ూurus center Des center

ए

أ

ς; distant dista

Centromeres are chromosomal loci required for accurate segrega-tromere on the chromosome is not dependent on DNA sequence, centromere protein A (CENP-A). The N-terminal tail of CENP-A is highly divergent from other H3 variants. Canonical histone N termini are hotspots of conserved posttranslational modification; pp). Defender ຍ statt Ser16 and Ser18. Our results demonstrate that CENP-A is subjected to constitutive initiating methionine removal, similar to other H3 variants. The nascent N-terminal residue Gly1 becomes trimethy-RCC1 methyltransferase is capable of modifying the CENP-A N terminus. Methylation occurs in the prenucleosomal form and marks the majority of CENP-A nucleosomes. Serine 16 and 18 beñ phorylated on asynchronous and mitotic nucleosomal CENP-A ැ ఆ structure and causes CENP-A N-terminal tails to form intramolecu-CENP-A nucleosome arrays demonstrates that phosphorylation results in greater intranucleosome associations and counteracts the hyperoligomerized state exhibited by unmodified CENP-A nu-properties of the chromatin fiber at the centromere.

epigenetics | kinetochore | mass spectrometry

π

旧

Human CENP-A and histone H3.1 are 56% identical within the histone fold; however, the unstructured N-terminal tail domains

of these proteins are highly divergent, sharing only 24% identity. Several lysine residues in the canonical H3 N terminus are highly conserved targets of acetylation and methylation that mediate epigenetic regulation of local chromatin activity (12, 13). Posttranslational modification (PTM) of histones is usually combiт or positively influence transcription or direct global condensa-ل targets for modification in histone H3, so the CENP-A tail simply cannot share many of the modifications to which H3 is subjected. phosphorylation sites (Ser10 in H3 and Ser7 in CENP-A) that are modified by the Aurora B kinase during mitosis (14–16). ณ feature of CENP-A-containing nucleosomes because Ser7 is very poorly conserved even within mammals.

ل

Results

东</u> **CENP- CENP- C**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

^{*}This Direct Submission article had a prearranged editor.

¹A.O.B. and T.P. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: dfoltz@virginia.edu or blackbe@ mail.med.upenn.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1300325110/-/DCSupplemental.

its nucleosomal form (Dataset S1A). Ninety-eight percent of the CENP-A primary sequence was covered by peptides that were detected at greater than 500:1 signal-to-noise. This level of sensitivity allows detection of modified forms of CENP-A peptides present at less than 0.2% of the most abundant peptide form (Dataset S1B).

ーの。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。の。



Fig. 1. CENP-A is trimethylated on the α-amino position of the N-terminal glycine. (A) CENP-A GluC digestions produced an ETD MS² spectrum of an N-terminal peptide trimethylated on the α-N position of glycine 1. Value above bracket indicates magnification. (*B*) Sequence coverage of α-N trimethylated CENP-A ETD MS² spectrum. (C) GluC cleavages at E10 to produce an N-terminal peptide that had been subjected to proteolytic initiating methionine removal in vivo. A conventional histone nomenclature is adopted for CENP-A where the initiator methionine is "Met0." (*D*) Integrated chromatographic peak areas of CENP-A G1–E10 methylated forms. Orange hexagons, α-N methylation. (*E*) A fusion protein containing amino acids 1–10 of CENP-A was engineered to reveal Gly1 by Factor X cleavage to produce a substrate for NRMT modification. (*F*) CENP-A fusion protein was methylated using recombinant NRMT and ³H-SAM. Wild-type CENP-A N termini (GPQR- on GPQQ-) were tested. *P* < 0.01, Student's *t* test, *n* = 3 independent experiments ± SD.

unmodified to an increased shift of 42 Da. High-resolution MS data show the Δ 42 Da species is due to the addition of three methyl groups (Δ 42.0470 Da, 3.12 ppm mass accuracy). The accurate mass measurement is not consistent with the addition of a single acetyl group (Δ 42.0106 Da, 31.47 ppm mass accuracy) (Fig. S2 *A* and *B*). Fragmentation of the Δ 42 Da species using election transfer dissociation (ETD) produced an MS² spectrum that revealed Gly1 as the trimethylated amino acid. Glycine lacks a side-chain, so the N-terminal primary amine (α -N) is the only possible site of trimethylation (Fig. 1*A*–*C*). CENP-A N-terminal trimethylation differs from known histone methylation that occurs on the side chains of arginine and lysine residues.

פני

CENP-A N-Terminal Tail Is Doubly Phosphorylated at Ser16 and Ser18. Purification of CENP-A from interphase cells and subsequent MS analysis identified two phosphorylations of the CENP-A N-terminal tail. Trypsin digestion produced a CENP-A fragment that encompassed residues R14–R27. The observed $[M + 3H]^{+3}$ ion species (*m*/*z* 551.5814) was 159.9320 Da larger than the calculated mass of the unmodified peptide (*m*/*z* 498.2708), which is consistent with a doubly phosphorylated peptide (–0.54 ppm).

Two large-scale proteomic studies reported detection of a similar doubly phosphorylated CENP-A peptide; however, automated MS² spectra interpretation was unable to distinguish which of the five possible sites within the CENP-A peptide were modified (20, 21). Our analysis of c and z ions in the R14–R27 ETD MS² spectra was of insufficient coverage to confidently assign the phosphates to the correct amino acids due to the high concentration of prolines, which do not produce c and z fragments using ETD. To identify the sites of phosphorylation, we took advantage of a and y ions, which are produced at prolines using ETD, although these ions are less abundant than c and zions (22). The total ion fragment data definitively demonstrated that Ser16 and Ser18 of CENP-A are the sites of phosphorylation (Fig. 2 A and B). Singly phosphorylated and unmodified species were observed at much lower frequency, 10% and 1%, respectively, relative to double phosphorylated peptides (Fig. 2C). In the 10% of cases where CENP-A contained only a single phosphate, ~80% of the CENP-A peptides were phosphorylated only on Ser16, and 20% were phosphorylated on Ser18.

CENP-A Is Combinatorially α -N Methylated and Phosphorylated. Combinatorial patterns of PTMs form complex recognition signals that underlie a wide range of epigenetic phenomena. To determine what combinations of CENP-A α -N methylation and phosphorylation exist on the same protein, we used LysC to



Fig. 2. The CENP-A N-terminal tail is doubly phosphorylated at Ser16 and Ser18. (A) ETD MS² spectrum of doubly phosphorylated CENP-A R14–R27. Value at bracket indicates magnification level for region of spectrum. (B) Sequence coverage of an MS² spectrum of a trypsin-generated CENP-A peptide R14–R27. Signature a, c, y, and z ions indicate the presence of phosphate at Ser16 and Ser18. (C) CENP-A is unmodified and singly or doubly phosphorylated in a ratio of ~1:10:100, respectively. Green ovals represent degree of phosphorylation on peptide.

conclude that these forms become degraded or are not stably incorporated in chromatin.

Prenucleosomal CENP-A was α -N trimethylated; however, the level of α -N trimethylation was lower in prenucleosomal CENP-A compared with asynchronous CENP-A nucleosome populations. Unmethylated forms were present in a ratio of ~2:1 compared with trimethylated forms. This ratio is nearly inverse to the degree of α -N methylation found in asynchronous nucleosomal CENP-A. Phosphorylation levels of prenucleosomal CENP-A were identical to CENP-A within the nucleosome, with a ratio of 1:10:100 for unmodified and singly and doubly phosphorylated



Fig. 3. CENP-A N-terminal tails are marked with combinations of stable phosphorylation and α -N methylation. (A) LysC digestion yields a CENP-A G1-K48 peptide. A full MS spectrum (17 averaged scans) shows combinatorial α-N trimethylation and phosphorylations of the same peptides in asynchronously cycling cells. Accurate mass and charge state is reported for the ¹³C x 3 isotopes. The most abundant form is trimethylated at Gly1 and doubly phosphorylated at Ser16 and Ser18. Green oval, phosphorylation; orange hexagon, methylation. (B) Integrated chromatographic peak areas of CENP-A G1-K48 PTM forms. n = 3 independent biological replicates. Error bars represent SEM. (C) Comparison of vertebrate CENP-A protein sequences reveals conservation at observed PTM sites: N termini (blue), proline-arginine (red), and serine (green). (D) Soluble and chromatin fractions of cells blocked in mitosis were used to purify populations of (circle 1) prenucleosomal, and nucleosomal CENP-A from (circle 2) asynchronously-dividing cells and (circle 3) mitotic cells. Integrated chromatographic peak area quantified CENP-A tail PTM forms: (E) prenucleosomal containing Metinit; (F) prenucleosomal and mitotic nucleosomal fractions lacking Metinit. Acetylation (blue square) was observed only when Met_{init} was present.

forms (Fig. 3*F*, Fig. S5*B*). The same pattern of phosphorylation was also detected for all Met_{init}-containing forms.

Fraction of α -N Trimethylated CENP-A Increases with Cell Cycle Progression. Centromere-bound nucleosomal CENP-A in mitosis has existed for a minimum of one cell cycle. For this reason, mitotic nucleosomal CENP-A represents an "old" population of CENP-A protein (Fig. 3D). To determine the modification state of CENP-A during mitosis, we isolated CENP-A nucleosomes from nocodazole-treated cells (Fig. S4 A-D). Analysis of our mitotically enriched nucleosomal CENP-A sample identified significant amounts of H2A, H2B, H3, H4, and CENP-C, but no HJURP peptides (Dataset S1E), consistent with purification of an exclusively nucleosome population. N-terminal α-N methylation and phosphorylations on mitotic CENP-A was observed on the same sites as in mitotic prenucleosomal and asynchronous nucleosomal CENP-A populations. Mitotic nucleosomal CENP-A was almost entirely trimethylated; less than 10% of CENP-A forms were unmethylated (Fig. 3F, Fig. S5C, Dataset S1F).

Tandem Phosphorylation Induces Secondary Structure in CENP-A N Termini. We noted a correlation between Ser16/Ser18 phosphorylation and trypsin missed cleavages within CENP-A peptides (Fig. 4 A and B). Unphosphorylated CENP-A peptides were efficiently cleaved by trypsin at the P1-P1' cleavage site to produce the S16-R27 peptide. In contrast, singly phosphorylated peptides were observed as a mixture of zero-, one-, and twomissed-cleavage peptides. Peptides phosphorylated at both Ser16 and Ser18 were almost exclusively detected in the two-missedcleavage form. The P1-P1' bond of R15-S16ph should be cleaved at a lower rate because it is followed by an acidic residue in the P1' position (23, 24), and the P2–P1 bond between should be the preferred site. Instead, we observed that the P3-P2 bond is the primary site of cleavage. Structural constraints can influence the efficiency of trypsin cleavage (25), and we hypothesized that one or more arginine-phosphate salt bridges formed Arginine-phosphoserine electrostatic salt bridging is highly stable as both arginine and phosphoserine are ionized at physiological pH (25). We reasoned that arginine-phosphate salt bridges could form intramolecular interactions within CENP-A Therefore, we analyzed the conformation of doubly phosphorylated E10-G24 synthetic peptide using ion mobility-mass spectrometry (IM-MS). IM-MS drift time measurements are used to calculate the ion-neutral collision cross-section (CCS) of peptide ion conformations (26). Unmodified E10-G24 CENP-A peptides $([M + 3H]^{+3})$ ions) exhibit CCS profiles that deviate from a trend line of randomly coiled peptides by -7.6% (major peak), which indicates that E10-G24 exists in an already-compact conformation (Fig. 4 D and E). Phosphorylated E10–G24 exhibits a CCS profile that deviates -11.0% (major peak) from randomly coiled peptides (Fig. 4 D and E). Therefore, the conformation of phosphorylated E10-G24 peptide is further compacted relative to the unmodified E10-G24, consistent with intramolecular salt bridging of phosphorylated CENP-A N termini producing a more compact structure.

To assess intermolecular interactions mediated by CENP-A tail phosphorylation, we analyzed synthetic E10–G24 peptide for potential dimers by MS. Noncovalent dimeric and monomeric species have identical m/z values but can be distinguished by their isotopic peak pattern. Separation of isotopic peaks of a species is dictated by charge state, and calculating the molecular weight at a given m/z value reveals stoichiometry. Doubly



Fig. 4. CENP-A Ser16/Ser18 phosphorylation forms a compact secondary structure. (A) Nomenclature for proteolytic sites. (B) The percentage of trypsin cleavage at the P1-P1', P1-P2, and P2-P3 sites for peptides derived from affinity-purified CENP-A. (C) The percentage of trypsin cleavage at the P1-P1', P1-P2, and P2-P3 sites for unmodified and phosphorylated synthetic CENP-A peptides E10-G24 digested with trypsin over time were followed by liquid chromatography (LC)-MS. (D) IM-MS analysis of the monomeric $[M + 3H]^{+3}$ ion of unmodified and doubly phosphorylated E10-G24 peptide is used to calculate the ion-neutral collision cross section (CCS) for each species. CCS values for the majorand minor-contributing conformers were calculated as Gaussian peaks from the sum of each peptide. (E) Tryptic digested BSA peptides analyzed by IM-MS are plotted according to CCS and molecular weight. Comparison of phosphorylated (square) and unmodified (triangle) E10-G24 peptide with the random coil trend line reveals that phosphorylation causes greater compactness relative to the increase in molecular weight, (F) AUC profiles of in vitro assembled chromatin arrays showing differential MgCl₂dependent folding between H3, CENP-A, and phospho-mimetic (S16D/S18D) CENP-A arrays. All array types (minus MgCl₂) have overlapping sedimentation profiles, indicating that they are saturated to the same extent. Therefore, the observed differences upon array folding are exclusively due to the contributions of different proteins.

Phospho-Mimetic CENP-A Nucleosome Arrays Resist Oligomerization. tails. We have used in vitro-reconstituted nucleosome arrays to examine the behavior of CENP-A nucleosomes within chromatin. Here, polynucleosome arrays were assembled using 12 tandemly repeated 601-nucleosome positioning sequences and recombinant core histones, including either H3.1, CENP-A, or phospho-mimetic CENP-A S16D/S18D protein along with the other core histones (H4, H2A, and H2B). Assembled arrays were subjected to analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) to assess the folding characteristics. In the absence of Mg²⁺, nucleosomes do boundary fraction as the average sedimentation value (Fig. 4F). H3-containing arrays become folded upon adding Mg²⁺, indi-at 50% boundary fraction (27). Additionally, above 50% boundary fraction, unmodified CENP-A arrays undergo extensive oligomerization, which is defined as sedimenting at >60 S (28). and CENP-A profiles. The extent of phospho-mimetic CENP-A array folding as well as oligomerization is more similar to the H3 array. We conclude that secondary structure generated by CENP-A phosphorylation greatly reduces the oligomeric state of the CENP-A arrays.

Phosphorylation of CENP-A Is Required for Proper Chromosome Segregation. We expressed a CENP-A S16/S18A phospho-mutant in HCT116 cells to determine the effect of CENP-A Ser16/Ser18 phosphorylation on its function in vivo. Transiently transfected cells were synchronized in S-phase, released, and fixed after 10 h to examine the progression of cells through mitosis. Expression of CENP-A S16/S18A mutants caused an increase in the number of metaphase cells with unaligned chromosomes relative to expression of wild-type CENP-A (Fig. 5A) consistent with a defect in chromosome congression. We observed increases in lagging chromosomes as cells undergo chromosome segregation, suggesting that defects in centromere function persist throughout mitosis. The single mutation of either Ser16 or Ser18 shows limited effect. Only cells with CENP-A restricted to centromeres were analyzed for mitotic defects, suggesting that defects arise from filling centromere sites with an unphosphorylated CENP-A.

Taken together, our data suggest a model where individual phosphorylated CENP-A tails are normally engaged in phosphodependent intramolecular salt bridging that restricts the tendency to hypercondense chromatin arrays when unphosphorylated (Fig. 4F), and that weak oligomerization through CENP-A tails may occur when CENP-A nucleosomes are densely packed. The inability of these tails to undergo phosphorylation results in errors in chromosome segregation (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

The CENP-A N terminus is phosphorylated on Ser16 and Ser18 and α -N trimethylated on Gly1 following Met_{init} excision. Our in vitro experiments demonstrate that NRMT can efficiently catalyze the modification of the N terminus of CENP-A. The human CENP-A N-terminal Gly–Pro–Arg sequence is well conserved in mammals (Fig. 3*C*). In addition, Met–Pro–Arg, which is present in CENP-A of both birds and fish, was reported to be a human NRMT target in vitro (19). Both CENP-A N-terminal motifs are highly conserved in Tetrapoda, suggesting a conserved role for α -N methylation modification of CENP-A.

 α -N methylation was shown to mediate stable chromatin localization of RCC1 (29). At centromeres, α -N-methylated CENP-A may play a similar role in DNA interactions. The addition of three methyl groups changes the N-terminal glycine so that it



çácásásás

resembles a fixed positive charge buried within a hydrophobic shell. Such a structure might be capable of binding to nucleic acids through electrostatic interaction with negatively charged phosphates or polarizable π electrons on the aromatic nucleotide bases. α -N trimethylation could mediate an additional point of binding directly to underlying or neighboring DNA, perhaps contributing to the exceptionally high degree of phasing that CENP-A nucleosomes exhibit on centromeric α -satellite DNA (30).

و) states in the s

ن:

- 1. Stellfox ME, Bailey AO, Foltz DR (2013) Putting CENP-A in its place. Cell Mol Life Sci 70(3):387–406.
- Cleveland DW, Mao Y, Sullivan KF (2003) Centromeres and kinetochores: From epigenetics to mitotic checkpoint signaling. Cell 112(4):407–421.
- Blower MD, Sullivan BA, Karpen GH (2002) Conserved organization of centromeric chromatin in flies and humans. Dev Cell 2(3):319–330.
- Foltz DR, et al. (2006) The human CENP-A centromeric nucleosome-associated complex. Nat Cell Biol 8(5):458–469.
- Okada M, et al. (2006) The CENP-H-I complex is required for the efficient incorporation of newly synthesized CENP-A into centromeres. Nat Cell Biol 8(5):446–457.
- Carroll CW, Milks KJ, Straight AF (2010) Dual recognition of CENP-A nucleosomes is required for centromere assembly. J Cell Biol 189(7):1143–1155.
- 7. Jansen LE, Black BE, Foltz DR, Cleveland DW (2007) Propagation of centromeric chromatin requires exit from mitosis. J Cell Biol 176(6):795–805.
- Foltz DR, et al. (2009) Centromere-specific assembly of CENP-a nucleosomes is mediated by HJURP. Cell 137(3):472–484.
- Dunleavy EM, et al. (2009) HJURP is a cell-cycle-dependent maintenance and deposition factor of CENP-A at centromeres. Cell 137(3):485–497.
- Barnhart MC, et al. (2011) HJURP is a CENP-A chromatin assembly factor sufficient to form a functional de novo kinetochore. J Cell Biol 194(2):229–243.
- Bassett EA, et al. (2012) HJURP uses distinct CENP-A surfaces to recognize and to stabilize CENP-A/histone H4 for centromere assembly. Dev Cell 22(4):749–762.
- 12. Strahl BD, Allis CD (2000) The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature 403(6765):41–45.
- Bhaumik SR, Smith E, Shilatifard A (2007) Covalent modifications of histones during development and disease pathogenesis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14(11):1008–1016.
- Crosio C, et al. (2002) Mitotic phosphorylation of histone H3: Spatio-temporal regulation by mammalian Aurora kinases. *Mol Cell Biol* 22(3):874–885.
- Zeitlin SG, Shelby RD, Sullivan KF (2001) CENP-A is phosphorylated by Aurora B kinase and plays an unexpected role in completion of cytokinesis. J Cell Biol 155(7):1147–1157.

Materials and Methods

Nucleosomal Array Reconstitution and Analytical Ultracentrifugation. Nucleosomal arrays were reconstituted from the indicated histones and DNA using salt dialysis. The 601 12 \times 200-bp DNA template was purified by anion exchange chromatography. The histones were expressed and purified as described (27). Reconstituted nucleosomal arrays were characterized by sedimentation velocity to obtain the integral distribution of sedimentation coefficients. Additional information is located in *SI Materials and Methods*.

Additional experimental details are available in SI Materials and Methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories for development of the Isotope Pattern Calculator software. We thank K. Luger (Colorado State), D. Cleveland (UCSD), and A. Straight (Stanford) for plasmids. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant T32 GM08715 (to A.O.B.), Robert A. Welch Foundation Grant A-1176 (to D.H.R.), the American Cancer Society (D.R.F.), NIH Grant R01 GM082989 (to B.E.B.), a Career Award in the Biomedical Sciences from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund (to B.E.B.), a Rita Allen Foundation Scholar Award (to B.E.B.), and NIH Grant GM037537 (to D.F.H.). T.P. acknowledges support from US National Institutes of Health Grant GM08275 (UPenn Structural Biology Training Grant).

- Turner BM (2005) Reading signals on the nucleosome with a new nomenclature for modified histones. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12(2):110–112.
- Tooley CE, et al. (2010) NRMT is an alpha-N-methyltransferase that methylates RCC1 and retinoblastoma protein. *Nature* 466(7310):1125–1128.
- Petkowski JJ, et al. (2012) Substrate specificity of mammalian N-terminal α-amino methyltransferase NRMT. *Biochemistry* 51(30):5942–5950.
- Dephoure N, et al. (2008) A quantitative atlas of mitotic phosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(31):10762–10767.
- Olsen JV, et al. (2006) Global, in vivo, and site-specific phosphorylation dynamics in signaling networks. Cell 127(3):635–648.
- Zubarev RA, Good DM, Savitski MM (2012) Radical a-ions in electron capture dissociation: On the origin of species. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 23(6):1015–1018.
- 23. Keil B (1992) Specificity of Proteolysis (Springer, Berlin).
- 24. Schechter I, Berger A (1967) On the size of the active site in proteases. I. Papain. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 27(2):157–162.
- ए 2020 2
- Ruotolo BT, et al. (2004) Analysis of phosphorylated peptides by ion mobility-mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 76(22):6727–6733.
- Panchenko T, et al. (2011) Replacement of histone H3 with CENP-A directs global nucleosome array condensation and loosening of nucleosome superhelical termini. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(40):16588–16593.
- Schwarz PM, Hansen JC (1994) Formation and stability of higher order chromatin structures. Contributions of the histone octamer. J Biol Chem 269(23):16284–16289.
- 29. Chen T, et al. (2007) N-terminal alpha-methylation of RCC1 is necessary for stable chromatin association and normal mitosis. *Nat Cell Biol* 9(5):596–603.
- Hasson D, et al. (2013) The octamer is the major form of CENP-A nucleosomes at human centromeres. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20(6):687–695.
- Hansen JC (2002) Conformational dynamics of the chromatin fiber in solution: Determinants, mechanisms, and functions. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 31:361–392.
- Moradi M, Babin V, Sagui C, Roland C (2011) A statistical analysis of the PPII propensity of amino acid guests in proline-rich peptides. *Biophys J* 100(4):1083–1093.