UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Inertial manifolds for certain subgrid-scale \$\alpha\$ models of turbulence

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/77m008cs

Journal SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems, 14(3)

Authors Abu Hamed, M Guo, Y Titi, ES

Publication Date

2015-01-01

DOI

10.1137/140987833

Peer reviewed

INERTIAL MANIFOLDS FOR CERTAIN SUB-GRID SCALE α -MODELS OF TURBULENCE

MOHAMMAD ABU HAMED, YANQIU GUO, AND EDRISS S. TITI

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove the existence of an inertial manifold, i.e., a global invariant, exponentially attracting, finite-dimensional smooth manifold, for two different sub-grid scale α -models of turbulence: the simplified Bardina model and the modified Leray- α model, in two-dimensional space. That is, we show the existence of an exact rule that parameterizes the dynamics of small spatial scales in terms of the dynamics of the large ones. In particular, this implies that the long-time dynamics of these turbulence models is equivalent to that of a finite-dimensional system of ordinary differential equations.

MSC Classification: 35Q30, 37L30, 76BO3, 76D03, 76F20, 76F55, 76F65

Keywords: inertial manifold, turbulence models, sub-grid scale models, Navier-Stokes equations, modified Leray- α model, simplified Bardina model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fidelity of the Navier-Stokes equation (NSE) is in capturing the dynamics of turbulent flow. However, their downfall is in reliable direct numerical simulation of turbulence. Therefore scientists have developed various approximate models which are computable and preserve some statistical properties of the physical phenomenon of turbulence, and of particular interest to us in this paper are certain sub-grid scale α -models of turbulence.

In many applications, it is enough to capture the mean features of the flow, to obtain this we need to average the nonlinear term in the NSE and this leads to the well-known closure problem. In 1980 Bardina et al. [3] introduced a particular sub-grid scale model which was later simplified by Layton and Lewandowski (see [40]) which takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \nu \Delta v + (\bar{v} \cdot \nabla) \bar{v} + \nabla p = f, \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ v = \bar{v} - \alpha^2 \Delta \bar{v}. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Here the unknowns are the fluid velocity field v, and the "filtered" velocity vector \bar{v} , as well as the "filtered" pressure scalar p. In addition, there are two given parameters: $\nu > 0$ is the constant kinematic viscosity, and $\alpha > 0$ is the length scale parameter which represents the width of the filter. The vector field f is a given body forcing, assumed to be time independent. For more details about model (1), see [4, 5, 32, 33].

Date: September 16, 2014.

In 2005 Cheskidov-Holm-Olson-Titi [10] introduced the Leray- α model:

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \nu \Delta w + (\bar{w} \cdot \nabla) w + \nabla p = f, \\ \nabla \cdot w = 0, \\ w = \bar{w} - \alpha^2 \Delta \bar{w}. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Leray (1934 [34]) established the well-posedness of the NSE in 2D and 3D, by introducing a modified system similar to (2), for which it was easier to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions, and then by passing with the parameter $\alpha \to 0^+$ he achieved the existence of solutions to the NSE. An upper bound of the dimension of the global attractor and an analysis of the energy spectrum of the solutions of the 3D version of (2) were established in [10], which suggested that the Leray- α model has great potential to become a good sub-grid scale large-eddy simulation model of turbulence. See also a computational study of this model in [27, 36, 37].

Inspired by the remarkable performance of the Leray- α model, Ilyin-Lunasin-Titi (2006 [29]) proposed a modified-Leray- α model:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} + \nabla p = f, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u = \bar{u} - \alpha^2 \Delta \bar{u}. \end{cases}$$
(3)

It was demonstrated in [29] that the reduced modified-Leray- α model (3) in infinite channels and pipes is equally impressive as a closure model to Reynolds averaged equations as Leray- α model (2) and other sub-grid scale α -models, e.g. the Navier-Stokes- α (also known as the viscous Camassa-Holm equations [7, 8, 9, 20]) and the Clark- α [6].

Comparing the three turbulence models (1), (2) and (3), we see that in the simplified Bardina model (1), both arguments of the nonlinearity are regularized, while the Leray- α model (2) regularizes only the first argument of the nonlinear term, i.e. the transport velocity, and in the modified Leray- α model (3), solely the second argument of the nonlinearity is smoothed, i.e. the transported velocity is regularized. For the models (1), (2) and (3), the global well-posedness in 3D, the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor, and the analysis of their energy spectra have been established in [3, 10, 29, 34].

Our interest lies in the large-time behavior of the dynamics generated by turbulence models. In particular, we aim to show existence of inertial manifolds for two different systems in 2D: the simplified Bardina model (1) and the modified-Leray- α model (3), subject to periodic boundary condition, with basic domain $\Omega = [0, 2\pi L]^2$.

Long-time behavior of solutions of a large class of dissipative PDEs possesses a resemblance of the behavior of finite-dimensional systems. The concept of inertial manifold was introduced to capture such phenomenon. Indeed, an inertial manifold of an evolution equation is a finitedimensional Lipschitz invariant manifold attracting *exponentially* all the trajectories of a dynamical system induced by the underlying evolution equation [24, 25]. The precise definition is given in section 3.2. The existence of an inertial manifold for an infinite-dimensional evolution equation represents the best analytical form of reduction of an infinite system to a finite-dimensional one. This is because an inertial manifold is finite-dimensional, and the restriction of the evolutionary equation to this manifold reduces to a finite system of ODEs, which called the *inertial form* of the given evolutionary equation. As a result, the dynamical properties of the solution of the evolutionary PDE, which is an infinite-dimensional dynamical system can be analyzed by the study of an inertial form which is a finite-dimensional system.

Inertial manifolds were introduced by Foias, Sell and Temam in [24, 25]. The idea was employed to a large class of dissipative equations [26] (see also [44]). A number of dynamical systems possess inertial manifolds, e.g., certain nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations in 2D [18, 25, 39] and in 3D [38], the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation [23, 24, 26, 44], Cahn-Hilliard equation [17], as well as the von Kármán plate equations [11], just to name a few. It is worth mentioning that an original purpose of developing the theory of inertial manifolds was for treating the NSE. Unfortunately, the problem of existence of inertial manifolds for the 2D NSE is still unsolved and we are unaware of any such result for a system of hydrodynamics which does not involve an artificial hyperviscosity. In particular, the question of existence of an inertial manifold is still open even for the 2D Navier-Stokes- α model, Leray- α model and Clark- α model and others. Recently, the concept of *determine form* was introduced in [21, 22], in which it is shown that the long-time dynamics of such models, in particular that of the 2D NSE, is equivalent to the long-time dynamics of an ODE with continuously Lipschitz vector field in certain infinite-dimensional space of trajectories with finite range (see also [28] for related results). In this paper, we succeed to obtain the existence of inertial manifolds for the simplified Bardina model (1) and the modified Leray- α model (3), since the nonlinear terms in these two systems are milder than that of the NSE and other α -models of turbulence.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 is devoted to the preliminaries and the functional settings. In section 3 and section 4, we study the simplified Bardina model (1) and the modified Leray- α model (3), respectively, and prove the existence of absorbing balls in various Hilbert spaces, as well as the existence of an inertial manifold for both models. In the appendix, we give a detailed justification of the strong squeezing property for these two systems.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We introduce some preliminary background material, which is standard in the mathematical theory of the NSE.

(i) Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all two-dimensional trigonometric vector-valued polynomials with periodic domain Ω . We then set

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{F} : \nabla \cdot \phi = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$

We set H and V to be the closures of \mathcal{V} in L^2_{per} and H^1_{per} , respectively.

(ii) We denote by $P_{\sigma}: L_{per}^2 \to H$ the Helmholtz-Leray orthogonal projection operator, and by $A = -P_{\sigma}\Delta$ the Stokes operator with the domain $D(A) = (H_{per}^2(\Omega))^2 \cap V$. Since we work with periodic space, then it is known that

$$Au = -P_{\sigma}\Delta u = -\Delta u$$
, for all $u \in D(A)$.

The operator A^{-1} is a self-adjoint positive definite compact operator from H into H (cf. [16, 45]). We denote by $0 < L^{-2} = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$ the eigenvalues of A, repeated according to their multiplicities.

- (iii) We denote by $|\cdot|$ and (\cdot, \cdot) the L^2_{per} norm and the L^2_{per} inner product, respectively. Moreover, one can show that $V = D(A^{1/2})$. Therefore we denote by $((\cdot, \cdot)) = (A^{1/2} \cdot, A^{1/2} \cdot)$, and by $||\cdot|| = |A^{1/2} \cdot |$ the inner product and the norm on V, respectively. We also observe that, $D(A^{s/2}) = (H^s_{per}(\Omega))^2 \cap V$ (cf. [16, 45]). In addition, we denote by V'the dual space of V, and by D(A)' the dual space of D(A).
- (iv) For r < s, we recall the following version of Poincaré inequality

$$\lambda_1^{s-r} |A^r \phi| \le |A^s \phi|, \tag{4}$$

for every $\phi \in D(A^s)$.

(v) For $w_1, w_2 \in V$, we define the bilinear form

$$B(w_1, w_2) = P_{\sigma}((w_1 \cdot \nabla)w_2).$$

The bilinear form $B: V \times V \to V'$ is continuous, and it satisfies

$$\langle B(w_1, w_2), w_3 \rangle_{V'} = -\langle B(w_1, w_3), w_2 \rangle_{V'}.$$
 (5)

In particular, $\langle B(w_1, w_2), w_2 \rangle_{V'} = 0$. Moreover, (B(w, w), Aw) = 0 for every $w \in D(A)$ (this is only true in the 2D periodic case). See [16, 44, 45, 46] for proofs. In addition, we shall use the following estimate on the L^2 -norm of $B(w_1, w_2)$ in 2D:

$$|B(w_1, w_2)| \le c|w_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||w_1||^{\frac{1}{2}} ||w_2||^{\frac{1}{2}} |Aw_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{6}$$

which is due to Hölder's inequality and Ladyzhenskaya's inequality in 2D: $|\phi|_{L^4} \leq c |\phi|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\phi||^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Finally, we quote the following classical result (see, e.g., [44, 45]):

Lemma 1. Let $X \subset H \equiv H' \subset X'$ be Hilbert spaces. If $u \in L^2(0,T;X)$ with $u_t \in L^2(0,T;X')$, then u is almost everywhere equal to an absolutely continuous function from [0,T] into H and the following equality holds in the distribution sense on (0,T):

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u|_{H}^{2} = 2\langle u_{t}, u \rangle_{X'}.$$
(7)

3. THE SIMPLIFIED BARDINA MODEL

This section is devoted to prove the existence of an inertial manifold for the two-dimensional simplified Bardina model. We apply the Helmholtz-Leray orthogonal projection P_{σ} to equation (1), and obtain the following equivalent functional differential equation (see e.g., [16, 45])

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \nu A v + B(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) = f, \\ v = \bar{v} + \alpha^2 A \bar{v}, \\ v(0) = v_0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Moreover, we assume that the forcing term and the initial data have spatial zero mean, i.e., $\int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} v_0(x) dx = 0$, and hence $\int_{\Omega} v(x, t) dx = 0$, for all $t \ge 0$.

In [5] Cao-Lunasin-Titi proved the global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous simplified Bardina model (8), as well as the existence of a finite-dimensional global attractor. Therefore we will not discuss here the question of well-posedness and the attractor's dimension, because the two-dimensional case follows similar treatment. Notably, it was also shown in

[5] that the global regularity of the three-dimensional inviscid simplified Bardina model, i.e., when $\nu = 0$. In this inviscid case, model (8) coincides with the inviscid Navier-Stokes-Voigt model, namely, Euler-Voigt model which has been a subject of intensive recent analytical and computational studies (cf. [30, 36, 32, 33, 35, 42]).

Now we can quote the following theorem without proof (since it has been proven in the 3D case in [5]) which states the global existence and uniqueness of regular solutions of equation (8).

Theorem 2. (Regular Solution) Let $f \in V'$, $v_0 \in V'$, and T > 0. Then there exists a unique function $v \in C([0,T];V') \cap L^2([0,T];H)$ with $v_t \in L^2([0,T];D(A)')$ and $v(0) = v_0$, and which satisfies (8) in the following sense:

$$\langle v_t, w \rangle_{D(A)'} + \nu \langle Av, w \rangle_{D(A)'} + (B(\bar{v}, \bar{v}), w) = \langle f, w \rangle_{V'}, \tag{9}$$

for every $w \in D(A)$. Moreover the solution v depends continuously on the initial data, with respect to the $L^{\infty}([0,T];V')$ norm. Here, equation (9) is understood in the following sense: for almost everywhere $t_0, t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\langle v(t), w \rangle_{V'} - \langle v(t_0), w \rangle_{V'} + \nu \int_{t_0}^t (v, Aw) + \int_{t_0}^t (B(\bar{v}(s), \bar{v}(s)), w) \, ds = \int_{t_0}^t \langle f, w \rangle_{V'} ds$$

3.1. Asymptotic estimates for the long-time dynamics. This section is devoted to establishing appropriate *a priori* estimates for the long-time dynamics of the solution of (8). In particular, we are required to justify the existence of absorbing balls for the dynamical system induced by equation (8), in various spaces of functions. This is needed for our proof for the existence of inertial manifolds. The estimates provided here are done formally, but one can prove them rigorously, e.g., by using the Galerkin approximation scheme. Throughout the following estimates, we assume the forcing $f \in V'$, and the initial data $v(0) \in V'$, thus the corresponding $\bar{v}(0) \in V$.

3.1.1. H^1 -estimate for \bar{v} . We take the D(A)' action of equation (8) on \bar{v} and use the identities (5) and (7) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(|\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}\|^2) + \nu(\|\bar{v}\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{v}|^2) = \langle f, \bar{v} \rangle.$$
(10)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities, we have

$$|\langle f, \bar{v} \rangle| = |(A^{-1}f, A\bar{v})| \le |A^{-1}f||A\bar{v}| \le \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{2\alpha^2\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2\nu}{2}|A\bar{v}|^2.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}(|\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}\|^2) + \nu(\|\bar{v}\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{v}|^2) \le \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{\alpha^2 \nu}$$

Applying Poincaré inequality (4) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(|\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}\|^2) + \nu\lambda_1(|\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}\|^2) \le \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{\alpha^2\nu}$$

We then use Gronwall's inequality to deduce

$$|\bar{v}(t)|^{2} + \alpha^{2} \|\bar{v}(t)\|^{2} \le e^{-\nu\lambda_{1}(t-t_{0})} (|\bar{v}(t_{0})|^{2} + \alpha^{2} \|\bar{v}(t_{0})\|^{2}) + \frac{1 - e^{-\nu\lambda_{1}(t-t_{0})}}{\alpha^{2}\lambda_{1}\nu^{2}} |A^{-1}f|^{2},$$

for all $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (|\bar{v}(t)|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}(t)\|^2) \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2} |A^{-1}f|^2.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (1 + \alpha^2 \lambda_1) |\bar{v}(t)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2} |A^{-1}f|^2 \text{ and } \limsup_{t \to \infty} \alpha^2 \|\bar{v}(t)\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2} |A^{-1}f|^2.$$

This immediately implies

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} |\bar{v}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 := \left[(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda_1) \alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-1}f|;$$

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|\bar{v}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 := (\alpha^4 \lambda_1 \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-1}f|.$$
(11)

Thanks to the above, we conclude that, the solution $\bar{v}(t)$, after long enough time, enters a ball in H, centered at the origin, with radius ρ_0 . Also, $\bar{v}(t)$ enters a ball in V with radius ρ_1 . Notice the growth of ρ_0 and ρ_1 with respect to the shrinking of ν satisfies $\rho_0 \sim \nu^{-1}$ and $\rho_1 \sim \nu^{-1}$ asymptotically.

3.1.2. H^2 -estimate on \bar{v} (L^2 -estimate on v). We take the D(A)' action of equation (8) on $A\bar{v}$ by using (7), and employ the identity ($B(\bar{v}, \bar{v}), A\bar{v}$) = 0 (which is only valid in 2D periodic case, c.f. [16, 44]). It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\bar{v}\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{v}|^2) + \nu(|A\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 |A^{3/2}\bar{v}|^2) = \langle f, A\bar{v} \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\langle f, A\bar{v} \rangle | = |(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f, A^{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{v})| \le \frac{|A^{-1/2}f|^2}{2\alpha^2\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2\nu}{2}|A^{3/2}\bar{v}|^2.$$

As a result, we reach to

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\bar{v}\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{v}|^2) + \nu(|A\bar{v}|^2 + \alpha^2 |A^{3/2}\bar{v}|^2) \le \frac{|A^{-1/2}f|^2}{\alpha^2\nu}$$

Applying Poincaré inequality (4) followed by Gronwall's inequality, one has

$$\|\bar{v}(t)\|^{2} + \alpha^{2} |A\bar{v}(t)|^{2} \le e^{-\nu\lambda_{1}(t-t_{0})} (\|\bar{v}(t_{0})\|^{2} + \alpha^{2} |A\bar{v}(t_{0})|^{2}) + \frac{1 - e^{-\nu\lambda_{1}(t-t_{0})}}{\alpha^{2}\lambda_{1}\nu^{2}} |A^{-1/2}f|^{2}, \quad (12)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 > 0$. Thus,

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (\|\bar{v}(t)\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{v}(t)|^2) \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2} |A^{-1/2}f|^2.$$
(13)

In particular, it follows that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|\bar{v}(t)\| \le \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\rho}_1 := \left[(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda_1) \alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-\frac{1}{2}} f|;$$
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} |A\bar{v}(t)| \le \frac{1}{2} \rho_2 := (\alpha^4 \lambda_1 \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-\frac{1}{2}} f|.$$

The above estimate along with (11) shows that $\|\bar{v}(t)\| \leq \min\{\rho_1, \tilde{\rho}_1\}$ for sufficiently large time t. Also, $\bar{v}(t)$ enters a ball with radius ρ_2 in D(A) after long enough time.

Furthermore, since $v = \bar{v} + \alpha^2 A \bar{v}$, one has

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} |v(t)| \le \limsup_{t \to \infty} |\bar{v}(t)| + \alpha^2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} |A\bar{v}(t)| \le (\rho_0 + \alpha^2 \rho_2)/2.$$

Thus, after sufficiently large time, v(t) enter a ball in H with the radius $\rho := \rho_0 + \alpha^2 \rho_2$. Also, note that $\rho \sim \nu^{-1}$ asymptotically.

3.2. Existence of an inertial manifold. Denote $R(v) := B(\bar{v}, \bar{v})$, then equation (8) takes the form

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \nu Av + R(v) = f, \tag{14}$$

where we assume that $f \in V'$. From the energy estimate in subsection 3.1.2, we see that for positive time t, one has $\bar{v}(t) \in D(A)$, and thus $v(t) \in H$ for t > 0. Moreover, for sufficient large t, the solution v(t) enters a ball with radius ρ . Since we are concerning the large-time behavior of solutions, without loss of generality we can assume $v_0 \in H$, throughout the following discussion.

Notice that the nonlinear operator R is locally Lipschitz from H to H. Indeed, let v_1 , $v_2 \in H$, then the corresponding \bar{v}_1 , $\bar{v}_2 \in D(A)$. Furthermore, since $v = \bar{v} + \alpha^2 A \bar{v}$, one has $\bar{v} = (I + \alpha^2 A)^{-1} v$, and thus

$$|A\bar{v}| = |A(I + \alpha^2 A)^{-1}v| \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2} |v|.$$
(15)

Then, by using (6), along with Poincaré inequality and estimate (15), we infer

$$|R(v_{1}) - R(v_{2})| = |B(\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{1}) - B(\bar{v}_{2}, \bar{v}_{2})|$$

$$= |B(\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{1} - \bar{v}_{2})| + |B(\bar{v}_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}, \bar{v}_{2})|$$

$$\leq c|\bar{v}_{1}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{v}_{1}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{v}_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\bar{v}_{1} - A\bar{v}_{2}|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c|\bar{v}_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{v}_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{v}_{2}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\bar{v}_{2}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c\lambda_{1}^{-1}(|A\bar{v}_{1}| + |A\bar{v}_{2}|)|A\bar{v}_{1} - A\bar{v}_{2}|$$

$$\leq c\lambda_{1}^{-1}\alpha^{-4}(|v_{1}| + |v_{2}|)|v_{1} - v_{2}|.$$
(16)

As in [16, 25, 26, 44], in order to avoid certain technical difficulties for large values of |v|, resulting from the nonlinearity, we truncate the nonlinear term by a smooth cutoff function outside the ball of radius 2ρ in H. Indeed, let $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0,1]$ with $\theta(s) = 1$ for $0 \le s \le 1$, $\theta(s) = 0$ for $s \ge 2$, and $|\theta'(s)| \le 2$ for $s \ge 0$. Define $\theta_{\rho}(s) = \theta(s/\rho)$, for $s \ge 0$. We consider the following "prepared" equation, which is a modification of (14):

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \nu Av + \theta_{\rho}(|v|)(R(v) - f) = 0.$$
(17)

Notice that (14) and (17) have the same asymptotic behaviors in time, and the same dynamics in the neighborhood of the global attractor. This is because we have shown that for t sufficiently large, v(t) enters a ball in H with radius ρ . On the other hand, the advantage of (17) compared to (14) is that (17) possesses an absorbing *invariant* ball in H. To see this, take the scalar product of (17) with v, and then for $|v| \ge 2\rho$, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|v|^2 + \lambda_1 \nu |v|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|v|^2 + \nu ||v||^2 = 0,$$

since $\theta_{\rho}(|v|) = 0$ for $|v| \ge 2\rho$. It follows that, if $|v_0| > 2\rho$, the orbit of the solution to (17) will converge exponentially to the ball of radius 2ρ in H, while if $|v_0| \le 2\rho$, the solution does not leave this ball.

Furthermore, since $R : H \to H$ is locally Lipschitz, the truncated nonlinearity $F(v) := \theta_{\rho}(|v|)R(v)$ is globally Lipschitz from H to H. To see this, we let $v_1, v_2 \in H$, and calculate for three cases:

(i) if $|v_1| \ge 2\rho$ and $|v_2| \ge 2\rho$, then $F(v_1) = F(v_2) = 0$;

(ii) if $|v_1| \ge 2\rho \ge |v_2|$, then $\theta_{\rho}(|v_1|) = 0$, thus

$$|F(v_1) - F(v_2)| = |\theta_{\rho}(|v_1|)R(v_2) - \theta_{\rho}(|v_2|)R(v_2)|$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\rho}|v_1 - v_2||R(v_2)| \leq c\rho\lambda^{-1}\alpha^{-4}|v_1 - v_2|,$$

by virtue of (16) and the property of θ . (iii) if $|v_1| \leq 2\rho$ and $|v_2| \leq 2\rho$, then

$$|F(v_1) - F(v_2)| \le |\theta_{\rho}(|v_1|)(R(v_1) - R(v_2))| + |R(v_2)(\theta_{\rho}(|v_1|) - \theta_{\rho}(|v_2|))| \le c\rho\lambda_1^{-1}\alpha^{-4}|v_1 - v_2|,$$

due to (16) and the property of θ .

A summary of these three cases yields

$$|F(v_1) - F(v_2)| \le \mathscr{L}|v_1 - v_2|, \text{ where } \mathscr{L} := c\rho\lambda_1^{-1}\alpha^{-4}.$$
(18)

Since the nonlinearity of (17) is globally Lipschitz, we shall see that equation (17) possesses the strong squeezing property stated in Proposition 3, provided certain spectral gap condition is fulfilled. Indeed, for $\gamma > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the cone

$$\Gamma_{n,\gamma} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \in H \times H : |Q_n(v_1 - v_2)| \le \gamma |P_n(v_1 - v_2)| \right\}.$$
 (19)

The strong squeezing property asserts: if the dynamics of two trajectories starts inside the cone $\Gamma_{n,\gamma}$, then the trajectories stay inside the cone forever, and the higher Fourier modes of the difference are dominated by the lower modes (i.e. *the cone invariance property*); on the other hand, for as long as the two trajectories are outside the cone, then the higher Fourier modes of the difference decay exponentially fast (i.e. *the decay property*). More precisely, we have the following result.

Proposition 3. Let v_1 and v_2 be two solutions of (17). Then (17) satisfies the following properties:

(i) The cone invariance property: Assume that n is large enough such that the spectral gap condition $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > \frac{\mathscr{L}(\gamma+1)^2}{\nu\gamma}$ holds. If $\begin{pmatrix} v_1(t_0) \\ v_2(t_0) \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ for some $t_0 \ge 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} v_1(t) \\ v_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ for all $t \ge t_0$;

(ii) The decay property: Assume that n is large enough such that
$$\lambda_{n+1} > \nu^{-1} \mathscr{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}+1\right)$$

If $\binom{v_1(t)}{v_2(t)} \notin \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ for $0 \le t \le T$, then
 $|Q_n(v_1(t) - v_2(t))| \le |Q_n(v_1(0)) - v_2(0)|e^{-b_n t}$, for $0 \le t \le T$,
where $b_n := \nu \lambda_{n+1} - \mathscr{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}+1\right) > 0$.

Proof. See the appendix.

Notice that, the eigenvalues of the operator A satisfies the spectral gap condition:

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} (\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j) = \infty.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Indeed, since the eigenvalues of A in the periodic domain are of the form $L^{-2}(k_1^2 + k_2^2)$, the spectral gap condition (20) is available due to a classical result in number theory:

Theorem 4. (Richards [41]) The sequence $\{\gamma_k = m_1^2 + m_2^2 : m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \gamma_{k+1} \geq \gamma_k\}$ has a subsequence $\{\gamma_{k_j}\}$ such that $\gamma_{k_{j+1}} - \gamma_{k_j} \geq \delta \log(\gamma_{k_j})$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Obviously, (20) implies the required condition in Proposition 3, i.e., there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > \frac{4\mathscr{L}}{\nu}$ and $\lambda_{n+1} > \nu^{-1}\mathscr{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right)$, and thus for such *n* large enough, the strong squeezing property holds for the "prepared" equation (17).

Definition 5. (Inertial Manifold) [25] Consider the solution operator S(t) generated by the "prepared" equation (17). A subset $\mathcal{M} \in H$ is called an initial manifold for (17) if the following properties are satisfied :

- (i) \mathcal{M} is a finite-dimensional Lipschitz manifold;
- (ii) \mathcal{M} is invariant, i.e. $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- (iii) \mathcal{M} attracts exponentially all the solutions of (17).

Clearly, property (iii) implies that \mathcal{M} contains the global attractor.

Next, we state a fundamental theorem concerning that the strong squeezing property implies the existence of an inertial manifold and the *exponential tracking* (cf. [26]) for dissipative evolution equations. There are several proofs of this theorem that can be found in [17, 23, 26, 43, 44].

Theorem 6. Consider a nonlinear evolutionary equation of the type $v_t + Av + N(v) = 0$, where A is a linear, unbounded self-adjoint positive operator, acting in a Hilbert space H, such that A^{-1} is compact, and $N : H \to H$ is a nonlinear operator. Assume the solution v(t) enters a ball in H with the radius ρ for sufficiently large time t. For $\gamma > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the cone $\Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ in (19). Assume there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the "prepared" equation $v_t + Av + \theta_{\rho}(|v|)N(v) = 0$ satisfies the strong squeezing property, i.e., for any two solutions v_1 and v_2 of the "prepared" equation,

• if
$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1(t_0) \\ v_2(t_0) \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$$
 for some $t_0 \ge 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} v_1(t) \\ v_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ for all $t \ge t_0$;

•
$$if \begin{pmatrix} v_1(t) \\ v_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \notin \Gamma_{n,\gamma} \text{ for } 0 \le t \le T, \text{ then there exists } a_n > 0 \text{ such that}$$

 $|Q_n(v_1(t) - v_2(t))|_H \le e^{-a_n t} |Q_n(v_1(0)) - v_2(0)|_H, \text{ for } 0 \le t \le T.$

Then the "prepared" equation possesses an n-dimensional inertial manifold in H. In addition, the following exponential tracking property holds: for any $v_0 \in H$, there exists a time $\tau \geq 0$ and a solution $S(t)\varphi_0$ on the inertial manifold such that

$$|S(t+\tau)v_0 - S(t)\varphi_0|_H \le Ce^{-a_n t},$$

where the constant C depends on $|S(\tau)v_0|_H$ and $|\varphi_0|_H$.

Since we have shown that the strong squeezing property holds for (17) provided n is large enough, by using Theorem 6, we obtain the following result for the simplified Bardina model.

Theorem 7. The "prepared" equation (17) of the simplified Bardina model possesses an ndimensional inertial manifold \mathcal{M} in H, i.e., the solution $S(t)v_0$ of (17) approaches the invariant Lipschitz manifold \mathcal{M} exponentially. Furthermore, the following exponential tracking property holds: for any $v_0 \in H$, there exists a time $\tau \geq 0$ and a solution $S(t)\varphi_0$ on the inertial manifold \mathcal{M} such that

$$|S(t+\tau)v_0 - S(t)\varphi_0| \le Ce^{-b_n t},$$

where b_n is defined in Proposition 3, and the constant C depends on $|S(\tau)v_0|$ and $|\varphi_0|$.

4. MODIFIED-LERAY- α MODEL

This section is devoted to proving the existence of an inertial manifold for the modified-Leray- α model (3). Applying the Helmholtz-Leray orthogonal projection P_{σ} to (3), we obtain the following equivalent functional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \nu A u + B(u, \bar{u}) = f \\ u = \bar{u} + \alpha^2 A \bar{u} \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$
(21)

An analytical study of the modified-Leray- α model has been presented in [29]. Specifically, it was shown that (21) is globally well-posed in 3D. In addition, an upper bound for the dimension of its global attractor and analysis of the energy spectrum were established. The proof of global well-posedness in 2D is very similar, so we just state the result and omit its proof.

Theorem 8. (Regular Solution) Let $f \in H$, $u_0 \in V'$, and T > 0. Then there exists a unique function $u \in C([0,T];V') \cap L^2([0,T];H)$ with $u_t \in L^2([0,T];D(A)')$ and $u(0) = u_0$, and which satisfies equation (21) in the following sense:

$$\left\langle \frac{du}{dt}, w \right\rangle_{D(A)'} + \nu \left\langle Au, w \right\rangle_{D(A)'} + \left(B(u, \bar{u}), w \right) = \left\langle f, w \right\rangle_{V'},\tag{22}$$

for every $w \in D(A)$. Moreover the solution v depends continually on the initial data with respect to the $L^{\infty}([0,T];V')$ norm. Here, the equation (22) is understood in the following sense: for almost everywhere $t_0, t \in [0,T]$ we have

$$\langle u(t), w \rangle_{V'} - \langle u(t_0), w \rangle_{V'} + \nu \int_{t_0}^t (u, Aw) + \int_{t_0}^t (B(u(s), \bar{u}(s)), w) \, ds = \int_{t_0}^t \langle f, w \rangle_{V'} ds$$

4.1. Asymptotic estimates for the long-time dynamics. In order to prove the existence of an inertial manifold, it is required to establish appropriate *a priori* estimates on the long-time dynamics of the solution. In particular, we are required to find absorbing balls for the dynamical system induced by the equation (21) in various spaces of functions. The estimates provided here are done formally, but can be justified rigorously, for instance, by using the standard Galerkin approximation method. During our estimates, $u_0 \in V'$ and $f \in H$.

4.1.1. H^1 -estimate on \bar{u} . Taking the D(A)' action of the equation (21) on \bar{u} by using the fact $(B(u,\bar{u}),\bar{u}) = 0$ and (7), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(|\bar{u}|^2 + \alpha^2 \|\bar{u}\|^2) + \nu(\|\bar{u}\|^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{u}|^2) = (f,\bar{u}).$$
(23)

Notice that, the energy identity (23) is almost identical to (10) from the analysis of the simplified Bardina model. Therefore, we can adopt the estimate in the subsection 3.1.1 to conclude

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} |\bar{u}(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 := \left[(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda_1) \alpha^2 \lambda_1 \nu^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-1}f|;\\ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \|\bar{u}(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 := (\alpha^4 \lambda_1 \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |A^{-1}f|. \end{split}$$

From this, we conclude that, the solution $\bar{u}(t)$, after a sufficiently large time, enters a ball in H with radius ρ_0 , and also enters a ball in V with radius ρ_1 . In addition the growth of the radii ρ_0 and ρ_1 with respect to the shrinking of the viscosity ν satisfies $\rho_0 \sim \nu^{-1}$ and $\rho_1 \sim \nu^{-1}$.

4.1.2. L^2 -estimate on u (H^2 -estimate on \bar{u}). By taking the D(A)' action of the equation (21) on u and using (7), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2 + \nu ||u||^2 + (B(u,\bar{u}),u) = (f,u).$$

Recall in subsection 3.1.2 when we derived L^2 -estimate on v (H^2 -estimate on \bar{v}) for the simplified Bardina model, we used the identity ($B(\bar{v}, \bar{v}), A\bar{v}$) = 0 (in the periodic 2D case) to eliminate the nonlinearity. On the other hand, for the NSE, the L^2 -estimate is fairly easy, since (B(u, u), u) = 0. However, under the current situation, the nonlinear term ($B(u, \bar{u}), u$) does not vanish, which causes the estimate to be slightly more involved. Indeed, by using Hölder's inequality, and the Ladyzhenskaya inequality $|u|_{L^4} \leq c|u|^{\frac{1}{2}}||u||^{\frac{1}{2}}$, as well as the Young's inequality, we infer

$$|(B(u,\bar{u}),u)| \le |u|_{L^4}^2 \|\bar{u}\| \le c|u| \|u\| \|\bar{u}\| \le \frac{\nu}{4} \|u\|^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} |u|^2 \|\bar{u}\|^2.$$

Also, $|(f, u)| = |(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f, A^{\frac{1}{2}}u)| \le |A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|||u|| \le \frac{\nu}{4}||u||^2 + \frac{1}{\nu}|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2$. Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2 + \nu ||u||^2 \le \frac{c}{\nu}|u|^2 ||\bar{u}||^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2.$$

In subsection 4.1.1, we have shown that there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $|\bar{u}(t)| \le \rho_0$ and $||\bar{u}(t)|| \le \rho_1$ provided $t \ge t_1$. As a result,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|u|^2 + \nu ||u||^2 \le \frac{c}{\nu}\rho_1^2 |u|^2 + \frac{2}{\nu}|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2, \text{ for all } t \ge t_1.$$
(24)

We attempt to derive a uniform bound for |u(t)|. To this end, we integrate between s and $t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ for $t_1 \le t \le s \le t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$:

$$|u(t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1})|^2 \le |u(s)|^2 + \frac{c}{\nu}\rho_1^2 \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} |u(s)|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\nu^2\lambda_1} |A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2.$$

Then, integrating with respect to s from t to $t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}|u(t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1})|^2 \le \left(\frac{c}{\nu^2\lambda_1}\rho_1^2 + 1\right)\int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}}|u(s)|^2ds + \frac{2}{\nu^3\lambda_1^2}|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2, \text{ for all } t \ge t_1.$$
(25)

In order to control the right-hand side, we should obtain a bound on $\int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} |u(s)|^2 ds$. To this end, we deduce from (23) by using Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(|\bar{u}|^2 + \alpha^2 ||\bar{u}||^2) + \nu(||\bar{u}||^2 + \alpha^2 |A\bar{u}|^2) \le \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{\alpha^2 \nu}$$

Integrating the above inequality from t to $t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ yields

$$\nu \alpha^2 \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} |A\bar{u}(s)|^2 ds \le |\bar{u}(t)|^2 + \alpha^2 ||\bar{u}(t)||^2 + \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{\alpha^2 \nu^2 \lambda_1} \\ \le \rho_0^2 + \alpha^2 \rho_1^2 + \frac{|A^{-1}f|^2}{\alpha^2 \nu^2 \lambda_1}, \text{ for } t \ge t_1,$$

where we have used the fact that $|\bar{u}(t)| \leq \rho_0$ and $||\bar{u}(t)|| \leq \rho_1$ for $t \geq t_1$.

By definition $u = \bar{u} + \alpha^2 A \bar{u}$, it follows that $|u|^2 \leq 2(|\bar{u}|^2 + \alpha^4 |A\bar{u}|^2) \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} + \alpha^4\right) |A\bar{u}|^2$ due to Poincaré inequality. Consequently, for $t \geq t_1$, one has

$$\int_{t}^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_{1}}} |u(s)|^{2} ds \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} + \alpha^{4}\right) \int_{t}^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_{1}}} |A\bar{u}(s)|^{2} ds$$
$$\leq C_{0} := \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} + \alpha^{4}\right) \frac{2}{\nu\alpha^{2}} \left(\rho_{0}^{2} + \alpha^{2}\rho_{1}^{2} + \frac{|A^{-1}f|^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\nu^{2}\lambda_{1}}\right). \tag{26}$$

Substituting (26) into (25), we conclude

$$|u(t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1})|^2 \le \rho_3^2 := \left(\frac{c}{\nu}\rho_1^2 + \nu\lambda_1\right)C_0 + \frac{2}{\nu^2\lambda_1}|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^2, \text{ for } t \ge t_1.$$

This indicates that, for $t \ge t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$, the solution u(t) enters a ball in H with the radius ρ_3 .

Furthermore, the growth of the radius ρ_3 with respect to the shrinking of the viscosity ν satisfies $\rho_3 \sim \nu^{-3}$.

4.1.3. H^1 -estimate on u. We take the D(A)' action of the equation (21) on Au. It follows from (7) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||u||^2 + \nu|Au|^2 + (B(u,\bar{u}),Au) = (f,Au).$$

By using Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}||u||^2 + \nu|Au|^2 \le \frac{2}{\nu}(|B(u,\bar{u})|^2 + |f|^2).$$

Recall we have shown that $\|\bar{u}(t)\| \leq \rho_1$ for $t \geq t_1$, as well as $|u(t)| \leq \rho_3$ for $t \geq t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$. Therefore, by employing (6) along with (15), we deduce

$$|B(u,\bar{u})| \le c|u|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||u||^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\bar{u}||^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{c}{\alpha} |u|||u||^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\bar{u}||^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{c}{\alpha} \rho_3 \rho_1^{\frac{1}{2}} ||u||^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } t \ge t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$$

As a result, for $t \ge t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 \le \frac{c}{\nu \alpha^2} \rho_3^2 \rho_1 \|u\| + \frac{2}{\nu} |f|^2.$$

To obtain a uniform bound for ||u(t)||, we integrate between s and $t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$, for $t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1} \le t \le s \le t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$,

$$\|u(t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1})\|^2 \le \|u(s)\|^2 + \frac{c}{\nu\alpha^2}\rho_3^2\rho_1 \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} \|u(s)\|ds + \frac{2}{\nu^2\lambda_1}|f|^2.$$

Then, using Cauchy-Schwarz and integrating with respect to s between t and $t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ yield

$$\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1} \|u(t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1})\|^2 \le \int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} \|u(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{c}{\nu^{\frac{5}{2}}\alpha^2\lambda_1^{\frac{3}{2}}} \rho_3^2 \rho_1 \left(\int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} \|u(s)\|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2}{\nu^3\lambda_1^2} |f|^2,$$

for $t \ge t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$. Now we ought to find a bound for $\int_t^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}} ||u(s)||^2 ds$. Indeed, integrating (24) from t to $t + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ for $t \ge t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$ gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{t}^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_{1}}} \|u(s)\|^{2} ds &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} \left(|u(t)|^{2} + \frac{c}{\nu} \rho_{1}^{2} \int_{t}^{t+\frac{1}{\nu\lambda_{1}}} |u(s)|^{2} ds + \frac{2}{\nu^{2}\lambda_{1}} |A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^{2} \right) \\ &\leq C_{1} := \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\rho_{3}^{2} + \frac{c}{\nu} \rho_{1}^{2} C_{0} + \frac{2}{\nu^{2}\lambda_{1}} |A^{-\frac{1}{2}}f|^{2} \right), \end{split}$$

where we have used (26) and the fact that $|u(t)| \leq \rho_3$ provided $t \geq t_1 + \frac{1}{\nu\lambda_1}$.

Finally, we conclude

$$||u(t)||^2 \le \tilde{\rho}^2 := \nu \lambda_1 C_1 + \frac{c}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \alpha^2 \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \rho_3^2 \rho_1 C_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2}{\nu^2 \lambda_1} |f|^2, \text{ for } t \ge t_1 + \frac{2}{\nu \lambda_1}.$$

This shows the solution u(t) enters of a ball in V of radius $\tilde{\rho}$ for $t \ge t_1 + \frac{2}{\nu\lambda_1}$.

Also, recall $\rho_0 \sim \nu^{-1}$, $\rho_1 \sim \nu^{-1}$, $\rho_3 \sim \nu^{-3}$, then by (26) one has $C_0 \sim \nu^{-3}$, and thus we see that $C_1 \sim \nu^{-7}$. Hence, $\tilde{\rho} \sim \nu^{-6}$.

4.2. Existence of an inertial manifold. From energy estimates established in section 4.1, we see that for positive time t, one has $u(t) \in V$ because of the parabolic nature of the equation, and for sufficiently large time $t \ge t_1 + \frac{2}{\nu\lambda_1}$, the solution u(t) enters a ball in V of radius $\tilde{\rho}$. So, without loss of generality, as far as inertial manifold is concerned, which is a long-time behavior, we assume the initial data $u_0 \in V$.

We set $\mathcal{R}(u) := B(u, \bar{u})$. Then the equation (21) takes the form

$$u_t + \nu A u + \mathcal{R}(u) = f. \tag{27}$$

Recall that the nonlinear term $B(\bar{v}, \bar{v}) = P_{\sigma}(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)\bar{v}$ in the simplified Bardina model (1) is locally Lipschitz from H to H, which is a condition for (1) possessing an inertial manifold. However, $\mathcal{R}(u)$ does not have this property, since it is not a mapping from H to H. However, we will be able to show that \mathcal{R} is locally Lipschitz continuous from V to V. To see this, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(u)\| &= |A^{\frac{1}{2}}B(u,\bar{u})| \leq |B(A^{\frac{1}{2}}u,\bar{u})| + |B(u,A^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{u})| \\ &\leq \|u\| ||\nabla \bar{u}|_{L^{\infty}} + c|u|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||u\||^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A^{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \|u\| \|\bar{u}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A^{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} + c|u|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A^{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{u}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \lambda_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\| |A^{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{u}| \\ &\leq c \lambda_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha^{-2} \|u\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(28)$$

Note that, throughout the above calculation, we have employed (6), and Agmon's inequality in 2D: $|\phi|_{L^{\infty}} \leq c |\phi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |A\phi|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, as well as (15), where c is a positive constant.

This shows that \mathcal{R} is a mapping from V to V. By similar computation, we deduce, for u_1 , $u_2 \in V$:

$$\|\mathcal{R}(u_1) - \mathcal{R}(u_2)\| \le c\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^{-2}(\|u_1\| + \|u_2\|)\|u_1 - u_2\|,$$
(29)

that is, $\mathcal{R}: V \to V$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.

Recall in the subsection 4.1.3, we have shown that $|u(t)| \leq \tilde{\rho}$ for sufficiently large time $t \geq t_1 + \frac{2}{\nu\lambda_1}$. As in [25, 26], in order to avoid certain technical difficulties for large values of ||u||, resulting from the nonlinearity, we truncate the nonlinear term outside the ball of radius $2\tilde{\rho}$ in V by a smooth cutoff function $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1]$ with $\theta(s) = 1$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $\theta(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2$, and $|\theta'(s)| \leq 2$ for $s \geq 0$. Define $\theta_{\tilde{\rho}}(s) = \theta(s/\tilde{\rho})$ for $s \geq 0$. We consider the following "prepared" equation, which is a modification of (27):

$$u_t + \nu A u + \theta_{\tilde{\rho}}(||u||)(\mathcal{R}(u) - f) = 0.$$
(30)

Since $\mathcal{R}: V \to V$ is locally Lipschitz, by similar calculation as in subsection 3.2, it can be shown that the truncated nonlinearity $\mathcal{F}(u) := \theta_{\tilde{\rho}}(\|u\|)\mathcal{R}(u)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\mathcal{L} := c\tilde{\rho}\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^{-2}$. Now, for $\gamma > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the cone in the product space $V \times V$:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in V \times V : \|Q_N(u_1 - u_2)\| \le \gamma \|P_N(u_1 - u_2)\| \right\}.$$

The following result states the equation (30) possesses the strong squeezing property:

Proposition 9. Let u_1 and u_2 be two solutions of (30). Then (30) satisfies the following properties:

- (i) The cone invariance property: Assume that N is large enough such that the spectral gap condition $\lambda_{N+1} \lambda_N > \frac{\mathcal{L}(\gamma+1)^2}{\nu\gamma}$ holds. If $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t_0) \\ u_2(t_0) \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$ for some $t_0 \ge 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$ for all $t \ge t_0$;
- (ii) The decay property: Assume that N is sufficiently large such that $\lambda_{N+1} > \nu^{-1} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}+1\right)$. If $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t)\\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \notin \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$ for $0 \le t \le T$, then $\|Q_N(u_1(t)-u_2(t))\| \le \|Q_N(u_1(0))-u_2(0)\|e^{-\beta_N t}$, for $0 \le t \le T$, where $\beta_N := \nu \lambda_{N+1} - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}+1\right) > 0$.

Proof. See the appendix.

Note that the spectral gap condition is satisfied for sufficiently large N, by virtue of Theorem 4. Consequently the strong squeezing property holds for the equation (30). Then, according to Theorem 6 which concerns the existence of an inertial manifold, we have the following result.

Theorem 10. The "prepared" equation (30) of the modified-Leray- α model possesses an Ndimensional inertial manifold \mathfrak{M} in V, i.e., the solution $S(t)u_0$ of (30) approaches the invariant Lipschitz manifold \mathfrak{M} exponentially in V. Furthermore, the following exponential tracking property holds: for any $u_0 \in V$, there exists a time $\tau \geq 0$ and a solution $S(t)\varphi_0$ on the inertial manifold \mathfrak{M} such that

$$\|S(t+\tau)u_0 - S(t)\varphi_0\| \le Ce^{-\beta_N t},$$

where β_N is defined in Proposition 9, and the constant C depends on $||S(\tau)u_0||$ and $||\varphi_0||$.

Remark 1. Concerning the Leray- α model (2), the nonlinearity is $(\bar{w} \cdot \nabla)w$ and clearly there is a loss of derivative. It can be shown that the operator $\tilde{R}(v) := B(\bar{v}, v) = P_{\sigma}(\bar{v} \cdot \nabla)v$ is Lipschitz continuous from V to H in 2D. As far as inertial manifold is concerned, this produces the similar difficulty as what we face for the 2D NSE. Indeed, under such scenario, using the classical theory, the existence of an inertial manifold requires a stronger gap condition: $\lambda_{j+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_{j}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ must be sufficiently big, which only holds for very large viscosity ν (see, e.g. [43]). But our main interest lies in fluid flow with small viscosity, which is the situation when turbulence occurs, so a result valid for only large ν is of no account.

5. APPENDIX

We present the proof of Propositions 3 and 9 for the sake of completion. Since the proof of these two propositions are similar, we only show Proposition 9.

Proof. The method of the proof is standard (see, e.g. [26]). Assume u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of (30). To show the cone invariance property (i), it is sufficient to show $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$ can not pass through the boundary of the cone if the dynamics starts inside the cone. More precisely, we shall show $\frac{d}{dt}(\|Q_N(u_1(t) - u_2(t))\| - \gamma \|P_N(u_1(t) - u_2(t))\|) < 0$ whenever $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$, where $\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$ stands for the boundary of the cone $\tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$.

Recall $\mathcal{F}(u) = \theta_{\tilde{\rho}}(||u||)\mathcal{R}(u)$. Then by the equation (30),

$$\partial_t (u_1 - u_2) + \nu A(u_1 - u_2) + \mathcal{F}(u_1) - \mathcal{F}(u_2) = 0.$$

By setting $p = P_N(u_1 - u_2)$ and $q = Q_N(u_1 - u_2)$, we obtain

$$p_t + \nu A p + P_N(\mathcal{F}(u_1) - \mathcal{F}(u_2)) = 0$$
(31)

$$q_t + \nu Aq + Q_N(\mathcal{F}(u_1) - \mathcal{F}(u_2)) = 0.$$
(32)

We take the scalar product of (31) with Ap,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|p\|^2 + \nu|Ap|^2 + (P_N(\mathcal{F}(u_1) - \mathcal{F}(u_2)), Ap) = 0.$$

Thus by the global Lipschitz continuity of \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|p\|^{2} \ge -\nu\lambda_{N}\|p\|^{2} - \|\mathcal{F}(u_{1}) - \mathcal{F}(u_{2})\|\|p\| \ge -\nu\lambda_{N}\|p\|^{2} - \mathcal{L}\|u_{1} - u_{2}\|\|p\|.$$
(33)

Without loss of generality, we can assume ||p(t)|| > 0. (Otherwise, if $||p(t^*)|| = 0$ for some t^* , then since we consider the boundary of the cone, we can assume $||q(t^*)|| = \gamma ||p(t^*)|| = 0$, and thus $u_1(t^*) = u_2(t^*)$. By the uniqueness of solutions, we obtain $u_1(t) = u_2(t)$ for all $t \ge t^*$, and the cone invariance property follows.) Now we can divide both sides of (33) by ||p(t)||, so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|p\| \ge -\nu\lambda_N\|p\| - \mathcal{L}\|u_1 - u_2\|.$$
(34)

Analogously, by taking the scalar product of (32) with Aq, we can deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|q\| \le -\nu\lambda_{N+1}\|q\| + \mathcal{L}\|u_1 - u_2\|.$$
(35)

Multiplying (34) with γ and subtracting the result from (35), we infer, by using the fact $p + q = u_1 - u_2$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|q\|-\gamma\|p\|) \le \nu(\lambda_N\gamma\|p\|-\lambda_{N+1}\|q\|) + \mathcal{L}(\gamma+1)(\|p\|+\|q\|).$$

So whenever $||q(t)|| = \gamma ||p(t)||$, i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|q\|-\gamma\|p\|) \le \left(\nu(\lambda_N - \lambda_{N+1}) + \mathcal{L}\frac{(\gamma+1)^2}{\gamma}\right) \|q\| < 0,$$

due to our assumption $\lambda_{N+1} - \lambda_N > \frac{\mathcal{L}(\gamma+1)^2}{\nu\gamma}$. To show the decay property (ii), we assume $\begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \notin \tilde{\Gamma}_{N,\gamma}$ for $0 \le t \le T$, then ||q(t)|| > 1 $\gamma \| p(t) \|$ for $0 \le t \le T$, and we see from (35) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|q\| \le -\nu\lambda_{N+1}\|q\| + \mathcal{L}(\|p\| + \|q\|) \le -\left[\nu\lambda_{N+1} - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right)\right]\|q\| = -\beta_N\|q\|,$$

for $0 \le t \le T$, where $\beta_N := \nu \lambda_{N+1} - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right)$. By Gronwall's inequality, one has

$$||q(t)|| \le e^{-\beta_N t} ||q(0)||, \text{ for } 0 \le t \le T$$

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the NSF grants DMS-1109640 and DMS-1109645.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam) 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] S. Agmon, Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, Van Nostrand, New York, 1965.
- [3] J. Bardina, J. Ferziger, and W. Reynolds, Improved subgrid scale models for large eddy simulation, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, 13th, Snowmass, Colo., July 14-16, 1980, 10 p.
- [4] L. C. Berselli, T. Iliescu, and W. J. Layton, Mathematics of Large Eddy Simulation of Turbulent Flows, Springer, Scientific Computation, New York, 2006.
- [5] Y. Cao, E. M. Lunasin, and E. S. Titi, Global well-posdness of the three-dimensional viscous and inviscid simplified Bardina turbulence models, Comm. Math. Sci. 4 (2006), 823–848.
- [6] C. Cao, D. D. Holm, and E. S. Titi, On the Clark- α model of turbulence: global regularity and long-time dynamics, Journal of Turbulence 6, (2005), 1–11.
- [7] S. Chen, C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, Camassa-Holm equations as a closure model for turbulent channel and pipe flow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998), 5338-5341.
- [8] S. Chen, C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, The Camassa-Holm equations and turbulence, Predictability: quantifying uncertainty in models of complex phenomena (Los Alamos, NM, 1998), Phys. D 133 (1999), 49-65.
- [9] S. Chen, C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, A connection between the Camassa-Holm equations and turbulent flows in channels and pipes, The International Conference on Turbulence (Los Alamos, NM, 1998), Phys. Fluids 11 (1999), 2343-2353.
- [10] A. Cheskidov, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, and E. S. Titi, On a Leray-α model of turbulence, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 461 (2005), 629-649.
- [11] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, Inertial manifolds for von Kármán plate equations, Special issue dedicated to the memory of Jacques-Louis Lions, Appl. Math. Optim. 46 (2002), 179–206.
- [12] S. Chen, C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, Camassa-Holm equations as a closure model for turbulent channel and pipe flow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998), 5338–5341.
- [13] S. Chen, C. Foias, D.D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, The Camassa-Holm equations and turbulence, Phys. D 133 (1999), 49-65.
- [14] S. Chen, C. Foias, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, E. S. Titi, and S. Wynne, A connection between the Camassa-Holm equations and turbulent flows in channels and pipes, Phys. Fluids 11 (1999), 2343–2353.
- [15] A. Cheskidov, D. D. Holm, E. Olson, and E. S. Titi, On a Leray- α Model of Turbulence, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 461 (2005), 629-649.
- [16] P. Constantin and C. Foias, Navier-Stokes Equations, The University of Chicago Press, 1988.

- [17] P. Constantin, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, and R. Temam, Integral manifolds and inertial manifolds for dissipative partial differential equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences 70, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [18] P. Constantin, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, and R. Temam, Spectral barriers and inertial manifolds for dissipative partial differential equations, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 1 (1989), 45–73.
- [19] C. Foias, D. D. Holm, and E. S. Titi, The three dimensional viscous Camassa-Holm equations, and their relation to the Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence theory, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 14 (2002), 1-35.
- [20] C. Foias, D. D. Holm, and E. S. Titi, The Navier-Stokes-alpha model of fluid turbulence. Advances in nonlinear mathematics and science, Phys. D 152/153 (2001), 505–519.
- [21] C. Foias, M. Jolly, R. Kravchenko, and E. S. Titi, A determining form for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations the Fourier modes case, Journal of Mathematical Physics 53 (2012), 115623, 30 pp.
- [22] C. Foias, M. Jolly, R. Kravchenko, and E. S. Titi, A unified approach to determining forms for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations – the general interpolants case, Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk 69(2) (2014) 177– 200; Russian Mathematical Surveys 69(2) (2014) 359–381.
- [23] C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, G. R. Sell, and R. Temam, Inertial manifolds for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation and an estimate of their lowest dimension, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 67 (1988), 197–226.
- [24] C. Foias, G. R. Sell, and R. Temam, Varits inertielles des quations diffrentielles dissipatives, [Inertial manifolds for dissipative differential equations], C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 301 (1985), 139–141.
- [25] C. Foias, G. R. Sell, and R. Temma, Inertial manifold for nonlinear evolutionary equations, J. Differential Equations 73 (1988), 309–353.
- [26] C. Foias, G. R. Sell, and E. S. Titi, Exponential Tracking and Approximation of Inertial Manifolds for Dissipative Nonlinear Equations, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equation 1 (1989), 199–244.
- [27] B. J. Geurts, A. Kuczaj, and E. S. Titi, Regularization modeling for large-eddy simulation of homogeneous isotropic decaying turbulence, Journal of Physics A 41 (2008), 344008 (29pp).
- [28] M. S. Jolly, T. Sadigov, and E. S. Titi, A determining form for the damped driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation- Fourier modes case, <u>arXiv:1406.2626</u>
- [29] A. A. Ilyin, E. M. Lunasin, E. S. Titi, A modified-Leray-α subgrid scale model of turbulence, Nonlinearity 19 (2006), 879-897.
- [30] V. K. Kalantarov and E. S. Titi, Global attractors and determining modes for the 3D Navier-Stokes-Voight equations, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, 30(6) (2009), 697–714.
- [31] V. K. Kalantarov, B. Levant, and E. S. Titi, Gevrey regularity of the global attractor of the 3D Navier-Stokes-Voight equations, Journal of Nonlinear Science 19 (2009), 133–152.
- [32] A. Larios and E. S. Titi, On the higher-order global regularity of the inviscid Voigt-regularization of threedimensional hydrodynamic models, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 14 (2010), 603-627.
- [33] A. Larios and E. S. Titi, Higher-order global regularity of an inviscid Voigt-regularization of the threedimensional inviscid resistive magnetohydrodynamic equations, Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics 16 (2014), 59-76.
- [34] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace (French) Acta Math. 63 (1934), 193–248.
- [35] B. Levant, F. Ramos, and E. S. Titi, On the statistical properties of the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes-Voigt model, Communications in Mathematical Sciences 8 (2010), 277–293.
- [36] E. M. Lunasin, S. Kurien, and E. S. Titi, Spectral scaling of α -models for two-dimensional turbulence, Journal of Physics A 41 (2008), 344014 (10pp).
- [37] E. M. Lunasin, S. Kurien, M. Taylor, and E. S. Titi, A study of the Navier-Stokes-α model for twodimensional turbulence, Journal of Turbulence 8(1) (2007), 1–21.
- [38] J. Mallet-Paret and G. R. Sell, Inertial manifolds for reaction diffusion equations in higher space dimensions, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988), 805–866.
- [39] X. Mora, Finite-dimensional attracting manifolds in reaction-diffusion equations, Nonlinear partial differential equations (Durham, N.H., 1982), 353–360, Contemp. Math., 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983.
- [40] W. Layton and R. Lewandowski, On a well-posed turbulence model, Dicrete and Continuous Dyn. Sys. B 6 (2006), 111–128.
- [41] I. Richards, On the gaps between numbers which are sums of two squares, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), 1–2.

- [42] F. Ramos and E. S. Titi, Invariant measures for the 3D Navier-Stokes-Voigt equations and their Navier-Stokes limit, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 28 (2010), 375–403.
- [43] J. C. Robinson, Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. An introduction to dissipative parabolic PDEs and the theory of global attractors, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [44] R. Temam, Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences 68, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [45] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis, 3rd revised edition, North-Holland, 2001.
- [46] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Second edition, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics 66, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1995.

(M. Abu Hamed) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL. **ALSO**, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE COLLEGE OF SAKHNIN - ACADEMIC COLLEGE FOR TEACHER EDUCATION, SAKHNIN 30810, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: mohammad@tx.technion.ac.il

(Y. Guo) DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: yanqiu.guo@weizmann.ac.il

(E. S. Titi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, 3368 TAMU, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA. **ALSO**, DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: titi@math.tamu.edu and edriss.titi@weizmann.ac.il