Dry Gas Seal contamination
during operation and pressurized hold
Background and potential solutions
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Abstract

This paper will discuss the challenges with contamination of gas seals. The reliability of gas seals is largely dependent on having a continuous supply of clean and dry seal gas. In dynamic mode, gas supply systems take product gas from a higher-pressure level in the compressor, filter it and use it to create the ideal environment for the gas seal. This typically ensures that the gas seal effectively protected against contaminated process gas.

Compressor gas seals are very robust sealing devices, but the environment needs to be dry and clean. The leading root cause of gas seal failures is contamination. One of the most common sources of contamination is during compressor start up, slow-roll, standstill, or shutdown modes or because the conditioning skid is not sufficient. In these modes, there is a lack of seal gas flow, which suggests no means to produce seal gas flow is available, such as a high-pressure gas source or booster for the seal gas supply. This is where it pays to have a reliable, clean gas supply. Without sufficient seal gas flow, potential contamination will reach the gas seal and impact its operational behavior.

This paper will describe contamination to the gas seal by process gas, during commissioning, by particle and by liquids, caused by inadequate seal gas supply. Then it will focus on different methods of providing seal gas flow during transient conditions. Finally, it will discuss solutions to ensure a reliable, clean gas flow to the seal at all relevant conditions together with additional possibilities to add robustness to gas seals.
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Introduction

- Process gas compressors are essential for production capacities
- Compressors usually have no backup
- State of the art sealing technology are Dry Gas Seals
Contamination is one of the major root causes of failures.
The background and solutions are discussed within this presentation.
Indication:

→ Sudden leakage increase (predominately of OB seal)
→ Seal face contact, heavy wear and potential disintegration
Gas seal failure due to contamination: heavy HC

Observation:
Fluctuating leakage; continuously increasing leakage trend

Initial cause:
Hang-up or blocking of dynamic secondary seal
Contamination during operation

Contamination

- Particles and liquids
- Condensates
- Too low seal gas flow
Risks when particles or fluids enter the Dry Gas Seal:

- Contamination of the gas grooves
  - Impact to seal performance and gas film stiffness
  - Decreasing gas seal reliability

- Contamination of dynamic sealing element
  - Reduction of axial movability
  - Hang up:
    - Open seal gap: high leakage
    - Closed seal gap: high friction / wear and seal disintegration
Contamination by particles & liquids

Dynamic sealing element
Sliding surface
Required movement

Damaged seal face

Dynamic O-ring

Contaminated seal area behind stationary face
Conclusion – Contamination by particles & liquids

- Accurate analysis of process gas & real operating conditions
- Sufficient gas conditioning
- Sufficient seal gas flow

Gas conditioning for real conditions
Gas velocity via labyrinth of 5 m/s at double of normal gap

Discharge or a higher stage of the compressor

Gas conditioning (e.g. filter)
Seal gas control (e.g. flow control)

Separator Filter

N2 Process gas
Discharge or a higher stage of the compressor

Available temperature

Process

Influence on temperature
Environment
Pressure drops (valves, orifices ...)

Required temperature

Worst case:
Lowest temperature & lowest pressure
Safety margin: 20 K

Seal operation has to be above the safe decompression line

Example
SOP: 40 bara
Temperature: -20 °C

→ Condensation risk
→ Heating to min. +20 °C required
Conclusion – Contamination by condensates

- Add Heater, heat tracing or isolation
- Dew point analyses & heating

Gas conditioning for real conditions
Gas velocity via labyrinth of 5 m/s at double of normal gap

Discharge or a higher stage of the compressor

Gas conditioning (e.g. filter)  Seal gas control (e.g. flow control)

N2  Process gas

Process
Contamination during pressurized hold

Why?
- Quick restart
- Standby
- Avoid emissions
Contamination during pressurized hold

- Unfiltered process gas will enter and will contaminate the Dry Gas Seal
- Additionally due to the pressure drop through the sliding faces the gas will cool down
- Gas temperature will drop from operating temperature to ambient, depending on the standstill time
- No heating effect of the seal for compensation
Contamination during pressurized hold

- Higher pressure source
- Proper quality at the inlet
- Considering potential cooling / condensation during transport
- Depending on the gas quality certain components are required to treat the gas
- Potential elements are coolers, knock out drum, heater, heat trace and the gas booster
- Such skids could be included in existing skids or kept separate
Rotating Booster

System need:
- Booster in bypass
- Valve to close bypass
- Power

Piston Booster

System need:
- Booster in bypass
- Valve to close
- Buffer vessel (to reduce pulsation)
- Air supply
- Vent (of leakage)
## Booster types – limits / opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotating Booster</th>
<th>Piston Booster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limits</strong></td>
<td><strong>Limits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High $\Delta p$ at low pressures</td>
<td>- Lifetime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Needs certain flow for cooling during operation</td>
<td>- Flow / machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Electrical driver</td>
<td>- Air driven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Needs to bypass restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extended lifetime</td>
<td>- Higher $\Delta p$ at low pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hermetically sealed</td>
<td>- In line with throttles (e.g. valves)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Electrical driven</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Focusses on generating the flow required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Booster types – flow

Requirement → gas velocity @ PS laby
e.g. 778 Nm3/hr (458 scfm) per compressor (2 seals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Required machines</th>
<th>Output (seal gas flow)</th>
<th>Input (energy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piston type Booster</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>996 Nm3/hr</td>
<td>187,8 Nm3/hr air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifugal Booster</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>780 Nm3/hr</td>
<td>6-7 KW energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case study conditioning skid - booster

Situation:
Combined power cycle gas plant, Argentina

→ Repeated seal failures

Mitigation:
Implementation of a rotating booster skid to ensure reliable seal gas flow at any operating condition, also during pressurized hold.

Root cause:
Seals found contaminated by process gas. Contamination was routed back to no seal gas flow to the seals during pressurized hold.

Experience:
Improvement of MTBF from 1-3 years to MTBM (no failure)
Case study robust seal

Situation:
Natural gas processing plant, Australia
Gas transport to LNG plan
→ Repeated seal failures

Root cause:
Seals found contaminated with liquid, which was routed back to TEG used in the dehydration process and residues found the way into the seals.

Mitigation:
Upgrade of standard DGS to robust DGS being able to handle more contamination.

Experience:
Improvement of MTBF from 2-6 month to MTBM (no failure)
## Overview seal gas contamination & countermeasures

### Root cause

**Seal contamination by**
- Particles
- Fluids
- Condensates

**Because of**
- Poorly Conditioned Seal Gas
- Dirty Process Gas
- Not enough seal gas flow, especially during pressurized hold

### Solutions

**Seal gas conditioning**
- Cooler, KOD, Heater
- Filter, Coalescer
- Seal gas booster

**Robust seal design**
- Upgrade of existing DGS to make it more robust against contamination

### Selection

- Eliminates the source
- Complex to upgrade
- Modification on system needed
- Time for implementation

- Does not address the source
- Easy implementation (modified seal only)

---

Any questions?