642,-1.9572517763,-0.691428699\) B, \(0,1.9294260398,0.2896538251,-0.075895178\) C,0,3.038976263,-0.9161293209, 0.1449205267 C,0,1.8251049049,1.250713273,1.2629351357 C, \(0,2.3160372091,1.1664628829,-1.4148441873\) H,0,2.9931468491,-1.3409642209,1.1666467569 H, \(0,4.0375403689,-0.4482773273,0.0849633534\) C,0,2.9993932937,-2.0628963637,-0.8770170173 H,0,2.3895143796,0.5019074246,-2.2970822475 H,0,3.3322914471,1.5792373004,-1.287180988 C,0,1.3555098144,2.3167803152,-1.754727329 C, \(0,3.1258503326,2.0227421372,1.5360745719\) H,0,1.5858338262,0.6685072805,2.1753166347 H,0,1.0106029827,1.9965204795,1.1834150044 H,0,0.8271550361,-1.1983298909,2.0741770352 H,0,-0.5675674743,-2.3581489502,2.1294708136 H,0,0.9886671447,-2.8313090505,1.4065707145 C,0,-3.2623208012,1.8027992829,0.934767317 С, \(0,-4.7427099344,0.460636075,-0.5416604771\) H,0,-4.1233937748,2.4081607911,1.2234442798 H,0,-2.3051487213,2.0693745834,1.3841987382 H, \(0,-4.6647902096,0.3515906244,-1.6341203831\) H,0,-5.1284526294,-0.4908982431,-0.1457720316 H, \(0,-5.4825617491,1.2399544792,-0.3242516703\) H,0,3.8170587448,-2.7882198007,-0.745432501 H, \(0,2.0583079375,-2.6414901579,-0.822044418\) H,0,3.0664497884,-1.6772880817,-1.9060531482 H,0,3.082458969,2.6136483351,2.4639921795 H,0,3.9833269106,1.3379788255,1.620128706 Н, \(0,3.3485180995,2.7194596118,0.7135923472\) H,0,1.6769740359,2.9053586385,-2.6277774265 H,0,0.341509792,1.9448308782,-1.9901047963
H,0,1.2563384653,3.017271981,-0.9091670953

\section*{TS2-eq-S \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.714547565\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434398\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455177
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456121\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387004 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280149
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259370\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258426\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.327544
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.62880 .978145 .470

C,0,0.9275978138,-2.2536397102,0.9072092355 C, \(0,2.2924018668,-1.6559046749,0.4906525282\) H,0,0.7596918338,-2.09275084,1.9809344763 Н, \(0,0.8882177728,-3.3298584347,0.6918404949\) C, \(0,-0.101162661,-1.5048322208,0.1037692191\)
C, \(0,-0.592115112,-0.266237896,0.5415063892\)
C, \(,,-0.5221071061,-2.1269591292,-1.1864377749\)

С, \(0,0.8564920293,0.2333835527,-0.2379137574\) H,0,-0.330810113,-0.0945839271,1.5988145714 C,0,2.1457849545,-0.1251467958,0.4952912647 H,0,0.6021453383,1.2988870317,-0.1636604848 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8978846071,-0.0037012874,-1.3092990631\) C,0,3.375882108,0.5653901501,-0.0701844975 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0374347155,0.2047199668,1.5456416201\) H,0,2.5501100551,-1.9864141644,-0.5294842018 H,0,3.0855003442,-2.0075344083,1.1633843059 B,0,-1.9795220739,0.5700343562,0.0420982736 С,0,-2.3085453849,1.5553495558,1.3257155348 C,0,-3.1953228822,-0.5275240427,-0.1523969114 C,0,-1.795584962,1.5105911681,-1.30383218 H, \(0,-2.4818347585,0.9549457045,2.2391383459\) H,0,-3.266955959,2.0700953057,1.1309148785 C,0,-1.2418101391,2.6150107356,1.6469151865 H, \(0,-0.9815069181,2.2503594085,-1.1801670765\) H,0,-2.7108270636,2.130444257,-1.3250926677 C,0,-1.647447885,0.874842122,-2.6967065967 C,0,-3.3937509898,-1.5171490465,1.0061829368 H,0,-3.1018646752,-1.1036133782,-1.0913490185 H,0,-4.1281404853,0.0525358861,-0.2757693047 H,0,-1.0051417384,-1.4044299095,-1.8491394656 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3125481778,-2.6377302415,-1.6877749649\) H,0,-1.2728365373,-2.8896537346,-0.9186400611 C, \(0,3.3357833347,1.4046712873,-1.1077966855\) C,0,4.6725991166,0.2373391865,0.631556236 H,0,4.2491548247,1.8794048148,-1.4704935867 H,0,2.4123647193,1.6599635939,-1.6287237634 H,0,4.5676825014,0.343909121,1.7220171648 H,0,4.9796313369,-0.8006480827,0.4335063366 H,0,5.4811661665,0.8975529212,0.2963287249 H,0,-1.5003880297,3.2332312439,2.520348939 H,0,-0.2614433509,2.1562688937,1.8738013393 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0870307822,3.3015895539,0.8005224417\) H,0,-4.234333214,-2.2094331019,0.8430346618 H,0,-2.497563778,-2.1435584551,1.1728776801 H,0,-3.583990476,-0.9900183972,1.9527230911 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7697458352,1.6125388803,-3.5046094402\) H, \(,--0.6550737902,0.4175598257,-2.8516403509\) H, \(0,-2.4007108163,0.0888648155,-2.8653819235\)

\section*{TS2-eq-T \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.714301950\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434668\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455376\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456320\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386708
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279634\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258926\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257982\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327594
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.75380 .663146 .511
C,0,-1.2171746691,-2.4034581051,-0.1480070623 C,0,-2.5225061321,-1.610909108,0.1260064013 H,0,-1.1049852763,-2.5841403021,-1.2254462881 H,0,-1.2246294108,-3.3623031634,0.3875986083 C,0,-0.1292898864,-1.5030686637,0.3560490033 C, \(0,0.3848988169,-0.4815448767,-0.4600496813\) C, \(0,0.2417534327,-1.6761320579,1.7926427273\) C,0,-0.9750359943,0.3599572671,0.1052088714 H,0,0.106134166,-0.6462868912,-1.5152563436

C, \(0,-2.3175968047,-0.1781245113,-0.3926476816\) H, \(0,-0.7157988899,1.3031709644,-0.3915349011\) Н, \(0,-0.9599929518,0.5397769172,1.1886974754\) C,0,-3.4918808795,0.7267504771,-0.0558190678 H, \(0,-2.2581112582,-0.2316059374,-1.4960572426\) H,0,-2.7194719814,-1.5788941754,1.2106223331 H, \(0,-3.3749232048,-2.1128220377,-0.3500042356\) В, \(0,1.8602211678,0.3419540448,-0.3940486357\) C, \(0,2.7823459513,-0.6120203369,-1.3797700088\) C,0,2.5317502945,0.477365004,1.1012113454
C, \(0,1.6350496262,1.8175087628,-1.1014065139\) H,0,3.7637666028,-0.121602021,-1.5030940863 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3513710226,-0.6442606498,-2.3991330831\) C,0,3.00505921,-2.0454404334,-0.8805957775 H, \(0,0.877720149,1.743779614,-1.9100621167\) H,0,2.5634499497,2.0883886672,-1.6353803314 C, \(0,1.2747731124,2.9947831089,-0.1749906484\) C,0,3.9412808297,1.0914517011,1.0342978116 H,0,2.6335940212,-0.4981727278,1.6083322786 H,0,1.9038235466,1.0987741147,1.7715660107 H,0,0.6429965172,-0.7604580025,2.2339679428 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5966492379,-2.0701499629,2.3835821014\) H,0,1.05002367,-2.4271831943, 1.8058379849 C, \(0,-3.3618266311,1.8883661673,0.5892661794\) C,0,-4.8403297828,0.2298705091,-0.521997807 H,0,-4.2359195083,2.5100531061,0.7909173396 H,0,-2.3993438812,2.2732277375,0.9284905675 H,0,-4.7997568524,-0.0858299352,-1.5757743714 H,0,-5.169431783,-0.6399454021,0.0662958466 H,0,-5.6038654744,1.0105961781,-0.4247635709 H,0,3.6492228255,-2.6440760496,-1.5427830921 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.470166673,-2.0460496238,0.1188369019\) H,0,2.0507234163,-2.5987519429,-0.7924482197 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.3481860725,1.3371357783,2.0274072997\) H,0,4.6438909735,0.391026626,0.5574007555 H,0,3.9564064587,2.0118631716,0.4305026378 H,0,1.0633732399,3.9227411665,-0.7287313562 H,0,0.3972527826,2.791250515,0.4621619527 H,0,2.0989394816,3.2104584035,0.5206706466
TS2-eq-U
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717651113\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434128\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454949
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455893\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.386576\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.283524\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262703\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261758\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331075

\title{
E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 285.48580 .978145 .890
}

C,0,-1.1388642523,-2.2608838937,-0.7687462211 С, \(0,-2.4436728589,-1.5569708599,-0.3078640256\) H, \(0,-0.9976180169,-2.1168653838,-1.8482954524\) Н,0,-1.1808227675,-3.3350388397,-0.5434312351 C, \(0,-0.0467023497,-1.5777536771,-0.0004688828\) С,0,0.4616160441,-0.3504690854,-0.460998818 C, \(0,0.3261679732,-2.2038440899,1.3026622757\) C,0,-0.8863201428,0.2735153968,0.3522991341 H, \(0,0.1877151208,-0.1963780826,-1.5176107461\) C, \(0,-2.2141162468,-0.0359713315,-0.3379318073\) H,0,-0.5910849434,1.3287383051,0.2636317387 H,0,-0.9076219964,0.0358179422,1.4246656232

C,0,-3.3979488172,0.7204341546,0.2414768191 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1172614282,0.2714658619,-1.3963320816\) H,0,-2.6845502364,-1.8613345587,0.724429919 H,0,-3.2799970838,-1.8678902688,-0.9473305242 B, \(0,1.8772336935,0.4728313334,-0.0920801772\) С,0,3.008356953,-0.2614297398,-1.0454705941 C, \(0,2.292588638,0.4231430358,1.5033173761\) C,0,1.652344582,2.0375944683,-0.5702067656 H,0,3.9844365242,0.2044251672,-0.8199496694 H,0,2.8269988997,-0.0415394874,-2.1141113315 C,0,3.1399216846,-1.7811787591,-0.8776726962 H,0,2.6536132121,2.4994025862,-0.6287146867 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1278334315,2.6272653305,0.2099801695\) C,0,0.9429351781,2.263208538,-1.9157803771 C, \(0,3.3957500508,1.4316389851,1.8644814642\) H,0,2.6523110235,-0.5805470878,1.7978816497 H,0,1.4198150529,0.6343791326,2.1566487296 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.819170505,-1.5010622567,1.9784487372\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5401062575,-2.6806039319,1.7835145451\) H,0,1.0491158936,-2.999414071,1.0572342851 C,0,-3.29725544,1.5688086652,1.2673076754 C,0,-4.7224106035,0.4524393351,-0.4340466926 H,0,-4.1784876591,2.0946834508,1.6389907594 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.3527555272,1.78275063,1.7682760486\) H,0,-4.6283867042,0.5299511325,-1.5279561062 H,0,-5.085390867,-0.5617863215,-0.2091384938 H,0,-5.4868298441,1.1648306025,-0.1019593161 H,0,3.9641561915,-2.2123720111,-1.466245755 H,0,3.3156601957,-2.0532983175,0.1760934427 H, \(0,2.2214534488,-2.3043714894,-1.2027461334\) H,0,3.7429849271,1.3251291813,2.9037724711 H,0,4.2725752099, 1.3071938138,1.2100010262 H,0,3.0460679478,2.4664950884,1.7384238828 H, \(0,0.9936325294,3.3091386357,-2.2537917318\) H,0,1.3865741738,1.641834706,-2.7104050425 H,0,-0.1305926318,2.005135745,-1.8706979588

TS2-eq-V
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715234910\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434337\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455072\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456016\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386880 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.280898\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260163\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259219
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328355
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.56280 .852145 .509
C, \(0,1.4558751534,-2.2916145035,0.3183372929\) C,0,2.7129241715,-1.4270695664,0.0641222934 H, \(0,1.3083772594,-2.4292299079,1.3985604671\) H,0,1.5511073118,-3.274726161,-0.16169424 C, \(0,0.3054924694,-1.5166393844,-0.2636714144\) C,0,-0.3227940554,-0.4970023825,0.4645756256 C, \(0,-0.1076554913,-1.868291624,-1.6548673692\) C,0,1.0191316842,0.3690928555,-0.1593683952 H, \(0,-0.0686565358,-0.5447465914,1.5366737138\) C,0,2.3667821776,0.0163304083,0.4650864367 H,0,0.6240386694,1.3397215239,0.1744717171 H,0,1.0609261299,0.4090891709,-1.2559638233 C,0,3.4776263558,0.9894922651,0.1067579465 H,0,2.2490709991,0.044632706,1.5641049842

H,0,2.9707622762,-1.4474826766,-1.0079860366 H,0,3.5710612526,-1.8270183433,0.6198562714 B, \(0,-1.7940690203,0.2511614241,0.1322098122\) C, \(0,-2.8995003562,-0.9676499962,-0.0181032666\) C,0,-1.7286567857,1.2269479486,-1.2117462456 C,0,-2.0520457145,1.160867576,1.4829272697
Н, \(0,-2.8550661776,-1.4370060524,-1.0199031271\) H,0,-3.9086569381,-0.5209818403,0.0287424924 C,0,-2.8094100938,-2.0752775865,1.0425461081 H,0,-1.161287228,1.7981727216,1.6720749509 H,0,-2.128834117,0.5088410868,2.3745843438 C,0,-3.2819256799,2.0767024576,1.4373885067 C, \(0,-3.0759380791,1.3885019362,-1.9369076759\) Н,0,-0.9953380776,0.900694717,-1.9749866052 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3721113174,2.2326184402,-0.9106394877\) H,0,-0.7346765508,-1.0938639747,-2.1058428541 H,0,0.752079924,-2.1192057759,-2.2923246504 H,0,-0.7254506994,-2.7766674693,-1.5503066202 C,0,3.298500116,2.0531709429,-0.6799771535 C,0,4.823696042,0.6731653013,0.7147201703 H,0,4.1298216729,2.7259620672,-0.8978674433 H,0,2.3364085883,2.304342676,-1.127741464 H,0,4.7320981149,0.4809962198,1.7946146075 H,0,5.2628446275,-0.2272333555,0.2596370511 H,0,5.5272668678,1.5014171986,0.5698911585 H,0,-3.6224989832,-2.8133903642,0.9658281677 Н,0,-1.8632700743,-2.6427876667,0.9648905367 H,0,-2.8421380983,-1.656867346,2.0605487175 H,0,-3.0283155461,2.1169894235,-2.7613338546 H,0,-3.4091566564,0.4298222285,-2.3632880919 H,0,-3.8681143258,1.7171186695,-1.2489401389 H,0,-3.4419166632,2.6220914709,2.3804619888 H,0,-3.1859550718,2.8281022224,0.6386759174 H,0,-4.1982673365,1.5004269807,1.2330444497

\section*{Conformational Interconversion Transition Structures}

TSR- \(A\) - 1


Zero-point correction \(=0.434264\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454989\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455933

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386672
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275711
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254986\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.254042\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323303
\(\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{CV} \mathrm{S}\)
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 285.510 80.806 145.772
C, \(0,-1.2456648559,-2.2805503493,-0.6817165496\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.485643963,-1.3891660097,-0.2712581078\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1161004481,-2.2381549679,-1.7703087121\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4006404193,-3.3120905683,-0.340635689\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.1790550981,-1.5548598421,0.029928498\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.27890752,-0.3249597744,-0.5106480153\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.1777985411,-1.9781210234,1.4008960238\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.9177871846,0.5654701198,0.0658100427\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1109096517,-0.3253060396,-1.6019570853\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.2451777181,0.1030161875,-0.5697211618\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6749252287,1.6049945387,-0.1942479337\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9759784794,0.5054638309,1.1614672489\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.4320542863,0.9367357175,-0.1103619136\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1539865508,0.2166592407,-1.6623693575\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.6780385603,-1.5307176283,0.804852318\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.3653414927,-1.763227208,-0.8112922279\)
\(\mathrm{~B}, 0,1.9005305005,0.2336639335,-0.2490002664\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.8506087116,-0.9338976266,-0.9857871356\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.3402562942,0.4936140688,1.3137433422\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.9682954198,1.6302549219,-1.1189452265\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3750063208,-0.4689158206,-1.8404162502\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2286900713,-1.7225740647,-1.4559496987\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,3.9136723434,-1.6277946545,-0.1174655157\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.186468033,2.3614085702,-0.8371694351\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7791277608,1.3966221908,-2.1845935772\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,3.3297635544,2.3328750529,-1.0039321504\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.5428281796,1.5352454535,2.1146249098\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3834645063,0.8514043566,1.2521026774\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4272650428,-0.4326732745,1.9096888988\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.373096017,-1.1194097028,2.0539169909\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5417978771,-2.6797208786,1.8404305656\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1515826916,-2.4916349627,1.2866372416\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.3040581766,1.4261235559,-0.9942233999\)
\(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.5715904765,1.1892417649,1.3721809166\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.1673507421,2.0128884603,-0.6747308603\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.1790859831,1.2631995615,-2.0668206168\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.4639896492,0.2639161414,1.9584462009\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7940129084,1.8843821943,1.722496559\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5487146485,1.6285798244,1.6051154288\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5137531417,-2.3539078091,-0.6880365066\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.6104472411,-0.8951622227,0.3166346309\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.4689424584,-2.1713426341,0.7325277476\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0670172928,1.8627378971,3.0255947052\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3426510113,2.434188153,1.5094392539\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5625046212,1.15138304,2.4446784927\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.4527858887,3.1508991881,-1.7301782494\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.4674758321,2.7637624636,-0.0002129004\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1565074199,1.6206400239,-1.1629581006\)

TSR-A-2
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.711494427\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434659\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455182\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456126\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387664\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.276836\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256312\)

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.255368
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323831
E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 285.63180 .661144 .091

C,0,-1.1694568791,-2.3070747428,-0.6143285319
C, \(0,-2.435280932,-1.4131659308,-0.3243753467\)
Н, \(0,-0.9747350296,-2.3286589464,-1.6940133595\) Н, \(0,-1.3369307635,-3.3216602623,-0.2293565042\) C,0,-0.1237000473,-1.5522765258,0.1127284988 C, \(0,0.3537011952,-0.3521124024,-0.4697845275\) C,0,0.095519551,-1.9029964674,1.541741996 C, \(0,-0.8669996995,0.5325419678,0.087401041\) Н,0,0.1942177093,-0.3844295855,-1.5621518412 C, \(0,-2.1703495264,0.0790270572,-0.602405832\) Н, \(0,-0.6121830868,1.5743411033,-0.1536891968\) H,0,-0.9591813195,0.4633362292,1.180368479 C,0,-3.3688020234,0.9186628338,-0.1853100622 H,0,-2.0331613647,0.2015982535,-1.689163082 H,0,-2.7232401612,-1.5486402248,0.7304037117 H,0,-3.2690316762,-1.7817796604,-0.9361204838 B, \(0,1.9422805626,0.301403438,-0.2226938548\) С, \(0,2.904925844,-0.8076738802,-0.9767972746\) C, \(0,2.4048560002,0.4890507005,1.3447888054\) C, \(0,1.9483691243,1.7724632959,-0.998448794\) Н, 0,3.9488587615,-0.4705582689,-0.8414004453 H,0,2.7418217891,-0.8021505479,-2.0692357142 C,0,2.8052705157,-2.2555872956,-0.4896558634 H,0,2.2578513038,2.5571526237,-0.2845072625 H,0,0.9352410055,2.0839479012,-1.3192093111 C,0,2.8550433618,1.8910500789,-2.2357508873 C, \(0,1.5585979101,1.4111286214,2.2394128966\) H,0,3.4118636983,0.9394626993,1.266204461 H,0,2.5802116433,-0.4679616773,1.8714573344 H,0,0.559494649,-1.0863920006,2.1007510093 H,0,-0.8363232217,-2.2423408652,2.0174167868 H,0,0.7898133712,-2.7588595785,1.5507020374 C,0,-4.2110275194,1.4064734983,-1.0983168105 C,0,-3.5571497691,1.1746238184,1.2913997535 Н, \(0,-5.0837648312,1.9947471079,-0.808678834\) H,0,-4.0514860903,1.2407205177,-2.1659328412 H,0,-3.4679165497,0.2507236937,1.8830180493 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7927447554,1.8717077872,1.6662046801\) H,0,-4.5421970067,1.6128838069,1.4906637222 H,0,3.525548534,-2.9257484588,-0.9832273198 H,0,2.9745703213,-2.3339006974,0.5962805495 H,0,1.8061228149,-2.6866327057,-0.7022391091 H,0,2.087553961,1.720318507,3.1538071998 H,0,1.2709215016,2.3292676069,1.7025963448 H,0,0.6199711782,0.9366956193,2.5725861424 H,0,2.8574218737,2.9109007397,-2.6509903715 H,0,3.8972218146,1.6314352295,-1.9968537952 H,0,2.5347894878,1.2128178485,-3.0415468828
\(T S R-A-3\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.712527016\)

Zero-point correction= 0.434532 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455200\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456144
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387236
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277995
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.257327\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.256383\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325291

\section*{E CV}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.64280 .707145 .029

C, \(0,-1.1541271293,-2.334476391,-0.593950135\) C,0,-2.4347622598,-1.4584266251,-0.2941805017
H,0,-0.959497765,-2.3419602299,-1.6736199608
H,0,-1.3064509232,-3.3530535541,-0.2137869524 C, \(0,-0.1464373883,-1.5500319454,0.1474716947\) C, \(0,0.3102113469,-0.3434354854,-0.4416409528\) С, \(0,0.0447888049,-1.884040267,1.5832067303\) C,0,-0.9166432188,0.557498254,0.0406447395 H,0,0.1952049195,-0.4103371231,-1.5379770186 C, \(0,-2.2123455468,0.0323642113,-0.6166260368\) Н, \(0,-0.6879569169,1.5805191005,-0.2870177552\) H,0,-0.9961178625,0.5694267563,1.1382154658 C, \(0,-3.4362383091,0.8478115121,-0.2275450311\) H,0,-2.0826818499,0.1195203048,-1.7079495045 H,0,-2.700006664,-1.5772676493,0.7685411759 H,0,-3.2696372226,-1.8617342248,-0.882199307 B, \(0,1.8662993251,0.37546408,-0.1405624127\) C, \(0,2.8959192403,-0.6318883524,-0.9408894506\) C,0,2.2068766792,0.4991631164,1.4625909206 C, \(0,1.7732985268,1.8504590097,-0.872367034\) H,0,3.9274442584,-0.2960789026,-0.7330516736 H,0,2.7726722621,-0.5171841049,-2.0347926769 С,0,2.8155520157,-2.1188355617,-0.583719961 H,0,1.260181115,2.5699873094,-0.206221289 H,0,1.1193719066,1.7702799859,-1.7629158629 C,0,3.1171410869,2.4777306365,-1.3277305684 C,0,3.4206161865,1.3994321829,1.7463811113 Н, \(0,2.4081249371,-0.4880968673,1.919767865\) Н, \(0,1.3434051643,0.9208557869,2.0173317137\) H, \(0,0.3019411159,-1.0086550128,2.1858283974\) H,0,-0.8153386874,-2.4268817135,1.9975629676 Н, \(, 0,0.9141906274,-2.5629324769,1.617699909\) C, \(0,-4.289620329,1.2832702067,-1.1567121237\) C, \(0,-3.6360443814,1.1426644598,1.2399994095\) H,0,-5.1800775793,1.8543093077,-0.8870482962 H,0,-4.1210566883,1.0909854249,-2.2185061552 H,0,-3.5061084007,0.2435099634,1.8614180976 Н,0,-2.902236707,1.8839848897,1.5895765189 H,0,-4.6386896943,1.5450529911,1.4269055506 H,0,3.5522239378,-2.7347086094,-1.1216307082 H,0,2.98282398,-2.2791313551,0.4939396088 H,0,1.8247782382,-2.5485452726,-0.8326111574 H,0,3.6810232509,1.4322634075,2.8156967729 H,0,4.3116994872,1.0494036101,1.2022854201 H,0,3.2311060302,2.4325840881,1.4202398728 H,0,3.1286901935,2.6878424767,-2.407129186 H,0,3.3239739031,3.4303500128,-0.8186815837 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9752921244,1.8214545693,-1.1259865666\)

\section*{TSR-B-1}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.709720315\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434201\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454855
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455799\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386845
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275519
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.254866
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253921\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.322876

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCa} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.42680 .732145 .126

C,0,0.8999763958,2.2753600748,-0.7006736335 C,0,2.1979439492,1.5410799817,-0.1939980445 H,0,0.8098628337,2.1389802037,-1.7858533156 H,0,0.9505152344,3.3409020893,-0.4425453954 C,0,-0.1425900701,1.5262831611,0.0295335725 C, \(0,-0.4701014162,0.2216474055,-0.4210471313\) C,0,-0.626176013,2.0507456762,1.3226209013 C, \(0,0.7874416382,-0.4955012774,0.2710775828\) H,0,-0.2530259216,0.1563774952,-1.5002558354 C,0,2.0927348263,0.0110393443,-0.3581939847 H,0,0.6229848907,-1.5684618147,0.0944415616 H,0,0.7996618637,-0.3386930688, 1.358786113 C,0,3.3427331523,-0.6693734597,0.179619184 H,0,2.0466957944,-0.2051974862,-1.4424792989 H,0,2.3544974642,1.7768758339,0.8719376429 H, \(0,3.0488836092,1.9562272606,-0.7495769149\) B,0,-1.9904869509,-0.5172600462,-0.1288656137 С, \(0,-3.0532454503,0.410754741,-1.0362352351\) C, \(0,-2.4625410101,-0.6430925018,1.4481344407\) С, \(0,-1.8758061496,-2.0335314128,-0.7663218656\) Н,0,-3.4114925114,-0.1941058872,-1.8892484758 H,0,-2.5416152695,1.270267895,-1.5155029593 C,0,-4.2928532677,0.9587741095,-0.3091985291 H,0,-2.9117273151,-2.4184229004,-0.8052742906 H, \(0,-1.3443860579,-2.7357383387,-0.0961242046\) C,0,-1.264522633,-2.1456712882,-2.1718660531 C,0,-1.5333474636,-1.3447932792,2.4554345004 H,0,-3.3920424984,-1.2393511704,1.397664452 H,0,-2.7937373059,0.3161323796,1.8860250092 Н,0,-0.8178164357,1.2473193552,2.0422956753 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0077021725,2.843792124,1.7377028263\) H,0,-1.6207281282,2.4766596078,1.0846674274 C, \(0,3.314149254,-1.5710421632,1.1637192537\) C,0,4.641028172,-0.2675021083,-0.4815617886 H,0,4.2352599565,-2.0446432778,1.5083452204 H,0,2.3901974902,-1.8799453818,1.6534031868 H,0,4.5456500277,-0.2830459713,-1.5779911901 H,0,4.9374388745,0.7527161716,-0.1941272495 H,0,5.4553006338,-0.9442662194,-0.1965972125 H,0,-4.9687566418,1.5028210003,-0.9875680485 H,0,-4.8757905846,0.1491021918,0.154253424 H,0,-4.021589507,1.6521008405,0.5035977658 H,0,-2.0685097467,-1.6729549244,3.3595026135 H,0,-1.057219384,-2.2367414136,2.0189929822 Н,0,-0.7162071274,-0.6921778646,2.8091522923 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4211390227,-3.1342634828,-2.62936108\) H,0,-1.6969447741,-1.3962012023,-2.8554480151 H,0,-0.1731112066,-1.9776882418,-2.1606268925
TSR-B-2
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.711242029\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434674\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455161\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456105\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387652\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276569
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256081\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.255137
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.323590
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.61880 .602144 .071
C, \(0,0.8314519266,2.2963376268,-0.6744973299\)
C,0,2.1619731867,1.5743137077,-0.2483754855

H,0,0.6876683376,2.1941231595,-1.7577919033 H,0,0.877783556,3.3558165091,-0.389787122 C, \(0,-0.1815786578,1.5190132241,0.0789595818\) C,0,-0.5130399984,0.2273367494,-0.3967316738 C, \(0,-0.5271958814,1.9969558556,1.4446220042\) C,0,0.7641185024,-0.4510318419,0.3205269115 H,0,-0.2855742682,0.1611885385,-1.4754174697 C, \(0,2.0513874211,0.039523202,-0.3599425863\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6101712267,-1.5318925015,0.1965530929\) H,0,0.7953768598,-0.2459349388,1.4000361248 C,0,3.3170826696,-0.6230655747,0.162078613 H,0,1.9742947781,-0.2117641631,-1.4339957447 H, \(0,2.3958172361,1.8380453165,0.7961900735\) H,0,2.9734410609,1.9694617305,-0.8732199473 B, \(0,-2.0251444861,-0.5733542738,-0.1572388768\) С,0,-3.0608941537,0.3399084321,-1.063527468 C, \(0,-2.5560478298,-0.6623741079,1.3978987053\) C, \(0,-1.8272319996,-2.1047662752,-0.7758687943\) H,0,-4.0686010026,-0.0967032218,-0.9387143682 H,0,-2.839630101, \(0.2460783841,-2.1417299665\) C, \(0,-3.1417647232,1.8313023645,-0.7255750807\) Н,0,-2.093551782,-2.8456790096,-0.000400129 Н, \(0,-0.7687618065,-2.3302630579,-1.0125623772\) C, \(0,-2.6398481081,-2.4433410479,-2.0374905373\) C,0,-1.6656684918,-1.3878770055,2.4215022752 H,0,-3.4998690247,-1.2331957647,1.317942691 H,0,-2.8688070057,0.313671235,1.8146170105 H,0,-0.9675972004,1.2077044724,2.0596817323 Н,0,0.3412960314,2.4577477564,1.9377648021 H,0,-1.2807992596,2.7905732466,1.3149865661 C,0,3.3230924075,-1.4756616806,1.1894289674 C, \(0,4.5899904475,-0.2605099736,-0.5671310051\) H,0,4.2555402329,-1.9346313476,1.5230648591 H,0,2.4174310515,-1.7567550919,1.7276382301 H,0,4.457872323,-0.3505903311,-1.6561011526 H,0,4.8877555217,0.7784654184,-0.3596248071 H,0,5.4181458138,-0.9125632573,-0.2649585628 H,0,-3.9067970339,2.3636072605,-1.3112922017 H,0,-3.3694986005,1.9978978522,0.3395525063 H,0,-2.1862585721,2.3482949397,-0.946298644 H,0,-2.2132451883,-1.6829014359,3.3295895247 H,0,-1.2311595152,-2.3042640513,1.9902831751 H,0,-0.8182618185,-0.7690787477,2.7620141964 Н,0,-2.5078374258,-3.49181311,-2.3471342028 H, \(0,-3.7161887491,-2.2806843505,-1.8773122514\) H,0,-2.3462494768,-1.8128693698,-2.8907444955

\section*{TSR-B-3}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710307300\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434529\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455176\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456120\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387179 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275779
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255132\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254188 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323128

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.62780 .769145 .097

C,0,0.896991121,2.2615083846,-0.8472022648 C, \(0,2.1543308335,1.4936275317,-0.299293783\)
H,0,0.8034933714,2.0845646491,-1.9264141422
H,0,1.0020967313,3.3349855061,-0.6416349974
C, \(0,-0.2074480319,1.614802055,-0.0941779776\)

C,0,-0.6044223513,0.3209527674,-0.5100767747 C, \(0,-0.5660114285,2.2546616038,1.2025270939\) C,0,0.5964978358,-0.4159964055,0.284004083 H,0,-0.3426145351,0.204917347,-1.5734175452 C, \(0,1.9511510829,-0.0333710617,-0.3286785415\) H,0,0.3752047134,-1.484368349,0.1494858428 H,0,0.554247252,-0.2009191337,1.3630897361 C,0,3.1360820758,-0.7449681841,0.3066333275 H,0,1.9221641001,-0.34158647,-1.3910628143 H,0,2.3486956154,1.8106392816,0.7382440496 H,0,3.021981847,1.7975512291,-0.8993400855 B,0,-2.0728146272,-0.5232732269,-0.2032873038 C, \(0,-3.2348500981,0.3532364948,-0.9749576179\) C,0,-2.4141905622,-0.7042168839,1.4178217057 C, \(0,-1.9027216534,-1.9838474718,-0.9556538797\) Н, \(0,-4.1915824254,-0.1625547345,-0.7730725678\) H, \(0,-3.108128363,0.3144062936,-2.0726467194\) C,0,-3.3706404392,1.8184975416,-0.5531652268 H,0,-2.9202159932,-2.4123856336,-1.0144917834 H,0,-1.3388687416,-2.7126559743,-0.34601892 C,0,-1.295270494,-1.9861390321,-2.3681346642 C,0,-2.303389339,-2.1266405259,1.9957832701 Н,0,-3.4464673052,-0.3485711499,1.5938945595 H,0,-1.7952632052,-0.0682289579,2.0740751039 H,0,-1.2782425549,1.6698489936,1.7855504097 Н, \(0,0.3403663376,2.4695710899,1.7900552669\) H,0,-1.01576354,3.2305901163,0.960833874 C,0,3.017218525,-1.5730907005,1.3472186465 C,0,4.4793199365,-0.4653534888,-0.3267857059 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8957853253,-2.0713990064,1.7611429163\) H,0,2.0596005588,-1.7962963756,1.8183324414 H,0,4.4258340437,-0.5649301004,-1.421690438 H,0,4.8185923928,0.5585896079,-0.108482641 H,0,5.2435422332,-1.1579235665,0.0455397677 H,0,-4.240663295,2.3222770584,-1.0016254687 H, \(,-3.4623255088,1.9151726793,0.5419806432\) H,0,-2.4860076393,2.4056642873,-0.8643794163 H,0,-2.6055409497,-2.1688695206,3.053873784 H,0,-2.9335365049,-2.8386280328,1.4436961798 H,0,-1.2702334447,-2.507310846,1.9376632374 H,0,-1.4382168279,-2.9456372033,-2.8876805301 H,0,-1.7349288244,-1.2012938186,-3.0045948827 H,0,-0.2054203692,-1.8085240138,-2.3415426471

\section*{Isopropenyl-Axial Series, Derived from 8-ax \\ Reactants \\ trans-limonene-ax- \(A\)}

\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-390.340818265\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.233539\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.243936

Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.244881\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.198102
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-390.107280
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-390.096882\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-390.095938\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -390.142716
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 153.07241 .39898 .454

C,0,1.5658186062,-1.8757425086,-1.3394987973
C, \(0,2.6222922722,-1.647483468,-0.1672935959\)
H,0,1.6851718232,-1.0991804893,-2.1077120248
H, \(0,1.7158358258,-2.8666562652,-1.7950605937\)
C,0,0.3009778265,-1.6917385915,-0.5478394354
C, \(0,0.2166233176,-0.4046040605,-0.1282191386\)
C, \(0,-0.1838727888,-2.8556602753,0.2774813562\) C, \(0,0.8735877413,-0.2139634872,1.2094514664\) H, \(0,0.575514622,0.3377371763,-0.8541764986\) C,0,2.402396974,-0.4031169131,0.7448739509 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.74031992,0.7557429488,1.7065312778\) H,0,0.6215506279,-1.0080126166,1.9243806306 C,0,2.9460128892,0.8962633154,0.1644217105 H,0,2.9800369197,-0.5817067651,1.6693302506 H,0,2.5920862473,-2.5395159725,0.4756631373 H,0,3.6393731345,-1.6096925039,-0.5831493589 H,0,-0.8077239813,-2.5200927245,1.116379265 H,0,0.6353963891,-3.481870571,0.6646833092 H, \(0,-0.8078151905,-3.5084341844,-0.3522969146\) C,0,3.450250037,1.0147161667,-1.0669502088 C,0,2.9017345657,2.0927991536,1.0895871269 H,0,3.846148839,1.9702860791,-1.4161787415
H,0,3.4942461952,0.1816927927,-1.7687638586
H,0,3.1949599762,1.8126359732,2.1126457365
H,0,1.8883426,2.5165530384,1.1468198688
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5741576112,2.8856247525,0.7400170802\)
trans-limonene- \(a x-B\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-390.338851321\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.233639\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.243964\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.244908\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.198586
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-390.105212
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-390.094887\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-390.093943\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -390.140266
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 153.09041 .31397 .494
C, \(0,1.3748898259,1.2978181862,-0.4353971543\) C,0,0.4665751382,1.0424960806,0.8436756072
H, \(0,0.7429157167,1.6393446161,-1.2685480914\) H,0,2.1360755967,2.0621760773,-0.2148136816 C, \(0,1.8703314614,-0.1098484333,-0.6364173148\) C,0,0.8307477487,-0.8971917725,-1.0105850607 C, \(0,2.9923132735,-0.5941964652,0.2451130492\) C,0,0.1129386643,-1.4811031943,0.180705271 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1293694086,-0.4122931318,-1.6986224504\) C,0,-0.548980674,-0.1463142163,0.7419908441 H,0,-0.6564513216,-2.2425939217,-0.0048433716 H, \(0,0.8073428447,-1.871924895,0.9365458307\) С, \(0,-1.8295128675,0.2574736509,0.0118207548\) H,0,-0.8647906555,-0.3541484428,1.7805880929

H,0,1.1369695906,0.834856317,1.6906169987 H,0,-0.0670851733,1.966117511,1.1055602579 H,0,2.9553208545,-1.6837755248,0.3771971832 H,0,3.0109734094,-0.1103639444,1.234014025 H,0,3.9533996557,-0.3594058064,-0. 2380200543 C,0,-2.3898590536,-0.4420028965,-0.9787018864 C, \(0,-2.5008343834,1.5159434181,0.5187598394\) H,0,-3.3349236339,-0.1175734268,-1.4185167848 H,0,-1.9427136964,-1.3448482964,-1.3950933367 H,0,-1.9741641231,2.4169903929,0.1702339443
H, \(,-2.5078630916,1.5487412486,1.6188921272\)
H,0,-3.5365326049,1.5776776794,0.1632771415

\section*{TS1 Structures}

TS1-ax-A

\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.710988151\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433792\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455211\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456155\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384521
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277196
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255777\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.254833\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326467
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.64981 .683150 .766
C,0,-1.2224635161,2.1909781073,-0.8317178185
C,0,-2.3754268,1.7615110733,0.1875173201
H,0,-1.3680660897,1.6906413667,-1.7982322786
H,0,-1.2286322214,3.2823979737,-0.9675730674
C, \(0,-0.0672083463,1.622036654,-0.077260604\)
C, \(0,-0.0998172497,0.2564284799,-0.0683852234\)
C, \(, 0,0.5435677633,2.4380266363,1.0215236227\)
С, \(0,-0.904904965,-0.2058753847,1.1289916675\)
Н, \(0,-0.5169858684,-0.171553781,-0.9905674591\)
C,0,-2.3593571189,0.2911070634,0.696615331
H, \(0,-0.8995336219,-1.2880542709,1.3159436504\)
H,0,-0.6033839605,0.297962868,2.0577380468
C, \(0,-2.9976329175,-0.7117839355,-0.2560473761\)
H,0,-2.9782523026,0.2709963198,1.6113089123
H,0,-2.2883113535,2.4201940724,1.0646119804
H,0,-3.3539832866, 1.9791880719,-0.2633396077
B, \(0,2.1457507864,-0.6176683155,-0.1253374798\)
C, \(0,1.8132637275,-1.5746375102,-1.3626712607\)
C,0,3.0692363917,0.656964729,-0.3942147407
C, \(0,2.1674158475,-1.2379044385,1.347698718\)
H,0,1.4217507618,-0.9864020286,-2.2093072795
H,0,2.7963612382,-1.9452814323,-1.7167306306

C,0,0.8932906323,-2.7682036904,-1.0810534458 H,0,2.1446967977,-0.4523868941,2.1228508647 H,0,1.2993935135,-1.8905623991,1.5319884479 C,0,3.4530996378,-2.0763754045,1.5157423518 C,0,2.8934961278,1.3858269382,-1.7303940239 H,0,4.1022354002,0.2590224129,-0.3443433926 H,0,3.0138757201,1.3695326369,0.4450849637 H,0,1.0137404803,1.8045966804,1.7851868987 H,0,-0.178790141,3.1213561846,1.4924750345 Н, \(0,1.3367083482,3.0656267913,0.5809162228\) C, \(0,-3.3944431875,-0.4168725571,-1.4968947233\) C,0,-3.1882179932,-2.1061988987,0.2992822237 H,0,-3.8646951908,-1.1746964751,-2.1261446506 H,0,-3.2781246224,0.575999456,-1.93233293 H,0,-3.5832750955,-2.069884784,1.3257774923 H,0,-2.2390151247,-2.6603896627,0.3372662896 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8843182719,-2.6836853764,-0.320798319\) H,0,0.7236867898,-3.3878306974,-1.9734276989 H,0,-0.0927823274,-2.4275908862,-0.7263660462 H,0,1.3104409606,-3.4204661318,-0.2990043928 H,0,3.5680905869,2.2487363432,-1.8309517089 H,0,1.8598905056,1.7541789473,-1.8435671048 H,0,3.0886758626,0.7147570327,-2.5793454104 H,0,3.5020127434,-2.5645516599,2.4996191137 H,0,4.3542128899,-1.4545325551,1.4107721294 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5121421796,-2.8673517295,0.751578301\)

\section*{TS1-ax-B}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.708762371\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433657\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455150\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456094\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.383957 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275105\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253613\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252669
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.324806
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.61181 .654151 .825
C,0,-1.771980247,0.9885895564,-1.6236380029 C, \(0,-2.6295104275,1.5577442745,-0.4051870977\) H,0,-2.0661355147,-0.0504898807,-1.8282009083 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.9270141072,1.6058963823,-2.5211627837\) C, \(0,-0.4217309861,1.0768884188,-0.9790202259\) C, \(0,-0.322115815,0.1886402286,0.0479020196\) C,0,0.2855367305,2.4026584403,-0.9959852921 C, \(0,-0.8123897226,0.8125655097,1.3322293069\) H, \(0,-0.8365437763,-0.7656548911,-0.1373381486\) C,0,-2.3694427386,0.8932808761,0.9756821869 H,0,-0.6554483422,0.2422894344,2.2560978406 H, \(0,-0.4227185753,1.828911757,1.4840640778\) C,0,-3.0295859787,-0.4752098951,1.1292375555 H,0,-2.8319039783,1.5418708133,1.7416786583 H,0,-2.3991645602,2.6295312689,-0.3108413584 H,0,-3.7000482562,1.4992693479,-0.6464358429 B, \(0,2.2016723862,-0.7602073766,0.0046090801\) C,0,2.610294022,-0.426444967,-1.4955884889 C, \(0,2.8145485704,0.1658010628,1.1480634679\) C, \(0,1.6042041755,-2.1868509674,0.3574470978\) H, \(0,2.6122554949,0.6648915798,-1.6563061025\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.6790437689,-0.7125032654,-1.5635432352\) C, \(0,1.8306588584,-1.1374322954,-2.6072129672\) H,0,1.0941286837,-2.1950787702,1.3348774668

H, 0,0.875166267,-2.5294955915,-0.3930921141 C,0,2.792774682,-3.1757699951,0.3895062076 C, \(0,2.5277109569,-0.2245293316,2.601999845\) H,0,2.5047829481,1.2091011883,0.9655350935 H,0,3.908086817,0.1802257036,0.9783431413 H,0,0.9704553804,2.5103539372,-0.1447679625 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4052544092,3.2590764844,-1.0201633655\) H,0,0.8933005516,2.4614439972,-1.913882166 C, \(0,-3.8439264768,-1.0192001194,0.2207225098\) C,0,-2.7222015831,-1.2166321529,2.4109115491 H,0,-4.3106450386,-1.988652843,0.4049850078 H,0,-4.0804841424,-0.5375736193,-0.7280490165 H, \(0,-2.7459770204,-0.5414899485,3.2797685517\) H,0,-1.716713813,-1.6642612891,2.3726666749 H, \(0,-3.4426115737,-2.026242842,2.5783593489\)
H,0,2.1781906722,-0.8512220253,-3.6102881206 H,0,0.756998226,-0.8996851,-2.5436903396 H,0,1.9271575366,-2.2305068999,-2.5250497394 H,0,3.009189823,0.4556716919,3.3191082324 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.885143052,-1.2418893218,2.8206974309\) H, \(0,1.4481823786,-0.2145539585,2.8089544153\) H,0,2.4742113416,-4.1914544699,0.6623489071 H,0,3.558362446,-2.8630729998,1.1166325008 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.2838542135,-3.235218347,-0.594000696\)

\section*{TS1-ax-C \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.709603992\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434447\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455431
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456375\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386303
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275157
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254173\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253229\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323301
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.78781 .223147 .480
C,0,-1.0560600508,1.8429179807,-1.0434627344
C, \(0,-2.1672211135,1.6172290783,0.0821744734\)
Н, 0,-1.2234989831,1.1385036068,-1.8691383129 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0921852397,2.8796561977,-1.4070978987\) C, \(0,0.1269459176,1.4683813653,-0.2193893924\) C,0,0.1486684735,0.1287478282,0.0828015689 C, \(0,0.7686761288,2.5114208241,0.6404163496\) C, \(0,-0.6553801648,-0.0520637804,1.3671711093\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.3152726045,-0.4751000051,-0.706394867\) C, \(0,-2.1129917331,0.2570777118,0.8262762346\) H,0,-0.5891912202,-1.0471263791,1.8270391492 H,0,-0.3999391892,0.6900094388,2.136026051 C, \(0,-2.6547640194,-0.9186724237,0.018563174\) H,0,-2.7686378842,0.3484369979,1.7113905167 H,0,-2.0444068601,2.4159940314,0.8298215954 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.160071565,1.7655656101,-0.3644852422\) B, \(0,2.1843933249,-0.8275125183,-0.1729437166\) C, \(0,1.899466206,-1.4634597045,-1.6214010103\) C, \(0,3.2438083447,0.3761174892,-0.1261531838\) C,0,2.1067350816,-1.7969293685,1.1016391946 H, \(0,1.5438740288,-0.6835267969,-2.317276107\) H,0,2.8941777574,-1.7509212657,-2.012902247 C, \(0,0.9818715484,-2.6916643712,-1.6851640151\) H,0,1.150088885,-2.3484972201,1.1176414582 H,0,2.8686730644,-2.5823963152,0.9245676046 C, \(0,2.3697725452,-1.1368299742,2.4599354235\)

C,0,4.6772364332,-0.1911185367,-0.1835046802
H,0,3.1451066085,0.973866955,0.7949957606
H,0,3.0988547665,1.0713205658,-0.9715747875
H,0,1.1866838345,2.0815287371,1.5604807275
H,0,0.0858040692,3.3391244824,0.8808311598
Н,0,1.6136969036,2.9406785301,0.0765960596
C,0,-3.2225122982,-0.8013759287,-1.1849317367
C, \(0,-2.5234947583,-2.2750306458,0.6726623052\)
H,0,-3.6156640379,-1.6793352745,-1.7006242851
Н,0,-3.3223488538,0.1529702431,-1.7028228478
H,0,-2.8069549068,-2.2343286348,1.7353925264 H,0,-1.4830193492,-2.6341412282,0.6274280409 H,0,-3.1556028741,-3.0165058864,0.1697350252 H,0,0.8821807111,-3.0862648484,-2.70692421 H,0,-0.0353051909,-2.4615269324,-1.3282323279 H,0,1.3671674471,-3.5056877507,-1.0532918508 H,0,5.4386868307,0.6009154007,-0.1360514531 H,0,4.8479752545,-0.7551029535,-1.1124248769 H,0,4.8667004489,-0.8811956475,0.6531650479 H,0,2.2305077831,-1.8282154566,3.3040465891 H,0,1.6987912921,-0.2770198694,2.6187171034 Н,0,3.397013247,-0.7475100279,2.5185125346
TS1-ax-D
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.712064034\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434463\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455222
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456166\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387125
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277601
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256843\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.255898\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.324939

\footnotetext{
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.65681 .152145 .308
C,0,-1.4349822937,-0.1310757707,-0.4699121729 C,0,-0.5897624439,1.1795124549,-0.1205267575 H,0,-1.8335208991,-0.5725184693, 0.4531622771 Н,0,-2.2528045602,0.1225879866,-1.1593173439 C, \(0,-0.3181575906,-0.9365256048,-1.0363424064\) C,0,0.59493333,-1.3121609226,-0.0792460078 C, \(0,0.0344902662,-0.7621266152,-2.4807985182\) C,0,1.6184694097,-0.1828965981,0.0145239044 H,0,0.1250953468,-1.4405581358,0.9034488154 C,0,0.7296822176,0.9576410422,0.664822546 H,0,2.5058332473,-0.4052558637,0.6217037206 H,0,1.9650176057,0.1570907177,-0.9707754854 C,0,0.5409912858,0.7116885282,2.1576865211
H,0,1.3088733747,1.8952674564,0.5834453565 H,0,-0.3361498358,1.6666059702,-1.0744933909 H,0,-1.2364864266,1.8831349408,0.4217617236 B, \(0,1.2942918591,-3.4369409902,-0.2445360359\) C, \(0,0.4552399292,-3.9938987986,1.0087957166\) C,0,0.8087833845,-3.9124251644,-1.6967884566 C, \(0,2.8644643672,-3.1959131911,-0.0310856469\) Н,0,-0.6128309889,-3.7331247816,0.9094479811 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4805952085,-5.0969938974,0.9066557087\) C,0,0.9599725938,-3.6154773127,2.4068115624 H,0,3.0492733562,-2.5513798606,0.8458958465 H,0,3.2740602671,-4.1815478342,0.2669013112 C, \(0,3.6412062351,-2.6804970367,-1.2473712122\) С, \(0,-0.6958454689,-4.1537921677,-1.85793536\) Н, \(0,1.3441089603,-4.863892808,-1.8860638782\) H,0,1.177822187,-3.2358759386,-2.4848316506
}

H,0,1.1079805189,-0.9057854974,-2.6604437207 H,0,-0.2914845699,0.2100682045,-2.8778366903 H,0,-0.4949806754,-1.5409195577,-3.055374214 C,0,-0.6463681847,0.6946884067,2.769297215 C,0,1.8135328697,0.49434837,2.9447011411 Н,0,-0.7144907196,0.5377356382,3.8472927357 H,0,-1.5873778897,0.8356567453,2.2368039389 H,0,2.5898494648,1.2163731132,2.6488311256 H,0,2.2227913176,-0.5124893552,2.7679396623 H,0,1.6326445998,0.5968070087,4.0213411313 H,0,0.3471185313,-4.0558080221,3.2068567038 H,0,0.9507773598,-2.5233039734,2.5568594825 H,0,1.9958842716,-3.9520722638,2.5605883619 Н,0,-0.9805619893,-4.3966142949,-2.8929826977 H,0,-1.2772137407,-3.2664265046,-1.5501797062 Н,0,-1.0353615066,-4.9819924418,-1.2192702872 H,0,4.7033344247,-2.4974136917,-1.0276090159 H,0,3.2130409991,-1.7356227806,-1.6191847892 H,0,3.594497995,-3.3985154382,-2.0793710448
TS1-ax-E
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.711174491\)

Zero-point correction= 0.434385 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455351\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456295
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386239
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276789
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255823\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.254879
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.324936

\section*{E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.73781 .155147 .446}

C,0,1.4824595128,-1.7466284523,-1.4536019924 C,0,2.5449267279,-1.6749513073,-0.2648395489 Н,0,1.6370743103,-0.8965573909,-2.1324259839 H,0,1.5853891244,-2.6953207446,-1.9996903251 C,0,0.252139743,-1.5903263779,-0.620922713 C, \(0,0.1570572889,-0.3362182218,-0.0787476639\) C,0,-0.3336247978,-2.8044056536,0.0336894597 C, \(0,0.9140778293,-0.3319513766,1.2402172427\) H,0,0.5662993441,0.4434125884,-0.7367462871 C, \(0,2.3972417989,-0.4600691736,0.6885371247\) Н, \(0,0.7833061129,0.5606895855,1.8666148102\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6920648251,-1.2125781609,1.8583355244\) C,0,2.87622486,0.8575316433,0.0814350132 H,0,3.0464976955,-0.6506351145,1.562402653 H,0,2.4359713525,-2.5913626022,0.3352865419 H,0,3.5590933837,-1.7061502459,-0.6860339918 B,0,-2.0621169209,0.5105739597,-0.1540607191 C,0,-1.7834074866,1.4398340506,-1.4261522926 C, \(0,-2.9865166067,-0.7770245325,-0.3465135151\) C, \(0,-2.0307027224,1.2214077391,1.2788736662\) H,0,-2.6628961914,2.1110402123,-1.4848762083 Н, \(0,-0.9328983233,2.117381685,-1.2376874029\) C,0,-1.6149488678,0.7266350419,-2.7716569289 H,0,-1.1089153683,1.8183078787,1.3927507631 H,0,-2.840553059,1.9764267088,1.2522876473 C,0,-2.239258729,0.3095772765,2.4930472064 C, \(0,-4.4500829118,-0.3014321559,-0.4675303491\) Н, \(0,-2.7164137127,-1.3453062367,-1.2515743788\) H,0,-2.9092129074,-1.4756025449,0.5022684941 Н,0,-0.8047945243,-2.5593516798,0.9953202561 H,0,0.4011058234,-3.6121816181,0.1651205971 Н,0,-1.1311155919,-3.1948461581,-0.6198469519

C,0,3.5586703725,0.9474308619,-1.0634071014
C,0,2.5447768549,2.1050439082,0.8681429329
H,0,3.9030946191,1.9168296798,-1.4279758644 H,0,3.8020794921,0.0817856024,-1.6798664103 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7523008605,1.9696697948,1.9404728592\) H,0,1.4753754368,2.3531817474,0.7719097621 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.1237054732,2.9629238584,0.5060516656\) H,0,-1.3944807728,1.4222008208,-3.5941156413 H,0,-2.5265376464,0.1730561852,-3.042467536 H,0,-0.795770475,-0.0104495307,-2.728483204 H,0,-5.1509366682,-1.1403082167,-0.5846248128 H,0,-4.5809050823,0.3627443979,-1.3359785048 H,0,-4.7594145705,0.2656460377,0.4245611889 H,0,-2.1440647504,0.846259422,3.4482628651 H,0,-1.5088776058,-0.5152248984,2.4992530144 H,0,-3.238043609,-0.1517451525,2.4719127293

\section*{TS1-ax-F}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.708476056\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.433657\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454466
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455410\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384348 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.274819\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254010\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253066\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.324128

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.18279 .642149 .563

C, \(0,-1.4781663863,1.804052576,-1.3304997358\) C, \(0,-2.5421072232,1.7465508611,-0.1404400793\) H,0,-1.6485049443,0.9815456739,-2.0381505419 Н,0,-1.556593999,2.7676648694,-1.8558049862 C,0,-0.2396697774,1.5966353231,-0.5107090601 C,0,-0.2458667329,0.3298128542,-0.0133153227 C, \(0,0.323043508,2.7923117862,0.2172109022\) C,0,-0.9125074147,0.2503934593,1.3319267781 H, \(,-0.6919994287,-0.402606377,-0.7017438692\) C,0,-2.4230363959,0.5689832267,0.8778535866 H,0,-0.8629557828,-0.723525607,1.8358880622 H,0,-0.5631237636,1.0131179284,2.0372919447 C,0,-3.1014863848,-0.7198501637,0.4341838488 H,0,-2.9546495787,0.8930465383,1.7893956026 H,0,-2.4308420738,2.6868417102,0.422010364 H,0,-3.5601247581,1.7544792771,-0.556732331 B, \(0,2.0670345298,-0.90305659,-0.172793102\) С, \(0,1.8603956565,-1.3316590038,-1.6887120828\) C,0,3.0396299782,0.3034468089,0.1768067363 C, \(0,1.6613313733,-1.9119588233,0.9895656993\) H,0,2.5922404789,-2.1497403212,-1.8505719457 H,0,0.8806838462,-1.8188158037,-1.8222697959 C,0,2.0658072855,-0.2445641752,-2.748268437 H,0,2.6017360437,-2.4284442191,1.2662836625 H,0,1.3901646075,-1.3448254223,1.8955922569 C, \(0,0.5919198558,-2.9618749937,0.6617094121\) C,0,4.4992670441,-0.1928441387,0.0766182038 H,0,2.8544261582,0.697008165,1.1904513226 H,0,2.9037280256,1.1392357555,-0.5277821289 H,0,1.4205337368,2.7473717861,0.2139570264 H,0,0.0121657021,2.8440027135,1.2719278635 H, \(0,0.0173158843,3.7291943755,-0.2672252996\) C,0,-3.5036019049,-0.9567049048,-0.8176176751 C, \(0,-3.3182778325,-1.7506328396,1.519900024\) H,0,-3.9926549257,-1.896893104,-1.0791381831

H,0,-3.3741994607,-0.231512739,-1.6213298489 H,0,-3.7887499474,-1.2929233688,2.4033841186 H,0,-2.370402299,-2.1982259429,1.8530143914 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.9633612187,-2.5640723991,1.1663639111\) Н, \(0,1.9052808776,-0.6195288315,-3.769186879\) H,0,3.0834660055,0.1715809593,-2.7046342267 H, \(0,1.3670414321,0.5912661828,-2.5814914175\) H,0,5.2210232971,0.6087541598,0.287142381 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.7178992749,-0.5775228437,-0.9317473289\) H,0,4.6966637393,-1.0087823761,0.7875726783 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9296844211,-3.6332820665,-0.1410174967\) H,0,-0.3395850633,-2.4906295741,0.3077846774 Н, \(0,0.3401503544,-3.5877855818,1.5307570693\)

\section*{TS1-ax-G \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.710559340\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434327\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455419
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456363\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386358
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.276232
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.255141
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254197 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.324202

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.77981 .407147 .338
C,0,-1.4183186729,1.6764511942,-1.3168669031 C,0,-2.4264463342,1.5687006897,-0.0802029314 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5873959037,0.8462253638,-2.0147703909\) H,0,-1.5606404805,2.6380017829,-1.8310317086 C, \(0,-0.1486732284,1.5129449924,-0.5545443063\) C,0,-0.0242815835,0.2463403394,-0.0507435426 C, \(0,0.4673908504,2.7230424044,0.0786652876\) C,0,-0.6656713015,0.215135876,1.324346937 H, \(,--0.5004523821,-0.5060002108,-0.6931539523\) C,0,-2.2007365253,0.4063019789,0.9296618207 H,0,-0.5134853289,-0.7152068329,1.8890174955 H,0,-0.350967034,1.0551386115,1.9589113273 C, \(0,-2.8066658185,-0.926079549,0.5103826007\)
H,0,-2.7251624723,0.6968682032,1.8570511245 H, \(,-2.3475219307,2.5147394298,0.4766536657\) H,0,-3.4551710527,1.5214134757,-0.4647256847 B, \(0,2.0763140708,-0.6877827445,-0.2533921438\) C, \(0,2.068033728,-0.8587376366,-1.8466450089\) C, \(0,3.0544210602,0.4224947695,0.3541941248\) C, \(0,1.7627340423,-1.975305711,0.6462324604\) H,0,1.1283449166,-1.3142471737,-2.2026325511 H,0,2.1534885616, \(0.1193250338,-2.3496761829\) C,0,3.244147948,-1.758454831,-2.2783987645 H,0,2.7454484055,-2.4801768256,0.746371995 H,0,1.5049994258,-1.6856460149,1.6786345629 C,0,0.7397233479,-2.9826347226,0.1058076081 C,0,3.0275039688,0.6070612184,1.8766050657 H,0,2.9038488268, 1.3870123241,-0.1587263991 H,0,4.0736998967,0.1105992115,0.05352398 H,0,1.0462642006,2.4697869339,0.9754071758 H,0,-0.2783656611,3.496212221,0.3160663409 Н, \(0,1.1676307991,3.1698357701,-0.6468266056\) C,0,-3.288858991,-1.1762794185,-0.7100775088 C,0,-2.8496201873,-1.9863324278,1.5881465492 H, \(0,-3.7225101981,-2.1487418815,-0.9502540267\) H,0,-3.2805388368,-0.4346037557,-1.5094992015 H,0,-3.2497239117,-1.5735560592,2.5266375031

H,0,-1.8470351342,-2.3814003435,1.808595088
H,0,-3.479538339,-2.8307391008,1.2835831054
H,0,3.2834403529,-1.8936483724,-3.3689255276
H,0,3.1688578872,-2.7585551929,-1.8244198257
H,0,4.2094941338,-1.3324229586,-1.965680999 H,0,3.6702438213,1.4331751332,2.215080499 H,0,3.3654256277,-0.3045620721,2.3907084816 H,0,2.0056414784,0.8082763381,2.2377494385 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6345058818,-3.8622352957,0.7583837328\) H,0,1.0264626413,-3.3456951779,-0.8923973552 H,0,-0.2595046952,-2.5301660165,0.0014874499

\section*{TS1-ax-H}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.712956784\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434034\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455240\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456185\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.384799
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.278923
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.257716\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.256772\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328157

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 285.66881 .570150 .243

C,0,-1.3234408021,1.5846923488,-1.3475745702 C, \(0,-2.3212973039,1.5110462665,-0.1006550187\) Н,0,-1.5136741218,0.7506925948,-2.0358380235 H,0,-1.4512765162,2.5431676605,-1.8713574434 C, \(0,-0.047227071,1.4047206136,-0.5978436658\) С,0,0.054025134,0.1414423804,-0.0798747335 C, \(0,0.6001593148,2.6097489134,0.0138180127\) C,0,-0.5715785419,0.1382071645,1.3016379116 H,0,-0.4457031395,-0.6078089839,-0.7078956971 C,0,-2.106465423,0.358431497,0.9235993893 H,0,-0.4367009815,-0.7913683866,1.8710407407 H,0,-0.2283523505,0.9746870302,1.9257151568 C, \(0,-2.7448670427,-0.9671537382,0.5315151057\) H,0,-2.6125996263,0.6731513824,1.8532403424 H,0,-2.2188882127,2.4625346927,0.4428991452 Н,0,-3.3546511565,1.4779460392,-0.4740643166 B, \(0,2.1533898171,-0.8287772134,-0.275769635\) C, \(0,2.0809234272,-1.0759811321,-1.8555567301\) C,0,3.150936952,0.3017763737,0.2574446776 C, \(0,1.8451558452,-2.0622980894,0.6969156031\) H,0,2.8511066508,-1.8458674363,-2.0621776081 H,0,1.1295857757,-1.5577667338,-2.1370623462 C,0,2.3395132915,0.1408678367,-2.7485038877 H,0,2.8251699607,-2.5659922678,0.8205327781 H,0,1.5958057509,-1.7100107729,1.7117113572 C, \(0,0.813126161,-3.0941197557,0.2243341601\) C,0,3.1808854855,0.5258155414,1.7744080512 H,0,2.9759372452,1.253721363,-0.270080148 H,0,4.1588628322,-0.0112264214,-0.0803304938 H,0,1.1707557333,2.3587645289,0.9166224971 Н,0,-0.1250459048,3.4068857098,0.2348432223 H,0,1.3142197378,3.0237032549,-0.7182198603 С,0,-3.2360545797,-1.2288887422,-0.6828457159 C,0,-2.8111827494,-2.005497562,1.6293211795 Н, 0,-3.6920546566,-2.1955609926,-0.9043774189 H, \(0,-3.213178646,-0.502078389,-1.4955067341\) H,0,-3.444772984,-2.8502986789,1.3335505686 H,0,-3.2203293143,-1.5704795221,2.5536977747 Н,0,-1.8154094837,-2.4049969991,1.8714172281

H,0,2.2582112254,-0.0951529648,-3.8195223793
H,0,3.3422064194,0.5585793776,-2.575513329
H,0,1.6132206128,0.9433842065,-2.5312099516 H,0,3.8240776903,1.3695643453,2.0650727643 H,0,3.5504036594,-0.3666842014,2.3000322831 H,0,2.1705027633,0.7231417941,2.1691359689 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6854660786,-3.9167291025,0.9439202008\) H,0,1.1082616811,-3.5412170285,-0.7361601123 H,0,-0.1773438373,-2.6356946111,0.0697965603

\author{
TS1-ax-I \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.710085384\)
}

Zero-point correction= 0.434619 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455613
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456557
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386691
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275466\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254472\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253528\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323394

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.90281 .293147 .046

C,0,-1.3222958463,1.7309787687,-1.3286797733
C, \(0,-2.3771233449,1.5663923484,-0.1347782518\)
Н,0,-1.4925582485,0.960190975,-2.0913065077 H,0,-1.423239355,2.7313632635,-1.7736059042 C,0,-0.0803143168,1.4799594909,-0.5498606952 C, \(0,0.0083470593,0.174419668,-0.1352281323\) C, \(0,0.4999527075,2.6387676194,0.2107007477\) C,0,-0.6462513303,0.1061519121,1.2362033758 Н, \(,-0.4931518041,-0.5193713035,-0.8227141471\) C,0,-2.1741856957,0.3829096166,0.8589982779 Н, \(0,-0.5424696892,-0.8608801071,1.7446085744\) H,0,-0.2790467855,0.8871592148,1.91598216 C,0,-2.8293083341,-0.9204295416,0.4226838508 H,0,-2.6765921468,0.6780293622,1.7963630046 H,0,-2.3527353808,2.5032132589,0.4400440977 H,0,-3.3836388561,1.4979289463,-0.5707466342 B, \(0,1.9662878176,-0.8633193159,-0.2618283969\) C,0,1.9221911692,-1.2223906493,-1.8253307021 C,0,3.0307157122,0.2333471152,0.2277137404 C,0,1.6669256146,-2.0562621774,0.7702634493 Н, 0, 1.0021479514,-1.766158932,-2.0979826033 H,0,1.9469969158,-0.3155230622,-2.4518440734 C,0,3.1385783626,-2.1092243016,-2.1613532656 H,0,2.6283539621,-2.5997192996,0.85297718 Н,0,1.491840143,-1.6662953566,1.7877473423 C, \(0,0.5745952454,-3.0594692219,0.3746630604\) C,0,3.4292663743,1.2917558216,-0.8064169018 H,0,3.9339904498,-0.3332197877,0.5252891708 H,0,2.6911275782,0.7018104394,1.1680262274 H,0,1.2876228327,2.3206454496,0.9025567573 H,0,-0.27844898,3.1772236546,0.7745216409 H,0,0.9291459384,3.3621557794,-0.4984804279 C,0,-3.1895439546,-1.185673975,-0.8357149712 C, \(0,-3.0597696406,-1.9283190646,1.5248126427\) Н,0,-3.6473939068,-2.1409467797,-1.0982770325 H,0,-3.0497786545,-0.4701981999,-1.6470498475 Н,0,-3.6787297623,-1.4908060666,2.3230099314 H,0,-2.1152273445,-2.2504271859,1.9874097884 H,0,-3.5674399256,-2.8224625163,1.1434719455 H,0,3.1935864062,-2.3537514305,-3.2320899181 H,0,3.1007399366,-3.0595835112,-1.606693332

H,0,4.0828696238,-1.6129446065,-1.8866978328
H,0,4.0407979741,2.1007302701,-0.3791782788
H,0,2.5421125838,1.7487933009,-1.2726536407 H,0,4.0074307976,0.8384805737,-1.6253701995
H,0,0.4071821851,-3.8256105349,1.1468535071 H,0,0.8439575424,-3.5846679104,-0.5539581379 H,0,-0.3919395406,-2.5646032615,0.1835972256

\section*{TS1-ax-J \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710763285\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434929\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455650\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456594
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387548 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275834\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255114\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.254169
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323215

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.92480 .927145 .319

C,0,-1.084350319,1.9493721766,-1.0199653214 C, \(0,-2.2028710855,1.7507609422,0.1022528634\) H,0,-1.295172047,1.283218496,-1.8673751493 H,0,-1.0618261568,2.9972605701,-1.3517547633 С, \(0,0.0811533028,1.485868906,-0.2116947476\) C,0,0.0268298143,0.1404872312,0.0530106929 С, \(0,0.7648043523,2.4667999696,0.6914415482\) C,0,-0.7905131952,-0.0400780766,1.327281949 H,0,-0.445823183,-0.4273753545,-0.7595128089 C,0,-2.2247102823,0.3568201061,0.7817120284 Н,0,-0.779186072,-1.0471457927,1.7647285874 H,0,-0.5067470604,0.6701576194,2.115711813 C, \(0,-2.8047473569,-0.747942321,-0.0999940025\) H,0,-2.8909234144, \(0.4330822157,1.6603137996\) H,0,-2.0280531618,2.5059145194,0.8841803294 Н,0,-3.1866411555,1.9809985606,-0.3286647716 B,0,2.0771871994,-0.9431999777,-0.1470452038 C,0,1.6901221084,-1.6966201589,-1.5075833565 C, \(0,3.1414761047,0.2476916419,-0.2322197375\) C,0,2.000018637,-1.79623182,1.2038575115 Н,0,0.8261739039,-2.368897611,-1.365279012 H,0,1.4050674436,-0.9803640866,-2.2970410899 C, \(0,2.8943293749,-2.5274968836,-1.9965708283\) H,0,1.0293043833,-2.3176645649,1.2738953827 H,0,2.7391434775,-2.6114013089,1.078011674 C,0,2.306655224,-1.0466572779,2.5047715948 C,0,3.1507573157,1.0566190133,-1.5334927036 H,0,4.1305648284,-0.2353384878,-0.1003427042 H,0,3.0539013705,0.9182530651,0.6383329757 H,0,1.150145816,1.9857493318,1.600355229 H,0,0.1198349435,3.3170163203,0.9565688438 H,0,1.6359636352,2.8763927946,0.1527100879 С,0,-3.4491039987,-0.5250644979,-1.2487083892 C,0,-2.6256935373,-2.1598225106,0.4088849568 Н, \(0,-3.8702803905,-1.3564398721,-1.8167129387\) H,0,-3.5864973915, \(0.4706450513,-1.6709522152\) H,0,-2.8738584748,-2.2345658507,1.4786011884 H,0,-1.5791297455,-2.4856124125,0.2937868811 H,0,-3.2600371035,-2.8607497675,-0.1464767144 H,0,2.6824479723,-3.0444469283,-2.943545136 H,0,3.1747484367,-3.2941327178,-1.2590490757 H,0,3.7796124459,-1.8932472365,-2.1573077033 H,0,3.8516759348,1.9043080826,-1.50231235

H,0,2.1468008212,1.4574407589,-1.7548551113 H,0,3.4300393373,0.4294063229,-2.3928291356 H,0,2.1941455985,-1.6798546635,3.3969668825 H,0,1.6380522606,-0.1795438702,2.6266798268 H,0,3.3355525788,-0.6579795059,2.5026058036

\author{
TS1-ax-K \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.711568726\)
}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434075\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455289
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456233
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385346
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277494
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256280\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.255336\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.326222

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.69881 .446149 .194

C,0,-1.4475925542,1.5744511447,-1.4130989469
C,0,-2.4218575498,1.6706999057,-0.1514109003
H,0,-1.6852509752,0.6729755453,-1.9942643685 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.55057772,2.470865034,-2.041785612\)
C,0,-0.165522399,1.4362475046,-0.6580209594 C, \(0,-0.0955569,0.2379227875,-0.0031498253\) C, \(0,0.5119995559,2.680948989,-0.1625969449\) C, \(0,-0.7314217131,0.3951112355,1.3656157902\) Н, \(0,-0.5875144213,-0.5821325698,-0.546209547\) C, \(0,-2.2510188365,0.5622474621,0.9225633222\) H,0,-0.6020951896,-0.4457287033,2.0596578743 H,0,-0.4116875396,1.3131454762,1.8776351991 C,0,-2.8559289106,-0.7812949719,0.5238503712 H,0,-2.8063070807,0.8926792697,1.8192488129 H,0,-2.2378905194,2.64128794,0.3338294362 H,0,-3.4637392808,1.698133642,-0.4991288384 B,0,2.0572809985,-0.8025686104,-0.2208089978 C,0,2.0246617102,-1.0156395321,-1.8029052558 C, \(0,3.0412586704,0.2950278919,0.3872348144\) C,0,1.6270377561,-2.0407954242,0.694355394 H,0,2.7695211044,-1.811843575,-1.9999158268 H,0,1.0615823538,-1.4595118349,-2.1083326841 C,0,2.3532101039,0.1968623542,-2.6783014629 H,0,1.3012890095,-1.7143043876,1.6959174527 H,0,0.7876313136,-2.600911494,0.2477511502 C, \(0,2.8350910998,-2.9885390924,0.8565290186\) C,0,2.9481761284,0.5287825043,1.8997213852 H,0,2.9395746806,1.2479708059,-0.1578731978 H,0,4.0629382966,-0.0530081485,0.1333915859 H,0,1.0673872729,2.5031127116,0.7676288457 H,0,-0.1878433498,3.5187122839,-0.0267611527 H,0,1.2464425027,2.9997786791,-0.9212984055 С,0,-3.5897751369,-0.9743797122,-0.5755205477 C,0,-2.5920103044,-1.9266631025,1.4746289941 Н,0,-4.0230690098,-1.9535343965,-0.7875875718 H,0,-3.7915805302,-0.1858985628,-1.3007959199 H,0,-2.7256349411,-1.6143360427,2.5215933803 H,0,-1.5569260975,-2.2887922911,1.3731857421 H,0,-3.2651332392,-2.7683906926,1.2727789859 H,0,2.3218412381,-0.037850569,-3.7521508013 Н,0,3.3548362926,0.5924719157,-2.4544275322 H,0,1.6327230948,1.0118472143,-2.497544873 H,0,3.6234976638,1.3242919902,2.2471993702 H,0,3.1973167863,-0.3840745234,2.461004945 Н,0,1.9231413388,0.8066594043,2.1928698903

H,0,2.6002417951,-3.8492464857,1.4988862487
H,0,3.6938289201,-2.4658186405,1.3054640026
H,0,3.1666657519,-3.3837406887,-0.1150014101

\section*{TS1-ax-L - Not found}

TS1-ax-M
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.708888915\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434383\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455610\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456555\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385813
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274505
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253279\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252334
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323075
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.90081 .352148 .887

C,0,-1.7119810965,1.3139345959,-1.4388192 C, \(0,-2.7336722283,1.1910745791,-0.2233342168\) Н, \(0,-1.7217664323,0.3784009747,-2.0168462918\) H,0,-1.9837987903,2.1619001702,-2.0840082899 C, \(0,-0.4727011966,1.4617904148,-0.6138185667\) C,0,-0.1689706157,0.2952660162,0.02928472 C, \(0,-0.1100123723,2.8129018373,-0.0769430402\) C,0,-0.900010745,0.2369471818,1.360366987 Н, \(0,-0.4227318408,-0.5899755784,-0.5648920884\) C,0,-2.389794929,0.1064684508,0.8475019846 Н,0,-0.6292579655,-0.5946070377,2.0261395132 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8085155328,1.1714793902,1.9309425585\) C, \(0,-2.7661952425,-1.3005222097,0.3846290567\) H,0,-3.0539534588,0.3193415569,1.7050645762 H,0,-2.7620127977,2.1669327561,0.2853293847 Н, \(0,-3.7438449849,1.026016037,-0.6210837408\) B,0,2.178275231,-0.0257883384,-0.1491017622 С, \(0,2.4276452735,0.2518197721,-1.7059622523\) C,0,2.805007081,1.0509372779,0.8515060306 C, \(0,2.0152215059,-1.5364159644,0.3513960848\) H,0,2.2526099531,1.316727684,-1.9368873182 H, \(0,3.5180211248,0.1136018493,-1.8462083859\) C, \(0,1.6878690014,-0.6364299379,-2.7123438765\) Н, \(0,1.575638748,-1.5862539287,1.3620333667\) H,0,1.3581622928,-2.1239331623,-0.3108297005 C,0,3.4135975021,-2.1892266216,0.3754344437 C, \(0,2.5063994138,0.8894851477,2.3457342799\) Н,0,2.5737232449,2.0762380168,0.5178567823 H,0,3.8984912449,0.9613730154,0.6943538963 H,0,0.3111518421,2.7510104894,0.9360437187 H,0,-0.951403272,3.5202443265,-0.0939622095 H,0,0.6793872691,3.2332934542,-0.7237436127 C, \(0,-1.9657728273,-2.3648539245,0.4894459709\) C,0,-4.1642754231,-1.4540032586,-0.1732312686 H,0,-2.3177014971,-3.3527283237,0.1861001899 Н,0,-0.9421556748,-2.3053660864,0.8624898175 Н, \(0,-4.2357164454,-1.0358989373,-1.1889973042\) H,0,-4.9034096794,-0.9261736822,0.4488232851 H,0,-4.44977858,-2.5113567129,-0.2282767996 H,0,1.9605701951,-0.405934381,-3.7524227718 H,0,0.5968819072,-0.5122673433,-2.6257086533 H,0,1.908080983,-1.7011089217,-2.5425517818 H,0,3.0055358963,1.6539198606,2.9589721261 H,0,2.8368431084,-0.0938546219,2.7128779711

H,0,1.4261701358,0.9570479165,2.5449320074 H,0,3.3771800201,-3.2325670718,0.7199688583 H,0,4.0971085958,-1.6426433598,1.0437571492 H,0,3.8673886981,-2.187385767,-0.6278659077

\section*{TS1-ax-N \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.707242610\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434951\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455739
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456683\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387530
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.272291
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.251504\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.250560 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-652.319713\)

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 285.98080 .950145 .545
C,0,-1.5568012807,1.6906440949,-1.4305223257 C,0,-2.5831312012,1.6228048561,-0.2117999204 Н, \(0,-1.7084367619,0.8173967505,-2.0808271299\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7002708627,2.6234242819,-1.9942726598\) C, \(0,-0.3161290214,1.5821667879,-0.6138301759\) C,0,-0.1496170416,0.326574889,-0.0803290824 C, \(0,0.2449719917,2.820593258,0.0099774598\) C, \(0,-0.9005666348,0.3011493046,1.2541203479\) Н, \(0,-0.5689837916,-0.4477235097,-0.7312332883\) C,0,-2.393013299,0.4245193058,0.7671931157 H,0,-0.7424150692,-0.6002839816,1.8619596099 H,0,-0.6656747314,1.1702510165,1.8841795156 C,0,-2.9771105587,-0.8762504425,0.2163148571 H,0,-3.0110891211,0.6686540689,1.650620195 H,0,-2.47397388,2.5532571409,0.3654983837 H,0,-3.6034952648,1.6321754235,-0.6169875376 B, \(0,1.9537799792,-0.395550038,-0.2646071021\) С, \(0,1.7814272155,-1.1808546913,-1.6591861797\) C,0,2.8979723377,0.9028945042,-0.3156716715 C, \(0,1.9757019407,-1.2615811493,1.0879352726\) H,0,1.3296103021,-0.5174355623,-2.417536329 H,0,2.8114673577,-1.3561554224,-2.0241123597 C,0,1.047479775,-2.5277639954,-1.6280047795 H,0,1.0759476892,-1.8979131432,1.1641445282 H,0,2.8073968488,-1.9842466347,0.9663273408 C,0,2.1854489081,-0.4682284871,2.3833211407 C, \(0,4.3785369364,0.469346043,-0.3150466631\) H,0,2.7334829418,1.5681943202,0.5481486369 H,0,2.7055996232,1.5046898159,-1.2213459749 H,0,0.6988605529,2.6146739691,0.9882592392 H,0,-0.497492103,3.6267647793,0.092954668 H,0,1.0541795957,3.1885151676,-0.6423318575 C, \(0,-2.3571976693,-2.0574568228,0.2795509646\) C, \(0,-4.369218757,-0.7784504411,-0.3677062574\) H,0,-2.8495581888,-2.9620829003,-0.082314122 Н, \(0,-1.3475444109,-2.1774626909,0.6751555766\) H,0,-4.3549290304,-0.2970424583,-1.3576437996 H,0,-5.0313078353,-0.1797769479,0.2766533049 H, \(0,-4.8128779178,-1.7738570598,-0.4885022217\) H,0,1.0300543742,-3.0166523412,-2.6132540852 H,0,0.0008426492,-2.4181156598,-1.303416085 H,0,1.5291658028,-3.2227570568,-0.9240919641 H,0,5.0625242049,1.3303190393,-0.3339948947 H,0,4.6133563779,-0.1553438772,-1.1893644018 H,0,4.620175406,-0.1242191243,0.5799299852 H,0,2.0953175893,-1.0921959096,3.2847933321

H,0,1.45507312,0.3521172466,2.4706484582
H,0,3.1813897624,-0.0015265464,2.4042118456
TS1-ax-O
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.709023088\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434837\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455432\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456376\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387712
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.274186\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253591\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.252647\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.321312
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 285.78880 .889144 .517

C, \(0,-1.1866556629,1.9997347974,-1.0677728005\) C,0,-2.295474399,1.8031513225,0.0617684246 Н,0,-1.3798717297,1.3009085062,-1.8937770394 H,0,-1.1946711533,3.0384764498,-1.4268108848 C, \(0,-0.0229256317,1.5883783754,-0.2373416037\) C, \(0,-0.0078468843,0.2354028538,0.0296734339\) C,0,0.6068959164,2.6087012171,0.659938066 C, \(0,-0.8525772494,0.0496351273,1.3003340341\) Н, \(0,-0.4737583809,-0.3384130742,-0.7793796356\) C, \(0,-2.2900417873,0.4229014761,0.7837761472\) Н, \(0,-0.8184172875,-0.9577085635,1.7357875181\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5745471006,0.7565064059,2.0937639207\) C, \(0,-2.9600393414,-0.6765596692,-0.0408652705\) H,0,-2.9372691136,0.5563762226,1.6701863616 H,0,-2.1362904892,2.5833529287,0.8210470112 H,0,-3.2786403364,2.0053359646,-0.3820997648 B, \(0,1.9677816829,-0.6537163744,-0.1456953055\) C, \(0,1.7902659666,-1.3443282481,-1.591964597\) C,0,3.0197209469,0.5618265151,-0.1056201139 C, \(0,1.9251874209,-1.6203041891,1.1378111309\) Н,0,1.4353247576,-0.6100353216,-2.3368044578 H, \(0,2.8211780474,-1.5889352443,-1.916472168\) C,0,0.9398504126,-2.6175879032,-1.6686155944 Н, \(0,0.984691398,-2.1986989604,1.1696571252\) H,0,2.7080273051,-2.3842403336,0.9618366522 C,0,2.1850999647,-0.9444273596,2.4889439978 C,0,3.099525563,1.4122764541,-1.3791301064 H,0,4.0059128979,0.0857040227,0.0616662196 H,0,2.8785046206,1.2010104043,0.780611323 H,0,0.9668855422,2.1642100415,1.5967419836 H,0,-0.065531611,3.4519452061,0.8707942207 H,0,1.4889211398,3.018605928,0.1411000773 C, \(0,-2.4982566303,-1.9268215068,-0.1202938284\) C,0,-4.253691039,-0.2989116789,-0.7273676273 H,0,-3.0479566096,-2.687807546,-0.6774803145 H,0,-1.5653007609,-2.2451536221,0.3468359676 H,0,-4.0713849947,0.3525148284,-1.5960669408 Н,0,-4.9229746135,0.2476638603,-0.044935677 H,0,-4.780718218,-1.1914187042,-1.0849158444 H,0,0.9428500555,-3.0617702484,-2.6751022275 H,0,-0.11091195,-2.4190048556,-1.4077059607 H,0,1.3068376355,-3.3834157582,-0.9691092967 H,0,3.7395395783,2.3005751273,-1.2630675235 H,0,2.0989875366,1.7587473517,-1.6946898588 H,0,3.4974773803,0.8271947891,-2.2205749049 H,0,2.0744588161,-1.6328505922,3.3398693973 H,0,1.4933143967,-0.1019154049,2.6524508718 H,0,3.2025339826,-0.5283990673,2.5305301224

TS1-ax-P
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709572637\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434710\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455652
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=0.456596
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386692
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.274862\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253921\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.252976\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.322880
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { E } \quad \text { CV } & \text { S } \\
\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
\text { Total } 285.92681 .100 & 147.125
\end{array}
\]

C, \(0,1.5131995952,2.084192684,0.4604437812\) C,0,2.6099229528,1.4563548349,-0.5080775254 H,0,1.6340211118,1.6592251122,1.4674844366 H,0,1.6156526406,3.1784743729,0.493267413 C, \(0,0.3117295328,1.5415956085,-0.2438066797\) C,0,0.2152115468,0.1834857206,-0.0952642877 C, \(0,-0.2243629154,2.2765025456,-1.4334659866\) C,0,1.0241391951,-0.4842417616,-1.2015829024 Н, \(0,0.5877861649,-0.149667082,0.8790318976\) C,0,2.4863540448,-0.0776488105,-0.7697433035 H, \(0,0.9155617513,-1.5748334104,-1.2850127707\) H,0,0.8178812437,-0.0507095824,-2.189965575 C,0,3.0459241893,-0.9095239565,0.3838575366 H,0,3.15308055,-0.2843305544,-1.6267516783 H,0,2.5305900494,1.9714730265,-1.4774787399 H,0,3.6068096212,1.697563991,-0.1156757104 B,0,-1.9848043821,-0.4967787719,0.3135680446 C, \(0,-1.7718676489,-0.6513154341,1.8934774259\) C,0,-2.9085181501,0.7005164863,-0.2042886003 C,0,-1.9330994872,-1.8418219312,-0.5547330867 H,0,-2.6678414102,-1.195837397,2.2509245027 H,0,-0.9301509136,-1.3311800771,2.1113614076 C,0,-1.6324454558,0.6489918953,2.6917260756 Н, \(0,-1.0235238172,-2.4213233889,-0.3185737766\) H,0,-2.7616153736,-2.4711930375,-0.1751919387 C, \(0,-2.088977012,-1.672784998,-2.0702536483\) C,0,-4.3794367972,0.3271212937,0.0757111039 Н, 0,-2.6753181895,1.6495521749,0.3059943222 H,0,-2.7875634374,0.8835214099,-1.2844167141 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6446168778,1.587714541,-2.1791214613\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5240457545,2.9326717466,-1.9007432069\) H,0,-1.0528162122,2.9195129947,-1.09357277 C,0,2.409170377,-1.9434272723,0.9407399533 C,0,4.4339278474,-0.5295596012,0.8507318873 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8876838412,-2.5301880429,1.7270901513\) H,0,1.3990452097,-2.24167845,0.6549880439 H,0,4.4136204523,0.3896676078,1.4560347424 H,0,5.1048127343,-0.3427839579,-0.0017482252 H,0,4.8710698619,-1.3237186804, 1.4676838131 H,0,-1.4402596318,0.4720325835,3.759812575 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5453578584,1.2587055525,2.6169673516\) H,0,-0.805597314,1.2653640379,2.3001583228 H,0,-5.0790364528,1.1000641084,-0.2734712518 H,0,-4.5535341114,0.1856791522,1.1537826741 H,0,-4.6519139281,-0.6159665727,-0.4235731233 H,0,-1.9710413821,-2.6191969962,-2.6179024635 Н, \(0,-1.3467132955,-0.9619639173,-2.4674014863\) H,0,-3.0808835838,-1.2677798367,-2.3210929598

\section*{TS1-ax-Q}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.708299288\)

Zero-point correction= 0.434207 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455348\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456292
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385543
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274092
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.252952\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.252007\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.322756

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.73581 .423148 .903

C,0,-1.4270888222,-1.9186285381,1.1727005592 C,0,-2.4968920209,-1.7591810756,0.0014378197 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6087450946,-1.1520775281,1.9393449198\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4948833026,-2.9238577771,1.6133967599\) C, \(0,-0.2160676424,-1.6246375072,0.3470877855\) C, \(0,-0.170809445,-0.3052061344,-0.018609286\) C, \(0,0.3601865814,-2.7389328411,-0.4799903205\) C, \(0,-0.940711694,-0.1445543708,-1.3231675043\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5903176451,0.3570024419,0.7484520663\) C,0,-2.4110592298,-0.4383561676,-0.8267524757 H, \(0,-0.8720238751,0.8388760072,-1.8069871081\) H,0,-0.6578530426,-0.9008208964,-2.0678443422 C,0,-3.0655688764,0.7409449492,-0.1069438336 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0344676989,-0.6140719826,-1.722703738\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.3550926446,-2.6010037508,-0.6926535082\) H,0,-3.5031734546,-1.8807594204,0.4231695245 B, \(0,1.9636771992,0.6515093343,-0.0043431546\) C,0,2.4000433117,0.3710338747,1.5071387183 C,0,2.6547498455,-0.1876109641,-1.1766582673 C, \(0,1.4622108768,2.1340097175,-0.3513036192\) H, \(, 3.3467347321,1.0657251463,1.6837859022\) H, \(0,1.6177404025,0.7163249252,2.2040103004\) C,0,2.8332865854,-1.0505804262,1.8716856689 H, \(0,2.3519079646,2.6520346681,-0.7585981387\) H, \(0,0.7566289234,2.1189376278,-1.1993000412\) C, \(0,0.9003723146,2.9696063754,0.8073084697\) C,0,4.1144959395,0.2827745091,-1.3500117223 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.1155998943,-0.0691194766,-2.1329678798\) H,0,2.6668464636,-1.2645425563,-0.9454143878 H,0,0.7367377465,-2.3858451777,-1.4477312097 Н, \(0,-0.3534617253,-3.5605984948,-0.6344861683\) H, \(0,1.2220721749,-3.1616032439,0.0621435181\) C,0,-2.5289106711,1.9616525834,-0.0311489092 C,0,-4.4317937412,0.4754186811,0.4865312094 H,0,-3.0725872046,2.7765615825,0.4508772201 H,0,-1.541311352,2.2036524947,-0.4258438292 H,0,-4.9372884355,1.4150426061,0.7396891918 H,0,-4.3582290443,-0.1227855252,1.4076636254 H,0,-5.0700715476,-0.0838551677,-0.2147552574 H,0,3.1934594555,-1.1268297351,2.9079409447 H,0,3.638470432,-1.4117462629,1.2136623343 H,0,1.9878326973,-1.7492375316,1.7687537215 H,0,4.6434844688,-0.2888569471,-2.1260769142 H,0,4.679897835,0.1677611525,-0.4119883884 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1646356681,1.34478667,-1.631681645\) H,0,0.5371330048,3.9537263436,0.4753160955 H,0,1.668319175,3.1440035427,1.5748630465 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0614435778,2.4612802363,1.3079751875\)

TS1-ax-R
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.707919125\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434409\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455457
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456402\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386397
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.273511
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.252462\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.251517\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.321523
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.80481 .315147 .338

C,0,1.4768252173,1.3740952208,1.7058469644 C, \(0,2.6105043171,1.4586832695,0.5881570335\)
H,0,1.527772864,0.3947053899,2.2029628282 H,0,1.604219498,2.1830375528,2.4397259763 C, \(0,0.3110491082,1.4802733069,0.7788976084\) C,0,0.1735199111,0.3528034443, 0.0159587421 C,0,-0.1428409256,2.8361840977,0.3329009151 C, \(0,1.0244140208,0.5003928763,-1.2376823585\) Н, \(0,0.4769016848,-0.5505663171,0.5573751879\) C, \(0,2.4701556216,0.4581289816,-0.6029841209\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8943528423,-0.2778686444,-2.0029802374\) Н, \(0,0.8863708668,1.4755762802,-1.7249225659\) C, \(0,2.9450545843,-0.9482326308,-0.2384637745\) H,0,3.1802056391,0.8159856465,-1.3709816466 H,0,2.590699586,2.4786351892,0.1746151607 H,0,3.5908888135,1.3446288419,1.0692494626 B, \(0,-2.0798298674,-0.2575932441,-0.0326182812\) C,0,-2.3952703824,-0.2179918785,1.5373336893 C, \(0,-2.7575800809,0.8727373046,-0.9358453319\) C,0,-1.780983429,-1.6789415645,-0.7164427297 Н, \(0,-1.6592492825,-0.8085239749,2.1096130637\) H,0,-2.3464389905,0.8125607091,1.929103229 С, \(0,-3.8067513643,-0.7834481146,1.7976173506\) H, \(,-2.7398256561,-1.9895632063,-1.1776851226\) H,0,-1.1011125187,-1.5559156979,-1.5782179001 C,0,-1.2830614278,-2.8058026241,0.1992095805 C, \(0,-2.3372823678,0.9424233536,-2.4080715205\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.6716438959,1.8633860303,-0.4588948855\) H, \(0,-3.840468742,0.6412794115,-0.8890269449\) H,0,-0.4973854237,2.8288486128,-0.7067024318 H,0,0.6268452855,3.6097896188,0.4660861114 H,0,-1.0030189886,3.1252355767,0.9611255177 C,0,2.2811174602,-2.0650528152,-0.5482794688 C, \(0,4.2817902438,-1.0288179318,0.46547688\) H,0,2.6996940901,-3.0436882552,-0.3054699697 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3052983458,-2.0614835911,-1.0362779061\) H,0,4.1973602639,-0.7176754336,1.5180175395 H,0,5.0240113338,-0.3695974189,-0.0104099684 H,0,4.6706352698,-2.0540748479,0.4514128078 Н,0,-4.0387134798,-0.8409016708,2.8708830282 H,0,-3.9188164613,-1.7968472883,1.3818499289 H,0,-4.5778326971,-0.1549433148,1.3279568115 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.8861473697,1.7144940806,-2.96701556\) H,0,-2.5109901452,-0.0176611474,-2.9160800256 H,0,-1.2634184074,1.1633783099,-2.5044369362 H,0,-1.0908083959,-3.7374119741,-0.3536389552 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0186260642,-3.0345479565,0.9841163598\) Н, \(0,-0.3487168442,-2.5325920131,0.7132339349\)

\section*{TS1-ax-S}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709870412\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434595\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455347\)

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456291
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387402
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275275\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254524\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.253580
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.322468
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.73481 .174144 .988

C,0,-1.1244810783,-2.0154785577,1.0718818657
C, \(0,-2.2383025969,-1.8758164631,-0.0600189226\)
H,0,-1.3361824743,-1.3044180457,1.8832505767
H,0,-1.1031850316,-3.0444499543,1.4588780101
C, \(0,0.0330805965,-1.5886863774,0.23323831\)
C, \(0,-0.0196814549,-0.2512344827,-0.0678839494\)
C, \(, 0,0.6921560918,-2.605713041,-0.6508194136\)
C, \(0,-0.8440404516,-0.0982917986,-1.344355217\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4836004847,0.3369151713,0.7331967992\)
C, \(0,-2.2734761708,-0.5131322415,-0.820570472\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8507527634,0.9045300826,-1.7929318985\)
H,0,-0.5402392142,-0.8093943442,-2.1248289399
C, \(0,-2.9831078772,0.5830594689,-0.0256207702\)
H,0,-2.9122078323,-0.6899926472,-1.7054312821
H,0,-2.0573714272,-2.6693584073,-0.8004947877
H,0,-3.2187416171,-2.0925346912,0.3835248987
B, \(0,2.0075514843,0.7408048807,0.178460116\) C,0,2.2659981817,0.4410598748,1.7317689767 C, \(0,2.9318346742,-0.0078213348,-0.8916762474\) C,0,1.4937599533,2.2189583853,-0.1933797264 H,0,3.0396228754,1.1750257096,2.0341518488 H,0,1.3822627015,0.7139224269,2.3341715783 C,0,2.7412972241,-0.9627307288,2.1135383477 H,0,2.3978862162,2.7700206541,-0.5192608825 H,0,0.856307208,2.2014643384,-1.0946002699 C, \(0,0.8146271825,3.0125662161,0.9315616189\) C,0,2.6280897535,0.2675427319,-2.3690177474 H,0,2.9650072706,-1.0931535212,-0.702890255 H,0,3.9609939085,0.3374832395,-0.6665586651 H, \(0,1.0673846086,-2.1591649493,-1.5806304786\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0338968787,-3.4563542571,-0.8785027015\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5660341452,-3.0116125122,-0.114375106\) C, \(0,-2.5428070745,1.8407138773,0.063169931\) C,0,-4.2901251535, 0.1881962155,0.6256350157 H,0,-3.1221809561,2.5944168059,0.5999983714 H,0,-1.6004445345,2.1731871076,-0.374028251 H,0,-4.8487204832,1.0745795035,0.9492220553 H,0,-4.1212640585,-0.4426267075,1.511862588 H,0,-4.9239353273,-0.386629673,-0.0672370362 H,0,2.9480405459,-1.0586342374,3.1895324541 H,0,3.658675521,-1.2398281632,1.5733452879 H, \(0,1.9751110838,-1.7155459338,1.8638254155\) H,0,3.3028025856,-0.2740188354,-3.0483544228 H,0,2.7178625344,1.3391626284,-2.600086529 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5964824999,-0.0228228452,-2.6237491171\)
H,0,0.4400810727,3.9888758564,0.5887687601
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5156063012,3.2005307001,1.7579034047\)
H,0,-0.0387906068,2.4652303155,1.3614645383
TS1-ax-T
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.707760095\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434984\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455767\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456712\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387355

Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.272776
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.251993\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.251048\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.320405
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.99881 .033145 .974

C,0,1.1595401713,2.1828900336,0.9237218589 C,0,2.4874421791,1.7618340165,0.1506067985 Н,0,1.1161022987,1.6512986589,1.88462222338 H,0,1.1435095919,3.2703291816,1.086193855 C, \(0,0.1900272761,1.6372686183,-0.0712220923\) C, \(0,0.183559426,0.2664659666,-0.0795497303\) C,0,-0.1421055219,2.4675940074,-1.2741680109 C,0,1.2617776585,-0.1961414024,-1.0574489792 H,0,0.3729955024,-0.1555602689,0.9126022777 C,0,2.5658082988,0.2700349802,-0.3024953475 H,0,1.2936231947,-1.2716490502,-1.2722295108 H,0,1.1970548625,0.3326836665,-2.0185511339 C,0,2.9824510105,-0.6543560903,0.8410408227 H,0,3.3990778267,0.2263109385,-1.0274043898 H,0,2.5653121615,2.394244465,-0.7461784266 H,0,3.3529666192,2.014989159,0.7771665819 B, \(0,-1.992897524,-0.4912780578,-0.3616107516\) C,0,-2.0511609743,-1.1696119648,1.0883645953 C, \(0,-2.9005906176,0.8078029958,-0.5902321122\) C,0,-1.760896536,-1.4264939642,-1.6483706511 Н, \(0,-1.2780370493,-1.9462312089,1.2137440342\) H,0,-1.8859288122,-0.4302519578,1.8899989767 C,0,-3.4449128088,-1.8067660702,1.2714347696 H,0,-2.752798726,-1.5611350775,-2.1202188635 Н, \(,-1.1709486736,-0.88406632,-2.4082994806\) С, \(0,-1.1487176399,-2.8078027478,-1.3835530181\) C,0,-3.0491514398,1.7702680203,0.5931625877 H,0,-3.9000384432,0.3972248383,-0.8342232385 H,0,-2.6088036817,1.3501197126,-1.5045324566 H,0,-0.3767408016,1.8438786354,-2.1466427345 H,0,0.6524831101,3.1845143701,-1.5263117519 H,0,-1.0453768529,3.0571202847,-1.0437319825 C,0,2.3536146569,-1.7887748398,1.1603418802 C,0,4.2274338795,-0.2396183597,1.5948889272 H,0,2.7381025445,-2.4287634776,1.9567283885 H,0,1.4412250962,-2.1247085331,0.6648109931 H,0,4.017801065,0.5886322494,2.2888833459 H,0,5.0150349327,0.103528331,0.9066637305 H,0,4.6233615706,-1.0743883727,2.1856164372 H,0,-3.5368358067,-2.3369948435,2.2302551518 H,0,-3.6622380776,-2.5311734106,0.4707069413 H, \(0,-4.2371311397,-1.043142754,1.2374420856\) H,0,-3.6414198901,2.6632218555,0.3431459189 H,0,-2.0658699567,2.1114243334,0.9595244109 H,0,-3.5419035718,1.2770922141,1.4441209068 Н, \(0,-0.9678321025,-3.3749876965,-2.3083817767\) Н, \(0,-1.808382955,-3.415497953,-0.746503867\) H,0,-0.1899413305,-2.7305141112,-0.8491973069

TS1-ax-U
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709044447\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434872\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455646\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456590\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387531
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274172
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253399\)

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252455
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.321513

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.92280 .988145 .346
C,0,-1.5090206649,1.7616476429,-1.2110334133
C, \(0,-2.6780261112,1.4127853116,-0.1861010865\)
H,0,-1.5126913444,1.0277899709,-2.029551691
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6426371054,2.7785173459,-1.6072235605\) C,0,-0.3700932918,1.5519460495,-0.2687518199 C, \(0,-0.2098512383,0.2261611163,0.0458285243\) C,0,0.0245485478,2.6682366919,0.6483468608 C, \(0,-1.1088133,-0.0826740249,1.2417748109\) Н, \(0,-0.4885571552,-0.4246551541,-0.7883098442\) C, \(0,-2.5309208323,0.0603363879,0.5792483194\) H, \(0,-0.9728735166,-1.0718505187,1.7004108352\) H,0,-1.0165136232,0.6688138476,2.0380623281 C,0,-2.9406506175,-1.1406819893,-0.2729229066 H,0,-3.2742623565,0.1040927874,1.3962504008 H,0,-2.7141962311,2.2199220705,0.5613170049 H,0,-3.6363111023,1.4492501847,-0.7208818561 B, \(0,1.9822441111,-0.4504816266,0.0715533391\) C,0,1.8819648212,-1.285517472,-1.2949285015 C, \(0,2.8266611444,0.9099075636,0.0446459246\) C,0,1.9471263137,-1.2877022199,1.435459918 H, \(0,1.146119249,-2.1045947635,-1.2152449854\) H,0,1.5507227756,-0.6469953065,-2.1319240772 С,0,3.2604387956,-1.8853876087,-1.639715716 H,0,1.0885947668,-1.9817520341,1.4416562291 H, \(0,2.8332444426,-1.9507683362,1.3937247026\) C,0,1.9981934988,-0.4788957428,2.7361563375 C, \(0,2.8196819287,1.6838107554,-1.2776711658\) H,0,3.8677190873,0.6117487096,0.2815417157 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5419043402,1.5703610441,0.8801732811\) H,0,0.3851416068,2.2930625673,1.6156127186 H,0,-0.7848150946,3.39633009,0.8016635222 H,0,0.864437491,3.2108010373, 0.1825008511 C,0,-2.2222841604,-2.2618905728,-0.3792635838 C, \(0,-4.2756345931,-1.0221513328,-0.9744102903\) H,0,-2.5960710394,-3.1056210122,-0.9622865247 H,0,-1.2438631783,-2.3866501787,0.0879594103 H,0,-4.21012598,-0.3522779851,-1.8455140758 H,0,-5.0443286832,-0.6092324648,-0.3032467593 H,0,-4.6182938392,-2.0002280394,-1.3327054938 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.24210323,-2.4462886536,-2.5853267715\) H,0,3.601965399,-2.5763713513,-0.8547026199 H,0,4.0263588434,-1.1004695761,-1.7360514898 H,0,3.3480400231,2.6467935596,-1.2104887217 H,0,1.7874815981,1.8887906872,-1.6107137702 H,0,3.2935783019,1.1033100294,-2.0826732319 H,0,1.9290240791,-1.1114578987,3.6331837763 H,0,1.174155511,0.2509896383,2.7814323161 H,0,2.9345995827,0.093743434,2.8065946903

TS1-ax-V
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709727170\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434409\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455537\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456481\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386082
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.275318
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254190\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.253246\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323645

E \(\quad\) CV
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.85481 .316148 .167
C,0,1.6824826652,1.8860195696,0.8266942931
C, \(0,2.7382556198,1.3047144422,-0.2151202084\) H,0,1.7314659007,1.3050729343,1.7589877776 Н, \(0,1.8905307676,2.9470111228,1.0282746869\) C,0,0.4539459094,1.579923944,0.0312845745
C, \(0,0.2276422754,0.2326581343,-0.029187148\) C, \(0,0.0413677824,2.5365417232,-1.0489149157\) C, \(0,0.9838524301,-0.344441147,-1.216644534\)
H,0,0.5289492199,-0.2881925191,0.8873965764 C,0,2.4719857848,-0.1500387604,-0.7196364157 H,0,0.7774509459,-1.3961235597,-1.4586106402 H, \(0,0.8405278688,0.2477155831,-2.1312495313\) C,0,2.9323206152,-1.2034627293,0.2872331998 H,0,3.131675807,-0.2808277539,-1.5968826885 H,0,2.737527664,1.9698318124,-1.0918081736 H,0,3.7437572778,1.3782611255,0.2198107063 B, \(0,-2.0637836842,-0.2602883685,0.3237005051\) С, \(0,-2.1841010835,0.2932849908,1.8180383149\) C,0,-2.7957711294,0.5340814123,-0.8506426808 C,0,-1.8349569624,-1.8350148403,0.1528359506 H,0,-3.0802087685,-0.206006269,2.2364308444 H, \(0,-1.3482049888,-0.0838854948,2.4323126412\) C, \(0,-2.3338791761,1.8065332765,1.9983169956\) Н,0,-1.3729349832,-2.0795238827,-0.8182992458 H, \(0,-1.1693526598,-2.2323462456,0.9380740171\) C,0,-3.1986039844,-2.5536150445,0.2423616551 C, \(0,-2.5644712688,0.0097312591,-2.2728748183\) H,0,-2.5664100147,1.6107109643,-0.7940633236 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8770131164,0.4761462726,-0.6119214574\) H,0,-0.4352032596,2.0216996512,-1.8934726341 H, \(0,0.875824021,3.1554624213,-1.4092784268\) H,0,-0.7093578166,3.2269672781,-0.6289113608 C,0,2.2025355402,-2.2598700216,0.6556807357 С, \(0,4.333965078,-1.0237124687,0.8285138214\) H,0,2.6146937176,-3.0040336698,1.3399196567 H,0,1.1810878679,-2.4267614744,0.3109299189 H,0,4.3731607627,-0.216503438,1.5757912336 H,0,5.0412007231,-0.7600777529,0.0272214237 H,0,4.6865812383,-1.9420845065,1.3130686188 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4364702783,2.0969437768,3.0540122473\) H,0,-3.2152925107,2.1884392932,1.4623097633 H,0,-1.4533585013,2.3355281491,1.5968288203 Н, 0,-3.0684846212,0.6202444135,-3.0364141942 H,0,-2.9292676934,-1.022353414,-2.3818703386 H,0,-1.4902112825,-0.0117097263,-2.5160246365 H, \(,--3.1004851701,-3.6410804288,0.1142068383\) H,0,-3.8921626048,-2.190308863,-0.5315238404 H,0,-3.6789768037,-2.3793615315,1.2165964558

\section*{Axial Intermediate Structures \\ Int-ax-A}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.718934077\)

Zero-point correction= 0.434680 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455901\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456845
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.386558\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.284254\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.263034\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.262089

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.332376

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 286.08282 .570147 .932

C, \(,,-1.4757217821,2.3527177818,-0.5022804836\) C, \(0,-2.6007745285,1.794445547,0.4640105422\) H,0,-1.649778499,2.0080812166,-1.5277991676 H, \(,-1.4687691397,3.4495581106,-0.4520377936\) C, \(0,-0.284138105,1.7108291311,0.0802820041\) C, \(, 0,-0.0988152939,0.3284885733,-0.1579631422\) C, \(0,0.4735800494,2.4497294715,1.1189586205\) C, \(0,-1.0776523819,-0.1643820049,1.0085556057\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6098931312,0.0503397956,-1.0953168075\) C, \(, 0,-2.5346746598,0.276572378,0.7054599952\) H,, ,-0.9684005798,-1.2545256254,1.0487072427 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7505887207,0.2199160271,1.9855902495\) C, \(, 0,-3.1715912704,-0.5871783314,-0.3744884755\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1155340053,0.0805914947,1.624420462\) H,0,-2.4834459302,2.3073041788,1.4309903529 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.5771951876,2.0917577709,0.0579911735\) В, \(0,1.4846474788,-0.398308187,-0.0560260566\) C,0,1.4126196348,-1.63693206,-1.1370502912 C,0,2.5945190162,0.7122449987,-0.5586658347 C,0,1.811015973,-0.9272058192,1.4681867611 Н, \(0,1.3320011358,-1.2393948931,-2.1662208088\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3851919796,-2.1605278445,-1.1120909827\) C, \(, 0,0.2916396112,-2.66753403,-0.9376172423\) H,0,1.6929995589,-0.1233638806,2.2223795856 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1019300098,-1.7154307698,1.784260214\) C,0,3.2349176796,-1.4878936962,1.6141309476 C,0,2.2260262046, 1.5249922214,-1.8070944129 H,0,3.5154829456,0.1470221143,-0.7896688556 Н, \(, 2.2 .8967395591,1.4029674067,0.25307168\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0222719235,1.7781968793,1.7864561894\) H,0,-0.1621632054,3.1557326009,1.6712375308 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2223421538,3.0455107609,0.5672916917\) C, \(0,-3.5487335161,-0.1310433912,-1.5713762085\) C, \(,,-3.4104152373,-2.0260330847,0.0208489661\) H,0,-4.0140687033,-0.7966972502,-2.3000542272 H,0,-3.4274242766,0.9098018453,-1.8733298845 H,0,-4.0726639518,-2.0732946015,0.8991091635 H,0,-2.4774561177,-2.5410070503,0.2896665602 H,0,-3.8796811926,-2.5873543841,-0.7957081709 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3739239537,-3.5258274248,-1.6220874704\) H,0,-0.6999327569,-2.2179989894,-1.1185522586 H, \(, 0.2923365753,-3.0715172392,0.0890624972\) H,0,3.0362002834,2.1865078655,-2.1506138526 H,0,1.3450645944,2.1778101015,-1.6461385841 H, \(0,1.9623619213,0.8634136495,-2.6460694798\) H,0,3.4378813629,-1.8707437226,2.6262832988 H,0,3.9890951739,-0.716413997,1.3971344078 H,0,3.4084136539,-2.3158987642,0.9102738793

\section*{Int-ax-B}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.718775369\)

Zero-point correction= 0.434593 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455889\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456833
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.385747
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284182
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262886\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261942
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.333029

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.07582 .465149 .614
C,0,-1.8147439889,1.4588875179,-1.3437645066 C,0,-2.6478103704,1.730372652,-0.0224148558
H,0,-2.1669976387,0.528361798,-1.8057219063
H,0,-1.9191436862,2.3114847575,-2.0267646527 C, \(0,-0.468487309,1.3072836606,-0.7650021266\) C,0,-0.1668892218,0.1085334438,-0.0671281893 C,0,0.4126774077,2.4929476159,-0.6783958187 C,0,-0.8881655097,0.5359732761,1.2975823355 Н, \(0,-0.8035321249,-0.7038767857,-0.4584681644\) C,0,-2.4084724474,0.6495577702,1.0395832646 Н, \(0,-0.6247403886,-0.2326195515,2.0352150088\) Н,0,-0.5093887758,1.4919940182,1.6838775969 C,0,-3.0489071742,-0.6997015855,0.7275722466 H,0,-2.869371585,1.0006304915,1.9807056874 H,0,-2.3399468275,2.706000443, 0.3851418502 H,0,-3.7058206785,1.8212684778,-0.299529981 B, \(0,1.4482096901,-0.5016750686,0.0555792451\) C,0,1.9421003165,-0.6955164568,-1.5076989366 C,0,2.5486412365,0.4355053193,0.8364798851 C,0,1.2439617001,-1.9496470978,0.8182761416 H,0,2.2707721223,0.2751661936,-1.9310793348 H,0,2.8627279953,-1.3060318876,-1.4887741303 C,0,0.947905627,-1.3418109355,-2.4833524166 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7122700598,-1.8336003193,1.7838268064\) H,0,0.5915686129,-2.6062632465,0.2095620469 C,0,2.5552959532,-2.6953400659,1.1087675026 C,0,2.2960571723,0.7795079314,2.3125374026 H,0,2.7885892238,1.3603538403, 0.2797387039 H,0,3.4880390724,-0.1444796343,0.7801913829 H,0,0.9849376395,2.5025514862,0.2566541409 H,0,-0.1136579047,3.4392490397,-0.8546478232 H, \(0,1.1589646085,2.3481022602,-1.4819379861\) C,0,-3.9471803924,-0.8860274165,-0.242811824 C,0,-2.6253815154,-1.839612444,1.6222676373 H,0,-4.4017145457,-1.8660009437,-0.3963279799 H,0,-4.2721986413,-0.0904090713,-0.9146191175 H,0,-2.6526996576,-1.5420878021,2.6819073062 H,0,-1.5935837125,-2.1515659327,1.3978845267 H,0,-3.2801435194,-2.708475067,1.4880224177 H,0,1.3968663475,-1.5791240015,-3.4598269612 Н, \(0,0.087229203,-0.679722599,-2.689765681\) H,0,0.5362795945,-2.2784493843,-2.0744931568 H,0,3.1941567749,1.1609019026,2.8224515328 H,0,1.9524154892,-0.1056186833,2.872807395 H,0,1.5188189747,1.5527197825,2.4341325834 H,0,2.3878575613,-3.7067889687,1.5099688653 H,0,3.1653168479,-2.1487305916,1.8442377725 H,0,3.1659070047,-2.7988608675,0.1984290246

\section*{Int-ax-C \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.713478164\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.435591 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456629\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.457573
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387594\) Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.277887
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256849\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.255905
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325884
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)

C,0,-1.627698281,2.0486686377,-0.8993730715 C, \(0,-2.6660320799,1.8049064814,0.2601524867\) Н,0,-1.8649561848,1.3962100838,-1.7485850628 H,0,-1.6537592167,3.1049066948,-1.1985046181 C, \(0,-0.3494337126,1.6518796718,-0.2589143393\) C, \(0,-0.0788995478,0.2740065339,-0.0941050152\) C, \(0,0.428607619,2.7253236238,0.4137422869\) C,0,-0.9948924017,0.2448768155,1.2642996243 H,0,-0.7040657264,-0.2900455676,-0.8017578237 С,0,-2.4798641407,0.4206857561,0.889314976 H,0,-0.7704052372,-0.7346712101,1.7016098599 H,0,-0.6960820985,0.9994364966,2.0049073041 C, \(0,-2.9939476858,-0.7477346735,0.0546348271\) Н,0,-3.0494523714,0.399361985,1.8362315981 H,0,-2.501840783,2.5708351189,1.0340817793 H,0,-3.6803556031,1.9585234683,-0.1296992579 B, \(0,1.4885145402,-0.5009143121,-0.0283612544\) C, \(0,1.5320894101,-1.2893180885,-1.4793031911\) C,0,2.718481027,0.589318586,0.061809568 C,0,1.538286645,-1.567916393,1.2184085679 H,0,1.4224918522,-0.5715870781,-2.3164353895 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5453638292,-1.7120010885,-1.5926738413\) C,0,0.5336790044,-2.4425863709,-1.6699487525 H,0,0.6095941621,-2.1686852639,1.2859473895 H,0,2.3146522134,-2.320025978,0.984472925 C, \(0,1.8553658854,-0.959069536,2.5940115488\) C,0,4.1077357734,-0.0617397657,-0.0566986093 H,0,2.7062684773,1.1513342841,1.0136256394 H,0,2.6325556004,1.3509308366,-0.7385081075 H,0,1.0461628023,2.3379018132,1.2293880988 H,0,-0.2181263952,3.5491717709,0.7469673818 H,0,1.1157164725,3.1306271739,-0.3485183798 C,0,-3.712878905,-0.603035372,-1.0611031713 C,0,-2.653282491,-2.118089857,0.589803616 H,0,-4.0796515718,-1.4774563956,-1.6009521749 H,0,-3.9706138139,0.3704076337,-1.4808664188 H,0,-2.8592313464,-2.1837859506,1.6693564531 H,0,-1.584684212,-2.3426741838,0.4452958357 H,0,-3.2310778119,-2.8953553069,0.0762710042 H,0,0.6777907361,-2.9724444458,-2.6242702026 H,0,-0.5165487837,-2.1024811734,-1.6609049136 H,0,0.6375249315,-3.1875808424,-0.8653242651 H,0,4.9249440443,0.655504356,0.1172106047 H,0,4.2641072362,-0.4972785427,-1.0534985437 H,0,4.225709317,-0.878943879,0.672485562 H,0,1.7487094565,-1.6758724113,3.4232034944 H,0,1.2077620433,-0.0945726729,2.8220903311 H,0,2.8873172231,-0.5785963327,2.6218199614

\section*{Int-ax-D}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717262783\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435365\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456282\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457227\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388789
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281898
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260980\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260036
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328474
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.32182 .352144 .040

C,0,-1.3847143795,2.232365406,-0.5768033646 C,0,-2.5155483977,1.7171555982,0.4081553617 H,0,-1.555888035,1.8442604175,-1.5872312929 H,0,-1.3771573909,3.3302770248,-0.5740676956 C,0,-0.1973303707,1.6131168148,0.0394537105 C,0,-0.0292676579,0.2189014976,-0.1344883604 C, \(0,0.5652490514,2.3966654351,1.0374478512\) C,0,-1.0072497977,-0.2273554144,1.0437034214 H,0,-0.549444736,-0.086742294,-1.0574122246 C,0,-2.4602717395,0.2094816195,0.7109425929 H,0,-0.9037622616,-1.31576035,1.1316773622 H,0,-0.6912290836,0.1995587389,2.0063608519 C,0,-3.0941582554,-0.6922849057,-0.3392789464 H,0,-3.0496620835,0.0540472895,1.6323084439 Н,, ,-2.3989115474,2.268320834,1.3539674057
H,0,-3.4891474121,2.0027076438,-0.0125693767 B, \(0,1.5471151285,-0.5470448342,-0.0510768475\) C, \(0,1.4254873889,-1.7284804831,-1.1949367704\) C,0,2.6922957939,0.5482564646,-0.4942673278 C, \(0,1.8951606963,-1.235006781,1.4025273661\) H,0,1.3296216579,-1.285917019,-2.2037388623 H,0,2.3896284196,-2.2696724791,-1.2043054736 C,0,0.2895963515,-2.7470768894,-1.0173779106 H,0,1.140975185,-1.9921065234,1.6869796316 H,0,2.8085231172,-1.828044389,1.2096789276 C, \(0,2.1773374969,-0.3569282504,2.633129076\) C,0,2.4160141247,1.335506012,-1.7825573813 H,0,3.6257712787,-0.0262045187,-0.6394302731 H,0,2.9379573121,1.2615305549,0.314008275 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.09296498,1.7566078366,1.7515103425\) H,0,-0.0564645956,3.1533306222,1.535791281 H, \(0,1.336048477,2.934427576,0.4561081931\) С, \(0,-3.4691331157,-0.2786905346,-1.5521385997\) C, \(0,-3.3322554504,-2.1171216449,0.1043517345\) Н,0,-3.932006246,-0.9699489258,-2.2581499678 Н,0,-3.3472039894,0.7509489135,-1.8901698931 H,0,-3.9915203387,-2.1358545997,0.9858769241 H,0,-2.3982022014,-2.6233063028,0.3854554263 H,0,-3.8038666155,-2.7042033823,-0.6924976195 H,0,0.3361748444,-3.5674447679,-1.7501163884 H,0,-0.6973989263,-2.2708581597,-1.1454715474 H,0,0.3092017895,-3.2070401935,-0.0156078467 H,0,3.2092379817,2.0586510818,-2.0284816622 H,0,1.4728756435,1.914797823,-1.7268824781 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3053248185,0.6633655236,-2.6456158966\) H,0,2.609949073,-0.9325157106,3.4658409342 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2625003583,0.1124189627,3.0333658478\) Н,0,2.8890213789,0.4513758724,2.4002519558

Int-ax-E
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717355069\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435390\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456170\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.457115
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.388515\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281965
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261185\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260240
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.328840
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.25182 .080144 .379
C,0,-0.9891727686,1.4137673929,-1.3742166308 C, \(0,-1.8847843344,1.4816668058,-0.0684337786\)

Н,0,-1.3054595076,0.5665198038,-1.993730117 H,0,-1.0667906176,2.3626223148,-1.9207323575 C, \(, 0,0.3227293031,1.1826417633,-0.7418042865\) C, \(, 0,0.6027346834,-0.117327068,-0.2463305092\) C,0,1.1065890738,2.3841220441,-0.3568390383 C,0,-0.1906472939,0.0431123384,1.131681885 H,0,0.0002340162,-0.8524209228,-0.8099837171 С, \(, 0,-1.6969995176,0.2521852491,0.8288452468\) H, \(, 0,-0.0101730989,-0.8806395865,1.6919388196\) H,0,0.2028450395,0.8736223383,1.7369563623 C, \(, 0,-2.3582509427,-1.0180473035,0.3008325934\) H,0,-2.1876676543,0.4692681512,1.79506841 H,0,-1.602417265,2.3832644647,0.4966358994 H,0,-2.9302389571, 1.6147014017,-0.3748457997 B, \(0,2.2183461035,-0.7767425395,-0.1478406654\) C,0,2.4739181413,-1.2190872446,-1.7133820717 C,0,3.3669139821,0.2644268095,0.3943186472 C,0,2.1204532445,-2.1165271673,0.8053311818 H,0,3.4467783704,-1.7402464066,-1.7598096683 H,0,1.7297713492,-1.9769986235,-2.0239265539 C, \(, 0,2.4833335365,-0.0758086402,-2.7316749418\) H,0,1.1470735873,-2.6239962488,0.6505947463 H, \(, 2.8586028351,-2.8492053719,0.4309780237\) С,0,2.3693785013,-1.9353282795,2.3137546657 С,0,4.7688081786,-0.3698878928,0.3308330123 Н,0,3.1607902581,0.5756419945,1.4379489208 H,0,3.4212436973,1.199093331,-0.1893853276 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6696909004,2.2344287905,0.5698738385\) H,0,0.4845292146,3.2879025591,-0.3164207143 H,0,1.8516123981,2.5222865259,-1.1600830068 C,0,-3.1775775834,-1.0473261707,-0.7534587136 C, \(,,-2.0651654584,-2.2770822095,1.0819043672\) H,, ,-3.6545781164,-1.9802932249,-1.0577796577 H,0,-3.41516512,-0.1657373555,-1.3502266903 H,0,-2.1333530623,-2.0978577106,2.1657528389 H,0,-1.0469900559,-2.6399109899,0.8763330061 H,0,-2.7678195647,-3.0755373474,0.8163917409 H,0,2.669646002,-0.4082694838,-3.7643186525 н,0,3.2539843929,0.6725503931,-2.4827906941 H,0,1.5102558458,0.4547799789,-2.7564571645 H,0,5.5342146224,0.2373192355,0.8382613062 H,0,5.0893247371,-0.4908767555,-0.7153025655 H,0,4.7841402423,-1.3729479053,0.7835812812 H,0,2.1879179633,-2.8572973706,2.8882075385 H,0,1.7446732506,-1.1442397856,2.7586109292 H,0,3.4099615079,-1.6343965415,2.5050184416

\section*{Int-ax-F}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.716819085\)
Zero-point correction= 0.434749 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455907
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456851
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387368 Sum of electronic and ZPE= -652.282070
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260912\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259968
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329451

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.08682 .610146 .239
C,0,-1.5586211665,2.3203037224,-0.2025634671
C,0,-2.6585588522,1.6352200729,0.689863768
H,0,-1.7500034519,2.080444312,-1.2557512778
Н,0,-1.5702695533,3.4058589987,-0.037927887

C,0,-0.3182870527,1.6723887756,0.2820915278 C,0,-0.0406069541,0.3384628711,-0.1100832504 C,0,0.4472058046,2.3792300734,1.3358982205 C,0,-1.0893837018,-0.2842113966,0.9653922766 Н, \(0,-0.532761517,0.1404933179,-1.0760174731\) C,0,-2.536796972,0.1101936418,0.6165359879 Н,0,-0.9031702091,-1.3617918612,0.8793821788 H,0,-0.8346454815,0.0042108528,1.9962072665 C, \(0,-2.9940353535,-0.5028107084,-0.7051024367\) Н,0,-3.1838617011,-0.3228219707,1.4008161719 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5122030319,1.9560343762,1.7330630433\) Н,0,-3.6468019294,1.9988232341,0.3807512719 B,0,1.4897038941,-0.4199634755,0.0068764532 C,0,1.3634431318,-1.7637262397,-0.937486757 C,0,2.5745184278,0.6574737225,-0.6198892358 C,0,1.9131582094,-0.8369227597,1.5615228965 H,0,2.3106499165,-2.3254574802,-0.8390982647 H,0,0.5984129071,-2.4614811124,-0.5398112685 C,0,1.0971468196,-1.531142162,-2.4330902597 H,0,3.0105456557,-0.7291402785,1.6332503562 H,0,1.5312694725,-0.1289174241,2.3221183939 C,0,1.5700821195,-2.2559381541,2.0515215015 C,0,3.9343371007,0.0350315025,-0.9703957729 H,0,2.7570400015,1.4804431093,0.0988705449 H,0,2.1672665858,1.1446917091,-1.5286214204 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1087382227,1.7111928045,1.893161223\) H,0,-0.2015671168,2.9739582163,1.9942936997 H,0,1.0877200551,3.0906924696,0.7837588285 C,0,-3.6794156052,0.1702029352,-1.6327631082 C,0,-2.6494983084,-1.9598594096,-0.903240614 Н,0,-4.0150687009,-0.3279303018,-2.5436314297 H,0,-3.9413551589, 1.2243420022,-1.5314337293 H,0,-2.8804336982,-2.5492687366,-0.0025495009 Н,0,-1.5752171881,-2.0877025678,-1.1098298937 Н,0,-3.2072390334,-2.3825163975,-1.7469383142 H,0,1.1290630888,-2.4623231581,-3.0199745029 Н,0,1.840639406,-0.8444901339,-2.8662586434 H,0,0.1082306841,-1.0785498436,-2.6199476319 H,0,4.6744123722,0.7810821071,-1.2993914924 H,0,3.8364204726,-0.7082731057,-1.7759200849 H,0,4.3632928002,-0.4924978287,-0.1041233186 H,0,1.9482767043,-2.4477046358,3.0679308012 H,0,2.0069712226,-3.0185566002,1.3913574509 H,0,0.4849991425,-2.4465963852,2.0758090928

Int-ax-G
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.718682096\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434674\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455779
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456724
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387383
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284008
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262903\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261959
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331299
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.00682 .494145 .939
C, \(0,-1.1180040497,1.2932763711,-1.3253901867\)
C,0,-1.9470801941,1.4310938883,0.0102515727
H,0,-1.4696180964,0.4156124956,-1.8811089039 Н,0,-1.2263880053,2.208784827,-1.9213078879 C, \(0,0.2477674638,1.0830682075,-0.8018563678\) C,0,0.5705381949,-0.1812389672,-0.249372439

C,0,1.1322196574,2.2606073996,-0.6368608995 C,0,-0.1297230835,0.1684921868,1.1697281993 H,0,-0.0972568367,-0.9433969819,-0.6840759374 C,0,-1.6578708828,0.278638048,0.980385198 H,0,0.1776159153,-0.6491050949,1.8347458614 H,0,0.2551752545,1.0973911014,1.6110150297
C, \(0,-2.2877590958,-1.0585184187,0.6081458255\) H,0,-2.0807639194,0.5560179741,1.9631370471
H,0,-1.6628871328,2.3791114172,0.49329697
H,0,-3.0117695048,1.5095268641,-0.2445940731
B, \(0,2.163055491,-0.8185956338,-0.1911412176\)
С, \(0,2.5617422486,-0.9271645172,-1.788511651\) C,0,3.2916418943,0.0643207547,0.6197067129 C,0,2.0067753761,-2.3097161237,0.4980362222 H, \(0,1.7796982478,-1.4799040831,-2.3442023511\) H,0,2.5859222688,0.083086675,-2.2475023957 C,0,3.9184480655,-1.5991928058,-2.0450310636 H,0,3.0217519722,-2.6868365468,0.7212435807 H,0,1.5239821514,-2.250168041,1.494831183 C,0,1.2795739931,-3.3721352487,-0.3435509915 C,0,3.0296470262,0.4255250574,2.0916196791 H,0,3.5941696038,0.9755415214,0.067113098 H,0,4.1975756237,-0.5675950426,0.5992984215 H, \(0,1.8094108144,2.145499404,0.2162366324\) H,0,0.578964136,3.2083510484,-0.6043993923
H,0,1.7716375868,2.2673857892,-1.5384318116
C,0,-3.1671775762,-1.2146429188,-0.384366378
C, \(0,-1.8710375722,-2.224782786,1.4720614437\)
H,0,-3.6109656131,-2.1912659113,-0.5836909249
H,0,-3.4881052453,-0.3951275946,-1.0292810635
H,0,-1.9285056483,-1.9636158456,2.5401405068
H,0,-0.8312885892,-2.5202068968,1.2636967296
H,0,-2.5113060636,-3.096156567,1.2917719838
H,0,4.134497698,-1.7335358111,-3.1165049266
H,0,3.9632294276,-2.5935242909,-1.5728575455
H,0,4.7397656911,-1.0053275194,-1.6168263606
H,0,3.9403316857,0.7530625289,2.6161567021
H,0,2.6278430692,-0.4377188465,2.6461600493
H,0,2.2991954669, 1.2446841186,2.2046277238
H,0,1.1221642592,-4.3162754002,0.2018302591
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8522461583,-3.6119969013,-1.2519686897\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.2877222377,-3.0311593536,-0.6880844732\)

\section*{Int-ax- \(H\)}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.719047977\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435400\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456226\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457170\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388469
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283648
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262822\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261878
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330579

\footnotetext{
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 286.28682 .157144 .594

C,0,-1.719439499,1.6130479642,-1.3029697906 C,0,-2.6183909791,1.6938265121,-0.0041971839 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0154243173,0.7448113261,-1.9038582235\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8222384765,2.5442513704,-1.8754603973\) C, \(0,-0.3816891815,1.4208611787,-0.7030568561\) C, \(0,-0.081520262,0.1437086716,-0.1676465946\) C, \(0,0.4211113711,2.6363724368,-0.4020068876\) C,0,-0.822397857,0.4456618406,1.2316704162
}

H, \(0,-0.7262778786,-0.6069235604,-0.6553088523\) C, \(0,-2.3457710844,0.5467150309,0.9789231297\) Н, \(0,-0.5463675119,-0.381246728,1.8970667144\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4639134153,1.3680353665,1.7079864174\) C,0,-2.9461957316,-0.7995238741,0.5937459325 H,0,-2.8100004714,0.8232520482,1.942913403 H,0,-2.3973102857,2.6475303929,0.4997481534 Н,0,-3.6716165979,1.7329881708,-0.3114387418 B, \(0,1.5081055748,-0.5334558419,-0.0871437974\) C,0,1.8418329914,-0.8451671243,-1.6717268128 C, \(0,2.6618802649,0.4239542106,0.583143771\) C, \(0,1.3689116943,-1.9388776904,0.7611778547\) H, \(0,2.8280478869,-1.3453707059,-1.7006363313\) H,0,1.1300532004,-1.582635197,-2.0846355578 C, \(0,1.8745670933,0.3588626306,-2.6181441572\) H,0,2.3924357598,-2.2766884406,1.0087939313 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9000444637,-1.7793925863,1.7522342195\) C, \(0,0.6437631274,-3.0953951481,0.0514692044\) C,0,2.5074839665,0.7705773521,2.0731064002 H,0,2.8482225079,1.3511265538,0.0103186465 H,0,3.5999291146,-0.1509841267,0.4719508978 H, \(0,1.0761157647,2.4948908581,0.4631215586\) H,0,-0.2115431557,3.5278646488,-0.2909363017 H,0,1.0726691587,2.7967659192,-1.2772931913 C, \(0,-3.7220779186,-0.9901121829,-0.4761211216\) C,0,-2.6264229177,-1.9330660827,1.5390998626 Н,0,-4.147674524,-1.972741316,-0.68579568 H, \(0,-3.9711812856,-0.1933270155,-1.1785855141\) H,0,-2.7941775707,-1.6303070531,2.584324687 H,0,-1.5727172593,-2.2383401134,1.452739858 H,0,-3.2482224712,-2.8105182184,1.3262634816 H,0,2.1620438599,0.0917283993,-3.6465257106 H,0,2.5776566152,1.1327334989,-2.2705813128 H, \(0,0.8750439149,0.8307722437,-2.7009938895\) H,0,3.4017103343,1.2547689699,2.4951687736 H,0,2.3141857301,-0.134961135,2.6686577073 H,0,1.6629889871,1.4552336413,2.262927745 H,0,0.4416184426,-3.9472772372,0.7202821088 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2424267429,-3.4770130527,-0.7881443507\) H, \(0,-0.3241680154,-2.7815551556,-0.377651818\)

\section*{Int-ax-I}
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.719003177\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434811\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455839\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456783\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387283
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284193
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.263164\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.262220\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331720
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.04382 .297146 .275
C,0,-0.7405854218,1.9299076004,-0.404354504 C,0,-1.8208491795,1.3141419893,0.5716420367 H, \(,--0.9892821537,1.6550505153,-1.436536523\) H,0,-0.7055085335,3.0198005069,-0.2782028085 C, \(0,0.4703729704,1.2342489551,0.0693385249\) C,0,0.6465820461,-0.1343126347,-0.2689156165 C, \(0,1.3076541303,1.8994238821,1.0904986867\)
C,0,-0.3621359964,-0.7067841679,0.8340551846 H, \(0,0.1346859739,-0.3345907739,-1.2273614271\) C, \(0,-1.7985301301,-0.2183738734,0.5398342016\)

Н,0,-0.2573404852,-1.7981277253,0.7790478728 H, \(0,-0.0536431868,-0.4066757201,1.8472981583\) C,0,-2.3693349996,-0.8323433607,-0.7357636985 H,0,-2.4351689618,-0.5799716352,1.3676967864 H, \(,-1.5989241525,1.6540427295,1.5953461725\) H,0,-2.8024191942,1.7271698044,0.3060605024 B, \(0,2.1914187309,-0.898996675,-0.2369362274\) C,0,1.9443847756,-2.2248629986,-1.1861396908 C,0,3.2553943993,0.1582685636,-0.9252745127 C,0,2.7734708804,-1.3449918618,1.2434121869 H,0,1.1113783803,-2.8379813232,-0.7857629961 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6118235306,-1.9167776071,-2.1964755333\) C,0,3.1727198308,-3.13528495,-1.3320825501 H,0,3.821743675,-1.6290865079,1.0338150923 H, \(0,2.8806465493,-0.4963553995,1.945938966\) C,0,2.123531807,-2.5202088319,1.9974271989 C,0,2.7565366179, \(0.9237587655,-2.1575735176\) H,0,4.1479816022,-0.4226388224,-1.2173477953 H,0,3.6431082341,0.8814901491,-0.180580767 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.832017528,1.1755529572,1.7220801525\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7565500276,2.6498258862,1.6725792822\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0834837129,2.4297399177,0.5070598385\) C, \(0,-3.05427765,-0.1389112616,-1.6487527717\) C, \(0,-2.1443818192,-2.3160877695,-0.9026869932\) H,0,-3.4749013504,-0.6386942479,-2.5227197251 H,0,-3.2329882064,0.9343449607,-1.5718967473 H,0,-2.3599212767,-2.8588092805,0.0303926043 H,0,-1.0969214459,-2.5260274594,-1.1686675306 H,0,-2.7824821336,-2.7220442746,-1.6962765268 H,0,2.9856982156,-3.9991710682,-1.9885630797 H,0,3.4973771651,-3.5278138631,-0.3562771819 H,0,4.026474683,-2.5835273281,-1.7541924399 H,0,3.542018962,1.5162375156,-2.6511163579 H, \(0,1.9461964567,1.6369449834,-1.9073640873\) H,0,2.3386185015, 0.2352358231,-2.9090570768 H, 0,2.7844675983,-2.9291704276,2.7773078022 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8785850207,-3.3485388093,1.3139967546\) H, \(0,1.188826112,-2.2352613369,2.5034340906\)

Int-ax-J
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.718451550\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435205\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456050\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456994
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388284
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.283246\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262402\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261458\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330167
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCa} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 286.17582 .107144 .611

C, \(0,-0.7266714208,1.9234638822,-0.5500633131\) C, \(0,-1.8018236416,1.4154084093,0.4934521427\) Н, \(0,-0.9778092882,1.5323297899,-1.543585294\) H,0,-0.6993467495,3.0207761515,-0.54876237 C, \(0,0.4896860764,1.2922191428,-0.0023763736\) C, \(0,0.657778915,-0.1059413042,-0.1817375836\) C, \(0,1.324708114,2.0807526526,0.9265026804\) C, \(0,-0.3383943405,-0.5610756812,0.9836196293\) H,0,0.1286929908,-0.4021110704,-1.104090508 C,0,-1.7751405029,-0.1105527543,0.6374252352 H,0,-0.2344255483,-1.651533586,1.0485878115 H,0,-0.0275386645,-0.152593432,1.9569995335

C,0,-2.3442164029,-0.8663951142,-0.5609792401 H,0,-2.4117678508,-0.376501111,1.5008921271 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5800051062,1.871515682,1.4709792142\) H,0,-2.7855972604,1.7914508062,0.1850335077 B, \(0,2.1942274135,-0.9193402072,-0.0694695924\) С, \(0,1.9449376815,-2.2083378523,-1.0772361629\) C, \(0,3.3637874007,0.0816047744,-0.6416461028\) C, \(0,2.5606407566,-1.5071810883,1.4261153404\) H,0,1.2496044041,-2.921104324,-0.5884387331 H,0,1.4363057931,-1.8979736266,-2.0104127252 C,0,3.2204939883,-2.968384946,-1.4704749582 H,0,1.7859744746,-2.2194223183,1.7693624734 H,0,3.4489569114,-2.1424056633,1.259601031 C,0,2.9044876946,-0.5660792349,2.5926959271 C,0,3.0743301737,0.6890749556,-2.020195394 H,0,4.2938335782,-0.5114978175,-0.707302264 H,0,3.6170190645, \(0.8932833962,0.0654396835\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8692755428,1.4454692165,1.6312340223\) H,0,0.763545501,2.8828381146,1.4245302963 H,0,2.084875307,2.5570959004,0.2788856677 C, \(0,-3.0414380191,-0.2840680849,-1.5399730046\) C, \(0,-2.100379568,-2.3565902229,-0.5695103763\) H,0,-3.4582655554,-0.8804701789,-2.353070554 H,0,-3.2335407037,0.7890215415,-1.5795025082 H,0,-2.308528535,-2.7994011881,0.416567563 H,0,-1.0503923979,-2.580590747,-0.8138790085 H,0,-2.7340754892,-2.852606202,-1.3139383753 H,0,3.0087839179,-3.8770928623,-2.0549840293 H,0,3.7977764153,-3.2750670723,-0.5850767732 H,0,3.8815677976,-2.3342258352,-2.0814700523 H,0,3.8917723343,1.319499809,-2.4032379141 H,0,2.1660184184,1.3227281263,-2.0093036882 H,0,2.8863807814,-0.1000374942,-2.7650271405 H,0,3.3578779453,-1.1017583425,3.4408091568 H,0,2.0140788363,-0.0561819816,2.9997676246 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.6228979866,0.2121150727,2.2868370719\)

\section*{Int-ax-K}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.720191681\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434961\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455971
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456915\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387640
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.285231
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.264221\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.263277\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.332552
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.12682 .290145 .801
C,0,-1.6767852397,1.6956921747,-1.2970669082 C,0,-2.5748910597,1.7981193182,0.0006475881
H,0,-2.0010800633, 0.8390137588,-1.900208354
H,0,-1.7460191132,2.6319284469,-1.8661947074 C, \(0,-0.3519335623,1.4610080718,-0.6857124266\) C, \(0,-0.0847165073,0.1718704301,-0.1566291325\) C, \(0,0.4766350762,2.6518289645,-0.3637651044\) C,0,-0.8522301444, \(0.4484310043,1.2300657548\) Н, \(,-0.7233824433,-0.5677996153,-0.6716524432\) C,0,-2.364556568,0.6065552025,0.9440086344 H,0,-0.6230847481,-0.4078206226,1.8756299007 H,0,-0.4752003394,1.3435827071,1.7434101724 C,0,-2.999915136,-0.6987983515,0.4763782508 H,0,-2.8470555088,0.8548129066,1.9068250686

H,0,-2.3049086192,2.7236956393,0.5326726957
H,0,-3.6221925949,1.9045495364,-0.3097477748
B,0,1.4959528531,-0.5272598308,-0.06137614
C,0,1.8078535973,-0.8893981432,-1.6407320611
C,0,2.6763793598,0.4236957049,0.5674337957
C,0,1.3033337875,-1.9140133862,0.8003269613
H, \(0,2.8154469197,-1.3406248964,-1.6802914633\)
H,0,1.1194642823,-1.6792912972,-1.997535631
C, \(0,1.775951392,0.2760350247,-2.6343917154\)
Н, 0,0.9262099442,-1.730610536,1.8255288784
H, \(0,0.5359540167,-2.5441411041,0.3082774481\) C,0,2.5937490698,-2.7392532705,0.9295314792 C,0,2.5623258476,0.7662860929,2.0611386799 H,0,2.8433358018,1.3488370708,-0.0141316378 H,0,3.6141303172,-0.1443658418,0.4259683631 H,0,1.086633746,2.5005923955,0.5324588766 H,0,-0.1258424828,3.5677724382,-0.2969681082 H,0,1.1762182772,2.7691209686,-1.2090365408 C, \(0,-3.8347356399,-0.788622402,-0.5618903122\) C,0,-2.6492021526,-1.9161705498,1.2977671159 Н,0,-4.2881899701,-1.7450044628,-0.8269885733 H,0,-4.10679801,0.0654114085,-1.1836733396 H,0,-2.7428317519,-1.7060643008,2.3744467744 H,0,-1.608824607,-2.2270967641,1.1169392025 H,0,-3.3040058315,-2.7596744183,1.0497337265 H,0,2.0319354898,-0.0228738697,-3.6623100276 H,0,2.4791715408,1.0722798316,-2.3410277284 H,0,0.767827589,0.7322020151,-2.6981316601 H,0,3.4416593807,1.3032696597,2.4495240501 H,0,2.4452680998,-0.1496904948,2.661028778 H,0,1.6827983137,1.3944924255,2.2857988271 H,0,2.4347621896,-3.6979308135,1.4469571185 H,0,3.3612509617,-2.1867447591,1.493671407 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0208980997,-2.9659478266,-0.0590611879\)
Int-ax-L
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717096891\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435274\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.456113
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.457057
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388595
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281823
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260984\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260039
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328502

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.21582 .126144 .091
C, \(0,1.4823463954,2.2133117522,0.3576695765\)
C, \(0,2.4317495007,1.6182222041,-0.7546228292\)
Н,0,1.7776308572,1.8112387676,1.3362630075
H,0,1.5342189663,3.3097101929,0.3437806487 C,0,0.1954592793,1.6608446694,-0.1075484666 C, \(0,-0.0833767128,0.2923830268,0.1530650509\) C,0,-0.6015682019,2.4682560151,-1.0572326014 C, \(0,0.8379262752,-0.3044427835,-1.0199833722\) Н, \(0,0.4353030972,0.0101103162,1.0805883429\) C, \(0,2.3139872715,0.084514921,-0.8188875142\) Н, \(0,0.682227556,-1.3895731183,-1.0006687169\) Н, \(0,0.4796115419,0.0461587318,-1.999814456\) C,0,3.0146456621,-0.5938197205,0.3562028021 H,0,2.8659146565,-0.2338508818,-1.7222146397 H,0,2.1476469478,2.0464023198,-1.7282785596 H,0,3.454981142,1.9542838189,-0.5458999939 B,0,-1.7020226454,-0.3278821051,0.1224844926

C,0,-1.6656967718,-1.6535046297,1.1188511619
C, \(0,-2.682463559,0.8231569298,0.7841314107\)
C,0,-2.1870232643,-0.7382941793,-1.3942812758
H,0,-1.0371300301,-1.4561501107,2.009313735
H,0,-2.6832755056,-1.7906317753,1.5299819093
C,0,-1.2372033284,-2.9969156344,0.5031366235
H,0,-2.0973639888,0.0924870382,-2.1218999395
H,0,-1.5528215831,-1.5449581856,-1.8079378104 C,0,-3.6500384638,-1.2118347135,-1.4173714888 C,0,-2.1541459388,1.4831760841,2.064922603
H,0,-3.6359353653,0.3239771891,1.030873958
H,0,-2.9693672155,1.6076482362,0.0562839857
H,0,-1.2072262306,1.8416369633,-1.7194532483 H,0,0.0041469572,3.2013882922,-1.6060786631 H, \(0,-1.3091655491,3.0281419024,-0.4182645136\) C,0,2.4715311478,-1.5725809022,1.0837089269 C,0,4.4265172707,-0.1244163059,0.6293601831 H,0,3.0465992525,-2.0534816382,1.877326168 H, \(0,1.4522148569,-1.9318146752,0.9355500675\) H,0,4.4357218311,0.8639940098,1.1151375196 H,0,5.0057873918,-0.0349237536,-0.3026392347 Н,0,4.9487694734,-0.822731963,1.2938524553 H,0,-1.2344144043,-3.8161692457,1.2390912038 H,0,-0.2269683429,-2.9643719862,0.0634273155 H,0,-1.9148458035,-3.292195455,-0.3118609527 H,0,-2.8738081496,2.1730111529,2.5321088364 Н,0,-1.2331809189,2.0728245472,1.8847300609 H,0,-1.8874834288,0.722545594,2.8154350541 H,0,-3.9614713783,-1.5963413665,-2.4009913558 H,0,-4.3320251136,-0.3894225593,-1.1537702145 Н,0,-3.8178538663,-2.0118800665,-0.6791781927

\section*{Int-ax-M \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.717238470\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434739\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455864\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456808
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.386904\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282499
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261375\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.260430
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330334
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { E } \quad \text { CV } & \text { S } \\
\text { KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K } \\
\text { Total } 286.05982 .314 & 147.125
\end{array}
\]

C, \(0,1.9792908247,1.4662869393,1.0843706719\) C,0,2.7368527584,1.2333958034,-0.2819989054 H,0,2.1693552707,0.61297761,1.749100041 H,0,2.3096415266,2.4093434752,1.5385783873 C, \(0,0.59188574,1.4851915723,0.5848448969\) C, \(0,-0.0212474012,0.2459021824,0.2568609915\) C,0,0.0043710348,2.7823314178,0.1888482452 C,0,0.7140878362,0.0294129031,-1.1494290578 H,0,0.4071811033,-0.5300785195,0.9101815703 C, \(0,2.2415886206,-0.0490180313,-0.9745060529\) Н,0,0.2849454429,-0.8924854902,-1.5642153151 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4537192939,0.8437753051,-1.8430765005\) C,0,2.7559023636,-1.3113893495,-0.2868032783 H,0,2.6910678267,-0.0519591766,-1.9844747961 H,0,2.5524959531,2.0962665397,-0.9408670951 H, \(0,3.8144835953,1.2147354973,-0.0763334753\) B,0,-1.7310650379,0.057992546,0.1467696757 C, \(0,-2.383709962,0.8777669195,1.421523714\) C,0,-2.4439280546,0.5928296406,-1.2452089416

C,0,-1.9132982136,-1.5732387608,0.3117699788
H,0,-2.517931417,1.9517734996,1.1842568672
H,0,-3.4160271215,0.5079231508,1.5510131357 C,0,-1.6528847562,0.743466227,2.7634431365 H,0,-1.3579502432,-2.1082483629,-0.4857502357 H,0,-1.4562314469,-1.9198915736,1.2591631829 C, \(0,-3.3733312113,-2.0492922951,0.2733947767\) C, \(0,-2.2710650907,-0.2113133479,-2.5472269586\) H, \(0,-2.2272544445,1.6569549163,-1.4617518393\) H,0,-3.5237153337,0.6002800322,-1.0054280196 H,0,-0.6982489439,2.6718589217,-0.644017055 H,0,0.7563995242,3.5606452135,0.0069657114 H, \(0,-0.6010415099,3.0868175077,1.0632887879\) C,0,1.9904249872,-2.3689167046,-0.0081814549 C,0,4.2368296601,-1.3282160767,0.0200912623 H,0,2.429071988,-3.2643926943,0.4362264463 Н, \(0,0.9162220083,-2.393779776,-0.1971917457\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.4775341584,-0.6832045216,0.8798313893\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.8262918436,-0.9637570563,-0.8354635154\) H,0,4.5743650167,-2.3422635964,0.2641656773 H,0,-2.1901132613,1.2101612848,3.6032571646 H,0,-0.6490545202,1.2125552817,2.7416594109 H,0,-1.4906463819,-0.3154302173,3.0201093352 H,0,-3.0161031506,0.067523974,-3.3086345257 H,0,-2.3805712469,-1.2920700961,-2.3674897507 H,0,-1.2844471222,-0.0641982321,-3.01123348 H,0,-3.4666868584,-3.1428556948,0.3609654354 H,0,-3.867029941,-1.7495246534,-0.6637195843 H,0,-3.9544827069,-1.6057501338,1.0959766906

\section*{Int-ax- \(N\)}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710866545\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435195\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456348\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457292\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387169 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275672\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.254519\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.253575
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.323698
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.36382 .383147 .587
C,0,-1.8144513917,1.5739844759,-1.3401678538 C, \(0,-2.6446923268,1.6067052022,-0.0002722805\) H,0,-2.075132267,0.670906277,-1.9079854636 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0181745319,2.4820066344,-1.9228605558\) C, \(0,-0.4430685478,1.5096464972,-0.7869434541\) C, \(0,0.0321992157,0.2619457057,-0.3143179567\) C, \(0,0.2158225492,2.8070230154,-0.492986734\) C, \(0,-0.7523478538,0.3811371053,1.1042265526\) H,0,-0.5279375411,-0.5296942895,-0.8275780978 C, \(0,-2.2764864943,0.4111098152,0.8941190378\) H,0,-0.4292473553,-0.503857358,1.6649107187 H, \(0,-0.4248624544,1.2632802898,1.6742851202\) C,0,-2.888958548,-0.897267323,0.3969256945 H, \(0,-2.7389563849,0.6012493012,1.880066411\) H, \(0,-2.4156934731,2.5423044282,0.5328047975\) H,0,-3.7121446565,1.6363079899,-0.2513587663 B, \(0,1.7036691446,-0.2432473896,-0.2363431348\) C, \(0,1.8952695555,-0.9912962181,-1.6973143453\) C, \(0,2.7629614255,1.004006628,-0.0721931307\) C, \(0,1.8673787892,-1.3243279579,0.99483481\) H, \(0,1.6391600636,-0.2995480355,-2.5253041484\) H,0,2.9711759011,-1.2047339358,-1.8235494003

C, \(0,1.1410740005,-2.3165673658,-1.8923822768\) H,0,0.9950741899,-2.0060495676,1.0553120375 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7027508061,-2.0002080841,0.731945151\) C, \(0,2.1542932682,-0.7426765244,2.3906453913\) C,0,4.2316454356,0.54261918,-0.1007752094 H,0,2.5966762339,1.5486985379,0.8783099278 H,0,2.6440937542,1.753998907,--0.876222283 H,0,0.9025130846,2.7438295981,0.3562526139 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5082071662,3.6245527094,-0.3777052691\) H,0,0.8328296612,3.031884314,-1.3807187546 C, \(0,-2.2239150003,-2.0528591024,0.3291965577\) C,0,-4.3556935708,-0.8314128046,0.0331873789 H, \(,-2.7353853999,-2.9657493613,0.0184530946\) H,0,-1.1626325785,-2.1468127667,0.5655129054 H,0,-4.5099591439,-0.3192438061,-0.9295802147 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.9320382914,-0.2795684319,0.7919548306\) H,0,-4.7804242467,-1.8379884956,-0.0569788977 H, \(0,1.3428075035,-2.7791858794,-2.8710054981\) H,0,0.046309943,-2.1989512416,-1.8212728574 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4307302101,-3.0468601023,-1.1213152716\) H, \(0,4.932620785,1.3502791672,0.1613882338\) H,0,4.5125327167,0.1817188595,-1.1002880899 H,0,4.408420324,-0.2890382855,0.5980953233 H,0,2.1617908169,-1.5098506339,3.1806916748 H,0,1.421616065,0.0249938485,2.6875382408 H,0,3.1343441415,-0.2441001662,2.4119385809

\section*{Int-ax-O \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM11})=-652.712464539\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435243\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456119\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457064\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388011
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.277222\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.256345\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.255401
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.324453
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.21982 .245145 .333
C,0,-1.1184153802,2.1767851018,-0.7247679329
C,0,-2.0709006532,1.9124873015,0.5102726677
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.510291826,1.661858945,-1.6110494469\)
H,0,-1.0296981489,3.2573928704,-0.896454831 C,0,0.0998201599,1.5350438773,-0.2020551995 C, \(0,0.1772105731,0.1191989941,-0.2313037124\) C,0,1.0160023686,2.3664292637,0.6125308578 C, \(0,-0.7230753346,-0.1460128444,1.068712046\) Н, \(0,-0.43959997,-0.2596851988,-1.0643326408\) C, \(0,-2.1519816126,0.4206485196,0.8767415305\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7094012016,-1.2319282704,1.2195053817\) H,0,-0.2561950912,0.3007489181,1.9586422002 C, \(0,-3.0395484918,-0.3904051498,-0.0753125981\) H, \(0,-2.6501244185,0.3777471373,1.8620422715\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6796138562,2.4719678564,1.3733940648\) H,0,-3.0533437312,2.340733203, 0.2772595256 B, \(0,1.7394480092,-0.6860079076,-0.1769582887\) C,0,1.5468912084,-1.9731898316,-1.1874632892 C, \(0,2.8946367669,0.3043100595,-0.8105196176\) C,0,2.1613392523,-1.2325562539,1.3188368238 H, \(0,1.3503756584,-1.6329467887,-2.2211015715\) H,0,2.5263938965,-2.4847599027,-1.231494289 C, \(0,0.4692836535,-3.0005401787,-0.8171341051\) H, \(0,1.3824254327,-1.889307541,1.7483077185\)

H,0,3.0104332053,-1.9152116454,1.1284187269 C,0,2.6106090674,-0.2494871687,2.4132607377 C, \(0,2.5633598153,0.9482275422,-2.1647287764\) H,0,3.788477932,-0.3312461322,-0.9489549116 H,0,3.2345613278,1.0897171135,-0.1122194763 H,0,1.5518404505,1.7729074361,1.3602381877 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5124131606,3.2375775415,1.0520756532\) H,0,1.7748879786,2.7426523778,-0.0962888355 C,0,-2.9513827326,-1.7187145832,-0.1672469297 C,0,-4.1295788038,0.3471587619,-0.8207276402 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.6355580067,-2.2796405177,-0.8062945324\) H,0,-2.217470948,-2.302680472,0.3872554442 H,0,-3.7279567826,1.0927488655,-1.5233119918 H,0,-4.7915952474,0.8831859213,-0.1224683185 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.7444380709,-0.3558057426,-1.3944686832\) H, \(0,0.5024103933,-3.8991586433,-1.4525776118\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5375782556,-2.571656535,-0.9348291434\) H,0,0.5686687172,-3.3382170263,0.2273133915 H,0,3.354248158,1.6210598815,-2.5318403727 H,0,1.6320590332,1.5485422808,-2.1315906446 H,0,2.3983557557,0.1844861321,-2.9378120962 H,0,3.0777893443,-0.7629684256,3.2676625405 H,0,1.7702750068,0.3298515263,2.8333381987 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3502154781,0.4726488115,2.0315636389\)

Int-ax-P
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.715402976\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435412\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456147\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457091\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.388673\) Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.279991
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259256\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.258312
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326730
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.23681 .991143 .998
C,0,1.6691973923,2.0386778114,0.1771288797 C, \(0,2.5662628774,1.2755543011,-0.8786598317\) H,0,1.9352992537,1.7053120971,1.1887442166 H, \(0,1.803039502,3.1219275293,0.0614124199\) C, \(0,0.3550820617,1.5317607957,-0.260451666\) C,0,-0.0166390312,0.219869752,0.1372511784 C, \(0,-0.3235030356,2.272682634,-1.3533980074\) C, \(0,0.8500417972,-0.5735972441,-0.9521469171\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4889935111,-0.0064661778,1.0893812567\) C, \(0,2.3511498285,-0.2466159575,-0.7991696397\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6472209949,-1.6366756422,-0.7785954585\) H,0,0.5086393944,-0.3407792249,-1.9725008769 C,0,3.0273239979,-0.8528581556,0.4292855006 H,0,2.8732157595,-0.6808301278,-1.671390686 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3017049766,1.62783243,-1.8873546005\) H,0,3.6113295897,1.562109944,-0.707631291 B, \(0,-1.6746807796,-0.2949700721,0.3176128218\) C, \(0,-2.0418355007,0.3340588492,1.7952782392\) C, \(0,-2.7071227392,0.2292922891,-0.8493380073\) C,0,-1.6558654436,-1.9409560802,0.4003437727 H, \(,,-3.0572897965,-0.0076548933,2.0641757476\) H,0,-1.3810840071,-0.0997510073,2.5700460899 C, \(0,-1.9976852129,1.8611950115,1.8949100374\) H, \(0,-0.7457341521,-2.2916178725,0.9274708322\) H, \(0,-2.4784839614,-2.2512961649,1.07030689\) C, \(0,-1.8124562884,-2.7181751053,-0.9194215347\)

C, \(0,-4.1585965778,-0.1802674479,-0.5372254188\) H,0,-2.4267888977,-0.1770829825,-1.8418331306 H,0,-2.7143283178,1.3258935895,-0.9696077054 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8320264131,1.5992133069,-2.0514593771\) H,0,0.3504479641,2.9674657093,-1.8706558389 H,0,-1.1153008031,2.864566184,-0.8619178756 C, \(0,2.4477964576,-1.7451292875,1.2350741464\) C,0,4.459437491,-0.4246138754,0.6623399154 H,0,3.0049378426,-2.1814580484,2.0662511525 H,0,1.4156145758,-2.0741068633,1.1123742937 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,4.5142783214,0.5991673468,1.0644706544\) H,0,5.0382355268,-0.4385960485,-0.2741962464 H,0,4.9531469434,-1.0882669656, 1.3818058597 H,0,-2.2564221947,2.2445962708,2.8937756047 H,0,-2.6903231888,2.3275273536,1.1744841872 H,0,-0.9846421742,2.2538894116,1.6739932936 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.8455926395,0.0161345237,-1.3748739651\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5372245076,0.3780705742,0.3325981757\) H, \(0,-4.2372662678,-1.2486556343,-0.2852245483\) Н, \(0,-1.697768,-3.8056188892,-0.7885468827\) H,0,-1.089446113,-2.4033135741,-1.6887042089 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.8068246763,-2.5476268624,-1.3575366113\)

\section*{Int-ax-Q \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715096162\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435010\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456070\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457014\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387973 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.280086\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259027\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258082\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327123
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.18882 .441145 .309
C,0,-1.8375022944,-1.7489253066,1.0620545829
C, \(0,-2.7312730977,-1.4347628344,-0.1933669058\)
H,0,-2.0260279289,-0.9913778901,1.8351519361 H, \(0,-2.0591620763,-2.7571712647,1.4358963035\) C,0,-0.4816482717,-1.6175366781,0.4812751381 C, \(0,0.0454031155,-0.319063542,0.2662048586\) C, \(0,0.1463603894,-2.8438876431,-0.0612971134\) С, \(0,-0.8437696037,-0.0515479022,-1.0685156168\) H,0,-0.4010553901,0.3615208701,1.0044664433 C, \(0,-2.3514265286,-0.0664360071,-0.7815598963\) H,0,-0.4860387858,0.9282702568,-1.4085269326 Н, \(,--0.5872782173,-0.7767744757,-1.8553925661\) C, \(0,-2.8606433788,1.0962348505,0.0678132586\) H,0,-2.8716412415,0.0172343859,-1.753468431 H, \(0,-2.5647750585,-2.2150822077,-0.9522883005\) H,0,-3.7863962948,-1.4952178486,0.1009756364 B, \(0,1.6964899598,0.0360876674,-0.0270538303\) C, \(0,1.8180244625,1.6600229777,0.2244904971\) C, \(0,2.556645902,-0.8109076384,1.1012136143\) C, \(0,2.204660588,-0.3584715402,-1.5613866409\) H, \(0,2.8473608222,1.9607358508,-0.0451137832\) H,0,1.1818908191,2.2260422162,-0.4872437367 C, \(0,1.5326906496,2.1580824099,1.6504473443\) H,0,3.268980826,-0.6432738386,-1.4796732341 H,0,1.7209967374,-1.2719408175,-1.9587881211 C, \(0,2.1143975532,0.7197446258,-2.6572415304\) C,0,4.0107377052,-0.3390468831,1.2537664706 H,0,2.5810021416,-1.8871179967,0.8398090127

H,0,2.0651797893,-0.7579502632,2.0937656225 H,0,0.9017569687,-2.6233344867,-0.8205132236 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5917973604,-3.5828362366,-0.4018236395\) H,0,0.6778350512,-3.2842174549,0.8022422062 C,0,-2.1279980158,2.1718830143,0.3660205621 C,0,-4.3011125258,0.994239665,0.5168103643 Н, \(0,-2.5646706364,2.9971854176,0.9315453031\) H,0,-1.0820592092,2.2825745342,0.073813141 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.4205037867,0.2551693328,1.3248325656\) H,0,-4.9560514069,0.6802430848,-0.3107356181 H,0,-4.6615208366,1.9581486296,0.8944952746 H,0,1.7005628711,3.2406421219,1.762880308 H,0,2.1750419414,1.6490849833,2.3851398735 H,0,0.491746788,1.9685828442,1.9619843479 H,0,4.5917187975,-0.9630249547,1.9507464303 H,0,4.0580081392,0.6967187585,1.6221214506 H,0,4.5358241985,-0.3540353775,0.2860815228 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5391185779,0.3766196882,-3.6137128286\) H,0,2.6620425857,1.6267264821,-2.3642320811 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0783870759,1.0318076008,-2.864136319\)

\section*{Int-ax-R \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.716226750\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435227\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456119\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457063\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388503
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281000\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260108\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259164\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327724
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.21982 .203144 .297
C,0,1.6587151756,1.5360176653,1.4521169088 C,0,2.6394424857,1.5094538224,0.2152144021 H,0,1.8351228521,0.6460588434,2.0708405462 H,0,1.8124682192,2.4587623009,2.0263507285 C,0,0.3664248753,1.471459327,0.7426068055 C, \(0,-0.0591841361,0.2192679709,0.2310524685\) C, \(0,-0.2877347237,2.739507608,0.3459432224\) С, \(0,0.8866043544,0.2794647456,-1.0785412291\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3884679782,-0.5792142094,0.8414150129\) C, \(0,2.3731195454,0.2850887598,-0.6795286642\) Н, \(0,0.600142502,-0.5921783032,-1.6810146329\) H, \(0,0.6705908472,1.1671931328,-1.6868561901\) C,0,2.8874559121,-1.0192676119,-0.0747261488 H,0,2.961430767,0.442240961,-1.6022454054 H,0,2.4827975439,2.4260348735,-0.3746928347 H,0,3.6688970039,1.5441991934,0.5931213575 B, \(0,-1.7193695286,-0.157728374,-0.0380438978\) C, \(0,-2.3744515071,-0.0201621451,1.4706770612\) C, \(,-2.5405172033,0.7975413207,-1.099303816\) C,0,-1.7231371848,-1.7243558154,-0.5572944706 H,0,-1.8077959592,-0.6401288435,2.1917872665 H,0,-2.2793742311,1.0204244895,1.8444782123 C, \(0,-3.8579523837,-0.4120257391,1.5388177364\) H,0,-2.7276781454,-1.9474355982,-0.9614818997 H,0,-1.053227397,-1.8668058696,-1.42946811 C, \(0,-1.3894944357,-2.7874319636,0.5031981022\) C, \(0,-2.0090863838,0.9470713123,-2.534871968\) H,0,-2.7603079383,1.8007287073,-0.6841571492 H, \(,-3.5348207607,0.3217762302,-1.1721182429\) H,0,-0.7490099771,2.6597819288,-0.6454262546

H,0,0.372045079,3.6123151086,0.4278707825 H,0,-1.127843876,2.8628904721,1.0533250351 C, \(0,2.2145154228,-2.1700920269,-0.1416648471\) C, \(0,4.2622295988,-0.9601093162,0.5513451471\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6492397973,-3.090451662,0.2528714898\) H, \(0,1.2164257667,-2.2502360206,-0.5759890091\) H,0,4.2477659485,-0.4149258658, 1.5083305914 H,0,4.9785994368,-0.4436203181,-0.1065604975 H,0,4.643729181,-1.9683577496,0.7502867312 Н,0,-4.2540191736,-0.4148255391,2.566386472 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.0213274586,-1.4173773741,1.1191227353\) H,0,-4.476458697,0.2824773919,0.9509427657
H,0,-2.7706262267,1.3324859725,-3.230110798
H,0,-1.6639459728,-0.0204592375,-2.9337179586 H,0,-1.1552446695,1.6426180987,-2.6017320548
H,0,-1.2922657486,-3.799256366,0.0787583139
H,0,-2.1729215414,-2.8313346072,1.2744704714
H,0,-0.448337793,-2.5682029604,1.0351995828

\section*{Int-ax-S \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716084304\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435658\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456391\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457335\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388939
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280426
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259693\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.258749
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327145

> E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
> KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
> Total 286.39082 .013143 .952

C,0,-1.2984031104,-2.0115428824,0.9150974928
C, \(0,-2.2888031884,-1.7953417241,-0.2958931785\)
H,0,-1.5949634945,-1.3649524775,1.7520085475
H,0,-1.3036082949,-3.0692596012,1.2091554548 C,0,-0.0375643421,-1.5619259464,0.2873395686 C, \(0,0.1738468993,-0.1662843518,0.1550673351\) C,0,0.751674777,-2.5739332443,-0.465244212 C, \(0,-0.7307173694,-0.0371292633,-1.178066531\)
H,0,-0.4102817595,0.356254021,0.9287416821
C,0,-2.20222369,-0.3629969489,-0.8556516676
H,0,-0.5845444764,0.9883770547,-1.5384126894 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.3745931234,-0.7023393786,-1.9758691463\) C, \(0,-2.9130511582,0.6674582119,0.0197196179\) H,0,-2.7547340344,-0.3736948896,-1.8131372702 H,0,-2.0213409021,-2.5066476732,-1.0923178664 H,0,-3.3008225423,-2.0574400179,0.0365651825 B, \(0,1.7445830087,0.5646097432,0.1070689306\) C,0,2.2896162639,0.3606698022,1.6507240161 C, \(0,2.8030137952,-0.0723657062,-0.9770040205\) C,0,1.507223128,2.1662267336,-0.2096477614 H,0,3.271771726,0.8661676915,1.7126527882 H,0,1.6430872737,0.8967653324,2.3684119178 C, \(0,2.4494728198,-1.0786827937,2.1485592874\) H,0,2.4784873411,2.587561145,-0.5292067051 H,0,0.8543992957,2.3255418585,-1.0905508672 C,0,0.9817864868,3.0210351937,0.957023325 C, \(0,2.4558413581,0.0677787865,-2.4682660465\) H,0,3.0777378443,-1.1219209647,-0.764211261 H,0,3.7399423585,0.4879418504,-0.801579755 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.257551979,-2.1344534931,-1.3307796343\) H,0,0.1472594444,-3.4468019395,-0.7458877596 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5428631016,-2.9180275202,0.221047809\)

C,0,-2.4355936827,1.8953533445,0.2334157462 C,0,-4.2542435171,0.2506321586,0.5785604636 H,0,-3.0079285309,2.6198742528,0.8158962973 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4684322317,2.2303172515,-0.1445009065\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.1431963143,-0.4957859005,1.3806902146\) H,0,-4.8887197738,-0.2005247987,-0.2003554897 H,0,-4.7864447263,1.112159597,0.9984057784 H,0,2.8717636347,-1.1382043701,3.1633545988 H,0,3.1016183592,-1.6712992796,1.4868319754 H,0,1.4706580481,-1.5957445734,2.2024658855 H,0,3.3043198388,-0.1678918766,-3.1290909825 H,0,2.1337797652,1.094447416,-2.7018135298 H,0,1.6302907658,-0.5967821505,-2.7754998477 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6803817208,4.032923917,0.642576338\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7515226745,3.1374836023,1.7336003581\) H,0,0.1114127243,2.559544482,1.4536780266

\section*{Int-ax-T \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717238500\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434740\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455864\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456809\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.386906\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282498
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261374\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260430\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330332

\section*{E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 286.05982 .314147 .122}

C,0,1.9353280318,1.8155569828,0.4257655779
C, \(0,2.7479768644,1.0254227812,-0.6744820769\) H,0,2.0938678878,1.3362383628,1.4010810184 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2507008741,2.8668050774,0.4399361944\) C,0,0.5700371102,1.5971354416,-0.087041096 C, \(0,-0.0343204996,0.3294014737,0.1294348584\) C,0,0.0051638005,2.587092664,-1.0280734343 C,0,0.7577766433,-0.4636215352,-1.0146399026 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3630933055,-0.0817571829,1.0704589466\) C, \(0,2.2763557621,-0.437160162,-0.7630618304\) Н, \(0,0.3422702739,-1.4801828186,-1.006261875\) H,0,0.5300298435,-0.0330785791,-2.0019996029 C,0,2.7561097928,-1.270775594,0.4226368312 H,0,2.767407921,-0.8701391282,-1.6538355679 H, \(0,2.5949249072,1.5159591645,-1.6484341031\) H,0,3.8160334579,1.1126598292,-0.4387206342 B, \(0,-1.7389503213,0.0883415519,0.0442407554\) C, \(0,-1.9349611862,-1.3134765652,0.8914590728\) C,0,-2.4404238294,1.3695399532,0.8119927258 C, \(0,-2.3910390982,-0.0413777988,-1.4689634231\) H,0,-1.3490532859,-2.1328502841,0.4266710233 H,0,-1.5194660013,-1.209721135,1.9128463712 C,0,-3.3941541113,-1.7799824806,1.0050360045 H,0,-3.4798116166,0.053604908,-1.2985558447 H,0,-2.1611153698,0.827598506,-2.1156515242 C,0,-2.1675027522,-1.3267798931,-2.2871786118 C,0,-1.7665642682,1.8393669014,2.107387079 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.4787906146,1.0775286797,1.048129669\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5601245393,2.2331685047,0.1281615152\) H,0,-0.6621566834,2.1173317953,-1.7585339858 H, \(0,0.7674727756,3.2210212283,-1.4986326655\) H, \(0,-0.6353033953,3.2308683417,-0.3962496243\) C, \(0,1.9750918028,-2.1146370167,1.1007625606\) C,0,4.2228813999,-1.1324115998,0.7647489749

H,0,2.3909346355,-2.7237495513,1.9056506386 H,0,0.9095991129,-2.2339084802,0.8988664323 H,0,4.4304133757,-0.1757291257,1.2695438075 H,0,4.8490742273,-1.1655465313,-0.1402696594 H,0,4.5454857479,-1.9363362171,1.4366316691 H,0,-3.4958725959,-2.7291460011,1.5536801719 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8467623628,-1.9223863065,0.0117313064\) Н,0,-4.0074057393,-1.0324734024,1.5306441659 H,0,-2.3362862654,2.6157405802,2.6406365088 H,0,-0.7607528983,2.2670694403,1.9240983427 H,0,-1.6195391367,0.9981423772,2.8032220943 H,0,-2.8792250589,-1.4153243103,-3.1227523628 H,0,-2.2885909736,-2.2250344037,-1.6620301365 H,0,-1.1619262399,-1.3805469521,-2.7302249948

\section*{Int-ax- \(U\) \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.716771356\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435177\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456110\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.457054
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.388087\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.281595
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260662\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259717
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-652.328685\)
E CV \(\quad\) S
KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
Total 286.21382 .136145 .153

C, \(0,-1.7264953014,1.5635672369,-1.1978550761\) C, \(0,-2.6310853993,1.3990265768,0.0880010332\) H,0,-1.939000554,0.7387678779,-1.8910967469 H,0,-1.9154012488,2.5389026486,-1.664334375 C, \(0,-0.4014195157,1.434523321,-0.5618637885\) C, \(0,0.0317666701,0.1319509086,-0.1991165664\) C,0,0.2683798764,2.6634563442,-0.0912741923 C, \(0,-0.848542323,-0.0368094484,1.1249154055\) H,0,-0.4219745956,-0.5755099375,-0.909104818 C, \(0,-2.3506828293,0.062446452,0.800058119\) H,0,-0.5704546663,-1.011753878,1.5471995644 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5698301795,0.7238557618,1.8693991822\) C,0,-2.933252593,-1.1267272614,0.0401376437 H,0,-2.8959279052,0.1017724581,1.7608817058 H,0,-2.4183469108,2.2297429368,0.7788315674 H,0,-3.67958079,1.5012869728,-0.2185204316 B, \(0,1.6996538633,-0.2870187177,0.0882505851\) С,0,1.695105836,-1.8824523675,-0.3515504259 C, \(0,2.6547395462,0.6113169969,-0.9011371781\) C,0,2.1748598356,-0.1809859068,1.661324886 H,0,1.1246287417,-2.4669611874,0.3994163453 H,0,1.1560171513,-2.0317142814,-1.307009764 C,0,3.0891062412,-2.5101657295,-0.4973075511 H,0,1.5556530685,-0.833297416,2.3062518185 H,0,3.1725416346,-0.6547711979,1.682495708 C,0,2.3229077464,1.18618578,2.3497558389 C, \(0,2.2523360344,0.5937602989,-2.3814770593\) H,0,3.6801056453,0.208893065,-0.8127687815 H, \(0,2.7544304414,1.6598550578,-0.5637329782\) H,0,0.8843422806,2.4817232303,0.7957454174 H, \(0,-0.4225226393,3.5065618878,0.038621567\) H,0,0.9707337156,2.9215109796,-0.9063643657 C, \(0,-2.2626451536,-2.2551695592,-0.2028270824\) C, \(0,-4.3765918661,-0.9803697193,-0.3883796646\) H,0,-2.7539420009,-3.0903025329,-0.7057701164 H,0,-1.2166994255,-2.3994211533,0.0722443458

H,0,-4.4751040733,-0.3017827396,-1.2503389225
Н,0,-4.9946053743,-0.5668792318,0.423687226
H,0,-4.7970910788,-1.9493207773,-0.682055615 H,0,3.0470185018,-3.5889680221,-0.7134990717 H,0,3.6884650954,-2.3824850154,0.4166988927 H,0,3.6481151217,-2.034588115,-1.3181993586 H,0,2.9257356406,1.1784207895,-3.0274410718 H,0,1.2335396338,0.9996261441,-2.5384350715 H,0,2.2315343479,-0.4363806381,-2.7701425871 Н,0,2.8722233127,1.1180416859,3.3013171987 H,0,1.3484238163,1.6421021369,2.5968606236 H,0,2.8721033452,1.9001720356,1.7145547761

\section*{Int-ax-V \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717827902\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.435116 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.456083\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.457027\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387864\) Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282712
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261745\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.260801
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329964

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 286.19682 .223145 .565

C,0,1.8004623445,1.8239092122,0.6295593025
С,0,2.6779527338,1.2248210987,-0.540034724 H,0,1.9978226366,1.2671781367,1.5554127277 H,0,2.0252918088,2.8916107931,0.7495251921 С,0,0.4573915784,1.5394981974,0.0841260366 C, \(0,-0.0231170848,0.207980899,0.1775345194\) C,0,-0.1464967338,2.5534006514,-0.8184563951 C, \(0,0.7920888108,-0.3433395909,-1.0944623278\) Н, \(0,0.45967585,-0.2786472251,1.0393521011\) C, \(0,2.3089326388,-0.2413591941,-0.8363840005\) H,0,0.4574264403,-1.3761318106,-1.2491249109 H,0,0.5354848179,0.2124429601,-2.0067093283 C,0,2.854043339,-1.2102547941,0.2110469851 H, \(0,2.8227262608,-0.5033103709,-1.7794730451\) H,0,2.5085902149,1.827730391,-1.44560765 H,0,3.734554614,1.346826391,-0.2710832648 B,0,-1.7086100519,-0.1953033089,0.2668933156 C,0,-2.0926738555,0.2735910236,1.8025933774 C,0,-2.6926489287,0.5259267503,-0.8312341601 C,0,-1.7676537496,-1.834728984,0.1551123159 H,0,-3.1645243988,0.0535118625,1.9553477335 H,0,-1.5573070721,-0.355117251,2.5396226372 C,0,-1.8600436412,1.7462214564,2.1521513676 Н, \(0,-1.3463719374,-2.2127655088,-0.7964674824\) H,0,-1.1425790777,-2.277996507,0.9554410128 C,0,-3.1888821931,-2.4067020224,0.2827757705 C, \(0,-2.5143979012,0.1109361283,-2.3000850838\) Н,0,-2.6941322139,1.6286543031,-0.7565549632 H,0,-3.7175816079,0.2440996874,-0.527201063 H,0,-0.7177405329,2.0896923359,-1.6299571925 H,0,0.5936770723,3.2698809344,-1.1980542077 H,0,-0.8746512236,3.1085123843,-0.2030700018 C,0,2.1506344294,-2.2309231374,0.7063642659 C,0,4.2948802883,-0.9963794081,0.6168159698 H,0,2.6104740984,-2.9295380146,1.4079576521 H,0,1.1057936397,-2.4118729583,0.4498344404 H,0,4.4047655639,-0.112898516,1.2651766618 H,0,4.938606048,-0.8373118417,-0.2623573956

Н,0,4.6769975298,-1.8620530479,1.1703572379 H,0,-2.1591208941,2.0008639264,3.1803981224 Н,0,-2.4181307542,2.4132693323,1.4751071686 H,0,-0.7882336344,2.0190840406,2.0765967942 Н,0,-3.291879722,0.5232048708,-2.9619694331 Н,0,-2.5443139806,-0.9851805071,-2.4010925042 H,0,-1.5436219201,0.4314691636,-2.7165277888 H,0,-3.2044212515,-3.5075035369,0.2878053476 H,0,-3.8246460966,-2.0745723746,-0.5526322206 Н,0,-3.672369961,-2.0663887702,1.2109666275

\section*{Axial TS2 Structures \\ TS2-ax-A \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717871169\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.434781 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455315
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456260\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388143
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.283090
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.262556\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.261612
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-652.329728\)
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 285.71580 .701143 .363
H,0,-0.5514654825,-0.0209138118,-1.2146193992 C,0,-2.5040724726,0.1716495424,0.7045032798 Н,0,-0.8068982802,-1.2407804727,0.8982904254 H,0,-0.6694716557,0.1724228559,1.9276168221 C,0,-3.106590499,-0.6806741836,-0.4035207609 H,0,-3.0311189269,-0.1196779518,1.6301746508 H,0,-2.5416375628,2.1595709693,1.5337287403 H,0,-3.620617257,1.980158563,0.1418623031 В,0,1.545549852,-0.5349055569,-0.2169360449 C,0,1.4678136449,-1.7738160008,-1.3016272558 C,0,2.6956845955,0.5518672689,-0.6954534577 C, \(0,1.8550080216,-1.1047316146,1.3035627427\) H,0,1.4350000346,-1.3744103359,-2.3331515556 H,0,2.4109554108,-2.3461716744,-1.2496714936 C,0,0.2908255952,-2.7471940656,-1.1332659789 H,0,1.6904277319,-0.345729144,2.0949322685 H,0,1.1666118843,-1.9319527718,1.5661727347 C,0,3.2932245496,-1.6228343858,1.4610308005 C,0,2.3474230337,1.402796453,-1.9261576546 H,0,3.6028373207,-0.0323435413,-0.9332565901 H,0,3.0077581746,1.2226478414,0.129056203 H,0,1.2599300878,1.5831707767,1.6504514064 H,0,-0.0343164315,2.8437253672,1.8217156719 H,0,1.1987545542,2.9677142208,0.5463461823 C,0,-3.5103482251,-0.1956660874,-1.5794654816 C,0,-3.2784717363,-2.1404779594,-0.054575602 Н, \(0,-3.9458159322,-0.8541034882,-2.3327320336\) Н,0,-3.4414471714,0.8617134969,-1.8377938674 Н,0,-4.0113637885,-2.247881807,0.7601033509 H,0,-2.3404243014,-2.597879002,0.2903293488 Н,0,-3.6338608359,-2.7162273779,-0.9172457533 H,0,0.3367824269,-3.6024472527,-1.8250926564 H,0,-0.6754271978,-2.2472551428,-1.3231345238 H,0,0.254107337,-3.1646882288,-0.112051128 H,0,3.1871365244,2.024324293,-2.2734542867 H,0,1.5067692141,2.095138292,-1.7336555578 H,0,2.0382199852,0.7681474138,-2.771116062 H,0,3.4765143013,-2.0773350289,2.4469591485

H,0,4.0221167232,-0.808584757,1.3326101685
H,0,3.5244681291,-2.3832075507,0.6999979533
TS2-ax-B
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.718262148\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434591\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455258\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456202\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.387000\)
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.283672\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.263005\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.262060
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331262

> E \(\quad\) CV S KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K Total 285.678 80.691 145.647  C, \(0,-1.8519905221,1.631911342,-1.2071472941\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.7121188001,1.7968795423,0.0812964155\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.2008681632,0.7637787429,-1.7806003313\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.9119266741,2.5383851446,-1.8241838038\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.4605560391,1.4007679607,-0.7071142139\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.0690198676,0.1234275357,-0.2668183886\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,0.4262954057,2.5940974845,-0.5891915018\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.8417131433,0.6087912439,1.1662271195\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7733545161,-0.6447174271,-0.6270223597\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.371965852,0.6543572012,1.0432316361\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4557676812,-0.2040005921,1.7964345491\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4573449286,1.5426418765,1.5943580173\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.9717289359,-0.701359402,0.6899425419\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7549906529,0.9107208595,2.0474743471\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4471693335,2.7526755604,0.560205563\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.7793873162,1.8477903856,-0.1686851945\) \(\mathrm{~B}, 0,1.484502151,-0.5095956739,-0.1065341601\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.9706643253,-0.7191761151,-1.6732056306\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.616651239,0.4143542722,0.6528256108\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.2723224139,-1.9507365929,0.6664287692\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9933843212,0.2631597266,-2.187968347\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0260372186,-1.0471239294,-1.6577188389\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,1.1670062047,-1.7115307197,-2.5254811281\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8664313263,-1.8178095059,1.6910131857\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5105293893,-2.5525329119,0.1322018147\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.5529885917,-2.7894013915,0.7839428758\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,2.3837608736,0.8023854912,2.121771414\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8825922555,1.3153565456,0.0686500351\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5388532963,-0.192304944,0.6128004659\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.186382776,2.4644949053,0.1866957231\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1436521731,3.522155576,-0.4437057339\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.95943313,2.6689211078,-1.5523703102\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.8770220919,-0.8781546419,-0.2749640453\) \(\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.4941242029,-1.8556432173,1.537366425\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.3015927682,-1.8657031325,-0.4620048214\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.2351585081,-0.0663416977,-0.9095960217\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5108635908,-1.5938507653,2.6067806424\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4585606928,-2.1304638534,1.2849567817\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1233620554,-2.7406382613,1.3873736992\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.548762374,-1.7976735589,-3.5546346662\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1042089277,-1.4243973863,--2.6030559479\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1916438359,-2.719354144,-2.0828430567\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.288351038,1.1998862139,2.6072875248\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0548299992,-0.0686706966,2.7121024173\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.6059267748,1.5763623076,2.2382512813\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.375495583,-3.7823601879,1.2251545797\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3013680547,-2.2821999461,1.4119756833\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0146111011,-2.944583721,-0.2042457428\)

TS2-ax-C
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.714206390\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434511\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455180\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456124\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387577
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279695\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259026\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.258082
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326630
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.63080 .944144 .270
C, \(0,1.2184203215,-2.1651027114,-0.7570986602\) C,0,2.3367197091,-1.8763157398,0.2841436404 H,0,1.4448107738,-1.6887641493,-1.7185650376 H,0,1.111180797,-3.2485268051,-0.9033383986 C, \(0,-0.0282872039,-1.5708627554,-0.173429962\) С, \(0,-0.2936302889,-0.2003731398,-0.3245963601\) C, \(0,-0.8842875818,-2.4917178326,0.6300474152\) C,0,0.7385677078,-0.112268514,1.037437777 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3488211032,0.2305296498,-1.1097206062\) C,0,2.2227521992,-0.4297699012,0.7805614552 H,0,0.5484333308,0.9544904372,1.2109201955 H,0,0.3404679202,-0.6401928582,1.9132347809 С,0,2.8878818329,0.631386118,-0.0849453711 H,0,2.7158673107,-0.367668112,1.7667058971 H,0,2.1894946415,-2.5540166325,1.1394274727 H,0,3.3279406786,-2.0938249659,-0.1355496022 B,0,-1.758549305,0.6405809539,-0.1193062608 C, \(0,-1.5450507968,2.0132383316,-1.0126970009\) С, \(,,-2.9373857771,-0.2945319617,-0.7956949042\) C, \(0,-2.1210946673,1.0801520137,1.4326296574\) H,0,-1.4735245749,1.7587317684,-2.0885148426 H,0,-2.4528243393,2.6346439846,-0.9201707429 С,0,-0.3334222336,2.8816020522,-0.6374058583 H,0,-1.3055245367,1.6606926001,1.9059112393 H,0,-2.9324612693,1.8218755302,1.3227753896 C,0,-2.6063234557,0.0240934576,2.4397452425 С,0,-4.3066524539,0.398959426,-0.8614131231 Н, \(0,-3.0759339904,-1.2512266601,-0.2586893003\) Н,0,-2.6367864752,-0.5783736408,-1.8238140048 H,0,-1.6046005188,-1.9524432222,1.2502819409 H,0,-0.2794526157,-3.1895989586,1.2279153486 H,0,-1.450967412,-3.0904685361,-0.1017425809 C,0,3.3308313776,0.4098682644,-1.3241590269 C,0,3.0730094014,1.9708860493,0.5884276603 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8107040081,1.2065969452,-1.8946047153\) H,0,3.2506389252,-0.560574036,-1.8155692669 H,0,3.7681606963,1.8722847349,1.4365908975 H,0,2.1287496997,2.3692079329,0.9862990047 H,0,3.4804838948,2.7127582315,-0.1084822492 H,0,-0.2873800234,3.822892698,-1.2067862285 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6190623111,2.3576092427,-0.829874422\) H,0,-0.351280949,3.1555080617,0.431391509 Н, \(0,-5.0832179794,-0.2336878514,-1.3191025499\) Н,0,-4.253806025,1.3287170017,-1.4466411371 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.6577632328,0.6714374141,0.1466142314\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0673331824,0.475701103,3.331675302\) H,0,-1.7868452069,-0.6140501667,2.8130650545 H,0,-3.3610418949,-0.6413511419,1.9883061313

\section*{TS2-ax-D}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.715266714\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434941\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455453\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456397\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388516 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.280325\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259814\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258870\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326751

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.80180 .759142 .868

C,0,-1.4073371151,2.1072408853,-0.4825797132 C, \(0,-2.5785461361,1.6524502875,0.4289901424\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5740047525,1.7984712012,-1.5219856603\) H,0,-1.2978428888,3.1995282333,-0.4452381941 C, \(0,-0.1796368807,1.4273006678,0.0561871685\) C,0,0.1240502386,0.1111273711,-0.3178641395 C, \(0,0.658019832,2.2137189826,1.0117466192\) C, \(0,-0.9767881236,-0.1630823321,0.9864771293\) H,0,-0.4939142285,-0.2017343703,-1.1749372255 C,0,-2.455395905,0.1473515694,0.6981517647
Н, \(0,-0.7506973209,-1.2367373746,1.018679683\) Н, \(0,-0.6428651676,0.2436640861,1.9484956682\) C,0,-3.0358779682,-0.7784130712,-0.3616576483 H, \(0,-2.9975349404,-0.0823714119,1.6322731892\) H,0,-2.4936065149,2.1864324004,1.3884033569 H,0,-3.5496925503,1.9215763676,-0.007166222 B, \(0,1.597113418,-0.7169052672,-0.1878001562\) C,0,1.4810802572,-1.9028022128,-1.3313667724 C, \(0,2.8079887543,0.3298764561,-0.589222687\) C, \(0,1.8853149343,-1.4360576657,1.2725943969\) H,0,1.4209364132,-1.4634239399,-2.3449538999 H, \(0,2.4232253558,-2.4801967827,-1.3199308364\) C, \(0,0.3028081728,-2.8758659273,-1.1698395903\) Н, \(0,1.0898995073,-2.1597486066,1.5376801893\) H, \(0,2.7678342662,-2.0751420301,1.0866725409\) C, \(0,2.194860751,-0.5944046217,2.5224912122\) C,0,2.5887291424,1.1536107867,-1.8676983818 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7177781175,-0.2829506681,-0.7264662599\) H,0,3.0636737973,1.0226266274,0.2336130679 H,0,1.3383960076,1.5754648434,1.581228571 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0428458422,2.83671448,1.6774404878\) H,0,1.2706413788,2.8895844679,0.3922167289 C,0,-3.4360562981,-0.368535435,-1.5669084099 C,0,-3.1864765346,-2.2180124241,0.0702328309 H,0,-3.8553375067,-1.0755692389,-2.2845343595 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.3766780768,0.6725749007,-1.8866754289\) H,0,-3.9023485938,-2.2888316426,0.9037341936 H,0,-2.2367425944,-2.6477195559,0.4194982407 H,0,-3.5509833705,-2.8433775042,-0.7531920515 H,0,0.3129035902,-3.6901267594,-1.9108597044 H,0,-0.6652147044,-2.3590021304,-1.2927976363 H, \(0,0.3006233656,-3.3485993057,-0.1736380363\) H,0,3.4441939801,1.8013922532,-2.1149548143 H,0,1.7063190337,1.8150662879,-1.7886547576 H, \(0,2.4106356886,0.5025308133,-2.7363320681\) H,0,2.5759041331,-1.2089027973,3.3526525413 H, \(0,1.3065024074,-0.0707746201,2.9153235338\) H,0,2.9603900268,0.1702187166,2.3148752767

TS2-ax-E
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716826622\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.435403\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455521
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456465\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.389744
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281424\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261306\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260362\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327083
Н, \(0,0.4483864797,-0.4605852281,1.1881873577\)
C, \(0,1.9904977155,-0.0133299878,-0.9560269461\)
H,0,0.0218995345,-1.0079204668,-1.1981487969
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0503352029,0.6719971511,-1.7143141986\)
C,0,2.5719090786,-1.1583517515,-0.1332062499
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3127026142,-0.1870333768,-1.9985499722\)
H,0,2.170574116,2.1037102781,-1.3186143777
H,0,3.5238490553,1.4651133165,-0.3803019008
B, \(0,-1.8142905218,-0.4088301928,0.7196304356\)
C,0,-2.1210872606,-0.1493458766,2.3242115383
C, \(0,-2.9123577551,0.3536990703,-0.2456214463\)
C, \(0,-1.8384498643,-2.0435879414,0.470594977\)
Н, \(0,-3.1531393434,-0.4898420273,2.5232478743\)
H, \(0,-1.4814123253,-0.8088237241,2.9416545734\)
C,0,-1.977773932,1.2907629929,2.8316196302
H,0,-1.0404432629,-2.5405619799,1.0575324821
H,0,-2.7697238929,-2.3866209529,0.9554198282
С, \(0,-1.8373886465,-2.6015148603,-0.9713326239\)
C, \(0,-4.2949071125,-0.3201830248,-0.2250878735\)
H, \(0,-3.0615215841,1.4021431363,0.0713025456\)
H,0,-2.5711226871,0.4090741848,-1.3009749055
H,0,-1.3829944045,2.2453620836,-0.7293456829
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0995087742,3.2822840524,-0.6927024948\)
H,0,-0.9558140101,3.1537196263,0.7300799679
C,0,3.5612125513,-1.0051432099,0.749990336
C,0,1.9713044057,-2.5170159929,-0.4018420014
H, 0,3.9659193934,-1.8671368167,1.2826981247
H,0,4.0097556312,-0.0378547758,0.9798609725
H,0,1.8665895312,-2.6999162482,-1.4827426311
H, \(0,0.9662603316,-2.5961892901,0.0411515361\)
H,0,2.5919089012,-3.3128390981,0.0260629644
H,0,-2.2711254985,1.4085004047,3.8859691801
H, \(0,-2.5989401355,1.9851321481,2.2421804076\)
H,0,-0.9321051565,1.6445751997,2.7632610083
H, \(0,-5.0447514861,0.235234499,-0.8093668982\)
H,0,-4.6745551574,-0.3987292153,0.8052645105
Н,0,-4.2552850469,-1.3429413155,-0.6275699623
H, \(,-2.3664850839,-3.5638638254,-1.0462486601\)
H,0,-0.8249903594,-2.7935368451,-1.3637069466
H, \(0,-2.3266153659,-1.9100027095,-1.6761823982\)

\section*{TS2-ax-F}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.716506596\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434438\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455056\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456000\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387466
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282068
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261451\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260506\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.329041
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.55280 .860144 .243
C,0,-1.4972321312,2.2083085422,-0.2260167217

C,0,-2.6592383255,1.5740742497,0.5899145857 H,0,-1.650840713,2.0243045672,-1.296948404 H, \(,-1.4430699911,3.289059786,-0.0383550367\) C,0,-0.2543376762,1.5145192548,0.2472947938 C, \(0,0.0872238865,0.2414042739,-0.2446011368\) C,0,0.522834505,2.2111984209,1.3131990277 C,0,-1.0519912396,-0.2669351757,0.9108002704 H,0,-0.4549078888,0.0317544356,-1.1798424055 C,0,-2.5037024409,0.0525016325,0.533390848 H,0,-0.7939085091,-1.3312521975,0.8396452112 Н, \(0,-0.8116542666,0.0404471369,1.9374685728\) C,0,-2.9087307759,-0.5970079181,-0.7862927649 H,0,-3.1381560926,-0.403560262,1.3143569988 H,0,-2.574903236,1.9009854957,1.6383512897 H,0,-3.6321766461,1.9236603496,0.2223355093 B,0,1.5558617944,-0.57037208,-0.0928749826 C,0,1.4262503048,-1.9083801667,-1.0469930739 C, \(0,2.6854856584,0.4820770861,-0.6844437527\) C,0,1.9212206335,-1.0125285369,1.4729256352 H,0,2.3641815852,-2.4844412144,-0.9471822515 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6488170122,-2.6000075573,-0.6625841996\) C, \(0,1.1729770188,-1.657535194,-2.5416119603\) H,0,3.0144121771,-0.9069064888,1.5929403677 H, \(0,1.5072123266,-0.3227096128,2.2332383621\) C,0,1.5568973037,-2.4416915422,1.9158503185 C,0,4.0376549478,-0.174720579,-0.9976702848 H,0,2.8612189545,1.2996160131,0.0420216939 H,0,2.3189620878,0.9825856557,-1.6026732975 H,0,1.2510696164,1.5557384171,1.7968037953 H, \(0,-0.1351423838,2.7006248187,2.0462059425\) H,0,1.0812062442,3.0072876683,0.7927951299 C, \(0,-3.5510017756,0.0523185327,-1.7599622356\) C,0,-2.5571356171,-2.0591629684,-0.9247680632 H, 0, -3.8492227489,-0.4694328353,-2.6706199902 H,0,-3.8091006203,1.1103623318,-1.6989910297 H, \(0,-2.8110748735,-2.6180666786,-0.0108189462\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4779006428,-2.1920405338,-1.100339631\) H,0,-3.0909204009,-2.5125533763,-1.7679353562 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1967024761,-2.5822924676,-3.1388719463\) H,0,1.928008914,-0.9753421074,-2.9620047793 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1903267159,-1.1911783578,-2.7296748212\) H,0,4.8089141888,0.5533176942,-1.2935928797 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9443298693,-0.9050970899,-1.8157122909\) H,0,4.422767655,-0.7250639272,-0.1247263174 H, \(0,1.8601484558,-2.6467058122,2.9544544659\) H,0,2.0461343796,-3.1905851318,1.2773941505 H, \(0,0.4741473043,-2.6445600309,1.8565377101\)

\section*{TS2-ax-G}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.718549727\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434652\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455101\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456045\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy \(=0.388294\) Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.283898\) Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.263448\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.262504\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330256

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.58080 .649142 .596
C, \(0,-1.0778082378,1.2532206661,-1.2698265618\)
C, \(0,-1.9226467437,1.37918763,0.0373111254\)
H,0,-1.4486953459,0.4146695957,-1.872410664

H,0,-1.1337012864,2.1864934218,-1.8458677665 C, \(0,0.3100582257,0.9734028983,-0.79547688\) C, \(0,0.6530862764,-0.3242820235,-0.3671518792\) C, \(0,1.2376371042,2.1292992167,-0.6566991698\) C, \(0,-0.0671618893,0.120079006,1.0829757079\) Н, \(,-0.070939186,-1.055355558,-0.7619252475\) C,0,-1.5974471541,0.2095510692,0.9717166418 H,0,0.2984484177,-0.7087055355,1.7040009585 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3404751158,1.0394130103,1.5216285626\) C,0,-2.2281948723,-1.1273227934,0.6038760232 H,0,-1.9686688302,0.4589168626,1.9821470875 H,0,-1.6461433007,2.319018232,0.540529119 H, \(0,-2.9906374022,1.4477582971,-0.2060308087\) B,0,2.1932572933,-1.0070467512,-0.2732525447 C, \(0,2.6032317024,-1.1248619254,-1.8692902766\) C,0,3.3558322038,-0.1724544173,0.5454442153 C,0,1.9893921743,-2.4953262254,0.4133852716 H, \(0,1.808091775,-1.644641602,-2.4380332822\) H, \(0,2.6661170268,-0.111060508,-2.3157187623\) C,0,3.9367288308,-1.8442146513,-2.1172946238 H,0,2.990485892,-2.9110517029, 0.6291395742 H,0,1.5172527234,-2.4216741397,1.4158126612 C,0,1.2169517904,-3.5276011486,-0.4253115082 C,0,3.1010455077,0.2049921889,2.0147656131 H,0,3.7035090656,0.722359137,-0.0072238872 H,0,4.2328815999,-0.8436532762,0.5343136579 H,0,2.0217705699,1.9368190219,0.0817567146 H,0,0.7064124469,3.0694665312,-0.453412348 H,0,1.7353294216,2.2279264908,-1.6369328402 C, \(0,-3.1141664918,-1.2816943743,-0.382536185\) C,0,-1.7997055531,-2.2918518653,1.4640536388 H,0,-3.5573378649,-2.2585050808,-0.5824376969 H,0,-3.4389632172,-0.4599381661,-1.0225277271 H, \(0,-1.8519837994,-2.0310476769,2.5325282259\) H,0,-0.7603295448,-2.5834236019,1.2488683126 Н,0,-2.4360558341,-3.1669645708,1.2880056534 H,0,4.1607834809,-1.9735997043,-3.1877442506 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9375443787,-2.8452412333,-1.6572291822\) H,0,4.7744703988,-1.2871194896,-1.6718961546 H,0,4.0207214198,0.5034093835,2.5409956483 H,0,2.6699188294,-0.6419063143,2.5729791107 H,0,2.3989861558,1.0494918796,2.1216504114 H,0,1.0336667247,-4.4697197449,0.1155360739 H,0,1.7718553355,-3.7797652328,-1.3414237088 H, \(0,0.2327971568,-3.1526672351,-0.757110063\)

\section*{TS2-ax-H}

\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.718714242\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434488\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.454988
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.455932
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387712
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.284226\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.263726\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.262782\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331003

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.50980 .707143 .582
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7201321703,-0.7733057797,-0.7224105517\) C,0,-2.2731001707,0.4679625421,1.0025601909 H, \(0,-0.3808260443,-0.4338577186,1.7581607647\) H, \(0,-0.3481266435,1.314089314,1.5821765537\) C, \(0,-2.8858544455,-0.8792091281,0.6439938096\) H,0,-2.6580378505,0.7228471028,2.0063952175 H,0,-2.3613705299,2.5731411242,0.5622129512 H,0,-3.6658128007,1.6672178961,-0.2122486331 B, \(0,1.527410913,-0.7607926673,-0.186169138\) C, \(0,1.9045518476,-1.073382607,-1.7645174333\) C,0,2.7169587585,0.1271160986,0.526979721 C, \(0,1.3244421593,-2.1744179276,0.6438062529\) H,0,2.8732337891,-1.607167312,-1.7653345954 H, \(0,1.1825871493,-1.7811568345,-2.2108863724\) C,0,2.008580397,0.1430243608,-2.6930254693 H,0,2.3292126455,-2.5568269484,0.9010744114 H,0,0.8502250437,-2.0099849978,1.6338219957 C, \(0,0.562506496,-3.2939944091,-0.0862148243\) C,0,2.5289337588,0.5112372477,2.0044766062 H,0,2.9985406493,1.0290429385,-0.0472901113 H,0,3.6139437994,-0.5159925385,0.4649643218 H, \(0,1.3520863348,2.2350529592,0.1837013162\) H,0,-0.0432285683,3.3254749809,-0.2036383314 H, \(, 0.0 .9845465129,2.6729338611,-1.4948904338\) C,0,-3.7215278237,-1.0639029859,-0.3802910805 C, \(0,-2.5025084609,-2.0148457684,1.5617360167\) H,0,-4.154683543,-2.0465588264,-0.5733735407

Н,0,-4.0134884484,-0.2610746034,-1.0588402518 H,0,-2.6234815986,-1.7234064186,2.6166388113 H,0,-1.4502104182,-2.3031596952,1.4199132188 H,0,-3.1199162735,-2.9005895001,1.3719545513 H,0,2.3664358894,-0.1158355848,-3.7013634813 H,0,2.6920725526,0.9069959019,-2.2890372838 H, \(0,1.0222817061,0.6233267228,-2.8343615958\) H,0,3.448363911,0.9069968324,2.4628694309 H, \(0,2.2166701514,-0.3593482045,2.6026976399\) H,0,1.7552487717,1.2854457881,2.1445387201 H, \(0,0.3255633031,-4.1479115727,0.5686330131\) H,0,1.1535685936,-3.683855733,-0.9272077413 H, \(0,-0.3918419058,-2.9420967226,-0.5169567187\)

\section*{TS2-ax-I \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RM} 11)=-652.717287584\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434322\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.454992\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455936\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386679
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.282966
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.262295
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.261351\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.330609

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.51280 .845145 .766

C,0,-0.746627506,1.8194064866,-0.3625747107 C, \(0,-1.8853351241,1.2997171921,0.5556862143\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9758533772,1.5951421102,-1.4122840192\) H,0,-0.6103445448,2.9020014781,-0.2377778728 C, \(0,0.4833651318,1.0734395206,0.0723130463\) C, \(0,0.7624395788,-0.2156807079,-0.4097417354\) C, \(0,1.3517683949,1.7625179861,1.0732999484\) C,0,-0.3467197499,-0.6039486458,0.8413080507 H, \(0,0.1572906486,-0.4454465541,-1.3028154038\) C,0,-1.8064913542,-0.2284260355,0.5571317496 H,0,-0.1491653565,-1.6795925145,0.7648755987 Н,0,-0.0149312124,-0.3034173983,1.8436134066 C, \(0,-2.3374183579,-0.9107395721,-0.7000443575\) Н, \(0,-2.399090424,-0.6243658232,1.4011335709\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7117732026,1.6659276182,1.5798097833\) Н,, ,-2.8623286563,1.684800998,0.2383192544 B, \(0,2.2113663014,-1.0716910052,-0.3080962813\) C, \(0,1.990288631,-2.3602532361,-1.3120443134\) C,0,3.3790386928,-0.0528783807,-0.8723842432 C,0,2.6217888538,-1.5794452083,1.2219068796 H,0,1.2528761448,-3.0635961373,-0.8740768992 H,0,1.5450453123,-2.0418589064,-2.2750794612 C,0,3.2842000424,-3.131615891,-1.6114963809 H,0,3.7250290335,-1.649577889,1.2308973845 H,0,2.4046883191,-0.8259342014,2.0042144497 C, \(0,2.0849353145,-2.9350777016,1.7173758219\) C,0,3.0819217606,0.6060774912,-2.2265299914 H,0,4.314068242,-0.6340970163,-0.958538299 H,0,3.6171482811,0.7336422535,-0.1306283542 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0370499388,1.0729331918,1.573078288\) H,0,0.7629373827,2.3447781295,1.796564538 H, \(, 1.9617066407,2.4732627966,0.4903176124\) C,0,-3.020697977,-0.2710679361,-1.6515318226 C, \(0,-2.0677159899,-2.3938914945,-0.7963941557\) H,0,-3.4116129461,-0.8143116286,-2.5133178612 H,0,-3.2222242326,0.8004605862,-1.6199555781 H,0,-2.2753501635,-2.8994915867,0.1592957245

H,0,-1.0138295539,-2.5905654275,-1.049244774
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.6881582239,-2.855128688,-1.5734894765\)
H,0,3.1105377406,-4.0767746759,-2.14891165
H,0,3.8308816604,-3.3704072849,-0.6848301464 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9631968693,-2.5237671396,-2.2290133448\) H,0,3.9080046224,1.2320908314,-2.5979752723 H,0,2.1884883904,1.2557902114,-2.1778652452 H,0,2.8739825429,-0.15514828,-2.9954208427 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4690475538,-3.1934664399,2.7165001458\) H,0,2.3733122412,-3.7485576838,1.0361836326 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9849670863,-2.9687341414,1.7894972124\)

\section*{TS2-ax- \(J\)}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.716130262\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435051\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455460\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456404\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388421 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281080\) Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260670\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259726\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327709

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.80680 .556143 .083
C, \(0,-0.7152210814,1.7909202777,-0.5298371942\)
C,0,-1.8637862564,1.3949097999,0.435295892
Н, \(0,-0.9409924254,1.4467508836,-1.547507539\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5680833097,2.8791759629,-0.5354742444\) C, \(0,0.5131921269,1.091454944,-0.0076435357\) C, \(0,0.7869412373,-0.2421444848,-0.3487817653\) C, \(0,1.3981898244,1.8925335292,0.8931883078\) C,0,-0.314909865,-0.4298951041,0.9766338784 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1413534353,-0.5717654233,-1.1799729488\) C,0,-1.7781267938,-0.1188941765,0.6414159245 Н, \(,--0.0864237588,-1.498269408,1.0782445141\) Н, \(0,-0.0000048764,0.0342448848,1.9193005499\) C, \(0,-2.3022487939,-0.971844311,-0.5083388069\) H, \(0,-2.3669513878,-0.401386677,1.532405991\) H, \(0,-1.7021918877,1.8938529108,1.4039277656\) H,0,-2.8390214111,1.729737519,0.0604956497 B,0,2.2339945799,-1.1193446441,-0.2160331541 C, \(0,2.0226551045,-2.3314858085,-1.3242301349\) C,0,3.4757373562,-0.129899461,-0.6533067932 C,0,2.4944233202,-1.8127280268,1.258825163
H, \(0,1.2751211599,-3.0538742185,-0.9360798277\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5820924674,-1.9368602768,-2.2607949466\) C,0,3.2962601261,-3.1057510754,-1.6917259345 Н, 0, 1.6663463086,-2.5000087342,1.5275799125 H,0,3.3520678224,-2.4906696599,1.103908526 C, \(0,2.832727833,-0.94487992,2.4821053772\) C,0,3.3102422789,0.5328642491,-2.0287856841 H,0,4.3946957182,-0.7434281893,-0.6661718684 H,0,3.6847358924,0.654524848,0.0962722328 H,0,2.0640462213, 1.2582998144,1.4839984155 H,0,0.8188308093,2.5717776293,1.5351852299 H,0,2.0259741828,2.5096983894,0.2291762339 C,0,-2.9822106014,-0.4735502552,-1.5430311255 C, \(0,-2.0278598983,-2.4520948533,-0.3899677021\) H,0,-3.3690846897,-1.1327494093,-2.3216894654 H, \(,-3.1838328272,0.5917448641,-1.6622242754\) H,0,-2.2539516508,-2.8179168656,0.6234633912 H, \(0,-0.9682440296,-2.6749163177,-0.5915578179\) H,0,-2.6302151474,-3.0219655496,-1.1067353288

H,0,3.0984711279,-3.9555011069,-2.3633725189 H,0,3.797419373,-3.5060657027,-0.7972091394 H,0,4.0218697497,-2.450759507,-2.1986400627 H,0,4.1657106949,1.1644690109,-2.3148162384 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.411584342,1.1761701292,-2.0664487462\) H,0,3.1808296173,-0.2247739507,-2.8172906623 Н, 0,3.1842775755,-1.5439944763,3.3361128767 H,0,1.9669305297,-0.3684401681,2.8503421927 H,0,3.6309044965,-0.2225379545,2.2474982165

\section*{TS2-ax-K \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.719566888\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.434773\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455213\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456157\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388306
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.284794
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.264354\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.263410
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.331261
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.65080 .596142 .805
C,0,-1.6726552803,1.6348957488,-1.2098076443
C, \(0,-2.5683101973,1.7512636393,0.0598492461\)
H,0,-2.0338087611,0.82305136,-1.8536527579
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6754949017,2.5821135947,-1.7656761254\) C,0,-0.3073883928,1.3148224197,-0.6821940233 C, \(0,0.0143989128,-0.0067871403,-0.3202287248\) C,0,0.5972895521,2.4790873957,-0.4404068344 C, \(0,-0.7702757154,0.4323598669,1.1227493298\) H,0,-0.7152149572,-0.7136872059,-0.7526561627 C,0,-2.294741808,0.5477785569,0.966125947
H, \(0,-0.4383166273,-0.43053087,1.7146536482\) H, \(0,-0.3577638808,1.3221828136,1.6139859302\) C,0,-2.9359722912,-0.7636374745,0.5289519026 H,0,-2.6936109482,0.7654519956,1.9732684077 H,0,-2.2915832771,2.6700423377,0.6004967217 H,0,-3.6254330367,1.8493291136,-0.2174186339 B, \(0,1.5171874469,-0.7525741228,-0.1837646616\) C, \(0,1.8642889828,-1.0999800919,-1.7646222774\) C,0,2.7376525675,0.1174222467,0.4916163743 C, \(0,1.2533295021,-2.1552519912,0.6423936114\) H,0,2.8576787477,-1.5825524312,-1.7850369 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1621041449,-1.8596345289,-2.1582959315\) C, \(0,1.8917395189,0.0918861995,-2.7305922152\) H,0,0.8172875971,-1.9863621018,1.6494251468 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5022422678,-2.7599912091,0.0959012269\) C, \(0,2.5138666096,-3.0114165283,0.8367935659\) C,0,2.5915403387,0.4790481586,1.9786674502 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.9951031296,1.0240759107,-0.0863354497\) H,0,3.6357895896,-0.5178706787,0.3892164172 H, \(0,1.3819875808,2.25075854,0.2855077238\) H, \(0,0.0310198269,3.3754308285,-0.1485476351\) H, \(0,1.0859487218,2.6933544709,-1.4048199981\) C,0,-3.8101264062,-0.8600804131,-0.4752260994 C, \(0,-2.5377532978,-1.9725420236,1.3405834072\)
Н,0,-4.2661928898,-1.8200747525,-0.7223037425 H,0,-4.1109312435,-0.0078284413,-1.0861521434 H,0,-2.5885619696,-1.7574900202,2.419277254 H,0,-1.5035878516,-2.2760618159,1.1172831538 H,0,-3.1934905386,-2.8242907167,1.1254116553 H,0,2.2091461448,-0.184162897,-3.7477791252 H, \(0,2.5795581844,0.8765755158,-2.3759103111\)

H,0,0.8916877148,0.5527272194,-2.835633415
H,0,3.5119599296,0.8992975891,2.4128651035
H,0,2.3259468605,-0.4100069563,2.573116267
H,0,1.7948461664,1.2219721525,2.1573647183
H,0,2.2986280577,-3.9886915137,1.2956897742
H,0,3.2430732246,-2.5002120594,1.4841274527
H,0,3.0145465518,-3.2013858801,-0.1247937944
TS2-ax-L
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715155123\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434707\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455201
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456145\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387759
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280448
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259954\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.259010\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327396

\section*{E CV S}
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 285.64380 .701143 .931

C, \(0,1.5828501497,2.1280361429,0.3955629064\) C,0,2.59323349,1.6543679571,-0.6752722058 H, \(0,1.8527200001,1.7096624817,1.3758630718\) H,0,1.5509813174,3.2241859076,0.457538186 C,0,0.2629052418,1.5806522761,-0.0737119756 C,0,-0.1896258321,0.311933677,0.315084902 C, \(0,-0.5137279248,2.4614548763,-0.9993350161\) C, \(0,0.8839228815,-0.0958252132,-0.9997328894\) H,0,0.3782668634,-0.0594882666,1.1800180982 C,0,2.3846536201,0.1368256538,-0.8065576308 H,0,0.5950195035,-1.1539231524,-0.9628739065 H,0,0.5229273766,0.2812072088,-1.9646873097 C,0,3.0365350107,-0.6444355199,0.3314142589 H,0,2.8791603584,-0.2038822754,-1.7338680061 H,0,2.3595800108,2.1376397958,-1.6368215455 H,0,3.6206632542,1.9351327286,-0.4143476579 B,0,-1.7464546939,-0.3154170032,0.1441170847 C, \(0,-1.7966399966,-1.6790645193,1.0841584735\) С, \(0,-2.7667097456,0.8259542633,0.7681564916\) C,0,-2.1321605734,-0.6785769043,-1.4186874604 H,0,-1.242710544,-1.5157300184,2.0296100637 H,0,-2.8411468617,-1.8482357671,1.4044835748 C, \(0,-1.2936429731,-2.9827673012,0.439721053\) Н,0,-1.9940169428,0.1662141465,-2.1217523655 H,0,-1.477222321,-1.4798687809,-1.8124574775 C,0,-3.5906904011,-1.1466223639,-1.5509028937 C,0,-2.3382377253,1.4258908538,2.1162003168 H,0,-3.7480081283,0.3394458741,0.9070688371 H,0,-2.961906652,1.647604987,0.0515056559 H,0,-1.2635367593,1.9039935055,-1.5663883124 H,0,0.1391443279,3.0479415566,-1.6608032482 Н,0,-1.0529458288,3.1669989611,-0.3451574967 C,0,2.4434612018,-1.6374903187,0.9972819293 C,0,4.4673808063,-0.2609231587,0.6358604598 H,0,2.9907278627,-2.1896758093,1.7634088608 H,0,1.4124565407,-1.9462726915,0.8236761528 H,0,4.5190346574,0.6927395355,1.1835531553 H,0,5.0537239509,-0.1387541708,-0.2878236521 H,0,4.9527187819,-1.0249345245,1.2541633158 H,0,-1.2702849317,-3.8278220197,1.1452747943 H,0,-0.2745650845,-2.8890419569,0.0259447733 H,0,-1.9344270203,-3.2788271712,-0.4043292851 H,0,-3.0922489352,2.1003230543,2.5505947348 H,0,-1.4046967499,2.0123818803,2.0305451899

H,0,-2.1431402522,0.6318712062,2.854288693
H,0,-3.8375937616,-1.4985962733,-2.5645525262
H,0,-4.2870080269,-0.330630242,-1.306572168
H,0,-3.8075199519,-1.9689061273,-0.8510667441
TS2-ax-M
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715630164\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434678\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455273
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456217
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387390 Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280952
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -652.260357
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.259413
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328240
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\)
Total 285.68880 .589144 .859
C,0,2.0286340254,1.3843868464,1.156200486 C,0,2.9201879491,1.1626372352,-0.0976248705 H,0,2.1341320221,0.531962374,1.8422169092 H,0,2.3048228704,2.3154085062,1.6692503826 C, \(0,0.6392546593,1.4530573408,0.6014471559\) C,0,-0.0895186674,0.2758072956,0.3629455184 C,0,0.1357014195,2.8011974971,0.2087345925 C,0,0.8455117646,0.2424858039,-1.0678613744 H,0,0.3476386157,-0.5667965782,0.9175657882 С,0,2.3385939794,-0.031802366,-0.87116564 Н,0,0.2917766137,-0.5778196418,-1.5440966228 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6942506005,1.1243284993,-1.7012045759\) C,0,2.6855101779,-1.3823939829,-0.2495628476 H,0,2.7966153909,-0.0336211565,-1.876834879 H,0,2.8722615258,2.0604560128,-0.7337309906 H,0,3.9695189765,1.026799973,0.1911193991 B, \(0,-1.7468671834,0.0715692744,0.1573830081\) С, \(0,-2.3430752065,0.2997778204,1.6845237041\) С,0,-2.5368878843,1.1103423966,-0.8479283418 C,0,-1.9232764543,-1.4939203239,-0.332530431 H,0,-2.0808237446,1.3182327845,2.0367212666 H,0,-3.4462539082,0.306281367,1.6183576675 C,0,-1.9277923321,-0.7222673902,2.7524236299 Н,0,-1.4498272821,-1.6832246797,-1.3187448371 H,0,-1.3954919985,-2.1655831311,0.3727852863 C, \(,,-3.3857960066,-1.9515659307,-0.4300097514\) C,0,-2.1354256353,1.1568880823,-2.3312878558 H,0,-2.5717299496,2.1379395185,-0.4395278397 H,0,-3.5912239421,0.7848336296,-0.8008723307 H,0,-0.6005579721,2.7419637211,-0.5982106872 H,0,0.9497453197,3.4964122473,-0.0377285296 H,0,-0.3924550601,3.1929000056,1.0948097635 C, \(0,1.8229880181,-2.3976264472,-0.1660695166\) C,0,4.1181085056,-1.5431617359,0.2053366033 H,0,2.1443960096,-3.3619884859,0.2316005429 H,0,0.7788501962,-2.3231214342,-0.4749383128 H,0,4.3154563841,-0.9721204562,1.126014527 H,0,4.8227222512,-1.1807622417,-0.5592327305 H,0,4.344981102,-2.5954649176,0.4121317471 H,0,-2.3538425417,-0.5023675406,3.7436536294 Н, \(0,-0.8324372791,-0.7672591376,2.8787984093\) H,0,-2.2557993205,-1.7357942305,2.4741798693 H,0,-2.8894092264,1.6525180867,-2.9620113569 H,0,-1.9922100206,0.1406124125,-2.7340126469 H,0,-1.1903258652,1.7013255704,-2.4996306173 Н,0,-3.4844498701,-3.0243049927,-0.6569792627

Н,0,-3.9208210969,-1.4004131367,-1.2183544612
H,0,-3.9202325296,-1.7617401034,0.5145661038
TS2-ax- \(N\)
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.709389258\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435088\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455485\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456429\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387204
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.274302
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.253904\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.252960
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.322185
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-K}\) Total 285.82180 .488145 .697

C, \(0,1.576741064,-1.8552820623,-1.1434300811\) C,0,2.5135942777,-1.8278868032,0.093887299 H,0,1.9123148492,-1.1202442527,-1.8882243424 H,0,1.5514599135,-2.8585029077,-1.5900795515 C, \(0,0.2467150106,-1.4747125277,-0.5743365678\) С, \(0,-0.1335439129,-0.1270446462,-0.4524176056\) С, \(0,-0.5630232192,-2.597963766,-0.019463457\) C, \(0,0.8357849373,-0.2140882708,0.9611940428\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4916057715,0.5141384467,-1.0948460913\) C,0,2.3411637357,-0.4595908705,0.7691736281 H,0,0.5949909364,0.7993981657,1.3028621482 H,0,0.4039151338,-0.8979947583,1.7019322744 C,0,3.0926484867,0.6714839171,0.0593250061 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.7757659055,-0.5287546477,1.7821722419\) H,0,2.2037916542,-2.6175107473,0.7953986775 H,0,3.549348447,-2.0442444692,-0.19330104 B,0,-1.7166390597,0.4715089796,-0.1894875235 С, \(0,-1.7327388454,1.9532811176,-0.9152671516\) C, \(0,-2.7717759618,-0.5212550033,-0.9879779846\) C, \(0,-2.1279477395,0.6625761012,1.4013647807\) Н,0,-1.6603605916,1.8442099262,-2.0155050631 H,0,-2.7283142767,2.3941461429,-0.7344314983 C,0,-0.6746569984,2.9662299894,-0.4554381227 H,0,-1.3787541973,1.2592982775,1.9567625955 Н,0,-3.0180454927,1.316945637,1.3868695618 C, \(0,-2.49085015,-0.568553399,2.2493197529\) C,0,-4.1832815589,0.0725205239,-1.1233046744 H,0,-2.8864076932,-1.5062524894,-0.5028498243 H,0,-2.3871722707,-0.7384059935,-2.0055795055 H,0,-1.2844043631,-2.2544483238,0.7270163816 H,0,0.0682966732,-3.4075113908,0.3722714314 H,0,-1.1383080796,-3.0004116625,-0.8695536699 C,0,2.7309785901,1.9501772639,0.175760019 C,0,4.351939927,0.2990133823,-0.6894642236 H,0,3.321114562,2.7408038027,-0.2908853564 H,0,1.8520289649,2.2703516464,0.7346621051 H,0,4.1428670179,-0.3415693769,-1.5596482232 H,0,5.0494111273,-0.2532758319,-0.0404996987 H,0,4.8643086374,1.1983198602,-1.0500055983 Н,0,-0.8643227394,3.9827669834,-0.8335445808 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3308495773,2.6883712642,-0.8076158598\) Н,0,-0.6346379087,3.036163462,0.6454787337 H,0,-4.8926795908,-0.6270824116,-1.5924720757 H,0,-4.17666518,0.9890054664,-1.7301355289 H,0,-4.5919467792,0.3412415478,-0.135635073 Н, \(,-2.9552774658,-0.2944670807,3.20910527\) Н,0,-1.6131964607,-1.1876891226,2.5034602237 Н,0,-3.2059996948,-1.2188674881,1.7197007199

TS2-ax-O
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.710761295\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434936\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455346
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456290\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.387958
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.275826\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.255416\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.254471
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=-652.322803

> E \(\quad\) CV \(\quad\) S
> KCal/Mol Cal/Mol-K Cal/Mol-K
> Total 285.73480 .644143 .816

C, \(0,1.2881720065,-2.0637971439,-0.790699272\) C, \(0,2.2966697634,-1.9128148936,0.3760767784\) H,0,1.631022246,-1.4970178528,-1.667334408 H,0,1.154728662,-3.1200534399,-1.0611066395 C, \(0,0.0194004765,-1.4790008666,-0.2474144674\) С,0,-0.2622474462,-0.1094157335,-0.3611951007 C, \(0,-0.8697814877,-2.4223443399,0.4955782082\) C, \(0,0.7656775596,-0.0772100529,1.032171607\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3912664611,0.3725833433,-1.1070970175\) C,0,2.2435781048,-0.4483390442,0.8333242864 H,0,0.5968307158,0.9930672932,1.2013735891 H,0,0.3213578837,-0.5975033676,1.8890594734 C,0,3.024379699,0.5137572184,-0.0704451155 H,0,2.7143803829,-0.387968275,1.8302108624 H,0,1.9797219475,-2.5603109543,1.2083008726 H,0,3.3002118218,-2.2404251683,0.0788099173 B,0,-1.7754682372,0.6385365933,-0.1418894249 C,0,-1.7105779291,1.9984853392,-1.0743995842 С, \(,,-2.9491789266,-0.3533877064,-0.7497947409\) C, \(0,-2.1148414772,1.0892892792,1.4128252497\) Н,0,-1.547145084,1.7372544805,-2.1369435194 H,0,-2.7114942815,2.4666509935,-1.0420854202 C,0,-0.6620983789,3.0485612904,-0.6813872032 H, \(0,-1.3131257816,1.7200669294,1.8428944386\) H,0,-2.9689724832,1.7839770339,1.3123777718 C, \(0,-2.5111727435,0.0418009209,2.4679891019\) С,0,-2.6974683289,-0.9041987646,-2.1623002078 Н,, ,-3.8737809917,0.251980655,-0.7788328921 Н, \(0,-3.1997871167,-1.1982652342,-0.0839626271\) H,0,-1.5475177688,-1.8984159659,1.1744410574 H,0,-0.2979455025,-3.2004795453,1.020334486 H,0,-1.4897500586,-2.9174471355,-0.2702777263 C,0,2.7991807316,1.8287193824,-0.0585098914 C,0,4.1571642499,-0.0589468003,-0.8907714713 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.4026529133,2.5044694753,-0.6668433196\) H,0,2.0258845954,2.2917685713,0.5552246448 H,0,3.8072511693,-0.796368044,-1.6282067202 H,0,4.8915988355,-0.5677197716,-0.2471265908 H,0,4.6782969365,0.7381419982,-1.43320527 H,0,-0.7333369707,3.9702223275,-1.2797026966 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3591068817,2.6616121916,-0.8284464924\) Н,0,-0.7569805485,3.3420912944,0.3766599839 H,0,-3.5178964149,-1.54086937,-2.5287995969 Н,0,-1.7766707718,-1.5148377113,-2.2137369085 H,0,-2.5668678954,-0.0900103211,-2.8898187268 H,0,-2.9300723254,0.5043704744,3.3749182874 H, \(,-1.6568470259,-0.5686026815,2.807510766\) H,0,-3.2745852172,-0.6517156411,2.081039469

\section*{TS2-ax-P}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.714360466\)

Zero-point correction= 0.435215 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455457\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456401\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.389203 Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279146\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258903\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257959\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325157
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.80480 .387141 .430

С,0,1.7983114065,1.9805361705,0.2751864212 C,0,2.707787208,1.2850473043,-0.7809349001 H,0,2.0373356547,1.6027188916,1.279009446 H,0,1.9331735405,3.0699767,0.2378168008 C, \(0,0.4205000809,1.5746259112,-0.1398639721\) C, \(0,-0.0948836954,0.332253273,0.2797827891\) C, \(0,-0.2431263123,2.4515516945,-1.1503629643\) C, \(0,0.8063795645,-0.2960691844,-1.0082312084\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4621678916,-0.0270738925,1.1580399483\) C,0,2.3257877055,-0.203430048,-0.8358250062 Н, \(0,0.4238089842,-1.320690115,-0.9644366278\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4901413481,0.1130936364,-1.9765385655\) C, \(0,2.8979356045,-1.0112892947,0.3269457808\) H,0,2.7791840121,-0.6285898318,-1.7496063246 H,0,2.5231453995,1.7407092427,-1.7660751018 H,0,3.7654707916,1.4518835652,-0.5434074973 B, \(0,-1.6906305483,-0.2040893303,0.3381633983\) C, \(0,-2.2756106812,0.5450293405,1.6917994752\) C, \(0,-2.5849652547,0.1537961994,-0.9998903144\) C,0,-1.694825007,-1.829469294,0.6475275969 H,0,-3.3346739873, \(0.2495655838,1.8017000477\) Н, \(0,-1.7739326472,0.1382504858,2.5906883472\) C,0,-2.1906917341,2.0753461015,1.733944279 H,0,-1.0362855569,-2.061789821,1.5076620567 H,0,-2.7093162348,-2.0361795849,1.0322760558 C,0,-1.4191910523,-2.8365627784,-0.4928517568 C,0,-3.9509189469,-0.5532625864,-1.0123561171 Н, \(0,-2.7836267966,1.2397071841,-1.0646663753\) H,0,-2.0538531584,-0.1064354916,-1.9397254967 H,0,-0.9793675147,1.9101262423,-1.7500315801 H,0,0.4885462367,2.9687188672,-1.7865885795 H,0,-0.7869042901,3.2190709918,-0.5759780657 C,0,2.2081225524,-1.9383085192,0.9953113778 C, \(0,4.352896531,-0.7510751513,0.645155582\) H,0,2.6913752122,-2.5268916325,1.7773487472 H,0,1.1536942324,-2.1496270808,0.8099338771 H,0,4.4845707136,0.2132715772,1.1601776277 H,0,4.962640144,-0.7171031737,-0.270945841 H,0,4.7555159722,-1.5338070489,1.2985181236 H,0,-2.6652780112,2.509463181,2.6272561053 H,0,-2.6786368947,2.5276765576,0.8548548237 H,0,-1.14071144,2.4231182994,1.7452198487 H,0,-4.5819283389,-0.2412252084,-1.8588719358 H,0,-4.5095936564,-0.3365568522,-0.0887786746 H, \(0,-3.8417639959,-1.6463520058,-1.0682518968\) H,0,-1.9552021274,-3.7870285907,-0.34850891 H,0,-0.3549018213,-3.1127115296,-0.582369818 H,0,-1.7294139127,-2.4385283849,-1.4729708863

\section*{TS2-ax- \(Q\)}
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.714481409\)

Zero-point correction \(=0.434816\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455315
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456259\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388278
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.279665\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.259167\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.258222\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326204
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.71480 .722143 .079

C,0,-1.9067743469,-1.6885611949,1.0447262022
C, \(0,-2.8648371561,-1.3904753462,-0.1374571374\)
H,0,-2.0531971979,-0.9407184417,1.8374228951 H,0,-2.0818957237,-2.6952956134,1.4473057021 C,0,-0.5311485201,-1.5711144832,0.4525009347 C, \(0,0.111004884,-0.3253639435,0.3655423256\) C, \(0,0.0544401625,-2.83149461,-0.0937022564\) С, \(0,-0.9003022047,-0.1859369375,-1.0164425466\) H, \(0,-0.3591770282,0.3954278035,1.0480334772\) C, \(0,-2.4017024134,-0.0634962435,-0.7571880488\) H,0,-0.4328881496,0.7499582354,-1.3490212815 Н,0,-0.6696702805,-0.9350148738,-1.7854385852 C, \(0,-2.8223809933,1.1577246011,0.0586599581\) H,0,-2.8897909839,0.0429437061,-1.7427935875 H, \(0,-2.7731711853,-2.1912630089,-0.8879585042\) H,0,-3.9101461558,-1.3771776902,0.1946148007 B, \(0,1.7144891677,0.0156890017,-0.0068639465\) C,0,1.8773258301,1.6436286558,0.198251765 C, \(0,2.5907034103,-0.8245901093,1.1155331212\) C,0,2.1686658284,-0.4140665045,-1.5517489771 H,0,2.9057460088,1.9211112198,-0.0970856194 H,0,1.2372780675,2.2038215439,-0.5156555324 C,0,1.6221396559,2.1792680938,1.6157910899 H,0,3.2325149622,-0.7058889854,-1.4978508766 H,0,1.6679937098,-1.3280758656,-1.9244913494 C,0,2.0558504892,0.6491699489,-2.6604344126 C,0,4.0593934157,-0.3866725559,1.2104825866 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5679058864,-1.9075252437,0.8822574677\) H,0,2.1339237048,-0.7334781013,2.1209511512 H,0,0.9083484599,-2.6434712557,-0.7487478598 Н,0,-0.7013249946,-3.4623954949,-0.5831688928 H,0,0.4250521718,-3.3843600662,0.7854331842 C,0,-2.0291134806,2.2068963645,0.287974252 C, \(0,-4.2515426878,1.1477031743,0.5511868182\) H,0,-2.408027863,3.0747221905,0.8308788475 H, \(0,-0.9885116113,2.2578044325,-0.0376619778\) Н,0,-4.3831281287,0.4422248184,1.3864295456 H, \(0,-4.9463743883,0.8414372764,-0.2460002384\) H,0,-4.5490897471,2.1415006477,0.9051109245 H,0,1.8090026115,3.2610222285,1.7022060934 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2663342372,1.6750075016,2.3523128196\) H,0,0.5821213382,2.014624738,1.9455951335 H,0,4.6457009042,-1.0045777235,1.9083427783 H,0,4.1410862462,0.657695861,1.5477554072 H,0,4.5556581022,-0.4412386837,0.2290763029 H,0,2.4291645525,0.2804930412,-3.6287208281 H,0,2.6359358431,1.5477171892,-2.4075683032 H,0,1.0194522305,0.9850140027,-2.8307208325
\(T S 2-a x-R\)
E(RM11) \(=-652.715772610\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434844\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455240\)

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.456184
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388569
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280929
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260533\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259589
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.327203
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.66780 .533142 .307

C,0,1.7530042998,1.5124978788,1.3981993554
C,0,2.7684560667,1.468067455,0.2209205092
Н, \(0,1.8975727774,0.6361583291,2.0456842006\)
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8781416357,2.4356854142,1.9799941814\)
C,0,0.4203994268,1.4586847237,0.7214299041 C,0,-0.1246609347, \(0.2225099958,0.3313821948\) C, \(0,-0.2122133313,2.7583867413,0.3600607476\) C, \(0,0.9155787175,0.3870663805,-1.0015380271\) H,0,0.3557015993,-0.5962673157,0.8864505013 C,0,2.4065598853,0.2697486891,-0.6724354599 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.5098496563,-0.4480571064,-1.5879886138\) H,0,0.7236424721,1.2948991867,-1.5851365797 C,0,2.8473047555,-1.074971091,-0.098469684 H,0,2.9544877043,0.3894472196,-1.624665044 H,0,2.6726790188,2.394303256,-0.367194716 H,0,3.7965945833,1.4325149144,0.6010314719 B, \(0,-1.7310396255,-0.1416346046,-0.0204144697\) C, \(0,-2.4338412343,-0.0197738803,1.4710813922\) C, \(0,-2.5314141788,0.8253756277,-1.0906220676\) C,0,-1.7329145836,-1.7013630359,-0.5676723359 H, \(0,-1.896168067,-0.649010323,2.2062755923\) H,0,-2.3376342543,1.018514751,1.849898841 C, \(0,-3.9211957768,-0.4010554483,1.4877575868\) H,0,-2.7274377428,-1.9156913422,-0.9992285959 H,0,-1.0447848636,-1.8345739345,-1.4296993489 C,0,-1.4257725548,-2.7821274259,0.482668969 C,0,-1.9600585285,1.0060113509,-2.5075986212 H,0,-2.7740798682,1.8180905374,-0.6635336108 H,0,-3.5178978657,0.3418611978,-1.2024532536 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8811119181,2.6595054186,-0.5003702122\) H,0,0.5258652106,3.5586188756,0.2122603536 H,0,-0.8416439529,3.0319684376,1.22418191 C,0,2.1140329863,-2.1858254387,-0.1970071381 C,0,4.2221278589,-1.1050398078,0.5283602233 H,0,2.4972828046,-3.1379933385,0.1745444732 H,0,1.1146709127,-2.2038917713,-0.6353048331 H,0,4.2371053561,-0.5729195367,1.4923432692 H,0,4.9680329514,--0.6217925894,-0.1217633673 H,0,4.5433190492,-2.1367675477,0.7127237608 H,0,-4.3492384402,-0.4108208735,2.5023614591 H,0,-4.0777758671,-1.4010629573,1.0528108532 H,0,-4.5158731747,0.3038636477,0.8879743881 H,0,-2.7031009904,1.4030480249,-3.2161204883 H,0,-1.6021809027,0.047299802,-2.9173623939 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1073171418,1.7053495287,-2.5365304222\) H, \(0,-1.3194807939,-3.7878792125,0.0459833497\) H,0,-2.2286526122,-2.8350121321,1.2329538805 H,0,-0.4977510046,-2.5735606809,1.0420278551

\section*{TS2-ax-S \\ \(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.715669500\)}

Zero-point correction \(=0.435246\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455405\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456349\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.389336

Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280424
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260265\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-652.259321
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.326333
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.77180 .339141 .040

C,0,-1.3983458384,-1.9587133687,0.9180215737
C, \(0,-2.4185553902,-1.7713010618,-0.2441191722\)
H,0,-1.6669612079,-1.305570081,1.7603352474
Н, \(0,-1.3798289702,-3.0072378095,1.244590898\)
C,0,-0.0976839059,-1.5396663633,0.3105821045
C, \(0,0.2382739589,-0.1733214155,0.2539220106\)
C, \(0,0.6878577831,-2.6065779974,-0.3780567625\) C, \(0,-0.7551385583,-0.1598504244,-1.1187922539\)
H,0,-0.3669218772,0.3957190192,0.9765456212
C, \(0,-2.2456158444,-0.3563058414,-0.819536771\)
H,0,-0.4951366037,0.8431956857,-1.4811776281 H,0,-0.4259329613,-0.8612524173,-1.8948368849 C, \(0,-2.8885033431,0.7348789643,0.0338611688\) Н, \(0,-2.7721021794,-0.3307062824,-1.7909428614\) H,0,-2.1968404844,-2.5074819338,-1.032402407 H,0,-3.4374998812,-1.9750142863,0.1065140192 B, \(0,1.7597177692,0.5410041862,0.0781858501\) C, \(0,2.4184600061,0.3620788951,1.5841856849\) C, \(0,2.7667209586,-0.0926953766,-1.0613339059\) C,0,1.502396929,2.1420633022,-0.2454705306 H, \(0,3.4041534396,0.8634969497,1.5652822432\) H,0,1.8290418955,0.9092478352,2.3418289186 C, \(0,2.6055250792,-1.076206264,2.0826334794\) H,0,2.4518864642,2.5661621805,-0.6201581477 H, \(0,0.8042061249,2.290121105,-1.0958207465\) C,0,1.0291859835,3.0089783168,0.934418591 C, \(0,2.3185152132,-0.0191616061,-2.531030173\) Н,0,3.0986630929,-1.1226939424,-0.8344926633 H,0,3.6896405648,0.5082715867,-0.9679389376 H,0,1.3599098733,-2.1954045581,-1.1365545442 H,0,0.0367631647,-3.3860930052,-0.798446152 H,0,1.3136064148,-3.0773503725,0.3971112321 C, \(0,-2.3444853362,1.9416954187,0.2028414903\) C, \(0,-4.2420649876,0.4048920466,0.6193473182\) H,0,-2.8695678863,2.7124997088,0.7700569491 H,0,-1.3676616148,2.2167435546,-0.1985022953 Н,0,-4.1599858721,-0.3287220741,1.4364344196 H,0,-4.910550262,-0.0284031778,-0.1408724243 H,0,-4.7202261304,1.3038442622,1.0253591968 H,0,3.1359617244,-1.1301519781,3.045802298 H,0,3.1728053625,-1.6880485937,1.3627754048 H,0,1.6302433666,-1.5722292093,2.2442822264 H,0,3.138616112,-0.2173547576,-3.2381950902 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9148433786,0.9773187871,-2.7710598944\) H,0,1.5250259148,-0.7480884288,-2.7685816771 H,0,0.7060972757,4.0153577602,0.6233246861 H,0,1.836293693,3.1389007564,1.669618417 H,0,0.1866952217,2.5501266758,1.4800219641

\section*{TS2-ax-T}
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-652.715095644\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.434341\) (Hartree/Particle) Thermal correction to Energy= 0.455049
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.455994\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.386808
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-652.280755
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.260046\)

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -652.259102
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328287
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.54880 .866145 .613
C, \(0,2.0406376647,1.7423252334,0.4226769131\) C,0,2.9696981831,0.9785177129,-0.5499054673 H,0,2.1248434049,1.3165215407,1.4329185908 H,0,2.2869736095,2.8122803492,0.4512730923 C,0,0.6587776815,1.5247515718,-0.1312934018 C,0,-0.1158419347, 0.420719347,0.2501485095 C,0,0.1852958272,2.5359937049,-1.1258507917 C, \(0,0.8958909588,-0.289659834,-0.9686339221\) H, \(0,0.2854532513,-0.0454187546,1.1617954245\) C,0,2.3878305999,-0.4392600758,-0.6668759663 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3469794961,-1.2382388352,-0.9131115433\) H,0,0.6987028109,0.118359259,-1.968253813 C,0,2.7208363996,-1.3223121974,0.5344950982 H, \(0,2.8440458069,-0.9315329807,-1.5443022675\) H,0,2.9387402924,1.4638911912,-1.5377494531 H,0,4.0124917971,0.9981498501,-0.2113292385 B, \(0,-1.7479788552,0.1236152819,-0.0170377984\) C,0,-2.0449763393,-1.2537195686,0.83886294 C, \(0,-2.5362676899,1.4266992956,0.6162935827\) C,0,-2.2083191555,-0.0668760941,-1.6037681107 H,0,-1.5238747662,-2.1167547482,0.3737965641 Н,0,-1.6207794799,-1.181436906,1.8595161966 C, \(0,-3.5370176169,-1.5941706758,0.9612226718\) H,0,-3.2870313747,0.1742689515,-1.6186912883 H,0,-1.7695734485,0.6853974042,-2.2873249435 C,0,-2.0535132046,-1.4492599684,-2.2648290149 C,0,-2.1575083828,1.7941992016,2.0579633246 H,0,-3.6199971972,1.2174060251,0.5758782625 H, \(,-2.4048921897,2.3160825657,-0.0304817328\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6573916155,2.1691263769,-1.7174348348\) H, \(0,0.9985963055,2.9026202342,-1.7679848166\) H,0,-0.1777684218,3.3875661063,-0.5264463265 C, \(0,1.8471956978,-2.1402248302,1.1264855068\) C,0,4.1608240961,-1.2714916341,0.9924827888 H,0,2.1691514612,-2.7868177417,1.9448662777 H,0,0.7951721539,-2.2097437303,0.8446975051 H,0,4.3796015244,-0.3322845031,1.5238236135 H,0,4.8539944198,-1.3321833268,0.1393366613 H,0,4.3817040551,-2.0993847999,1.6760359149 H,0,-3.7197088029,-2.5744956902,1.4279843747 H,0,-4.0299222881,-1.5987414791,-0.0242682904 H,0,-4.0569757749,-0.8390521065,1.5707085344 H,0,-2.7465571654,2.6317631643,2.462580334 H,0,-1.0941804728,2.0851999399,2.1391284534 H,0,-2.3013496662,0.9347936787,2.7320832458 H,0,-2.5066349261,-1.4802735277,-3.2680273543 H,0,-2.5386044008,-2.2333664558,-1.6652848709 H,0,-1.0026772983,-1.7560603217,-2.3915393744

TS2-ax- \(U\)
\(E(\) RM11 \()=-652.713697022\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.435129\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455578\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456523\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388245
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.278568\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.258119\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.257174\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.325452

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.88080 .555143 .702
C,0,-1.8427327198,1.5014850563,-1.1457107316 C, \(0,-2.8881474492,1.2997594712,-0.0276618104\) H,0,-1.9556682045,0.7203424851,-1.911677607 H,0,-1.9434601098,2.4891686153,-1.6154796731 C,0,-0.5070601123,1.3734927618,-0.4545748001 C, \(0,0.1385403463,0.1363078304,-0.3440143217\) C,0,0.0854473112,2.6438442901,0.0704639538 C, \(0,-0.9843954854,0.1390030562,1.0087512197\) Н,0,-0.3399880287,-0.6087383711,-0.9953208862 C,0,-2.4768444483, 0.0095325023, 0.7024147417 H,0,-0.527070476,-0.7815378064,1.3939035339 H,0,-0.7741459956,0.9105211383,1.7589454288 C, \(0,-2.8833970624,-1.2607946786,-0.0418195534\) H,0,-3.0005485859,-0.0250455166,1.67430955 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.8344004816,2.1444279492,0.6770527971\) H,0,-3.910595122,1.273160229,-0.4232301101 B, \(0,1.7278059369,-0.2408125734,0.0834938426\) C,0,1.8710549647,-1.8122178409,-0.4218280398 C, \(0,2.7172487154,0.7609374194,-0.7693816908\) C,0,2.0629131442,-0.204179558,1.701479661 Н, 0, 1.293818472,-2.4701894393,0.2616461624 H,0,1.4024027239,-1.9514954292,-1.4159901531 C,0,3.3083047075,-2.3448553399,--0.5025256004 H, \(0,1.3996397914,-0.8954899418,2.2593241647\) H,0,3.056652674,-0.6785590421,1.7815024359 C,0,2.1472139567,1.1223553057,2.4755098728 C,0,2.4703568926,0.7659278487,-2.2854417669 H,0,3.7579328409,0.4411221607,-0.5801975495 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6796521197,1.8026745028,-0.4010422798\) H,0,0.8715357621,2.4551962916,0.8055763044 H,0,-0.6801270943,3.3235607491,0.4712382959 H,0,0.5533854472,3.1362210797,-0.7979597493 C, \(0,-2.0984958962,-2.3326539048,-0.1737218458\) С, \(0,-4.2967164225,-1.2691645839,-0.5782189489\) H,0,-2.4739756348,-3.2308171263,-0.6672625175 H,0,-1.0686920101,-2.3760080333,0.1842704283 Н,0,-4.3918074273,-0.6243434565,-1.4653773567 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.0107348579,-0.8971281231,0.1726873293\) Н,0,-4.5974596494,-2.2823682746,-0.8687162776 H,0,3.3515483224,-3.4109514084,-0.7743434443 H,0,3.8373569715,-2.2312503476,0.4559516109 H,0,3.8892108922,-1.7913389382,-1.2566443136 H,0,3.1718055795,1.4093405168,-2.8390315618 H,0,1.4519068669,1.1201356776,-2.530203142 H,0,2.5555001108,-0.2498980861,-2.7024835852 H,0,2.6202566542,0.9983059587,3.4617511799 H,0,1.1570826435,1.5691898312,2.6685589552 H, \(0,2.7430627563,1.869346333,1.9265861381\)

TS2-ax-V


Zero-point correction \(=0.435007\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.455327\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.456272\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.388822
Sum of electronic and ZPE \(=-652.281919\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-652.261598\) Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-652.260654\) Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -652.328103

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 285.72280 .465141 .959
C, \(0,1.9012378505,1.7626943502,0.5900505708\) C, \(0,2.8201701759,1.1848626294,-0.5220013234\) H,0,2.0748619934,1.2280133993,1.5347342585 H,0,2.0881103681,2.8363125099,0.7272522334 C, \(0,0.5158186756,1.5058656031,0.0796681102\) C, \(0,-0.0965455778,0.2601218699,0.3020552589\) C,0,-0.0794922876,2.5740711453,-0.7789800875 C, \(0,0.8371101305,-0.1894078271,-1.0593109091\) H, \(0,0.4197144079,-0.281847475,1.1093095579\) C,0,2.3489728545,-0.2482498964,-0.8194368065 H,0,0.3652374861,-1.1695127936,-1.2069332068 H,0,0.608083098,0.400315417,-1.9548534774 C, \(0,2.8093788508,-1.2674706582,0.2201017925\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8107214648,-0.5599861156,-1.7738270433\) H,0,2.7072235402,1.7950233482,-1.4318881513 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8741359508,1.2437596075,-0.2245825349\) B, \(0,-1.7214620337,-0.1702102855,0.2741833699\) C,0,-2.2291421181,0.3358012375,1.7677520706 C, \(0,-2.6682377076,0.4857514525,-0.8996275621\) C,0,-1.7511891579,-1.8191963938,0.2188285563 H,0,-3.3079977262,0.1107767274,1.8431944175 H,0,-1.7489170036,-0.2641919394,2.5643094779 C, \(0,-2.0265513634,1.8235624644,2.0831856886\) H,0,-1.2275652281,-2.2325946698,-0.6689207381 H,0,-1.2025314079,-2.2187054553,1.09468652 C,0,-3.1662791066,-2.4169128241,0.2225683682 C, \(0,-2.3678977451,0.0748517686,-2.3505600274\) H,0,-2.7402556319,1.587212442,-0.8347183965 H, \(0,-3.6923744433,0.1454903081,-0.6626584394\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8484228764,2.1826649864,-1.4506275182\)

H,0,0.690373636,3.1284966437,-1.3340368706 H, \(0,-0.5713842008,3.2802430492,-0.0904147986\) C, \(0,2.0416920595,-2.2658287389,0.6624910887\) C, \(0,4.2446103749,-1.1342543438,0.6751920519\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.444856196,-3.0032404187,1.3591242005\) H,0,0.9987990453,-2.3948884124,0.3682648681 H,0,4.3780366933,-0.2620108781,1.3338444529 H,0,4.9248914492,-1.0028632082,-0.1806552842 H,0,4.5602757328,-2.0238038656,1.2325718266 H,0,-2.4553631084,2.1222968275,3.0520253882 H,0,-2.4882912166,2.4609592673,1.3117496055 H,0,-0.9529727943,2.0856775741,2.1284324339 Н,, ,-3.1600058204, 0.372281763,-3.05508752
H,0,-2.2508946424,-1.0179184934,-2.4318581643 H, \(,-1.4315467355,0.517657956,-2.7318239982\)
H,0,-3.1649350384,-3.5151706216,0.2983705227 H,0,-3.7090221504,-2.1532945191,-0.6982432893 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.7565212017,-2.0283676339,1.0664342067\)

\section*{Additional Structures Truncated Model System \(\mathbf{1 8}^{\star}\) \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-457.511448151\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.315187 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.330156
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.331100
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.275056
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-457.196261
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-457.181292\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-457.180348
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies \(=-457.236392\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { E } & \text { CV } & \text { S } \\
\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} & \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}
\end{array}
\]}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total 207.17658 .698117 .955} \\
\hline & \(61.5444031 .577812-0.859211\) \\
\hline & \(62.6379220 .638144-0.286977\) \\
\hline & \(11.4346211 .406883-1.938638\) \\
\hline & \(11.7984822 .630971-0.679046\) \\
\hline & \(60.2886671 .187733-0.135684\) \\
\hline & 6 -0.484160 0.097288-0.563957 \\
\hline & \(6-0.0319521 .9496091 .105252\) \\
\hline & \(60.686419-0.7750870 .311392\) \\
\hline & \(1-0.242286-0.185121-1.601744\) \\
\hline & \(62.086917-0.789568-0.301048\) \\
\hline & \(10.163575-1.7375990 .211967\) \\
\hline & \(10.695021-0.5330831 .383949\) \\
\hline & \(62.996414-1.7680830 .448152\) \\
\hline & \(11.991880-1.118904-1.349425\) \\
\hline & 12.8620910 .9286910 .753714 \\
\hline & \(13.5700910 .733323-0.860497\) \\
\hline & 5-2.047528-0.338580-0.095701 \\
\hline & \(6-3.0040970 .939251-0.479634\) \\
\hline & \(6-2.197800-0.7513751 .492198\) \\
\hline & 6-2.393035-1.650269-1.020875 \\
\hline & \(1-4.0643990 .682352-0.318835\) \\
\hline & \(1-2.9117121 .243405-1.537726\) \\
\hline & \(1-2.8073841 .8369870 .135619\) \\
\hline & \(1-1.720028-2.511552-0.838915\) \\
\hline & \(1-2.352875-1.435310-2.103846\) \\
\hline & 1-3.411318-2.015777-0.808917 \\
\hline & \(1-1.537353-1.5779721 .813233\) \\
\hline & 1 -3.225078-1.126063 1.634884 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(1-2.0833240 .0592302 .233953\)} \\
\hline & \(1-0.7552921 .4192671 .730345\) \\
\hline & 10.8709272 .2248671 .668821 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Total 207.17658 .698117 .955
\(61.5444031 .577812-0.859211\)
\(62.6379220 .638144-0.286977\)
\(11.4346211 .406883-1.938638\)
1.798482 2.630971-0.679046 \(60.2886671 .187733-0.135684\) 6 -0.031952 1.9496091 .105252 \(1-0.242286-0.185121-1.601744\) \(62.086917-0.789568-0.301048\) \(10.163575-1.7375990 .211967\) \(10.695021-0.5330831 .383949\) \(62.996414-1.7680830 .448152\) 2.8620910 .9286910 .753714 \(13.5700910 .733323-0.860497\) \(5-2.047528-0.338580-0.095701\) \(6-3.0040970 .939251-0.479634\)
.
\(1-4.0643990 .682352-0.318835\)
1 -2.911712 1.243405-1.537726
1-2.807384 1.836987 0.135619
1-1.720028-2.511552-0.838915
-1.435310-2.103846
\(1-3.411318-2.015777-0.808917\)
\(1.525078-1.1260631 .634884\)
1 -2.083324 0.0592302 .233953
0.7552921 .4192671 .73034
10.8709272 .2248671 .668821

1 -0.507107 2.8839490 .762747
\(12.594760-2.7894480 .417600\)
\(14.002483-1.7855370 .007729\)
13.093680 -1.473397 1.503884

\section*{Truncated Model System 19 \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RM11 \()=-457.512487894\)}

Zero-point correction= 0.314656 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.329660\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.330604\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.274536
Sum of electronic and ZPE= -457.197832
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=-457.182828\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=-457.181884\)
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -457.237952

E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) Total 206.86558 .793118 .005

C,0,-1.3072518239,-2.2354228747,-0.807681127
C, \(0,-2.5562244587,-1.5093797225,-0.2299722635\)
H,0,-1.2754547241,-2.0828401789,-1.8965918987 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3543686209,-3.3120394828,-0.5911542246\) С, \(0,-0.1212440139,-1.5966062859,-0.1478476339\) C, \(0,0.4383327294,-0.4117401676,-0.6495285368\)

C,0,0.3756468336,-2.2406554936,1.1039736572 C, \(0,-0.8580455237,0.2643134308,0.2469871068\) Н,0,0.1109414353,-0.2158490641,-1.683842978 C,0,-2.2455369405,-0.0141962754,-0.3157626428 H,0,-0.5145367277,1.2943339112,0.0768793636 H,0,-0.7744375573,0.079349373,1.3257099851 H,0,-2.2878640244,0.3126041732,-1.367841017 H,0,-2.6403781253,-1.7841950624,0.8360804115 H,0,4.067589591,-0.3346863691,-0.4778572799 Н,0,3.0101824057,-1.1721163439,-1.6214748938 B, \(0,1.904986817,0.3183710132,-0.248505245\) C,0,3.0686903785,-0.7891565382,-0.5867807794 C,0,2.0026472427,0.84356343,1.3099955553 C,0,1.9979601373,1.6151807391,-1.2508646081 H,0,1.1765772581,2.3450437499,-1.1069869887 H,0,1.9928303417,1.3338898792,-2.3192529277 H,0,2.9631635851,1.3754696491,1.4130665077 H,0,2.0146436947,0.0734988213,2.1019564613 H,0,1.0209001885,-1.5684541757,1.6756680415 H,0,-0.4435552831,-2.6392374705,1.7193504553 H,0,0.9900635039,-3.0948076389,0.7747812114 C,0,-3.836758345,-1.9145687634,-0.9545157353 Н,0,-4.0132068542,-2.9964304636,-0.8817817582 Н,0,-4.7081546443,-1.3997411078,-0.5275329695 Н,0,-3.775791389,-1.650266178,-2.0206480489 H,0,-2.9939898459,0.5738339965,0.2365189778 H,0,2.9312415381,2.1753972931,-1.0757781192 H,0,3.0541305765,-1.6665420864,0.086208518 H,0,1.2279658146,1.5810141736,1.5907919035

\title{
Calculated Structures and Complete Energies for Chapter IV
}

\author{
Cyclopentadiene Dimerization \\ freqinHP with isotope label \\ B3LYP/6-31G* \\ \(\mathrm{E}(\) RB3LYP \()=-388.171193361\) \\ Zero-point correction \(=0.188274\) (Hartree/Particle) \\ Thermal correction to Energy= 0.196349 \\ Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.197293\) \\ Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.156170 \\ Sum of electronic and ZPE=-387.982919 \\ Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= - \\ 387.974845 \\ Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= - \\ 387.973900 \\ Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies = - \\ 388.015024 \\ E CV S \\ \(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\) \\ Total 123.21133 .30486 .551 \\ 60.2737321 .5363390 .112209
}

\section*{Acetone Cation Radical Dissociation}
freqinHP
B2PLYP/6-31+G**
\(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB} 2 \mathrm{PLYP})=-192.357786728\)
Zero-point correction \(=0.078219\) (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy \(=0.083094\)
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=0.084038\)
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.050419
Sum of electronic and ZPE=-192.476207
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies \(=\) -
192.471332

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= 192.470387

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -
192.504006

\footnotetext{
E CV S
\(\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}\)
Total 52.14216 .06570 .757
60.0000000 .0801210 .000000

8-1.195552-0.365113 0.000000
60.3758581 .4899390 .000000
11.0053361 .6836190 .876526
\(1-0.4991472 .1373460 .000000\)
\(60.703303-1.2152680 .000000\)
1 -0.652741-1.563852 0.000000
\(11.115334-1.574287-0.942119\)
}

6 -0.273732 0.941295-1.052909
\(6-1.7732170 .811969-0.799895\)
\(6-1.8224140 .8387720 .704324\)
\(6-0.6651601 .4013531 .172886\)
\(1-2.247205-0.056996-1.266613\)
1 -2.276701 1.708834-1.200371
\(60.273732-0.941295-1.052909\)
\(61.773217-0.811969-0.799895\)
\(61.822414-0.8387720 .704324\)
\(60.665160-1.4013531 .172886\)
6 -0.273732-1.536339 0.112209
\(12.2472050 .056996-1.266613\)
12.276701-1.708834-1.200371

1 -2.705815 0.6079881 .289153
1 -0.462081 1.6507392 .209966
11.2463382 .0056850 .190054
\(10.0824291 .223429-2.041875\)
1 -0.082429-1.223429-2.041875
1 -1.246338-2.005685 0.190054
10.462081 -1.650739 2.209966
\(12.705815-0.6079881 .289153\)

\section*{\(11.0053361 .683619-0.876526\) \\ 11.115334 -1.574287 0.942119 \\ acetone cation radical enol form}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.9916327026,1.3697827519,0.6811602966 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-1.9710271686,0.7500268364,1.1701034018 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.7046190617,2.3406161821,-0.3956129994 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.2938979158,2.0870210159,-1.2856692103 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6419999539,2.3518194523,-0.6363930167 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-4.3070104591,1.1216316802,1.166373254 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1663265291,0.1105778115,1.8858618425 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-5.1445397908,1.6580911456,0.7350931251 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0280308285,3.3403209626,-0.0768255041 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.4972997399,0.4060371417,1.9609915505
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{TS1 - main TS}
```

12

```

C,0,-2.9165568456,1.4280804665,0.5844469399
O,0,-2.0856822624,0.6483042564,1.1294260281
C,0,-2.5898741602,2.4523249724,-0.4025868241
H,0,-3.1758477697,2.259664651,-1.311879955
H,0,-1.5217826646,2.4704960417,-0.6232592931
C,0,-4.1327034422,0.937966714,1.2594438751
H,0,-3.0868080966,0.1321142636,1.7868390363
H,0,-4.5209720562,1.5192891484,2.0978215573

H,0,-2.9289068386,3.4252037245,-0.0206694968
H,0,-4.7872500762,0.2624807477,0.705500881

\section*{acetone cation radical keto form}

\section*{12}

C,0,-2.9518299922,1.3894509996,0.6387868288
O,0,-2.1013878877,0.6922340723,1.1313619533
C,0,-2.5595706465,2.4095718017,-0.4221173603
H,0,-2.9527612658,2.01750053,-1.368564567
H,0,-1.4782779771,2.5305154057,-0.4701440568
C,0,-4.3986368856,1.2338661702,1.0887973871
H,0,-4.5292291481,0.3336404753,1.6876816375
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.6232393839,2.1324011244,1.6772529543\)
H,0,-3.0694540833,3.3450906505,-0.1733257732
H,0,-5.0170704699,1.2232137701,0.1862948664

\section*{ion neutral complex}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3144576346,1.046125416,0.1826172966 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-1.5722733867,0.3172932121,-0.2288692014 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-3.2757787027,1.9480224652,0.7351946295 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.9682307486,2.2505183251,-0.0606310584 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.7442947715,2.816149099,1.1453696546 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-5.041448761,0.5917649422,3.1703760906 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-5.7358845173,1.4228345671,3.2028173405 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-5.3592531904,-0.3254964724,2.6890308921 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8297727269,1.412531084,1.5488197651 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.2673495603,0.5381213616,3.9266135907
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{TS3 - methyl abstraction \\ 12 \\ C, \(0,-1.8112256032,1.5428869751,-0.4510496357\) O,0,-1.2977438842,0.6760485643,-0.9582485901 C,0,-2.5160081327,2.5307450063,0.2413139681 H,0,-3.1344633435,3.1364820461,-0.426944806 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.865156311,3.1112428187,0.9011484911\) C,0,-4.3342226014,1.5114570556,1.9595757811 H,0,-3.7254624032,0.9240251286,2.6441471552 H,0,-4.7093516579,2.4587424513,2.3415619487 H,0,-3.3683995959,1.9459337011,1.0447804278 H,0,-5.005616237,0.955853093,1.3077837399}

\section*{Ketene Methane Complex}

\section*{12}

C,0,-1.934584115,1.176920488,-0.2622377128
O,0,-1.3861028829,0.334867268,-0.7707930597
C, \(0,-2.6047088739,2.2242001709,0.3548643207\)
H,0,-3.5940301085,2.4917884719,-0.0105954447
H,0,-2.1009824884,2.758840898,1.1575704196
C, \(0,-3.5278443457,-0.1053538322,2.0667420643\) H,0,-2.4419347602,-0.1782092156,2.1785841218 H,0,-3.9817203323,-0.7767711685,2.8001084937

H,0,-3.8821785182,0.9073792749,2.2885276769
H,0,-3.8536816649,-0.4302438556,1.0741220701

\section*{Methyl radical}
\[
02
\]

C,0,-1.4234899186,4.4848375139,-0.219118297
Н, \(0,-0.9138114235,3.5848337074,0.0935873902\)
H,0,-0.9137916822,5.2056409226,-0.8421865282
H,0,-2.4419100428,4.6653907235,0.0935874048

\section*{Methane}

01
C, \(0,-1.334033679,4.611344426,0\).
H,0,-0.9699673309,3.5815696016,-0.00000008957
H,0,-0.9699478894,5.126224706,0.891807339
Н, \(,--0.9699493521,5.1262257403,-0.891807339\)
H,0,-2.4262701436,4.6113576561,0.0000008957

\section*{acylium cation}

11
C,0,-1.7784789796,1.4578760224,-0.189485578
O,0,-1.0319962744,0.6970978364,-0.520447513
C,0,-2.7414088558,2.4398063046,0.2374824506
Н, \(0,-3.3300373612,2.7442087515,-0.638155433\)
H,0,-3.3846427505,1.9792390302,0.9989856909
H,0,-2.1943619282,3.2954785048,0.6550722133

\section*{ketene}

12
C,0,-1.7116365614,1.4629013797,-0.2995454789
O,0,-1.0402025565,0.6037259827,-0.5797244835 C, \(0,-2.5403553744,2.5233403953,0.0462660386\) H,0,-3.4256949962,2.7091769548,-0.5601325837 H,0,-2.2739643094,3.1295181934,0.9109423015

\section*{TS2 endo isomerization}

\section*{12}

C,0,-0.0530664468,-0.5482294613,0.1246566749 O,0,-0.0297600184,-1.8287972881,0.0663513251 C,0,0.1108567881,0.416836029,1.2008912056 Н, \(0,1.010813727,0.4517307734,1.817271109\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.8197939471,0.7014519064,1.6933399627\) C,0,-0.2760875011,0.3750291849,-0.9699250806 H,0,-1.1717941602,0.3258538906,-1.5906595801 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1132826027,1.2712557798,0.1038805494\) \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6373092269,0.7060722504,-1.4659178964\) H,0,0.0934642443,-2.2676852251,0.9312587204

\section*{TS2 exo isomerization}

12

C, \(0,-0.1035102939,-0.1145375533,-0.0120189762\) O,0,0.3542389569,-1.3544881976,0.0710908437 C,0,-0.2220167626,0.5959628216,1.2222888039 H,0,0.1562215514,0.1392351339,2.1277949461 H,0,-0.7088435236,1.5625731673,1.2511872006 C,0,-0.2655628689,0.5952643802,-1.2432277173 H,0,-0.771610929,1.5528766166,-1.249985676 H,0,0.7259099893, 0.9131914959,-0.0307720191 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0885869038,0.1750337221,-2.1765146546\) Н, 0,0.4634417966,-1.7904228167,-0.7896016312

\section*{protonated ketene}

11
C, \(0,0.9440677024,-1.2426816049,-0.2586515849\)
O,0,1.8348384851,-2.0962884699,-0.2453161828
C,0,-0.0689467907,-0.4591186505,-0.3418894322
Н,0,-0.18303858,0.1887586496,-1.2103329777
H,0,-0.7937342192,-0.4222015606,0.4706880261
\(\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6923934024,-1.8276283637,0.1638521515\)

\section*{APPENDIX C}

\title{
PROGRAMS FOR CALCULATIONS AND SAMPLE INPUT FILES \\ \\ Calculations and Sample Input Files for Chapter II
} \\ \\ Calculations and Sample Input Files for Chapter II
}

\section*{Program Suite PROGDYN}

PROGDYN was used for trajectories in the gas phase or in implicit solvent. A full listing of the subprograms of PROGDYN can be found as a permanent public dataverse set at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/TQZR7E. To allow the reader to understand or make use of PROGDYN, we describe here the overall structure of the program.

The master control program for PROGDYN, in the form of a Unix Shell Script, is called progdynstarterHP. For a user to start to use progdynstarterHP, some early lines in it that assign the scratch space and the location of the program files and input files would have to be modified for the local environment. These lines are between lines 49 and 56 and should be apparent. The location of the scratch space is usually passed to progdynstarterHP as a parameter. The other system-specific modification is that the component program proggenHP calls some python 3.x programs. The system must be set up to run python 3 and the location of the python programs toxyz.py and tozmat.py must be specified. The location of these lines can be found by searching for "python" in proggenHP.
progdynstarter \(H P\) takes as input files:
freqinHP - This is the standard output from a Gaussian 09 or 16 frequency calculation using freq=hpmodes. For isotopically labeled compounds, use freq=(hpmodes,readisotopes).
progdyn.conf- This is a file giving a variety of configuration options, called on by many of the subprograms. progdyn.conf examples are listed below and contains explanations of the program options.
isomernumber - A number in file isomernumber provides a start for numbering runs. The default is 1 .
detour - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to do a side calculation.
nogo - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to stop between points
bypassproggen - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to use a supplied input file geoPlusVel instead of generating one for itself. This pathway for initialization is important here because it is used when the program progdynsam, described later, is used to generate the geoPlusVel file.
methodfile - A file that contains lines to be added to the end of each g09.com or g16.com input file, such as lines that call for an NMR calculation. This is only used when the calculations require numerous special keywords.
cannontraj - A file containing a vector for each atom, used to fire an initial geometry in a particular direction. This is not used for ordinary trajectories.
progdynstarter \(H P\) calls the following programs:
proggenHP - An awk program that starts a trajectory, giving each mode its zero point energy (if a quasiclassical calculation) plus random additional excitations depending on the temperature.
prog1stpoint - Awk program that creates the first Gaussian input file for each run
prog2ndpoint - Awk program that creates the second Gaussian input file for each run. prog2ndpoint also checks the energy of the first point to see if it fits with the desired energy, and aborts the run if it does not by creating appropriate output in file Echeck
progdynb - Creates subsequent Gaussian input files until run is completed, written in awk
proganal - A program to analyze the latest point and see if a run is done. This program must be redone for each new system. Elaborate changes are sometimes programmed into proganal, such as the automatic changing of configuration variables. proganal creates the output to dynfollowfile and NMRlist or NMRlistdis
randgen - A program that generates random numbers between 0 and 1 . These are generated all at once and stored in a file for use by proggenHP.
tozmat.py and toxyz.py - These programs convert specified geometries from internal coordinates to Cartesian coordinates and back, as a part of initiations that displace structures in internal coordinates. They are called by proggenHP by a system call to python. The system must be set to run python 3.x and the correct location of tozmat.py and toxyz.py must be specified in proggenHP.
progdynstarterHP and its called programs have the following output files:
isomernumber - A running tab of the trajectory number
runpointnumber - a running tab of the point in the trajectory
Echeck - output form where prog2ndpoint checks the energy of the trajectory to see if it fits with the desired energy
geoRecord - A record of all of the geoPlusVel files.
geoPlusVel - Created by proggen, this gives the starting positions, velocities, isotopic masses, excitations of the normal modes, and initial displacements of the normal modes for current run. g09.com - Created by prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb, this is the latest input file for Gaussian 09 for current run and latest point.
olddynrun, olddynrun2, olddynrun3 - files containing the last three outputs from Gaussian, for creation of the next point
traj, traj1, traj2, traj3, etc. - files containing the geometries and energies for each trajectory, numbered by the isomernumber, in a format suitable for reading by Molden.
dynfollowfile - A point-by-point record of the trajectory runs including point times and selected distances, along with the trajectory results. The data desired for dynfollowfile must be programed into the script proganal as needed for each system studied.
NMRlist or NMRlistdis - output of NMR predictions at each point in a trajectory, when desired
skipstart - A signal file that, by existing, tells progdynstarterHP that we are in the middle of a run. For trajectories that are propagated forward and backward in time, skipstart keeps track of whether one is in the forward or reverse part.
diagnostics - optional output that follows which subprograms are running and configuration variables, decided by variable in progdyn.conf
vellist - optional output that lists the velocities of each atom, decided by variable in progdyn.conf, or lists the total kinetic energy in the system and the classical temperature, often also keeps track of the density
\(d z d m l i s t\) - A list of the displacements in internal coordinates per displacement of vibrational modes in Cartesian coordinates.
zOrig - The original starting geometry specified in internal coordinates.
A number of files starting with 'temp' are created then later erased.
For ordinary trajectory runs, a directory containing progdynstarterHP, proggenHP, prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, proggenHP, proganal, and a freqinHP file is created, and we typically name this directory binall. Separate directories containing progdyn.conf are created for however many trajectories are desired. A central directory containing randgen, tozmat.py, and toxyz.py is also established, typically \(\sim / b i n\) for us. A queue job submission file then goes to the directory containing progdyn.conf then calls progdynstarterHP and passes along the location of the scratch directory.

\section*{Program Suite ProgdynONIOM}

ProgdynONIOM was used for trajectories in explicit solvent. A full listing of the subprograms of ProgdynONIOM can be found as a permanent public dataverse set at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/TQZR7E. To allow the reader to understand or make use of ProgdynONIOM, we describe here the overall structure of the program.

ProgdynONIOM is adapted from PROGDYN but designed to combine forces from Gaussian 09 and MOPAC2016 in ONIOM calculations. Its ONIOM capabilities are currently limited to systems where there is no covalent bond between the model (small) system and the real (full) system. The combination of the two programs extends the practical size of the QM/QM calculation, aside from allowing the extended semi-empirical methods in MOPAC2016.

PROGDYN and ProgdynONIOM are similar, and some of the description below is identical to that above, but there are differences to note. In particular, ProgdynONIOM runs three separate QM calculations for each trajectory point, with a separate input and output file for each.

ProgdynONIOM includes the ability to include additional empirical dispersion using Grimme's D2 model. \({ }^{183}\) The specific parameters defining this dispersion, given in progdyn.conf, were empiricaldispersion 2.0 , radiusmultiplier 1.5 , empiricaldispersionb -0.3 , radiusmultiplierb 0.8 . The PM6-DH + method already includes dispersion, but these additional corrections bring the solution toward an accurate density and heat of vaporization.

The master control program for dynamics, in the form of a Unix Shell Script, is called progdynONIOM. For a user to start to use progdynONIOM, some early lines in it that assign the scratch space and the location of the program files and input files would have to be modified for the local environment. These lines are between lines 27 and 41 and should be apparent. The location of the scratch space is usually passed to progdynONIOM as a parameter.
progdynONIOM takes as input files:
freqinHP - This is the standard output from a Gaussian 98, 03, or 09 frequency calculation using freq=hpmodes. For isotopically labeled compounds, use freq=(hpmodes,readisotopes).
progdyn.conf - This is a file giving a variety of configuration options, called on by many of the subprograms. progdyn.conf examples are listed below and contains explanations of the program options.
isomernumber - A number in file isomernumber provides a start for numbering runs. The default is 1 .
detour - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to do a side calculation.
nogo - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to stop between points
bypassproggen - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to use a supplied input file geoPlusVel instead of generating one for itself. This pathway for initialization is important here because it is used when the program progdynsam, described later, is used to generate the geoPlusVel file.
methodfile - A file that contains lines to be added to the end of each g09.com input file, such as lines that call for an NMR calculation
cannontraj - A file containing a vector for each atom, used to fire an initial geometry in a particular direction.
progdynONIOM calls the following programs:
proggenHP - An awk program that starts a trajectory, giving each mode its zero point energy (if a quasiclassical calculation) plus random additional excitations depending on the temperature.
proglstpoint - Awk program that creates the first Gaussian input file for each run
prog2ndpoint - Awk program that creates the second Gaussian input file for each run.
prog2ndpoint also checks the energy of the first point to see if it fits with the desired energy, and aborts the run if it does not by creating appropriate output in file Echeck
progdynb - Creates subsequent Gaussian input files until run is completed, written in awk
proganal - A program to analyze the latest point and see if a run is done. This program must be redone for each new system. Elaborate changes are sometimes programmed into proganal, such as the automatic changing of configuration variables. proganal creates the output to dynfollowfile and NMRlist or NMRlistdis
randgen - A program that generates random numbers between 0 and 1. These are generated all at once and stored in a file for use by proggenHP.
progdynONIOM has the following output files:
isomernumber - A running tab of the trajectory number
runpointnumber - a running tab of the point in the trajectory
Echeck - output form where prog2ndpoint checks the energy of the trajectory to see if it fits with the desired energy
geoRecord - A record of all of the geoPlusVel files.
geoPlusVel - Created by proggen, this gives the starting positions, velocities, isotopic masses, excitations of the normal modes, and initial displacements of the normal modes for current run.
g09.com - Created by prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb, this is the latest input file for Gaussian 09 for current run and latest point.
model.mop - Created by prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb, this is the latest input file for the model system for MOPAC2016, for current run and latest point.
real.mop - Created by prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb, this is the latest input file for the real (full) system for MOPAC2016, for current run and latest point.
g09.log, real.out, model.out - These are the output files for the latest Gaussian 09 and MOPAC 2016 calculations, used for extracting forces in the creation of the next point.
olddynrun, olddynrun2, olddynrun3 - files containing the last three outputs from MOPAC2016, for creation of the next point
traj, traj1, traj2, traj3, etc. - files containing the geometries and energies for each trajectory, numbered by the isomernumber, in a format suitable for reading by Molden.
dynfollowfile - A short record of the runs and their results. The data desired for dynfollowfile must be programed into the script proganal as needed for each system studied.
skipstart - A signal file that, by existing, tells progdynstarterHP that we are in the middle of a run. For trajectories that are propagated forward and backward in time, skipstart keeps track of whether one is in the forward or reverse part.
diagnostics - optional output that follows which subprograms are running and configuration variables, decided by variable in progdyn.conf
vellist - optional output that lists the velocities of each atom, decided by variable in progdyn.conf, or lists the total kinetic energy in the system and the classical temperature, often also keeps track of the density
A number of files starting with 'temp' are created then later erased.
A number of helper programs used for starting and organization of ProgdynONIOM trajectories are described in a later section.

\section*{progdyn.conf options used for a typical umbrella slice for PMF}
```

method MP2/6-31+G**
lowmethod PM6-DH+
nonstandard 0
rotationmode 0
method2 read
charge 0
multiplicity 1
oniomchargemult 0 1
processors 4
memory 7gb
killcheck 0
checkpoint g09.chk
diagnostics 4
title HClpentadiene 120MeNO2 ONIOM Eq
initialdis 0
timestep 1E-15
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
thermostat 1

```
thermostatmult 0.995
method4 \(\operatorname{iop}(2 / 9=2000)\)
methodfile 0
numimag 0
searchdir positive
classical 2
keepevery 999999
highlevel 17
applyforce 20.401 .32
afatoms 114
applyforceB 20.401 .6
afatomsB 1415
applyforceC 40.002 .100 .001 .0
afatomsC 1516
applyforceD 40.001 .300 .001 .0
afatomsD 1617
zeroatom 2
sphereon 1
spheresize 14.75
sphereforce . 1
empiricaldispersion 2.0
radiusmultiplier 1.5
empiricaldispersionb -. 3
radiusmultiplierb 0.8
etolerance 9999999
damping 1.000
reversetraj true

\section*{progdyn.conf options used for feeder trajectories}
```

method MP2/6-31+G**
lowmethod PM6-DH+
nonstandard 0
rotationmode 0
method2 read
charge 0
multiplicity 1
oniomchargemult 0 1
processors 4
memory 7gb
killcheck 0
checkpoint g09.chk
diagnostics 4
title HClpentadiene 120MeNO2 ONIOM Eq
initialdis 0

```
timestep 1E-15
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
thermostat 1
thermostatmult 0.995
method4 \(\operatorname{iop}(2 / 9=2000)\)
methodfile 0
numimag 0
searchdir positive
classical 2
keepevery 999999
highlevel 17
applyforce 40.901 .280 .0040 .0
afatoms 114
applyforceB 40.601 .680 .001 .0
afatomsB 1415
applyforceC 40.002 .200 .001 .0
afatomsC 1516
applyforceD 40.001 .300 .001 .0
afatomsD 1617
zeroatom 3
sphereon 1
spheresize 14.75
sphereforce 1
empiricaldispersion 2.0
radiusmultiplier 1.5
empiricaldispersionb -. 3
radiusmultiplierb 0.8
etolerance 9999999
damping 1.000
reversetraj true

\section*{progdyn.conf options used for spawned MP2 trajectories}
```

method MP2/6-31+G**
lowmethod PM6-DH+
nonstandard 0
rotationmode 0
method2 read
charge 0
multiplicity 1
oniomchargemult 01
processors 4
memory 7gb
killcheck 0
checkpoint g09.chk

```
diagnostics 4
title HClpentadiene 120MeNO2 ONIOM MP2real
initialdis 0
timestep 1E-15
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
thermostat 1
thermostatmult 0.995
method4 \(\operatorname{iop}(2 / 9=2000)\)
methodfile 0
numimag 0
searchdir positive
classical 2
keepevery 999999
highlevel 17
zeroatom 3
sphereon 1
spheresize 14.75
sphereforce .1
empiricaldispersion 2.0
radiusmultiplier 1.5
empiricaldispersionb -. 3
radiusmultiplierb 0.8
etolerance 9999999
reversetraj true

\section*{Helper and Data-Analysis Programs}

Terminology and some general explanations of program usage
See the discussion of MD trajectories, feeder trajectories, and spawned trajectories in a previous section. Each trajectory of each type is run in a separate directory.

A trajectory directory is one that contains a single completed trajectory.
A container directory is one that contains the collection of completed trajectory directories.
Typically, dozens or hundreds of spawned trajectories are set up to run simultaneously. When they are complete, they stop, and at some point the program progmove is run to rename the directories for each trajectory in a logical way and move the trajectory directory into a container directory.

Each spawned trajectory consists of two legs, resulting from their integration forward versus backward in time from their initialization. There is no meaning as to which direction is integrated first when the trajectories are ran, but analysis requires keeping track of which leg is of interest for the analysis at hand.

A traj file is a file in each trajectory directory that contains the geometries of each point in the trajectory for each of the two legs. Usually, only one of these legs is interesting so the analysis has to pick out which leg is of interest and collect this into a traj-leg file. For proton transfers from HCl to 1,3-pentadiene, the interesting leg is the one in which the H initially moves closer to \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) of the diene, and in the main text this is described as "forward in time."

Several of the programs below function to generate temporary programs that themselves are run to apply analysis to each of a series of trajectory directories. The temporary programs consist of repeated actions on hundreds or thousands of trajectories, and they are not saved. Additional temporary programs were generated by simple shell commands. Their generation and usage is described in a section below, though the programs themselves were not saved.

A dynf-leg file is trimmed portion of a dynfollowfile (see descriptions under PROGDYN and ProgdynONIOM) containing only the portion for a leg of interest. As with traj-leg files, the interesting leg of the dynf-leg files is the one that goes "forward in time," defined by the H initially moving closer to \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) of the diene.

The programs can be found as a permanent public dataverse set at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.7910/DVN/TQZR7E.

\section*{Program progdynsam}

This is an awk program that aids in extracting the starting points for spawned trajectories from feeder trajectories. progdynsam generates a geoPlusVel file based on input from a traj file in the feeder trajectories. If fixed atoms are used as constraints (not a normal practice now), progdynsam must be modified for the desired temperature. progdynsam takes as input the variable pt to decide which points in the traj file are used to define the geoPlusVel. For previously fully fixed atoms, progdynsam gives the atoms a Boltzmann-random velocity and direction of motion appropriate for the desired temperature. Normally now, constraints in the feeder trajectories are harmonic, in which case no adjustment is made to the motions of the constrained atoms other than to remove the constraints. The program is invoked with awk -v pt=\#\# -f progdynsam traj where \#\# is the trajectory point used to start a new geoPlusVel, and traj is the output file containing the list of trajectory points.

\section*{Program proglookstart}

This is a bash script that examines a series of directories containing feeder trajectories and creates a temporary program, progtemp2, that will set up directories containing spawned trajectories using progdynsam. The temporary programs are not saved.

\section*{Program progmove}

This is a bash script that checks the spawned trajectories for completion. If a trajectory is complete, the trajectory directory is renamed in a meaningful way and moved to a container directory. The program then stops all of the running incomplete trajectories, renames their directories to create a new ordered list, and outputs commands for the user to copy to start the trajectories running again. This directory renaming is done to set up the directories so that proglookstart can be run with a specified starting point.

\section*{Program progei}

This is a bash script that does an initial analysis of the trajectories in the container directory, counts trajectory outcomes, outputs these outcomes along with information on trajectory times, and creates a series of files that contain lists that aid in further analysis.

Output files from progci include:
returnreturn - includes trajectory directory and final point information for trajectories that recross from reactants to reactants
tempSMSM - similar to returnreturn, except that it distinguishes between trajectories where
the first step was aimed at products versus those aimed at reactants.
temp12first, temp12second, tempmPside1, tempmPside2, tempm1, tempm2, tempdiss1, tempdiss 2 - these are files that collect trajectory directory and final point information for trajectories in various categories. "12first" and " 12 second" refers to trajectories that go from reactants to the 1,2-product, either in their first leg or their second.
shortlist - includes the shortest C-H distance in recrossing trajectories and trajectory directory information

1212 - includes trajectory directory and final point information for trajectories that recross from 1,2-product to 1,2-product

1214- includes trajectory directory and final point information for trajectories that recross from 1,2-product to 1,4-product
addtimelist12, addtimelistT2. addtimelist14, addtimelistT4 - collect the trajectory times for trajectories that afford the addition products from reactants
manyswitcheslist - keeps track of the number of times that trajectories switch from having a Cl atom closer to \(\mathrm{C}_{2}\) versus closer to \(\mathrm{C}_{4}\).

\section*{Program progprocessSMSM}

This is a bash script that generates two new programs, progsmsm and progsmsmcharge, based on tempSMSM. Running progsmsm collects the interesting leg of the traj file (in recrossing trajectories on the reactant side, this is the leg that starts out in the direction of product) in each trajectory directory into a traj-leg file named tempSMSMintraj. Running progsmsmcharge runs progcharge on the tempSMSMintraj in each trajectory directory, placing the output in tempelectrostaticSMSMin.

\section*{Program progcharge}

This is an awk program that takes as input specified traj-leg files point by point and calculates the "incipient ion-pair stabilization parameter" \(E_{i p}\) for each point, as described in the main text.

\section*{Program progesaverage}

This is an awk program that takes as input a set of specified output files generated by progcharge, averages energies time-by-time, and outputs the average energies.

\section*{Program progdistanceaverage}

This is an awk program that takes as input a set of specified dynf-leg files, averages distances time-by-time, and outputs the average distances.

The input files for progdistanceaverage were generated making use of the output files from progci and creating a temporary program that created the files directory by directory. For example: sed 's/N/ /' tempm1 | sed 's/fileHCl/file HCl/' | awk '\{print "head -n",\$7,\$1"/dynfollowfile >",\$1"/dynfmanySM"\}' > progtemp ./progtemp

\section*{Generation and usage of additional temporary programs}

The programs progm1 and progm2 were generated by these commands: sed 's \(N / / /\) ' tempm1 | awk '\{print "head -n 2578718",\$1"/traj1 >",\$1"/tempmanySM"\}' > progm1
sed 's/N/ /' tempm2 | awk '\{print "tail -n 2578718",\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/tempmanySM"\}' > progm2 These programs collect the interesting long leg of the traj file for trajectories that survive 3000 fs after crossing from reactants in each trajectory directory into a traj-leg file named tempmanySM.

The programs progm1charge and progm2charge were generated by these commands: sed 's/N/ /' tempm1 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempmanySM >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticManySM"\}' > progm1charge sed 's/N/ /' tempm2 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempmanySM >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticManySM"\}' > progm2charge These programs run progcharge on the tempmanySM traj-leg files and store the results in files named tempelectrostaticManySM.

The programs progl2first and prog12second were generated by these commands: sed 's \(/\) // /' temp12first | awk '\{print "head -n",(\$6+1)*859,\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/temp12fromSM"\}' > prog 12 first
sed 's/V//' temp12second | awk '\{print "tail -n",(\$6+1)*859,\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/temp12fromSM"\}' > prog12second
These programs collect the interesting product-forming leg of the traj file for trajectories that form the 1,2-product after crossing from reactants in each trajectory directory into a traj-leg file named temp12fromSM.

The programs prog12firstcharge and prog12secondcharge were generated by these commands:
sed 'sN/ /' temp12first | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/temp12fromSM \(>", \$ 1\) "/tempelectrostatic12fromSM"\}' > prog12firstcharge sed 's/V/ /' temp12second | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/temp12fromSM >",\$1"/tempelectrostatic12fromSM"\}' > prog12secondcharge These programs run progcharge on the temp12fromSM traj-leg files and store the results in files named tempelectrostatic 12 fromSM

The programs progmP1 and progmP2 were generated by these commands: sed 's \(N / / /\) ' tempmPside1 | awk ' \(\{\) print "head -n 2578718",\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/tempmanyPside"\}' > progmP1
sed 's/V/ /' tempmPside2 | awk '\{print "tail -n 2578718",\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/tempmanyPside"\}' > progmP2
These programs collect the interesting long leg of the traj file for trajectories that recross on the product side and have a leg that survives 3000 fs in each trajectory directory into a traj-leg file named tempmanyPside.

The programs progmPlcharge and progmP2charge were generated by these commands: sed 's/N/ /' tempmPside1 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempmanyPside >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticManyP"\}' > progmP1charge sed 's/N/ /' tempmPside2 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempmanyPside >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticManyP"\}' > progmP2charge These programs run progcharge on the tempmanyPside traj-leg files and store the results in files named tempelectrostaticManyP.

The programs progdiss1 and progdiss 2 were generated by these commands: sed 's \(\mathrm{N} /\) /' tempdiss1 | awk '\{print "head -n",(\$6+1)*859,\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/tempdissSM"\}' > progdiss1
sed 's/V/ /' tempdiss2 | awk '\{print "tail -n",(\$6+1)*859,\$1"/traj* >",\$1"/tempdissSM"\}' > progdiss2

These programs collect the interesting ion-dissociating leg of the traj file for trajectories that cross from reactants in each trajectory directory into a traj-leg file named tempdissSM.

The programs progdiss1charge and progdiss2charge were generated by these commands: sed 's \(N / /\) tempdiss1 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempdissSM >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticdissSM"\}' > progdiss1charge sed 's/N/ /' tempdiss2 | awk '\{print "awk -f progcharge",\$1"/tempdissSM >",\$1"/tempelectrostaticdissSM"\}' > progdiss2charge These programs run progcharge on the tempdissSM traj-leg files and store the results in files named tempelectrostaticdissSM.

\section*{Calculations and Sample Input Files for Chapter III}

\section*{Program Suite PROGDYN}

A full listing of the subprograms of PROGDYN is given below. To allow the reader to understand or make use of PROGDYN, we describe here first the overall structure of the program. We also list and describe in this section some helper programs that were used to analyze the data from the trajectory calculations.

The master control program for dynamics, in the form of a Unix Shell Script, is called progdynstarterHP. For a user to start to use progdynstarterHP, some early lines in it that assign the scratch space and the location of the program files and input files would have to be modified for the local environment. These lines are between lines 45 and 60 and should be apparent. The location of the scratch space is usually passed to progdynstarterHP as a parameter.
progdynstarter \(H P\) takes as input files:
freqinHP - This is the standard output from a Gaussian \(98,03,09\), or 16 frequency calculation using freq=hpmodes. For isotopically labeled compounds, use freq=(hpmodes,readisotopes).
progdyn.conf - This is a file giving a variety of configuration options, called on by many of the subprograms. progdyn.conf examples are listed below and contains explanations of the program options.
detour - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to do a side calculations. This is usually omitted.
nogo - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to stop between points This is usually omitted.
bypassproggen - A signal file that, by existing, signals the program to use a supplied input file geoPlusVel instead of generating one for itself. This is most often used when running dynamics in solution, and was not employed here.
methodfile - A file that contains lines to be added to the end of each g09.com input file, such as lines that call for an NMR calculation. This is usually omitted.
ZMAT - An input file for the CFOUR (http://www.cfour.de) suite of programs. When ZMAT is supplied, progdynstarterHP will automatically run call CFOUR (which must be set up independently by the user) by making use of the script progcfour. This is usually omitted.
cannontraj - A file containing a vector for each atom, used to fire an initial geometry in a particular direction. This is usually omitted.
progdynstarterHP calls the following programs:
proggenHP - An awk program that starts a trajectory, giving each mode its zero point energy (if a quasiclassical calculation) plus random additional excitations depending on the temperature. Because proggenHP calls two python 3 programs, the local environment has to be set up in whatever way will get the python calls to work.
proglstpoint - Awk program that creates the first Gaussian input file for each run
prog2ndpoint - Awk program that creates the second Gaussian input file for each run.
prog2ndpoint also checks the energy of the first point to see if it fits with the desired energy, and aborts the run if it does not by creating appropriate output in file Echeck
progdynb - Creates subsequent Gaussian input files until run is completed, written in awk
proganal - A program to analyze the latest point and see if a run is done. This program must be redone for each new system. Elaborate changes are sometimes programmed into proganal, such as the automatic changing of configuration variables. proganal creates the output to dynfollowfile and NMRlist or NMRlistdis
progcfour - A control script to run CFOUR calculations (not needed for most kinds of runs, not used or listed here).
proggen \(H P\) calls three python 3 programs:
randgen.py - A python program that generates random numbers between 0 and 1. These are generated all at once and stored in a temporary file for use by proggenHP.
tozmat.py - a python 3 program that takes a temporary geometry file in cartesian format and converts it to another temporary geometry file in internal coordinates.
toxyz.py - a python 3 program that takes a temporary geometry file in internal coordinates format and converts it to another temporary geometry file in cartesian coordinates.
progdynstarter \(H P\) has the following output files:
isomernumber - A running tab of the trajectory number
runpointnumber - a running tab of the point in the trajectory
Echeck - output from where prog2ndpoint checks the energy of the trajectory to see if it fits with the desired energy
geoRecord - A record of all of the geoPlusVel files.
geoPlusVel - Created by proggen, this gives the starting positions, velocities, isotopic masses, excitations of the normal modes, and initial displacements of the normal modes for current run.
g09.com or g16.com - Created by prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb, this is the latest input file for Gaussian09 or Gaussian 16 for current run and latest point.
olddynrun, olddynrun2, olddynrun3 - files containing the last three outputs from Gaussian, for creation of the next point
traj, traj1, traj2, traj3, etc. - files containing the geometries and energies for each trajectory, numbered by the isomernumber, in a format suitable for reading by Molden. The energies listed in these files are for the previous point.
dynfollowfile - A short record of the runs and their results. The data desired for dynfollowfile must be programed into the script proganal as needed for each system studied.
NMRlist or NMRlistdis - output of NMR predictions at each point in a trajectory, when desired. Usually not used.
skipstart - A signal file that, by existing, tells progdynstarterHP that we are in the middle of a run. For trajectories that are propagated forward and backward in time, skipstart keeps track of whether one is in the forward or reverse part.
diagnostics - optional output that follows which subprograms are running and configuration variables, decided by variable in progdyn.conf.
vellist - optional output that lists the velocities of each atom, decided by variable in progdyn.conf, or lists the total kinetic energy in the system and the classical temperature, often also keeps track of the density
A number of files starting with 'temp' are created then later erased.
The following helper programs were used for the current study.
progtrajdynfconall - an awk program that reanalyzes all of the traj files, pulling out desired distances, angles, and dihedral angles. The program is invoked with awk -f progtrajdynfconall pathToAllTrajFiles
progcount - a bash program that gathers results and counts the occurrence for each result in a set of trajectories.

\section*{progdynstarterHP}

\section*{\#!/bin/bash}
\#progdynstarterHP, made to use high-precision modes from Gaussian output with freq=hpmodes
\#updated to create a random number file temp811 that is used by proggenHP
\#version September 16, 2005, made for workstations \#version August 2007 to allow periodic copying of g09.log to dyn putting it under control of progdynb
\#version Feb 2008 moves variables like the scratch directory and location of randgen to the beginning
\#version March 2008 added proganal reporting to points 1 and 2
\#version Jan 2009 fixed bug generator of having proganal run twice in checking for complete runs
\#version May 2009 Echeck catches bad energies after only one point, other lines written simpler, triple while loop, revised comments
\#version Aug 2010 isomernumber adds words to ease parsing, increased elements up to bromine, runpointnumber checked for more appropriate restarts
\#version Aug 2011 runpointnumber starts better, restart better if died during first few points, awk bug fix
\#version Aug 2012 freqinHP reads with only 3 freqs, goingwell and other temp files moved to \$scratchdir
\#version Aug 2013 adds ability to automatically run a CFOUR program if the file ZMAT exists
\#version Nov 2013 adds ability to bypass generation of geoPlusVel using the signal file bypassproggen
\#version Nov 2015 makes using guess=read easier, improves ability to restart after disk write failures, partially allows for MM runs in Gaussian
\#version Aug 2017-modified for Gaussian 16 \#LIMITATIONS - standard version only handles elements up to bromine, must change program to do higher atomic numbers \# only handles up to 4000th excited state for modes - this could start to affect the initialization of classical modes or transition vectors at
\# extremely high temperatures
\# The routine that checks whether the actual energy approximately equals the desired energy checks for lines containing "SCF Done" or "EUMP2 =" or " Energy=" \# This should handle ordinary calculations HF, DFT, ONIOM, and MP2 calculatons but the routine in prog2ndpoint would have to be changed for other calcs.
\#
\# OUTLINE
\# A. initilize to perform Gaussian jobs, set the scratch, program, and other directores, remove errant control files
\# start outermost loop L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1
\# start loop 2 L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2
\# B. branch on whether there is a file named "skipstart"
\# if there is, skip B1, B2, B3 entirely
\# if no file named "skipstart" then generate a new isomer. Instructions: Get rid of skipstart to start new isomer.
\# the B loop generates geoPlusVel, adds it to geoRecord, generates and runs first and second points, and sets up for continuous loop
\# B1. generates geoPlusVel, isomernumber, runpointnumber=1, then makes g09.com for point 1
\# B2. checks for success of B1 or exits, then sets geoRecord then runs g09.com. Checks for its success or exits.
\# B3. runpointnumber=2, kills run if XXXX in dynfollowfile due to bad energy probably, makes g09.com for point 2 , runs it
\# checks for its success or exits
\# runpointnumber=3, uses progdynb to make g09.com for point
3
\# sets skipstart=forward
\# B4. Only runs if skipstart=ireverserestart, performs
reverserestart, ends with runpointnumber \(=3\)
\# sets skipstart=reverse
\# C. loop over propagation steps
\#
\#
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
\#
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
\#origdir, randdir, scratchdir, g09root, logfile all may need varied
from system to system and assigned here or by program calling
this one
\#module purge
\#module load icc/2018.1.163-GCC-6.4.0-2.28
\#export
LD_LIBRARY_PATH=\$LD_LIBRARY_PATH:'/home/ironmon1 /mopac':'~/bin//ib'
\#export BABEL_LIBDIR='/scratch/user/ironmon1/babel/build/lib'
\#export
BABEL_DATADIR='/scratch/user/ironmon1/babel/openbabel2.4.1/data'
export LC_ALL=C
echo \$1
scratchdir=\$1
export g16root=/software/lms/g16_B01
. \(\$ \mathrm{~g} 16 \mathrm{root} / \mathrm{g} 16 / \mathrm{bsd} / \mathrm{g} 16\). profile
origdir=`pwd`
\#scratchdir=\$origdir
cd \$origdir
logfile \(=\) docslog
randdir \(=\sim / b\) in
proggramdir=/scratch/user/d-singleton/Curie/binall200
freqfile=/scratch/user/d-singleton/Curie/binall200/freqinHP
echo
echo ORIGDIR at the beginning of run:
echo \$origdir
1s \$origdir
echo
echo SCRATCHDIR at the beginning of run:
echo \$scratchdir
1s \$scratchdir
echo
echo PROGGRAMDIR at the beginning of run::
echo \$proggramdir
1s \$proggramdir
rm -f nogo \# assume that if someone is starting a job, they want it to go.
rm -f diagnostics goingwell tempdone \# diagnostics contains extra info from previous runs, other two files are from older versions of progdyn
if (test -s g16.com) then
sed -i '/guess=tcheck/d' g16.com \# no chk file on first point fi
\#\#\#\# Triple 'while' loop - will have to break multiple times to get out, but advantage is ability to control starting over
\#L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L 1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L 1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L1L 1
while (true)
do
\# As long as there is a file "goingwell" the program will not exit entirely by itself
rm -f \$scratchdir/goingwell
\#L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L
2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L
2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L2L

2
while (true)
do
\#
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
BBBBB
if (test -f skipstart) then
echo "skipping start and continuing from previous runs"
else
\#
B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1B1
B1B1B1B1B1B1B1 generate geoPlusVel and first input file
if [ `cat runpointnumber` = " 1 " ]; then echo "X did not complete first point so new isomer started"
>> dynfollowfile
fi
if [ `cat runpointnumber` = " 2 " ]; then echo " X did not complete second point so new isomer
started" >> dynfollowfile
fi
if [ `cat runpointnumber` = " 3 " ]; then echo "X did not complete third point so new isomer started"
>> dynfollowfile
fi
cd \$origdir
if (test -f bypassproggen) then
echo "taking starting conditions from pre-generated
geoPlusVel"
else python \$randdir/randgen.py \(>\) temp 811
\# the next 8 lines would have to be changed to use low-precision modes awk '/ \(12 /\), Harmonic frequencies/ \{print\}' \$freqfile
\(>\) temp401 awk '/Frequencies --/ \{print \$3;print \$4;print \$5;print \$6;print
\(\$ 7\}^{\prime}\) temp401> tempfreqs awk '/Reduced masses/ \{print \$4;print \$5;print \$6;print
\$7;print \$8\}' temp401> tempredmass awk '/Force constants/ \{print \$4;print \$5;print \$6;print
\$7;print \(\$ 8\) \}' temp401 > tempfrc awk '/0/ \&\& \(((\) length \((\$ 1)<2) \& \&(\$ 1<4))\) \{print \}' temp401
\(>\) tempmodes
awk '/has atomic number/ \{print\}' \$freqfile > tempmasses awk '/Standard orientation://,tional const/ \{if ((\$3=="0") ||
(substr\((\$ 3,1,2)==10))\) print \(\}^{\prime} \$\) freqfile \(>\) tempstangeos awk '/Input orientation:/,/Stoichiometry/ \{if ((\$3=="0") ||
(substr\((\$ 3,1,2)==10)\) ) print \(\}^{\prime}\) ' freqfile \(>\) tempinputgeos awk -f \$proggramdir/proggenHP \$freqfile > geoPlusVel fi
if (test -f isomernumber) then
ср isomernumber temp533
awk 'BEGIN \{getline; \(\mathrm{i}=\$ 1+1\);print i, "-----trajectory isomer
number----"\}' temp533 > isomernumber rm temp533
else
echo "1 ----trajectory isomer number----" > isomernumber fi
echo \(1>\) runpointnumber
rm g16.com
awk -f \$proggramdir/prog1stpoint isomernumber > g16.com
\#
B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2 B 2
B2B2B2B2B2B2B2B2B2 if first part successfule then clean up
and run the first input file, otherwise die
if (test -s g16.com) then
rm tempfreqs tempredmass tempfrc tempmodes
tempstangeos tempmasses temp401 temp811 tempinputgeos cat isomernumber \(\gg\) geoRecord
cat geoPlusVel \(\gg\) geoRecord
rm -f \$scratchdir/goingwell
```

        cd $scratchdir
        cp $origdir/g16.com $scratchdir/g16.com
        $g16root/g16/g16 $scratchdir/g16.com $scratchdir/g16.log
        cd $origdir
        grep 'Normal termination' $scratchdir/g16.log >
    \$scratchdir/goingwell
if (test -s \$scratchdir/goingwell) then
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log \$origdir
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log olddynrun2
else
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log \$origdir/g16.log
break
fi
else
break
fi

# 

B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3
B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3B3 if B2 worked then you are here. create
2nd point, run it, and set up for propagation loop
rm g16.com
echo 2> runpointnumber
awk -f \$proggramdir/prog2ndpoint \$scratchdir/g16.log >
g16.com

# before we decide to run this, check the energy

    awk -f $proggramdir/proganal $scratchdir/g16.log >>
    dynfollowfile
rm -f \$scratchdir/tempdone
tail -1 dynfollowfile | awk '/XXXX/ {print}' >
\$scratchdir/tempdone
if (test -s \$scratchdir/tempdone) then
rm -f dyn
rm -f traj
echo 0> runpointnumber
break
fi
if (test -s g16.com) then
rm -f \$scratchdir/goingwell
cd \$scratchdir
cp \$origdir/g16.com \$scratchdir/g16.com
\$g16root/g16/g16 \$scratchdir/g16.com \$scratchdir/g16.log
cd \$origdir
grep 'Normal termination' \$scratchdir/g16.log >
\$scratchdir/goingwell
if (test -s \$scratchdir/goingwell) then
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log olddynrun
awk -f \$proggramdir/proganal \$scratchdir/g16.log >>
dynfollowfile
awk '/Input orientation/,/Distance matrix/ {print};/Matrix
orientation/,/Stoichiometry/ {print}' olddynrun | awk '{if ((\$2>.5)
\&\& (\$2<100)) print}'>old
awk '/Input orientation/,/Distance matrix/ {print };/Matrix
orientation/,/Stoichiometry/ {print}' olddynrun2 | awk '{if ((\$2>.5)
\&\& (\$2<100)) print}' > older
echo 3> runpointnumber
if (test -f bypassproggen) then
cat bypassproggen > runpointnumber
echo 3> bypassproggen
fi
awk -f \$proggramdir/progdynb olddynrun > g16.com
rm -f old older
else
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log \$origdir/g16.log
break
fi
else
break
fi

# we've just completed a start, so lets skipstart until instructed

otherwise

```
\# we've just completed a reversestart, so lets skipstart until instructed otherwise
echo "reverse" > skipstart
fi
\#
END_of_B_EEND_of_B_END_of_B_END_of_B__END_
\(\qquad\)
\#
СССССССССССССССССССССССССССССССССССССССС
СССССССССССССССССССССССС propagation loop while (true)
do
rm -f \$scratchdir/goingwell
cd \$scratchdir
cp \$origdir/g16.com \$scratchdir/g16.com
\$g16root/g16/g16 \$scratchdir/g16.com \$scratchdir/g16.log
cd \$origdir
grep 'Normal termination' \$scratchdir/g16.log >
\$scratchdir/goingwell
if (test -s \$scratchdir/goingwell) then
awk -f \$proggramdir/proganal \$scratchdir/g16.log >>
\$origdir/dynfollowfile
mv olddynrun2 olddynrun3
mv olddynrun olddynrun2
awk '/Input orientation/,/Distance matrix/ \{print \(\}\);/Matrix
orientation/,/Stoichiometry/ \{print\}' \$scratchdir/g16.log | awk ' \{if
\(((\$ 2>.5) \& \&(\$ 2<100))\) print \(\}^{\prime}>\) old
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log olddynrun
awk '/Input orientation/,/Distance matrix/ \{print \};/Matrix
orientation/,/Stoichiometry/ \{print\}' olddynrun2 | awk '\{if ((\$2>.5)
\&\& ( \(\$ 2<100)\) ) print \(\}^{\prime}>\) older
\#increment runpointnumber
cp runpointnumber \$scratchdir/temp533
awk 'BEGIN \(\{\) getline; \(\mathrm{i}=\$ 1+1\);print i\(\}\) ' \$scratchdir/temp533 > runpointnumber
rm \$scratchdir/temp533
awk -f \$proggramdir/progdynb \$scratchdir/g16.log >
g16.com
rm -f old older
else
cp \$scratchdir/g16.log \$origdir/g16.log break
fi
\# kludge to do a side calculation of NMR using progcfour. If ZMAT is there then it gets ran and renamed.
\# creation of ZMAT is under the control of progdynb, which is controlled by keyword NMRcc in progdyn.conf
\# decisions to be made: erase ZMAT at beginning? what to do if cfour calc dies?
if (test -f ZMAT) then
cp ZMAT \$scratchdir
cd \$scratchdir
\$scratchdir/progcfour \$origdir \$scratchdir cd \$origdir
mv ZMAT temp.ZMAT
echo "generic one two three" `cat runpointnumber`
"runisomer" `cat isomernumber` >> NMRlistcc awk '/Nuclear Magnetic Resonance/,/HF-SCF/ \{if (\$2=="C")
print \(\$ 1, \$ 2\),"Isotropic \(=", \$ 3\); if \((\$ 2==" H ")\) print \(\$ 1, \$ 2\),"Isotropic
=",\$3\}' x.log >> NMRlistcc
fi
\# here is a cool link that lets you interupt the dynamics with a short job, then
\# it automatically goes back to the dynamics just make the file 'detour' and it
\# will delete detour, run run.com, then go back to dynamics if (test -f detour) then
rm detour
date >> \$logfile
cat run.com \(\gg\) \$logfile
cp run.log temp.log
cd \$scratchdir
\$g16root/g16/g16 \$origdir/run.com \$origdir/run.log
cd \$origdir
fi
\#stop it all nicely by creating a nogo file
if (test -f nogo) then break
fi
\#figure out if this isomer is done - change in april 2013 is to move proganal call up from here
rm -f \$scratchdir/tempdone
tail - 2 dynfollowfile |awk '/XXXX/ \{print\}' >
\$scratchdir/tempdone
if (test -s \$scratchdir/tempdone) then
if [ `awk '/reversetraj/ \{if (\$1=="reversetraj") print \$2\}'
progdyn.conf" = "true" ]; then
if [ 'cat skipstart \(=\) "reverse" ]; then
rm -f skipstart
rm -f geoPlusVel
rm -f olddynrun
rm -f olddynrun2
rm -f olddynrun3
\(\mathrm{a}=` \mathrm{awk}\) ' \(\{\) print \(\$ 1\}\) ' isomernumber`
mv traj traj\$a
fi
if [ 'cat skipstart' = "forward" ]; then
echo reverserestart > skipstart
fi
else
rm -f skipstart
rm -f geoPlusVel
rm -f olddynrun
rm -f olddynrun2
rm -f olddynrun3 \(\mathrm{a}=` \mathrm{awk}\) ' \(\{\) print \(\$ 1\}\) ' isomernumber` mv traj traj\$a
fi
break
fi
done
\#
END_of_C_Loop_END_of_C Loop_END_of_C Loop__
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline _of_C_Loop & END_of_C_Loop & D_of_C_Loop \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\# We've got to break a second time to get out of this loop
\# if we really want to quit. Otherwise, it will start over
\# at the top
if (test -f nogo) then
break
fi
if (test -s \$scratchdir/goingwell) then
echo "starting a new point or a new direction"
else
break
fi
done

\section*{if (test -f nogo) then}
break
fi
if (test -s \$scratchdir/goingwell) then
echo "starting a new point or a new direction2"
else
\(\quad\) break
fi
done
exit 0

\section*{proggenHP}

\section*{BEGIN \{}
\# July 2018 - modified many aspects of the initialization so that displacements are done in internal coordinates and so that full quantum distributions of displacements can be done
\# June 2018 organized into functions partially
\# 2014 - avoids bug with a box on, so that starts without modes use input geometry, not standard orientation
\# aug 2013 summary of changes
\#includes molecular rotation, ability to do multiple NMR calculations, ONIOM with link atoms,
\#nonstandard routes, handling of linear molecules using geometry linear, fixed but with atoms over 99 but
\#bug varies with version of Gaussian, randomization based on PROCINFO (solved many problems), added initialDiss 3 for random
\#phase of normal modes
\# Aut 2010 changes classicalSpacing to 2 and upped possible excited states to 4000
\# Jan 2009 - a number of little changes to improve reporting, precision, etc, specification of displacement on particular modes \# Jan 2009 cannonball trajectories. adds desired energy to initial velocities based on file cannontraj, so one can shoot toward a ts \# updated Nov 2008 to incorporate running DRPs
\# updated Nov 2008 to allow for start without an initial freq calc using classical \(=2\)
\# updated Aug 2008 added to atom list to handle a large number of atoms without changes needed
\# updated June 2008 to incorportate new method for choosing displacements with initialdis 2
\# updated Jan 172008 - bug fix for > 99 atoms, 300 excitations of low modes possible
\# version August 2007 - incorporates classical trajectory calculation option
\#also allows listing of number of imaginary frequencies \# version Sept 16, 2005 - incorportates searchdir but not yet rotation
\# now reads random numbers from temp811, starting at a random place
\# The input files are generated before this and are tempfreqs, tempredmass,
\# tempfrc, tempmodes, and tempstangeos.
\# It will count the number of atoms.
initializeparameters()
initializeconstants()
readprogdynconf()
if (diag>=1) diagnosticsA()
getstangeos() \#defines numAtoms, atNum[], geoArr[1-
numAtoms,1-3], geoArrOrig[1-numAtoms,1-3](same as geoArr
initially), zeros velArr
print numAtoms \#output the number of atoms, used in many routines
getatomsAndWeights() \# put in atomic symbols and atomic weights - assigns a default mass but then reads it from tempmasses when possible
getFreqs() \# defines numFreq, freq[] (scaled and adjusted in ways), redMass[], frc[]
if (classical!=2) getModes() \# defines mode[freqNum, atom, 1-3] startRandom() \# defines starting point on file temp811, randArr[1numFreq], randArrB[1-numfreq], randArrC[1-numFreq], randArrR[1-6], randArrD[1-numFreq], randArrE[3*numAtoms] setModeEnergies() \# defines zpe[], zpeK[], vibn[], modeEn[],
modeEnK[] for each mode and desiredModeEnK desired total energy desired for molecule
setQMrandom() \# defines randArrQM[i], a random position based on the \(\mathrm{QM} \mathrm{psi}^{* *} 2\) to use for a QM displacement choice
setModeShifts() \#defines shift[i] for each mode, defines
potentialEn[], potentialEnK[]
getVelocities() \# defines velArr[], kinEn[i]
if (anharmonic==1) setAnharmonicShifts()
calcdzdm()
if (classical!=2) shiftStructure() \# moves the initial geometry by shift[i], changes geoArr[]
calcEinModes() \# defines KEinitmodes, just the kinetic E in the vibrational modes, and PEinitmodes
if (rotationmode>0) addMolecularRotation() \# changes velArr using a thermal random rotation
if (cannonball>0) \{
readCannonBall() \# defines cannArr[1-numAtoms,1-3]
doCannonBall()
\}
\# output the geometry and weights
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
\(\operatorname{printf}(" \% 2 \mathrm{~s} \% .7 \mathrm{f} \% .7 \mathrm{f} \% .7 \mathrm{f} \% 9.5 \mathrm{f}\)
\n",atSym[j],geoArr[j,1],geoArr[j,2],geoArr[j,3],atWeight[j]) \}
\#output the velocities and calculate the total kinetic energy overall KEinittotal=0
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
KEinittotal=KEinittotal \(+0.5 *\) atWeight \([\mathrm{j}] *\left(\right.\) velArr \([\mathrm{j}, 1]^{\wedge} 2+\)
velArr[j,2]^2 + velArr[j,3]²)/((timestep \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\) conver 1\()\)
\(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .8 \mathrm{f} \% .8 \mathrm{f} \% .8 \mathrm{f} \backslash \mathrm{n}\) ",velArr[j, 1],velArr[j, 2], velArr[j, 3])
\}
\#additional info added to file will not affect the trajectories but will
keep a record and be good for analysis
if (classical!=2) \{
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) if (initialDis==0) printf("\%.6f \% .6f \%4i \% 1.4e \% .6f
\%1iln", randArr[i], randArrB[i], vibN[i], vel[i], shift[i],
disMode[i]) if (initialDis==1) printf("\%.6f \% .6f \%4i \% 1.4e \% .6f
\%1iln", randArr[i], randArrC[i], vibN[i], vel[i], shift[i],
disMode[i])
if (initialDis==2) printf("\%.6f \% .6f \(\% 4 i \quad \% 1.4 \mathrm{e} \quad \% .6 \mathrm{f}\)
\%1i\n", randArr[i], randArrD[i], vibN[i], vel[i], shift[i],
disMode[i])
if (initialDis==3) printf("\%.6f \% .6f \%4i \% 1.4e \% .6f
\%1i \% . 6 fln ", randArr[i], randArrC[i], vibN[i], vel[i], shift[i],
disMode[i], \(\sin (\) randArrC[i]*3.141592*2))
if (initialDis==4) printf("\%.6f \% .6f \(\% 4 i \quad \% 1.4 \mathrm{e} \quad \% .6 \mathrm{f}\)
\%1i\n", randArr[i], randArrQM[i], vibN[i], vel[i], shift[i],
disMode[i])
\[
\text { \} }
\]
\}
print "temp ",temp
print "initialDis",initialDis
print "classical",classical
print "timestep",timestep
print "numimag",numimag
OFMT = "\%.3f"
print "Total mode energy desired=",desiredModeEnK," potential
Energy desired", PEinitmodes
print "KE initial from modes=",KEinitmodes," KE initial
total=",KEinittotal," Rotational Energy desired=",rotEdesired
if (cannonball>0) print "cannonball",cannonball," cannon
Energy=",KEinittotal-KEinitmodes
if (boxon>0) print "boxsize",boxsize
if (DRP>0) print "DRP",DRP," maxAtomMove",maxAtomMove
if \((\mathrm{DRP}>0)\) print maxAtomMove \(>\) "maxMove"
\} \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
************************** End of BEGIN

```

mo-point correction/ {zp
/zero-point Energies/ {zpePlusE=\$7}
zpeGaussK=zpeGauss*627.509
OFMT = "%.6f
print "Gaussian zpe=",zpeGauss,"or",zpeGaussK,"kcal/mol E +
zpe=",zpePlusE," potential E=",potentialE
print "" \#will use blank line to mark end of geoPlusVel file

```
\# default parameters, including quassiclassical, no displacements,
transition state, not a DRP
\# do not change these - rather, change progdyn.conf to set the
parameters
function initializeparameters() \{
    initialDis=0; timestep \(=1 \mathrm{E}-15\); scaling \(=1.0\); temp \(=298.15\)
    classical \(=0 ;\) numimag \(=1 ;\) DRP \(=0\); cannonball \(=0\)
    memory=20000000
    , , ces
    boxsize=10; maxAtomMove=0.1; title1="you"; title2="need"
    , title4="progdyn.conf"; processors=1

    thermostat \(=0\);thermostatemult \(=1.00\)
    oniomcharge \(=0\); oniommult \(=0\)
    applyforce \(=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{B}=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{C}=0\); zeroatomon \(=0\)
    sphereon \(=0\); spheresize \(=999\); sphereforceK \(=0.01\)
    empiricaldispersion \(=0\); radiusmultiplier \(=1.25\)
converl \(=4.184 \mathrm{E} 26\) \#dividing by this converts amu angs \({ }^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\) to
kcal/mol
    geometry="nonlinear";rotationmode=0
function initializeconstants() \(\{\)
    for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=10000 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \{disMode[ i\(]=-1\}\)
    \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{k}=1\)
    俍
    RgasK \(=0.00198588\); Rgas \(J=8.31447\); pi=3.14159265359
    numAtoms \(=0\); atomnumber \(=0\); classicalSpacing \(=2\)
    zpeGauss=0; zpeGaussK=0; zpePlusE=0; potentialE=0
    onver1 \(=4.184 \mathrm{E} 26\) \#dividing by this converts amu angs^ \(2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\) to
\(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
    OFS=" "
\# read progdyn.conf for configuration info
function readprogdynconf() \{
    blankLineTester=10
    hile (blankLineTester>1)
    getline \(<\) "progdyn.conf"
    if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method") method=\$2
    if (\$1=="method2") meth2=\$2
    if ( \(\$ 1==\) "charge") charge= \(=\$ 2\)
    if \((\$ 1==\) "multiplicity") multiplicity= \(\$ 2\)
    if (\$1=="oniomchargemult")
        oniomcharge=\$2
        oniommult=\$3
        if (\$1=="memory") memory=\$2
    if ( \(\$ 1==\) "processors") processors=\$2
    if \((\$ 1==\) "checkpoint") checkpoint=\$2
    if (\$1=="timestep") timestep=\$2
    if ( \(\$ 1==\) "diagnostics") diag=\$2
    if (\$1=="scaling") scaling=\$2
    if ( \(\$ 1==\) "searchdir") searchdir=\$2
if (\$1=="temperature") temp=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "thermostat") thermostat=\$2
if (\$1=="thermostatmult") thermostatmult=\$2
if (thermostatmult>1) thermostatmult \(=1 /\) thermostatmult
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "classical") classical=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "numimag") numimag \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="geometry") geometry=\$2
if (\$1=="cannonball") cannonball=\$2
if (\$1=="displacements") disMode[\$2]=\$3
if (\$1=="anharmonicmode") \{
anharmonic \(=1\)
anharmonicmode[\$2]=1
anharmk2[\$2]=\$3
anharmk3[\$2]=\$4
\}
if (\$1=="controlphase") controlPhase[\$2]=\$3
if \((\$ 1==\) "rotationmode") rotationmode= \(\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="method3") meth3=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method4") meth4=\$2
if (\$1=="method5") meth5=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method6") meth6=\$2
if (\$1=="method7") meth7=\$2
if (\$1=="highlevel") highlevel=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "linkatoms") linkatoms=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "fixedatom1") fixedatom1=\$2
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom2") fixedatom \(2=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="fixedatom3") fixedatom3=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "fixedatom4") fixedatom4=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxon") boxon=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxsize") boxsize=\$2
if (\$1=="sphereon") sphereon=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "spheresize") spheresize= \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="sphereforce") sphereforceK=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==" D R P ") ~ D R P=\$ 2\); if ( \(D R P==1\) ) classical \(=2\) \#this lets
one start a DRP from a point that is not a freq calc
if (\$1=="maxAtomMove") maxAtomMove=\$2
if (\$1=="methodfile") methodfilelines=\$2
if (\$1=="killcheck") killcheck=\$2
if (\$1=="empiricaldispersion") empiricaldispersion=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "radiusmultiplier") radiusmultiplier=\$2
if (\$1=="damping") damping=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRmethod") nmrmethod=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRmethod2") nmrmethod2=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRmethod3") nmrmethod3=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRtype") nmrtype=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRevery") nmrevery=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRrand") nmrrand=\$2
if (\$1=="loadlimit") loadlimit=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRcc") nmrcc=\$2
if (\$1=="nonstandard") nonstandard=\$2
if (\$1=="applyforce") \{
applyforce \(=\$ 2\); apforce \(=\$ 3\); apforceX \(0=\$ 4\); apforce \(2=\$ 5\);
apforce \(3=\$ 6\)
\}
if (\$1=="applyforceB") \{
applyforce \(\mathrm{B}=\$ 2\); apforce \(\mathrm{B}=\$ 3\); apforceX0B=\$4;
apforce \(2 \mathrm{~B}=\$ 5\); apforce \(3 \mathrm{~B}=\$ 6\)
\}
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "applyforceC") \{
applyforceC=\$2; apforceC=\$3; apforceX0C=\$4;
apforce \(2 \mathrm{C}=\$ 5\); apforce \(3 \mathrm{C}=\$ 6\)
\}
if (\$1=="afatoms") \{
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
if \((\$(\mathrm{i}+1)>0)\) afatom \([\mathrm{i}]=\$(\mathrm{i}+1)\)
\}
\}
if (\$1=="afatomsB") \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) )
if \((\$(i+1)>0)\) afatomB \([i]=\$(i+1)\)
```

        }
    }
    if ($1=="afatomsC") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
        if ($(i+1)>0) afatomC[i]=$(i+1)
        }
        }
    if ($1=="applyforceplane") {
        applyforceplane}=$2\mathrm{ ; apforceplane }=$3\mathrm{ ; apforceplaneX }0=$
        }
    if ($1=="afplaneatoms") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
            if ($(i+1)>0) afplaneatoms[i]=$(i+1)
        }
        }
    if ($1=="zeroatom") {
        zeroatomon=1
        zeroatom=$2
        }
    if ($1=="title") {
        title1=$2
        title2=$3
        title3=$4
        title4=$5
        }
    blankLineTester=length($0)
    }
    }
function diagnosticsA() {
print "****************** starting proggen
******************" >> "diagnostics"
print "method,charge,multiplicity,memory" >> "diagnostics"
print method,charge,multiplicity,memory >> "diagnostics"
print
"processors,checkpoint,title,initialdis,timestep,scaling,temperature"
>> "diagnostics"
print
processors,checkpoint,title1,title2,title3,title4,initialDis,timestep,sc
aling,temp >> "diagnostics"
print
"classical,numimag,highlevel,boxon,boxsize,DRP,maxAtomMove,
cannonball" >> "diagnostics"
print
classical,numimag,highlevel,boxon,boxsize,DRP,maxAtomMove,c
annonball >> "diagnostics"
}
function getstangeos() {

# note that this picks out the last geometry in a file, assuming

# that if there is an optimization followed by a freq, nothing else

follows

# kludgy - repeats last line twice - must be a better way

    do {
        getline < "tempstangeos"
        if (oldline==$0) $0=""
        oldline=$0
        atom = $1
        if (atom>numAtoms) numAtoms=atom
        atNum[atom]=$2
        geoArr[atom,1]=$4; geoArr[atom,2]=$5; geoArr[atom,3]=$6
        geoArrOrig[atom,1]=$4; geoArrOrig[atom,2]=$5;
    geoArrOrig[atom,3]=\$6
velArr[atom,1]=0; velArr[atom,2]=0; velArr[atom,3]=0
}
while (length(\$0)>0)
}
function getatomsAndWeights() {

# put in atomic symbols and atomic weights - assigns a default

```
mass but then reads it from tempmasses when possible
    for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        getline < "tempmasses"
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==1)\) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==\mathrm{H}\) "; atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=1.00783\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==2)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "He";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=4.0026\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==3\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Li} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=6.941\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]=4\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "Be";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=9.012\}\)
        if (atNum[i]==5) \{atSym[i]="B";atWeight[i]=10.811\}
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==6)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{C} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=12\).
        if (atNum[i]==7) \{atSym[i]="N";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=14.007\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==8\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{O} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=15.9994\}\)
        if (atNum[i]==9) \{atSym[i]="F";atWeight[i]=18.9984\}
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==10)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{Ne} " ; \operatorname{atWeight}[\mathrm{i}]=20.1797\}\)
        if (atNum[i]==11) \{atSym[i]="Na";atWeight[i]=22.989\}
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==12\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Mg} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=24.305\}\)
        if (atNum[i]==13) \{atSym[i]="Al";atWeight[i]=26.98154\}
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==14\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Si} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=28.0855\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==15\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{P} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=30.9738\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==16\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{~S} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=32.066\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==17\) ) \(\{\operatorname{atSym}[\mathrm{i}]==\mathrm{Cl}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=35.4527\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==18)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "Ar";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=39.948\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==19)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{~K} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=39.0983\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==20)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Ca} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=40.078\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==21\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Sc}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=44.96\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==22)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Ti} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=47.867\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==23\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{~V} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=50.94\}\)
        if (atNum[i]==24) \{atSym[i]="Cr";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=51.9961\}\)
        if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==25\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Mn} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=54.938\}\)
    if (atNum[i]==26) \{atSym[i]="Fe";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=55.845\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==27\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "Co";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=58.933\}\)
    if (atNum[i]==28) \{atSym[i]="Ni";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=58.693\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==29)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Cu}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=63.546\}\)
    if (atNum[i]==30) \{atSym[i]="Zn";atWeight[i]=65.38\}
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==31\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "Ga";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=69.723\) \}
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==32\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{Ge}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=72.64\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==33\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\) "As";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=74.9216\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==34\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Se}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=78.96\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==35\) ) \{atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Br} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=79.904\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==46)\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{Pd} " ;\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=106.42\}\)
    if (atNum \([\mathrm{i}]==53\) ) \(\{\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=" \mathrm{I}\) ";atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=126.90447\}\)
\# gets actual weight from freqinHP when possible so a prior calc
with readisotopes gets you isotopic substitution
    if \(((\mathrm{i}<100) \& \&(\$ 9>0))\) atWeight \([\mathrm{i}]=\$ 9\)
\# if \(((i>99) \& \&(\$ 8>0))\) atWeight \([i]=\$ 8\)
    if \(((\operatorname{diag}>1) \& \&(\mathrm{i}==1))\) print
"atNum[i],atSym[i], atWeight[i],geoArr[i, 1], geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3
]" >> "diagnostics"
        if (diag>1) print
atNum[i],atSym[i],atWeight[i],geoArr[i,1],geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3]
>> "diagnostics"
        \}
    \}
function getFreqs() \{
\# read in frequencies, scale them, read in Reduced masses, read in
force
\#constants, replace negative frequencies by 2 wavenumbers
    numFreq \(=3 *\) numAtoms-6
    if (geometry=="linear") numFreq=3*numAtoms-5
    for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        \(\$ 0=" "\)
        getline < "tempfreqs"
        freq \([\mathrm{i}]=\$ 0^{*}\) scaling
        if (freq[i]<classicalSpacing) freq[i]=classicalSpacing \#replace
negative freqs and <classicalSpacing by classicalSpacing
wavenumbers, defaulted at 2 wavenumbers
    \}
    for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        \(\$ 0=" "\)
```

    getline < "tempredmass"
    redMass[i]=$0
    if (redMass[i]=="") redMass[i]=1.
    }
    for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i++) {
    $0=""
    getline < "tempfrc"
    frc[i]=$0
    if (frc[i]=="") frc[i]=0.0001
    if (frc[i]==0) frc[i]=0.0001
    if ((diag>1) && (i==1)) print "freq[i],redMass[i],frc[i]" >>
    "diagnostics"
if (diag>1) print freq[i],redMass[i],frc[i] >> "diagnostics"
}
}
function getModes() {

# read in the modes - note that trajectories always need a freq calc

with freq=hpmodes unless classical=2
if (classical!=2) {
for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i+=5) {
for (j=1;j<=(3*numAtoms);j++) {
getline < "tempmodes"
mode[i,\$2,\$1]=\$4; mode[i+1,\$2,\$1]=\$5;
mode[i+2,\$2,\$1]=\$6; mode[i+3,\$2,\$1]=\$7; mode[i+4,\$2,\$1]=\$8
}
}
}
if (diag>2) {for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i++) {print
mode[i,1,1],mode[i,1,2],mode[i,1,3] >> "modesread"}}
}
function readCannonBall() {

# if doing a cannonball trajectory, read in the vector

    if (cannonball>0) {
        for (i=1;i<=numAtoms; ; ++) {
            getline < "cannontraj"
            cannonArr[i,1]=$1; cannonArr[i,2]=$2; cannonArr[i,3]=$3
        }
    }
    }
    function startRandom() {

# collect a series of random numbers from file temp811, generated

from an outside random number generator called by
prodynstarterHP

# read from temp811, starting at a random place

    srand(PROCINFO["pid"]); tester=rand()*1000
    for ( }\textrm{i}=1;\textrm{i}<=\mathrm{ tester; ;++) getline < "temp811"
    for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i++) {
        getline < "temp811"; randArr[i]=$1
        getline < "temp811"; randArrB[i]=$1
        getline < "temp811"; randArrC[i]=$1
    }
    for (i=1;i<=6;i++) {
        getline < "temp811"; randArrR[i]=$1
        }
    
# for a QM-like distribution for a harmonic oscillator in its ground

state, we want to generate a set of random numbers

# between -1 and 1 weighted such that numbers toward the center

are properly more common
i=1
while (i<=numFreq) {
if ((initialDis==2)|(disMode[i]==2)) {
getline < "temp811"
tempNum=2*(\$1-.5)
prob=exp(-(tempNum^2))
getline < "temp811"
if (\$1<prob) {
randArrD[i]=tempNum

```
```

        i++
        }
        }
    if ((initialDis!=2) && (disMode[i]!=2)) i++
    }
    # to start without normal modes or frequencies we need to just
    pick a random direction for the motion of each atom, requiring 3N
random numbers
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
if (\$1>0.5) randArrE[i,j]=1
if (\$1<.5) randArrE[i,j]=-1
}
}
}

```
function setModeEnergies() \{
\# determine energy in each normal mode desiredModeEnK=0
    for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        zpeJ[i] \(=0.5 * h^{*} c^{*}\) freq[i] \#units J per molecule, \# the zpe is
not used when classical but the spacing can be used
    zpeK[i]=zpeJ[i]*avNum/4184 \#units kcal/mol
    if ((classical==1) || (freq[i]<=classicalSpacing)) \{ \# treat all
modes \(<=\) classicalSpacing \(\mathrm{cm}-1\) as classical
            modeEnK[i]=-RgasK*temp*log(randArr[i]) \# gives a
classical distribution of energies averaging RT
            vibN[i]=modeEnK[i]/(2*zpeK[i]) \# the vibN is not an integer
for classical vibrations
            modeEn[i]=zpeJ[i]*1E18*2*vibN[i] \# units here are mDyne
Ansgroms for compatability with Gaussian force constants
        sgrom
\(\}\)
        if \((\) (classical! \(=1) \& \&(\) freq[i] \(>\) classicalSpacing \())\{\)
            if \((\) temp \(<10)\) vibN \([\mathrm{i}]=0\) \# not right at temp \(<10\), which will act
like 0 K
            if (temp>=10) \{
            zpeRat \([\mathrm{i}]=\exp ((-2 *\) zpeK \([\mathrm{i}]) /(\operatorname{RgasK} *\) temp \())\)
            if (zpeRat[i]==1) zpeRat[i]=. 99999999999
            \(\mathrm{Q}[\mathrm{i}]=1 /(1-\mathrm{zpeRat}[\mathrm{i}])\)
newRand=randArr \([\mathrm{i}]\)
            newRand=randArr[i]
            \(\operatorname{vibN}[i]=0\)
            tester \(=1 / \mathrm{Q}[\mathrm{i}]\)
\# get up to 1750 excitations of modes \(>2 \mathrm{~cm}-1\). This should
be plenty for ordinary temperatures, with a ceiling of about 10
be plenty for ordinary temperatures, with a ceiling of about 10
kcal/mol
            for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=(1750 *\) zpeRat \([\mathrm{i}]+2) ; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
                if (newRand>tester) vibN[i]++
                if (newRand>tester) vibN \([1]++\)
tester=tester \(+\left(\left(\right.\right.\) zpeRat \(\left.\left.[\mathrm{i}]^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}\right) / \mathrm{Q}[\mathrm{i}]\right)\)
            \}
        \}
        \(\operatorname{modeEn}[\mathrm{i}]=\left(\mathrm{zpeJ}[\mathrm{i}]^{*} 1 \mathrm{E} 18\right)^{*}\left(2^{*} \operatorname{vibN}[\mathrm{i}]+1\right)\) \# units here are
mDyne Ansgroms for compatability with Gaussian force constants
    \(\operatorname{modeEnK}[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{zpeK}[\mathrm{i}] *(2 *\) vibN\([\mathrm{i}]+1)\)
    \}
    if ((classical==4) \&\& (i>1)) \{
    modeEnK[i]=0 \#this lets you turn off the
energy in modes outside of 1 for single-trajectory study
        \(\operatorname{modeEn}[\mathrm{i}]=0\)
        \(\operatorname{vibN}[\mathrm{i}]=0\)
        \}
    \}
    desiredModeEnK=desiredModeEnK + modeEnK[i]
    \#print zpeK[i], zpeJ[i], modeEnK[i], modeEn[i], vibN[i]
    \}
\}
function setQMrandom() \{
    \(\mathrm{i}=1\)
    while ( \(\mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq) \(\{\)
        getline < "temp811"
```

    tempNum=2*($1-.5)
    prob=psi2(vibN[i],tempNum)
    getline < "temp811"
    
# print

"i",i,"vibN[i]",vibN[i],"tempNum",tempNum,"newrand",\$1,"prob"
,prob
if (\$1<prob) {
randArrQM[i]=tempNum
i++
}
}

# print randArrQM[i]

    }
    ```
function psi2(n,myrand) \(\{\# \mathrm{n}\) is the vibrational state, myrand is my trial displacement
if \((\mathrm{n}>50) \mathrm{n}=50\) \# by this point the distribution is approaching
classical, and anyway running into problems of numerical stability
\[
\mathrm{n}=\operatorname{int}(\mathrm{n})
\]
thepsi2 \(=\left(2^{\wedge}(-n)\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{pi}^{\wedge}-0.5\right)\)
\(\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{myrand}^{*}(2 * \mathrm{n}+1)^{\wedge} 0.5\)
thepsi2 \(=\) thepsi2 \(2 \exp \left(\left(-\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)\)
thei \(=\operatorname{int}((\mathrm{n} / 2))\)
if ( \(\mathrm{n}==0\) ) Her=1
if \((\mathrm{n}>0)\{\)
Her=0
for ( \(\mathrm{m}=0 ; \mathrm{m}<=\) thei; \(\mathrm{m}++\) ) \{
thez \(=\left((2 * r)^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-2 * \mathrm{~m}) /\right.\) factorial \(\left.(\mathrm{m})\right) /\) factorial \((\mathrm{n}-2 * \mathrm{~m})\)
Her \(=\) Her + thez \({ }^{*}(-1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{m}\) \} \}
Her=Her*Her
\#thepsi2=Her*thepsi2* factorial(n)* \(1.75 *(2 * \mathrm{n}+1)^{\wedge} 0.2\) \# scaled
value that wastes fewer random numbers
thepsi2 \(=\) Her*thepsi2*factorial(n)
return thepsi2
\}
function factorial(input) \{
myindex \(=1\); fact \(=1\)
for (myindex=1;myindex<=input;myindex++) \{ fact=fact*myindex \}
return fact
\}
function setModeShifts() \{
\# figure out maximum classical shift and then actual shift
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) \(\operatorname{maxShift}[\mathrm{i}]=(2 * \operatorname{modeEn}[\mathrm{i}] / \mathrm{frc}[\mathrm{i}])^{\wedge} 0.5\) if (initialDis==4) shift[i]=maxShift[i]*randArrQM[i] \# a QM-
correct distribution bounded by the classical limits of the
wavefunction if (initialDis==3)
shift[i]=maxShift[i]*sin(randArrC[i]*3.141592*2) \# initialDis 3
means random phase of normal mode
if (initialDis==2) shift[i]=maxShift[i]*randArrD[i] \# a
Gaussian shift that resembles ground-state harmonic oscillator QM if (initialDis==1) shift[i]=maxShift[i]*(2*(randArrC[i]-0.5))
\#purely random displacement, linearly distributed among
displacements
\[
\text { if (initialDis==0) shift }[\mathrm{i}]=0
\]
\# lines below allow for setting of displacement mode for individual
modes when set by inputting disMode if (disMode[i]==4) shift[i]=maxShift[i]*randArrQM[i] if (disMode \([i]==3\) )
shift[i]=maxShift[i]*sin(randArrC[i]*3.141592*2) if (disMode[i]==2) shift[i]=maxShift[i]*randArrD[i] if \((\operatorname{disMode}[\mathrm{i}]==1) \operatorname{shift}[\mathrm{i}]=\operatorname{maxShift}[\mathrm{i}]^{*}\left(2^{*}(\operatorname{randArrC}[\mathrm{i}]-0.5)\right)\)
if (disMode[i]==10) shift[i]=0 \#kept for backward
compatability
if ( disMode \([\mathrm{i}]==0\) ) shift \([\mathrm{i}]=0\)
\# no displacements along imaginary frequencies and very low ones
- employing a shift can give you initial weird geometries
if (freq[i]<10) shift \([\mathrm{i}]=0\)
if (numimag \(==1\) ) shift \([1]=0\)
if (numimag==2) shift[2]=0
\#diagnostics
if \(((\operatorname{diag}>1) \& \&(i==1))\) print
"zpeJ[i],zpeK[i],zpeRat[i],Q[i],vibN[i],modeEn[i],maxShift[i],shift
[i]" >> "diagnostics" if (diag>1) print
zpeJ[i],zpeK[i],zpeRat[i],Q[i],vibN[i],modeEn[i],maxShift[i],shift[
i] >> "diagnostics"
potentialEn \([\mathrm{i}]=0.5^{*} \mathrm{frc}[\mathrm{i}]^{*}\) shift \([\mathrm{i}]^{\wedge} 2\) \# same units as modeEn potentialEnK[i]=potentialEn[i]*avNum/(4184*1E18)
\}
\}
function getVelocities() \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
kinEn[i]=100000*(modeEn[i]-potentialEn[i]) \# the 100000
converts to g angstrom \({ }^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{\wedge} 2\)
\(\operatorname{vel}[\mathrm{i}]=(2 * \operatorname{kinEn}[\mathrm{i}] /(\mathrm{redMass}[\mathrm{i}] / \text { avNum }))^{\wedge} 0.5 \quad\) \# in angstrom
/s
\#use searchdir in progdyn.conf to control the direction for
trajectories started from a saddle point
if (numimag \(>1\) ) numimag=1 \#only the first freq can be sent in
the searchdir direction, the rest go in a random direction
if (i>numimag) \{
if \((\operatorname{randArrB}[i]<0.5)\) vel \([i]=-\operatorname{vel}[i]\)
\}
if ( \(\mathrm{i}==\) numimag) \(\{\)
if (searchdir=="negative") vel[i]=-vel[i]
\}
if \(((\operatorname{diag}>1) \& \&(\mathrm{i}==1))\) print "vel[i]" >> "diagnostics"
if (diag>1) print vel[i] >> "diagnostics" \}
\# if controlphase is being used, set the velocity on particular modes
as positive or negative as requested
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
if \(((\) controlPhase \([\mathrm{i}]==\) "positive" \() \& \&(\operatorname{vel}[\mathrm{i}]<0))\) vel \([\mathrm{i}]=-\mathrm{vel}[\mathrm{i}]\)
if \(((\) controlPhase \([i]===\) negative" \() \& \&(\operatorname{vel}[i]>0))\) vel[i]=-vel[i] \}
\# multiply each of the modes by its velocity and add them up
\# Do not do this if classical=2
if (classical!=2) \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
for \((k=1 ; k<=3 ; k++)\{\) velMode \([i, j, k]=\) mode \([i, j, k]^{*}\) vel \([i] *\) timestep velArr \([\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}]=\) velArr \([\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}]+\) velMode \([\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}]\) \} \} \}
    \}
\# to start without normal modes or frequencies we figure out the energy per atom based on \(1 / 2 \mathrm{RT}\) in degree of freedom
if (classical==2) \{
\# to avoid a bug with a box on, starts without modes should use the input geometry, not the standard
do \{ getline < "tempinputgeos" if (oldline==\$0) \$0="" oldline \(=\$ 0\) atom \(=\$ 1\) geoArr[atom, 1] \(=\$ 4\); geoArr[atom,2] \(=\$ 5\); geoArr[atom,3] \(=\$ 6\) geoArrOrig[atom,1]=\$4; geoArrOrig[atom,2]=\$5;
geoArrOrig[atom,3]=\$6
```

        }
    while (length($0)>0)
    degFreedomEnK=temp*RgasK
    degFreedomEnJ=degFreedomEnK/(avNum/4184)
    cartEn=degFreedomEnJ*1E18
    kinEnCart=100000*cartEn
    \#print degFreedomEnK, degFreedomEnJ, cartEn, kinEnCart
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
velArr[i,j]=randArrE[i,j]*timestep*(2*kinEnCart/(atWeight[i]/avN
um) )}\mp@subsup{}{}{\wedge}0.
if (DRP==1) velArr[i,j]=0
}
}
}
}
function setAnharmonicShifts() {
for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i++) {
if (anharmonicmode[i]==1) {
PEanharm=anharmk2[i]*shift[i]^2 + anharmk3[i]*shift[i]^3
print i, PEanharm, potentialEnK[i],"
difference",PEanharm-potentialEnK[i]
while (PEanharm < potentialEnK[i]) {
shift[i]=1.01*shift[i]
oldPEanharm=PEanharm
PEanharm=anharmk2[i]*shift[i]^2 +
anharmk3[i]*shift[i]^3
if (PEanharm<oldPEanharm) break
}
while (PEanharm > potentialEnK[i]) {
shift[i]=0.99*shift[i]
PEanharm=anharmk2[i]*shift[i]^2 +
anharmk3[i]*shift[i]^3
}

# print i, PEanharm, potentialEnK[i]

        }
    }
    }
function calcdzdm() {
numCoord=numFreq+15
\#take the parent structure and get a zmat geometry
print "He 0. 0.0." > "temp394.xyz"
print "He 1.0.0." >> "temp394.xyz"
print "He 1.0.1." >> "temp394.xyz"
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
print atSym[i],geoArr[i,1],geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3] >>
"temp394.xyz"
}
close("temp394.xyz")
system("python ~/bin/tozmat.py temp394.xyz >
temp394.gzmat")
for (j=1; j<=numCoord; j+=3) {
getline < "temp394.gzmat"
zAtSym[j] = \$1; zGeoOrig[j] = \$2; zGeoOrig[j+1] = \$3;
zGeoOrig[j+2] = \$4; zConAtom[j] = \$5; zAngAtom[j] = \$6;
zDihAtom[j] = \$7
}
close("temp394.gzmat")
epsilon=0.001
for (i=1;i<=numFreq;i++) {
print "He 0. 0. 0." > "temp394.xyz"
print "He 1.0.0." >> "temp394.xyz"
print "He 1.0.1." >> "temp394.xyz"
for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
print
atSym[j],geoArr[j,1]+epsilon*mode[i,j,1],geoArr[j,2]+epsilon*mo
de[i,j,2],geoArr[j,3]+epsilon*mode[i,j,3] >> "temp394.xyz"

```
\}
close("temp394.xyz")
system("python ~/bin/tozmat.py temp394.xyz >
temp394.gzmat")
for ( \(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numCoord \(; \mathrm{j}+=3\) ) \{
getline < "temp394.gzmat"
zGeoPerturbed \([\mathrm{j}]=\$ 2\); zGeoPerturbed \([\mathrm{j}+1]=\$ 3\);
zGeoPerturbed[j+2] = \$4
\}
close("temp394.gzmat")
for ( \(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numCoord; \(\mathrm{j}++\) ) \{
dzdm[i,j]=(zGeoPerturbed[j] - zGeoOrig[j])/epsilon
\}
\}
\}
function shiftStructure() \{
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numCoord; \(\mathrm{j}++\) ) \{
zGeo[j]=zGeoOrig[j]
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
zGeo[j]=zGeo[j]+shift[i]*dzdm[i,j]
\}
\}
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numCoord \(; \mathrm{j}+=3)\) \{
\(\mathrm{i}=(\mathrm{j}+2) / 3\)
print zAtSym[j], zGeo[j], zGeo[j+1], zGeo[j+2], zConAtom[j],
zAngAtom[j], zDihAtom[j] > "temp394.gzmat"
\}
close("temp394.gzmat")
system("python ~/bin/toxyz.py temp394.gzmat > temp394.xyz")
getline < "temp394.xyz"; getline < "temp394.xyz"; getline <
"temp394.xyz"
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \{
getline < "temp394.xyz"
geoArr \([\mathrm{j}, 1]=\$ 2\); geoArr \([\mathrm{j}, 2]=\$ 3\); geoArr[ \([\mathrm{j}, 3]=\$ 4\)
\}
close("temp394.xyz")
\}
function calcEinModes() \{
KEinitmodes \(=0\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
KEinitmodes \(=\) KEinitmodes \(+0.5^{*}\) atWeight \([j]^{*}\left(\operatorname{velArr}[\mathrm{j}, 1]^{\wedge} 2+\right.\) velArr \(\left.[\mathrm{j}, 2]^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{velArr}[\mathrm{j}, 3]^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(\left(\operatorname{timestep}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\right.\) conver1)
\}
PEinitmodes \(=0\)
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numFreq; \(;{ }^{+}+\)) \(\{\)
PEinitmodes \(=\) PEinitmodes + potentialEnK \([\mathrm{i}]\)
\}
\}
function addMolecularRotation() \{
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\) rotate \(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{j}, 1]=0\)
rotateX[j,2]=-geoArrOrig[j,3]
rotateX[j,3]=geoArrOrig[j,2]
rotate \(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{j}, 1]=-\mathrm{geo} A \mathrm{rrOrig}[\mathrm{j}, 3]\)
rotate \(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{j}, 2]=0\)
rotate \(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{j}, 3]=\) geoArrOrig \([\mathrm{j}, 1]\)
rotateZ[j,1]=-geoArrOrig[j,2]
rotateZ[j,2]=geoArrOrig[j,1] rotate \(Z[j, 3]=0\)
\}
\#figure out how much energy is in the raw vectors
\(\operatorname{eRot} \mathrm{X}=0 ; \operatorname{eRot} \mathrm{Y}=0 ; \operatorname{eRot} \mathrm{Z}=0\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
for ( \(\mathrm{k}=1 ; \mathrm{k}<=3 ; \mathrm{k}++\) ) \(\{\)
\(e \operatorname{Rot} X=e \operatorname{Rot} X+\)
\(0.5^{*}\) atWeight[j]*(rotateX[j,k] \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(\left(\text { timestep }{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\right.\) conver1) \(e \operatorname{Rot} Y=e \operatorname{Rot} Y+\)
```

0.5*atWeight[j]*(rotateY[j,k]^2)/((timestep^2)*conver1)
eRotZ=eRotZ +
0.5*atWeight[j]*(rotateZ[j,k]^2)/((timestep^2)*conver1)
}
}

# print "rotation energies if raw vector used",eRotX,eRotY,eRotZ

\#now deciie how much energy we want in each rotation
keRx=-0.5*0.001987*temp*log(1-randArrR[1])
keRy=-0.5*0.001987*temp*log(1-randArrR[2])
keRz=-0.5*0.001987*temp*log(1-randArrR[3])
if (eRotX<1) keRx=0;if (eRotY<1) keRy=0;if (eRotZ<1)
keRz=0
rotEdesired=keRx+keRy+keRz
signX=1;signY=1;signZ=1
if (randArrR[4]<.5) signX=-1
if (randArrR[5]<.5) signY=-1
if (randArrR[6]<.5) signZ=-1

# print "desired energies",keRx,keRy,keRz,"and random

numbers",randArrR[1],randArrR[2],randArrR[3]
\#protect against zero rotations
if (eRotX<1) eRotX=1;if (eRotY<1) eRotY=1;if (eRotZ<1)
eRotZ=1
\#now scale the rotational vectors
scaleX=(keRx/eRotX)^. 5
scaleY=(keRy/eRotY)^.5
scaleZ=(keRz/eRotZ)^.5

# print "scaling factors" scaleX,scaleY,scaleZ

    for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
        for (k=1;k<=3;k++) {
            rotateX[j,k]=rotateX[j,k]*scaleX*sign X
            rotateY[j,k]=rotateY[j,k]*scaleY*signY
            rotateZ[j,k]=rotateZ[j,k]*scaleZ*signZ
        }
    }
    for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
    
# print rotateX[j,1]," ",rotateX[j,2]," ",rotateX[j,3]

    }
    
# print ""

    for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
    
# print rotateY[j,1]," ",rotateY[j,2]," ",rotateY[j,3]

        }
    
# print""

    for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
    
# print rotateZ[j,1]," ",rotateZ[j,2]," ",rotateZ[j,3]

        }
    
# now add the rotational vectors to velArr

    for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
        for (k=1;k<=3;k++) {
    velArr[j,k]=velArr[j,k]+rotateX[j,k]+rotateY[j,k]+rotateZ[j,k]
}
}
}
function doCannonBall() {

# strategy is adjust multiplier until extra energy is correct

    multiplier=1; tester=0; tolerance=. }
    while (tester==0) {
        KEinittotal=0
        for (j=1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
            cannonvelArr[j,1]=velArr[j,1]+multiplier*cannonArr[j,1];
    cannonvelArr[j,2]=velArr[j,2]+multiplier*cannonArr[j,2];
cannonvelArr[j,3]=velArr[j,3]+multiplier*cannonArr[j,3]
KEinittotal=KEinittotal +
0.5*atWeight[j]*(cannonvelArr[j,1]^2 + cannonvelArr[j,2]^2 +
cannonvelArr[j,3]^2)/((timestep^2)*conver1)
}
if (KEinittotal>(KEinitmodes+cannonball+tolerance))
multiplier=multiplier*0.98901364

```
if (KEinittotal<(KEinitmodes+cannonball-tolerance))
multiplier=multiplier*1.01
if ((KEinittotal<(KEinitmodes+cannonball+tolerance)) \&\&
\((\) KEinittotal \(>(\) KEinitmodes + cannonball-tolerance \())\) ) tester \(=1\)
\}
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{j}++)\) \{
velArr \([\mathrm{j}, 1]=\) velArr \([\mathrm{j}, 1]+\) multiplier* cannonArr[j,1];
velArr[j,2]=velArr[j,2]+multiplier*cannonArr[j,2];
\(\operatorname{vel} A \operatorname{rr}[\mathrm{j}, 3]=\) velArr \([\mathrm{j}, 3]+\) multiplier* cannonArr \([\mathrm{j}, 3]\)
\}
\}

\section*{prog1stpoint}

\section*{BEGIN \{}
\# Nov 2016 organized by functions
\# 2014 added ONIOMcharge, more reliable convergence commands
\# 2013 added multiple NMR calculations, molecular rotations
\# 2012 added NMR calculations
\# 2011 added linkatoms in ONIOM
\# aug 2010 changed so that it is more careful in reading in from geoPlusVel
\# removed some default parameters that should always be defined
\# Jan 2009 - a number of little changes to improve reporting, precision, etc
\# aug 2008 added to atom list so handles H to Cl without change needed
\# version Feb 2008 incorporates methodfile, boxon and boxsize, though this point unaffected by box
\# version Jan 2008 - allows for ONIOM jobs, fixed atoms \# version Sept 2005 - incorportates meth3, meth4, meth5, meth6, but not yet rotation
\# this program creates the first input file for g16
\# the title should be changed as appropriate
\# the isomer number comes from a file isomernumber
initializeparameters()
initializeconstants()
readprogdynconf()
getline < "isomernumber"
isomernum = \$1
getline \(<\) "runpointnumber"
runpointnum \(=\$ 1\)
if (diag>=1) diagnosticsA()
readgeoPlusVel() \#this is different from prog2ndpoint and progdynb because we are just getting the geometry, no velocities or old geos
writecomfile()
\}
END \{
\}
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#\#\#\#\# FUNCTIONS
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
function Distance(Atom1,Atom2) \{
return sqrt((oldarr[Atom1,1]-
oldarr \(\left[\right.\) Atom 2,1] \(\wedge^{\wedge} 2+(\) oldarr \([\) Atom 1,2]-
oldarr[Atom2,2]) \(\wedge^{2}+(\) oldarr[Atom1,3]-oldarr[Atom2,3])^2)
\}
\# default parameters, including quassiclassical, no displacements, transition state, not a DRP
\# do not change these - rather, change progdyn.conf to set the parameters
function initializeparameters() \{
initialDis \(=0\); timestep \(=1 \mathrm{E}-15\); scaling \(=1.0\); temp \(=298.15\)
classical \(=0 ;\) numimag \(=1 ; D R P=0 ;\) cannonball \(=0\)
memory=20000000
diag \(=1\); checkpoint="g16.chk"; searchdir="positive"; boxon=0 boxsize=10; maxAtomMove=0.1; title1="you"; title2="need" title3="a"; title4="progdyn.conf"; processors=1;
highlevel \(=99999\); linkatoms \(=0\)
damping \(=1\);nonstandard=0;geometry="nonlinear";nonstandard=0 nmrtype \(=0 ;\) nmrevery \(=9999999 ; n m r c c=0 ;\) nmrrand \(=0 ; n m r d o=0\) thermostat \(=0\);thermostatemult \(=1.00\) oniomcharge \(=0\); oniommult \(=0\) applyforce \(=0\); applyforce \(B=0\); applyforceC \(=0\); zeroatomon \(=0\) sphereon \(=0\); spheresize \(=999\); sphereforceK \(=0.01\) empiricaldispersion \(=0\); radiusmultiplier \(=1.25\) \}
function initializeconstants ()\(\{\)
srand(PROCINFO["pid"])
\(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{k}=1\)
\(\mathrm{c}=29979245800 ; \mathrm{h}=6.626075 \mathrm{E}-34 ;\) avNum \(=6.0221415 \mathrm{E} 23\)
RgasK \(=0.00198588 ;\) Rgas \(\mathrm{J}=8.31447 ; \mathrm{pi}=3.14159265359\)
numAtoms \(=0 ;\) atomnumber \(=0\)
conver \(1=4.184 \mathrm{E} 26\) \#dividing by this converts amu angs \(\wedge 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\) to
\(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
OFS=" "
\}
\# read progdyn.conf for configuration info
function readprogdynconf() \{
blankLineTester=10
while (blankLineTester>1) \{ getline < "progdyn.conf"
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method") method=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method2") meth \(2=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "charge") charge \(=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "multiplicity") multiplicity \(=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "oniomchargemult") \{
oniomcharge \(=\$ 2\)
oniommult \(=\$ 3\)
\}
if (\$1=="memory") memory=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "processors") processors=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "checkpoint") checkpoint=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "timestep") timestep \(=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "diagnostics") diag=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "temperature") temp \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="thermostat") thermostat=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "thermostatmult") thermostatmult= \(=\$ 2\)
if (thermostatmult \(>1\) ) thermostatmult \(=1 /\) thermostatmult
if \((\$ 1==\) "method3") meth3=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method4") meth4=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method5") meth5=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method6") meth6=\$2
if (\$1=="method7") meth7=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "highlevel") highlevel=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "linkatoms") linkatoms \(=\$ 2\)
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom1") fixedatom \(1=\$ 2\)
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom 2 ") fixedatom \(2=\$ 2\)
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom3") fixedatom \(3=\$ 2\)
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom4") fixedatom \(4=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxon") boxon= \(=2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxsize") boxsize= \(=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "sphereon") sphereon=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "spheresize") spheresize \(=\$ 2\)
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "sphereforce") sphereforceK=\$2
if (\$1=="DRP") DRP=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "maxAtomMove") maxAtomMove=\$2
if (\$1=="methodfile") methodfilelines=\$2
if (\$1=="killcheck") killcheck=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "empiricaldispersion") empiricaldispersion=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "radiusmultiplier") radiusmultiplier=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "damping") damping \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="NMRmethod") nmrmethod=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRmethod2") nmrmethod2=\$2
if (\$1=="NMRmethod3") nmrmethod3=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "NMRtype") nmrtype \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="NMRevery") nmrevery=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "NMRrand") nmrrand= \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="loadlimit") loadlimit=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "NMRcc") nmrcc= \(=\$ 2\)
if (\$1=="nonstandard") nonstandard=\$2
if (\$1=="applyforce") \{
applyforce \(=\$ 2\); apforce \(=\$ 3\); apforceX \(0=\$ 4\); apforce \(2=\$ 5\);
apforce \(3=\$ 6\)
\}
if (\$1=="applyforceB") \{
applyforce \(B=\$ 2\); apforce \(B=\$ 3\); apforceX \(0 B=\$ 4\);
apforce \(2 \mathrm{~B}=\$ 5\); apforce \(3 \mathrm{~B}=\$ 6\)
\}
if (\$1=="applyforceC") \{
applyforceC \(=\$ 2\); apforceC \(=\$ 3\); apforceX0C=\$4;
apforce \(2 \mathrm{C}=\$ 5\); apforce \(3 \mathrm{C}=\$ 6\)
\}
if (\$1=="afatoms") \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++)\) \{
if \((\$(i+1)>0)\) afatom \([i]=\$(i+1)\)
\}
\}
if (\$1=="afatomsB") \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++)\) \{
if \((\$(i+1)>0)\) afatom \([i]=\$(i+1)\)
\}
\}
if (\$1=="afatomsC") \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++)\{\)
if \((\$(\mathrm{i}+1)>0)\) afatomC \([\mathrm{i}]=\$(\mathrm{i}+1)\)
\}
\}
if (\$1=="applyforceplane") \{
applyforceplane \(=\$ 2\); apforceplane \(=\$ 3\); apforceplaneX \(0=\$ 4\) \}
if (\$1=="afplaneatoms") \{
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) if \((\$(i+1)>0)\) afplaneatoms \([i]=\$(i+1)\)
\}
\}
if (\$1=="zeroatom") \{
zeroatomon=1
zeroatom=\$2
\}
if (\$1=="title") \{
title \(1=\$ 2\)
title \(2=\$ 3\)
title \(3=\$ 4\)
title \(4=\$ 5\)
\}
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
\}
\}
function diagnosticsA() \{
print \(" * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *\) starting progdynb
*****************" >> "diagnostics"
print "method,charge,multiplicity,memory" >> "diagnostics" print method,charge,multiplicity,memory >> "diagnostics"
print "processors,checkpoint,title" >> "diagnostics"
print processors,checkpoint,title1,title2,title3,title4 >>
```

"diagnostics"
}
function readgeoPlusVel() {
\#this is different from prog2ndpoint and progdynb because we are
just getting the geometry, no velocities or old geos
\#read in number of atoms, geometry, masses from geoPlusVel
getline < "geoPlusVel"
numAtoms=\$1

# geometry

    for (i=1; i<=numAtoms; ; ++) {
        getline < "geoPlusVel"
        weight[i]=$5
        atSym[i]=$1
        for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
            geoArr[i,j]=$(1+j)
        }
    }
    blankLineTester=10
    while (blankLineTester>1) {
        getline < "geoPlusVel"
        if ($11=="potential") potentialE=$13
        blankLineTester=length($0)
        }
    }
    function writecomfile () {
print "%nproc=" processors
print "%mem=" memory
if (killcheck!=1) print "%chk=" checkpoint
if (nonstandard==0) {
print "\#p " method " force
scf=(xqc,maxconven=155,fulllinear,nosym) "
if (meth2=="unrestricted") print "guess=mix" \#for unrestricted
calculations
if (length(meth3)>2) print meth3
if (length(meth4)>2) print meth4
}
if (nonstandard==1) {
print "\# "
print "nonstd"
system("cat nonstandard")
}
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
if (DRP==1) {
print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove
print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove >>
"movelist"
}
print ""
if (oniommult==0) print charge,multiplicity
if (oniommult>0) print
charge,multiplicity,oniomcharge,oniommult
for (i=1; i<=numAtoms; ; ++) {
printf("%s %.7f %.7f
%.7f",atSym[i],geoArr[i,1],geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3])
if ((i>highlevel) \&\& (i<==highlevel+linkatoms)) printf("
%s","M H")
if (i>(highlevel+linkatoms)) printf(" %s","M")
print ""
}
print ""
if (length(meth5)>2) print meth5
if (length(meth6)>2) print meth6
if (methodfilelines>=1) {

```

\section*{"diagnostics"}
```

function readgeoPlusVel() \{
\#this is different from prog2ndpoint and progdynb because we are just getting the geometry, no velocities or old geos
\#read in number of atoms, geometry, masses from geoPlusVel
getline < "geoPlusVel"
\# geometry
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=$ numAtoms; $\mathrm{i}++$ ) $\{$
getline < "geoPlusVel"
weight[i]=\$5
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++$ ) $\{$
geoArr $[i, j]=\$(1+j)$
\}
\}
blankLineTester=10
while (blankLineTester>1) \{
getline < "geoPlusVel"
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
\}
\}
function writecomfile () \{
sors
print \%mem=" memory
if (nonstandard==0) \{
print "\#p " method " force
scf=(xqc,maxconven=155,fulllinear,nosym) " if (meth2=="unrestricted") print "guess=mix" \#for unrestricted
calculations
if (length(meth3)>2) print meth3
if (length(meth4) $>2$ ) print meth4
\}
if (nonstandard==1) \{
print "\#"
std" system("cat nonstandard")
\}
print ""
pr. "ren,...,
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
if $(\mathrm{DRP}==1)\{$
print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove
print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove >>
movelist"
print ""
if (oniommult==0) print charge,multiplicity
if (oniommult>0) print
arge,multiplicity,oniomcharge,oniommult
printf("\%s \%.7f \%.7f
\%.7f",atSym[i],geoArr[i,1],geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3])
(isel) \&\& ( $1<=$ highlevel+linkatoms)) printf(
s", M H")
ighlevel+linkatoms)) printf( \%s", M")
print ""
print ""
if (length(meth5) $>2$ ) print meth5
if (methodfilelines>=1) \{

```
```

    for (i=1;i<=methodfilelines;i++) {
    getline < "methodfile"
    print $0
    }
    }
    print ""
if ((nmrtype>0) \&\& ((runpointnum % nmrevery)==0)) {
print "--link1--"
print "%nproc=" processors
print "%mem=" memory
print "%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (nmrmethod==method) print "guess=tcheck"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge,multiplicity
}
print ""
if ((nmrtype>1) \&\& ((runpointnum % nmrevery)==0)) {
print "--link1--"
print "%nproc=" processors
print "%mem=" memory
print "%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod2 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge,multiplicity
}
print ""
if ((nmrtype>2) \&\& ((runpointnum % nmrevery)==0)) {
print "--link1--"
print "%nproc=" processors
print "%mem=" memory
print "%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod3 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge,multiplicity
}
print ""
}

```

\section*{prog2ndpoint}

BEGIN \{
\# Nov 2016 organized into functions
\# 2014 added ONIOMcharge, more reliable convergence commands
\# aug 2013 includes molecular rotation, ability to do multiple NMR calculations, ONIOM with link atoms,
\#nonstandard routes, checks more kinds of energies at point 2
\#Aug 2010 added etolerance to make it controllable from progdyn.conf, made it so that DRP does not check energy \# aug 2008 added to atom list so handles 1 to 17 without change needed
\# version Feb 2008 incorporates methodfile, boxon and boxsize, though this point unaffected by box
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \# version Jan 2008-allows for ONIOM jobs, fixed atoms & \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# \\
\hline \# version Sept 9, 2005 - incorportates meth3, meth4, meth5, meth6, but not yet rotation & function Distance(Atom1,Atom2) \{ return sqrt((oldarr[Atom1,1]- \\
\hline \# read progdyn.conf for configuration info & \begin{tabular}{l}
oldarr[Atom2,1]) \()^{\wedge} 2+(\) oldarr[Atom 1,2]- \\
oldarr[Atom2,2] \()^{\wedge} 2+\left(\right.\) oldarr[Atom1,3]-oldarr[Atom2,3]) \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline initializeparameters() & \} \\
\hline initializeconstants() & \\
\hline readprogdynconf() & \# default parameters, including quassiclassical, no displacements, transition state, not a DRP \\
\hline getline < "isomernumber" & \# do not change these - rather, change progdyn.conf to set the \\
\hline getline \(<\) "runpointnumber" & function initializeparameters() \{ \\
\hline runpointnum = \$1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initialDis }=0 ; \text { timeste }=1 \mathrm{E}-15 ; \text { scaling }=1.0 ; \text { temp }=298.15 \\
& \text { classical }=0 ; \text { numimag }=1 ; \mathrm{DRP}=0 ; \text { cannonball }=0
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline if (diag>=1) diagnosticsA() & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { memory=20000000 } \\
& \text { diag=1; checkpoint="g16.chk"; searchdir="positive"; boxon=0 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \#get forward or reverse from skipstart if it exists & boxsize=10; maxAtomMove=0.1; title1="you"; title2="need" \\
\hline getline \(<\) "skipstart" & title3="a"; title4="progdyn.conf"; processors=1; \\
\hline trajdirection = \$1 & ```
highlevel=99999; linkatoms=0
    damping=1;nonstandard=0;geometry="nonlinear";nonstandard=0
``` \\
\hline readgeoPlusVel() & nmrtype \(=0 ;\) nmrevery \(=9999999 ;\) mrcc \(=0 ; \mathrm{nmrrand}=0 ; \mathrm{nmrdo}=0\) \\
\hline putPoint1Traj() & thermostat \(=0\);thermostatemult \(=1.00\) \\
\hline addVelocities() & oniomcharge \(=0\); oniommult \(=0\) \\
\hline \} \# end of BEGIN & applyforce \(=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{B}=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{C}=0\); zeroatomon \(=0\) sphereon \(=0\); spheresize \(=999\); sphereforce \(K=0.01\) \\
\hline \#pull out the potential energy & empiricaldispersion \(=0\); radiusmultiplier \(=1.25\) \\
\hline /SCF Done/ || /EUMP2 =/ || / Energy=/ || /ONIOM:/ \{ & etolerance \(=1\) \\
\hline if ((\$1=="Energy=") \& \& (\$3=="NIter=")) newPotentialE=\$2 & \} \\
\hline if ( \(\$ 1==\) "SCF") newPotentialE=\$5 \#This was subject to a bug at one time - grep Samae in old files & function initializeconstants() \{ \\
\hline if (\$2=="extrapolated") newPotentialE=\$5 & srand(PROCINFO["pid"]) \\
\hline if (\$1=="E2") \{ & \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{k}=1\) \\
\hline tempstring \(=\$ 6\) & \(\mathrm{c}=29979245800 ; \mathrm{h}=6.626075 \mathrm{E}-34 ; \mathrm{avNum}=6.0221415 \mathrm{E} 23\) \\
\hline split(tempstring, arr10, "D") & RgasK \(=0.00198588\); Rgas \(\mathrm{J}=8.31447\); \(\mathrm{p}=3.14159265359\) \\
\hline newPotentialE=arr10[1]*(10^arr10[2]) & numAtoms \(=0\); atomnumber \(=0\) \\
\hline \} & conver \(1=4.184 \mathrm{E} 26\) \#dividing by this converts amu angs \({ }^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\) to \\
\hline newPotEK=(newPotentialE-potentialE)*627.509 & \(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\) \\
\hline newPotentialEK=(newPotentialE-potentialE)*627.509 & OFS=' \\
\hline \} & \} \\
\hline \# now we go ahead and collect the forces from the point 1 file & \# read progdyn.conf for configuration info \\
\hline (/ 1 / \| / 2 /||/ 3 /\|/ / 4 /||/ 5 /||/ & function readprogdynconf() \{ \\
\hline 6 /\|/ 7 /\|/ 8 /||/ 9 /||/ 10 /||/ 11 & blankLineTester=10 \\
\hline  & while (blankLineTester>1) \{ \\
\hline  & getline < "progdyn.conf" \\
\hline 22 /\|/ 23 /\|/ 24 /\|/ 25 /\|/ 26 /\|/ & if (\$1=="method") method=\$2 \\
\hline 27 /||/ 28 /\|/ 29 /\|/ 30 /\|/ 31 /||/ & if (\$1=="method2") meth2=\$2 \\
\hline \(32 / \| / 33\) / ||/ \(34 / \| / 35\) /) \& \& length \((\$ 3)>9\) \{ & if ( \(\$ 1==\) "charge") charge=\$2 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{i}=\) \$1 & if (\$1=="multiplicity") multiplicity=\$2 \\
\hline for ( \(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \{ & if (\$1=="oniomchargemult") \{ \\
\hline forceArr \([1, j]=\$(2+j) \quad\) \#the raw units of the forces are & oniomcharge \(=\$ 2\) \\
\hline Hartree/Bohr & oniommult=\$3 \\
\hline \} & \} \\
\hline if (( diag>1) \& \& (i==1)) print & if (\$1=="memory") memory=\$2 \\
\hline "i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]" >> & if (\$1=="processors") processors=\$2 \\
\hline "diagnostics" & if (\$1=="checkpoint") checkpoint=\$2 \\
\hline if (diag>1) print & if (\$1=="timestep") timestep=\$2 \\
\hline i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3] >> & if (\$1=="diagnostics") diag=\$2 \\
\hline "diagnostics" & if (\$1=="temperature") temp=\$2 \\
\hline \} & if (\$1=="thermostat") thermostat=\$2 \\
\hline & if (\$1=="thermostatmult") thermostatmult=\$2 \\
\hline END \{ & if (thermostatmult>1) thermostatmult=1/thermostatmult \\
\hline if ( \(\mathrm{DRP}==0\) ) doEcheck() & if (\$1=="method3") meth \(3=\$ 2\) \\
\hline addForceEffect() & if (\$1=="method4") meth \(4=\$ 2\) \\
\hline writecomfile() & if (\$1=="method5") meth5=\$2 \\
\hline writetraj() & if (\$1=="method6") meth6=\$2 \\
\hline \} & if (\$1=="method7") meth7=\$2 \\
\hline & if (\$1=="highlevel") highlevel=\$2 \\
\hline \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# & if (\$1=="linkatoms") linkatoms=\$2 \\
\hline \#\#\#\#\# FUNCTIONS & if (\$1=="fixedatom1") fixedatom1=\$2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
meth6, but not yet rotation
\# read progdyn.conf for configuration info
initializeparameters()
initializeconstants()
readprogdynconf()
getline < "isomernumber"
isomernum = \$1
getline < "runpointnumber"
runpointnum \(=\$ 1\)
if (diag>=1) diagnosticsA()
\#get forward or reverse from skipstart if it exists
getline \(<\) "skipstart"
trajdirection \(=\$ 1\)
readgeoPlusVel()
point Traj(
addVelocities()
\} \# end of BEGIN
\#pull out the potential energy
/SCF Done/ || /EUMP2 =/ || / Energy=/ || /ONIOM:/
if \(((\$ 1==\) "Energy=") \&\& (\$3=="NIter=" \()\) ) newPotentialE=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "SCF") newPotentialE=\$5 \#This was subject to a bug at
if (\$2=="extrapolated") newPotentialE=\$5
if (\$1=="E2") \{
tempstring \(=\$ 6\)
split(tempstring, arr10, "D")
newPotentialE \(=\operatorname{arr} 10[1] *\left(10^{\wedge} \operatorname{arr} 10[2]\right)\)
\}
newPotEK=(newPotentialE-potentialE)*627.509
newPotentialEK \(=(\) newPotentialE-potentialE \() * 627.509\)
\}
\# now we go ahead and collect the forces from the point 1 file
\(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrr}(/ \| & 1 & / \| / & 2 & / \| / & 3 & / \| / & 4 & / \| / & 5 & / \| / \\ 6 & / \| / & 7 & / \| / & 8 & / \| / & 9 & / \| / & 10 & / \| / & 11\end{array}\)
/ || / 12 / ||/ 13 / ||/ 14 /||/ 15 /||/ 16 /||
/ 17 / \|/ 18 / ||/ 19 /||/ 20 / ||/ 21 / ||/
22 /||/ 23 /||/ 24 /||/ 25 /||/ 26 /||/
32 / || / 33 / ||/ 34 / ||/ 35 /) \& \& length(\$3) > 9 \{
\(\mathrm{i}=\$ 1\)
for \(\left(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}^{++}\right)\)
force \(\operatorname{Arr}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\$(2+\mathrm{j}) \quad\) \#the raw units of the forces are
Hartree/Bohr
if ((diag>1) \& \& (i==1)) print
"i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]" >>
diagnostics"
if (diag>1) print
i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3] >>
"diagnostics"

END \{
if ( \(\mathrm{DRP}==0\) ) doEcheck()
addForceEffect()
writecomfile()
writetraj()
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# \#\#\#\#\# FUNCTIONS
[
oldarr[Atom2,1])^2+(oldarr[Atom1,2]-
ldarr[Atom2,2]) \({ }^{\wedge} 2+(\) oldarr[Atom1,3]-oldarr[Atom2,3])^2)
\# default parameters, including quassiclassical, no displacements,
transition state, not a DRP
parameters
initialDis \(=0\); timestep \(=1 \mathrm{E}-15\); scaling \(=1.0\); temp \(=298.15\)
classical \(=0 ;\) numimag \(=1 ;\) DRP \(=0 ;\) cannonball \(=0\)
memory=20000000
boxsize=10; maxAtomMove=0.1; title1="you"; title2="need"
,
damping=1;nonstandard=0;geometry="nonlinear";nonstandard=0
nmrtype \(=0 ;\) nmrevery \(=9999999 ; n m r c c=0 ; n m r r a n d=0 ; n m r d o=0\)

applyforce \(=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{B}=0\); applyforceC \(=0\); zeroatomon \(=0\)
mpicaldisprion=0; radismultipler 1.25
olerance=
function initializeconstants() \{
rand(PROCINFO["pid"]
\(\mathrm{c}=29979245800 ; \mathrm{h}=6.626075 \mathrm{E}-34 ;\) avNum=6.0221415E23
numAtoms=0; atomnumber=0
\(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
function readprogdynconf() \{
while (blankLineTester>1) \{
getline < "progdyn.conf"
=="method") method=\$2
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "charge") charge= \(=\$ 2\)
(\$1=="oniomchargemult") \{
(\$1
```

    if ($1=="fixedatom2") fixedatom2=$2
    if ($1=="fixedatom3") fixedatom3=$2
    if ($1=="fixedatom4") fixedatom4=$2
    if ($1=="boxon") boxon=$2
    if ($1=="boxsize") boxsize=$2
    if ($1=="sphereon") sphereon=$2
    if ($1=="spheresize") spheresize=$2
    if ($1=="sphereforce") sphereforceK=$2
    if ($1=="DRP") DRP=$2
    if ($1=="maxAtomMove") maxAtomMove=$2
    if ($1=="methodfile") methodfilelines=$2
    if ($1=="killcheck") killcheck=$2
    if ($1=="etolerance") etolerance=$2
    if ($1=="reversetraj") reversetraj=$2
    if ($1=="empiricaldispersion") empiricaldispersion=$2
    if ($1=="radiusmultiplier") radiusmultiplier=$2
    if ($1=="damping") damping=$2
    if ($1=="NMRmethod") nmrmethod=$2
    if ($1=="NMRmethod2") nmrmethod2=$2
    if ($1=="NMRmethod3") nmrmethod3=$2
    if ($1=="NMRtype") nmrtype=$2
    if ($1=="NMRevery") nmrevery=$2
    if ($1=="NMRrand") nmrrand=$2
    if ($1=="loadlimit") loadlimit=$2
    if ($1=="NMRcc") nmrcc=$2
    if ($1=="nonstandard") nonstandard=$2
    if ($1=="applyforce") {
        applyforce=$2; apforce=$3; apforceX }0=$4;\mathrm{ apforce 2=$5;
    apforce3=\$6
}
if (\$1=="applyforceB") {
applyforceB=\$2; apforceB=\$3; apforceX0B=\$4;
apforce2B=\$5; apforce3B=\$6
}
if (\$1=="applyforceC") {
applyforceC=\$2; apforceC=\$3; apforceX0C=\$4;
apforce2C=\$5; apforce3C=\$6
}
if ($1=="afatoms") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
            if ($(i+1)>0) afatom[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if ($1=="afatomsB") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
            if ($(i+1)>0) afatomB[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if($1=="afatomsC") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
            if ($(i+1)>0) afatomC[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if (\$1=="applyforceplane") {
applyforceplane=\$2; apforceplane=\$3; apforceplaneX0=\$4
}
if ($1=="afplaneatoms") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
            if ($(i+1)>0) afplaneatoms[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if(\$1=="zeroatom") {
zeroatomon=1
zeroatom=\$2
}
if(\$1=="title") {
titlel=\$2
title2=\$3
title3=\$4
title4=\$5

```
        blankLineTester=length(\$0)
        \}
    \}
function diagnosticsA() \{
    print \(" * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *\) starting progdynb
******************" >> "diagnostics"
    print "method,charge,multiplicity,memory" >> "diagnostics"
    print method,charge,multiplicity,memory >> "diagnostics"
    print "processors,checkpoint,title" >> "diagnostics"
    print processors,checkpoint,title1,title2,title3,title4 >>
"diagnostics"
    \}
function readgeoPlusVel() \{
\#this is different from prog1stpoint and progdynb because we are
just getting velocities
\#read in number of atoms, geometry, masses from geoPlusVel
getline < "geoPlusVel"
numAtoms=\$1
\# geometry
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
getline < "geoPlusVel"
weight \([i]=\$ 5\)
atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=\$ 1\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++)\{\)
geoArr \([\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\$(1+\mathrm{j})\)
\}
\}
\#velocities
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) getline < "geoPlusVel"
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \{
\(\operatorname{velArr}[i, j]=\$ j\)
\} \}
\#pull out other information useful for testing whether total energy
is right or bad
blankLineTester=10
while (blankLineTester>1) \{
getline < "geoPlusVel" if ( \(\$ 4==\) "desired=") desiredModeEnK=\$5
if (\$4=="modes=") \{
KEinitmodes=\$5
KEinittotal=\$9
\}
if \((\$ 11==\) "potential") potentialE=\$13
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
\}
\}
function addVelocities() \{
\# ok, now we have to figure the second point. this should be
\(\# \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{v}^{*} \mathrm{t}+1 / 2 * \mathrm{~F}^{*} \mathrm{t}^{\wedge} 2 / \mathrm{m}\)
\#now we go ahead and add the velocities
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) for \(\left(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}^{++}\right)\)\{
\(\operatorname{arr}[i, j]=\operatorname{vel} A \operatorname{rr}[i, j]+\operatorname{geo} A r r[i, j]\)
if (trajdirection=="reverserestart") arr \([i, j]=\) geoArr \([i, j]\) -
velArr[i,j]
\}
if \(((\) diag \(>1) \& \&(i==1))\) print "geometry after adding
velocities" >> "diagnostics" if (diag>1) print arr[i,1],arr[i,2],arr[i,3] >> "diagnostics" \}
\}
function putPoint1Traj() \{
\#get initial geometry into file traj
```

    print numAtoms >> "traj"
    print potentialE,title1,title2,title3,title4,"runpoint 1 ","runisomer
    ",isomernum >> "traj"
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
print atSym[i],geoArr[i,1],geoArr[i,2],geoArr[i,3] >> "traj"
}
}
function doEcheck() {
print "trajectory \#",isomernum >> "Echeck"
print "point 1 potential E=",newPotentialEK," point 1 kinetic
E=",KEinitmodes," Total=",newPotentialEK+KEinitmodes >>
"Echeck"
print "desired total energy=", desiredModeEnK >> "Echeck"
if
((newPotentialEK+KEinitmodes)>(desiredModeEnK+etolerance))
print "XXXX bad total Energy" >> "Echeck"
if ((newPotentialEK+KEinitmodes)<(desiredModeEnK-
etolerance)) print "XXXX bad total Energy" >> "Echeck"
}
function addForceEffect() {

# x (t) = x + v*t + 1/2*F*t^2/m

# turn the forces into motion

    for (i=1;i<=numAtoms; ; ++) {
        for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
    
# conversions here take force to J/angstrom, 1E20 converts to kg

angstroms / s^2, then mult time ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{s}}{}{\wedge}\textrm{s})\mathrm{ and divide by weight in kg to
get angstroms
forceArr[i,j]=0.5*1E20*forceArr[i,j]*627.509*(4184/(0.529177*a
vNum))*(timestep^2)/(weight[i]/(avNum*1000))

# for simplicity, DRPs will throw away the forces at the second

pont. This means that if we are not at a saddlepoint, point 2=
point 1 but this is a minor waste
if (DRP==1) forceArr[i,j]=0
arr[i,j]=arr[i,j]+forceArr[i,j]

# if atoms are fixed, replace calcd new position by original position

            if ((i===fixedatom1)|( }\textrm{i}===\mathrm{ fixedatom2) | (i==fixedatom }3)
    (i==fixedatom4)) arr [i,j]=geoArr[i,j]
}
if ((diag>1) \&\& (i==1)) print
"i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]" >>
"diagnostics"
if (diag>1) print
i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3] >>
"diagnostics"
}
}
function writecomfile() {
print "%nproc=" processors
print "%mem=" memory
if (killcheck!=1) print "%chk=" checkpoint
if (nonstandard==0) {
print "\# " method " force
scf=(xqc,maxconven=55,fulllinear,nosym) "
if (meth2=="unrestricted") print "guess=mix" \#for unrestricted
calculations
if (meth2=="read") print "guess=tcheck" \#for reading orbitals
from check, sometimes faster, sometimes not
if (length(meth3)>2) print meth3
if (length(meth4)>2) print meth4
}
if (nonstandard==1) {
print "\# "
print "nonstd"
system("cat nonstandard")
}
print ""

```
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ", isomernum
print ""
if (oniommult==0) print charge,multiplicity
if (oniommult \(>0\) ) print
charge,multiplicity,oniomcharge,oniommult
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
\(\operatorname{printf}(" \% \mathrm{~s} \% .7 \mathrm{f} \% .7 \mathrm{f} \% .7 \mathrm{f}\) ", atSym[i], arr[i,1], arr[i,2], \(\operatorname{arr}[\mathrm{i}, 3])\)
if ((i>highlevel) \&\& (i<=highlevel+linkatoms)) printf("
\%s","M H")
if (i>(highlevel+linkatoms)) printf(" \%s","M")
print ""
\(\underset{\text { print }}{ }\)
print ""
if (length(meth5)>2) print meth5
if (length(meth6)>2) print meth6
if (methodfilelines>=1) \{
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) methodfilelines; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \{ getline \(<\) "methodfile" print \(\$ 0\)
\}
\}
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>0) \& \&((\) runpointnum \(\%\) nmrevery \()==0))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (nmrmethod==method) print "guess=tcheck"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge, multiplicity
\}
print ""
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>1) \& \&((\) runpointnum \(\%\) nmrevery \()==0))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod2 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge, multiplicity
print ""
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>2) \& \&((\) runpointnum \(\%\) nmrevery \()==0))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod3 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7)>2) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge, multiplicity
\}
print ""
\}
```

function writetraj() {
\#get second geometry into file traj
print numAtoms >> "traj"
print newPotentialE,title1,title2,title3,title4,"runpoint
",runpointnum,"runisomer ",isomernum >> "traj"
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
print atSym[i],arr[i,1],arr[i,2],arr[i,3] >> "traj"
}
}

```
progdynb

BEGIN \{ \#this is the main routine for generating new .com files by the Verlet algorithym
\# Nov 2016 organized into functions, added empirical dispersion
\# Oct 2015 added sanity check, elimiated dyn files and keepevery
\# May 2015 added ability to force solvent into a sphere
\# Feb 2015 added zeroatom ability to make solute centered in solvent
\# Jan 2015 added applyforce to apply forces on motion of atoms and allow PMF calculations
\# Dec 2014 added oniom charge specifications
\# 2013 added multiple NMR calculations, molecular rotations, thermostat commands
\# 2012 added NMR calculations
\# 2011 added linkatoms in ONIOM
\# Aug 2010 increased elements handled automatically but only up to bromine!
\# Jan 2009 - a number of little changes to improve reporting, precision, etc
\# Nov 2008 added ability to handle DRPs
\# Aug 2008 added long list of atoms to handle 1-17 without change
\# May 2008 added option to put out velocities in vellist - make diag=3
\# version Feb 2008 incorporates methodfile, boxon and boxsize \# version Jan 2008 incorporates fixed atoms, oniom, and velocity damping
\# version August 2007 incorporates keepevery to decrease size of dyn file
\# version Sept 11, 2005 - incorportates meth3, meth4, meth5, meth6, but not yet rotation
initializeparameters()
initializeconstants()
readprogdynconf()
getline < "isomernumber"
isomernum = \$1
getline < "runpointnumber"
runpointnum = \$1
if (diag>=1) diagnosticsA()
readgeoPlusVelAndoldAndolder()
\#readgeoPlusVelAndoldAndolder sets numAtoms, weight[i],
atSym[i], potentialE, oldarr[at,1to3], olderarr[at,1to3]
\# also sets apparentTemp, KEold, does sanitycheck
if ( \(\mathrm{DRP}==1\) ) readoldAdjForcesAndmaxMove() \#for DRPs read in
oldForce[at,123] and maxAtomMove
nmrstuffA() \# sets nmrdo to 0 or 1 , controls where NMR calcs are
done
\}
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# END OF BEGIN
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#pull out the potential energy
/SCF Done/ || /EUMP2 =/ || / Energy=/ || /ONIOM:/ \{
if \(((\$ 1==\) "Energy=") \&\& (\$3=="NIter=" \()\) ) newPotentialE=\$2
if (\$1=="SCF") newPotentialE=\$5
```

if (\$2=="extrapolated") newPotentialE=\$5
if (\$1=="E2") \{
tempstring $=\$ 6$
split(tempstring, arr10, "D")
newPotentialE=arr10[1]*(10^arr10[2])
\}
newPotEK $=($ newPotentialE-potentialE $) * 627.509$
\}
\#must adjust next line for weird atoms

| $(1)$ | 1 | 2 | $/ \\| /$ | 3 | $/ \\| /$ | 4 | $/ \\| /$ | 5 | $/ \\| /$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6 /||/ 7 /||/ 8 /\|/ 9 /||/ 10 /||/ 11
/ \|/ 12 / ||/ 13 /\|/ 14 /\|/ 15 /\|/ 16 / ||

```

```

22 / \|/ 23 /\|/ $24 \quad / \| / \quad 25$ /\|/ 26 /\|/
27 /||/ 28 /\|/ 29 /||/ 30 /\|/ 31 / ||/
$32 /\|/ 33 /\| / \quad 34 / \| / 35$ / $) \& \&$ length $(\$ 3)>9$ \{
$\mathrm{i}=\$ 1$
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++)\{$
force $\operatorname{Arr}[i, j]=\$(2+j) \quad$ \#the raw units of the forces are
Hartree/Bohr
\}
\#if ((diag>1) \&\& (i==1)) print
"i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]" >>
"diagnostics"
\#if (diag>1) print
, weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3] >>
"diagnostics"
\}

```

END \{
if (sphereon==1) applysphereforce() \# apply a force to bring atoms within a sphere. This also figures out the density at
\(0.9^{*}\) spheresize
\# routines to apply forces between atoms, used for umbrella
sampling
if (applyforceplane>0) doapplyforceplane() \#used to apply a force on an atom versus its distance from a plane
setinterlockingsphereatom() \#apply force to one of a series of atoms, whichever is closest to afatom[1]
if (applyforce>0) doapplyforce()
if (applyforceB>0) doapplyforceB()
if (applyforceC>0) doapplyforceC()
if (empiricaldispersion>0) doempiricaldispersion()
if (zeroatomon==1) dozeroatom() \#routine to slowly move an atom
toward the origin
if (thermostat==1) dothermostat()
if (DRP==1) doDRP() \#routine for steepest descent path in mass
weighted coordinates
if (DRP==0) doVerlet() \#normal routine for Verlet
writetraj()
writecomfile()
\}
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#\#\#\#\# FUNCTIONS
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
function Distance(Atom1,Atom2) \{
return sqrt((oldarr[Atom1,1]-
oldarr[Atom2,1])^2+(oldarr[Atom1,2]
oldarr[Atom2,2])^2+(oldarr[Atom1,3]-oldarr[Atom2,3])^2) \}
\# default parameters, including quassiclassical, no displacements, transition state, not a DRP
\# do not change these - rather, change progdyn.conf to set the parameters
function initializeparameters() \{
initialDis \(=0\); timestep \(=1 \mathrm{E}-15\); scaling \(=1.0\); temp \(=298.15\)
classical \(=0\); numimag \(=1 ; \mathrm{DRP}=0 ;\) cannonball \(=0\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
memory \(=20000000\) \\
diag \(=1\); checkpoint="g16.chk"; searchdir="positive"; boxon=0 boxsize=10; maxAtomMove=0.1; title1="you"; title2="need" title3="a"; title4="progdyn.conf"; processors=1; highlevel \(=99999\); linkatoms=0 damping \(=1\);nonstandard \(=0 ;\) geometry \(=\) "nonlinear";nonstandard \(=0\) nmrtype \(=0 ;\) nmrevery \(=9999999 ; n m r c c=0 ;\) nmrrand \(=0 ;\) nmrdo \(=0\) thermostat \(=0\);thermostatemult \(=1.00\) oniomcharge \(=0\); oniommult \(=0\) applyforce \(=0\); applyforce \(\mathrm{B}=0\); applyforceC \(=0\); zeroatomon \(=0\) sphereon \(=0\); spheresize \(=999\); sphereforceK \(=0.01\) empiricaldispersion \(=0\); radiusmultiplier \(=1.25\) \}
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & ```
function initializeconstants() \{
    srand(PROCINFO["pid"])
    \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{k}=1\)
    \(\mathrm{c}=29979245800 ; \mathrm{h}=6.626075 \mathrm{E}-34 ;\) avNum=6.0221415E 23
    RgasK \(=0.00198588\); RgasJ \(=8.31447\); pi=3.14159265359
    numAtoms \(=0\); atomnumber \(=0\)
    conver \(1=4.184 \mathrm{E} 26\) \#dividing by this converts amu angs \(\wedge 2 / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\) to
\(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
    OFS=" "
    \}
``` \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
\# read progdyn.conf for configuration info function readprogdynconf() \{ \\
blankLineTester=10 \\
while (blankLineTester>1) \{ \\
getline \(<\) "progdyn.conf" \\
if (\$1=="method") method=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1===\) method2") meth \(2=\$ 2\) \\
if \((\$ 1==\) "charge") charge=\(\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "multiplicity") multiplicity=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="oniomchargemult") \{ \\
oniomcharge \(=\$ 2\) \\
oniommult=\$3 \\
\} \\
if (\$1=="memory") memory=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="processors") processors=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="checkpoint") checkpoint=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="timestep") timestep=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "diagnostics") diag=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "temperature") temp=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "thermostat") thermostat= \(=\$\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "thermostatmult") thermostatmult=\$2 \\
if (thermostatmult>1) thermostatmult \(=1 /\) thermostatmult \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method3") meth3=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method4") meth4 \(=\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1===\) "method5") meth5 \(5=\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method6") meth6=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "method7") meth \(7=\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "highlevel") highlevel=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "linkatoms") linkatoms=\$2 \\
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom1") fixedatom \(1=\$ 2\) \\
if \((\$ 1==\) "fixedatom2") fixedatom \(2=\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "fixedatom3") fixedatom3=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "fixedatom4") fixedatom4=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxon") boxon= \(\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "boxsize") boxsize= \(\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "sphereon") sphereon= \(\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "spheresize") spheresize= \(\$ 2\) \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "sphereforce") sphereforceK=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="DRP") DRP=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "maxAtomMove") maxAtomMove=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="methodfile") methodfilelines=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="killcheck") killcheck=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="empiricaldispersion") empiricaldispersion=\$2 \\
if ( \(\$ 1==\) "radiusmultiplier") radiusmultiplier=\$2 \\
if (\$1=="damping") damping=\$2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

    if ($1=="NMRmethod") nmrmethod=$2
    if ($1=="NMRmethod2") nmrmethod2=$2
    if ($1=="NMRmethod3") nmrmethod3=$2
    if ($1=="NMRtype") nmrtype=$2
    if ($1=="NMRevery") nmrevery=$2
    if ($1=="NMRrand") nmrrand=$2
    if ($1=="loadlimit") loadlimit=$2
    if ($1=="NMRcc") nmrcc=$2
    if ($1=="nonstandard") nonstandard=$2
    if ($1=="applyforce") {
    applyforce=$2; apforce=$3; apforceX0=$4; apforce2=$5;
    apforce3=\$6
}
if (\$1=="applyforceB") {
applyforceB=\$2; apforceB=\$3; apforceX0B=\$4;
apforce2B=\$5; apforce3B=\$6
}
if (\$1=="applyforceC") {
applyforceC=\$2; apforceC=\$3; apforceX0C=\$4;
apforce2C=\$5; apforce3C=\$6
}
if ($1=="afatoms") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
                if ($(i+1)>0) afatom[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if ($1=="afatomsB") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
        if ($(i+1)>0) afatomB[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if($1=="afatomsC") {
        for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
        if ($(i+1)>0) afatomC[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if (\$1=="applyforceplane") {
applyforceplane=\$2; apforceplane=\$3; apforceplaneX0=\$4
}
if ($1=="afplaneatoms") {
            for (i=1;i<8;i++) {
                if ($(i+1)>0) afplaneatoms[i]=\$(i+1)
}
}
if (\$1=="zeroatom") {
zeroatomon=1
zeroatom=\$2
}
if (\$1=="title") {
title 1=\$2
title2=\$3
title3=\$4
title4=\$5
}
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
}
}
function diagnosticsA() {
print "***************** starting progdynb
*****************" >> "diagnostics"
print "method,charge,multiplicity,memory" >> "diagnostics"
print method,charge,multiplicity,memory >> "diagnostics"
print "processors,checkpoint,title" >> "diagnostics"
print processors,checkpoint,title1,title2,title3,title4 >>
"diagnostics"
}

```
function readgeoPlusVelAndoldAndolder() \{
\# get number of atoms and weights from geoPlusVel, and previous
```

geometries from old and older
getline < "geoPlusVel"
numAtoms=\$1
for (i=1;i<=numAtoms;i++) {
getline < "geoPlusVel"
weight[i]=\$5; atSym[i]=\$1
}
blankLineTester=10
while (blankLineTester>1) {
getline < "geoPlusVel"
if (\$11=="potential") potentialE=\$13
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
}
for (at=1;at<=numAtoms;at++) {
getline < "old"
oldarr[at,1]=\$4; oldarr[at,2]=\$5; oldarr[at,3]=\$6
}
for (at=1;at<=numAtoms;at++) {
getline < "older"
olderarr[at,1]=\$4; olderarr[at,2]=\$5; olderarr[at,3]=\$6
atomVel=((oldarr[at,1]-olderarr[at,1])^2 + (oldarr[at,2]
olderarr[at,2])^2 +(oldarr[at,3]-olderarr[at,3])^2)^.5

```
KEold=KEold \(+0.5^{*}\) weight \([a t]^{*}(\) atomVel^ 2\() /\left((\text { timestep } \wedge 2)^{*}\right.\) conver
1)
\# sanity check - avoids trajectory blow up
    if (atomVel>1) exit
    \}
    apparentTemp=KEold*2/(3*RgasK*numAtoms) \# this is not the
temperature at the previous point but rather 1.5 points back.
\# sanity check - avoids trajectory blow up
    for ( \(\mathrm{at}=1 ; \mathrm{at}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{at}++\) ) \{
        if \(\left(\left((\text { oldarr }[a t, 1] \text {-olderarr }[a t, 1])^{\wedge} 2\right)>1\right)\) exit
        \}
    \}
function readoldAdjForcesAndmaxMove() \{
\#for DRPs read in oldAdjForces and maxAtomMove
    for ( \(\mathrm{a}=1 ; \mathrm{at}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{at}++\) ) \(\{\)
        getline < "oldAdjForces"
        oldForce[at, 1 ] \(=\$ 1\); oldForce[at,2]=\$2; oldForce[at,3]=\$3
    \}
    getline < "maxMove"
    if \(((\$ 1<\) maxAtomMove \() \& \&(\$ 1>0))\) maxAtomMove=\$1
    if ( maxAtomMove \(<0.000001\) ) maxAtomMove \(=0.000001\)
    \}
function nmrstuffA() \{
\# sets nmrdo to 0 or 1 , controls where NMR calcs are done
if \(((\mathrm{nmrrand}==0) \& \&((\) runpointnum \(\%\) nmrevery \()==0))\)
nmrdo=1
if \(((\) nmrrand= \(=1) \& \&(\operatorname{rand}()<(1 / \mathrm{nmrevery})))\) nmrdo=1
getline < "uptimelist"
\(x=1.0001 * \operatorname{substr}(\$ 10,1,3)\);if \((x<8) x=8\)
\# turn of nmrs if load is too high - this is under control of loadlimit parameter in progdyn.conf and requires proganal to make uptimelist
if \(((\) nmrrand \(==1) \& \&(x>\) loadlimit \()) n m r d o=0\)
\}
function applysphereforce() \{
\# apply a force to bring atoms within a sphere. This also figures out the density at \(0.9^{*}\) spheresize
\# We are going through the loop twice, the first time figure outs the total pressure, then scales the forces to limit the pressure to maxpressure
\# the second time actually applies the pressure maxpressure \(=1000\) \#atmospheres. Later we may parameterize
this.
sphereforcetotal \(=0\)
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
distToOrig \(=\left(\left(\text { oldarr }[\mathrm{i}, 1]^{\wedge} 2+\text { oldarr }[\mathrm{i}, 2]^{\wedge} 2+\text { oldarr }[\mathrm{i}, 3]^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\right)\)
if (distToOrig>spheresize) \{
sphereforce \(=\) sphereforceK \({ }^{*}\) (distToOrig-spheresize \()\)
if (sphereforce \(>0.01\) ) sphereforce \(=0.01\) \#important limit on
force for atoms far outside of the sphere, not sure if value chosen is best
sphereforcetotal=sphereforcetotal+sphereforce
\}
\}
sphereforcetotalNewtons=sphereforcetotal*627.509*4184*1E10/(0 .529177*avNum)
surfaceareaSqMeters \(=4 *\) pi \(^{*}\) spheresize \({ }^{\wedge} 2 / 1 \mathrm{E} 20\)
pressurePascal=sphereforcetotalNewtons/surfaceareaSqMeters
pressureAtm=pressurePascal/101325
if (pressureAtm>maxpressure)
sphereforceK=sphereforceK*maxpressure/pressureAtm
\# go through the loop again
sphereforcetotal \(=0\); totalweight \(=0\)
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
distToOrig \(=\left(\left(\text { oldarr }[i, 1]^{\wedge} 2+\text { oldarr }[i, 2]^{\wedge} 2+\text { oldarr }[i, 3]^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\right)\)
if (distToOrig>spheresize) \(\{\)
sphereforce \(=\) sphereforceK \({ }^{*}\) (distToOrig-spheresize)
if (sphereforce \(>0.01\) ) sphereforce=0.01 \#important limit on
force for atoms far outside of the sphere, not sure if value chosen is best
sphereforcetotal \(=\) sphereforcetotal + sphereforce
unit \(\mathrm{X}=\) sphereforce*oldarr \([\mathrm{i}, 1] /\) distToOrig;unit \(\mathrm{Y}=\) sphereforce*olda
\(\operatorname{rr}[\mathrm{i}, 2] /\) distToOrig;unitZ \(=\) sphereforce*oldarr \([\mathrm{i}, 3] /\) distToOrig
forceArr \([i, 1]=\) force \(A r r[i, 1]-\)
unitX;forceArr[i,2]=forceArr[i,2]-
unitY;forceArr[i,3]=forceArr[i,3]-unitZ
\}
\# calculate the density at 0.9 *spheresize
if (distToOrig \(<0.9 *\) spheresize) \{
totalweight=totalweight + weight[i]
\}
\}
\#recalculate pressure
sphereforcetotalNewtons \(=\) sphereforcetotal \(* 627.509 * 4184 * 1 \mathrm{E} 10 /(0\)
.529177*avNum)
surfaceareaSqMeters \(=4 *\) pi \(^{*}\) spheresize \({ }^{\wedge} 2 / 1 \mathrm{E} 20\)
pressurePascal=sphereforcetotalNewtons/surfaceareaSqMeters
pressureAtm=pressurePascal/101325
density \(=\left(\right.\) totalweight/avNum)\(/\left((4 / 3) *\right.\) pi \(^{*}(0.9 *\) spheresize* 1 E 8) 3 )
\}
function doapplyforceplane() \{
\#used to apply a force on an atom versus its distance from a plane
if (afplaneatoms \([4]<.5\) ) \{
print "you need more atoms to define a plane"
exit
\}
for \((\mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
if (afplaneatoms[i]>.5) \{
\(\mathrm{k}=\) afplaneatoms[i]
\(\mathrm{A}[1,1]=\mathrm{A}[1,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]^{\wedge} 2 ; \mathrm{A}[1,2]=\mathrm{A}[1,2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]^{*}\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}\), 2]; \(\mathrm{A}[1,3]=\mathrm{A}[1,3]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]\)
\(\mathrm{A}[2,1]=\mathrm{A}[2,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1] *\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2] ; \mathrm{A}[2,2]=\mathrm{A}[2,2]+\) oldarr[k,2] \({ }^{\wedge}\)
\(2 ; \mathrm{A}[2,3]=\mathrm{A}[2,3]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2]\)
\(\mathrm{A}[3,1]=\mathrm{A}[3,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1] ; \mathrm{A}[3,2]=\mathrm{A}[3,2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2] ; \mathrm{A}[3,3]++\)
```

b[1]=b[1]+oldarr[k,1]*oldarr[k,3];b[2]=b[2]+oldarr[k,2]*oldarr[k,
3];b[3]=b[3]+oldarr[k,3]

# find center of mass assuming all atoms same weight

cmass[1]=cmass[1]+oldarr[k,1];cmass[2]=cmass[2]+oldarr[k,2];c
mass[3]=cmass[3]+oldarr[k,3];
}
}
numplaneatoms=A[3,3]

```
cmass[1]=cmass[1]/A[3,3];cmass[2]=cmass[2]/A[3,3];cmass[3]=c
\(\operatorname{mass}[3] / \mathrm{A}[3,3]\)
\# print "matrix A"
\# for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}=3 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
\# print \(\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}, 1], \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}, 2], \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}, 3]\)
\# \}
\# print "matrix b"
\# print \(\mathrm{b}[1], \mathrm{b}[2], \mathrm{b}[3]\)
\(\mathrm{Det}=\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{A}[1,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]\)
*A[3,2]-A[1,3]*A[2,2]*A[3,1]-A[1,2]*A[2,1]*A[3,3]-
\(\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,2]\)
\(\mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~b}[3]+\mathrm{A}[1,3] * \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,2]-\right.\)
\(\mathrm{A}[1,3] * \mathrm{~A}[2,2] * \mathrm{~b}[3]-\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,3]-\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]) / \mathrm{Det}\)
\(\mathrm{F}=\left(\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{A}[1,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[3]-\right.\)
\(\mathrm{A}[1,3] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,1]-\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,1] * \mathrm{~A}[3,3]-\mathrm{A}[1,1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~b}[3]) / \mathrm{Det}\)
\(\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{A}[1,1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,2] * \mathrm{~b}[3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,1] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]-\)
\(\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,1]-\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~A}[2,1] * \mathrm{~b}[3]-\mathrm{A}[1,1] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]) /\) Det
\# \(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}\), and G are the coefficients in the plane \(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Ex}+\mathrm{Fy}+\mathrm{G}^{\prime \prime}\)
\# make a function that tests the fit
    for ( \(\mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        if (afplaneatoms[i]>.5) \(\{\)
            \(\mathrm{k}=\) afplaneatoms \([\mathrm{i}]\)
            distplane \(=\left(-o l d a r r[\mathrm{k}, 1] * \mathrm{E}\right.\)-oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2]^{*} \mathrm{~F}+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 3]\) -
\(\mathrm{G}) /\left(\mathrm{E}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2+1\right)^{\wedge} .5\);if \((\) distplane \(<0)\) distplane \(=-\) distplane
            aberror=aberror+distplane
        \}
    \}
\# if the fit is bad, as can happen, decrease to 3 atoms in plane,
using only the first three atoms in list after the conatom
    if (aberror>2) \{
        print "original aberror",aberror >> "diagnostics"
\(\mathrm{A}[1,1]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[1,2]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[1,3]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[2,1]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[2,2]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[2,3]=0 ; \mathrm{A}[3,1]\)
\(=0 ; A[3,2]=0 ; A[3,3]=0\)
    for ( \(\mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i} \mathbf{i} 5 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
        \(\mathrm{k}=\) afplaneatoms \([\mathrm{i}]\)
\(\mathrm{A}[1,1]=\mathrm{A}[1,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]^{\wedge} 2 ; \mathrm{A}[1,2]=\mathrm{A}[1,2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]^{*}\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}\),
2];A[1,3]=A[1,3]+oldarr[k,1]
\(\mathrm{A}[2,1]=\mathrm{A}[2,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1] *\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2] ; \mathrm{A}[2,2]=\mathrm{A}[2,2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2]^{\wedge}\)
2; \(\mathrm{A}[2,3]=\mathrm{A}[2,3]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2]\)
\(\mathrm{A}[3,1]=\mathrm{A}[3,1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1] ; \mathrm{A}[3,2]=\mathrm{A}[3,2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2] ; \mathrm{A}[3,3]++\)
\(\mathrm{b}[1]=\mathrm{b}[1]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1]^{*}\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 3] ; \mathrm{b}[2]=\mathrm{b}[2]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2] *\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}\),
\(3] ; \mathrm{b}[3]=\mathrm{b}[3]+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 3]\)
    \}
Det \(=\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{A}[1,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]\)
*A[3,2]-A[1,3]*A[2,2]*A[3,1]-A[1,2]*A[2,1]*A[3,3]-
\(\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]\)
\(\mathrm{E}=\left(\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~b}[3]+\mathrm{A}[1,3] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]-\right.\)
\(\mathrm{A}[1,3] * \mathrm{~A}[2,2] * \mathrm{~b}[3]-\mathrm{A}[1,2] * \mathrm{~b}[2] * \mathrm{~A}[3,3]-\mathrm{b}[1] * \mathrm{~A}[2,3] * \mathrm{~A}[3,2]) / \mathrm{Det}\)
\(\mathrm{F}=\left(\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]+\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{A}[1,3]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[3]\right.\)

\section*{\(\left.\mathrm{A}[1,3]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]-\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,3]-\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,3]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[3]\right) /\) Det}
\(\mathrm{G}=\left(\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[3]+\mathrm{A}[1,2]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]+\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,2]-\right.\)
\(\left.\mathrm{b}[1]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,1]-\mathrm{A}[1,2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[2,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[3]-\mathrm{A}[1,1]^{*} \mathrm{~b}[2]^{*} \mathrm{~A}[3,2]\right) /\) Det aberror=0
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i}<5 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) \(\mathrm{k}=\) afplaneatoms \([\mathrm{i}]\) distplane \(=\left(-\right.\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 1] *\) E-oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 2]^{*} \mathrm{~F}+\) oldarr \([\mathrm{k}, 3]\) -
\(\mathrm{G}) /\left(\mathrm{E}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2+1\right)^{\wedge} .5\);if (distplane \(\left.<0\right)\) distplane=-distplane aberror=aberror + distplane
        \}
    \}
conatom=afplaneatoms[1]
distplane=(-oldarr[conatom,1]*E-
oldarr[conatom,2]*F+oldarr[conatom,3]-G)/(E^2+F^2+1)^.5 if (distplane \(<0\) ) distplane=-distplane
\(\mathrm{t}=\) (oldarr[conatom, 1 ] * + oldarr \([\) conatom, 2\(] * \mathrm{~F}\) -
oldarr \([\) conatom, 3\(]+\mathrm{G}) /\left(\mathrm{E}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2+1\right)\)
planepoint[1]=oldarr[conatom,1]-
t*E;planepoint[2]=oldarr[conatom,2]-
t*F;planepoint[3]=oldarr[conatom,3]+t
distcmass \(=\left((\text { planepoint }[1]-\text { cmass }[1])^{\wedge} 2+(\right.\) planepoint \([2]-\)
cmass[2])^2+(planepoint[3]-cmass[3])^2)^. 5
print
"distplane",distplane,"t",t,"planepoint",planepoint[1],planepoint[2],
planepoint[3],"distcmass",distcmass,"aberror",aberror >>
"diagnostics"
if (distcmass>1.4) \{
vector[1]=planepoint[1]-cmass[1];vector[2]=planepoint[2]-
cmass[2];vector[3]=planepoint[3]-cmass[3]
vector \([1]=\) vector \([1]^{*} 1.4 /\) distemass;vector[2]=vector[2]*1.4/distem ass;vector[3]=vector[3]*1.4/distcmass
planepoint[1]=cmass[1]+vector[1];planepoint[2]=cmass[2]+vector
[2];planepoint[3]=cmass[3]+vector[3]; distcmass \(=\left((\text { planepoint[1]-cmass[1]) })^{\wedge} 2+(\right.\) planepoint[2]-
cmass[2]) \(\left.\wedge^{\wedge} 2+(\text { planepoint[3]-cmass[3] })^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\) distplane \(=((\) planepoint \([1]-\)
oldarr[conatom, 1])^2+(planepoint[2]-
oldarr \([\) conatom, 2\(\left.])^{\wedge} 2+(\text { planepoint }[3] \text {-oldarr }[\text { conatom, } 3])^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\) print "new
distplane",distplane,"newplanepoint",planepoint[1],planepoint[2],p
lanepoint[3],"newdistcmass",distemass >> "diagnostics" \}
delX=oldarr[conatom,1]-planepoint[1];delY=oldarr[conatom,2]-
planepoint[2];delZ=oldarr[conatom,3]-planepoint[3]
if (applyforceplane==2) apforceplane=apforceplane*(distplane-
apforceplaneX0)
unitX=apforceplane*delX/distplane;unit \(Y=\) apforceplane*delY/dist plane;unitZ=apforceplane*delZ/distplane forceArr[conatom, 1]=forceArr[conatom,1]-
unitX;forceArr[conatom,2]=forceArr[conatom,2]-
unitY;forceArr[conatom,3]=forceArr[conatom,3]-unitZ
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
if (afplaneatoms[i]>.5) \{
platom=afplaneatoms[i]
forceArr[platom,1]=forceArr[platom,1]+unitX/numplaneatoms;for ceArr[platom,2]=forceArr[platom,2]+unitY/numplaneatoms;force Arr[platom,3]=forceArr[platom,3]+unitZ/numplaneatoms
        \}
        \}
    \}
function setinterlockingsphereatom() \{
\#apply force to one of a series of atoms, whichever is closest to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { afatom }[1] \\
& \quad \text { for }(\mathrm{i}=3 ; \mathrm{i}<8 ; \mathrm{i}++) \\
& \quad \text { if }(\text { afatom }[\mathrm{i}]>0)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & ```
if(Distance(afatom[1],afatom[i])<Distance(afatom[1],afatom[2]))
afatom[2]=afatom[i]
    }
    if (afatomB[i]>0) {
``` \\
\hline & ```
\(\operatorname{if}(\) Distance (afatomB[1], afatomB[i])<Distance(afatomB[1],afatom
\(\mathrm{B}[2])\) ) afatomB[2]=afatomB[i]
    \}
    if (afatomC \([i]>0\) ) \{
``` \\
\hline & ```
if(Distance(afatomC[1],afatomC[i])<Distance(afatomC[1],afatom
C[2])) afatomC[2]=afatomC[i]
        }
    }
    }
``` \\
\hline & ```
function doapplyforce() \{
\# applyforce 1 puts a linear constant force.
\# applyforce 2 puts on a harmonic restoring force to apforceX0
    delX=oldarr[afatom[1],1]-
oldarr[afatom[2],1];delY=oldarr[afatom[1],2]-
oldarr[afatom[2],2];delZ=oldarr[afatom[1],3]-oldarr[afatom[2],3];
    distatoms \(=\left(\operatorname{del} X^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ} \wedge 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
    if (applyforce==2) apforce=apforce*(distatoms-apforceX0)
    if (applyforce==3) apforce=apforce* \((\) distatoms-apforceX0 \()+\)
apforce2*(distatoms-apforceX0)^2
    if \((\) applyforce \(==4)\) apforce \(=\) apforce * (distatoms-apforceX0 \()+\)
apforce2*(distatoms-apforceX0) \({ }^{\wedge} 2+\) apforce 3*(distatoms-
apforceX0)^3
``` \\
\hline & ```
unitX=apforce*delX/distatoms;unitY=apforce*delY/distatoms;;uni
tZ=apforce*delZ/distatoms;
    forceArr[afatom[1],1]=forceArr[afatom[1],1]-
unitX;forceArr[afatom[1],2]=forceArr[afatom[1],2]-
unitY;forceArr[afatom[1],3]=forceArr[afatom[1],3]-unitZ
``` \\
\hline & ```
forceArr[afatom[2],1]=forceArr[afatom[2],1]+unitX;forceArr[afat
om[2],2]=forceArr[afatom[2],2]+unitY;forceArr[afatom[2],3]=forc
eArr[afatom[2],3]+unitZ
    }
``` \\
\hline & ```
function doapplyforce B() \{
    delX=oldarr[afatomB[1],1]-
oldarr[afatomB[2],1];delY=oldarr[afatomB[1],2]-
oldarr[afatomB[2],2];delZ=oldarr[afatomB[1],3]-
oldarr[afatomB[2],3];
    distatoms \(=\left(\operatorname{delX}{ }^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
    if (applyforce \(B=2\) ) apforce \(B=\) apforce \(B^{*}\) (distatoms-
apforceX0B)
    if (applyforce \(B=3\) ) apforce \(B=\) apforce \(B\) *(distatoms-
apforceX0B) + apforce2B*(distatoms-apforceX0B)^2
    if (applyforce \(B==4\) ) apforce \(B=\) apforce \(B^{*}\) (distatoms-
apforceX0B) + apforce2B*(distatoms-apforceX0B)^2 +
apforce3B*(distatoms-apforceX0B)^3
``` \\
\hline & ```
unitX=apforceB*delX/distatoms;unitY=apforceB*delY/distatoms;
unitZ=apforceB*delZ/distatoms;
    forceArr[afatomB[1],1]=\mathrm{ forceArr[afatomB[1],1]-}
unitX;forceArr[afatomB[1],2]=forceArr[afatomB[1],2]-
unitY;forceArr[afatomB[1],3]=forceArr[afatomB[1],3]-unitZ
``` \\
\hline & forceArr[afatomB[2],1]=forceArr[afatomB[2],1]+unitX;forceArr[a fatomB[2],2]=forceArr[afatomB[2],2]+unitY;forceArr[afatomB[2] ,3]=forceArr[afatomB[2],3]+unitZ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
function doapplyforceC() \{
delX=oldarr[afatomC[1],1]-
oldarr[afatomC[2],1];delY=oldarr[afatomC[1],2]-
oldarr[afatomC[2],2];delZ=oldarr[afatomC[1],3]oldarr[afatomC[2],3];
distatoms \(=\left(\operatorname{delX} X^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
if (applyforceC==2) apforceC=apforceC* (distatomsapforceX0C)
if (applyforceC==3) apforceC=apforceC* (distatomsapforceX0C) + apforce2C*(distatoms-apforceX0C)^2 if (applyforceC==4) apforceC=apforceC* (distatomsapforceX0C) + apforce2C*(distatoms-apforceX0C) \({ }^{\wedge} 2+\) apforce \(3 \mathrm{C}^{*}\) (distatoms-apforceX0C)^3
unit \(\mathrm{X}=\) apforceC* delX/distatoms;unit \(\mathrm{Y}=\) apforceC*delY/distatoms;; unitZ=apforceC*delZ/distatoms;
forceArr[afatomC[1],1]=forceArr[afatomC[1],1]-
unitX;forceArr[afatomC[1],2]=forceArr[afatomC[1],2]-
unitY;forceArr[afatomC[1],3]=forceArr[afatomC[1],3]-unitZ
forceArr[afatomC[2],1]=forceArr[afatomC[2],1]+unitX;forceArr[a fatomC[2],2]=forceArr[afatomC[2],2]+unitY;forceArr[afatomC[2] ,3]=forceArr[afatomC[2],3]+unitZ \}
function doempiricaldispersion() \{
EdispHtotal=0
s6=empiricaldispersion
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
if (atSym[i]=="H") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=0.14 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.001\}\)
\# if (atSym[i]=="H") \{c6[i]=0.16;r0[i]=1.11\} if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==\) "He") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=0.08 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.012\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Li") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=1.61 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=0.825\}\) if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==\) "Be") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=1.61 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.408\}\) if (atSym[i]=="B") \{c6[i]=3.13;r0[i]=1.485\} if \(\left(\operatorname{atSym}[\mathrm{i}]=={ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime}\right)\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=1.75 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.452\}\) if \((\operatorname{atSym}[\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{~N} ")\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=1.23 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.397\}\)
\# if (atSym[i]=="N") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=1.11 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.55\}\) if (atSym[i]=="O") \{c6[i]=0.70;r0[i]=1.342\}
\# if (atSym[i]=="O") \{c6[i]=0.70;r0[i]=1.49\} if \((\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]===" \mathrm{~F}\) ") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=0.75 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.287\}\) if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]===\mathrm{Ne}\) ") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=0.63 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.243\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Na") \{c6[i]=5.71;r0[i]=1.144\} if \((\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{Mg} ")\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=5.71 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.364\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Al") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.79 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.639\}\) if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{Si} ")\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=9.23 ; \mathrm{r0}[\mathrm{i}]=1.716\}\) if (atSym[i]=="P") \{c6[i]=7.84;r0[i]=1.705\} if \((\) atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{~S} ")\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=5.57 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.683\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Cl") \{c6[i]=5.07;r0[i]=1.639\}
\# if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]=={ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}\) ") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=8.00 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.82\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Ar") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=4.61 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.595\}\) if (atSym[i]=="K") \{c6[i]=10.8;r0[i]=1.485\} if (atSym[i]=="Ca") \{c6[i]=10.8;r0[i]=1.474\} if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==" \mathrm{Sc}\) ") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Ti") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym[i]=="V") \{c6[i]=10.8;r0[i]=1.562\} if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]==\) "Cr") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Mn") \{c6[i]=10.8;r0[i]=1.562\} if (atSym[i]=="Fe") \{c6[i]=10.8;r0[i]=1.562\} if (atSym[i]=="Co") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]===\mathrm{Ni}\) ") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Cu") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]===\mathrm{Zn} ")\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=10.8 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.562\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Ga") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=16.99 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.65\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Ge") \(\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=17.10 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.727\}\) if (atSym[i]=="As") \{c6[i]=16.37;r0[i]=1.76\} if (atSym \([\mathrm{i}]===\) "Se" \()\{\mathrm{c} 6[\mathrm{i}]=12.64 ; \mathrm{r} 0[\mathrm{i}]=1.771\}\) if (atSym[i]=="Br") \{c6[i]=12.47;r0[i]=1.749\} if (atSym[i]=="Pd") \{c6[i]=24.67;r0[i]=1.639\}
```

    if (atSym[i]=="I") {c6[i]=31.5;r0[i]=1.892}
    r0[i]=r0[i]*radiusmultiplier
    }
    for (i=1;i<numAtoms;;++) {
for (j=i+1;j<=numAtoms;j++) {
Rij=Distance(i,j)
if (Rij<8) { \#cutoff of 8 angstroms to save time - later this
can be parameterized
\#need to get units of force to Hartrees/Bohr, since that is the units
of forceArr
EdispK=Edisp(c6[i],c6[j],Rij,r0[i],r0[j])*1E6/4184 \#in
kcal/mol
FdispK=Fdisp(c6[i],c6[j],Rij,r0[i],r0[j])*1E6/4184 \#in
kcal/mol per angstrom
EdispH=EdispK/627.509
EdispHtotal=EdispHtotal+EdispH
FdispHB=FdispK*0.52917725/627.509

# print i,j,Cij,Rij,r0[i],r0[j],fdmp," ",EdispK,FdispK,"

",EdispH,FdispHB,EdispHtotal
delX=oldarr[i,1]-oldarr[j,1];delY=oldarr[i,2]-
oldarr[j,2];delZ=oldarr[i,3]-oldarr[j,3];
unitX=FdispHB*delX/Rij;unitY=FdispHB*delY/Rij;;unitZ=Fdisp
HB*delZ/Rij;
forceArr[i,1]=forceArr[i,1]+unitX;forceArr[i,2]=forceArr[i,2]+unit
Y;forceArr[i,3]=forceArr[i,3]+unitZ
forceArr[j,1]=forceArr[j,1]-
unitX;forceArr[j,2]=forceArr[j,2]-
unitY;forceArr[j,3]=forceArr[j,3]-unitZ
}
}
}
newPotentialE=newPotentialE+EdispHtotal
}
function Edisp(c6i,c6j,rij,r0i,r0j) {
cij=(c6i*c6j)^.5
fdmp=1/(1+exp(-20*((rij/(r0i+r0j)-1)))
return -s6*cij*fdmp/(rij^6)
}
function Fdisp(c6i,c6j,rij,r0i,r0j) {
delta=0.001
return (Edisp(c6i,c6j,rij-delta,r0i,r0j)-
Edisp(c6i,c6j,rij+delta,r0i,r0j))/(2*delta)
}
function dozeroatom() {
\#routine to slowly move an atom toward the origin as set by a
harmonic potential
multiple=0.99996
oldarr[zeroatom,1]=multiple*oldarr[zeroatom,1]
oldarr[zeroatom,2]=multiple*oldarr[zeroatom,2]
oldarr[zeroatom,3]=multiple*oldarr[zeroatom,3]
}
function dothermostat() {
\#print out some things to vellist and do thermostat

# the damping in the thermostat is based on temperature based on

old geo vs older geo
if (diag<4) print "KEold",KEold,"desired
temperature",temp,"apparent Temperature",apparentTemp >>
"vellist"
if (apparentTemp>temp) damping=thermostatmult
if (apparentTemp<temp) damping=1/thermostatmult
}

```
function doDRP() \{
\#routine for DRPs
maxForce \(=0\);oscillTest \(=0\)
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\)
\# conversions here take force to \(\mathrm{J} /\) angstrom, 1E20 converts to kg angstroms \(/ \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\), then mult time ( \(\mathrm{s}^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}\) ) and divide by weight in kg to get angstroms
forceArr \([\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=1 \mathrm{E} 20^{*}\) forceArr \([\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}] * 627.509^{*}\left(4184 /\left(0.529177^{*} \mathrm{avNu}\right.\right.\) \(\mathrm{m}))^{*}\left(\right.\) (timestep \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\) weight \([\mathrm{i}] /(\) avNum*1000 \()\) )
oscillTest=oscillTest+forceArr[i,j]*oldForce[i,j]
if (forceArr \([i, j]>\) maxForce) maxForce=forceArr \([i, j]\) if ((0-forceArr[i,j])>maxForce) maxForce=-forceArr[i,j]
\}
if (i==1) printf("\% .8f \% .8f \% .8f
ln",forceArr[1,1],forceArr[1,2],forceArr[1,3]) > "oldAdjForces" if (i>1) printf("\% .8f \% .8f \% . 8 f
ln",forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]) >> "oldAdjForces" \}
print "oscillTest ",oscillTest >> "oldAdjForces"
if (oscillTest<0) \{
maxAtomMove \(=\operatorname{maxAtomMove}{ }^{*} 0.5\)
print maxAtomMove > "maxMove"
\}
if ( oscillTest>0) \{
\(\operatorname{maxAtomMove}=\operatorname{maxAtomMove}{ }^{*} 1.2\)
print maxAtomMove > "maxMove"
\}
print "maxAtomMove ",maxAtomMove >> "oldAdjForces"
forceMult=maxAtomMove/maxForce
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++\) ) \(\{\) newarr \([i, j]=\) oldarr \([i, j]+\) forceMult*forceArr \([i, j]\) \}
\}
\(\}^{\prime}\)
function doVerlet() \{
\#normal routine for Verlet
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
for \((\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++)\) \{
\# conversions here take force to J/angstrom, 1E20 converts to kg angstroms \(/ \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\), then mult time \(\left(\mathrm{s}^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}\right)\) and divide by weight in kg to get angstroms
forceArr \([\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=1 \mathrm{E} 20 *\) force \(\operatorname{Arr}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}] * 627.509^{*}(4184 /(0.529177 * \mathrm{avNu}\)
m) \() *\left(\right.\) (timestep \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\) weight \([\mathrm{i}] /(\operatorname{avNum} * 1000))\)
\(\# \quad\) if \(((\operatorname{diag}>1) \& \&(\mathrm{i}==1))\) print
"i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3]" >>
"diagnostics"
\# if (diag>1) print
i,weight[i],forceArr[i,1],forceArr[i,2],forceArr[i,3] >>
"diagnostics" newarr \([i, j]=\) oldarr \([i, j]+\) damping*(oldarr \([i, j]\) -
olderarr[i,j])+forceArr[i,j] if \(((\mathrm{i}==\) fixedatom 1\() \|(\mathrm{i}==\) fixedatom 2\() \|(\mathrm{i}==\) fixedatom 3\() \|\)
(i==fixedatom4)) newarr \([i, j]=\) oldarr \([i, j]\)
\#turn around atoms outside the box if (boxon==1) \{
if (newarr \([i, j]>\) boxsize) if (oldarr \([i, j]>\) olderarr \([i, j]\) )
newarr \([i, j]=\) oldarr \([i, j]+\) damping* (olderarr \([i, j]\) -
oldarr[i,j])+forceArr[i,j]
if (newarr \([i, j]<-1\) * boxsize) if (oldarr \([i, j]<\) olderarr \([i, j]\) )
newarr \([i, j]=\) oldarr \([i, j]+\) damping* (olderarr \([i, j]-\)
oldarr \([i, j])+\) force \(A r r[i, j]\)
\}
\}
\}
\#calculate the kinetic energy. This is for the point prior to the current point. Corrected calculation
for ( \(\mathrm{at}=1 ; \mathrm{at}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{at}++\) ) \(\{\)
atomVel=(( olderarr[at, 1]-newarr[at, 1])^2 + (olderarr[at,2]newarr \(\left.\left.[a t, 2])^{\wedge} 2+(\text { olderarr }[a t, 3] \text {-newarr }[a t, 3])^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\right) / 2\)

KEnew \(=\) KEnew \(+0.5^{*}\) weight \([\text { at }]^{*}\left(\right.\) atomVel \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(\left(\text { timestep }{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\right.\) conv er1)
\}
KEave=KEnew \# we used to average with KEold but that is incorrect. This is better

Etotal=newPotEK + KEave
\#still basing apparent Temperature on velocities from old vs older, even though the KE now represents an average of old and new
if (diag==4) print runpointnum,"KEave",KEave,"apparent Temperature",apparentTemp,"newPotEK",newPotEK,"Etotal",Etot al,"pressure in Atm",pressureAtm,"density in 0.9r",density >> "vellist"
\}
function writetraj() \{
print numAtoms >> "traj" print newPotentialE,title1,title2,title3,title4,"runpoint
",runpointnum,"runisomer ",isomernum >> "traj" for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
printf("\%s \%.7f \%.7f
\%.7f",atSym[i],newarr[i,1],newarr[i,2],newarr[i,3]) >> "traj" print "" >> "traj" \}
\}
function writecomfile() \{ print "\%nproc=" processors print "\%mem=" memory
if (killcheck!=1) print "\%chk=" checkpoint
if (nonstandard==0) \{
print "\#p " method " force
scf=(xqc,maxconven=155,fulllinear,nosym) " if (meth2=="unrestricted") print "guess=mix" \#for unrestricted calculations
if (meth2=="read") print "guess=tcheck" \#for reading orbitals
from check, sometimes faster, sometimes not
if (length(meth3)>2) print meth3
if (length(meth4) \(>2\) ) print meth4
\}
if (nonstandard==1) \{
print "\# "
print "nonstd" system("cat nonstandard")
\}
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
if ( \(\mathrm{DRP}==1\) ) \{
print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove print "maxForce and forceMult and
maxAtomMove",maxForce,forceMult,maxAtomMove >>
"movelist"
\}
print ""
if (oniommult==0) print charge,multiplicity
if (oniommult \(>0\) ) print
charge,multiplicity,oniomcharge,oniommult
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms \(; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\) printf("\%s \%.7f \%.7f
\%.7f",atSym[i],newarr[i,1],newarr[i,2],newarr[i,3]) if ((i>highlevel) \&\& (i<=highlevel+linkatoms)) printf("
\%s","M H")
if (i>(highlevel+linkatoms)) printf(" \%s","M") print ""
\}
print ""
if (length(meth5) \(>2\) ) print meth5
if (length(meth6) \(>2\) ) print meth6
if (methodfilelines>=1) \{
for \((\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) methodfilelines; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
getline < "methodfile"
print \$0
\}
\}
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>0) \& \&(\) nmrdo \(==1))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (nmrmethod==method) print "guess=tcheck"
if (length(meth7) \(>2\) ) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge, multiplicity
\}
print ""
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>1) \& \&(\) nmrdo \(==1))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod2 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7) \(>2\) ) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge,multiplicity
\}
print ""
if \(((\) nmrtype \(>2) \& \&(n m r d o==1))\{\)
print "--link1--"
print "\%nproc=" processors
print "\%mem=" memory
print "\%chk=" checkpoint
print "\# " nmrmethod3 " nmr=giao geom=check"
if (length(meth7) \(>2\) ) print meth7
print ""
print title1,title2,title3,title4
print "runpoint ",runpointnum
print "runisomer ",isomernum
print ""
print charge, multiplicity
\}
print ""
if \(((\mathrm{nmrcc}==1) \& \&(\mathrm{nmrdo}==1))\{\)
print "CCSD(T) NMR calculation" > "ZMAT"
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) numAtoms; \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) \{
printf("\%s \%.7f \%.7f
\%.7f",atSym[i],newarr[i,1],newarr[i,2],newarr[i,3]) >> "ZMAT" print "" >> "ZMAT"
\}
print "" >> "ZMAT"
print "*ACES2(CALC=CCSD[T],PROP=NMR,BASIS=dzp"
>> "ZMAT"
print
"ABCDTYPE=AOBASIS,TREAT_PERT=SEQUENTIAL,CC_P
ROG=ECC" >> "ZMAT"
print "COORD=CARTESIAN" >> "ZMAT"
print "MEM_UNIT=GB,MEMORY=2)" >> "ZMAT"
```

    print "" >> "ZMAT"
    }
    }

```

\section*{randgen.py}
import random
random.seed()
for i in range \((100000)\) :
\(\quad\) print(random.random())

\section*{toxyz.py}
```

import numpy as np
import sys
from math import cos, pi, sin
np.set_printoptions(precision=2)
arglist = []
for arg in sys.argv[1:]:
arglist.append(arg)
assert len(arglist)>0,"You need to specify an input file"
atSym = []; lengthlist = []; anglelist = []; dihedrallist = []; atcon_1 =
[]; atcon_a = []; atcon_d = []
with open(arglist[0], 'r') as f:
for line in f:
if len(line)}>5\mathrm{ :
words = line.split()
assert len(words[6])>0
atSym.append(words[0])
lengthlist.append(float(words[1]))
anglelist.append(float(words[2]))
dihedrallist.append(float(words[3]))
atcon_l.append(int(words[4])-1)
atcon_a.append(int(words[5])-1)
atcon_d.append(int(words[6])-1)

```
anglelist \(=\left[\mathrm{pi}^{*}(180-\mathrm{x}) / 180\right.\) for x in anglelist \(]\)
dihedrallist \(=\left[\mathrm{pi}^{*} \mathrm{x} / 180\right.\) for x in dihedrallist \(]\)
numAtoms \(=\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{len}(\mathrm{atSym}))\)
geoArr \(=\) np.zeros([numAtoms,3])
for \(i\) in range( 1, numAtoms):
    if \(\mathrm{i}==1\) :
        geoArr \([1,0]=\) lengthlist[i]
    if \(\mathrm{i}==2\) :
        geoArr \([\mathrm{i}, 0]=\) geoArr[atcon_l[i],0] +
lengthlist \([\mathrm{i}] * \cos (\) anglelist \([\mathrm{i}])\)
        geoArr[i,2] = geoArr[atcon_1[i],2] +
lengthlist[i]* \(\sin (\) anglelist[i])
    if \(\mathrm{i}>2\) :
        ab = geoArr[atcon_a[i],:] - geoArr[atcon_d[i],:]
        \(\mathrm{bc}=\) geoArr[atcon_l[i],:] - geoArr[atcon_a[i],:]
        \(\mathrm{bc} /=\) np.linalg.norm(bc)
        ucaret \(=\mathrm{np} . \operatorname{cross}(\mathrm{ab}, \mathrm{bc})\)
        ucaret \(=\) ucaret \(/\) np.linalg.norm(ucaret)
        \(\operatorname{cd} 0=\mathrm{bc} *\) lengthlist \([\mathrm{i}]\)
        \(\mathrm{cd} 1=\cos (\) anglelist \([\mathrm{i}]) * \mathrm{~cd} 0+\)
np.cross(ucaret, \(\sin (\) anglelist \([\mathrm{i}]) * \operatorname{cd} 0)+\)
np.dot(cd0,ucaret)*ucaret*(1-cos(anglelist[i]))
        \(\operatorname{cd} 2=\cos (\) dihedrallist \([\mathrm{i}]) * \mathrm{~cd} 1+\)
\(\mathrm{np} . \operatorname{cross}(\mathrm{bc}, \sin (\operatorname{dihedrallist}[\mathrm{i}]) * \mathrm{cd1})+\operatorname{np} \cdot \operatorname{dot}(\mathrm{cd} 1, \mathrm{bc}) * \mathrm{bc} *(1-\)
\(\cos (\) dihedrallist \([\mathrm{i}])\) )
        geoArr[i,:] = geoArr[atcon_1[i],:] + cd2
for i in range(0,numAtoms):
    print(atSym[i],"\% 12.8f" \% geoArr[i,0], "\% 12.8f" \%
geoArr[i,1], "\% 12.8f" \% geoArr[i,2])

\section*{tozmat.py}
import numpy as np
import sys
from math import cos, pi, sin, sqrt
def distance(Atom1,Atom2):
if Atom1 \(<0\) or Atom2 \(<0\) : return 0 .
return sqrt((geoArr[Atom1,0]-geoArr[Atom2,0])**2 +
(geoArr[Atom1,1]-geoArr[Atom2,1])**2 + (geoArr[Atom1,2]-
geoArr[Atom2,2])**2)
def angle(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3):
ba \(=\) geoArr[Atom1,:] - geoArr[Atom2,:]
\(\mathrm{bc}=\) geoArr[Atom3,:] - geoArr[Atom2,:]
cosine_angle \(=\operatorname{np} . \operatorname{dot}(\mathrm{ba}, \mathrm{bc}) /(\) np.linalg.norm \((\mathrm{ba}) *\)
np.linalg.norm(bc))
angle \(=\) np.arccos(cosine_angle)
return np.degrees(angle)
def dihedral(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3,Atom4):
\(\mathrm{p} 0=\) geoArr[Atom \(1,:]\)
p1 = geoArr[Atom2,:]
p2 \(=\) geoArr[Atom3,:]
p3 \(=\) geoArr[Atom \(4,:]\)
\(\mathrm{b} 0=-1.0^{*}(\mathrm{p} 1-\mathrm{p} 0)\)
\(\mathrm{b} 1=\mathrm{p} 2-\mathrm{p} 1\)
\(\mathrm{b} 2=\mathrm{p} 3-\mathrm{p} 2\)
b1/= np.linalg.norm(b1)
\(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{b} 0-\operatorname{np} \cdot \operatorname{dot}(\mathrm{b} 0, \mathrm{~b} 1) * \mathrm{~b} 1\)
\(\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{b} 2-\operatorname{np} \cdot \operatorname{dot}(\mathrm{b} 2, \mathrm{~b} 1) * \mathrm{~b} 1\)
\(x=n p \cdot \operatorname{dot}(v, w)\)
\(y=n p \cdot \operatorname{dot}(n p \cdot \operatorname{cross}(b 1, v), w)\)
return \(n p . \operatorname{degrees}(\mathrm{np} \cdot \arctan 2(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}))\)
arglist \(=[]\)
for arg in sys.argv[1:]:
arglist.append(arg)
assert len \((\operatorname{arglist})>0\), "You need to specify an input file"
atSym \(=[]\); lengthlist \(=[]\); anglelist \(=[] ;\) dihedrallist \(=[] ;\) atcon_1 \(=\)
[]; atcon_a = []; atcon_d = []
lengthlist \(=[0\).
anglelist \(=[0 ., 0\).
dihedrallist \(=[0 ., 0 ., 0\).
atcon_1 \(=[-1]\)
atcon_a \(=[-1,-1]\)
atcon_d \(=[-1,-1,-1]\)
atom \(\mathrm{x}=[]\)
atom_y \(=[]\)
atom_z \(=\) []
distance_adjustment \(=\left\{{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}: 0.5, \mathrm{O}^{\prime}: 0.3\right\}\)
with open(arglist[0], 'r') as f :
for line in f :
if len(line) \(>5\) :
words \(=\) line.split()
assert len(words[3]) \(>0\),"Problem with input file format. It
should be ElementSymbol number number number" atSym.append(words[0]) atom_x.append(float(words[1]))
atom_y.append(float(words[2]))
atom_z.append(float(words[3]))
```

numAtoms $=\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{len}($ atSym $))$
geoArr $=n p . \operatorname{array}(\operatorname{list}(z i p($ atom_x,atom_y,atom_z) $))$
\#internally leaving the numbering starting at zero, but will add one
for all output
\# the atcons and length for the first atom are assigned in the
initialization
for i in range (1, numAtoms):
shortest_distance $=100$
closest atom $=0$
for j in range $(0, \mathrm{i})$ :
if $($ distance $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})+$ distance_adjustment.get(atSym[j], 0.0)) $<$
shortest_distance:
shortest_distance $=$ distance $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$
closest_atom = j
lengthlist.append(shortest_distance)
atcon_l.append(closest_atom)
for i in range(2,numAtoms):
shortest distance $=100$
closest_atom $=0$
for j in range $(0, \mathrm{i})$ :
consistency_pref $=0$
if j != atcon_1[i]:
if $\mathrm{j}==$ atcon_1[atcon_1[i]]:
consistency_pref = 1
if (distance(j,atcon_1[i]) + distance_adjustment.get(atSym[j],
$0.0)$ - consistency_pref) $<$ shortest_distance:
shortest_distance $=$ distance $(\mathrm{j}$, atcon_1[i]) +
distance_adjustment.get(atSym[j], 0.0) - consistency_pref
closest atom $=\mathrm{j}$
if atcon_1[atcon_1[i]]>1:
if atcon_1[atcon_1[i]] != i:
closest_atom = atcon_1[atcon_1[i]
\# print("default angle atom", $\mathrm{i}+1$, atcon $1[\mathrm{i}]+1$,closest atom +1 )
atcon_a.append(closest_atom)
anglelist.append(angle(i,atcon_1[i],atcon_a[i]))
for i in range(3,numAtoms):
shortest_distance $=100$
closest_atom = 0
for j in range $(0, \mathrm{i})$ :
consistency_pref $=0$
if $\mathrm{j}!=$ atcon_1[i] and $\mathrm{j}!=$ atcon_a[i]:
if $j==$ atcon_1[atcon_a[i]]:
consistency_pref = 1
if (distance( j, atcon_a[i]) + distance_adjustment.get(atSym[j],
$0.0)$ - consistency_pref) $<$ shortest distance:
shortest_distance $=$ distance $(\mathrm{j}$, atcon_a[i] $)+$
distance_adjustment.get(atSym[j], 0.0) - consistency_pref
closest_atom = j
if atcon_l[atcon_a[i]] $>0$ :
if atcon_1[atcon_a[i]]!= i:
if atcon_1[atcon_a $[i]]!=$ atcon_l[i]:
closest_atom $=$ atcon_1[atcon_a $a[i]]$
\# print("default dihedral
atom", $\mathrm{i}+1$,atcon_l[i]+1,atcon_a[i]+1,closest_atom+1)
atcon d.append(closest atom)
dihedrallist.append(dihedral(i,atcon_1[i],atcon_a[i],atcon_d[i]))
for $i$ in range( 0 ,numAtoms):
print(atSym[i],"\% 12.9f" \% lengthlist[i], "\% 10.7f" \%
anglelist[i], "\% 10.7f" \% dihedrallist[i], "\% 4s" \% str(atcon_1[i] +
1), "\% 4s" $\%$ str(atcon_a[i] + 1), "\% 4s" \% str(atcon_d[i] + 1))

```

\section*{proganal}

BEGIN \{
nmrset=0
blankLineTester=10
while (blankLineTester>1) \{
getline < "progdyn.conf"
if (\$1=="NMRtype") nmrtype=\$2
if (\$1=="title") \{
title1=\$2
title \(2=\$ 3\)
title3=\$4
title \(4=\$ 5\)
\}
blankLineTester=length(\$0)
\}
firsttitle \(=1\)
getline \(<\) "isomernumber"
isomer=\$1
\}
/ transCyhex/ \{
if (firsttitle==1) \{ titlestring=\$1" " \$2" " \$3" " \$4" " \$6" " \$7" " \$8 printf("\%s \%s \%s \%s \%s \%s \%s ",\$1,\$2,\$3,\$4,\$6,\$7,\$8) runpoint=\$6
\}
firsttitle++
\}
/Standard orientation/,/Rotational constants/ \{
if \(((\$ 1>.5) \& \&(\$ 1<99))\{\)
\(\mathrm{A}[\$ 1]=\$ 4 ; \mathrm{B}[\$ 1]=\$ 5 ; \mathrm{C}[\$ 1]=\$ 6\)
\}
\}
END \{
CB=Distance \((6,17)\)
CCold=Distance \((8,6)\)
CCnew=Distance \((8,5)\)
difference \(=\left((\text { CCold }-C C n e w)^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} 0.5\)
CEt=Distance \((6,19)\)
if (Distance \((6,18)<\mathrm{CEt}) \mathrm{CEt}=\) Distance \((6,18)\)
if (Distance \((6,20)<\mathrm{CEt}) \mathrm{CEt}=\) Distance \((6,20)\)
DihInv=Dihedral \((18,17,6,5)\)
DihRet=Dihedral(20,17,6,5)
DihAnti=Dihedral(18,17,6,8)
printf("\%s \%.2f \%s \%.3f \%s \%.3f \%s \%.3f \%s \%.1f \%s \%.1f
","CB",CB,"CCold",CCold,"CCnew",CCnew,"CEt",CEt,"DihInv",
DihInv,"DihRet",DihRet)
if (difference<0.02) printf("\%s \%.1f ","DihAnti",DihAnti)
if ((CCnew<1.6) \&\& (CEt>2.3)) printf("\%s ","Str14")
if \(((\mathrm{CEt}<2.3) \& \&(\mathrm{CEt}>1.7))\) printf("\%s ","LastMigration")
if (runpoint>500) \{ print "Too many points. XXXXN" \}
if ( \(\mathrm{CEt}<1.7\) ) \{ if (Distance \((6,18)<1.7)\) print "C18 C18 C18 XXXX" if (Distance \((6,20)<1.7)\) print "C20 C20 C20 XXXX" if (Distance \((6,19)<1.7)\) print "C19 C19 C19 XXXX"
        \}
if ((CCold<1.6) \&\& (CCnew>2.25)) \{
print "INT INT INT XXXX"
\}
system("date '+\%b:\%d:\%Y \%T"')
system("tail -1 Echeck | grep XXXX")
\}
function Distance(Atom1,Atom2) \{
return \(\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\left(\mathrm{A}[\text { Atom1] }-\mathrm{A}[\text { Atom } 2])^{\wedge} 2+\left(\mathrm{B}[\text { Atom1] }-\mathrm{B}[\text { Atom } 2])^{\wedge} 2\right.\right.\right.\)
\(+\left(\mathrm{C}[\text { Atom1]-C[Atom2] })^{\wedge} 2\right)\)
\}
function Angle(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3) \{
```

value=((-
Distance(Atom1,Atom3)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}2+\mathrm{ Distance(Atom1,Atom2)^2+Distance(
Atom2,Atom3)^2)/(2*Distance(Atom1,Atom2)*Distance(Atom2,
Atom3)))
return acos(value)
}
function asin(x) {return (180/3.141592)*atan2(x, sqrt(1-x*x)) }
function acos(x) {return (180/3.141592)*atan2(sqrt(1-x*x), x) }
function atan(x) { return (180/3.141592)*atan2(x,1)}
function Dihedral(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3,Atom4) {
B1x=A[Atom2]-A[Atom1]
B1y=B[Atom2]-B[Atom1]
B1z=C[Atom2]-C[Atom1]
B2x=A[Atom3]-A[Atom2]
B2y=B[Atom3]-B[Atom2]
B2z=C[Atom3]-C[Atom2]
B3x=A[Atom4]-A[Atom3]
B3y=B[Atom4]-B[Atom3]
B3z=C[Atom4]-C[Atom3]
modB2=sqrt((B2x^2)+(B2y^2)+(B2z^2))

# yAx is x-coord. etc of modulus of B2 times B1

    yAx=modB2*(B1x)
    yAy=modB2*(B1y)
    yAz=modB2*(B1z)
    
# CP2 is the crossproduct of B2 and B3

    CP2x=(B2y*B3z)-(B2z*B3y)
    CP2y=(B2z*B3x)-(B2x*B3z)
    CP2z=(B2x*B3y)-(B2y*B3x)
    termY=((yAx*CP2x)+(yAy*CP2y)+(yAz*CP2z))
    
# CP is the crossproduct of B1 and B2

    CPx=(B1y*B2z)-(B1z*B2y)
    CPy=(B1z*B2x)-(B1x*B2z)
    CPz=(B1x*B2y)-(B1y*B2x)
    termX=((CPx*CP2x)+(CPy*CP2y)+(CPz*CP2z))
    dihed4=(180/3.141592)*atan2(termY,termX)
    return dihed4
    }
function killdyn(isomer) {
system("rm -f dyn")
}

```

\section*{progdyn.conf}
\#This is the configuration file for PROGDYN. This file is read by progdynstarterHP and
\# the awk programs proggenHP, prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb.
\#The programs won't read anything past the first blank line, \#and this file must end with a blank line.
\#The program has a number of default values but they are unlikely to be what you want.
\#Do not delete lines - rather, comment out lines for unwanted options.
\#The values here are read repeatedly and most can be changed in the middle of running jobs
\#***The keywords are case sensitive. The following keywords should always be defined:***
\#***method, charge, multiplicity, memory, processors, title
\#*** method --The following word is copied exactly to the gaussian input file.
method M11/6-31+G**
\#To do a nonstandard route, make nonstandard 1. For normal calcs, use nonstandard 0 or else leave it out.
\#Then make a file called "nonstandard" containing the nonstandard route with no extra lines.
nonstandard 0
\# NMRoptions As is NMRtype=1 will add a section for an NMR
calc at every NMRevery intervals. If you want to combine the two
use nonstandard
\#NMRtype 1
\#NMRmethod2 B97D/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod LC-wPBE/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod3 B3LYP/cc-pvtz
\#NMRevery 4
\#NMRrand 1
\#NMRcc 1
\#loadlimit 10.0
\#geometry linear
rotationmode 1
\#*** method2 --The options here are restricted, unrestricted, and read. restricted is the default
\#If the method is U..., put unrestricted here and the .com files will have in them guess \(=\) mix.
\#If you put read here, the .com files will contain guess=tcheck, which sometimes makes things faster, sometimes not.
\#The use of read requires a specifically defined checkpoint file name using the keyword checkpoint.
method2 read
charge 0
multiplicity 1
\#oniomchargemult 11
processors 4
\#*** memory --The following "word" is copied exactly to the
gaussian input file after \%mem=.
memory 6 gb
\#*** killcheck and checkpoint -- You can use a specifically
defined checkpoint file name by putting
\#the name after the keyword checkpoint. This is necessary if you use the read option with method2.
\#Defined checkpoint names are an unnecessary modest hastle and if you do not want to bother, use killcheck 1
killcheck 0
checkpoint g16.chk
\#*** diagnostics -- 0 prints out nothing extra, 1 (default) prints out extra stuff to a
\#file "diagnostics", 2 adds more stuff, 3 adds velocities to a file "vellist"
\#4 adds the apparent temperature to vellist, but this is meaningless
with quasiclassical calculations
diagnostics 0
\(\#^{* * *}\) title -- the title keyword must be followed by exactly four words
title transCyhexD2 BEt3 M11PS 298dis2
\#*** initialdis -- 0 (default) turns off displacement of the normal modes, so that all trajectories start from the same place
\# and only the energies and signs of the motion in the modes are randomized
\# 1 gives a flat distribution of displacements where all of the possible values are equally likely
\# 2 (recommended) gives a QM-like gaussian distribution of displacements, so that displacements in the middle are more likely that
\# those at the end by \(1 / \mathrm{e}\)
initialdis 2
\#*** timestep -- this is the time between points in the trajectory.
Typical values would be \(1 \mathrm{E}-15\) or \(0.5 \mathrm{E}-15\) or \(0.25 \mathrm{E}-15\)
timestep 1E-15
\#*** scaling -- this lets you scale the gaussian frequencies by a
constant
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
\(\#^{* * *}\) thermostat 1 puts in a damping factor so as to bring the
classical temperature toward the desired temperature.
\#*** use a thermostatmult between 0.95 and 1 , typically 0.995 , so
the damping happens slowly - otherwise there will be
\#*** overadjustment in response to random variation
\(\#^{* * *}\) the thermostat is not exact. The second traj point ignores
this, so it only applies to later points handled by progdynb.
\#thermostat 1
\#thermostatmult 0.999
\#*** method3, method4, method5, and method6 -- These
keywords let you add extra lines to the gaussian input file. \#method 3 and method 4 add lines at the top of the input after the lines defining the method, and
\#this is useful to implement things like the iop for mPW1k
\#method5 and method6 add lines after the geometry, after a blank
line of course
\#only a single term with no spaces can be added, one per method
line. Here are some examples to uncomment if needed
\#method3 empiricaldispersion=gd3
\#method3 \(\operatorname{IOp}(3 / 76=0572004280)\)
\#method \(3 \mathrm{scrf}=(\mathrm{pcm}\), solvent \(=\) ethanol \()\)
\#method3 scrf=(solvent=chloroform)
\#add the line below with big structures to get it to put out the distance matrix and the input orientation
\#method4 empiricaldispersion=gd3
\#method3 scf=(conver=5)
method4 int(finegrid)
\#method4 iop(3/124=3)
\#method4 scrf=(pcm,solvent=dmso,read)
\#method5 radii=bondi
\#method6
\#*** methodfile -- This keyword lets you add more complicated endings to gaussian input files
\#such as a gen basis set. Put after the keyword the number of lines in a file you create called
\#methodfile that contains the test you want to add to the end of the gaussian input
methodfile 0
\#*** numimag --This tells the program the number of imaginary frequencies in the starting structure.
\#if 0 , treats as ground state and direction of all modes is random \#if 1, motion along the reaction coordinate will start out in the direction defined by searchdir
\#if 2, only lowest freq will go direction of searchdir and other imag mode will go in random direction
numimag 1
\#*** searchdir -- This keyword says what direction to follow the mode associated with the imaginary frequency.
\#The choices are "negative" and "positive". Positive moves in the direction defined in the gaussian frequency calculation
\#for the imaginary frequency, while negative moves in the opposite direction. The correct choice can be made either \#by a careful inspection of the normal modes and standard orientation geometry, or by trial and error.
searchdir positive
\#*** classical -- for quassiclassical dynamics, the default, use 0 .
for classical dynamics, use 1
\#if there are no normal modes and the velocities are to be generated from scratch, use classical 2
classical 0
\#*** DRP, saddlepoint, and maxAtomMove --to run a DRP use
'DRP 1' in the line below, otherwise leave it at 0 or comment it out \#the treatment of starting saddlepoints is not yet implemented so use saddlepoint no
\#if DRP shows oscillations then decrease maxAtomMove \#DRP 1
\#saddlepoint no
\#maxAtomMove 0.01
\#*** cannonball -- The program can "fire" a trajectory from a
starting position toward a particular target, such as toward
\#a ts. To use this, make a file cannontraj with numAtom lines and
three numbers per line that defines the vector
\#for firing the trajectory, relative to the starting geometry's
standard orientation. The number following cannonball sets
\#the extra energy being put into the structure in \(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\#cannonball 10
\#*** keepevery --This tells the program how often to write the gaussian output file to file dyn, after the first two points.
\#Use 1 for most dynamics to start with, but use a higher number to save on disk space or molden loading time.
keepevery 9999
\#*** highlevel --For ONIOM jobs, the following line states the number of highlevel atoms,
\#which must come before the medium level atoms. Use some high value such as 999 if not using ONIOM
highlevel 9999
\#*** fixedatom1, fixedatom2, fixedatom3, and fixedatom4-These fix atoms in space.
\#Fixing one atom serves no useful purpose and messes things up, while fixing two atoms
\#fixes one distance and fixing three has the effect of fixing three
distances, not just two
\#in current form fixed atoms only are meant to work with no
displacements, that is, initialdis \(=0\)
\#fixedatom1 16
\#fixedatom2 1
\#fixedatom3 4
\#fixedatom4 20
\#applyforce 1 lets one push atoms together or appart - a positive
force pushes them together
\#format is applyforce force - with the units on force the same as in the Gaussian output file
\#applyforce 2 or 3 or 4 applys a polynomical force centered at dist0. 2 is just harmonic, 3 is second order, 4 is third order \#format is applyforce 4 forcecoefficient dist0 forcecoefficient2

\section*{forcecoefficient3}
\#then use afatoms to chose the atoms with format afatoms
firstatom secondatom [additional atoms]
\#applyforce 20.12 .1
\#afatoms 16123456
\#applyforceB 20.015 .2
\#afatomsB 815
\#applyforceC 20.015 .2
\#afatomsC 815
\#zeroatom pushes the numbered atom toward the origin with a small harmonic potential - good with boxon when you want to keep the reaction in the center
\#zeroatom 16
\#*** boxon and boxsize - With boxon 1, a cubic box is set such that atoms that reach the edge
\#are reflected back toward the middle. Useful for dynamics with solvent molecules. This is a crude
\#implementation that is ok for a few thousand femtoseconds but will not conserve energy long term.
\#Set the box size so as to fit the entire initial molecule but not have too much extra room.
\#The dimensions of the box are two times the boxsize, e.g. boxsize
7.5 leads to a box that is \(15 \times 15 \times 15\) angstroms
\#boxon 1
\#boxsize 11.2
\#*** sphereon and spheresize and sphereforce - uses a force to
push atoms within a sphere. notice that if the atom is far outside of \#the sphere then the force is large unless sphereforce is set small \#sphereon 1
\#spheresize 12.9
\#sphereforce . 01
\#setting a value for empiricaldispersion sets its s6 value with the

Grimme 2006 algorithm. Default is 0 , with no empiricaldispersion \#empiricaldispersion 1.0
\#*** displacements -- This keyword lets you set the initialdis of particular modes by using a series of lines of the format \# displacements NumberOfMode InitialDisForThatMode, as in the example below. You should be able to do as many of these as you like
\# you might consider this for rotations where a straight-line
displacement goes wrong at large displacements
\# The choices for InitialDisForThatMode are \(0,1,2\), and 10 , where 10 does the same thing as 0 but is maintained for now because \# a previous version of the program had a bug that made 0 not work.
\#displacements 20
\#displacements 30
\#displacements 40
\#displacements 50
\#displacements 60
\#displacements 70
\#displacements 80
\#displacements 90
\#displacements 100
\#*** etolerance --This sets the allowable difference between the desired energy in a trajectory and the actual
\#energy, known after point 1 from the potential energy + the kinetic energy in the initial velocities.
\#The unit is \(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\) and 1 is a normal value for mid-sized organic systems. For very large and floppy molecules, a larger value \#may be needed, but the value must stay way below the average thermal energy in the molecule (not counting zpe). \#If initialdis is not 0 and few trajectories are being rejected, decrease the value.
etolerance 2
\#*** controlphase --It is sometimes useful to set the phase of particular modes in the initialization of trajectories. \#The format is controlphase numberOfModeToControl positive or controlphase numberOfModeToControl negative.
\#controlphase 2 positive
\#*** damping -- The damping keyword lets you add or subtract energy from the system at each point, by multiplying the velocities \#by the damping factor. A damping of 1 has no effect, and since you mostly want to change the energy slowly, normal values range \#from 0.95 to 1.05 . The use of damping lets one do simulated annealing - you add energy until the structure is moving enough \#to sample the kinds of possibilities you are interested in, then you take away the energy slowly.
damping 1.00
\#at a damping of .9995 , the energy is cut in half in 693 points \#*** reversetraj --This keyword sets the trajectories so that both directions from a transition state are explored.
reversetraj true
\#updated Aug 9, 2007 to include the possibility of classical dynamics by the keyword classical
\#updated Jan 2008 to include fixed atoms, ONIOM jobs, keepevery, and box size
\#update Feb 2008 to include methodfile parameter
\# updated Nov 2008 to allow for start without an initial freq calc using classical \(=2\)
\# update Aug 2010 to include etolerance, damping controlphase and reversetraj

\section*{progtrajdynfconall}

BEGIN \{
printon=0
linenumber=-2
```

Str14begin=9999
for (i=1;i<=250;i++) {
count14[i]=0
CCCtot[i]=0
CCCopptot[i]=0
velsqCCnewtot[i]=0
velsqCC23tot [i]=0
CCnewtot[i]=0
CC23tot[i]=0
}
}
/ transCyhex/ {
runpoint=\$7
if (\$7==1) {
printon=1
intermediateyet=0
finalyet=0
invangleless=1
Str14begin=9999
}
if (printon==1) printf("%s %s %s %s %s %s %s
",\$2,\$3,\$4,\$5,\$7,\$8,\$9)
linenumber=-1
}
{
if (\$1==48) {
linenumber=-2
oldCCnew=CCnew
oldCC23=CC23
CB=Distance(6,17)
CCold=Distance(8,6)
CCnew=Distance(8,5)
CC23=Distance(1,5)
difference}=((\mathrm{ CCold-CCnew)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}2\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}0.
CEt=Distance(6,18)
if (Distance(6,19)<CEt) CEt=Distance(6,19)
if (Distance(6,20)<CEt) CEt=Distance(6,20)
CE20=Distance(6,20)
CCC=Angle(8,5,6)
CCCopp=Angle(1,5,6)
DihInv=Dihedral(18,17,6,5)
DihRet=Dihedral(20,17,6,5)
Dih19=Dihedral(19,17,6,5)
DihAnti=Dihedral(18,17,6,8)
if (printon==1) {
printf("%s %.2f %s %.3f %s %.3f %s %.3f %s %.1f %s %.1f
","CB",CB,"CCold",CCold,"CCnew",CCnew,"CEt",CEt,"DihInv",
DihInv,"DihRet",DihRet)
printf("%s %.1f ","Dih19",Dih19)

# printf("%s %.3f ","CE20",CE20)

# if(difference<0.01) printf("%s %.1f ","DihAnti",DihAnti)

    if (finalyet==0) {
        if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==25) {
            printf("%s %.1f%s %.1f ","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
            printf(" %s ","second")
            }
            if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==50) {
            printf("%s %.1f %s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
            printf(" %s ","third")
            }
            if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==75) {
            printf("%s %.1f %s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
            printf(" %s ","fourth")
            }
            if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==100) {
            printf("%s %.1f%s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
            printf(" %s ","fifth")
            }
            if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==125) {
            printf("%s %.1f %s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
    ```
```

        printf(" %s ","sixth")
        }
        if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==150) {
        printf("%s %.1f%s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
        printf(" %s ","seventh")
        }
    if ((runpoint-Str14begin)==175) {
        printf("%s %.1f %s %.1f ","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
        printf(" %s ","eighth")
        }
    if ((runpoint-Str14begin)}==200)
        printf("%s %.1f%s %.1f","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
        printf(" %s ","ninth")
        }
    }
    if ((CCnew<1.6) && (CEt>2.3)) {
    if (DihInv + DihRet > 0) invangleless=0
    if (intermediateyet==0) {
        printf("%s %.1f %s %.1f ","CCC ",CCC," ",CCCopp)
        printf(" %s ","first")
        Str14begin=runpoint
        intlinecount=0
        CCreboundmax50=0
        CCreboundmax 100=0
        CCreboundmax150=0
        }
    printf("%s "," Str14")
    intermediateyet=1
    }
    if ((intermediateyet==1)&& (DihInv + DihRet > 0))
    invangleless=0
if ((CEt<2.3) \&\& (CEt>1.7)) {
printf("%s ","LastMigration")
finalyet=1
if (intermediateyet==0) printf("%s ","ConcertedMaybe")
}
if ((intermediateyet==1) \&\& (finalyet==0)) {
intlinecount++
count14[intlinecount]++
CCCtot[intlinecount]=CCCtot[intlinecount]+CCC
CCCopptot[intlinecount]=CCCopptot[intlinecount]+CCCopp
velsqCCnewtot[intlinecount]=velsqCCnewtot[intlinecount] + (CCne
w-oldCCnew)^2
velsqCC23tot[intlinecount]=velsqCC23tot[intlinecount]}]+(CC23
oldCC23)^2
CCnewtot[intlinecount]=CCnewtot[intlinecount]+CCnew
CC23tot[intlinecount]}=\textrm{CC}23\mathrm{ tot [intlinecount]+CC23
if ((intlinecount<51) \&\& (CCreboundmax50<CCnew))
CCreboundmax50=CCnew
if ((intlinecount<101) \&\& (intlinecount>50) \&\&
(CCreboundmax 100<CCnew)) CCreboundmax 100=CCnew
if ((intlinecount<151) \&\& (intlinecount>100) \&\&
(CCreboundmax 150<CCnew)) CCreboundmax 150=CCnew
}
if(runpoint>500) {
print "Too many points. XXXXN"
printon=0
}
if (CEt<1.7) {
printf("%s %i ","InvAngleLess",invangleless)
if (intermediateyet==0) printf("%s ","first")
if (Distance(6,18)<1.7) print "C18 C18 C18 XXXX"
if (Distance(6,20)<1.7) print "C20 C20 C20 XXXX"
if (Distance(6,19)<1.7) print "C19 C19 C19 XXXX"
printon=0
intermediateyet=0
if ((Distance(6,20)<1.7) \&\& (intlinecount>50) \&\&
(CCreboundmax50>0)) print

```
intlinecount,"\t",CCreboundmax50,"\t",CCreboundmax 100,"\t",CC reboundmax \(150>\) "C20reboundlist" if \((\) (intlinecount \(>50) \& \&(\) CCreboundmax \(50>0))\) print
intlinecount,"\t",CCreboundmax50,"\t",CCreboundmax100,"\t",CC reboundmax \(150>\) "CCreboundlist"

CCreboundmax50=0; CCreboundmax \(100=0\);
CCreboundmax \(150=0\);
\}
if \(((\) CCold \(<1.6) \& \&(\) CCnew \(>2.25))\{\)
print "INT INT INT XXXX"
printon=0
intermediateyet \(=0\)
\}
print ""
\}
\}
linenumber++
if (linenumber>0.5) \(\{\)
\(\mathrm{A}[\) linenumber \(]=\$ 2 ; \mathrm{B}[\) linenumber \(]=\$ 3 ; \mathrm{C}\) linenumber \(]=\$ 4\)
\}
\}
END \{
CB=Distance \((6,17)\)
CCold=Distance \((8,6)\)
CCnew=Distance \((8,5)\)
difference \(=\left((\text { CCold-CCnew })^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} 0.5\)
\(\mathrm{CEt}=\) Distance \((6,18)\)
\# if (Distance \((6,19)<\mathrm{CEt}\) ) \(\mathrm{CEt}=\) Distance \((6,19)\)
\# if (Distance \((6,20)<\mathrm{CEt}) \mathrm{CEt}=\) Distance \((6,20)\)
CE20=Distance \((6,20)\)
CCC=Angle \((8,5,6)\)
DihInv=Dihedral( \(18,17,6,5\) )
DihRet=Dihedral( \(20,17,6,5\) )
Dih19=Dihedral(19,17,6,5)
DihAnti=Dihedral( \(18,17,6,8\) )
if (printon==1) \{ \(\operatorname{printf}(" \% \mathrm{~s} \% .2 \mathrm{f} \% \mathrm{~s} \% .3 \mathrm{f} \% \mathrm{~s} \% .3 \mathrm{f} \% \mathrm{~s} \% .3 \mathrm{f} \% \mathrm{~s} \% .1 \mathrm{f} \% \mathrm{~s} \% .1 \mathrm{f}\)
","CB",CB,"CCold",CCold,"CCnew",CCnew,"CEt",CEt,"DihInv",
DihInv,"DihRet",DihRet)
printf("\%s \%.1f ","Dih19",Dih19)
printf("\%s \%.3f ","CE20",CE20)
if (difference \(<0.01\) ) printf("\%s \%.1f ","DihAnti",DihAnti)
if \(((\) CCnew<1.6) \&\& \((\mathrm{CEt}>2.3))\{\) printf("\%s "," Str14")
if \((\) DihInv + DihRet \(>0)\) invangleless \(=0\)
if (intermediateyet \(==0\) ) \{
printf("\%s \%.1f","CCC ",CCC)
printf("\%s ","first")
, \}
intermediateyet \(=1\)
\}
if \(((\) intermediateye \(==1) \& \&(\) DihInv + DihRet \(>0))\)
invangleless \(=0\)
if \(((\mathrm{CEt}<2.3) \& \&(\mathrm{CEt}>1.7))\{\) printf("\%s ","LastMigration") if (intermediateyet==0) printf("\%s ","ConcertedMaybe") \}
if (runpoint>500) \{ print "Too many points. XXXXN" printon=0
\}
if \((\mathrm{CEt}<1.7)\) \{ printf("\%s \%i ","InvAngleLess",invangleless) if (intermediateyet==0) printf("\%s ","first") if (Distance \((6,18)<1.7\) ) print "C18 C18 C18 XXXX" if (Distance \((6,20)<1.7\) ) print "C20 C20 C20 XXXX" if (Distance \((6,19)<1.7)\) print "C19 C19 C19 XXXX" printon=0 intermediateyet \(=0\)
```

        }
        if ((CCold<1.6) && (CCnew>2.25)) {
            print "INT INT INT XXXX"
            printon=0
            intermediateyet=0
            }
    print ""
    }
for (i=1;i<=250;i++) {
print
i,"\t",count14[i],"\t",CCCtot[i]/count14[i],"\t",CCCopptot[i]/count
14[i],"\t",velsqCCnewtot[i]/count14[i],"\t",velsqCC23tot[i]/count1
4[i] > "CCClist"
print
i,"\t",count14[i],"\t",CCnewtot[i]/count14[i],"\t",CC23tot[i]/count1
4[i] > "CClist"
}
}
function Distance(Atom1,Atom2) {
return sqrt((A[Atom1]-A[Atom2])^2 + (B[Atom1]-B[Atom2])^2

+ (C[Atom1]-C[Atom2])^2)
}
function Angle(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3) {
value=0
if (Distance(Atom2,Atom3)>0) {
value=((-
Distance(Atom1,Atom3)^2+Distance(Atom1,Atom2)^2+Distance(
Atom2,Atom3)^2)/(2*Distance(Atom1,Atom2)*Distance(Atom2,
Atom3)))
}
return acos(value)
}
function asin(x) {return (180/3.141592)*atan2(x, sqrt(1-x*x)) }
function acos(x) {return (180/3.141592)*atan2(sqrt(1-x*x), x) }
function atan(x) { return (180/3.141592)*atan2(x,1) }
function Dihedral(Atom1,Atom2,Atom3,Atom4) {
B1x=A[Atom2]-A[Atom1]
B1y=B[Atom2]-B[Atom1]
B1z=C[Atom2]-C[Atom1]
B2x=A[Atom3]-A[Atom2]
B2y=B[Atom3]-B[Atom2]
B2z=C[Atom3]-C[Atom2]
B3x}=\textrm{A}[\mathrm{ Atom4]-A[Atom3]
B3y=B[Atom4]-B[Atom3]
B3z=C[Atom4]-C[Atom3]
modB2=sqrt((B2x^2)+(B2y^2)+(B2z^2))


# yAx is x-coord. etc of modulus of B2 times B1

    yAx=modB2*(B1x)
    yAy=modB2*(B1y)
    yAz=modB2*(B1z)
    
# CP2 is the crossproduct of B2 and B3

    CP2x=(B2y*B3z)-(B2z*B3y)
    CP2y=(B2z*B3x)-(B2x*B3z)
    CP2z=(B2x*B3y)-(B2y*B3x)
    termY=((yAx*CP2x)+(yAy*CP2y)+(yAz*CP2z))
    
# CP is the crossproduct of B1 and B2

    CPx=(B1y*B2z)-(B1z*B2y)
    CPy=(B1z*B2x)-(B1x*B2z)
    CPz=(B1x*B2y)-(B1y*B2x)
    termX =((CPx*CP2x) +(CPy*CP2y)+(CPz*CP2z))
    dihed4=(180/3.141592)*atan2(termY,termX)
    return dihed4
    }

```
function killdyn(isomer) \{
system("rm -f dyn")
\}

\section*{progcount}
grep XXX n2??/dynfollowfile > temp589
echo "collected XXX from corrected dynfollowfiles into temp589
Here is the line count:"
wc temp589
date
echo ""
\# Organize the results including director and isomernumber and time for each direction. The directory and isomernumber will be used
\# to associate the results with a particular geoPlusVel
awk 'BEGIN \{
dirstart=1
dirend=9999
\}
\{
dirnum=substr( \(\$ 1,2,3\) )
if (dirnum!=olddirnum) isomernumber=""
direction=1
if \(((\) dirnum \(==\) olddirnum \() \& \&(\$ 7==\) oldisomernumber \())\)
direction=2
if ( \(\$ 7>0\) ) \{
isomernumber=\$7
maxres[dirnum]=isomernumber
result[dirnum,isomernumber,direction] \(=\$ 20\)
time[dirnum, isomernumber,direction] \(=\$ 5\)
\}
olddirnum=dirnum;oldisomernumber=isomernumber
\#print dirnum,oldisomernumber
\}
END \{
for ( \(\mathrm{d}=\) dirstart; \(\mathrm{d}<=\) dirend; \(\mathrm{d}++\) ) \(\{\)
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) maxres \([\mathrm{d}] ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \{ if (time[d,i,2]>0) print
d,i,time[d,i,2],time[d,i,1],result[d,i,2],result[d,i,1]
\}
\}
\}' temp589 > temp590
echo "summarized the results in temp590. Line count:"
wc temp590
date
echo ""
\# Count all of the results and output the count
awk 'BEGIN \{
Invnum \(=0 ;\) Recross \(=0 ;\) totalresults \(=0 ;\) Toomany \(=0\);intrecross \(=0\)
InvT50 \(=0 ;\) InvT100 \(=0 ;\) InvT150 \(=0 ;\) InvT200 \(=0 ;\) InvT250 \(=0 ;\) InvT300
\(=0 ;\) InvT350 \(=0 ;\) InvT400 \(=0 ;\) InvT450 \(=0 ;\) InvTG \(=0\)
\(\operatorname{Ret} 50=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 100=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 150=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 200=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 250=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 300=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 3\)
\(50=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 400=0 ; \operatorname{Ret} 450=0 ; \operatorname{RetG}=0\)
invtime \(=0\)
rettime \(=0\)
\}
\(\{\)
totalresults++
if ((\$5=="INT") \&\& (\$6=="C18")) \{
Invnum++
invtime \(=\) invtime \(+\$ 4\)
invtimelist[totalresults] \(=\$ 4\)
if ( \(\$ 4<=50\) ) InvT50++
else if ( \(\$ 4<=100\) ) InvT100++
else if \((\$ 4<=150)\) InvT150++
```

    else if ($4<=200) InvT200++
    else if ($4<=250) InvT250++
    else if ($4<=300) InvT300++
    else if ($4<=350) InvT350++
    else if ($4<=400) InvT400++
    else if ($4<=450) InvT450++
    else InvTG++
    }
    if (($5=="INT") && ($6=="C20")) {
    Recross++
    revtime = revtime + $4
    revtimelist[totalresults]=$4
    if ($4<=50) Ret50++
    else if ($4<=100) Ret100++
    else if ($4<=150) Ret150++
    else if ($4<=200) Ret200++
    else if ($4<=250) Ret250++
    else if ($4<=300) Ret300++
    else if ($4<=350) Ret350++
    else if ($4<=400) Ret400++
    else if ($4<=450) Ret450++
    else RetG++
    }
    if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="C18")) Toomany++
if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="INT")) Toomany++
if ((\$6=="Too") \&\& (\$5=="C18")) Toomany++
if ((\$6=="Too") \&\& (\$5=="INT")) Toomany++
if ((\$5=="INT") \&\& (\$6=="INT")) intrecross++
if ((\$5=="C18") \&\& (\$6=="C18")) prodrecross++
if ((\$5=="C18") \&\& (\$6=="C20")) prodrecross++
if ((\$5=="C20") \&\& (\$6=="C18")) prodrecross++
if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="Too")) Toomany++
if ((\$5=="C18") \&\& (\$6=="INT")) InvnumBackwards++
}
END {
print "Total results",totalresults
print "Results unaccounted for",totalresults-Invnum-Recross-
Toomany-intrecross-InvnumBackwards-prodrecross
print ""
totalresults = totalresults - intrecross - InvnumBackwards -
prodrecross
print "Productive results",totalresults

```

GAUSSRATE / POLYRATE input files
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
p.dat & 16 H \\
& 17 B \\
*General & 18 C \\
& 19 C \\
TITLE & 20 C \\
LARIONOV & 21 H \\
END & 22 H \\
& 23 H \\
ATOMS & 24 H \\
1 C & 25 H \\
2 C & 26 H \\
3 H & 27 H \\
4 H & 28 H \\
5 & 29 & H \\
6 C & END \\
7 H & \\
8 C & NOSUPERMOL \\
9 H & \\
10 & C & WRITEFU31 ON \\
11 H & INPUNIT AU \\
12 H & & \\
13 H & OPTIMIZATION \\
14 H & OPTTS OHOOK OHOOK \\
15 H &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & 13 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{*SECOND} & 14 \\
\hline & 15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{HESSCAL HHOOK} & 16 \\
\hline & 17 \\
\hline *REACT1 & 18 \\
\hline SPECIES NONLINRP & 19 \\
\hline INITGEO HOOKS & 20 \\
\hline GEOM & 21 \\
\hline 1 & 22 \\
\hline 2 & 23 \\
\hline 3 & 24 \\
\hline 4 & 25 \\
\hline 5 & 26 \\
\hline 6 & 27 \\
\hline 7 & 28 \\
\hline 8 & 29 \\
\hline 9 & END \\
\hline 10 & ELEC \\
\hline 11 & 10.0 \\
\hline 12 & END \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{13} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14} \\
\hline 15 & *START \\
\hline 16 & SPECIES NONLINTS \\
\hline END & INITGEO HOOKS \\
\hline ELEC & GEOM \\
\hline 10.0 & 1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{END} & 2 \\
\hline & \(3 \square\) \\
\hline & 4 \\
\hline *REACT2 & 5 \\
\hline SPECIES NONLINRP & 6 \\
\hline INITGEO HOOKS & 7 \\
\hline GEOM & 8 \\
\hline 17 & 9 \\
\hline 18 & 10 \\
\hline 19 & 11 \\
\hline 20 & 12 \\
\hline 21 & 13 \\
\hline 22 & 14 \\
\hline 23 & 15 \\
\hline 24 & 16 \\
\hline 25 & 17 \\
\hline 26 & 18 \\
\hline 27 & 19 \\
\hline 28 & 20 \\
\hline 29 & 21 \\
\hline END & 22 \\
\hline ELEC & 23 \\
\hline 10.0 & 24 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{END} & 25 \\
\hline & 26 \\
\hline & 27 \\
\hline *PROD1 & 28 \\
\hline INITGEO HOOKS & 29 \\
\hline SPECIES NONLINRP & END \\
\hline GEOM & ELEC \\
\hline 1 & 10.0 \\
\hline 2 & END \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3} \\
\hline 4 & \# end of start section \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5} \\
\hline 6 & *PATH \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{7 ( 7 (} \\
\hline 8 & SCALEMASS 1.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9 cene} \\
\hline 10 & RODS ON \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 l} \\
\hline 12 & INTMU 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline SSTEP 0.010 & 200 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{INH 10} & 220 \\
\hline & 240 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SRANGE} & 260 \\
\hline & 273.15 \\
\hline SLP 20.50 & 298.15 \\
\hline SLM -0.50 & 323.15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{END} & 350 \\
\hline & 400 \\
\hline RPM pagem & END \\
\hline SIGN PRODUCT & p. 71 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{IDIRECT 1} \\
\hline & nproc \(=20\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{COORD CART} & \%mem \(=40 \mathrm{gb}\) \\
\hline & \%chk \(=\) g09.chk \\
\hline \# INTDEF & \#p M11/6-31+G** opt fchk NOSYMM \\
\hline \# 5-6 18-19 5-6-1 9-12-18 & scf=tight int(grid=ultrafine) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\# 2-1 3-2 4-3 5-4 6-1 7-3 8-7 9-8 10-9 11-7 12-9 13-4} \\
\hline \# 14-5 15-6 16-1 17-2 18-11 19-8 20-8 3-2-1 4-3-2 5-4-3 & trans-cyclohexene \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\# 6-1-2 7-3-2 8-7-3 9-8-7 10-9-8 11-7-3 12-9-8 13-4-3} \\
\hline \# 14-5-4 15-6-1 16-1-2 17-2-1 18-11-7 19-8-7 20-8-7 & 01 \\
\hline \# 4-3-2-1 5-4-3-2 6-1-2-3 7-3-2-1 8-7-3-2 9-8-7-3 & C, \(0,-1.5720283101,-0.089332252,0.0482600216\) \\
\hline \# 10-9-8-7 11-7-3-2 12-9-8-7 13-4-3-2 14-5-4-3 15-6-1-2 & C,0,-0.6814186321,1.2056074341,-0.1902628084 \\
\hline \# 16-1-2-3 17-2-1-6 18-11-7-3 19-8-7-3 20-8-7-3 & H,0,-1.7764048237,-0.2065426809,1.122529418 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\# END} & H,0,-2.5228525962,-0.0072443179,-0.4970660727 \\
\hline & C,0,-0.5838766884,-1.1031437441,-0.4536013527 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{FREQSCALE 1.00} & C,0,0.4886954536,-1.1902100643,0.3600739437 \\
\hline & H,0,-0.3782471743,-1.0150516463,-1.5296328406 \\
\hline PRPATH & C, \(0,1.559735429,-0.2160776289,-0.0392159068\) \\
\hline COORD 89 & H,0,0.2867196402,-1.1972845018,1.4403201511 \\
\hline INTERVAL 1 & C,0,0.7789375845,1.117185198,0.33500982 \\
\hline XMOL & H,0,2.5116659962,-0.2697583938,0.507721166 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{END} & H,0,1.758312606,-0.2389946517,-1.1206958322 \\
\hline & H, \(0,1.3425071002,1.9885442624,-0.0319569722\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{*TUNNEL} & H,0,0.7657657698,1.192021444,1.4340880053 \\
\hline & H,0,-0.6576409226,1.3918921433,-1.275786337 \\
\hline QUAD & H,0,-1.1718704322,2.0783893999,0.2672155968 \\
\hline NQE 40 & p. 72 \\
\hline NQTH 40 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{END} \\
\hline & \%nproc=20 \\
\hline \# LCT & \%mem=40gb \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\# SCT} & \%chk=g09.chk \\
\hline & \#p M11/6-31+G** opt fchk NOSYMM \\
\hline *RATE & scf=tight int(grid=ultrafine) \\
\hline FORWARDK & trimethylborane \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{VPFEXP 350} \\
\hline SIGMAF 1 & 01 \\
\hline CVT & B,0,0.00082,0.00057,-0.00113 \\
\hline PRDELG ON & C, 0,1.57463,-0.11881,-0.01929 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\# PRGIGT ON} & C,0,-0.68591,1.42154,-0.00406 \\
\hline & C, \(0,-0.89121,-1.30175,0.02789\) \\
\hline TEMP & H,0,1.89847,-0.57988,0.92984 \\
\hline 10 & H,0,1.91035,-0.81413,-0.80425 \\
\hline 20 & H,0,2.11219,0.83197,-0.13179 \\
\hline 30 & H,0,-1.42203,-1.33979,0.99458 \\
\hline 40 & H,0,-1.68644,-1.25031,-0.73238 \\
\hline 50 & H,0,-0.34375,-2.24551,-0.09499 \\
\hline 60 & H,0,-0.18908,2.119,0.68712 \\
\hline 70 & H,0,-0.54171,1.85765,-1.00845 \\
\hline 80 & H,0,-1.76453,1.41674,0.20201 \\
\hline 90 & p. 73 \\
\hline 100 & \\
\hline 120 & \\
\hline 140 & \%nproc=20 \\
\hline 160 & \%mem=40gb \\
\hline 180 & \%chk=g09.chk \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\#p M11/6-31+G** opt fchk NOSYMM
scf=tight int(grid=ultrafine)
reaction product
01
C, \(0,-1.0756472421,-0.3070242073,0.4860151466\)
C, \(0,-0.8646130919,1.0771405058,-0.1432376618\) Н, \(0,-0.9769028865,-0.2378930136,1.5816258485\) H,0,-2.0587330969,-0.7465997932,0.2634540237 C, \(0,0.0921311193,-1.1242879149,-0.0848752918\) C,0,0.3241144008,-2.533203908,0.5293072816 Н, \(0,-0.0977835353,-1.2565265418,-1.1657054849\) C,0,1.2772733858,-0.1444894152,0.0614157594 H,0,-0.5103907973,-3.1645350291,0.1724098736 C,0,0.6761715206,1.2672681662,-0.1653718822 H, \(0,1.6934023192,-0.2223261822,1.0785742703\) H,0,2.0993689793,-0.3718134779,-0.6353498539 H,0,1.0078152887,1.6993699925,-1.118957372 H,0,1.0000137678,1.9601883914,0.622972533 H,0,-1.2596853304,1.0753471448,-1.1703081778 H,0,-1.3808502728,1.8795625255,0.4001932117 В,0,1.6581485844,-3.066680372,-0.1439640469 C, \(0,0.3096781872,-2.5300331206,2.0641344912\) C,0,1.6714286432,-3.3791306022,-1.6927579433 C, \(0,2.982543011,-3.231456095,0.6978652857\) Н,0,-0.6919145359,-2.3214863766,2.4664546473 H,0,0.6280236823,-3.503256455,2.465186012 H,0,0.9943968772,-1.7738735546,2.4780189228 H,0,2.8948978584,-4.1728566883,1.2702295062 H,0,3.8964938988,-3.3059937037,0.0933480447 H,0,3.1094931844,-2.441025094,1.4527100746 H,0,2.2553488958,-4.2826040063,-1.9243677665 H,0,0.6791094557,-3.4654529148,-2.1568746981 H,0,2.2021312092,-2.5520490898,-2.1976864036

\section*{p. 75}
\%nproc \(=20\)
\(\%\) mem \(=40 \mathrm{gb}\)
\%chk=g09.chk
\#p M11/6-31+G** opt=(ts,calcfc,noeigentest) fchk NOSYMM
int(grid=ultrafine)
hexenebme3ts
01
C,0,-1.5653299515,-0.1070547645,0.0386957079 C,0,-0.6461177337,1.1808959703,-0.2186880258 H,0,-1.7683110788,-0.2003230916,1.1135706745 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.4989584634,-0.0224540305,-0.531184831\) C,0,-0.5644214114,-1.0797733433,-0.4378938407 C, \(0,0.5158681612,-1.3033739084,0.3676842979\) H,0,-0.4061398333,-1.1208567382,-1.5255386969 C, \(0,1.5433262317,-0.2379734274,-0.0328390064\) H, \(0,0.2994285651,-1.2610692355,1.4451211822\) C, \(0,0.7874581987,1.0803765715,0.3500709142\) H,0,2.4899339003,-0.3344319865,0.5160000004 \(\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7575431209,-0.2640303375,-1.1120856912\) H,0,1.362087468,1.9572186557,0.0148876035 H,0,0.7393444196,1.1356553411,1.4491987699
H,0,-0.6004543734,1.3466974275,-1.3058856548 H,0,-1.1650901777,2.0476004915,0.2151482344
B, \(0,1.2799590421,-3.212743501,-0.0229948207\) C,0,0.2146123973,-4.1280682726,0.7611171222
C, \(0,1.2871312336,-3.3074942942,-1.6285756055\) C,0,2.7165739579,-3.0570673796,0.6838259971
H,0,0.5724072408,-5.1725518584,0.7426691052
H,0,0.1059078183,-3.8581186607,1.823930136
H,0,-0.7867313378,-4.1221647405,0.3031037805

H,0,3.1664532326,-4.0638328838,0.7426537108 H,0,3.4278620604,-2.4276280479,0.1264390722 H,0,2.6606006635,-2.6838649133,1.719753803 H,0,1.8745996047,-4.1962992376,-1.9164882212 H,0,0.2869574721,-3.4318419032,-2.0732668189 H,0,1.7804995721,-2.4524279013,-2.1214288985

\title{
Calculations and Sample Input Files for Chapter IV
}
```

    Dimerization of cyclopentadiene
    finalkieprediction.py
import numpy as np
import sys
import os
os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"]="-1"
import time
import h5py
from keras import layers, regularizers
from keras.layers import Input, Dense, Activation,
BatchNormalization, Flatten, Dropout
from keras.models import Sequential, load_model
from keras.metrics import categorical_crossentropy
from keras.optimizers import Adam, R}MSpro
from keras.utils import layer_utils, to_categorical
import keras.backend as K
from keras.callbacks import ReduceLROnPlateau,
LearningRateScheduler, EarlyStopping
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '3'
def schedule(epochnum):
lr=0.002
if epochnum>40:
lr}=0.000
if epochnum > 80:
lr}=0.000
if epochnum > 350:
lr}=0.000
if epochnum > 380:
lr=0.00004

# print ("epoch",epochnum,"lr",lr)

    return lr
    def confusion_matrix(ytrue, ypred):
assert (ytrue.shape == ypred.shape), "Inputs to
confusion matrix have different dimensions"
dim}= ytrue.shape[1]
outmat = np.zeros([dim,dim], dtype=int)
for i in range(0,dim):
for j in range(0,dim):
outmat[i,j] = int(np.sum(ytrue[:,i] * ypred[:,j]))
return outmat

```
\#prepare the training and test arrays. Split into validation is done automatically.
lambd=0.004
print("lambd original",lambd)
fullarray =
np.loadtxt("/scratch/user/vroytman/cpdim2019/tempb in500.csv",delimiter=",")
outside_test_array =
np.loadtxt("/scratch/user/vroytman/cpdim2019/bincp
dimer/million.csv",delimiter=",")
trimedarray = fullarray
Yset = trimedarray[:,128:132]
print(Yset)
Xset = Yset \# temporary for appending, then will delete first three columns
Youtside_test_array \(=\) outside_test_array[:,128:132]
\#Sometimes this will be real, sometimes not if we
don't know answers, but something has to be there in
file.
Xoutside_test_array = Youtside_test_array \#
temporary, silly, but makes for easy programming by
fitting with how Xset handled
IDset \(=\) trimedarray [:, 132:135]
featurelist \(=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,13,18,60\), \(61,62,64,67]\)
print("featurelist original",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) \(>1\) and sys.argv[1] == "add":
for \(\arg\) in sys.argv[2:]: featurelist.append(int(arg))
print("featurelist after adding",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "remove": mylist = []
for arg in sys.argv[2:]:
mylist.append(int(arg))
featurelist \(=[\mathrm{x}\) for x in featurelist if x not in mylist \(]\)
print("featurelist after removal",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "lambd":
lambd = sys.argv[2]
print("revised lambd",lambd)
for feature in featurelist:
\(\mathrm{a}=\) np.reshape(trimedarray[:,feature],(-1,1))
Xset \(=\) np.concatenate \(((\) Xset,, a\()\), axis \(=1)\)
\(\mathrm{b}=\) np.reshape(outside_test_array[:,feature],( \(-1,1\) ))
Xoutside_test_array =
np.concatenate((Xoutside_test_array,b), axis=1)
\#remove Y values from Xset and normalize
Xset = Xset[:,4::]
print("Xset",Xset)
xmean \(=\) Xset.mean \((\) axis \(=0)\)
Xset \(=\) Xset - xmean
xstd \(=\) Xset.std \((\) axis \(=0)\)
Xset \(=\) Xset \(/\) xstd
np.savetxt('normoff',[xstd,xmean])
\#remove Y values from Xoutside_test_array and set to same scale as Xset
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array[:,4::]
Xoutside_test_array \(=\) Xoutside_test_array - xmean
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array / xstd
print("full X shape",Xset.shape,"full Y
shape",Yset.shape)
print("outside test shape",Xoutside_test_array.shape)
class_weight \(=\{0: 1,1: 1,2: 2,3: 1.5\}\)
print("class_weight",class_weight)
tic \(=\) time.time ()
for ind in range \((0,1)\) :
\(\mathrm{m}=\) Yset.shape[0]
\(\mathrm{i}=\operatorname{int}\left(0.95^{*} \mathrm{~m}\right)\)
Xtest=Xset[i:m,:]
Ytest=Yset[i:m,:]
IDtest=IDset[i:m,:]
Xset=Xset[1:i,:]
Yset=Yset[1:i,:]
IDset=IDset[1:i,:]
costlist=[]
XSAlist=[]
valcostlist=[]
valXSAlist=[]
for seed in range(100):
np.random.seed()
\(\mathrm{m}=\) Yset.shape[0]
print(m)
permutation \(=\operatorname{list(np.random.permutation(m))~}\)
X_train = Xset[permutation,:]
Y_train \(=\) Yset[permutation,:]
print ("number of training examples \(="+\)
\(\operatorname{str}(X \quad\) train.shape[0]))
print ("X_train shape: \("+\) str(X_train.shape))
print ("Y_train shape: " + str(Y_train.shape))
print ("Xtest shape: " \(+\operatorname{str}(\) Xtest.shape) \()\)
print ("Ytest shape: " + str(Ytest.shape))
model \(=\) Sequential \(([\)
Dense(300, input_shape=(Xtest.shape[1],), activation='relu',
kernel_regularizer=regularizers.12(lambd)),
Dense(4, activation='softmax'),
])
reduce_lr \(=\) LearningRateScheduler(schedule)
early_stop =
EarlyStopping(monitor='val_loss',min_delta=0, patience \(=80\), verbose \(=1\) )
model.summary()
model.compile(RMSprop( \(\mathrm{lr}=0.002\), \(\mathrm{rho}=0.99\) ),
loss \(=\) 'categorical_crossentropy', metrics \(=[\) 'accuracy'])
losshist = model.fit(X_train, Y_train,
validation_split \(=0.02\), batch_size \(=\overline{5} 12\), epochs \(=400\),
class_weight=class_weight, shuffle=True,
callbacks \(=[\) reduce_lr, early_stop], verbose \(=0\) )
if seed \(==0\) :
model.save('cpdimer.h5')
val_loss = round(losshist.history.get('val_loss')[-
1],5)
val_acc \(=\) round(losshist.history.get('val_acc')[1],5)
valcostlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_loss')[1])
valXSAlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_acc')[-1]) print("loss = ", round(losshist.history.get('loss')[1],4),
"acc \(=\) ", round(losshist.history.get('acc')[-1],4),
"val_loss = ", val_loss,
"val_acc = ", val_acc)
loss_and_metrics = model.evaluate \((\) Xtest, Ytest, batch_size \(=16\), verbose \(=0\) )

XSA \(=\operatorname{int}\left(100000^{*}\right.\) loss_and_metrics[1])/1000
print(loss_and_metrics)
\(\operatorname{cost}=\operatorname{int}(100 \overline{0} 00 *\) loss_and_metrics \([0]) / 100000\)
print("")
print("loss for test set ", cost, " accuracy for
test set: ", XSA,"\%")
costlist.append(cost)
XSAlist.append(XSA)
print("running", seed," ",
round(np.mean(XSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
print("valRunning", seed,"
",100*round(np.mean(valXSAlist),4),"\%
cost",round(np.mean(valcostlist),4),"\% arguments
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
rounded_predictions =
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xtest,
batch_size \(=16\), verbose \(=1\) ), num_classes=4)
print(" ")
print("shape of rounded
predictions",rounded_predictions.shape,"shape of Ytest",Ytest.shape)
print("predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,0])),
int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,1])),int(np.sum(rou nded_predictions[:,2])), int(np.sum(rounded_predictio \(\mathrm{ns}[:, 3])\) ))
print("test
percentage",round(100*np.sum(rounded_predictions[ :,0])/Ytest.shape[0],2),
round(100*np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,1])/Ytest.s hape[0],2),
round (100*np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,2])/Ytest.s hape[0],2),
round \((100 *\) np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,3])/Ytest.s hape[0],2))
total_correct \(=\operatorname{int}(n p . s u m(\) Ytest *
rounded_predictions))
print("total in test set",Ytest.shape[0],"Total
correct",total_correct," Percent
",round( 100 *total_correct/Ytest.shape[0],2))
if seed \(==0\) : \#print out the errors ID info for
seed \(==0\)
print(" identifiers Y actual Y
predicted")
for index in range(Ytest.shape[0]):
if np.sum(Ytest[index, :] *
rounded_predictions[index, :] \()=0\) :
print(IDtest[index, :],Ytest[index,
:],rounded_predictions[index, :])
\# \(\quad \operatorname{print}(\) Ytest[index, :] *
rounded_predictions[index, :])
\(\mathrm{cm}=\)
confusion_matrix(Ytest,rounded_predictions)
print("confusion matrix")
print(" A B Rec ProdRec")
print(cm)
print(" ")
print("predictions for outside test array")
outside_rounded_predictions =
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xoutside_test_a
rray, batch_size \(=16\), verbose \(=1\) ))
print(" ")
print("shape of outside rounded
predictions",outside_rounded_predictions.shape,"sha
pe of
Youtside_test_array",Youtside_test_array.shape) print("outside predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:, 0])),
int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])),int(np. sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])), int(np.sum(o utside_rounded_predictions[:,3])))
print("outside
percentage",round( 100 *np.sum(outside_rounded_pre dictions[:,0])/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round \((100 *\) np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round(100*np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round \((100 *\) np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,3])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2))
outside_total_correct =
int(np.sum(Youtside_test_array *
outside_rounded_predictions))
print ("total in outside
set",Youtside_test_array.shape[0],"Total correct",outside_total_correct," percent correct",
100*outside_total_correct/Youtside_test_array.shape [0])
\(\mathrm{cm}=\)
confusion_matrix(Youtside_test_array,outside_round
ed_predictions)
print("confusion matrix for outside test")
print(" A B Rec ProdRec")
print(cm)
K.clear_session()
toc \(=\) time.time ()
print("")
print("time so far",round(toc-tic,2))

-----------------------------------------",str(seed) * 10)
print(" ")
print(" ")
print("Test Ave Acc
",round(np.mean(XSAlist),2),"\% Test Ave cost
",round(np.mean(costlist),4)," Test Med Acc
",round(np.median(XSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
print("Val Ave Acc
",100*round(np.mean(valXSAlist),4)," \(\%\) Val Ave
cost ",round(np.mean(valcostlist),4)," Val Med Acc
",100*round(np.median(valXSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
toc \(=\) time.time ()
print("wall run time after loading",round(toc-tic,2))

\section*{groundhog.py}
import os.path
import os
os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"] \(=\) "-1"
os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '3'
import sys
import keras.models
import numpy as np
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
featurelist \(=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,13,18,60\), 61, 62, 64, 67]
\#this program takes arguments /dataline/location and
/normoff/location
data \(=\)
np.loadtxt(os.path.join(sys.argv[1],'data.line'),delimit
er=',')[featurelist]
\#print("data allowing for featurelist")
\#print(data)
normoff \(=\)
np.loadtxt(os.path.join(sys.argv[2],'normoff',))
\#offset and normalization constants for the data are needed; these are in normoff
data \(=\) data - normoff[1]
data \(=\) data \(/\) normoff[0]
\#print("data allowing for normoff")
\#print(data)

\section*{makemodel.py}
import numpy as np
import sys
import os
os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"]="-1"
import time
import h5py
from keras import layers, regularizers
from keras.layers import Input, Dense, Activation, BatchNormalization, Flatten, Dropout
from keras.models import Sequential, load_model
from keras.metrics import categorical_crossentropy
from keras.optimizers import Adam, RMSprop
from keras.utils import layer_utils, to_categorical import keras.backend as K
from keras.callbacks import ReduceLROnPlateau, LearningRateScheduler, EarlyStopping
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '3'
def schedule(epochnum):
\(\mathrm{lr}=0.002\)
if epochnum \(>40\) : \(\operatorname{lr}=0.0006\)
if epochnum \(>80\) : \(\operatorname{lr}=0.0002\)
if epochnum \(>350\) : \(\operatorname{lr}=0.0001\)
if epochnum \(>380\) :
\[
\mathrm{lr}=0.00004
\]
\# print ("epoch",epochnum,"lr",lr)
return lr
def confusion_matrix(ytrue, ypred):
assert (ytrue.shape == ypred.shape), "Inputs to
confusion matrix have different dimensions"
dim = ytrue.shape[1]
outmat \(=\) np.zeros \(([\operatorname{dim}, \operatorname{dim}]\), dtype \(=\) int \()\)
for \(i\) in range \((0, \mathrm{dim})\) :
for j in range( 0 , dim):
outmat \([i, j]=\operatorname{int}(n p . s u m(y t r u e[:, i]\) * ypred[:,j]))
return outmat
\#prepare the training and test arrays. Split into
validation is done automatically.
lambd=0.004
print("lambd original",lambd)
fullarray =
np.loadtxt("/scratch/user/vroytman/cpdim2019/tempb in500.csv",delimiter=",")
mymodel =
keras.models.load_model(os.path.join(sys.argv[2],'cp dimer.h5'))
results \(=\) mymodel.predict(np.array \(([\) data \(]))[0]\)
\(\operatorname{print}(\operatorname{int}(100 * \max (\) results \()))\)
outside_test_array =
np.loadtxt("/scratch/user/vroytman/cpdim2019/binho
g/regulartest.csv",delimiter=",")
trimedarray = fullarray
Yset = trimedarray[:,128:132]
print(Yset)
Xset = Yset \# temporary for appending, then will delete first three columns
Youtside_test_array = outside_test_array[:,128:132]
\#Sometimes this will be real, sometimes not if we
don't know answers, but something has to be there in file.
Xoutside_test_array = Youtside_test_array \#
temporary, silly, but makes for easy programming by
fitting with how Xset handled
IDset \(=\) trimedarray [:,132:135]
featurelist \(=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,12,13,18,60\), \(61,62,64,67]\)
print("featurelist original",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) \(>1\) and sys.argv[1] == "add":
for \(\arg\) in sys.argv[2:]: featurelist.append(int(arg))
print("featurelist after adding",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "remove":
mylist = []
for \(\arg\) in sys.argv[2:]:
mylist.append(int(arg))
featurelist \(=[\mathrm{x}\) for x in featurelist if x not in mylist \(]\)
print("featurelist after removal",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "lambd":
lambd = sys.argv[2]
print("revised lambd",lambd)
for feature in featurelist:
\(\mathrm{a}=\) np.reshape(trimedarray[:,feature],(-1,1))
Xset \(=\mathrm{np}\). concatenate \(((\) Xset, a\(), \mathrm{axis}=1)\)
\(\mathrm{b}=\) np.reshape(outside_test_array[:,feature],(-1,1))
Xoutside_test_array =
np.concatenate((Xoutside_test_array,b), axis=1)
\#remove Y values from Xset and normalize
Xset \(=\) Xset[:,4::]
print("Xset",Xset)
xmean \(=\) Xset.mean \((\) axis \(=0)\)
Xset \(=\) Xset - xmean
xstd \(=\) Xset.std \((\) axis \(=0)\)
Xset \(=\) Xset / xstd
np.savetxt('normoff',[xstd,xmean])
\#remove Y values from Xoutside_test_array and set to same scale as Xset
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array[:,4::]
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array - xmean

Xoutside_test_array \(=\) Xoutside_test_array / xstd
print("full X shape",Xset.shape," \(\overline{\text { ffull }} \bar{Y}\)
shape",Yset.shape)
print("outside test shape",Xoutside_test_array.shape)
class_weight \(=\{0: 1,1: 1,2: 2,3: 1.5\}\)
print("class_weight",class_weight)
tic \(=\) time.time()
for ind in range \((0,1)\) :
\(\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{Yset}\).shape[0]
\(\mathrm{i}=\operatorname{int}\left(0.95^{*} \mathrm{~m}\right)\)
Xtest=Xset[i:m,:]
Ytest=Yset[i:m,:]
IDtest=IDset[i:m,:]
Xset=Xset[1:i,:]
Yset=Yset[1:i,:]
IDset=IDset[1:i,:]
costlist=[]
XSAlist=[]
valcostlist=[]
valXSAlist=[]
for seed in range(1):
np.random.seed()
\(\mathrm{m}=\) Yset.shape[0]
print(m)
permutation \(=\) list(np.random.permutation(m))
X_train = Xset[permutation, :]
Y_train = Yset[permutation,:]
print ("number of training examples \(="+\)
\(\operatorname{str}\left(X \_\right.\)train.shape[0]) \()\)
print ("X_train shape: \("+\) str(X_train.shape))
print ("Y_train shape: " + str(Y_train.shape))
print ("Xtest shape: " \(+\operatorname{str}(\) Xtest.shape) \()\)
print ("Ytest shape: " \(+\operatorname{str}(\) Ytest.shape) \()\)
model \(=\) Sequential([
Dense(300, input_shape=(Xtest.shape[1],),
activation='relu',
kernel_regularizer=regularizers.12(lambd)),
Dense(4, activation='softmax'),
])
reduce_lr = LearningRateScheduler(schedule)
early_stop \(=\)
EarlyStopping(monitor='val_loss',min_delta=0,
patience \(=80\), verbose \(=1\) )
model.summary()
model.compile(RMSprop(lr=0.002, rho=0.99),
loss='categorical_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
losshist \(=\) model.fit \(\left(X \_\right.\)train, Y_train,
validation_split \(=0.02\), batch_size \(=512\), epochs \(=400\),
class_weight=class_weight, shuffle=True,
callbacks=[reduce_lr, early_stop], verbose=0)
if seed \(=0\) :
model.save('cpdimer.h5')
val_loss \(=\) round(losshist.history.get('val_loss') \([-\) 1],5)
val_acc \(=\) round(losshist.history.get('val_acc') \([-\) 1],5) valcostlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_loss')[1])
valXSAlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_acc')[-1]) print("loss = ", round(losshist.history.get('loss')[1],4),
"acc = ", round(losshist.history.get('acc')[-1],4),
"val_loss = ", val_loss,
"val_acc = ", val_acc)
loss_and_metrics \(=\) model.evaluate \((\) Xtest, Ytest, batch_size \(=16\), verbose \(=0\) )
\(\mathrm{XS} \mathrm{A}=\operatorname{int}\left(100000^{*}\right.\) loss_and_metrics[1])/1000
print(loss_and_metrics)
\(\operatorname{cost}=\operatorname{int}(100 \overline{0} 00 *\) loss_and_metrics \([0]) / 100000\)
print("")
print("loss for test set ", cost, " accuracy for
test set: ", XSA,"\%")
costlist.append(cost)
XSAlist.append(XSA)
print("running", seed," ",
round(np.mean(XSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
print("valRunning", seed,"
",100*round(np.mean(valXSAlist),4),"\%
cost",round(np.mean(valcostlist),4),"\% arguments
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
rounded_predictions =
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xtest,
batch_size \(=16\), verbose \(=1\) ), num_classes=4)
print(" ")
print("shape of rounded
predictions",rounded_predictions.shape,"shape of Ytest",Ytest.shape)
print("predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,0])),
int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,1])),int(np.sum(rou nded_predictions[:,2])), int(np.sum(rounded_predictio \(\mathrm{ns}[:, 3])\) ))
print("test
percentage",round( 100 *np.sum(rounded_predictions[ :,0])/Ytest.shape[0],2),
round \((100 *\) np.sum(rounded_predictions[:, 1\(]\) )/Ytest.s hape[0],2),
round(100*np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,2])/Ytest.s hape[0],2),
round \(\left(100^{*}\right.\) np.sum(rounded_predictions[:3])/Ytest.s hape[0],2))
total_correct \(=\operatorname{int}(n p . \operatorname{sum}(\) Ytest \(*\)
rounded_predictions))
print("total in test set",Ytest.shape[0],"Total
correct",total_correct," Percent
",round(100*- total_correct/Ytest.shape[0],2))
if seed \(=0\) : \#print out the errors ID info for seed \(==0\)
print(" identifiers Y actual Y
predicted")
for index in range(Ytest.shape[0]):
if np.sum(Ytest[index, :] *
rounded_predictions[index, :] \()=0\) : print(IDtest[index, :],Ytest[index,
:],rounded_predictions[index, :])
\# \(\quad \operatorname{print}(\) Ytest[index, :] *
rounded_predictions[index, :])
\(\mathrm{cm}=\)
confusion_matrix(Ytest,rounded_predictions)
print("confusion matrix")
print(" A B Rec ProdRec")
print(cm)
print(" ")
print("predictions for outside test array")
outside_rounded_predictions \(=\)
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xoutside_test_a
rray, batch_size \(=16\), verbose=1))
print(" ")
print("shape of outside rounded
predictions",outside_rounded_predictions.shape,"sha
pe of
Youtside_test_array",Youtside_test_array.shape)
print("outside predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:, 0])),
int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])),int(np. sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])), int(np.sum(o utside_rounded_predictions[:,3])))
print("outside
percentage",round(100*np.sum(outside_rounded_pre dictions[:,0])/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round \(\left(100^{*}\right.\) np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round(100*np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round \(\left(100^{*}\right.\) np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,3])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2))
outside_total_correct =
int(np.sum(Youtside_test_array *
outside_rounded_predictions))
print ("total in outside
set",Youtside_test_array.shape[0],"Total
correct",outside_total_correct," percent correct",
100*outside_total_correct/Youtside_test_array.shape
[0]," ",m)
\(\mathrm{cm}=\)
confusion_matrix(Youtside_test_array,outside_round ed_predictions)
print("confusion matrix for outside test")
print(" A B Rec ProdRec")
print(cm)
K.clear_session()
toc \(=\) time.time ()
print("")
print("time so far",round(toc-tic,2))

print(" ")
print(" ")
print("Test Ave Acc
",round(np.mean(XSAlist),2),"\% Test Ave cost
",round(np.mean(costlist),4)," Test Med Acc
",round(np.median(XSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
print("Val Ave Acc
",100*round(np.mean(valXSAlist),4),"\% Val Ave
cost ",round(np.mean(valcostlist),4)," Val Med Acc
",100*round(np.median(valXSAlist),4),"\% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
toc \(=\) time.time ()
print("wall run time after loading",round(toc-tic,2))
progbrainprepcpdimer
\# Get a list of all of the trajectory results
grep XXX n???/dynfollowfile > temp589
\# Organize the results including director and
isomernumber and time for each direction. The
directory and isomernumber will be used
\# to associate the results with a particular geoPlusVel
awk 'BEGIN \{
dirstart=100
dirend=999
\}
\{
dirnum=substr(\$1,2,3)
direction=1
if ((dirnum==olddirnum) \&\&
(\$7==oldisomernumber)) direction=2
if \((\$ 7>0)\) \{
isomernumber=\$7
maxres[dirnum]=isomernumber
result[dirnum, isomernumber, direction] \(=\$ 14\)
time[dirnum,isomernumber,direction] \(=\$ 5\)
\}
olddirnum=dirnum;oldisomernumber=isomernumber
\}
END \{
for ( \(\mathrm{d}=\) dirstart; \(\mathrm{d}<=\) dirend; \(\mathrm{d}++\) ) \{
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\) maxres \([\mathrm{d}] ; \mathrm{i}++\) ) \(\{\)
if (time[d,i,2]>0) print
d,i,time[d,i,2],time[d,i,1],result[d,i,2],result[d,i,1]
```

    }
    }
}' temp589 > temp590

# Count all of the results and output the count

awk 'BEGIN {
Acnum=0;MVKnum=0;Recross=0;totalresults=0;Too
many=0;prodrecross=0
prodaT50=0;prodaT100=0;prodaT150=0;prodaT200
=0;prodaT250=0;prodaT300=0;prodaT350=0;prodaT
400=0;prodaT450=0;prodaTG=0
prodbT50=0;prodbT100=0;prodbT150=0;prodbT200
=0;prodbT250=0;prodbT300=0;prodbT350=0;prodb
T400=0;MVK450=0;prodbTG=0
toT50=0;toT100=0;toT150=0;toT200=0;toT250=0;to
T300=0;toT350=0;toT400=0;MVK450=0;toTG=0
}
{
totalresults++
if ((\$6=="PRODA") \&\& (\$5=="Returned")) {
Acnum++
if (\$4<=50) prodaT50++
else if (\$4<=100) prodaT100++
else if (\$4<=150) prodaT150++
else if (\$4<=200) prodaT200++
else if (\$4<=250) prodaT250++
else if (\$4<=300) prodaT300++
else if (\$4<=350) prodaT350++
else if (\$4<=400) prodaT400++
else if (\$4<=450) prodaT450++
else prodaTG++
}
if ((\$6=="PRODB") \&\& (\$5=="Returned")) {
MVKnum++
if (\$4<=50) prodbT50++
else if (\$4<=100) prodbT100++
else if (\$4<=150) prodbT150++
else if (\$4<=200) prodbT200++
else if (\$4<=250) prodbT250++
else if (\$4<=300) prodbT300++
else if (\$4<=350) prodbT350++
else if (\$4<=400) prodbT400++
else if (\$4<=450) prodbT450++
else prodbTG++
}
if ((\$6=="Returned") \&\& (\$5=="Returned")) {
Recross++
if (\$4<=50) toT50++
else if (\$4<=100) toT100++
else if (\$4<=150) toT150++
else if (\$4<=200) toT200++
else if (\$4<=250) toT250++
else if (\$4<=300) toT300++
else if (\$4<=350) toT350++
else if (\$4<=400) toT400++
else if (\$4<=450) toT450++

```
```

",prodbT350," ",prodbT400," ",prodbT450,"
",prodbTG
print "Recrossing times"
print "<50 51-100 101-150 151-200 201-250 251-300
301-350 351-400 401-450 >450"
print toT50," ",toT100," ",toT150," ",toT200,"
",toT250," ",toT300," ",toT350," ",toT400,"
",toT450," ",toTG
}' temp590

# create a big full that combines all of the

geoRecords, with an extra label that includes the
directory name
awk'
/trajectory/ {printf("%s ",substr(FILENAME,2,3))};
{print}' n???/geoRecord > temp591

# prep for output files

rm -f temp*.csv

# combine the results summary in temp590 and the

geoRecords in temp591 to output files for bringing in
to neural nets
awk 'BEGIN {
totalline=0
dirstart=100
dirend=999
oldanswer=', 0, 0, 0, 0"
CCmax =0;CCmin=10;COAcmax =0;COAcmin =10;C
OMVKmax=0;COMVKmin=10;CCdotmax=0;CCdot
min}=10;\mathrm{ COAcdotmax =0;COAcdotmin=10;COMVK
dotmax=0;COMVKdotmin=10
CCaimmax =0; CCaimmin=10; COAcaimmax=0;
COAcaimmin=10; COMVKaimmax=0;
COMVKaimmin=10
}
/trajectory/ {
dirnum=\$1
isomernumber=\$2
linenumber=0
maxres[dirnum]=isomernumber
badgeo=0
}
{
totalline++
linenumber++
if ((linenumber>=3) \&\& (linenumber<=24)) {
if (\$2==0) badgeo=1
if (\$2=="nan") badgeo=1
i=linenumber-2
weight[i]=\$5
atSym[i]=$1
for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
    geoArr[i,j]=$(1+j)
}
}

```
if (distatoms==0) print dirnum, isomernumber
unitX=delX/distatoms; unitY=delY/distatoms;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;

COAcdot[dirnum, isomernumber]=-
\(25^{*}\left(\right.\) unitX \(^{*}\) velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ) if
(COAcdot[dirnum,isomernumber]>COAcdotmax) COAcdotmax=COAcdot[dirnum, isomernumber]; if (COAcdot[dirnum,isomernumber]<COAcdotmin)
COAcdotmin=COAcdot[dirnum,isomernumber];
if (COAc[dirnum, isomernumber]<COAcmin)
COAcmin=COAc[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(COAc[dirnum, isomernumber] \(>\) COAcmax)
COAcmax \(=\mathrm{COAc}[\) dirnum, isomernumber]; if
(COAcaim[dirnum,isomernumber] \(<\) COAcaimmin)
COAcaimmin=COAcaim[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(COAcaim[dirnum,isomernumber]>COAcaimmax)
COAcaimmax \(=\) COAcaim[dirnum,isomernumber]; \# COMVK delX=geoArr[4,1]-geoArr[12,1];delY=geoArr[4,2]-
geoArr[12,2];delZ=geoArr[4,3]-geoArr[12,3]; velX=velArr[4,1]-velArr[12,1];velY=velArr[4,2]-
velArr[12,2];velZ=velArr[4,3]-velArr[12,3]; delaimX=aimGeo[4,1]-
aimGeo[12,1];delaimY=aimGeo[4,2]-
aimGeo[12,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[4,3]-aimGeo[12,3]; distatoms \(=\left(\operatorname{delX} \mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
distaim \(=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaimZ } \wedge^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
COMVK[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms COMVKaim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
unit \(X=\) delX/distatoms; unit \(Y=\) delY/distatoms;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;
COMVKdot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
25 (unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
if
(COMVKdot[dirnum,isomernumber]>COMVKdotm ax)
COMVKdotmax=COMVKdot[dirnum,isomernumber ]; if
(COMVKdot[dirnum,isomernumber]<COMVKdotmi n)

COMVKdotmin=COMVKdot[dirnum,isomernumber ];
if (COMVK[dirnum, isomernumber]<COMVKmin)
COMVKmin=COMVK[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(COMVK[dirnum,isomernumber]>COMVKmax)
COMVKmax=COMVK[dirnum,isomernumber]; if
(COMVKaim[dirnum,isomernumber]<COMVKaim
\(\min )\)
COMVKaimmin=COMVKaim[dirnum,isomernumbe r];if
(COMVKaim[dirnum,isomernumber]>COMVKaim
max)
COMVKaimmax=COMVKaim[dirnum,isomernumb
er];

\section*{\}}
if \(((\) badgeo \(==0) \& \&(\) linenumber \(>=47) \& \&\)
(linenumber \(<=106\) )) \{
\(\operatorname{mv}\) [dirnum,isomernumber, linenumber] \(=\$ 4 / 3 \mathrm{e} 13\); mdis[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber] \(=\$ 5\)
    \}
if (FILENAME=="temp590") \{
\# By the time we get here we should be done with
all of the extractions from geoRecords
\(\mathrm{d}=\$ 1 ; \mathrm{i}=\$ 2\);time[d,i,1] \(=\$ 3\);time[d,i,2] \(=\$ 4\);result[d,i,1]
\(=\$ 5 ;\) result \([\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}, 2]=\$ 6\)
answer[d,i]=999
if ((\$6=="PRODA") \&\& (\$5=="Returned")) \{
answer[d,i]=", 1, 0, 0, 0 "
\}
if ((\$6=="PRODB") \&\& (\$5=="Returned")) \{
answer[d,i]=", \(0,1,0,0 "\)
\}
if ((\$5=="Returned") \&\& (\$6=="Returned")) \{
answer[d,i]=", \(0,0,1,0 "\)
\}
if (((\$6=="PRODA") || (\$6=="PRODB")) \&\&
\(((\$ 5==\) "PRODA" \()|\mid(\$ 5==\) "PRODB" \()))\{\)
answer[d,i]=", 0, 0, 0, 1 '
\}
if (answer[d,i]==999) countunassigned++
if (answer[d,i]!=999) \{
for (timebin=50;timebin<=500;timebin+=50) \{ filestring="tempbin"timebin".csv" if (time[d,i,2]<timebin) \{
for (disline \(=47\); disline \(<=106\); disline ++ ) \{ \(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .5 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{",mv}[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{disline}]) \gg\) filestring \}
for (disline \(=48\);disline \(<=106\);disline ++ ) \{ printf("\%.6f, ",mdis[d,i,disline]) >>
filestring
\}
\(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f, "\),
CC[d,i], COAc[d,i], COMVK[d,i], CCdot[d,i],
COAcdot[d,i], COMVKdot[d,i]) >> filestring
printf("\%.5f, \%.5f, \%.5f", CCaim[d,i],
COAcaim[d,i], COMVKaim[d,i]) >> filestring
print answer[d,i]", "time[d,i,2]",", d",", i >>
filestring
\}
\}
oldanswer=answer[d,i]
\}
\}
\}
END \{
print "unassigned",countunassigned

\author{
print \\ "CCmax,CCmin,COAcmax,COAcmin,COMVKmax, COMVKmin" \\ print \\ CCmax,CCmin,COAcmax,COAcmin,COMVKmax, COMVKmin \\ print "CCdotmax,CCdotmin" \\ print CCdotmax,CCdotmin \\ print "COAcdotmax,COAcdotmin" \\ print COAcdotmax,COAcdotmin \\ print "COMVKdotmax,COMVKdotmin" \\ print COMVKdotmax,COMVKdotmin \\ print "CCaimmax, CCaimmin" \\ print CCaimmax, CCaimmin \\ print "COAcaimmax, COAcaimmin" \\ print COAcaimmax, COAcaimmin \\ print "COMVKaimmax, COMVKaimmin" \\ print COMVKaimmax, COMVKaimmin \\ \}' temp591 temp590
}
rm -f temp589 temp590 temp591
wc * csv
module load Anaconda/3-5.0.0.1
\#module load myPython/3.5.2-intel-2017A \& \&
source /scratch/user/vroytman/myPython/3.5.2-intel-
2017A/bin/activate
sed -i '/ \(0.00000,0.00000,0.00000,0.00000 / \mathrm{d}^{*}\).csv
mv tempbin500.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin500.csv
mv tempbin450.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin450.csv
mv tempbin400.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin \(400 . c s v\)
mv tempbin350.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin350.csv
mv tempbin300.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin300.csv
mv tempbin250.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin250.csv
mv tempbin200.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin200.csv
mv tempbin150.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin150.csv
mv tempbin100.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin100.csv
mv tempbin50.csv test
python shuffle.py \(>\) tempbin50.csv
wc *csv

\section*{progdyn.conf for Groundhog}
\#This is the configuration file for PROGDYN. This
file is read by progdynstarterHP and
\# the awk programs proggenHP, prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb.
\#The programs won't read anything past the first blank line,
\#and this file must end with a blank line.
\#The program has a number of default values but they are unlikely to be what you want.
\#Do not delete lines - rather, comment out lines for unwanted options.
\#The values here are read repeatedly and most can be changed in the middle of running jobs
\#***The keywords are case sensitive. The following keywords should always be defined:***
\#***method, charge, multiplicity, memory,
processors, title
\#*** method --The following word is copied exactly to the gaussian input file.
method B3LYP/6-31G*
\#To do a nonstandard route, make nonstandard 1. For normal calcs, use nonstandard 0 or else leave it out.
\#Then make a file called "nonstandard" containing the nonstandard route with no extra lines. nonstandard 0
\# NMRoptions As is NMRtype=1 will add a section
for an NMR calc at every NMRevery intervals. If
you want to combine the two use nonstandard
\#NMRtype 1
\#NMRmethod2 B97D/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod LC-wPBE/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod3 B3LYP/cc-pvtz
\#NMRevery 4
\#NMRrand 1
\#NMRcc 1
\#loadlimit 10.0
\#geometry linear
rotationmode 1
\#*** method2 --The options here are restricted, unrestricted, and read. restricted is the default \#If the method is U..., put unrestricted here and the .com files will have in them guess=mix.
\#If you put read here, the .com files will contain guess=tcheck, which sometimes makes things faster, sometimes not.
\#The use of read requires a specifically defined checkpoint file name using the keyword checkpoint. method2 restricted
charge 0
multiplicity 1
\#oniomchargemult 11
processors 4
\#*** memory --The following "word" is copied exactly to the gaussian input file after \%mem=. memory 6 gb
\#*** killcheck and checkpoint -- You can use a specifically defined checkpoint file name by putting \#the name after the keyword checkpoint. This is necessary if you use the read option with method2. \#Defined checkpoint names are an unnecessary modest hastle and if you do not want to bother, use killcheck 1
killcheck 0
checkpoint g16.chk
\#*** diagnostics -- 0 prints out nothing extra, 1
(default) prints out extra stuff to a
\#file "diagnostics", 2 adds more stuff, 3 adds velocities to a file "vellist"
\#4 adds the apparent temperature to vellist, but this is
meaningless with quasiclassical calculations
diagnostics 0
\#*** title -- the title keyword must be followed by exactly four words
title cpdim norm B3LYPSB 298dis4
\#*** initialdis -- 0 (default) turns off displacement of
the normal modes, so that all trajectories start from
the same place
\# and only the energies and signs of the motion in the modes are randomized
\# 1 gives a flat distribution of displacements where
all of the possible values are equally likely
\# 2 (recommended) gives a QM-like gaussian
distribution of displacements, so that displacements in the middle are more likely that
\# those at the end by \(1 / \mathrm{e}\)
initialdis 4
\#*** timestep -- this is the time between points in the
trajectory. Typical values would be \(1 \mathrm{E}-15\) or \(0.5 \mathrm{E}-\)
15 or \(0.25 \mathrm{E}-15\)
timestep 1E-15
\#*** scaling -- this lets you scale the gaussian
frequencies by a constant
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
\#*** thermostat 1 puts in a damping factor so as to bring the classical temperature toward the desired temperature.
\#*** use a thermostatmult between 0.95 and 1 , typically 0.995 , so the damping happens slowly otherwise there will be
\#*** overadjustment in response to random variation
\#*** the thermostat is not exact. The second traj point ignores this, so it only applies to later points handled by progdynb.
\#thermostat 1
\#thermostatmult 0.999
\#*** method3, method4, method5, and method6 --
These keywords let you add extra lines to the gaussian input file.
\#method3 and method4 add lines at the top of the input after the lines defining the method, and \#this is useful to implement things like the iop for mPW1k
\#method5 and method6 add lines after the geometry, after a blank line of course
\#only a single term with no spaces can be added, one per method line. Here are some examples to uncomment if needed
\#method3 \(\operatorname{IOp}(3 / 76=0572004280)\)
\#method3 scrf=(pcm,solvent=ethanol)
\#method3 scrf=(solvent=chloroform)
\#add the line below with big structures to get it to put
out the distance matrix and the input orientation
\#method4 empiricaldispersion=gd3
\#method3 scf=(conver=5)
\#method4 \(\operatorname{iop}(3 / 124=3)\)
\#method4 scrf=(pcm,solvent=dmso,read)
\#method5 radii=bondi
\#method6
\#*** methodfile -- This keyword lets you add more complicated endings to gaussian input files \#such as a gen basis set. Put after the keyword the number of lines in a file you create called \#methodfile that contains the test you want to add to the end of the gaussian input
methodfile 0
\#*** numimag --This tells the program the number
of imaginary frequencies in the starting structure.
\#if 0 , treats as ground state and direction of all modes is random
\#if 1, motion along the reaction coordinate will start out in the direction defined by searchdir
\#if 2, only lowest freq will go direction of searchdir and other imag mode will go in random direction numimag 1
\#*** searchdir -- This keyword says what direction to follow the mode associated with the imaginary frequency.
\#The choices are "negative" and "positive". Positive moves in the direction defined in the gaussian frequency calculation
\#for the imaginary frequency, while negative moves in the opposite direction. The correct choice can be made either
\#by a careful inspection of the normal modes and standard orientation geometry, or by trial and error. searchdir positive
\#*** classical -- for quassiclassical dynamics, the default, use 0 . for classical dynamics, use 1
\#if there are no normal modes and the velocities are to be generated from scratch, use classical 2
classical 0
\#*** DRP, saddlepoint, and maxAtomMove --to run a DRP use 'DRP 1' in the line below, otherwise leave it at 0 or comment it out
\#the treatment of starting saddlepoints is not yet
implemented so use saddlepoint no
\#if DRP shows oscillations then decrease
maxAtomMove
\#DRP 1
\#saddlepoint no
\#maxAtomMove 0.01
\#*** cannonball -- The program can "fire" a trajectory from a starting position toward a particular target, such as toward
\#a ts. To use this, make a file cannontraj with numAtom lines and three numbers per line that defines the vector
\#for firing the trajectory, relative to the starting geometry's standard orientation. The number following cannonball sets
\#the extra energy being put into the structure in kcal/mol
\#cannonball 10
\#*** keepevery --This tells the program how often to write the gaussian output file to file dyn, after the first two points.
\#Use 1 for most dynamics to start with, but use a higher number to save on disk space or molden loading time.
keepevery 9999
\#*** highlevel --For ONIOM jobs, the following line
states the number of highlevel atoms,
\#which must come before the medium level atoms.
Use some high value such as 999 if not using ONIOM
highlevel 9999
\#*** fixedatom1, fixedatom2, fixedatom3, and
fixedatom 4 - These fix atoms in space.
\#Fixing one atom serves no useful purpose and messes things up, while fixing two atoms
\#fixes one distance and fixing three has the effect of fixing three distances, not just two
\#in current form fixed atoms only are meant to work
with no displacements, that is, initialdis \(=0\)
\#fixedatom1 16
\#fixedatom2 1
\#fixedatom3 4
\#fixedatom4 20
\#applyforce 1 lets one push atoms together or appart a positive force pushes them together
\#format is applyforce force - with the units on force the same as in the Gaussian output file
\#applyforce 2 or 3 or 4 applys a polynomical force centered at dist 0.2 is just harmonic, 3 is second order, 4 is third order
\#format is applyforce 4 forcecoefficient dist0
forcecoefficient2 forcecoefficient3
\#then use afatoms to chose the atoms with format afatoms firstatom secondatom [additional atoms]
\#applyforce 20.12 .1
\#afatoms 16123456
\#applyforceB 20.015 .2
\#afatomsB 815
\#applyforceC 20.015 .2
\#afatomsC 815
\#zeroatom pushes the numbered atom toward the origin with a small harmonic potential - good with
boxon when you want to keep the reaction in the center
\#zeroatom 16
\#*** boxon and boxsize - With boxon 1, a cubic box is set such that atoms that reach the edge
\#are reflected back toward the middle. Useful for dynamics with solvent molecules. This is a crude \#implementation that is ok for a few thousand femtoseconds but will not conserve energy long term. \#Set the box size so as to fit the entire initial molecule but not have too much extra room.
\#The dimensions of the box are two times the
boxsize, e.g. boxsize 7.5 leads to a box that is \(15 \times 15\)
x 15 angstroms
\#boxon 1
\#boxsize 11.2
\#*** sphereon and spheresize and sphereforce - uses a force to push atoms within a sphere. notice that if the atom is far outside of
\#the sphere then the force is large unless sphereforce is set small
\#sphereon 1
\#spheresize 12.9
\#sphereforce . 01
\#setting a value for empiricaldispersion sets its s6 value with the Grimme 2006 algorithm. Default is 0 , with no empiricaldispersion
\#empiricaldispersion 1.0
\#*** displacements -- This keyword lets you set the initialdis of particular modes by using a series of lines of the format
\# displacements NumberOfMode
InitialDisForThatMode, as in the example below.
You should be able to do as many of these as you like
\# you might consider this for rotations where a
straight-line displacement goes wrong at large
displacements
\# The choices for InitialDisForThatMode are \(0,1,2\), and 10 , where 10 does the same thing as 0 but is maintained for now because
\# a previous version of the program had a bug that made 0 not work.
\#displacements 20
\#displacements 30
\#displacements 40
\#displacements 50
\#displacements 60
\#displacements 70
\#displacements 80
\#displacements 90
\#displacements 100
\#*** etolerance --This sets the allowable difference between the desired energy in a trajectory and the actual
\#energy, known after point 1 from the potential energy + the kinetic energy in the initial velocities.
\#The unit is \(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\) and 1 is a normal value for mid-sized organic systems. For very large and floppy molecules, a larger value
\#may be needed, but the value must stay way below the average thermal energy in the molecule (not counting zpe).
\#If initialdis is not 0 and few trajectories are being rejected, decrease the value.
etolerance 10
\#*** controlphase --It is sometimes useful to set the phase of particular modes in the initialization of trajectories.
\#The format is controlphase
numberOfModeToControl positive or controlphase numberOfModeToControl negative.
\#controlphase 2 positive
\#*** damping -- The damping keyword lets you add or subtract energy from the system at each point, by multiplying the velocities
\#by the damping factor. A damping of 1 has no effect, and since you mostly want to change the energy slowly, normal values range
\(\#\) from 0.95 to 1.05 . The use of damping lets one do simulated annealing - you add energy until the structure is moving enough
\#to sample the kinds of possibilities you are interested in, then you take away the energy slowly. damping 1.000
\#at a damping of .9995, the energy is cut in half in 693 points
\#*** reversetraj --This keyword sets the trajectories so that both directions from a transition state are explored.
reversetraj true
\#for groundhog put in a value of interesting between 50 and 99
interesting 60
\#anharmonic corrections
readdzdm
anharmonicmode 2 2.397-0.017
anharmonicmode \(32.889-0.045\)
anharmonicmode 45.121-1.729
anharmonicmode 5 9.543-0.178
anharmonicmode 616.5440 .009
anharmonicmode 714.9170 .285
anharmonicmode 8 16.107-0.396
anharmonicmode 937.9232 .581
anharmonicmode 1036.4330 .573
anharmonicmode 1127.8560 .558
anharmonicmode 12 26.824-0.125
anharmonicmode 1332.2750 .374
anharmonicmode 1434.5420 .756
anharmonicmode \(1582.240-0.773\)
anharmonicmode 16 111.231-4.627
anharmonicmode 17129.1386 .328
anharmonicmode 18119.3743 .376
anharmonicmode 19 69.568-13.382
anharmonicmode 2069.6292 .728
anharmonicmode 2159.6191 .871
anharmonicmode 2255.0260 .489
anharmonicmode 23 54.734-1.683
anharmonicmode 2461.5242 .004
anharmonicmode 2567.0744 .720
anharmonicmode 26 78.502-6.782
anharmonicmode 2760.5776 .034
anharmonicmode 28 68.251-0.848
anharmonicmode 29 97.606-22.010
anharmonicmode 30 61.380-1.658
anharmonicmode 31 59.165-2.983
anharmonicmode 32 66.264-2.929
anharmonicmode 3369.22710 .317
anharmonicmode 3473.1736 .921
anharmonicmode 3562.6837 .099
anharmonicmode 3672.8892 .029
anharmonicmode 37134.07211 .919
anharmonicmode 38127.2338 .206
anharmonicmode 39102.7230 .577
anharmonicmode 40 115.259-4.412
anharmonicmode 41 239.010-49.040
anharmonicmode 42 249.121-33.704
anharmonicmode 43213.91861 .729
anharmonicmode 44226.38970 .293
anharmonicmode 45106.66815 .729
anharmonicmode 46105.63412 .989
anharmonicmode 47351.787162 .289
anharmonicmode 48 429.288-337.378
anharmonicmode 49418.313620 .581
anharmonicmode 50 412.795-17.360
anharmonicmode 51 452.294-662.682
anharmonicmode 52450.5499 .984
anharmonicmode 53471.8491 .848
anharmonicmode 54463.889669 .967
anharmonicmode 55479.51267 .921
anharmonicmode 56 488.624-671.120
anharmonicmode 57 486.697-633.783
anharmonicmode 58491.956744 .092
anharmonicmode 59500.596699 .963
anharmonicmode 60 498.458-742.886
```

progmakeline
\#!/bin/awk
BEGIN {
}
/trajectory/ {
i=1
d=600
dirnum=600
isomernumber=1
linenumber=0
}
{
linenumber++
if ((linenumber>=3) \&\& (linenumber<=24)) {
i=linenumber-2
weight[i]=\$5
atSym[i]=$1
    for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
        geoArr[i,j]=$(1+j)
}
}
if ((linenumber>=25) \&\& (linenumber<=46)) {
i=linenumber-22
velMult=50
for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
velArr[i,j]=\$j
aimGeo[i,j]=geoArr[i,j]+velMult*velArr[i,j]
}
}

# now extract any key geometry and velocity

parameters
if (linenumber==47) {
\# CC1-10-heavyside10
delX=geoArr[1,1]-geoArr[10,1];
delY=geoArr[1,2]-geoArr[10,2]; delZ=geoArr[1,3]-
geoArr[10,3];
velX=velArr[1,1]-velArr[10,1]; velY=velArr[1,2]-
velArr[10,2]; velZ=velArr[1,3]-velArr[10,3];
delaimX=aimGeo[1,1]-aimGeo[10,1];
delaimY=aimGeo[1,2]-aimGeo[10,2];
delaimZ=aimGeo[1,3]-aimGeo[10,3];
distatoms=(del\mp@subsup{X}{}{\wedge}2+delY^2+delZ^2)^.5
distaim=(delaimX^2+delaimY^2+delaimZ^2)^.5
CC1[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
CC1aim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
if (distatoms==0) print
FILENAME,"totalline",totalline,"zero problem here"
if (distatoms!=0) {
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;unitZ=
delZ/distatoms;
}
CC1dot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
25*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
\# CC2-8
delX=geoArr[2,1]-geoArr[8,1]; delY=geoArr[2,2]geoArr[8,2]; delZ=geoArr[2,3]-geoArr[8,3]; velX=velArr[2,1]-velArr $[8,1]$; velY=velArr[2,2]velArr[8,2]; velZ=velArr[2,3]-velArr[8,3]; delaim $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{aimGeo}[2,1]$-aimGeo[6,1];
delaim $\mathrm{Y}=$ aimGeo[2,2]-aimGeo[8,2];
delaimZ $=$ aimGeo[2,3]-aimGeo[8,3]; distatoms $=\left(\operatorname{dele}^{\wedge} 2+\text { dell }^{\wedge} 2+\text { delZ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$ distaim $=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Z^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$ CC2[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms CC2aim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim if (distatoms= $=0$ ) print
FILENAME,"totalline",totalline,"zero problem here" if (distatoms!=0) \{
unit $\mathrm{X}=$ del $\mathrm{X} /$ distatoms; ;nit $\mathrm{Y}=$ del $\mathrm{Y} /$ distatoms;;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;
\}
CC2dot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
$25 *$ (unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ) \# CC4-12
delX=geoArr[12,1]-geoArr[4,1];
delY=geoArr[12,2]-geoArr[4,2]; delZ=geoArr[12,3]geoArr[4,3];
velX=velArr[12,1]-velArr[4,1]; velY=velArr[12,2]-
velArr[4,2]; velZ=velArr[12,3]-velArr[4,3]; delaimX $=$ aimGeo[12,1]-aimGeo[4,1];
delaim $\mathrm{Y}=$ aimGeo[12,2]-aimGeo[4,2];
delaimZ=aimGeo[12,3]-aimGeo[4,3];
distatoms $=\left(\text { delX }{ }^{\wedge} 2+\text { del }^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$
distaim $=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Z^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$
CC3[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
CC3aim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
if (distatoms= $=0$ ) print
FILENAME,"totalline",totalline,"zero problem here" if (distatoms!=0) \{
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;

```
        }
```

        }
    CC3dot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
    CC3dot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
    25*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
25*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
}
}
if ((linenumber>=47) \&\& (linenumber<=106)) {
if ((linenumber>=47) \&\& (linenumber<=106)) {
mv[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=\$4/3e13;
mv[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=\$4/3e13;
mdis[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=\$5
mdis[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=\$5
}
}
}
}
END {
END {
d=600;i=1
d=600;i=1
answer[d,i]="0, 0, 0, 0"
answer[d,i]="0, 0, 0, 0"
time[d,i,1]=2
time[d,i,1]=2
for (disline=47;disline<=106;disline++) {
for (disline=47;disline<=106;disline++) {
printf("%.5f, ",mv[d,i,disline])
printf("%.5f, ",mv[d,i,disline])
}
}
for (disline=48;disline<=106;disline++) {

```
```

for (disline=48;disline<=106;disline++) {

```
```

```
    printf("%.5f, ",mdis[d,i,disline])
    }
printf("%.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, ",
CC1[d,i], CC1dot[d,i], CC1aim[d,i], CC2[d,i],
CC2dot[d,i], CC2aim[d,i])
```

groundhog.py (modified)
import os.path
import os
os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"]="-1"
os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '3'
import sys
import keras.models
import numpy as np
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
featurelist $=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$,
$14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27$,
$28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41$,
$42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55$,
58, 60]
\#this program takes arguments /dataline/location and
/normoff/location
data $=$
np.loadtxt(os.path.join(sys.argv[1],'data.line'),delimit
er=',')[featurelist]
print("data allowing for featurelist")
print(data)
normoff =
np.loadtxt(os.path.join(sys.argv[2],'normoff',))
\#offset and normalization constants for the data are
needed; these are in normoff
data $=$ data - normoff[1]
data $=$ data $/$ normoff[0]
print("data allowing for normoff")
print(data)
mymodel =
keras.models.load_model(os.path.join(sys.argv[2],'de
carb.h5'))
results $=$ mymodel.predict(np.array([data]))[0]
results[2]=results[2] +0.3333
\#print(results)
$\operatorname{print}(\operatorname{int}(100 * \max ($ results $))$ )
makemodel.py
import numpy as np
import sys
import os
os.environ["CUDA_VISIBLE_DEVICES"]="-1"
import time
from keras import layers
from keras import regularizers
from keras.layers import Input, Dense, Activation,
BatchNormalization, Flatten, Dropout
from keras.models import Sequential, load_model
from keras.metrics import categorical_crossentropy
$\operatorname{printf}(" \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f$, ", CC3[d,i], CC3dot[d,i], CC3aim[d,i] )
print answer[d,i]", "time[d,i,1]",",d",",i
\}

Acetone cation radical dissociation
from keras.optimizers import Adam, RMSprop from keras.utils import layer_utils, to_categorical import keras.backend as K
from keras.callbacks import ReduceLROnPlateau, LearningRateScheduler, EarlyStopping
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '3'
def schedule(epochnum):
$\mathrm{lr}=0.002$
if epochnum $>80$ : $\operatorname{lr}=0.0006$
if epochnum > 160: $\operatorname{lr}=0.0002$
if epochnum $>240$ : $\mathrm{lr}=0.0001$
if epochnum > 380: $\operatorname{lr}=0.00005$
\# print ("epoch",epochnum,"lr",lr)
return lr
def confusion_matrix(ytrue, ypred):
assert (ytrue.shape == ypred.shape), "Inputs to
confusion matrix have different dimensions"
dim = ytrue.shape[1]
outmat $=$ np.zeros([dim,dim], dtype=int)
for i in range $(0, \mathrm{dim})$ :
for j in range $(0, \mathrm{dim})$ :
outmat $[i, j]=\operatorname{int}(n p . s u m(y t r u e[:, i]$ * ypred[:,j]))
return outmat
\#prepare the training and test arrays. Split into validation is done automatically.
lambd $=0.0060$
hidden $1=800$
print("lambd original",lambd,"hidden1
original",hidden1)
fullarray =
np.loadtxt("tempbin550.csv",delimiter=",")
\#\#\#changed outside from Feb 5 to Feb12 on feb 12
2020\#\#
outside_test_array =
np.loadtxt("binhog/tempbin550.csv",delimiter=",")
trimedarray = fullarray
Yset = trimedarray[:,61:64]
print(Yset)
Xset = Yset \# temporary for appending, then will
delete first three columns

Youtside_test_array = outside_test_array[:,61:64] \#Sometimes this will be real, sometimes not if we don't know answers, but something has to be there in file.
Xoutside_test_array = Youtside_test_array \# temporary, silly, but makes for easy programming by fitting with how Xset handled
featurelist $=[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$, $14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27$, $28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41$, $42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55$, 58, 60]
print("featurelist original",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) $>1$ and sys.argv[1] $==$ "add": for arg in sys.argv[2:]: featurelist.append(int(arg))
print("featurelist after adding",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "remove":
mylist $=[]$
for $\arg$ in sys.argv[2:]: mylist.append(int(arg))
featurelist $=[\mathrm{x}$ for x in featurelist if x not in mylist] print("featurelist after removal",featurelist)
if len(sys.argv) > 1 and sys.argv[1] == "lambd":
lambd = sys.argv[2]
print("revised lambd",lambd)
if len(sys.argv) $>1$ and sys.argv[1] == "hidden1":
hidden1 $=\operatorname{int}($ sys.argv[2])
print("revised hidden1",hidden1)
for feature in featurelist:
$\mathrm{a}=$ np.reshape(trimedarray[:,feature],(-1,1))
Xset $=$ np.concatenate $(($ Xset, a$)$, axis=1)
$\mathrm{b}=$ np.reshape(outside_test_array[:,feature],( $-1,1$ ))
Xoutside_test_array =
np.concatenate((Xoutside_test_array,b), axis=1)
\#remove Y values from Xset and normalize
Xset $=$ Xset [:,3::]
print(Xset)
xmean $=$ Xset.mean $($ axis $=0)$
Xset $=$ Xset - xmean
xstd $=$ Xset.std $($ axis $=0)$
Xset $=$ Xset $/ \mathrm{xstd}$
np.savetxt('normoff',[xstd,xmean])
\#remove Y values from Xoutside_test_array and set
to same scale as Xset
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array[:,3::]
Xoutside_test_array $=$ Xoutside_test_array -xmean
Xoutside_test_array = Xoutside_test_array / xstd
print("full X shape",Xset.shape,"full Y
shape",Yset.shape)
print("outside test shape",Xoutside_test_array.shape)
class_weight $=\{0: 1,1: 1,2: 1\}$
print("class_weight",class_weight)
tic $=$ time.time()
for ind in range(1):
$\mathrm{m}=$ Yset.shape [0]
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# vlad changed the 0.98 to 0.90 on Feb
122020 changed back 0.98 on Feb 15 2020, changed
to 0.85 on feb 162020 \#\#\#
$\mathrm{i}=\operatorname{int}(0.98 * \mathrm{~m})$
Xtest=Xset[i:m,:]
Ytest=Yset[i:m,:]
Xset=Xset[1:i,:]
Yset=Yset[1:i,:]
step_per_ep $=\operatorname{int}(X s e t$. shape[0]/2048)
if step_per_ep $<1$ :
step_per_ep $=1$
my_batch_size $=$
$\operatorname{int}(2+0.98 *$ Xset.shape[0]/step_per_ep)
print("steps per epoch",step_per_ep,"batch
size",my_batch_size)
\# IDset=IDset[1:i,:]
costlist=[]
XSAlist=[]
valcostlist=[]
valXSAlist=[]
outsideAccList=[]
for seed in range(3):
np.random.seed(seed)
$\mathrm{m}=$ Yset.shape[0]
print(m)
permutation $=\operatorname{list(np.random.permutation(m))~}$
X_train $=$ Xset[permutation, :]
Y_train $=$ Yset[permutation, :]
print ("number of training examples $="+$
$\operatorname{str}(\mathrm{X}$ _train.shape[0]))
print ("X_train shape: $"+$ str(X_train.shape))
print ("Y_train shape: " + str(Y_train.shape))
print ("Xtest shape: " $+\operatorname{str}$ (Xtest.shape))
print ("Ytest shape: " + str(Ytest.shape))
model $=$ Sequential([
Dense(hidden1, input_shape=(Xtest.shape[1],),
activation='relu',
kernel_regularizer=regularizers.12(lambd)), Dense(3, activation='softmax')
])
reduce_lr $=$ LearningRateScheduler(schedule)
early_stop =
EarlyStopping(monitor='val_loss',min_delta=0,
patience $=80$, verbose $=1$ )
model.summary()
model.compile(Adam $(\mathrm{lr}=0.002$, beta_ $1=0.95$,
beta_2=0.99), loss='categorical_crossentropy',
metrics=['accuracy'])
losshist $=$ model.fit(X_train, Y_train,
validation_split $=0.02$, epochs $=400$,
batch_size $=$ my_batch_size,
class_weight=class_weight, shuffle=True,
callbacks=[reduce_lr, early_stop], verbose=0)
if seed $==0$ :

```
        model.save('decarb.h5.new')
    val_loss = round(losshist.history.get('val_loss')[-
1],5)
    val_acc = round(losshist.history.get('val_acc')[-
1],5)
    valcostlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_loss')[-
1])
valXSAlist.append(losshist.history.get('val_acc')[-1])
    print("loss = ", round(losshist.history.get('loss')[-
1],4),
    "acc = ", round(losshist.history.get('acc')[-1],4),
    "val_loss = ", val_loss,
    "val_acc = ", val_acc)
    loss_and_metrics = model.evaluate(Xtest, Ytest,
batch_\overline{size}=\overline{16},\mathrm{ verbose }=0\mathrm{ )}
    XSA = int(100000*loss_and_metrics[1])/1000
    cost = int(100000*loss_and_metrics[0])/100000
    print("")
    print("loss for test set ", cost," accuracy for
test set: ", XSA,"%")
    costlist.append(cost)
    XSAlist.append(XSA)
    print("running", seed," ",
round(np.mean(XSAlist),4),"% layers
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
    print("valRunning", seed,"
",100*round(np.mean(valXSAlist),4),"%
cost",round(np.mean(valcostlist),4),"% arguments
",str(sys.argv[1:]))
    rounded_predictions =
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xtest,
batch_size=16, verbose=1), num_classes=3)
    print(" ")
    print("shape of rounded
predictions",rounded_predictions.shape,"shape of
Ytest",Ytest.shape)
    print("predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,0])),
int(np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,1])),int(np.sum(rou
nded_predictions[:,2])))
    print("test
percentage",round(100*np.sum(rounded_predictions[
:,0])/Ytest.shape[0],2),
round \(\left(100^{*}\right.\) np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,1])/Ytest.s hape[0],2),
round \((100 *\) np.sum(rounded_predictions[:,2])/Ytest.s hape[0],2))
total_correct \(=\operatorname{int}(\) np.sum \((\) Ytest *
rounded_predictions))
```

print("total in test set",Ytest.shape[0],"Total
correct",total_correct," Percent
",round $(100 *$ total_correct/Ytest.shape[0],2))
$\mathrm{cm}=$
confusion_matrix(Ytest,rounded_predictions) print(" Confusion matrix")
print(" R L Too")
print(cm)
print(" ")
print("predictions for outside test array")
outside_rounded_predictions =
to_categorical(model.predict_classes(Xoutside_test_a
rray, batch_size $=16$, verbose $=1$ ), num_classes $=3$ )
print(" ")
print("shape of outside rounded
predictions",outside_rounded_predictions.shape,"sha
pe of
Youtside_test_array",Youtside_test_array.shape)
print("outside predictions by
category:",int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:, $0]$ ),
int(np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])), int(np.
sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])))
print("outside
percentage",round( 100 *np.sum(outside_rounded_pre
dictions[:,0])/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round(100*np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,1])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2),
round $\left(100^{*}\right.$ np.sum(outside_rounded_predictions[:,2])
/Youtside_test_array.shape[0],2))
outside_total_correct =
int(np.sum(Youtside_test_array *
outside_rounded_predictions))
outsideAccList.append(100*outside_total_correct/Yo
utside_test_array.shape[0]) print ("total in outside
set",Youtside_test_array.shape[0],"Total
correct",outside_total_correct," percent correct", 100*outside_total_correct/Youtside_test_array.shape [0]," ",m)
$\mathrm{cm}=$
confusion_matrix(Youtside_test_array,outside_round
ed_predictions)
print("confusion matrix for outside test")
print(" R L Too")
print(cm)
K.clear_session()
toc $=$ time.time ()
print("")
print("time so far",round(toc-tic,2))


```
END {
for (d=dirstart;d<=dirend;d++) {
    for (i=1;i<=maxres[d];i++) {
        if (PEarr[d,i]>0) print
d,i,error[d,i],PEarr[d,i],KEarr[d,i],TEarr[d,i],DEarr[d,
i]
        }
    }
}' temp592 > temp593
echo "completed organization of energies into
temp593"
wc temp593
echo ""
# Organize the results including director and
isomernumber and time for each direction. The
directory and isomernumber will be used
# to associate the results with a particular geoPlusVel
awk 'BEGIN {
dirstart=100
dirend=899
}
{
dirnum=substr($1,2,3)
direction=1
if ((dirnum==olddirnum) &&
($7==oldisomernumber)) direction=2
if ($7>0) {
    isomernumber=$7
    maxres[dirnum]=isomernumber
    result[dirnum,isomernumber,direction]=$28
    time[dirnum,isomernumber,direction]=$5
    }
olddirnum=dirnum;oldisomernumber=isomernumber
if (FILENAME=="temp593") {
    error[$1,$2]=$3; PEarr[$1,$2]=$4;
KEarr[$1,$2]=$5; TEarr[$1,$2]=$6;
DEarr[$1,$2]=$7
    }
}
END {
for (d=dirstart;d<=dirend;d++) {
    for (i=1;i<=maxres[d];i++) {
        if (time[d,i,2]>0) print
d,i,time[d,i,1],time[d,i,2],result[d,i,1],result[d,i,2],err
or[d,i],PEarr[d,i],KEarr[d,i],TEarr[d,i],DEarr[d,i]
    if ((error[d,i]>10)| (error[d,i]<-10)) print
d,i,1,1,"bad","bad",error[d,i],PEarr[d,i],KEarr[d,i],TE
arr[d,i],DEarr[d,i]
        }
    }
}' temp589 temp593 > temp590
echo "summarized the results in temp590. Line
count:"
wc temp590
date
```

echo " "
\# Count all of the results and output the count awk 'BEGIN \{
Acnum $=0 ;$ MVKnum $=0 ;$ Recross $=0 ;$ totalresults $=0 ;$ Too many=0;prodrecross=0
prodaT50 $=0 ;$ prodaT100 $=0 ;$ prodaT150 $=0 ;$ prodaT200
$=0 ;$ prodaT $250=0 ;$ prodaT $300=0 ;$ prodaT $350=0 ;$ prodaT $400=0 ;$ prodaT $450=0 ;$ prodaTG $=0$
prodbT50 $=0 ; \operatorname{prodbT} 100=0 ;$ prodbT150 $=0 ;$ prodbT200
$=0 ;$ prodbT250 $=0 ;$ prodbT300 $=0 ;$ prodbT350 $=0 ;$ prodb
T400 $=0 ;$ MVK $450=0 ;$ prodbTG $=0$
toT $50=0 ;$ to $100=0 ;$ toT $150=0$;toT200 $=0$;toT $250=0$;to
T300 $=0 ;$ toT $350=0 ;$ toT $400=0 ;$ MVK $450=0 ;$ toTG $=0$
\}
\{
totalresults++
if ((\$5=="RIGHT") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) \{
Acnum++
if ( $\$ 3<=50$ ) prodaT50++
else if $(\$ 3<=100)$ prodaT100++
else if $(\$ 3<=150)$ prodaT150++
else if $(\$ 3<=200)$ prodaT200++
else if $(\$ 3<=250)$ prodaT250++
else if ( $\$ 3<=300$ ) prodaT300++
else if $(\$ 3<=350)$ prodaT350++
else if $(\$ 3<=400)$ prodaT400++
else if $(\$ 3<=450)$ prodaT450++
else if $(\$ 3<=500)$ prodaT500++
else if ( $\$ 3<=550$ ) prodaT550++
else prodaTG++
\}
if ((\$5=="LEFT") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) \{
MVKnum++
if ( $\$ 3<=50$ ) prodbT50++
else if $(\$ 3<=100)$ prodbT100++
else if ( $\$ 3<=150$ ) prodbT150++
else if $(\$ 3<=200)$ prodbT200++
else if $(\$ 3<=250)$ prodbT250++
else if $(\$ 3<=300)$ prodbT300++
else if ( $\$ 3<=350$ ) prodbT350++
else if ( $\$ 3<=400$ ) prodbT400++
else if $(\$ 3<=450)$ prodbT450++
else if $(\$ 3<=500)$ prodbT500++
else if $(\$ 3<=550)$ prodbT550++
else prodbTG++
\}
if $((\$ 5==" \mathrm{SM} ") \& \&(\$ 6==" \mathrm{SM} "))\{$
Recross++
if ( $\$ 3<=50$ ) toT50++
else if $(\$ 3<=100)$ toT100++
else if ( $\$ 3<=150$ ) toT150++
else if $(\$ 3<=200)$ toT200++ else if $(\$ 3<=250)$ toT250++ else if $(\$ 3<=300)$ toT300++ else if ( $\$ 3<=350$ ) toT350++ else if $(\$ 3<=400)$ toT400++ else if $(\$ 3<=450)$ toT450++ else if $(\$ 3<=500)$ toT500++ else if $(\$ 3<=550)$ toT550++

```
    else toTG++
```

    \}
    if ((\$5=="bad") \&\& (\$6=="bad")) bad++
    if \(((\$ 5==\) "Too") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) Toomany++
    if ((\$6=="Too") \&\& (\$5=="SM"))
    Toomanybackwards++

```
    if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="RIGHT") ||
```

(\$6=="Too") \&\& (\$5=="RIGHT")) Toomanyother++
if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="LEFT") || (\$6=="Too")
\& \& (\$5=="LEFT")) Toomanyother++
if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="Too") || (\$6=="Too")
\&\& ( $\$ 5==$ "Too")) Toomanyother++
if ((\$5=="RIGHT") \&\& (\$6=="LEFT") ||
(\$6=="RIGHT") \&\& (\$5=="LEFT")) prodrecross++
if ((\$6=="RIGHT") \&\& (\$5=="RIGHT"))
prodrecross ++
if ((\$6=="LEFT") \&\& (\$5=="LEFT"))
prodrecross++
if ((\$5=="SM") \&\& (\$6=="RIGHT"))
AcnumBackwards++
if ((\$5=="SM") \&\& (\$6=="LEFT"))
MVKnumBackwards++
\}
END \{
print "Forms RIGHT from SM",Acnum
print "Forms LEFT from SM",MVKnum
print "Recrosses to Starting both ways",Recross
print "Forms RIGHT from SM but
backwards",AcnumBackwards
print "Forms LEFT from SM but
backwards",MVKnumBackwards
print "Too many points one or both ways, all possibilities",Toomany
print "Too many points one or both ways, all possibilities",Toomany+Toomanyother+Toomanybac kwards
print "Recrossing from product to product, all possibilities",prodrecross
print "Bad Energies",bad
print "Total results",totalresults
print "Results unaccounted for",totalresults-bad-
Toomanyother-Toomanybackwards-Acnum-
MVKnum-Recross-Toomany-prodrecross-
AcnumBackwards-MVKnumBackwards
print "Prod A times"
print "<50 51-100 101-150 151-200 201-250 251-300
301-350 351-400 401-450 >450"
print prodaT50," ",prodaT100," ",prodaT150,"
",prodaT200," ",prodaT250," ",prodaT300,"
",prodaT350," ",prodaT400," ",prodaT450,"
",prodaTG
print "Prod B times"
print "<50 51-100 101-150 151-200 201-250 251-300
301-350 351-400 401-450 >450"
print prodbT50," ",prodbT100," ",prodbT150,"
",prodbT200," ",prodbT250," ",prodbT300,"
",prodbT350," ",prodbT400," ",prodbT450,"
",prodbTG
print "Recrossing times"
print "<50 51-100 101-150 151-200 201-250 251-300
301-350 351-400 401-450 >450"
print toT50," ",toT100," ",toT150," ",toT200,"
",toT250," ",toT300," ",toT350," ",toT400,"
",toT450," ",toTG
\}' temp590
\# create a big full that combines all of the geoRecords, with an extra label that includes the directory name
awk '
/trajectory/ $\{\operatorname{printf}(" \% s$ ",substr(FILENAME,2,3)) \};
\{print \}' n???/geoRecord > temp591
echo "Gathered geoRecords"
date
echo ""
\# prep for output files
rm -f temp*.csv
\# combine the results summary in temp590 and the
geoRecords in temp591 to output files for bringing in to neural nets
awk 'BEGIN \{
totalline $=0$
dirstart $=100$
dirend=999
CCrmax $=0 ;$ CCrmin $=10 ;$ CClmax $=0 ;$ CClmin $=10 ; \mathrm{CH}$
$\max =0 ; \mathrm{CHmin}=10 ; \mathrm{CCrdotmax}=0 ;$ CCrdotmin $=10 ; \mathrm{CC}$
ldotmax $=0 ;$ CCldotmin $=10 ;$ CHdotmax $=0 ;$ CHdotmin $=$ 10
CCraimmax $=0 ;$ CCraimmin $=10$; CClaimmax $=0$;
CClaimmin $=10$; CHaimmax $=0$; CHaimmin=10
\}
/trajectory/ \{
dirnum=\$1
isomernumber $=\$ 2$
linenumber=0
maxres[dirnum]=isomernumber
badgeo=0
\}
\{
totalline++
linenumber++
if $(($ linenumber $>=3) \& \&($ linenumber $<=12))\{$
if $(\$ 2==0)$ badgeo $=1$
if ( $\$ 2==$ "nan") badgeo=1
$\mathrm{i}=$ linenumber-2
weight $[i]=\$ 5$
atSym[i]=\$1
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++)$ \{
geoArr $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]=\$(1+\mathrm{j})$ \}
\}
if $(($ linenumber $>=13) \& \&($ linenumber $<=22))\{$
$\mathrm{i}=$ linenumber-12
velMult=10
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=3 ; \mathrm{j}++$ ) \{
velArr $[i, j]=\$ j$
aimGeo[i,j]=geoArr[i,j]+velMult*velArr[i,j] \}
\}
\# now extract any key geometry and velocity
parameters

```
#######
if ((badgeo==0) && (linenumber==23)) {
    #CCr
    delX=geoArr[1,1]-geoArr[6,1];delY=geoArr[1,2]-
geoArr[6,2];delZ=geoArr[1,3]-geoArr[6,3];
    velX=velArr[1,1]-velArr[6,1];velY=velArr[1,2]-
velArr[6,2];velZ=velArr[1,3]-velArr[6,3];
    delaimX=aimGeo[1,1]-
aimGeo[6,1];delaimY=aimGeo[1,2]-
aimGeo[6,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[1,3]-aimGeo[6,3];
    distatoms=(delX^2+delY^}2+\operatorname{delZ}\mp@subsup{Z}{}{\wedge}2\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}.
    distaim=(delaimX^2+delaimY}\mp@subsup{Y}{}{\wedge}2+\mathrm{ delaimZ^2)^.5
    CCr[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
    CCraim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
    if (distatoms==0) print
FILENAME,"totalline",totalline,"zero problem here"
    if (distatoms!=0) {
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;unitZ=
delZ/distatoms;
        }
    CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
25*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
    if (CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber]>CCrdotmax)
CCrdotmax=CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber]; if
(CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber]<CCrdotmin)
CCrdotmin=CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber];
    if (CCr[dirnum,isomernumber]<CCrmin)
CCrmin}=\textrm{CCr}[\mathrm{ dirnum,isomernumber];;if
(CCr[dirnum,isomernumber]>CCrmax)
CCrmax =}\textrm{CCr}[\mathrm{ dirnum,isomernumber];
```

if (CCraim[dirnum,isomernumber]<CCraimmin)
CCraimmin=CCraim[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(CCraim[dirnum,isomernumber]>CCraimmax)
CCraimmax $=\mathrm{CCr}$ [dirnum, isomernumber]; \#CCl
delX=geoArr[1,1]-geoArr[3,1];delY=geoArr[1,2]-
geoArr[3,2];delZ=geoArr[1,3]-geoArr[3,3];
velX=velArr[1,1]-velArr[3,1];velY=velArr[1,2]-
velArr[3,2];velZ=velArr[1,3]-velArr[3,3]; delaimX=aimGeo[1,1]-
aimGeo[3,1];delaimY=aimGeo[1,2]-
aimGeo[3,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[1,3]-aimGeo[3,3];
distatoms $=\left(\operatorname{delX} X^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del}^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$
distaim $=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaimZ }{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$
$\mathrm{CCl}[$ dirnum, isomernumber]=distatoms CClaim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim if (distatoms==0) print dirnum, isomernumber
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;

CCldot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
$25^{*}$ (unitX* ${ }^{*}$ elX+unit ${ }^{*}$ velY+unitZ* ${ }^{*}$ velZ) if (CCldot[dirnum, isomernumber]>CCldotmax)
CCldotmax=CCldot[dirnum, isomernumber]; if
(CCldot[dirnum,isomernumber]<CCldotmin)
CCldotmin=CCldot[dirnum,isomernumber]; if (CCl[dirnum, isomernumber]<CClmin)
CClmin $=\mathrm{CCl}$ [dirnum, isomernumber]; if
(CCl[dirnum,isomernumber]>CClmax)
CClmax $=\mathrm{CCl}[$ dirnum,isomernumber]; if (CClaim[dirnum, isomernumber]<CClaimmin)
CClaimmin=CClaim[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(CClaim[dirnum,isomernumber]>CClaimmax)
CClaimmax=CClaim[dirnum,isomernumber]; \#CH
delX=geoArr[6,1]-geoArr[7,1];delY=geoArr[6,2]-
geoArr[7,2];delZ=geoArr[6,3]-geoArr[7,3]; velX=velArr[6,1]-velArr[7,1];velY=velArr[6,2]-
velArr[7,2];velZ=velArr[6,3]-velArr[7,3]; delaimX $=$ aimGeo[6,1]-
aimGeo[7,1];delaimY=aimGeo[6,2]-
aimGeo[7,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[6,3]-aimGeo[7,3]; distatoms $=\left(\operatorname{delX} X^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$ distaim=(delaim $X^{\wedge} 2+$ delaim $Y^{\wedge} 2+$ delaimZ $\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$ CH[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms CHaim[dirnum, isomernumber]=distaim
unit $X=$ delX/distatoms; unit $Y=$ delY/distatoms;;unitZ= delZ/distatoms;

CHdot[dirnum, isomernumber]=-
$25 *$ (unitX*velX+unit $Y^{*}$ velY+unitZ*velZ) if (CHdot[dirnum, isomernumber]>CHdotmax)
CHdotmax $=$ CHdot[dirnum, isomernumber]; if (CHdot[dirnum,isomernumber]<CHdotmin) CHdotmin=CHdot[dirnum,isomernumber];
if (CH[dirnum, isomernumber]<CHmin)
CHmin $=\mathrm{CH}$ [dirnum, isomernumber]; if
( CH [dirnum, isomernumber] $>$ CHmax)
CHmax $=\mathrm{CH}$ [dirnum, isomernumber];
if (CHaim[dirnum, isomernumber]<CHaimmin)
CHaimmin=CHaim[dirnum,isomernumber];if
(CHaim[dirnum,isomernumber]>CHaimmax)
CHaimmax $=$ CHaim[dirnum, isomernumber]; \}
if $(($ badgeo $==0) \& \&($ linenumber $>=23) \& \&$
(linenumber<=46)) \{
$\operatorname{mv}$ [dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber] $=\$ 4 / 3 \mathrm{e} 13$; mdis[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber] $=\$ 5$ \}
if (FILENAME=="temp590") \{
\# By the time we get here we should be done with
all of the extractions from geoRecords

```
d=\$1;i=\$2;time[d,i,2]=\$3;time[d,i,1]=\$4;result[d,i,1]
\(=\$ 5 ;\) result \([\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}, 2]=\$ 6 ;\) error \([\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}]=\$ 7 ;\) PEarr[d,i] \(=\$ 8 ; \mathrm{KEa}\)
\(\operatorname{rr}[\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}]=\$ 9 ; \mathrm{TEarr}[\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}]=\$ 10 ;\) DEarr \([\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{i}]=\$ 11\);
    answer[d,i]=999
    if ((\$5=="RIGHT") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) \{
        answer[d,i]=", 1, 0, 0 "
        \}
    if ((\$5=="LEFT") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) \{
        answer[d,i]=", \(0,1,0 "\)
        \}
    if ((\$5=="Too") \&\& (\$6=="SM")) \{
        answer[d,i]=", 0, 0, 1"
        \}
    if (answer[d,i]==999) countunassigned ++
    if (answer[d,i]!=999) \{
        for \((\) timebin \(=50 ;\) timebin \(<=550 ;\) timebin \(+=50)\{\)
        filestring="tempbin"timebin".csv"
        if (time[d,i,2]<timebin) \{
            for (disline \(=23\);disline \(<=46\);disline ++ ) \{
                \(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .5 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{",mv}[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{disline}]) \gg\) filestring
                \}
                for (disline \(=24\); disline \(<=46\);disline ++ ) \{
                    \(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .6 \mathrm{f}\), ",mdis[d,i,disline]) \(\gg\)
filestring
            \}
                \(\operatorname{printf("\% .5f,~\% .5f,~\% .5f,~\% .5f,~\% .5f,~\% .5f,~",~}\)
CCr[d,i], CCl[d,i], CH[d,i], CCrdot[d,i], CCldot[d,i],
CHdot[d,i]) >> filestring
        \(\operatorname{printf}(" \% .5 f, \% .5 f, \% .5 f, "\), CCraim[d,i],
CClaim[d,i], CHaim[d,i]) >> filestring
        printf("\%.3f, \%.3f, \%.3f, \%.3f, \%.3f",
error[d,i], PEarr[d,i], KEarr[d,i], TEarr[d,i],
DEarr[d,i]) >> filestring
        print answer[d,i]", "time[d,i,2]",", d",", i >>
filestring
        \}
        \}
    oldanswer=answer[d,i]
```

```
        }
    }
}
END {
print "unassigned",countunassigned
print
"CCrmax,CCrmin,CClmax,CClmin,CHmax,CHmin"
print
CCrmax,CCrmin,CClmax,CClmin,CHmax,CHmin
print "CCrdotmax,CCrdotmin"
print CCrdotmax,CCrdotmin
print "CCldotmax,CCldotmin"
print CCldotmax,CCldotmin
print "CHdotmax,CHdotmin"
print CHdotmax,CHdotmin
print "CCraimmax, CCraimmin"
print CCraimmax, CCraimmin
print "CClaimmax, CClaimmin"
print CClaimmax, CClaimmin
print "CHaimmax, CHaimmin"
print CHaimmax, CHaimmin
}' temp591 temp590
echo "finished first pass at creating csv files"
date
echo ""
#rm -f temp589 temp590 temp591
module load Anaconda/3-5.0.0.1
#module load myPython/3.5.2-intel-2017A &&
source /scratch/user/vroytman/myPython/3.5.2-intel-
2017A/bin/activate
module load numpy/1.14.1-foss-2017b-Python-3.6.3
#
wc *csv
sed -i '/0.00000, 0.00000, 0.00000, 0.00000/d' *.csv
mv tempbin500.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin500.csv
mv tempbin450.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin450.csv
mv tempbin400.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin400.csv
mv tempbin350.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin350.csv
mv tempbin300.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin300.csv
mv tempbin250.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin250.csv
mv tempbin200.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin200.csv
mv tempbin150.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin150.csv
mv tempbin100.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin100.csv
mv tempbin50.csv test
python3.6 shuffle.py > tempbin50.csv
wc *csv
```


## progdyn.conf for Groundhog

\#This is the configuration file for PROGDYN. This file is read by progdynstarterHP and \# the awk programs proggenHP, prog1stpoint, prog2ndpoint, and progdynb.
\#The programs won't read anything past the first blank line,
\#and this file must end with a blank line.
\#The program has a number of default values but they are unlikely to be what you want.
\#Do not delete lines - rather, comment out lines for unwanted options.
\#The values here are read repeatedly and most can be changed in the middle of running jobs
\#***The keywords are case sensitive. The following keywords should always be defined:***
\#***method, charge, multiplicity, memory, processors, title
\#*** method --The following word is copied exactly to the gaussian input file.
method B2PLYP/6-31+G**
\#To do a nonstandard route, make nonstandard 1.
For normal calcs, use nonstandard 0 or else leave it out.
\#Then make a file called "nonstandard" containing the nonstandard route with no extra lines. nonstandard 0
\# NMRoptions As is NMRtype=1 will add a section for an NMR calc at every NMRevery intervals. If you want to combine the two use nonstandard
\#NMRtype 1
\#NMRmethod2 B97D/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod LC-wPBE/6-31G*
\#NMRmethod3 B3LYP/cc-pvtz
\#NMRevery 4
\#NMRrand 1
\#NMRcc 1
\#loadlimit 10.0
\#geometry linear
rotationmode 1
\#*** method2 --The options here are restricted, unrestricted, and read. restricted is the default
\#If the method is U..., put unrestricted here and the .com files will have in them guess=mix.
\#If you put read here, the .com files will contain guess=tcheck, which sometimes makes things faster, sometimes not.
\#The use of read requires a specifically defined
checkpoint file name using the keyword checkpoint.
method2 unrestricted
charge 1
multiplicity 2
\#oniomchargemult 11
processors 4
\#*** memory --The following "word" is copied exactly to the gaussian input file after $\%$ mem $=$. memory 6 gb
\#*** killcheck and checkpoint -- You can use a specifically defined checkpoint file name by putting \#the name after the keyword checkpoint. This is necessary if you use the read option with method2. \#Defined checkpoint names are an unnecessary modest hastle and if you do not want to bother, use killcheck 1
killcheck 0
checkpoint g16.chk
\#*** diagnostics -- 0 prints out nothing extra, 1
(default) prints out extra stuff to a
\#file "diagnostics", 2 adds more stuff, 3 adds
velocities to a file "vellist"
\#4 adds the apparent temperature to vellist, but this is meaningless with quasiclassical calculations
diagnostics 0
\#*** title -- the title keyword must be followed by exactly four words
title acetonerad harm PLYPXPS 298dis2
\#*** initialdis -- 0 (default) turns off displacement of the normal modes, so that all trajectories start from the same place
\# and only the energies and signs of the motion in the modes are randomized
\# 1 gives a flat distribution of displacements where all of the possible values are equally likely
\# 2 (recommended) gives a QM-like gaussian
distribution of displacements, so that displacements
in the middle are more likely that
\# those at the end by $1 / \mathrm{e}$
initialdis 2
\#*** timestep -- this is the time between points in the trajectory. Typical values would be $1 \mathrm{E}-15$ or $0.5 \mathrm{E}-$
15 or $0.25 \mathrm{E}-15$
timestep 1E-15
\#*** scaling -- this lets you scale the gaussian
frequencies by a constant
scaling 1.0
temperature 298.15
\#*** thermostat 1 puts in a damping factor so as to bring the classical temperature toward the desired temperature.
\#*** use a thermostatmult between 0.95 and 1 , typically 0.995 , so the damping happens slowly otherwise there will be
\#*** overadjustment in response to random variation $\#{ }^{* * *}$ the thermostat is not exact. The second traj point ignores this, so it only applies to later points handled by progdynb.
\#thermostat 1
\#thermostatmult 0.999
\#*** method3, method4, method5, and method6 -These keywords let you add extra lines to the gaussian input file.
\#method3 and method4 add lines at the top of the input after the lines defining the method, and \#this is useful to implement things like the iop for mPW1k
\#method5 and method6 add lines after the geometry, after a blank line of course
\#only a single term with no spaces can be added, one per method line. Here are some examples to
uncomment if needed
\#method3 $\operatorname{IOp}(3 / 76=0572004280)$
\#method3 scrf=(pcm,solvent=ethanol)
\#method3 scrf=(solvent=chloroform)
\#add the line below with big structures to get it to put
out the distance matrix and the input orientation
\#method4 empiricaldispersion=gd3
\#method3 scf=(conver=5)
\#method4 $\operatorname{iop}(3 / 124=3)$
\#method4 scrf=(pcm,solvent=dmso,read)
\#method5 radii=bondi
\#method6
\#*** methodfile -- This keyword lets you add more
complicated endings to gaussian input files
\#such as a gen basis set. Put after the keyword the number of lines in a file you create called \#methodfile that contains the test you want to add to the end of the gaussian input
methodfile 0
\#*** numimag --This tells the program the number of imaginary frequencies in the starting structure.
\#if 0 , treats as ground state and direction of all modes is random
\#if 1, motion along the reaction coordinate will start out in the direction defined by searchdir
\#if 2, only lowest freq will go direction of searchdir and other imag mode will go in random direction numimag 1
\#*** searchdir -- This keyword says what direction to follow the mode associated with the imaginary frequency.
\#The choices are "negative" and "positive". Positive moves in the direction defined in the gaussian frequency calculation
\#for the imaginary frequency, while negative moves in the opposite direction. The correct choice can be made either
\#by a careful inspection of the normal modes and standard orientation geometry, or by trial and error. searchdir positive
\#*** classical -- for quassiclassical dynamics, the default, use 0 . for classical dynamics, use 1 \#if there are no normal modes and the velocities are to be generated from scratch, use classical 2 classical 0
\#*** DRP, saddlepoint, and maxAtomMove --to run a DRP use 'DRP 1' in the line below, otherwise leave it at 0 or comment it out
\#the treatment of starting saddlepoints is not yet implemented so use saddlepoint no
\#if DRP shows oscillations then decrease
maxAtomMove
\#DRP 1
\#saddlepoint no
\#maxAtomMove 0.01
\#*** cannonball -- The program can "fire" a
trajectory from a starting position toward a particular target, such as toward
\#a ts. To use this, make a file cannontraj with numAtom lines and three numbers per line that defines the vector
\#for firing the trajectory, relative to the starting geometry's standard orientation. The number following cannonball sets
\#the extra energy being put into the structure in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
\#cannonball 10
\#*** keepevery --This tells the program how often to write the gaussian output file to file dyn, after the first two points.
\#Use 1 for most dynamics to start with, but use a higher number to save on disk space or molden loading time.
keepevery 9999
\#*** highlevel --For ONIOM jobs, the following line states the number of highlevel atoms, \#which must come before the medium level atoms. Use some high value such as 999 if not using

## ONIOM

highlevel 9999
\#*** fixedatom1, fixedatom2, fixedatom3, and fixedatom4 - These fix atoms in space.
\#Fixing one atom serves no useful purpose and messes things up, while fixing two atoms \#fixes one distance and fixing three has the effect of fixing three distances, not just two
\#in current form fixed atoms only are meant to work
with no displacements, that is, initialdis $=0$
\#fixedatom1 16
\#fixedatom2 1
\#fixedatom3 4
\#fixedatom4 20
\#applyforce 1 lets one push atoms together or appart a positive force pushes them together
\#format is applyforce force - with the units on force the same as in the Gaussian output file \#applyforce 2 or 3 or 4 applys a polynomical force centered at dist 0.2 is just harmonic, 3 is second order, 4 is third order
\#format is applyforce 4 forcecoefficient dist0 forcecoefficient2 forcecoefficient3
\#then use afatoms to chose the atoms with format afatoms firstatom secondatom [additional atoms] \#applyforce 20.12 .1
\#afatoms 16123456
\#applyforceB 20.015 .2
\#afatomsB 815
\#applyforceC 20.015 .2
\#afatomsC 815
\#zeroatom pushes the numbered atom toward the origin with a small harmonic potential - good with boxon when you want to keep the reaction in the center
\#zeroatom 16
\#*** boxon and boxsize - With boxon 1, a cubic box
is set such that atoms that reach the edge
\#are reflected back toward the middle. Useful for dynamics with solvent molecules. This is a crude \#implementation that is ok for a few thousand femtoseconds but will not conserve energy long term. \#Set the box size so as to fit the entire initial molecule but not have too much extra room.
\#The dimensions of the box are two times the boxsize, e.g. boxsize 7.5 leads to a box that is $15 \times 15$ x 15 angstroms
\#boxon 1
\#boxsize 11.2
\#*** sphereon and spheresize and sphereforce - uses a force to push atoms within a sphere. notice that if the atom is far outside of
\#the sphere then the force is large unless sphereforce is set small
\#sphereon 1
\#spheresize 12.9
\#sphereforce . 01
\#setting a value for empiricaldispersion sets its s6 value with the Grimme 2006 algorithm. Default is 0 , with no empiricaldispersion
\#empiricaldispersion 1.0
\#*** displacements -- This keyword lets you set the initialdis of particular modes by using a series of lines of the format
\# displacements NumberOfMode
InitialDisForThatMode, as in the example below.
You should be able to do as many of these as you like
\# you might consider this for rotations where a
straight-line displacement goes wrong at large displacements
\# The choices for InitialDisForThatMode are $0,1,2$, and 10 , where 10 does the same thing as 0 but is maintained for now because
\# a previous version of the program had a bug that made 0 not work.
\#displacements 20
\#displacements 30
\#displacements 40
\#displacements 50
\#displacements 60
\#displacements 70
\#displacements 80
\#displacements 90
\#displacements 100
\#*** etolerance --This sets the allowable difference
between the desired energy in a trajectory and the actual
\#energy, known after point 1 from the potential energy + the kinetic energy in the initial velocities. \#The unit is $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and 1 is a normal value for mid-sized organic systems. For very large and floppy molecules, a larger value
\#may be needed, but the value must stay way below the average thermal energy in the molecule (not counting zpe).
\#If initialdis is not 0 and few trajectories are being rejected, decrease the value.
etolerance 1
\#*** controlphase --It is sometimes useful to set the phase of particular modes in the initialization of trajectories.
\#The format is controlphase
numberOfModeToControl positive or controlphase numberOfModeToControl negative.
\#controlphase 2 positive
\#*** damping -- The damping keyword lets you add or subtract energy from the system at each point, by multiplying the velocities
\#by the damping factor. A damping of 1 has no effect, and since you mostly want to change the energy slowly, normal values range \#from 0.95 to 1.05 . The use of damping lets one do simulated annealing - you add energy until the structure is moving enough
\#to sample the kinds of possibilities you are
interested in, then you take away the energy slowly. damping 1.000
\#at a damping of .9995 , the energy is cut in half in
693 points
\#*** reversetraj --This keyword sets the trajectories so that both directions from a transition state are explored.
reversetraj true
\#for groundhog put in a value of interesting between
50 and 99
interesting 75
\#\#anharmonic corrections
readdzdm
anharmonicmode 23.9740 .003
anharmonicmode $3 \quad 27.523-61.050$
anharmonicmode 4228.4872 .733
anharmonicmode $5 \quad 216.9991 .869$
anharmonicmode $6 \quad 82.100-1.578$
anharmonicmode $7 \quad 40.6000 .024$
anharmonicmode 8 151.893-98.993
$\begin{array}{llrl}\text { anharmonicmode } 9 & 55.244 & -0.077\end{array}$
anharmonicmode $10 \quad 63.2546 .513$
anharmonicmode $11 \quad 56.252 \quad 0.080$
anharmonicmode 12 318.281-4.225
anharmonicmode $13 \quad 134.26125 .182$
anharmonicmode 14 101.647-9.172
anharmonicmode $15 \quad 176.66632 .604$
anharmonicmode $16 \quad 110.4230 .530$
anharmonicmode $17 \quad 94.4540 .031$
anharmonicmode $18 \quad 322.872518 .972$
anharmonicmode $19 \quad 175.27175 .024$
anharmonicmode 20 414.643-492.738
anharmonicmode $21 \quad 461.4561 .610$
anharmonicmode $22 \quad 447.724629 .533$
anharmonicmode $23 \quad 492.965781 .025$
anharmonicmode $24 \quad 515.2742 .861$
\#updated Aug 9, 2007 to include the possibility of classical dynamics by the keyword classical \#updated Jan 2008 to include fixed atoms, ONIOM jobs, keepevery, and box size
\#update Feb 2008 to include methodfile parameter \# updated Nov 2008 to allow for start without an initial freq calc using classical $=2$
\# update Aug 2010 to include etolerance, damping controlphase and reversetraj

```
progmakeline
#!/bin/awk
BEGIN {
}
/trajectory/ {
dirnum=$1
isomernumber=$2
linenumber=0
badgeo=0
}
{
totalline++
linenumber++
if ((linenumber>=3) && (linenumber<=12)) {
    if ($2==0) badgeo=1
    if ($2=="nan") badgeo=1
    i=linenumber-2
    weight[i]=$5
    atSym[i]=$1
    for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
        geoArr[i,j]=$(1+j)
        }
    }
if ((linenumber>=13) && (linenumber<=22)) {
    i=linenumber-12
    velMult=10
    for (j=1;j<=3;j++) {
        velArr[i,j]=$j
        aimGeo[i,j]=geoArr[i,j]+velMult*velArr[i,j]
        }
    }
# now extract any key geometry and velocity
parameters
if ((badgeo==0) && (linenumber==23)) {
    # CCr
    delX=geoArr[1,1]-
geoArr[6,1];delY=geoArr[1,2]-
geoArr[6,2];delZ=geoArr[1,3]-geoArr[6,3];
    velX=velArr[1,1]-
velArr[6,1];velY=velArr[1,2]-
velArr[6,2];velZ=velArr[1,3]-velArr[6,3];
    delaimX=aimGeo[1,1]-
aimGeo[6,1];delaimY=aimGeo[1,2]-
aimGeo[6,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[1,3]-
aimGeo[6,3];
    distatoms }=(\mathrm{ delX^}\mp@subsup{}{}{\wedge}2+delY^^2+delZ^2)^. . 5
distaim=(delaimX}\mp@subsup{X}{}{\wedge}2+\mathrm{ delaim }\mp@subsup{Y}{}{\wedge}2+\mathrm{ delaimZ^}2)^. 
5
    CCr[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
    if (distatoms>4) print dirnum, isomernumber
    CCraim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
```

if (distatoms==0) print
FILENAME,"totalline",totalline,"zero problem here" if (distatoms! $=0$ ) \{

```
unit \(\mathrm{X}=\) delX/distatoms;unit \(Y=\) del \(Y /\) distatoms;;u
nitZ=delZ/distatoms;
    \}
    CCrdot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
25*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
    \#CCl
    delX=geoArr \([1,1]\) -
geoArr[3,1];delY=geoArr[1,2]-
geoArr[3,2];delZ=geoArr[1,3]-geoArr[3,3];
    velX=velArr[1,1]-
velArr[3,1];velY=velArr[1,2]-
velArr[3,2];velZ=velArr[1,3]-velArr[3,3];
    delaimX \(=\) aimGeo[1,1]-
aimGeo[3,1];delaimY=aimGeo[1,2]-
aimGeo[3,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[1,3]-
aimGeo[3,3];
    distatoms \(=\left(\operatorname{delX}{ }^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5\)
```

distaim $=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaimZ }{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge}$.
5
CCl[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
CClaim[dirnum, isomernumber]=distaim
if (distatoms $==0$ ) print dirnum, isomernumber
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;u
nitZ=delZ/distatoms;
CCldot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
10 (unitX ${ }^{*}$ velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
\#CH
delX=geoArr[6,1]-
geoArr[7,1];delY=geoArr[6,2]-
geoArr[7,2];delZ=geoArr[6,3]-geoArr[7,3];
velX=velArr[6,1]-
velArr[7,1];velY=velArr[6,2]-
velArr[7,2];velZ=velArr[6,3]-velArr[7,3];
delaimX=aimGeo[6,1]-
aimGeo[7,1];delaimY=aimGeo[6,2]-
aimGeo[7,2];delaimZ=aimGeo[6,3]-
aimGeo[7,3];
distatoms $=\left(\operatorname{delX} X^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{del} Y^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{delZ}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge} .5$
distaim $=\left(\text { delaim } X^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaim } Y^{\wedge} 2+\text { delaimZ }{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge}$.
5
CH[dirnum,isomernumber]=distatoms
CHaim[dirnum,isomernumber]=distaim
unitX=delX/distatoms;unitY=delY/distatoms;;u
nitZ=delZ/distatoms;

```
    CHdot[dirnum,isomernumber]=-
20*(unitX*velX+unitY*velY+unitZ*velZ)
    }
if ((badgeo==0) && (linenumber>=23) &&
(linenumber<=46)) {
mv[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=$4/3e13
;
    mdis[dirnum,isomernumber,linenumber]=$5
    }
}
/Total mode energy desired/ {
    DEarr[600,1]=$5
    }
/KE initial from modes/ {
    KEarr[600,1]=$5
    }
END {
d=600;i=1
answer[d,i]="0, 0, 0"
time[d,i,1]=2
for (disline=23;disline<=46;disline+++) {
    printf("%.5f, ",mv[d,i,disline])
    }
for (disline=24;disline<=46;disline++) {
    printf("%.5f, ",mdis[d,i,disline])
    }
printf("%.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, %.5f, ",
CCr[d,i], CCl[d,i], CH[d,i], CCrdot[d,i],
CCldot[d,i], CHdot[d,i])
printf("%.5f, %.5f, %.5f, ", CCraim[d,i],
CClaim[d,i], CHaim[d,i])
printf("%.3f, %.3f, %.3f, %.3f, %.3f, ",
error[d,i], PEarr[d,i], KEarr[d,i], TEarr[d,i],
DEarr[d,i])
print answer[d,i]", "time[d,i,1]",",d",",i
}
```


[^0]:    *Adapted with permission from "Solvation Dynamics and the Nature of Reaction Barriers and Ion-Pair Intermediates in Carbocation Reactions" by Roytman, V.A.; Singleton, D.A. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142,12865-12877. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. ${ }^{184}$

[^1]:    *Adapted with permission from "Bond Memory in Dynamically Determined Selectivity" by Roytman, V. A.; Jin, S.; Nguyen, V. T.; Nguyen, V. D.; Haug, G. C.; Larionov, O. V.; Singleton, D. A. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 2020, $142,85-88$. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. ${ }^{96}$

