



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
Main Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2013

Search for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z and a photon in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV

CMS Collaboration; Chatrchyan, S; Khachatryan, V; Sirunyan, A M; et al; Chiochia, V; Kilminster, B;
Robmann, P

Abstract: A search for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z boson and a photon is described. The analysis is performed using proton-proton collision datasets recorded by the CMS detector at the LHC. Events were collected at center-of-mass energies of 7 TeV and 8 TeV, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 5.0 and 19.6 inverse femtobarns, respectively. The selected events are required to have opposite-sign electron or muon pairs. No excess above standard model predictions has been found in the 120-160 GeV mass range and the first limits on the Higgs boson production cross section times the H to Z gamma branching fraction at the LHC have been derived. The observed at 95% confidence level limits are between about 4 and 25 times the standard model cross section times the branching fraction. For a standard model Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV the expected limit at the 95% confidence level is 10 and the observed limit is 9.5. Models predicting the Higgs boson production cross section times the Higgs to Z gamma branching fraction to be larger than one order of magnitude of the standard model prediction are excluded for most of the 125-157 GeV mass range.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.057>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-92301>

Published Version

Originally published at:

CMS Collaboration; Chatrchyan, S; Khachatryan, V; Sirunyan, A M; et al; Chiochia, V; Kilminster, B; Robmann, P (2013). Search for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z and a photon in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV. *Physics Letters B*, 726(4-5):587-609.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.057>



Search for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z and a photon in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV [☆]



CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 July 2013

Received in revised form 10 September 2013

Accepted 25 September 2013

Available online 2 October 2013

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Physics

Higgs

ABSTRACT

A search for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z boson and a photon is described. The analysis is performed using proton–proton collision datasets recorded by the CMS detector at the LHC. Events were collected at center-of-mass energies of 7 TeV and 8 TeV, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 5.0 fb^{-1} and 19.6 fb^{-1} , respectively. The selected events are required to have opposite-sign electron or muon pairs. No excess above standard model predictions has been found in the 120–160 GeV mass range and the first limits on the Higgs boson production cross section times the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ branching fraction at the LHC have been derived. The observed at 95% confidence level limits are between about 4 and 25 times the standard model cross section times the branching fraction. For a standard model Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV the expected limit at the 95% confidence level is 10 and the observed limit is 9.5. Models predicting the Higgs boson production cross section times the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ branching fraction to be larger than one order of magnitude of the standard model prediction are excluded for most of the 125–157 GeV mass range.

© 2013 CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The observation of a new resonance decaying to two bosons and with decay modes and properties consistent with those of the standard model (SM) Higgs boson has been reported by the ATLAS [1,2] and CMS [3,4] Collaborations. Measurements of the basic properties of this resonance, such as the mass [5] and the coupling strength to vector bosons and fermions [1–4,6], have been reported. Within the SM, the partial width for the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ decay channel ($\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$) is rather small, resulting in a branching fraction between 0.11% and 0.25% in the 120–160 GeV [7,8] mass range. A measurement of $\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$ provides important information on the underlying dynamics of the Higgs sector because it is induced by loops of heavy charged particles, just as for the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channel. The contributing diagrams to $\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$ are shown in Fig. 1. $\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$ is sensitive to physics beyond the SM, and could be substantially modified by new charged particles without affecting the gluon–gluon fusion Higgs boson production cross section [9], such as derived from an extended Higgs sector [10], or by the presence of new scalars [11,12].

This Letter describes the first search for a Higgs boson in the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ final-state at the LHC in the 120–160 GeV mass range, with the Z boson decaying into an electron or a muon pair. This is a clean final-state topology with an effective mass




Fig. 1. Diagrams contributing to $\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$.

peak resolution of about 1–3%. To improve the sensitivity of the search, the selected dilepton-plus-photon events are subdivided into classes according to their mass resolution and the signal-to-background ratio, for both the electron and muon channels. The dominant backgrounds consist of the irreducible contribution from the SM $Z\gamma$ production, and the reducible backgrounds from final-state-radiation in Drell–Yan or Z decays, and Z plus jets, where a jet is misidentified as a photon. A previous search for $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ has been performed at the Tevatron for masses above 140 GeV [13].

Results are based on data samples recorded by the CMS experiment corresponding to integrated luminosities of 5.0 fb^{-1} at 7 TeV and 19.6 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV in proton–proton collisions.

[☆] © CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration.

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

2. The CMS detector

A detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [14]. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid, 13 m in length and 6 m in diameter, which provides an axial magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the field volume there are several particle detection systems. Charged particle trajectories are measured by silicon pixel and strip trackers, covering $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ in azimuth and $|\eta| < 2.5$ in pseudorapidity, where η is defined as $-\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ and θ is the polar angle of the trajectory of the particle with respect to the counterclockwise proton beam direction. A lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter is distributed in a barrel region $|\eta| < 1.48$ and two endcaps that extend up to $|\eta| = 3$. A brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter surround the tracking volume and cover the region $|\eta| < 3$. Iron forward calorimeters with quartz fibers, read out by photomultipliers, extend the calorimeter coverage up to $|\eta| = 5$. They provide measurements of the energy of photons, electrons, and hadron jets. A lead and silicon-strip preshower detector is located in front of the endcap electromagnetic calorimeter. Muons are identified and measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel return-yoke outside the solenoid. The detector is nearly hermetic, allowing energy balance measurements in the plane transverse to the beam direction. A two-tier trigger system selects proton–proton collision events of interest for use in physics analysis.

3. Event selection

Events with two opposite-sign, same-flavor leptons (e or μ) and a photon are selected: $e^+e^-\gamma$, $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$. All particles must be isolated and have transverse momentum, p_T , greater than 20 (10) GeV for the highest- p_T (next to highest- p_T) lepton and 15 GeV for the photon. The electrons (muons) and the photon must have $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.4). Photons in the barrel–endcap transition region $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$ of the electromagnetic calorimeter are excluded.

Events are required to pass at least one of the dielectron or dimuon triggers. The trigger efficiency for events containing two leptons satisfying the offline event selection requirements are measured to be between 60% and 98% for the $ee\gamma$ channel depending on the electron transverse momenta and 91% for the $\mu\mu\gamma$ channel.

Events are required to have at least one primary vertex, with the reconstructed longitudinal position (z) within 24 cm of the geometric center of the detector and the transverse position (x – y) within 2 cm of the beam interaction region. In the case of multiple reconstructed vertices associated with additional interactions (pileup), the one with the highest scalar sum of the p_T^2 of its associated tracks is chosen as the primary vertex. The leptons are required to originate at the same primary vertex. Electron (muon) tracks are required to have the transverse and longitudinal impact parameters with respect to the primary vertex to be smaller than 2 (2) mm and 2 (5) mm, respectively.

The observables used in the photon selection are: isolation variables based on the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [15], the ratio of the energy in the hadron calorimeter towers behind the supercluster to the electromagnetic energy in the supercluster, the transverse width of the electromagnetic shower, and a pixel tracker veto to avoid misidentifying an electron as a photon. In the barrel region, superclusters are formed from five crystal strips in η , centered on the most energetic crystal, and have a variable extension in ϕ [4,16]. In the endcaps, where the crystals are arranged according to an x – y rather than an η – ϕ geometry, matrices of 5×5 crystals around the most energetic crystals are merged if they lie within a narrow ϕ road. The efficiency of the photon identification is measured from $Z \rightarrow ee$ data using a tag-and-probe

technique [17] by treating the electrons as photons [4], and found to be 76% (88%) at a transverse energy of 15 (above 50) GeV. These efficiencies include the effects of the pixel tracker veto, estimated with $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ data, where the photon is produced via final-state radiation.

The electron selection criteria are optimized for background rejection using a multivariate approach, while maintaining a combined identification and isolation efficiency of approximately 60% at low transverse momentum (10 GeV) and 90% at high transverse momentum (50 GeV) for electrons from W or Z boson decays as described in [18]. The training of the multivariate electron reconstruction is performed using simulated events, while the performance is validated using data. In addition, the electron energy resolution is improved by using a multivariate regression technique [5] resulting in improvements of 10% and 30% in the mass resolution for $Z \rightarrow ee$ events over the standard CMS electron reconstruction in the barrel and endcap, respectively, as described in [18].

Muon candidates are reconstructed with a global trajectory fit using hits in the tracker and the muon system. Muon combined identification and isolation efficiencies of better than 95% have been maintained [4,5] after improving the pileup corrections with respect to those used in [19] for low luminosity data.

Electrons and muons from Z boson decays are expected to be isolated from other particles. A cone of size $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.4$ is constructed around the momentum direction of each considered lepton candidate [18,19]. The relative isolation of the lepton is quantified by summing the transverse momentum of all photons, charged and neutral hadrons PF objects within this cone, excluding the lepton and charged particles associated with the pileup vertices, and then dividing by the lepton transverse momentum. The resulting quantity, corrected for additional underlying event activity due to pileup events [4], is required to be less than 0.4 for both $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. This requirement rejects misidentified leptons and background arising from hadronic jets. Similarly, to reduce the background from misidentified jets in the photon reconstruction, photon clusters are required to be isolated from other particles within a cone size of $\Delta R = 0.3$. Their absolute isolation from charged hadrons, neutral hadrons and photons is required to be smaller than 1.5 (1.2), 1.0 (1.5), and 0.7 (1.0) GeV, respectively, for photons in the barrel (endcap) region. These requirements are applied after correcting for pileup effects.

The $\ell^+\ell^-$ pair invariant mass is required to be greater than 50 GeV. No upper dilepton mass condition is needed as the events are found to be dominated by processes containing a Z boson. The minimum dilepton mass requirement rejects contributions from $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma^*$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma^*$ where an internal conversion of the photon produces a dilepton pair [20]. If two dilepton pairs are present, the one with the invariant mass closest to the Z boson mass is taken. The final set of requirements combines the information from the photon and the leptons: (i) the invariant mass of the $\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ system, $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$, is required to be between 100 and 190 GeV; (ii) the ratio of the photon transverse energy to $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ must be greater than 15/110; this requirement suppresses backgrounds due to misidentification of photons without significant loss in signal sensitivity and without introducing a bias in the $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ spectrum; (iii) the ΔR separation between each lepton and the photon must be greater than 0.4 in order to reject events with final-state radiation (Drell–Yan or Z decays); and (iv) the remaining final-state radiation events are rejected by requiring the sum of $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ and $m_{\ell\ell}$ to be at least 185 GeV.

Jets used in the dijet-tagged event selection defined below are built by clustering the PF candidates with the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [21] with distance parameter of 0.5. Jets with a significant

Table 1
Observed and expected event yields for a 125 GeV SM Higgs boson.

Sample	Integrated luminosity (fb ⁻¹)	Observed event yield for 100 < m _{ℓℓγ} < 190 GeV	Expected number of signal events for m _H = 125 GeV
2011 ee	5.0	2353	1.2
2011 μμ	5.1	2848	1.4
2012 ee	19.6	12899	6.3
2012 μμ	19.6	13860	7.0




Fig. 2. The $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ spectrum in the electron and the muon channels for the 7 and 8 TeV data combined, without weighting by the expected signal-to-background ratio of the individual data samples. Also shown is the expected signal due to a 125 GeV standard model Higgs boson, scaled by 75, and the sum of the individual fits made to the data for each channel and event class described in Section 4. The uncertainty band reflects the statistical uncertainty from the fits to the data.

fraction of energy coming from pileup interactions or not associated with the primary vertex are rejected [4]. The pileup energy in jets is subtracted using the jet areas technique [22–24]. Calibrated and corrected jets [25] are required to have $E_T > 30$ GeV, $|\eta| < 4.7$, and to be separated by at least 0.5 in ΔR from leptons passing the selection requirements described above.

The observed yields for the basic event selection described above are listed in Table 1. The total yield for all channels combined is shown in Fig. 2.

4. Event classes

The sensitivity of the search is enhanced by 20–40% by dividing the selected events into mutually-exclusive classes according to the expected mass resolution and the signal-to-background ratio, and then combining the results from each class.

As shown in Table 2, a significant fraction of the signal events are expected to have both leptons and the photon in the barrel, while less than a fifth of the signal events are expected to have a photon in the endcap. This is in contrast with the background, where around one third of the events are expected to have a photon in the endcap. In addition, events where the photon does not convert into an e^+e^- pair have less background and better resolution in m_H . For these reasons, the events are classified according to the pseudorapidity of the leptons, the pseudorapidity of the photon and the shower shape of the photon for events with the two leptons in the barrel. The shower shape of the photon (R_9) is characterized by the energy sum of 3×3 crystals centered on the most energetic crystal in the supercluster divided by the energy of the supercluster. A requirement of a high value of $R_9 > 0.94$ is used

to identify unconverted photons. Using this information, the first four event classes are defined as shown in Table 2. In these four event classes, the best signal-to-background ratio is obtained for the event class 1, which is composed of events with both leptons and the photon in the barrel and high R_9 .

It is possible to define an additional class of events with an expected signal-to-background ratio that is more than an order of magnitude larger than events in the four classes defined above. This is achieved by requiring two forward jets with large pseudorapidity separation, to enhance the selection of Higgs bosons produced via vector boson fusion. The dijet-tagged event class requirements are: (i) the difference in pseudorapidity between the two jets is greater than 3.5; (ii) the Zeppenfeld variable [26] $\eta_{Z\gamma} - (\eta_{j_1} + \eta_{j_2})/2$ is less than 2.5; (iii) the dijet mass is greater than 500 GeV; and (iv) the difference in azimuthal angles between the dijet system and the $Z\gamma$ system is greater than 2.4. The dijet selected events form an exclusive event class. A 10–15% increase in sensitivity is obtained by adding this event class. There is a 20% contribution from the gluon-gluon fusion production process in the dijet-tagged event class. As shown in Table 2, around 2% of the expected signal events for a 125 GeV Higgs boson belong to this event class, while less than 0.2% of the background satisfies the dijet-tagged event class requirements.

5. Background and signal modeling

Based on simulated events, the dominant background in untagged events is expected to be due to initial-state-radiation SM $Z\gamma$ production. The background fraction due to final-state-radiation in Drell–Yan or Z decays is only 5%, while for some event classes the contribution from Z plus jets can be as large as 40%. This is in contrast to dijet-tagged events, where it is found that the background due to Z plus jets is slightly higher than from $Z\gamma$.

The background model is obtained by fitting the observed $\ell\ell\gamma$ mass distributions for each of the five event classes in the electron and the muon channels at a center-of-mass energy of 7 and 8 TeV separately. Because of the limited number of events at 7 TeV for the dijet-tagged event class, the electron and muon channels are combined for this sample. The fitting is unbinned and is performed over the 100–190 GeV mass range. The $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ distribution peaks around 110–115 GeV, with a steeply rising turn-on to the left and a gradually falling tail to the right. These characteristics are fitted to the convolution of a Gaussian with a step function multiplied by a polynomial. The mean of the Gaussian is fixed to zero in the convolution and the step position and the width of the Gaussian are left floating in the fit. The background fits based on the $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ data distributions for the electron and muon channels in the untagged event classes are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, while Fig. 5 shows the dijet-tagged class. The quality of the fits is good, with reduced χ^2 between 0.49 and 1.8 for the untagged event classes and 0.16 and 0.28 for the dijet-tagged classes. Even though the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ search is limited to the mass range where the branching fraction is expected to be at least 0.1% (i.e. 120–160 GeV), the wide $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ fitting range in the background modeling is found to be needed using the bias studies described below.

The potential bias on the background measurement is studied by using pseudo-data generated from background-only fits to the observed $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ spectrum. These pseudo-data sets are fitted to a signal combined with a polynomial background model. The results of these fits are used to determine an appropriate degree of polynomial model for background, such that the bias introduced on the limit of the signal strength measurement is smaller than a fifth of the background statistical uncertainty. This is the same method used in the search for the Higgs boson decaying to $\gamma\gamma$ and described in detail in [4]. A third-order polynomial is chosen for the

Table 2

Definition of the four untagged event classes and the dijet-tagged event class, the fraction of selected events for a signal with $m_H = 125$ GeV produced by gluon–gluon fusion at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, and data in a narrow region in the $m_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ phase space centered at 125 GeV. The width of this region is equal to two times the effective standard deviation (σ_{eff}), where σ_{eff} is defined as half-the-width of the narrowest window containing 68.3% of the distribution. The expected full width at half maximum (FWHM) for the signal is also listed.

	$e^+e^-\gamma$	$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$
	Event class 1	
	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ Both electrons $0 < \eta < 1.44$ $R_9 > 0.94$	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ Both muons $0 < \eta < 2.1$ and one muon $0 < \eta < 0.9$ $R_9 > 0.94$
Data	17%	20%
Signal	29%	33%
σ_{eff} (GeV)	1.9 GeV	1.6 GeV
FWHM (GeV)	4.5 GeV	3.7 GeV
	Event class 2	
	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ Both electrons $0 < \eta < 1.44$ $R_9 < 0.94$	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ Both muons $0 < \eta < 2.1$ and one muon $0 < \eta < 0.9$ $R_9 < 0.94$
Data	26%	31%
Signal	27%	30%
σ_{eff} (GeV)	2.1 GeV	1.9 GeV
FWHM (GeV)	5.0 GeV	4.6 GeV
	Event class 3	
	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ At least one electron $1.44 < \eta < 2.5$ No requirement on R_9	Photon $0 < \eta < 1.44$ Both muons in $ \eta > 0.9$ or one muon in $2.1 < \eta < 2.4$ No requirement on R_9
Data	26%	20%
Signal	23%	18%
σ_{eff} (GeV)	3.1 GeV	2.1 GeV
FWHM (GeV)	7.3 GeV	5.0 GeV
	Event class 4	
	Photon $1.57 < \eta < 2.5$ Both electrons $0 < \eta < 2.5$ No requirement on R_9	Photon $1.57 < \eta < 2.5$ Both muons $0 < \eta < 2.4$ No requirement on R_9
Data	31%	29%
Signal	19%	17%
σ_{eff} (GeV)	3.3 GeV	3.2 GeV
FWHM (GeV)	7.8 GeV	7.5 GeV
	Dijet-tagged class	
	Photon $0 < \eta < 2.5$ Both electrons $0 < \eta < 2.5$ No requirement on R_9	Photon $0 < \eta < 2.5$ Both muons $0 < \eta < 2.4$ No requirement on R_9
Data	0.1%	0.2%
Signal	1.8%	1.7%
σ_{eff} (GeV)	2.6 GeV	2.2 GeV
FWHM (GeV)	4.4 GeV	3.8 GeV

dijet-tagged event class, a fourth-order polynomial is chosen to fit the event classes where both leptons and the photon are in the barrel, while a fifth-order polynomial is chosen to fit the event classes where the photon and at least one lepton are in the end-cap.

The description of the Higgs boson signal used in the search is obtained from simulated events produced by the next-to-leading order matrix-element generator POWHEG 1.0 [27,28] interfaced with PYTHIA 6.4 [29] for the gluon–gluon fusion and vector boson fusion process. The parton distribution functions (PDF) used to produce these samples is CT10 [30]. Associated production with a vector boson and associated production with a $t\bar{t}$ pair are simulated at leading order using PYTHIA 6.4 and the CTEQ6L [31] PDF. The SM Higgs boson cross sections and branching fractions used are taken from Refs. [32,33]. The simulated signal events are weighted by taking into account the difference between data and simulated

events so that the distribution of reconstructed vertices, the trigger efficiencies, the energy and momentum resolution, the energy scale, the reconstruction efficiency, and the isolation efficiency observed in data are reproduced for all reconstructed objects. An additional small correction is applied to the photons to reproduce the performance of the R_9 shower shape variable, by scaling the shower shape variable to match those observed in the $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ events [16].

6. Results

A statistical analysis to test the significance of any potential signal-like excess is performed in terms of the local p-value, the probability of observing an excess under the background-only hypothesis. The local p-value is expressed as a number of standard deviations using the one-sided Gaussian tail convention. No




Fig. 3. The background model fit to the $m_{ee\gamma}$ distributions for event classes 1–4 for the two data samples. The statistical uncertainty bands shown are computed from a fit to data. Also shown is the expected signal due to a 125 GeV standard model Higgs boson, scaled by 75.

significant excess above background is observed, with a maximum excess of less than two standard deviations in the full mass range. The data are used to derive upper limits on the Higgs boson production cross section times the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ branching fraction, $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \times \mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$. The limits are evaluated using a modified frequentist approach taking the profile likelihood as a test statistic [34–36]. An unbinned evaluation of the likelihood over the full mass range of data is used. In addition, the limit on the inclusive cross section times the branching fraction is also provided. No theoretical uncertainties on the production cross sections are included in the latter result. The uncertainty on the limit is dominated by the size of the data sample and systematic uncertainties have a negligible impact.

The systematic uncertainty in the limits is only due to the signal description, as the background is obtained from data and biases are avoided in the fitting procedure. The uncertainty arises from the uncertainty in the luminosity measurement (2.2% [37], 4.4% [38]), the trigger efficiency (0.5–3.5%), the effects of the choice of parton distribution functions on the signal cross section (0.3–12.5%) [39–43], the uncertainty in the Higgs boson branching fraction prediction (6.7–9.4%) [32,33], the event pileup modeling for the signal samples (0.4–0.8%), the corrections applied to the simulation to reproduce the performance of the lepton (0.7–1.4%), photon (0.5–1.0%), and dijet selections (8.8–28.5%), event migra-

tion caused by the requirements on the photon shower shape in the event classification (5.0%), the event migration between dijet-tagged and untagged event classes due to the jet energy scale (5.1–9.8%), and the signal modeling (1.0–5.0%). The uncertainty in the signal modeling takes into account a potential 5% contamination from final-state radiation in the $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decay, assuming the SM branching fraction. Based on the fit bias studies performed in the 120–160 GeV mass range, the uncertainty on the background estimation due to the chosen functional form is shown to be negligible.

The expected and observed limits are shown in Fig. 6. The limits are calculated at 0.5 GeV intervals in the 120–160 GeV mass range. The expected exclusion limits at 95% confidence level (CL) are between 5 and 16 times the SM cross section and the observed limit ranges between about 4 and 25 times the SM cross section. For a standard model Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV the expected limit at the 95% CL is 10 and the observed limit is 9.5. The data excludes models predicting $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \times \mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ to be larger than one order of magnitude of the SM prediction for most of the 125–157 GeV mass range. Hence, models predicting significant enhancements for $\Gamma_{Z\gamma}$ [44] with respect to the SM expectations due to a pseudoscalar admixture, already strongly disfavoured from the analysis of the angular distributions of the lepton pairs in $H \rightarrow ZZ$ decays [5], are now excluded.




Fig. 4. The background model fit to the $m_{\mu\mu\gamma}$ distributions for event classes 1–4 for the two data samples. The statistical uncertainty bands shown are computed from the data fit. Also shown is the expected signal due to a 125 GeV standard model Higgs boson, scaled by 75.




Fig. 5. The $m_{e\ell\gamma}$ spectrum in the electron and the muon channels combined (separately) for the 7 (8) TeV data for the dijet-tagged event class. The expected signal from a 125 GeV standard model Higgs boson has been scaled by a factor of 10.

7. Summary

A search has been performed for a Higgs boson decaying into a Z boson and a photon. The analysis used a dataset from proton–proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7 and 8 TeV, cor-

responding to an integrated luminosity of 5.0 and 19.6 fb^{−1}, respectively. No excess above standard model predictions has been found and the first limits on the Higgs boson production cross section times the $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$ branching fraction at the LHC have been derived. The expected exclusion limits at 95% confidence level are




Fig. 6. (Top) The exclusion limit on the cross section times the branching fraction of a Higgs boson decaying into a Z boson and a photon divided by the SM value. (Bottom) Exclusion limit on the inclusive cross section alone, where the theoretical uncertainties on the cross section have been excluded in the limit setting.

between 5 and 16 times the standard model cross section in the 120–160 GeV mass range and the observed limit ranges between about 4 and 25 times the standard model cross section. For a standard model Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV the expected limit at the 95% CL is 10 and the observed limit is 9.5. Models predicting $\sigma(\text{pp} \rightarrow H) \times \mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ to be larger than one order of magnitude of the standard model prediction, for most of the 125–157 GeV mass range, are excluded.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India);

IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Open access

This article is published Open Access at sciencedirect.com. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020>, arXiv:1207.7214.
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence for the spin-0 nature of the Higgs boson using ATLAS data, Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 120, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.026>, arXiv:1307.1432.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 30, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021>, arXiv:1207.7235.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 1306 (2013) 81, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2013\)081](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)081), arXiv:1303.4571.
- [5] CMS Collaboration, Study of the mass and spin-parity of the Higgs boson candidate via its decays to Z boson pairs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 81803, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.081803>, arXiv:1212.6639.
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of Higgs boson production and couplings in diboson final states with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 88, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.010>, arXiv:1307.1427.
- [7] R.N. Cahn, M.S. Chanowitz, N. Fleishon, Higgs particle production by Z to H gamma, Phys. Lett. B 82 (1979) 113, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(79\)90438-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(79)90438-6).
- [8] L. Bergstrom, G. Hulth, Induced Higgs couplings to neutral bosons in e^+e^- collisions, Nucl. Phys. B 259 (1985) 137, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(85\)90302-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90302-5).
- [9] M. Carena, I. Low, C.E.M. Wagner, Implications of a modified Higgs to diphoton decay width, J. High Energy Phys. 1208 (2012) 60, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2012\)060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)060), arXiv:1206.1082.
- [10] C.-W. Chiang, K. Yagyu, Higgs boson decays to $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ in models with Higgs extensions, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 33003, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.033003>, arXiv:1207.1065.
- [11] I. Low, J. Lykken, G. Shaughnessy, Singlet scalars as Higgs boson imposters at the Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 35027, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.035027>.
- [12] C.-S. Chen, C.-Q. Geng, D. Huang, L.-H. Tsai, New scalar contributions to $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 75019, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.075019>, arXiv:1301.4694.
- [13] V.M. Abazov, et al., D0 Collaboration, Search for a scalar or vector particle decaying into $Z\gamma$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009) 349, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.12.009>, arXiv:0806.0611.
- [14] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow event reconstruction in CMS and performance for jets, taus, and E_{miss} , CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1194487>.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, Search for the standard model Higgs boson decaying into two photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 710 (2012) 403, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.003>.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the CMS experiment, J. High Energy Phys. 1110 (2011) 132, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2011\)132](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132).
- [18] S. Baffioni, C. Charlot, F. Ferri, D. Futyan, P. Meridiani, I. Puljak, C. Rovelli, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, Electron reconstruction in CMS, Eur. Phys. J. C 49 (2007) 1099, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-006-0175-5>.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. Instrum. 7 (2012) P10002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002>.

- [20] A. Firan, R. Stroyanowski, Internal conversions in Higgs decays to two photons, *Phys. Rev. D* 76 (2007) 57301, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.057301>, arXiv:0704.3987.
- [21] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder, *Phys. Lett. B* 641 (2006) 57, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037>, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.
- [22] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas, *Phys. Lett. B* 659 (2008) 119, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077>, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [23] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The catchment area of jets, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0804 (2008) 5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/005>, arXiv:0802.1188.
- [24] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, Fastjet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS, *J. Instrum.* 6 (2011) 11002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002>, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [26] D.L. Rainwater, R. Szalapski, D. Zeppenfeld, Probing color singlet exchange in Z + two jet events at the CERN LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 54 (1996) 6680, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6680>, arXiv:hep-ph/9605444.
- [27] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO Higgs boson production via gluon fusion matched with shower in POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0904 (2009) 2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/002>, arXiv:0812.0578.
- [28] P. Nason, C. Oleari, NLO Higgs boson production via vector-boson fusion matched with shower in POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1002 (2010) 37, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2010\)037](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)037), arXiv:0911.5299.
- [29] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0605 (2006) 26, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026>, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [30] M. Guzzi, P. Nadolsky, E. Berger, H.-L. Lai, F. Olness, C.-P. Yuan, CT10 parton distributions and other developments in the global QCD analysis, arXiv:1101.0561, 2011.
- [31] J. Pumplin, D.R. Stump, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, W.-K. Tung, New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0207 (2002) 012, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012>, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [32] S. Dittmaier, et al., LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 1. Inclusive observables, arXiv:1101.0593, 2011.
- [33] A. Denner, S. Heinemeyer, I. Puljak, D. Rebuffi, M. Spira, Standard model Higgs-boson branching ratios with uncertainties, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1753, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1753-8>, arXiv:1107.5909.
- [34] A.L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* 28 (2002) 2693, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3889/28/10/313>.
- [35] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* 434 (1999) 435, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(99\)00498-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2), arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, CMS Collaboration, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011, Technical Report ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-011, CMS-NOTE-2011/005, 2011.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, Absolute calibration of the luminosity measurement at CMS: Winter 2012 update, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-SMP-12-008, 2012, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1434360>.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity based on pixel cluster counting – Summer 2012 Update, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-12-001, 2012, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1482193>.
- [39] M. Botje, J. Butterworth, A. Cooper-Sarkar, A. de Roeck, J. Feltesse, S. Forte, A. Glazov, J. Huston, R. McNulty, T. Sjöstrand, R.S. Thorne, The PDF4LHC working group interim recommendations, arXiv:1101.0538, 2011.
- [40] S. Alekhin, et al., The PDF4LHC working group interim report, arXiv:1101.0536, 2011.
- [41] H.-L. Lai, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, Z. Li, P.M. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C.-P. Yuan, New parton distributions for collider physics, *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 74024, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024>, arXiv:1007.2241.
- [42] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 63 (2009) 189, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5>, arXiv:0901.0002.
- [43] R.D. Ball, et al., NNPDF Collaboration, Impact of heavy quark masses on parton distributions and LHC phenomenology, *Nucl. Phys. B* 849 (2011) 296, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.021>, arXiv:1101.1300.
- [44] B. Coleppa, K. Kumar, H.E. Logan, Can the 126 GeV boson be a pseudoscalar?, *Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012) 075022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.075022>, arXiv:1208.2692.

CMS Collaboration

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan¹, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady², B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, Z. Staykova, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Keaveney, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, T. Hreus, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Dildick, G. Garcia, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi³, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silveira, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco⁴, J. Hollar, P. Jez, V. Lemaître, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov⁵, M. Selvaggi, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Belyi, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁶, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, M. Malek, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁶, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^b, F.A. Dias^{a,7}, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, C. Lagana^a, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

V. Genchev², P. Iaydjiev², S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xiao, M. Xu

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, Q. Li, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina⁸, D. Polic, I. Puljak

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, S. Morovic, L. Tikvica

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

A.A. Abdelalim⁹, Y. Assran¹⁰, S. Elgammal⁹, A. Ellithi Kamel¹¹, M.A. Mahmoud¹²,
A. Radi^{13,14}

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini,
S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi,
E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour,
A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer,
A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, M. Bluj¹⁵, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms,
M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenaer, P. Miné,
C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno,
Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁶, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁶,
F. Drouhin¹⁶, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁶, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, P. Juillot,
A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

S. Gadrat

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul, S. Brochet, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁷

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, B. Calpas, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov⁵

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann², A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz¹⁸, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, A. Grebenyuk, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, D. Horton, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann¹⁸, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak, J. Olzem, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt¹⁸, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, M. Stein, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

V. Blobel, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, M. Gosselink, J. Haller, K. Heine, R.S. Höing, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, I. Marchesini, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, J. Sibille¹⁹, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff², F. Hartmann², T. Hauth², H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁵, J.R. Komaragiri, A. Kornmayer², P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, J. Ott, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, M. Zeise

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, E. Ntomari, I. Topsis-giotis

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

L. Gouskos, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradass

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath²⁰, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi²¹, A.J. Zsigmond

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

J. Karacsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

S.K. Swain²²

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, P. Saxena, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, A.P. Singh

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, R.M. Chatterjee, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait²³, A. Gurtu²⁴, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁵, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage²⁶

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – EHEP, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HECR, Mumbai, India

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, S.M. Etesami²⁷, A. Fahim²⁸, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁹, M. Zeinali

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c},
N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a,
B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^a, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c},
G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, G. Singh^{a,b}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a, G. Zito^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b},
R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b},
G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a,
L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b}, A. Montanari^a,
F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b},
G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, F. Giordano^{a,2}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b},
C. Tuve^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, S. Frosali^{a,b},
E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a,
A. Tropiano^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

P. Fabbriatore^a, R. Musenich^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, F. De Guio^{a,b}, M.E. Dinardo, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b},
P. Govoni, M.T. Lucchini², S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,2}, A. Martelli^{a,b,2}, D. Menasce^a,
L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, A. De Cosa^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^a,
S. Meola^{a,d,2}, M. Merola^a, P. Paolucci^{a,2}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata (Potenza), Napoli, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi (Roma), Napoli, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, M. Bellato^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a,
T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, M. Galanti^{a,b,2}, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, P. Giubilato^{a,b},
A. Gozzelino^a, K. Kanishchev^{a,c}, S. Lacaprara^a, I. Lazzizzera^{a,c}, M. Margoni^{a,b},
A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, M. Sgaravatto^a,

F. Simonetto ^{a,b}, E. Torassa ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, S. Vanini ^{a,b}, S. Ventura ^a, P. Zotto ^{a,b},
A. Zucchetta ^{a,b}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy

M. Gabusi ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

M. Biasini ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a,
A. Nappi ^{a,b,†}, F. Romeo ^{a,b}, A. Saha ^a, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, A. Spiezia ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

K. Androsov ^{a,30}, P. Azzurri ^a, G. Bagliesi ^a, J. Bernardini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, G. Broccolo ^{a,c},
R. Castaldi ^a, M.A. Ciocci ^a, R.T. D'Agnolo ^{a,c,2}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, F. Fiori ^{a,c}, L. Foà ^{a,c},
A. Giassi ^a, M.T. Grippo ^{a,30}, A. Kraan ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze ^a, L. Martini ^{a,30},
A. Messineo ^{a,b}, C.S. Moon ^a, F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro ^{a,31}, A.T. Serban ^a,
P. Spagnolo ^a, P. Squillacioti ^a, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verdini ^a,
C. Vernieri ^{a,c}

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

L. Barone ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, D. Del Re ^{a,b}, M. Diemoz ^a, M. Grassi ^{a,b}, E. Longo ^{a,b},
F. Margaroli ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^a, F. Micheli ^{a,b}, S. Nourbakhsh ^{a,b}, G. Organtini ^{a,b},
R. Paramatti ^a, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, C. Rovelli ^a, L. Soffi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, R. Bellan ^{a,b}, C. Biino ^a,
N. Cartiglia ^a, S. Casasso ^{a,b}, M. Costa ^{a,b}, A. Degano ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, C. Mariotti ^a,
S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, M. Musich ^a, M.M. Obertino ^{a,c}, N. Pastrone ^a,
M. Pelliccioni ^{a,2}, A. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Romero ^{a,b}, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Sacchi ^{a,b}, A. Solano ^{a,b},
A. Staiano ^a, U. Tamponi ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara), Torino, Italy

S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, M. Casarsa ^a, F. Cossutti ^{a,2}, G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}, B. Gobbo ^a,
C. La Licata ^{a,b}, M. Marone ^{a,b}, D. Montanino ^{a,b}, A. Penzo ^a, A. Schizzi ^{a,b},
A. Zanetti ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Chang, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Republic of Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, D.C. Son

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

I. Grigelionis, A. Juodagalvis

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz³², R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarquen

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, W. Wolszczak

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

N. Almeida, P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas², J. Varela, P. Vischia

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev, P. Moisez, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrillov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁷, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, A. Kaminskiy³³, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

P. Adzic³⁴, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic³⁴, J. Milosevic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas², N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, C. Jorda, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet⁸, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta,

H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, S. Colafranceschi³⁵, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, W. Funk, G. Georgiou, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, A. Hinzmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, Y.-J. Lee, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, M. Malberti, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M. Mulders, P. Musella, E. Nesvold, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, G. Rolandi³⁶, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³⁷, D. Spiga, M. Stoye, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres²¹, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm³⁸, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, D. Hits, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli³⁹, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, A. Starodumov⁴⁰, B. Stieger, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁴¹, V. Chiochia, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Kilminster, B. Millan Mejias, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, N. Suwonjandee

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴², S. Cerci⁴³, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut⁴⁴, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴², A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴⁵, D. Sunar Cerci⁴³, B. Tali⁴³, H. Topakli⁴², M. Vergili

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, G. Karapinar⁴⁶, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak⁴⁷, M. Kaya⁴⁸, O. Kaya⁴⁸, S. Ozkorucuklu⁴⁹, N. Sonmez⁵⁰

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

H. Bahtiyar⁵¹, E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, Y.O. Günaydin⁵², F.I. Vardarli, M. Yücel

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, Z. Meng, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold³⁸, K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁵³, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas³⁸, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴⁰, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi⁵⁴, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle, T. Whyntie

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

Baylor University, Waco, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

Boston University, Boston, USA

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander,

T. Miceli, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, M. Felcini, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], E. Takasugi, P. Traczyk, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, S. Paramesvaran, A. Shrinivas, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁵⁵, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

D. Barge, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, J. Incandela, C. Justus, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, R. Magaña Villalba, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, D. Kcira, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

D. Winn

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko⁵⁶, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, N. Ratnikova,

E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, J.C. Yun

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁵⁷, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida International University, Miami, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, F. Lacroix, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, D. Strom, P. Turner, N. Varelas

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak⁵¹, B. Bilki⁵⁸, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, S. Griffiths, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵⁹, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁵¹, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin⁶⁰, K. Yi

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz, A. Whitbeck

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, J.S. Wood

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

A. Baden, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Peterman, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Apyan, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, Y. Kim, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, G. Franzoni, A. Gude, J. Haupt, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, S. Oliveros, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio, Z. Wan

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

A. Anastassov, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, C. Vuosalo, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

E. Berry, P. Elmer, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Alagoz, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jones, K. Jung, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, M. Vidal Marono, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

N. Parashar

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

Rice University, Houston, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulios, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, K. Rose, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

O. Bouhali⁶¹, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁶², V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenko, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duder, K. Kovitangoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, E. Friis, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, M.U. Mozer, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

† Deceased.

- 1 Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- 2 Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 3 Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.
- 4 Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.
- 5 Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- 6 Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- 7 Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- 8 Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France.
- 9 Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.
- 10 Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt.
- 11 Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.
- 12 Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- 13 Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- 14 Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- 15 Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland.
- 16 Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- 17 Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- 18 Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- 19 Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA.
- 20 Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- 21 Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- 22 Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – EHEP, Mumbai, India.
- 23 Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HECR, Mumbai, India.
- 24 Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- 25 Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- 26 Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.
- 27 Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- 28 Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- 29 Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- 30 Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- 31 Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- 32 Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico.
- 33 Also at INFN Sezione di Padova; Università di Padova; Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy.
- 34 Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- 35 Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- 36 Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- 37 Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- 38 Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- 39 Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland.
- 40 Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- 41 Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- 42 Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- 43 Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- 44 Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- 45 Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- 46 Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- 47 Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 48 Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- 49 Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.
- 50 Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey.
- 51 Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 52 Also at Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey.
- 53 Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- 54 Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.
- 55 Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- 56 Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- 57 Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- 58 Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- 59 Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- 60 Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- 61 Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- 62 Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.