The Pinholin of Lambdoid Phage 21: Control of Lysis by Membrane Depolarization
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The phage 21 holin, S21, forms small membrane holes that depolarize the membrane and is designated as a pinholin, as opposed to large-hole-forming holins, like S4. Pinholins require secreted SAR endolysins, a pairing that may represent an intermediate in the evolution of canonical holin-endolysin systems.

For most phages, the termination of each infection cycle is the strictly programmed and regulated lysis of the host, brought about by two phage-encoded proteins (28). One of these, the endolysin, is capable of degrading the cell wall, while the second, the holin, is a small membrane protein which controls endolysin function. During the assembly of progeny virions, holin molecules accumulate in the cytoplasmic membrane without damaging the host. Then, at a time dictated by their primary structure, holins trigger to disrupt the cytoplasmic membrane. For many phages, like λ and T4, this event releases to the periplasm an endolysin that has accumulated fully folded and enzymatically active in the cytosol. By contrast, phages P1 and 21 encode endolysins that are exported by the host sec system and accumulate in the periplasm as enzymatically inactive proteins tethered to the membrane by an N-terminal SAR (signal anchor-release) domain (25, 26). These SAR endolysins become enzymatically active when their SAR domains exit the membrane to generate the mature, soluble form in the periplasm. This process occurs spontaneously at a low rate but is greatly accelerated when the cytoplasmic membrane is deenergized. Thus, for phages encoding SAR endolysins, holins need only to depolarize the membrane in order to fulfill their role in controlling the timing of lysis. The formation of large membrane lesions like those resulting from S4 triggering (22) would not be necessary. This raises the possibility that holins serving SAR endolysins may not function with canonical, soluble endolysins to effect host lysis.

R21 expression allows holin-independent lysis by phage λ.

To further characterize the phage 21 holin and endolysin, which are the products of the genes S2168 and R21, respectively (14), we replaced the lysis genes of phage 21 by homologous recombination between nously induced (14), we replaced the lysis genes of phage 21 by homologous recombination between

cessation of growth (Fig. 2A). With nonsuppressor hosts, the behavior of λS2168amR21 was different with respect to both phenotypes. First, the plaques formed by λ S2168amR21 were small and showed a considerable size variation; this heterogeneity persisted when phage from large and small plaques were replated (Fig. 1B to D). Thus, like phage P1 but unlike λ and T4, the S21 holin gene is nonessential for plaque formation (7, 8, 10, 27). Second, for induced λS2168amR21 lysogens, lysis in liquid culture is less saltatory, requiring 30 to 40 min for completion as assessed by monitoring the decrease in culture A550 (Fig. 2A).

S21 and S4 are not functionally equivalent. We next designed experiments to determine if S2168 and R21 could complement the lysis defect of phages λS4amR+ and λS4amR−, respectively. Previously, we had reported that, when expressed from the pUC18 derivative pTZ18R, the S21 gene appeared to be the functional equivalent of S4 (2). However, the lysis of the culture was not complete even an hour after its onset, despite the fact that the S21 protein was produced at supraphysiologically concentrations from the very-high-copy-number plasmid. For this reason, we repeated these experiments with various alleles of S2168 and R21 transactivated from the λ late promoter on a medium-copy-number plasmid, in trans to lysis-defective prophages. This system was shown in other studies to support lysis with approximately normal timing (1, 6). As can be seen in Fig. 2B, expression of R21 from the plasmid complemented the lysis defect of an induced λS4amR+ lysogen, with lysis beginning 55 min after induction and completed within 10 min. In contrast, expression of S2168 did not complement an induced λS4amR− lysogen, despite the fact that the S21 holin triggered, as can be seen from the halt in cell growth at approximately 15 min after induction. Moreover, the addition of CHCl3, resulted in immediate lysis, indicating the presence of a pool of cytoplasmic R endolysin. Similarly, unlike S4, S2168 was unable to promote the release of E, the cytotoxic endolysin from phage T4 (Fig. 2C). However, coexpression of lyz, encoding the SAR endolysin from phage P1, and S2168 resulted in saltatory and rapid lysis of the host, a characteristic of holin-triggered lysis (Fig. 2D). This S2168-facilitated lysis was easily distinguished from the delayed and gradual lysis that occurs when lyz is induced in the absence of a holin (Fig. 2D) (26). Thus, the phage 21 holin facilitates lysis only when paired with SAR endolysins. We interpret this to mean that when S2168 triggers, it eliminates the proton motive force, causing release
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TABLE 1. Bacterial strains, bacteriophages, and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain, phage, or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype and relevant features</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> K-12 F- araD139 Δ(argF-lac)U169 rpsL150 relA1 fbbB5301 deoC1 ptsF25 rbsR</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS12</td>
<td>MG1655 with 12 deletions, totaling 376,180 nucleotides, including cryptic prophages</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS12 tonA::Tn10</td>
<td><em>tonA::Tn10</em> transductant of MDS12</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phages and prophages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ Δ(SR)</td>
<td>λ stl::cat::fia c1857 Δ(SR)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ S2168R21</td>
<td>λc1857 S2168 (amber in position 56 of S)</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ S2168R21</td>
<td>λc1857 R21promoter, carrying the PR gene</td>
<td>Laboratory stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ S2168R21</td>
<td>λ-21 hybrid phage carrying S68RRzRz1 of phage 21 under λ P_R; the R21 gene carries am codons in positions 39 and 42</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ S2168R21</td>
<td>λ-21 hybrid phage carrying S68amRRzRz1 of phage 21 under λ P_R; the S2168 gene is converted to a CTG; this allele produces only the S2168 holin gene</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Plasmids                 |                               |                     |
| pRE                      | Vector with pBR322 origin, carrying λ late promoter P_R' | Supplemental information of Park et al. (14) |
| pS105                    | pBR322 origin, P_R' promoter, and S105RRzRz1 from λ; in the S105 gene, the first codon of S is converted to a CTG; this allele produces only the S105 holin gene | 19 |
| pTP2                     | S105RRzRz1 of pS105 replaced with S68RRzRz1 of phage 21; in the S2168 gene, the first codon of S21 is converted to a CTG; this allele thus produces only the S2168 gene | Supplemental information of Park et al. (14) |
| pTP3                     | pTP2 with amber codon at position 46 of S2168 | Supplemental information of Park et al. (14) |
| pTP4                     | pTP2 with amber codons at positions 39 and 42 of R21 | Supplemental information of Park et al. (14) |
| pS105R21                 | pS105 with ochre and amber codons at positions 7 and 9, respectively, of R | Laboratory stock |
| pJFLyz                   | pJF118 lac vector carrying the P1 hlyz SAR endolysin gene | Supplementary information of Xu et al. (26) |
| pR2                      | pJF118 lac vector carrying the λ R endolysin gene, analogous to pJFLyz | Supplementary information of Xu et al. (26) |
| pJFT4E                   | pJF118 lac vector carrying the T4 e endolysin gene | M. Xu, unpublished data |
| pTGS                     | TorA TAT leader sequence fused to SsrA-tagged GFP in pBAD33 | 4 |

FIG. 1. The absence of S2168 holin contributes to heterogeneity of plaque morphology. MDS12 tonA::Tn10 was used as a host for plating the indicated λ 21 hybrid phages. (A) λS2168R21; (B) λS2168amR21; (C and D) replatings of the small and large plaques from panel B, respectively.

and activation of the membrane-tethered inactive SAR endolysin, but does not form holes in the membrane large enough to allow passage of a cytoplasmic endolysin.

**Macromolecules easily pass through S**^**h** but not S**^**21** holes. In order to demonstrate that S^h but not S^21 allows the nonspecific movement of macromolecules across the inner membrane, the genes for either holin were expressed in cells producing the fluorescent periplasmic marker TorA-GFP-SsrA (4). The latter protein has the leader peptide and the first 8 amino acids of TorA fused to the N terminus of a green fluorescent protein (GFP) variant, allowing the Tat-specific secretion of the chimeric protein. The SsrA sequence at its C terminus promotes the degradation by the ATP-dependent proteases ClpAP and ClpXP of any of the chimeric protein that escapes export and remains in the cytoplasm. When examined by fluorescence microscopy, a thin ring of fluorescence at the periphery of cells expressing the torA-gfp-ssrA gene is observed (Fig. 3A), indicative of the periplasmic localization of the TorA-GFP-SsrA protein. The induction and triggering of S^h in such cells result in a uniform, diffuse fluorescence throughout the cytoplasm, indicating that the chimeric GFP has reentered the cytoplasm through the S^h holes (Fig. 3B). Lack of degradation of the fluorescent chimera by ClpAP and ClpXP is due to the rapid depletion of ATP subsequent to the formation of S^h holes in the inner membrane. By contrast, the induction and
FIG. 2. The S²¹₆₈ holin triggers but does not allow release of cytoplasmic endolysins. (A) S²¹₆₈ supports abrupt lysis with the SAR induction of the lysogen, as previously described (14). Symbols: •, λS²¹₆₈ R²¹; ○, λS⁰⁶₈ R²¹; ●, λS²⁰₆₈ R²¹; □, λS²⁰₆₈ R²¹am.
(B) S²¹₆₈ and S⁰ are not functionally equivalent. Lysis-defective lysogens of MC4100 carrying a plasmid with the indicated alleles of holin-endolysin gene pairs were grown and induced as for panel A. The lysis gens of MC4100 carrying a plasmid with the indicated alleles of holin-

At physiological levels of expression, the holin of phage 21 is lethal and can mediate host lysis when coexpressed with cognate and noncognate SAR endolysins but not with the cytoplasmic endolysins (Fig. 2). We interpret this to mean that the S²¹ holin makes holes too small to allow the passage of proteins the size of phage endolysins (~15 kDa) from the cytoplasm to the periplasm. We propose that holins of this type be called “pinholins” to emphasize their small hole size. We suggest that the S²¹/R²¹ gene pair, encoding a pinholin and a SAR endolysin, may represent an intermediate stage in the evolution of holin-endolysin systems. The minimum requirement for an effective phage lysis system, other than the muralytic activity itself, is a delay in lysis after the onset of late gene expression, to allow for assembly of progeny virions (28). Originally, phages may have had no dedicated lysis system at all but simply relied on the fact that redirection of the host macromolecular metabolism towards phage replication and assembly would eventually cause cellular disintegration because of a failure in the functions required for maintenance of the envelope. The most primitive dedicated lysis system could have consisted of a SAR endolysin alone. This mode would provide a lysis delay because of the gradual release and activation of the membrane-tethered endolysins. In addition, due to their sensitivity to membrane depolarization, the SAR endolysins would provide a sentinel function (20) to effect immediate lysis in the event of any condition which disrupted the integrity of the membrane, including superinfection, which, in the case of myophage or siphophage, results in a temporary depolarization of the cytoplasmic membrane concomitant with DNA injection (12, 13).

However, a lysis system employing a SAR endolysin alone would be inherently inferior to canonical holin-endolysin systems for two reasons. First, because canonical holins function with cytoplasmic endolysins, the muralytic activity elaborated during the infection cycle can be produced in great excess. Not only does this mean that once the holin triggers, host lysis occurs in a matter of seconds, reducing the dwell time in the dead, nonproductive host to a minimum, but also it means that lysis timing is completely dependent on the holin. Secondly, it has been shown that most missense changes in holin proteins affect the timing of lysis, unpredictably advancing or retarding the instant of triggering (5, 9, 15–17, 24). This malleability would provide a distinct evolutionary advantage, since maintaining fitness under different environmental conditions requires the ability to tune the timing of lysis; for example, increased and decreased host cell densities favor shorter and longer infection cycles, respectively (3, 21, 23). By contrast, SAR endolysins would offer few mutational paths to advance or retard the timing of lysis. A small number of mutations affecting active site residues would meaningfully change the $k_{cat}$ and, similarly, only mutations in the N-terminal SAR domain would be expected to alter the kinetics of membrane release. This combination of malleability and uniformity in the canonical holins would make selection of a new, fitter holin allele, with altered lysis timing, much more rapid when the selective environment changed to the advantage of a shortened or lengthened vegetative cycle.
These advantages are partially replicated in the phage 21 system, with a SAR endolysin and a pinholin, which exhibits a more salutary lysis profile than the SAR endolysin alone (Fig. 2A). This presumably derives from the quantitative activation of the SAR endolysin at the time of the pinholin triggering, rather than relying on its gradual spontaneous activation (Fig. 2). However, the pinholin has a restricted tuning range, since the SAR endolysin itself will cause lysis at some point after induction, irrespective of the pinholin allele. Moreover, the level of muralytic activity has to be much lower to avoid inappropriately early lysis; in fact, the specific activity of the classic T4 gpe endolysin is >10^3-fold higher than that of P1 Lyz (25). Presumably, further evolutionary optimization would involve, first, alterations in the holin that would allow it to form protein-sized membrane lesions and, second, loss of the N-terminal SAR domain from the endolysin.

About 25% of phages possess SAR endolysins, as judged by manual inspection of endolysin genes in the currently available phage genomes (I.-N. Wang and R. Young, unpublished). However, the holin of phage P1, which is paired with the SAR endolysin Lyz, is a canonical holin that can complement defects in λ S (M. Xu, D. K. Struck, and R. Young, unpublished), and so there is no way, a priori, to determine how many of the SAR endolysins are served by pinholins. The canonical holins thus have a selective advantage not only for fitness, in terms of the mechanistic advantages of holin function, but also because they can function with either cytoplasmic endolysins or SAR endolysins, whereas the pinholin genes can function only with SAR endolysins. It will be interesting to see whether the S^21 pinholin gene can be mutated to a larger hole size, allowing passage of a fully folded cytoplasmic endolysin like R^S, and thus attain the universal functionality of a canonical holin.
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FIG. 3. Assessing the passage of a periplasmic marker through membrane lesions generated by S105 and S^2168. MDS12 torA::Tn10 λΔ(SR) lysogens bearing pTGS (torA-gfp-ssrA) and either pRE (vector) (A), pS105 (S105) (B), or pTP2 (S^2168) (C) were grown in the presence of 0.2% arabinose for 100 min to induce Tor-GFP-SsrA fusion and then thermally induced. After 1 h, cells were collected by centrifugation, washed, and immediately examined under a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging fluorescence microscope.
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