Site and bond percolation thresholds in $K_{n,n}$-based lattices: Vulnerability of quantum annealers to random qubit and coupler failures on chimera topologies
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We estimate the critical thresholds of bond and site percolation on nonplanar, effectively two-dimensional graphs with chimera like topology. The building blocks of these graphs are complete and symmetric bipartite subgraphs of size $2n$, referred to as $K_{n,n}$ graphs. For the numerical simulations we use an efficient union-find based algorithm and employ a finite-size scaling analysis to obtain the critical properties for both bond and site percolation. We report the respective percolation thresholds for different sizes of the bipartite subgraph and verify that the associated universality class is that of standard two-dimensional percolation. For the canonical chimera graph used in the D-Wave Systems Inc. quantum annealer ($n = 4$), we discuss device failure in terms of network vulnerability, i.e., we determine the critical fraction of qubits and couplers that can be absent due to random failures prior to losing large-scale connectivity throughout the device.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In its most basic variant, the standard percolation model comprises a very minimalistic model of porous media [1–3]. However, despite its simplicity, percolation can be applied to problems across disciplines ranging from forest fires to current flow in resistor networks, liquid gelation, network connectivity, coffee brewing, simple configurational statistics [4], transport phenomena in ionic glasses [5], string-bearing models that also involve a large degree of optimization, describing, for example, vortices in high $T_c$ superconductivity [6, 7], to name a few. Although conceptually simple, the configurational statistics of the percolation problem feature a nontrivial phase transition [8, 9]. To facilitate intuition, consider, for example, random-bond percolation on a two-dimensional square lattice where one studies a diluted system in which only a random fraction $p$ of the edges subsist. The connected components [10] of the lattice can be seen as clusters that are then analyzed with respect to their geometric properties. Depending on the fraction $p$ of subsisting edges, the geometric properties of the clusters change: Exceeding a lattice-structure dependent critical threshold $p_c$, the model transitions from a disconnected phase with typically small clusters to a phase where there is a single large cluster that interconnects a finite, nonzero fraction of the lattice sites, thus achieving large-scale connectivity. The appearance of this system-spanning cluster can be described by a second-order phase transition [11].

Because the location of the percolation critical point is sensitive to the topology of the underlying graph, there is general interest in understanding these threshold values for relevant model systems [12, 13]. In some cases it is possible to derive these thresholds exactly by analytical calculations. For example, in Refs. [14] and [15] a generating function approach was developed to determine the statistical properties of random graphs with arbitrary degree distribution (e.g., Erdős-Rényi random graph ensembles). Unfortunately, this is only typically possible for few exceptional cases and so it is generally necessary to rely on numerical approaches (e.g., via Monte Carlo simulations) to calculate the precise percolation thresholds via a finite-size scaling analysis on finite lattices. In this regard, from a point of view of numerical simulations, significant algorithmic progress has been made by using bookkeeping concepts based on union-find data structures [16] that led to highly efficient algorithms for bond and site percolation problems [17, 18]. For an extension of the algorithmic procedure to continuum percolation models, describing spatially extended, randomly oriented and possibly overlapping...
objects, see Ref. [19].

Here, we perform numerical simulations to estimate the thresholds for both bond and site percolation on nonplanar effectively two-dimensional lattices, where the elementary building blocks are given by $K_{n,n}$ subgraphs, i.e., complete bipartite subgraphs of size $2 \times n$ [10] (see Sec. [II] below for details). The particular choice of $n = 4$ is known as the chimera graph [20], which is the native (hardware) topology of the special-purpose quantum annealing device developed by D-Wave Systems Inc. [21]. Our motivation to study percolation on the chimera graph stems from the possible existence of fabrication defects or trapped fluxes that might lead to either malfunctioning qubits (see, for example, Fig. 1 in Ref. [22]) or couplers, thus restricting the size of embeddable problems on the D-Wave chip [23]. From an alternative point of view, adopted in the context of network robustness and vulnerability [15, 14], the fraction $f < f_c = 1 - p_c$ might be interpreted as the fraction of sites or bonds that might be absent due to random failures, such as fabrication defects, trapped fluxes, or operational errors, while still maintaining large-scale connectivity throughout the chip. Above $f_c$, however, large-scale connectivity will be lost, leaving small-sized interconnected qubit clusters only. This could also affect the functionality of the chip and become an important issue for particular embeddings of problems where a large fraction of (randomly chosen) couplers are turned off [25].

There are multiple reasons to compute the percolation threshold of chimera like lattices: First, the native [26] benchmark problem to study the D-Wave device is an Ising spin glass [27, 28] on the chimera lattice. Because true optima need to be computed using classical simulation techniques to verify that the device can, indeed, find the solutions of the problems, efficient optimization techniques have to be used [29, 30]. Often, not only is the minimum of the cost function needed, but also the ground-state degeneracy. Monte Carlo based methods, such as isomorphic cluster moves [31], have proven to be extremely efficient in studying systems with low ground-state degeneracy; however, to improve the efficiency of the algorithm, it is imperative to know the site percolation threshold of the underlying lattice. Simple subgraphs with known ground states, such as one-dimensional graphs [32, 33] and spanning trees [34], have been investigated on the D-Wave device. In addition, there have been attempts to create hard benchmark problems using planted solutions [35]. While these elegant approaches have the advantage that the solution to the problem to be optimized is known a priori, the used construction procedures might lead to diluted graphs in which only a finite fraction of edges on the lattice are used. Although the construction procedure contains correlations and the adding of edges is not purely random, the problem shares characteristics of random bond percolation and so disconnected clusters might occur. Finally, next-generation hardware might likely include a more interconnected topology, i.e., larger values of $n$ in the $K_{n,n}$ building blocks. Understanding the possible failure rate of these more complex architectures due to percolation is of great importance in the design and scalability of future-generation devices.

Here, we numerically study the $K_{4,4}$-based chimera lattice with up to $N \approx 20,000$ sites and estimate the site-percolation threshold by performing a finite-size scaling of the Binder parameter [36] to be $p_c \approx 0.3866(3)$ (see also the Supplemental Material of Ref. [31]). In addition, we study general $K_{n,n}$-based chimera like lattices with $n = 2, \ldots, 8$ and estimate the corresponding bond- and site-percolation thresholds $p_{c,n}$, as well as the associated critical exponents that describe the percolation transition.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II] we introduce chimera graphs in more detail, followed by details of the simulations in Sec. [III] and results in Sec. [IV]. We summarize and discuss our findings in Sec. [V].

II. THE CHIMERA TOPOLOGY

We consider nonplanar, effectively two-dimensional lattice graphs $G = (V, E)$, consisting of a vertex set $V$, containing $N = v(G)$ vertices, and an edge set $E$, containing $M = e(G)$ undirected edges. The elementary building blocks of these graphs are $K_{n,n}$ subgraphs, i.e., complete bipartite graphs [10, 37] containing $2 \times n$ sites. These subgraphs can be partitioned into two vertex subsets $V_1$ and $V_2$ of size $v_1 = v_2 = n$ and have an edge set, consisting of all possible $v_1 \times v_2$ undirected edges with one terminal vertex in $V_1$ and one in $V_2$.

To compose the full chimera graph $G$ with $N = 2 \times n \times L_x \times L_y$ vertices, $K_{n,n}$ subgraphs are arranged on a $L_x \times L_y$ grid. For horizontally (vertically) adjacent subgraphs $K_{n,n}$ and $K_{n,n}'$, and following an ordering of the vertices in the respective vertex subsets $V_{1,2}$ and $V_{1,2}'$, vertices out of $V_1$ ($V_2$) are joined to their respective mirror vertex in $V_1'$ ($V_2'$). The particular choice with $n = 4$ yields the canonical chimera graph. A topological representation of such a chimera graph with $L_x = L_y = 4$ is shown in Fig. [I].

Subsequently, we consider chimera like graphs of size $N = 8192$ ($L_x = L_y = 32$) up to $N = 294912$ ($L_x = L_y = 192$) in order to perform a finite-size scaling analysis for different subgraph sizes and to determine the respective thresholds for bond, as well as site percolation. Note that there is a difference between the practical (small) graph sizes to which the D-Wave chip architecture is currently limited to (see Ref. [21]), as opposed to large systems that, from a point of view of statistical physics, display a decent finite-size scaling behavior. Given that between 2011 and 2015 the number of sites increased from $N = 128$ (Rainier chip, see Fig. [I]) to $N = 1152$ (Washington chip) on the D-Wave device, we can expect [38] to see chips of the order of sites studied in this work by 2019.

III. NUMERICAL DETAILS

For the numerical simulations we use the highly efficient algorithm by Newman and Ziff [17, 18] based on a union-find data structure [16]. In particular, we implemented union by rank and path compression for the find-part of the bookkeeping procedure.

Within the bond-percolation study, one sweep of the algorithm goes as follows: First, a random permutation of the
edges in the edge set $E$ of $G$ is obtained by means of a Fisher-Yates shuffle [16] [having algorithmic complexity $O(M)$ with $M$ the number of edges]. Initially, each vertex is its own single-site cluster. Edges from the shuffled edge set are added one at a time and for each edge it is checked whether its incident vertices belong to different clusters. If this is the case, the respective clusters are merged using the union-by-rank approach. Once all edges have been probed, one lattice sweep is completed. We measure the size of the largest cluster and the average size of all finite clusters. Because of the previously described approach, these can be measured very efficiently with a resolution of $\Delta p = 1/M$. However, to keep the amount of raw data manageable, we consider only approximately 80 values of $p$ in the vicinity of the critical point. Error bars are computed by averaging over $5 \times 10^4$ sweeps for each system size studied.

Note that while the bond percolation variant of the algorithm only requires an edge list representing $E$—i.e., the edge set of the underlying graph—the site percolation variant of the algorithm relies on an adjacency list of $G$, i.e., a collection of lists of neighbors for each node [16].

### IV. RESULTS

We illustrate our approach and data analysis in detail using a finite-size scaling analysis of the canonical $K_{4,4}$-based chimera lattice. However, we have performed the same algorithm for all $K_{n,n}$ lattices with $n = 2, \ldots, 8$.

#### A. Bond percolation on $n = 4$ chimera graphs

The observables we consider can be rescaled following a generic scaling assumption, i.e.,

$$y(p, N) = N^{-b/2} f[(p - p_c)N^{1/(2\nu)}],$$

where $\nu$ and $b$ represent dimensionless critical exponents (or ratios thereof, see below), $p_c$ is the critical threshold, and $f[\cdot]$ denotes an unknown scaling function [9, 39]. Following Eq. (1), data curves of the observable $y(p, N)$ computed at different values of $p$ and $N$ fall on top of each other, if the scaling parameters $p_c$, $\nu$, and $b$ are chosen properly. The values of the scaling parameters that yield the best data collapse determine the numerical values of the critical point and the critical exponents that govern the behavior of the underlying observable $y(p, N)$.

To determine the optimal data collapse for a given set of data curves we perform a computer-assisted scaling analysis [40, 41]. Here, the “quality” of the data collapse is measured by the mean-square distance of the data points to the master scaling curve $S$, described by the scaling function, in units of the standard error of the data points [42]. It is a quantitative measure for the quality of a data collapse that is far superior than the commonly used eyeballing scaling analysis. It is common practice to limit the analysis to the larger system sizes, for which corrections to scaling are less pronounced, and to discard small system sizes that are typically affected by stronger systematic corrections to scaling [39]. In general, systematic corrections to scaling result in a scaling behavior that deviates from that predicted by the scaling assumption, Eq. (1). Note that such corrections are not taken into account here. Furthermore, while $S$ can be influenced by potential corrections to scaling, it might not be interpreted as a measure for these corrections. Here, if not stated explicitly, the scaling analysis is limited to the three largest systems simulated.

Example instances of bond-percolation configurations in the subcritical, critical, and supercritical regimes for chimera graphs with $N = 128$ sites are shown in Fig. 2. The resulting numerical estimates of the critical percolation thresholds and corresponding critical exponents for bond and site percolation are listed in Table I.

#### I. Analysis of the Binder ratio

First we consider the relative size of the largest cluster of connected vertices $s_{\text{max}}$. The dimensionless ratio, known as the Binder parameter [43], is defined via

$$b(p) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3 - \frac{\langle s_{\text{max}}^4(p) \rangle}{\langle s_{\text{max}}^2(p) \rangle^2} \right].$$

Here, $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents an average over sweeps. Because the system-size-dependent part of the scaling function in Eq. (1) cancels out in the Binder ratio, it has a simple scaling form that follows Eq. (1) with $b = 0$. When $p = p_c$, the argument of the scaling function $f$ is zero and thus system-size independent. This means that data for different system sizes $N$ cross...
at $p = p_c$ [see inset to Fig. 3(a)]. Determining the correct thermodynamic values of $p_c$ and $\nu$ results in a data collapse, as can be seen in the main panel of Fig. 3(a). There are visible corrections to scaling in the nonpercolating phase, i.e., for $p < p_c$. To account for this, the scaling analysis is performed in the interval $\epsilon \in [-0.25, 1.75]$ on the rescaled $p$ axis to accentuate the region where $b(p)$ scales well. Consequently, the best data collapse yields $p_c = 0.2946(2)$ and $\nu = 1.34(2)$ with a quality $S = 1.10$ of the data collapse [44]. Note that the numerical value of the correlation length exponent $\nu$ is in good agreement with $\nu = 4/3 \approx 1.333$, the standard value for percolation in two-dimensional lattices.

2. Analysis of the order parameter

The scaling of the disorder-averaged order parameter

$$P_{\text{max}}(p) = \langle s_{\text{max}}(p) \rangle$$

is expected to follow Eq. (1) with $b = \beta/\nu$. Here, $\beta$ refers to the percolation strength exponent that governs the growth of the largest cluster with increasing system size at fixed $p = p_c$. The best data collapse (obtained in the range $\epsilon \in [-0.5, 0.5]$) yields $p_c = 0.2943(1)$, $\nu = 1.37(4)$, and $\beta = 0.146(8)$ with a quality $S = 1.10$ [see Fig. 3(b)]. If we fix the numerical values of the critical exponents to their exact values for two-dimensional percolation ($\nu = 4/3 \approx 1.333$ and $\beta = 5/36 \approx 0.139$) we are left with only one adjustable parameter, resulting in the estimate $p_c = 0.2944(6)$ with (expectedly worse) collapse quality $S = 4.5$. However, both numerical values are still in good agreement.

3. Analysis of the order parameter fluctuations

A third critical exponent can be estimated from the scaling of the order parameter fluctuations $\chi(p)$, i.e.,

$$\chi(p) = N \langle (s_{\text{max}}(p))^2 \rangle - \langle s_{\text{max}}(p) \rangle^2.$$  

The fluctuations $\chi(p)$ are expected to scale according to Eq. (1) allowing one to determine the fluctuation exponent $\gamma$ through $b = -\gamma/\nu$. Here, so as to perform the best possible data collapse, the nonsymmetric range $\epsilon \in [-0.3, 1.0]$ is chosen. This is motivated by the observation that the peaks of the data curves are located in the superpercolating regime, with the precise location of the peaks approaching their asymptotic value from above. Hence the aforementioned asymmetric interval accentuates the region around the peaks, resulting in the estimates $p_c = 0.2944(2)$, $\nu = 1.33(1)$, and $\gamma = 2.42(2)$ with a quality $S = 0.74$ [see Fig. 3(c) for a scaling collapse]. Note that the numerical value of the fluctuation exponent is in reasonable agreement with the expected exact value for two-dimensional percolation, namely, $\gamma = 43/18 \approx 2.389$.

FIG. 3: Finite-size scaling analysis of the relative size $s_{\text{max}}$ of the largest cluster of sites for the bond-percolation problem on chimera graphs. The main panels show the scaled data according to Eq. (1), whereas the insets display the unscaled data in the vicinity of the critical point. (a) Binder ratio $b(p)$, (b) disorder-averaged order parameter $P_{\text{max}}(p)$, and (c) fluctuation $\chi(p) = N \times \text{var}(s_{\text{max}})$ of the order parameter. Note that the insets feature two additional data curves, illustrating the statistical properties of small chimera graphs of current quantum annealing machines with $N = 512$ and 1152 qubits (sites), current quantum annealing machines with $N = 512 N = 1152$ qubits/sites.
B. Site percolation on chimera graphs

The analysis of the site-percolation problem is analogous to the analysis performed for bond percolation (Sec. IV A). Note that, as discussed in Ref. [31], the location of the site-percolation threshold is pivotal for the efficient and correct performance of cluster algorithms designed to simulate spin-glass models in arbitrary space dimensions. In Ref. [31], the authors simulated chimera lattices with up to \( N = 20000 \) sites, and estimated the site-percolation threshold from the finite-size scaling of the Binder parameter, finding \( p_c \approx 0.3866(3) \) with \( \nu = 1.39(1) \).

We perform an analysis of the order parameter using systems of up to \( N = 294912 = 8 \times 192^2 \) sites. By increasing the system sizes by approximately one order of magnitude in comparison to the study of Ref. [31] we are able to verify that the exponent \( \nu \) is very likely in the two-dimensional percolation universality class. From an analysis of the Binder ratio we obtain \( p_c = 0.3871(1) \), which, compared to the estimate of Ref. [31], turns out to be slightly larger.

Although the associated critical exponent \( \nu = 1.33(2) \) is in good agreement with the two-dimensional percolation value, the data-collapse quality \( S = 3.73 \) is rather large, reflecting that there are deviations from the expected scaling behavior, similar to the difficulties encountered in the analysis of bond percolation in Sec. IV A.

To ensure that our analysis of the order parameter and its fluctuations is as precise as possible, we increased the number of samples studied to \( 5 \times 10^5 \). Our estimates of the critical parameter for site percolation on the \( K_{4,4} \)-based chimera lattice are \( p_c = 0.38722(7) \), \( \nu = 1.34(3) \), \( \beta = 0.145(5) \) \( (\epsilon = [-0.20 : 0.20]; S = 1.00) \). Furthermore, the parameter estimates obtained from the order parameter fluctuation are \( p_c = 0.3870(2), \nu = 1.34(1), \text{and } \gamma = 2.41(2) \) \( (\epsilon = [-0.70 : 0.70]; S = 2.50) \). Note that both estimates of \( p_c \) are in agreement with each other and in agreement with the Binder cumulant values estimated above. In both cases, the critical exponent \( \nu \) is in agreement with the exact value of two-dimensional percolation and \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are in reasonable agreement with their exact two-dimensional values (i.e., within two standard deviations). Despite the numerical values of \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) not matching the known values of two-dimensional (2D) percolation exactly, we believe, based on the other exponents and our general expectations on this short-ranged percolation model, that the transition is actually of the universality class of 2D random percolation.

C. Percolation thresholds on generalized chimera graphs

For \( K_{n,n} \)-based generalized chimera graphs one might intuitively expect that the percolation threshold is a decreasing function of the average vertex degree and thus of \( n \) (however, note that counterexamples can be constructed on planar lattices). Here, we perform a finite-size scaling analysis for the disorder-averaged relative size of the largest cluster, i.e., the order parameter [Eq. (5)], to determine the thresholds for \( n = 2 — 8 \) (the standard chimera graph has \( n = 4 \)). There-fore, for each value of \( n \), we consider three system sizes with up to \( N = 131044 \) sites (the precise value of \( N \) depends on the choice of \( n \), of course). Furthermore, we consider \( 10^4 \) different permutations of the edge set or the vertex set for both bond and site percolation to compute \( (s_{\text{max}}(p)) \). As can be seen in Fig. 4, the thresholds decrease with increasing \( n \) and can be fitted well by functions of the form \( f(n) = a(n - \Delta n)^{-b} \). In this regard we find \( a = O(1), \Delta n = O(1) \) and \( b \approx 1 \) for bond percolation and \( b \approx 0.5 \) for site percolation. For the bond-percolation variant one might further rephrase this scaling in terms of the number of internal \( K_{n,n} \) edges, i.e., \( m = n^2 \), to also find a scaling with a characteristic exponent \( b \approx 0.5 \). In either case, this suggests that in the asymptotic limit, \( p_c \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). The results of the finite-size scaling analysis are listed in Table II (the results for the canonical chimera lattice are again listed for \( n = 4 \)).

The quality of the data collapse is somewhat sensitive to the scaling interval \( \epsilon \) chosen in the course of the analysis. For example, for the critical point \( p_{c,2} \) for site percolation on the \( K_{2,2} \) chimera graph we obtained estimates in the range \( p_{c,2} = 0.51241(1) \) \( (\epsilon = [-1.00 : 0.75]; S = 1.54) \) to \( p_{c,2} = 0.51292(9) \) \( (\epsilon = [-0.50 : 0.50]; S = 1.97) \). Generally, we expect that a narrower scaling interval \( \epsilon \) —enclosing the critical point without extending too far into the off-critical region where deviations from the scaling behavior are expected—should lead to a more reliable estimate of \( p_c \). For example, for the given statistics (e.g., \( 10^4 \) samples), restricting the scaling interval further to the range \( \epsilon = [-0.20 : 0.30] \) results in \( p_{c,2} = 0.51301(15), \nu = 1.32(5), \text{and } \beta = 0.145(7) \) \( (S = 1.84) \). The scaling exponents are also in agreement with the exact two-dimensional values. Increasing the statistics by a factor of 10 to \( 10^5 \) independent samples effectively allows us to add one digit of precision, i.e., \( p_{c,2} = 0.51294(7) \) \( (\epsilon = [-0.30 : 0.30]; S = 0.30) \), a result that is in good agreement with an independent estimate by Ziff [46].

What does this mean for architectures built from \( K_{n,n} \) subgraphs? From a point of view of network robustness and vulnerability, increasing \( n \) leads to a hardware topology that is less vulnerable to a random failure of qubits. For example, while the native D-Wave design with \( n = 4 \) allows for a random failure of approximately 62% of the qubits (70% of the couplers) without losing large-scale connectivity, this value

### Table II: Percolation thresholds on generalized chimera graphs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( p_{c,n} ) (BP)</th>
<th>( p_{c,n} ) (SP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.44778(15)</td>
<td>0.51294(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.35502(15)</td>
<td>0.43760(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.29427(12)</td>
<td>0.38675(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25159(13)</td>
<td>0.35115(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.21942(11)</td>
<td>0.32232(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.19475(9)</td>
<td>0.30052(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.17496(10)</td>
<td>0.28103(11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rises to about 72% (83% in the case of couplers) if the size of the elementary building blocks is scaled up only by a factor of 2 to \( n = 8 \). Therefore, using topologies that have high connectivity or, for example, small-world properties [47] is key in designing quantum annealing machines robust to random failures of qubits and couplers.

D. Small-world enhanced chimera graphs

We now discuss how to improve the stability of chimera-like lattices by merely increasing the average degree by one via the addition of \( N/2 \) “small-world” (SW) bonds to the existing regular chimera graph. This results in a supergraph \( G' \) of \( G \), which we refer to as a small-world chimera graph (SWCG). Our aim is to determine the location of the site-percolation threshold for the ensemble of SWCGs and to assess the gain in network robustness. The additional SW bonds that make up an instance of a SWCG are obtained by the following three-step procedure: (i) generate a list of \( N \) integers that represent the vertices of the (plain) chimera graph, (ii) obtain a random permutation of the list, and (iii) interpret subsequent pairs of integers as the end vertices of \( N/2 \) additional bonds that, in turn, are added to the initial graph. In doing so, the degree of each vertex increases by exactly one [48]. The resulting percolation thresholds can be expected to decrease with decreasing average degree, and, consequently the ensemble of SWCGs can be expected to be less vulnerable to random qubit failures. This is in agreement with the containment principle due to Fisher [4], stating that if \( G \) results from \( G' \) by removing a fraction of its bonds (i.e., \( G \) being a spanning subgraph of \( G' \); see Ref. [10]), then \( p_c^{G'} \leq p_c^G \) for both bond and site percolation.

For the SWCGs, it is anticipated that there is a scaling window around \( p_c \) that has mean-field exponents. A proof of such scaling window exists on quasi-random graphs [49]. Figure 5 illustrates a finite-size scaling analysis of the order parameter and its associated finite-size susceptibility for the site-percolation problem on SWCGs. In the vicinity of the critical point we expect the unscaled order parameter data to scale as

\[
P_{\text{max}}(p) \sim |p - p_c|^{\beta}.
\]

From the data corresponding to different system sizes, we obtain the system-size-dependent effective estimates \( p_c(N) \) and \( \beta(N) \). From the effective critical points we extrapolate to the asymptotic critical point \( p_c^\infty \) by fitting the data to

\[
p_c = p_c^\infty + a N^{-b},
\]

with \( p_c^\infty = 0.207(4) \), \( a = 0.54(3) \), and \( b = 0.341(7) \), as shown in the main plot of Fig. 5(a). Similarly, the sequence of expo-
ponents $\beta(N)$ is fit well by

$$\beta(N) = \beta_\infty + a N^{-b},$$

(7)

where $\beta_\infty = 1.20(16)$, $a = -1.21(7)$, and $b = 0.10(4)$ if the fit is restricted to systems of size $N > 10^3$. Upon successively excluding the smaller system sizes from the fit we find that the value of $\beta_\infty$ approaches the expected mean-field value $\beta = 1$ \[50\]. For example, restricting the analysis to $N > 2 \times 10^4$ yields $\beta_\infty = 1.06(7)$, $a = -1.5(4)$, and $b = 0.16(4)$ [see the inset of Fig. 5(a)]. Note that in the figure we fixed $\beta_\infty = 1$.

An additional estimate of the critical point can be obtained from the position of the peaks of the finite-size susceptibility $\chi(p)$. We have located the individual peak positions $p_{\text{max}}(N)$ by fitting a polynomial of fifth order to the unscaled data curves. This is illustrated in Fig. 5(b), where the main plot shows the raw data with the respective fits and the inset shows the scaling behavior of the peak positions, where a fit to the function

$$p_{\text{max}}(N) = p_{\text{max}}^\infty + a N^{-b}$$

(8)

yields $p_{\text{max}}^\infty = 0.2014(2)$, $a = 0.54(3)$, and $b = 0.341(7)$. The value of $p_{\text{max}}^\infty$ is in reasonable agreement with the above estimate based on the analysis of the order parameter. Furthermore, the numerical value of the critical point compares well with an estimate $p_c = 0.201(1)$ obtained using a data-collapse analysis (not shown).

Note that both estimates, $p_{\text{max}}^\infty$ and $p_{\text{max}}$, are in reasonable agreement and are located significantly below the threshold value $p_c = 0.38675(7)$ of the standard chimera graph. Consequently, SWCGs provide a topology that is significantly less vulnerable to random failures of qubits, i.e., while the standard chimera graph exhibits a fragmentation threshold $f_c = 1 - p_c \approx 0.62$ and thus allows for a random failure of approximately 62% of the qubits without losing large-scale connectivity, this value increases to $f_c \approx 0.80$ for the ensemble of SWCGs. Finally, we note that the critical exponents for percolation on SWCGs assume mean-field values when $O(N)$ small-world bonds are added, as demonstrated in the presented study.

Finally, note that chimera topologies are the archetypal architecture used in current quantum annealers. While, from a point of view of robustness, a fully connected topology would be desirable, a hardware implementation seems not possible at present. To be precise, only a finite number of fabrication layers for the chips are available. Having a fully connected graph would require $O(N)$ layers, which is prohibitive for current chip designs with $\sim 1000$ qubits. Given the flux qubit structure used in current quantum annealing machines, $K_{n,n}$-like topologies might be used for multiple upcoming generations of these devices.

V. SUMMARY

We have performed numerical simulations to determine the bond- and site-percolation thresholds on nonplanar, effectively two-dimensional lattice graphs, where the elementary building blocks are complete bipartite subgraphs $K_{n,n}$ ($n = 2, \ldots, 8$). The simulations have been performed using a highly efficient percolation algorithm \[17, 18\] based on a union-find data structure \[16\]. From a finite-size scaling analysis we have obtained the critical points $p_c$ and the three critical exponents $\nu$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$, thus locating the critical bond- and site-percolation thresholds and allowing us to verify that the transition is in the two-dimensional percolation universality class. In either case, the percolation threshold is a decreasing function of $n$ and our result suggests that in the asymptotic limit $p_c \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

The particular choice of $n = 4$ is the canonical chimera graph, i.e., the hardware topology of the D-Wave quantum annealing device, developed at D-Wave Systems Inc. \[21\]. The native (no embedding required) benchmark (optimization) problem for the D-Wave device is an Ising spin glass \[22, 51\] and recently, much effort was put into the simulation of Ising spin glasses on the chimera topology \[29, 30, 52\]. As discussed in Ref. \[31\], the location of the site-percolation threshold is crucial for the efficient and correct performance of cluster algorithms designed to simulate spin-glass models on, e.g., the above graph topology.

Finally, referring to the implementation of, e.g., the D-Wave chip and adopting the point of view of network robustness and vulnerability, the above results suggest that the native D-Wave design, as analyzed in Secs. \[IV A\] and \[IV B\] allows for a random failure of approximately 62% of the qubits (70% of the couplers) prior to losing large-scale connectivity on the chip. Similarly, embedded problems that turn off a sizable fraction of couplers randomly, might lead to loss of connectivity. Bear in mind that the above figures are valid in the asymptotic limit. In general, for finite-sized graphs of no more than $10^3$ sites, finite-size effects result in effective thresholds that differ slightly from the asymptotic values quoted in Table II. To illustrate this, one might, e.g., define effective, system-size-dependent critical points from the peak locations of the finite-size fluctuations $\chi$ (see Sec. \[IVA\]). In this regard, for bond (site) percolation on a lattice with $N = 512$ sites we observe $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 512) \approx 0.307$ $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 512) \approx 0.408$, i.e., shifting towards smaller values as $N \to \infty$. Similarly, for $N = 1152$, $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 1152) \approx 0.304$ for bond percolation and $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 1152) \approx 0.403$ for site percolation. Finally, for the largest system sizes studied in this work, $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 131072) \approx 0.296$ for bond percolation and $p_{\chi_{-\text{max}}}(N = 294912) \approx 0.389$ for site percolation. Although the asymptotic peaks seem to be located slightly above $p_c$ (within the superpercolating regime), this might nevertheless lead to expect that the finite-size values of $p_c$ for bond and site percolation for the $N = 1152$ chimera graph are within a 5% interval of the asymptotic critical point.

In addition, we have found that by extending the plain $K_{4,4}$-based chimera graph using $N/2$ small-world bonds—effectively increasing the average vertex degree by one—the respective percolation threshold decreases to $p_c = 0.207(4)$. Thus, small-world-extended chimera graphs provide a topology that allows for a random failure of approximately 80% of the qubits before the large-scale connectivity of the device is lost. As pointed out earlier, using topologies that have higher connectivity, such as the above extended chimera...
graphs, might be key in designing quantum annealing machines robust to random failures of qubits and couplers.
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[25] Note that in Ref. 53 the percolation properties of a two-level-grid minor embedded into chimera were studied within the context of quantum annealing corrections.
[26] Within this context, *native* refers to a problem that uses all physical qubits on the chip as logical qubits. An embedded problem, for example, might require multiple physical qubits to encode one logical qubit or interaction between two qubits that are not nearest neighbors on the lattice.
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[37] Note that in Ref. [10] these bipartite graphs are referred to as “bichromatic.”.

[38] Needless to mention, the expectation that the current chip topology will scale to hundreds of thousands of qubits requires an unhealthily large amount of wishful thinking. This estimate does not take into account, for example, fabrication limitations, or the effects of qubit noise that are amplified the more qubits are added to the system [54–56].


[41] A. Sorge, pyfssa: v0.2.0 (2015), pyfssa is a scientific Python package for algorithmic finite-size scaling analysis at phase transitions.


[44] The numerical value of $S$ measures the mean-square distance of the data points to the master scaling curve described by the scaling function, in units of the standard error [42].


[46] R. Ziff (private communication) drew our attention to his independent estimates of $p_{c,2} \approx 0.42776$ (bond percolation) and $p_{c,2} \approx 0.51298$ (site percolation) on the $K_{2,2}$ chimera lattice, consistent with the values quoted in Table II.


[48] If $N$ is odd, one qubit does not have a small-world bond.


