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ABSTRACT

Mechanical seals are used throughout industry to minimize or eliminate leakage in centrifugal pumps, mixers, and other rotating equipment. The ability of a mechanical seal to meet its performance objectives depends upon a wide range of factors involving equipment design, operating conditions, and support systems. Included in this list is the selection of the materials of construction of the seal.

Mechanical seals are constructed of a wide range of materials including metals, elastomers, and ceramics. Each of these materials plays an important role in the operation of the seal. Seal manufacturers have narrowed the list of available materials through years of successful field experience. Still, the selection of the correct materials remains a critical step in the successful application of a mechanical seal.

SEAL BASICS

A mechanical seal is a device used to seal the interface between a rotating shaft and a stationary housing (Figure 1). This is commonly seen in centrifugal pumps although this challenge also exists in other equipment such as agitators, turbines, compressors, centrifuges, and blowers. The mechanical seal has gained success over the years due to its ability to minimize or eliminate leakage of process fluids to atmosphere. This has resulted in improved equipment reliability, reduced emissions, and improved safety.

While the purpose of a seal appears deceptively simple, the design of seal components is a significant engineering challenge. To minimize leakage, the rotating and stationary sealing interface is normally separated by a very small film. This film is on the order of 20 millionths of an inch (or a half micron). With the seal faces operating this close together, there is some level of contact that results in wear and heat generation. In balancing the requirements of low leakage and low wear, the selection of materials becomes important. It is important not only to the seal faces but to all of the components in a seal that contribute to its ability to maintain an acceptable fluid film. In this paper the author will divide the seal into three major categories of components: the seal faces, secondary sealing elements, and major metal components (Figure 2).

The seal faces are the elements that define the sealing interface. One seal face will be mounted onto and rotating with the shaft. The other seal face will be mounted into and stationary with the gland. The seal faces must allow for rotation as well as other motions experienced in the pump. Since all equipment has some small amount of misalignment, run-outs, and clearances, the seal faces must be capable of both axial and radial motions to maintain an effective fluid film.

While the seal face may be the heart of the seal, secondary sealing elements or gaskets can create their own challenges that affect seal performance. Secondary seals perform the function of sealing between internal seal elements as well as sealing between the mechanical seal and the pump. Most secondary seals are static meaning that there is no relative motion between the sealed components. In a pusher type seal, there is also a dynamic gasket that must allow for small amounts of axial motion to compensate for equipment motion and wear of the seal faces.

The last major category is the metallic components used in a mechanical seal. This includes materials for the sleeve, gland, and springs. It also includes a more challenging duty in the materials used in welded metal bellows. Welded metal bellows act as both a spring and an expansion joint and eliminate the need for a dynamic gasket. The requirement for motion and pressure containment makes the selection of bellows material challenging. Welded metal
bellows must be easily welded, have good physical properties, and be resistant to most types of corrosion.

**Seal Faces**

The seal faces of a mechanical seal provide a demanding set of requirements for a seal designer. The faces must be stable, conduct heat, be chemically resistant, and provide good wear characteristics. They must also be easy to manufacture, readily available, and economically priced. In the early years of mechanical seals, some seal faces were manufactured from metals such as hardened steels, copper, and bronze. This has evolved over time as newer, more exotic materials have been developed including ceramics and various grades of mechanical carbons.

This paper will review some of the most common seal face materials. These include mechanical grades of carbons as well as the most popular ceramics. This list does not include every material ever used as a seal face. Some materials such as overlays or hardened metals that were widely used in the past have been considered obsolete for years. Surprisingly, some of these options are resurfacing in specialty applications where their strengths are exploited. Other materials such as diamond coatings and silicon nitride are also used in specialty applications and are beyond the scope of this list.

**Carbon**

Carbon is one of the most abundant elements on earth. It is the basis for all organic products and processes. It is also an interesting material because it takes on forms from amorphous carbon to graphite to diamonds to fullerenes. Carbon is inert, stable, and can be self-lubricating. It is used in products as varied as pigments, carbon black in rubbers, and electrical brushes. It can take the form of soft carbon graphite powder to hard friction pads.

Mechanical carbons used in seal faces are a mixture of amorphous carbon and graphite. The percentages of each help determine the physical properties on the final grade of carbon. In addition to carbon, other elements and compounds are present that affect the properties of the grade of carbon. Some of these are impurities from the original sources of carbon; others are specifically added to improve some aspect of the carbon’s performance.

Mechanical carbons are manufactured by blending together types of amorphous carbon (i.e., lampblack, charcoal, or coke) and carbon graphite with a carbonaceous binder (i.e., pitch or resins). The source of the raw materials not only helps determine the physical properties of the carbon but also the type and amount of impurities. Other additives will be blended in depending upon the specific grade. The mixture is then pressed into shapes and heated in an inert environment. At a high temperature, most of the binder decomposes into carbon while a small amount volatizes and leaves the part. This leaves the carbon porous and soft.

The carbon is then placed into a vacuum chamber to remove any air from the porous carbon. While under a vacuum, the carbon is immersed into liquid impregnant. The vessel is then pressurized to drive the impregnant into the carbon. This effectively fills the pores of the carbon making it impermeable and greatly increasing the strength of the final material. The selection of impregnants is a critical factor in determining the properties of the final material. Impregnants include various plastics and resins, metals, and salts. The most common impregnants for mechanical seal faces are thermostet resins and antimony metal. While a carbon manufacturer may produce hundreds of grades of carbons, the mechanical seal industry has standardized on only a dozen or so grades that are used for the majority of seal applications. With the consolidation of companies in the carbon manufacturing business, the list is fairly small.

**Resin Impregnated Carbon**

As the name implies, resin impregnated carbon is a mixture of amorphous carbon/graphite that has been impregnated with a thermostet resin. This is by far the most common type of carbon for mechanical seals used in industry today. While there is a wide variety of specific formulations or grades available, most resin impregnated carbons are capable of operating in a wide range of chemicals from strong bases to strong acids. They possess good frictional properties and an adequate modulus to help control pressure distortions.

**Antimony Impregnated**

Metallized carbons are available with a variety of metal impregnants including copper, bronze, lead, and antimony. Of these, antimony has proven to be the most successful in seal applications. The addition of antimony has a couple of beneficial effects that can improve seal performance. First, the addition of a metal impregnant increases the strength and modulus of the material. This is beneficial for high pressure applications when a stronger and stiffer material is needed. Finally, antimony impregnated carbons are more resistant to blistering in high viscosity fluids or light hydrocarbons. This has made it the standard grade for many refinery applications.

These benefits come at a price. The chemical compatibility of an antimony carbon is limited by the antimony metal. Carbon manufacturers and seal original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) can give guidance on selection of antimony impregnated carbons for specific applications.

**Dry-Running Carbons**

Some seal applications are specifically designed to run without a fluid between the seal faces. In some cases, the seal faces are designed to lift off and not contact in operation. In other cases, the faces are designed to run with contact and no lubricating liquid. These dry-running, contacting seal designs create serious demand on the carbon and mating face of the seal. The carbon material must be self lubricating and have a low coefficient of friction and yet be strong and durable enough to run for years without wearing out.

Special grades of carbon have been designed for dry-running conditions. These grades are generally softer than other mechanical seal grade carbons. This is primarily due to the high graphite content in the mixture. Other additives such as salts can be added to improve performance. In most cases, a small amount of moisture in the seal environment greatly improves the performance of these grades.

**Acid Grades**

As stated earlier, elemental carbon is inert to attack from most chemicals. It was also stated earlier that most commercial grades of carbon are mixed from a variety of carbon sources. These sources differ greatly in the type and amount of impurities that are introduced into the mixture. The impurities are generally not resistant to aggressive chemicals like strong acids. This can lead to leaching and weakening of the component. To improve the carbon’s performance, very pure sources of carbon are used to produce the most chemically resistant grades. These grades can compromise other aspects of the carbon properties and seal performance so they are generally reserved only for aggressive chemical applications.

**Ceramic Materials**

Ceramics can be defined as nonmetallic, nonorganic materials that usually require high temperature processing. In a general sense, this can refer to everything from pottery and china to carbides and oxides. In an engineering sense, the term generally refers to a class of materials that are characterized by their high hardness, high stiffness, low thermal expansion, and good wear resistance. For mechanical seals, these include silicon carbide, tungsten carbide, and alumina oxide. Other materials such as silicon nitride are used in specialty applications.
Not all ceramics are the same. Characteristics such as thermal conductivity and thermal shock resistance vary significantly depending upon the material. Strength and impact resistance also vary widely depending upon the material and manufacturing methods. Chemical compatibility and corrosion resistance is affected not only by the ceramic material but also other secondary materials present in the material. To help understand why materials are selected, it can be helpful to understand more about the actual materials.

Silicon Carbide

In the simplest sense, silicon carbide (SiC) consists of one atom of silicon bonded to one atom of carbon. This results in a tenacious bond that is extremely stable over a wide range of temperatures and chemical environments. It also has other desirable properties such as a high hardness and a high modulus. Unfortunately, it is also a material that is difficult to manufacture in shapes suitable for component design. For many years, a reaction bonding process has been used to manufacture components. More recently, sintering processes have been used. Other methods such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD) or conversion processes are used in areas outside of mechanical seals.

Silicon carbide almost never exists in nature. It was first identified in 1824 but remained somewhat of a curiosity until 1892 when C. E. Acheson developed a practical manufacturing process involving an electric arc furnace that SiC became commercially available (reference, “General Properties of Silicon Carbide”). This remains one of the most common methods for production of SiC materials. This method results in a mass of large blackish blue crystals that are then crushed into various size particles. The powders are then used for abrasives or secondary SiC processes.

Reaction Bonded Silicon Carbide

Reaction bonded silicon carbide (RBSiC), as its name implies, is a material formed by bonding SiC particles to each other in a reaction process. SiC particles and carbon are mixed together with a binding agent and pressed into the desired shape. This green state material is placed into a furnace with an inert atmosphere and exposed to molten silicon metal. The silicon metal wicks its way throughout the material reacting with the free carbon to form additional SiC. The reaction literally bonds the original SiC particles together by forming additional SiC material that acts as a “glue.” Done correctly, this creates a fully connected matrix of SiC material. As a result of the manufacturing process, all of the spaces in the material are filled with silicon metal. In current grades, 8 to 12 percent of the final composition is free silicon.

While the silicon metal does not significantly affect most of the physical and thermal properties of the material, it does limit the chemical resistance of the material. Anything that can chemically attack elemental silicon can attack the interconnected passages of silicon in RBSiC. This can weaken the material and cause seal failures. The most common chemicals that will attack RBSiC are caustics (and other high pH chemicals) and strong acids. RBSiC should not be used with these applications.

Self-Sintered Silicon Carbide

Researchers discovered that it was possible to sinter SiC particles directly together using nonoxide sintering aids (such as C, B, or Al) in an inert environment at temperatures over 2000°C. The resulting material consists almost entirely of SiC with a very small volume of unconnected voids. Due to the lack of a secondary material (such as silicon), the direct sintered material is chemically resistant to almost any fluid and process condition likely to be seen in a centrifugal pump.

Some of the original research on self-sintered silicon carbides (SSSiC) was done with a beta phase SiC. Later research used alpha phase SiC. These new materials and manufacturing methods were patented, which greatly limited their availability and slowed their acceptance into industry. There was also a perception that SSSiC was more brittle and prone to chipping than RBSiC. Since there are no standardized tests for evaluating these properties, it remained as a perception with no conclusive data to prove one position or the other.

Self-sintered silicon carbide is often called sintered silicon carbide (SSiC), direct-sintered silicon carbide (DSSiC), or alpha sintered silicon carbide (αSiC). These are all the same material and the names are used interchangeably.

Silicon Carbide with Controlled Features

The vast majority of SiC material is solid material free from any significant pores, voids, or imperfections. This results in seal faces that are lapped very flat and smooth. Under certain conditions, faces that are too flat or too smooth can prevent fluid from migrating across the seal faces, which can prevent proper lubrication of the seal faces. Over the last decade, SiC manufacturers have been creating SiC materials that have controlled features throughout the structure of the materials. When a seal face is lapped flat, these features create small anomalies on the surface that can provide enhanced seal performance.

The most common varieties of these materials involve free graphite particles dispersed throughout the SiC material. On a lapped surface, this results in small patches of graphite surrounded by a hard SiC surface. The graphite does not provide additional lubrication by providing graphite into the sliding interface. Rather the soft graphite material creates small depressions in the surface that enhance lubrication with the process fluids. As an alternate approach, SiC is available with small controlled voids throughout the material, which also create small depressions on the lapped surfaces. It is even possible to create material with both free graphite and controlled porosity.

Tungsten Carbide

Tungsten carbide (WC) is a carbide ceramic that is used in many products requiring high hardness and toughness. WC shares many of the same difficulties in manufacturing shapes with other ceramics. WC is readily available in powder form but must be processed into a final shape. Tungsten carbides are most often manufactured as cemented carbides. As a cemented carbide there is no attempt to bond WC to itself. Rather a secondary metal is added to bond or cement the WC particles together. This results in a material that has the combined properties of both the WC and the metal binder. This has been used to an advantage by providing greater toughness and impact strength than possible with WC alone. One of the primary weaknesses of cemented WC is its high density.

Cobalt bound WC proved to be one of the most successful carbides. These were introduced in the early 1930s for cutting tools in the metal-working industry (reference, “Usage and Applications, Cemented Carbide”). As the mechanical seal developed over the years, Co-WC was the standard ceramic material. Cobalt though did not exhibit the range of chemical compatibilities required by industry and was gradually replaced by nickel-bound WC. Current grades range from 6 to 10 percent free nickel. Ni-WC is still widely used as a seal face material especially where its high strength and high toughness properties are beneficial. It has good chemical compatibility generally limited by the free nickel.

Alumina Oxide

Oxides of aluminum range from oxidation on aluminum metals to rubies and sapphires. They also constitute the most widely used oxide ceramic in the form of alumina oxide (Al₂O₃) or alumina. Alumina is a white or ivory color ceramic that can be produced by direct sintering. This results in a dense, homogeneous material. It shares many of the favorable attributes of other ceramics such as high hardness, high strength, and high stiffness. It also has excellent dielectric properties. It is commonly used for electrical...
insulators, wear resistant components, grinding media, and high temperature components.

Alumina is available in a range of purities typically from around 94 percent to over 99 percent. In the high purities, alumina has excellent chemical resistance to most process fluids other than some strong acids. This led to its widespread use in many mechanical seal applications. Alumina does have one weakness that has restricted its use in seals; it can fracture easily if thermally shocked. Thermal shock may result from rapid heating in the process fluid or from dry-running operation. Even with this concern, it continues to be used where thermal shock is not considered an issue.

**Mating Pairs—Tribological Considerations**

Mechanical seal faces do not function in isolation. They are always operated against a mating face. While there is theoretically a fluid film between the faces, there is still some contact between the faces on most well-designed seals. Contact can also occur during startups, shutdowns, and system upsets. There may be considerable mechanical support between the two faces. Depending upon the differential pressure across the seal faces, the contact pressure may be on the order of hundreds of psi. Seal faces can also operate with a high relative velocity between the faces. This may be on the order of 75 to 250 feet per second. Clearly the materials of the seal faces must be able to withstand the combination of pressure and velocity without damaging either seal face.

To understand this interaction, the concept of mating pairs in seal face materials was introduced (Massaro, 1988). This approach considers how specific materials (as well as specific grades of each type of material) interact when exposed to actual operating conditions. In some form or another, this concept is used by seal manufacturers in evaluating face materials and establishing applications guidelines for their use.

**Comparison of Seal Face Materials**

By reviewing the material available for seal faces, it is clear that each has its own set of strengths and weaknesses (Tables 1, 2, and 3). It is not possible to declare one material superior in all applications. The demands of the process conditions, seal component design, tribological properties, and economic considerations all play a part in this evaluation. It is however possible to compare physical data that can help explain the difference between the materials. It is also possible to list the general strengths and weaknesses of each material type that can give guidance in its use.

**Table 1. Comparison of Properties of Common Seal Face Materials.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Density - g/cc</th>
<th>CTE - °C/°F</th>
<th>Hardness - see Note 2</th>
<th>Modulus - psi</th>
<th>Strength, Transverse - psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Dry</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Self Bound</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Reaction Bound</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Dry Running</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Self Bound Running</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon - Reaction Bound Running</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina Oxide</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten Carbide</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Carbide - Self Sintered</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Carbide - Reaction Bonded</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon Carbide - Impregnated</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additives</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECONDARY SEALS**

Secondary seals (or gaskets) are defined as any sealing element that prevents leakage of the process fluid under pressure. These appear throughout a typical mechanical seal assembly. In most cases the seal faces are mounted into the gland or onto the sleeve with a secondary seal. Secondary seals are used to seal the seal gland against the pump housing. They are also used to seal the sleeve to the pump shaft. Some secondary seals are assembled as part of the seal cartridge. Others only perform their function as the seal is assembled in the pump.

Secondary seals are most commonly elastomeric O-rings although other materials such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and flexible graphite seals are also frequently used. Secondary seals between the seal gland and the pump can also be flat composite materials or spiral metal/composite gaskets. The unique requirements for each sealing location help provide the seal designer with the information necessary to select the correct seal design. The design requirements of a secondary seal depend on the specific application but they include the following:

- **Pressure containment**—must be capable of sealing under the highest pressure for the application;
- **Chemically resistant**—must withstand all chemicals and combinations of chemicals without degradation, swelling, or loss of physical properties;
- **Surface finish requirements**—must be capable of sealing against the mating surface finish;
- **Thermal expansion**—must be capable of compensating for differential thermal expansion between components; and
- **Installation requirements**—must be capable of being installed into the seal or pump without damaging the secondary seal.

This paper will review some of the more common compounds and designs used in the seal industry today. It would be difficult to cover every compound and gasket design and there are others that are used successfully in industry today. The seal OEM can provide additional details about the gasket selection for a specific seal design.

**Elastomers**

Most secondary seals used in mechanical seals are elastomers. Elastomers are rubber-like compounds that can be elongated or compressed significantly without permanent deformation. This ability to move and return to its original shape helps elastomeric gaskets take the shape of the gasket cavity and still retain sealing force against its mating components.
While there is a wide array of options for elastomeric materials available, a few standard compounds have found wide use as sealing applications such as O-rings. These are widely used throughout industry and should be selected for most applications. There is an extensive amount of data available in evaluating the chemical compatibility for most elastomeric materials. This exists both at the material manufacturer as well as the equipment OEM such as the mechanical seal manufacturer. This helps minimize the chances of failures in the field. The popular compounds are also easier to find in inventory and generally are more competitive in terms of price than specialty elastomers.

Within a given general category of elastomers (such as ethylene propylene), the properties are dramatically based on the specific formulation, additives, and curing process. The most common variation is in hardness. It can also show up in properties such as aging, ozone resistance, high and low temperature properties.

**Ethylene Propylene (EPR) and Ethylene Propylene Diene (EPDM)**

Ethylene propylene is a copolymer of ethylene and propylene. Adding a third component, a diene, can maintain the saturated backbone of the molecule and move vulcanization or modification sites to a side chain. This terpolymer is referred to as EPDM.

Since their introduction in the early 60s, EPR and EPDM have become one of the most popular compounds for general elastomer services. They are used extensively in automotive and consumer products applications. For mechanical seals though, their use has been limited due to a relatively narrow range of chemical resistance. The primary weakness of these compounds is the poor resistance to petroleum oils and solvents. This includes petroleum-based lubricants that may be used to aid assembly of seals. Exposure to petroleum-based oils leads to excessive swelling and seal failure.

EPR and EPDM compounds are still used in some applications. They are considered as one of the best materials for hot water and steam (up to 400°F) applications. They are also used with silicone-based products, ketones, and alcohols. Care must be taken to ensure hydrocarbon-based products are not present during system upsets or from contamination in the system.

**Nitrile (NBR)**

Nitrile (also called Buna-N) is a copolymer of acrylonitrile and butadiene. By varying the percentage of each, this compound exhibits a wide range of chemical resistance and physical properties. There are tradeoffs though. For example, increasing the acrylonitrile fraction improves hydrocarbon compatibility but reduces low temperature performance.

Nitrile is the most commonly used elastomers for O-rings across all industries. It is the workhorse elastomer for the automotive industry finding use throughout the oil, fuel, and water systems. In other industries, it is used in general consumer goods, hoses, appliances, and plumbing seals. Nitrile has excellent resistance to most petroleum products. Nitrile elastomers can also be compounded to perform at temperatures lower than most other carbon-based elastomers (down to approximately −65°F). On physical properties, they offer good compression set performance as well as good tear and abrasion resistance.

Nitrile is not recommended for applications where it is exposed to ozone, sunlight, or outside weather conditions. It should also not be used in ketones, esters (including many hydraulic oils), and chlorinated hydrocarbons.

**Fluorocarbon elastomers (FKM)**

Fluorocarbon elastomers were the first high performance elastomers introduced in the market. They exhibited better overall chemical compatibility and high temperature performance than any other elastomer for many decades. They are still the standard elastomer for most mechanical seal applications in the process industries. Fluorocarbon elastomers are a copolymer of vinylidene and hexafluoropropylene.

Fluorocarbon elastomers are highly fluorinated compounds. Since the carbon-fluorine bond is so tenacious, higher fluorine content relates to better chemical compatibility. Fluorine content for some of the popular FKMs range from 64 percent to 70 percent. In addition to the fluorine content, other factors such as curing process have a large effect on the physical properties, operating temperature, and chemical resistance of the compound. Be aware that not all FKMs are the same. Fortunately, most mechanical seal OEMs have standardized on the higher quality compounds of FKMs and have a good installation database to accurately make material selections for a specific application.

FKMs are generally considered the best selection for hydrocarbon services especially at higher temperatures (up to 400°F). FKMs are also used widely in lubricants, halogenated hydrocarbons, silicone-based fluids, water, and acids. They have good compression set characteristics and are resistant to ozone and sunlight degradation. FKMs are not recommended for ketones, amines, and low molecular weight ethers, esters, hot water, or steam. They are also not generally recommended for temperatures below −15°F (although some specialty compounds are rated at −50°F in static applications).

**Perfluoroelastomers (FFKM)**

Perfluoroelastomers represent the state-of-the-art in elastomers for high temperatures and aggressive chemical applications. The chemical resistance of FFKM is often compared with PTFEs although, in practice, they are a little more restricted in their use. FFKMs have addressed many of the failures commonly seen in all types of process equipment in the chemical industry.

FFKMs are terpolymers of fluorinated, saturated monomers. This results in a highly fluorinated backbone that is very resistant to chemical attack. Since their introduction, this class of elastomers has seen rapid and continual development. There are literally dozens of FFKM compounds currently on the market. While there are many grades with a wide range of chemical resistances, many others were developed to address specific applications while sacrificing compatibility in other areas. Greater care should be taken in selecting FFKM compounds than any other class of elastomers. This is because these compounds are generally used in applications that seal the most aggressive chemicals or operate at very high temperatures. In these cases, the consequences of failure can be unacceptable. The other reason is that the properties and compatibility of these materials can vary widely from one compound to another.

FFKMs have several interesting characteristics. They have a thermal expansion rate approximately 50 percent higher than comparable FKM compounds. This requires that groove dimensions be checked and sized appropriately if upgrading to an FFKM material. FFKMs also have a higher compression set than most other elastomers. There has been much discussion on the merits of compression set and its role in sealability with O-rings. In properly designed grooves though, this has not been a major factor in actual practice. FFKMs are also limited in low temperature services due to hardening of the material. Most grades should not be used below 20°F. Finally, FFKM being a highly fluorinated material has a great affinity for fluorinated products. This results in excessive swelling, which does not harm the base elastomer but may cause a failure due to extrusion or hang-up.

Most FFKM suppliers have excellent references for chemical compatibility for the various compounds that they offer. These are available in hardcopies or on the OEM’s website. They also have technical support staffs that can provide additional information or provide sample materials for testing.

**Nonelastomers**

Nonelastomer secondary seals are defined as seals that do not consist entirely of elastomeric materials. In some cases, these are homogeneous materials; in other cases they are assemblies consisting of several materials. Once deformed, nonelastomer materials do
not inherently have the ability to spring back to their original shape. This requires special considerations for their use as a gasket material. In some cases it requires special gasket cavity designs. In other cases, the nonelastomer gasket is assembled with a metal spring component to provide the sealing force for the gasket.

Nonelastomeric materials are used because they can have unique properties that are not available in elastomeric materials. Nonelastomers can be compatible with virtually any chemical in process applications. They can also be designed for the highest temperatures seen in centrifugal pump applications. They can take the form of O-rings, U-cups, chevrons, spiral wound composite gaskets, and flat gaskets.

Flexible graphite is an excellent material for secondary seals (Figure 3). In many ways, it is ideal. It has near universal chemical compatibility. It is stable at the highest temperatures that will be seen in any centrifugal pump. It is soft and conforms to mating surfaces to provide an excellent seal. It does, though, have one major disadvantage. Flexible graphite has essentially no resiliency. Once the material is deformed, it will not spring back into its original shape. While this is useful for forming the gaskets, it does not allow the gasket to be installed like most other secondary seals. It also requires special consideration on sealing with differential thermal expansion between the mating components.

Flexible graphite gaskets must be either held in place by mechanical means or by hydraulic loading. In some cases like sleeve gaskets, the flexible graphite is mechanically clamped into place. The gasket will be deformed to provide an effective seal but the gasket will be physically held in place by the clamping components. Flexible graphite is used for mounting seal faces by providing an L-shaped face that hydraulically loads the gasket against the cavity. This design is pressure energized so the higher the pressure, the greater the hydraulic loading.

PTFE

PTFE or polytetrafluoroethylene has been the miracle material for several decades. This material has an extremely low coefficient of friction against most materials. It is difficult for most materials to bond or stick to PTFE. It is also chemically inert to almost any process sealed with mechanical seals. It is no surprise that it has found its way into almost every application from nonstick frying pans to seals in the most aggressive services.

Although PTFE is an exceptional material, it has some special considerations when used as a secondary seal or gasket. Most guidelines limit the use of this material to less than 500°F. PTFE can also be damaged easily by scratching, so care must be taken if the gasket is pulled axially into position.

For mechanical seals, this material is used in extremely aggressive service where elastomeric compounds are not suitable. It is also used in services such as batch processes where the changing chemicals or process conditions make selection of a single elastomer grade impossible.

Spiral Wound Gaskets

Spiral wound gaskets are designed with thin strips of metal separated by an inert filler material wound into a circular shape (Figure 4). This style of gasket has enjoyed great success as a flange gasket in process piping. In mechanical seals though, it is generally limited to use as a gasket between the seal gland and pump housing. Most spiral wound gaskets used for mechanical seals consist of 300 series stainless with an inert filler. Fillers include flexible graphite or proprietary materials from the OEM.

Spiral wound gasket

Strengths and weaknesses and the chemical compatibility of secondary seal materials are shown in Tables 4 and 5.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Custom Molded Parts</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Abrasion Resistance</th>
<th>Tear Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPR / EPDM</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBR</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFKM</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Ratings should be interpreted as ++++ = excellent, +++ = good, ++ = fair, + = poor

METALS

Metals are used throughout most mechanical seals. They provide the hardware for adapting the seal to a piece of equipment. They provide the major components of the basic seal including springs, drives, and bellows. In some cases they are even used as the seal faces. Although mechanical seals have some unique requirements, the selection of metallic materials is generally straightforward and does not differ much from the materials review of other pieces of equipment. There should be consideration that mechanical seals comprise components that have substantially thinner cross sections.
Materials used in mechanical seals can be manufactured from metals from many sources. In some industries, most of the seal components are machined from wrought materials such as bar or tube stock. This is because there are so many variations in designs, sizes, and cross sections that it is not economical to provide castings. In pump designs where there is a high degree of standardization or high volumes, seal OEMs utilize castings, stampings, and other high volume manufacturing techniques. Other components such as springs require drawing to obtain the required mechanical properties.

Even though metallic components share the same generic description, the source of the material and the manufacturing methods may affect chemical compatibility. For example, one of the most common austenitic stainless steels is AISI 316. This material is used extensively throughout the seal industry. In a wrought form, it provides excellent chemical resistance. In a cast material such as CF-8M, it introduces a ferrite phase necessary for casting. This increases the susceptibility for corrosion in some environments. As a spring material, the drawing or cold working of the material increase the hardness. This may make the material more susceptible to sulfide stress corrosion material.

For mechanical seals, alloy 400's most common use is in hydrocarbons. Alloy 400 is a nickel-chromium-molybdenum alloy that was originally developed for applications in hot sulfuric acid. Today it is still used in this service as well as other aggressive chemical applications. It is considered as resistant to applications that cause stress corrosion cracking. It is used in applications in the manufacture of rubber, high-octane gasolines, and pharmaceuticals. Alloy 400 can be readily welded without carbide precipitation so it has been used in welded metal bellows seal designs. Other adapter hardware such as sleeves and glands will generally be made from this alloy also to match the chemical resistance of the bellows. Alloy 20 is normally used only when no other common alloys are acceptable for a specific application. Other alloys such as alloy C-276 and alloy 400 are more common in the seal industry.

316 Stainless Steel

Austenitic stainless steels are the workhorse of the mechanical seal industry. AISI 316 (UNS S31600) is considered the base material for most seal designs. Other variations of this alloy such as 316L (UNS S31603) and 316Ti (UNS S31635) are used depending upon secondary operations such as welding or geographic availability, respectively. Like all stainless steels, 316 derives its corrosion resistance from the presence of chromium and the formation of a passive oxide layer. It is easily welded (especially as 316L) and is used for many welded metal bellows applications.

For mechanical seals, 316 stainless steel is used for a wide variety of applications from drinking water to hot sour crude oil. It is resistant to many acids and caustics although these should be checked for the concentration and temperature for each specific application. It is compatible with most other organics and hydrocarbons. 316 should not be used in services with high chlorides since it is susceptible to pitting corrosion.
used in aircraft and turbine applications. This alloy is easily welded so it found use as a material for welded metal bellows. For many years, this alloy was the standard material for bellows in high temperature applications. Since most of the high temperature applications were found in hydrocarbon processing application, these alloys became exposed to more aggressive environments as more sour crudes were being processed. This resulted in many seal failures and this alloy was eventually replaced with alloy 718 in refinery services.

Alloy 350 is still used in some welded metal bellows seals although it is limited to less aggressive environments. Since this alloy was never considered a highly corrosion resistant material, it is difficult to obtain chemical compatibility data or corrosion rates for most services.

**Alloy 718**

Alloy 718 (UNS N07718) is a nickel-chromium alloy that exhibits both excellent corrosion resistance and high temperature properties. This alloy can be heat-treated to obtain the required physical properties for a specific application and these properties do not significantly degrade over the temperature range typically seen in mechanical seals. For this reason, Alloy 718 has become the standard alloy for welded metal bellows in high temperature hydrocarbon processing applications. These alloys, with proper heat-treating, resist attack by sour crudes (sulfur) and other chemicals found in these services. This alloy has been adopted as the default material for Type C seals in API 682 (2004).

For mechanical seals, alloy 718 is used primarily for welded metal bellows. In a limited number of seal designs, components attached to alloy 718 are also manufactured out of alloy 718 for the purpose of match thermal expansion between the components.

**Low Expansion Alloys**

Almost all materials expand when they are heated. The amount of expansion is normally characterized by their coefficient of thermal expansion (COTE). When a seal is constructed entirely of materials with a similar COTE, it can be exposed to varying temperatures without changes in interferences or fits between mating parts. When components have significantly different COTES and they are exposed to large temperature change, changes in the fits between the components may lead to hang-up, distortion, leaks, or fractures. This is one of the design factors that a seal OEM considers in the design of any seal.

The most common area where this affects seal designs is with the interface between metal components and seal faces. Common metals have a COTE on the order of $6 \times 10^{-6}$ to $9 \times 10^{-6}$ in/in °F. Most seal face materials including carbon and ceramics have a COTE on the order of $3 \times 10^{-6}$ to $4 \times 10^{-6}$ in/in °F. This implies that, as the temperature increases, metal components will increase in size faster than seal faces. In most cases, the seal faces have a secondary seal that will compensate for this difference. For faces that rely on a shrink fit or interference fit, the ability to maintain the interference is directly related to the initial interference, differential COTE, size of component, and temperature increase.

For high temperature services, seal designs use low expansion alloys for interference fits on seal faces. These alloys are generally iron-nickel alloys and their COTE can be varied depending upon the nickel content. Many of these alloys were developed for matching the thermal expansion of glass. They are used extensively in lighting, optics, and instrumentation. For seals, alloys are selected where the COTE closely matches that of the seal faces.

The primary limitation of low expansion alloys in mechanical seals is their poor performance in corrosive environments. For mechanical seals, these alloys are used primarily for high temperature metal bellows seals, which are used in hot refinery services. Like Alloy 350, these alloys suffer from attack in high sulfur applications. This can lead to the components literally dissolving away in hot sour application. For these applications, it is necessary to go to special alloys that balance in an increase in COTE for enhanced corrosion resistance.

**Chemical compatibility and comparison of common metals are shown in Tables 6 and 7.**

**Table 6. Comparison of Properties of Common Metals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density - g/cc</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>8.69</th>
<th>8.06</th>
<th>6.53</th>
<th>7.32</th>
<th>6.3</th>
<th>6.12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COTE - in/in °F</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$8.4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Range - °F</td>
<td>2500 - 2550</td>
<td>2610 - 2650</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>2560 - 2580</td>
<td>2600 - 2620</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus - psi</td>
<td>$26.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$28.3 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$27.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$26.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$26.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$26.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$21.0 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength, Yield - ksi</td>
<td>30 - 45</td>
<td>45 - 60</td>
<td>45 - 60</td>
<td>35 - 120</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160 - 180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7. Chemical Compatibility of Common Metals.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrocarbons</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
<th>----</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acids</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bases</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorides</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Chemical Compatibility</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INDUSTRY STANDARDS FOR MECHANICAL SEAL MATERIALS**

Throughout their history, mechanical seals have little standardization in seal designs, materials, or nomenclature. Seal designs varied greatly between OEMs, industries, and pump types. This has resulted in a wide array of products and solutions to sealing problems around the globe. Through consolidations in the seal industry and common field experiences, seal OEMs and seal users began to informally converge in the areas of design features and materials. It was not until the release of API 682 in 1994 though that the seal industry had a standard that covered many of the aspects of seal design and application.

API 682 is an attempt to take the collective experience of seal users in various industries along with seal OEMs and document the knowledge of what has been successful in actual field applications. This standard has gone beyond the typical document that standardizes dimensions or a performance specification. API 682 covers design details, qualification requirements, documentation, and applications guidelines. It also defines a set of standard "types" and material requirements for common seal applications.

API 682 uses the term type to define a basic seal design. The seal type has implications related not only to the seal design and features but also to standard materials. API 682 defines three seal types: Type A, Type B, and Type C. The Type A seal is a pusher design that utilizes a dynamic gasket. The Type B seal is a general-duty welded metal bellows design. The Type C seal is a high-temperature welded metal bellows design.

In the Second Edition of API 682 (as well as ISO 21049 and API 682, Third Edition), the standard uses the concept of seal categories. A seal category defines the operating window, intended use, and material requirements. Category 1 is intended for use in standard chemical duty pumps. Category 2 is intended for heavy-duty refinery pumps where the seal will be provided minimal features and documentation. Category 3 seals are intended for use in heavy-duty refinery type pumps where the seal will be provided maximum features and documentation.
Before reviewing the standard material selection, the user should consider the scope of API 682. As complete as API 682 is, it is not intended to replace field experience in every application or service. In the chemical processing industry especially, there are literally thousands of different chemicals processed under a wide range of pressures, temperatures, and concentrations. Material selections from this or any reference must be compared against proven experience in similar applications in the field.

Default API 682 material selections are shown in Table 8.

**Table 8. Default API 682 Material Selections.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seal Faces</th>
<th>Elastomers</th>
<th>Metal Parts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A, Category 1</td>
<td>(optional: SSSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A, Category 2</td>
<td>(optional: SSSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A, Category 3</td>
<td>(optional: SSSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B, Category 1</td>
<td>(optional: RBSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B, Category 2</td>
<td>(optional: RBSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>FKM</td>
<td>316, Alloy C-276 springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B, Category 3</td>
<td>(optional: RBSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td>(optional: 316 single spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>Graphite, Spiral Wound Gasket</td>
<td>316, Alloy 718 bellowes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type C, Category 1</td>
<td>(optional: RBSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>Graphite, Spiral Wound Gasket</td>
<td>316, Alloy 718 bellowes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type C, Category 2</td>
<td>(optional: SSSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSSiC, Resin Carbon</td>
<td>Graphite, Spiral Wound Gasket</td>
<td>316, Alloy 718 bellowes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type C, Category 3</td>
<td>(optional: SSSiC, Antimony Carbon; for abrasive services, WC allowed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

Material selection for mechanical seals can be a challenge. This is true not only because seals are used under so many different operating conditions but also because they contain such a wide variety of materials. Between elastomers, nonelastomer gaskets, metals, ceramics, and carbons, the end user must be knowledgeable about many different materials with significantly different properties. Fortunately there are many references available to support this endeavor. Besides printed materials, the Internet offers access to websites from seal OEMs, educational groups, and material suppliers. By far the most useful resource though remains the seal OEMs and their technical support staffs. These groups not only maintain a comprehensive set of reference materials, they also have data on thousands of successful field applications that can be used as a basis for future material selections.

**DISCLAIMER**

Throughout this paper, data on physical properties and chemical compatibility are given. These data were obtained from a number of sources listed in the references and bibliography below. In some cases data may not be directly comparable due to different assumptions, sample preparation, or test techniques. The ratings given in the various tables represent the author’s opinion. Although all of the data in this paper are believed to be correct, neither the author, nor any other entity associated with this paper, makes any claim to its use or accuracy. Users of materials of any nature are urged to obtain specific information from the OEM about its applicability in a specific application.
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**OTHER RESOURCES**

The Internet has made it possible to access a wide range of information on materials and their properties. The list below contains some sites that may be useful to the reader.

General Materials
www.azom.com
Carbon and Ceramics
www.morganamt.com
www.coorstek.com
Elastomers
www.isirp.com
www.parker.com/o-ring/fcg/fcg.asp
Perfluoroelastomers
www.dupont-dow.com
www.gtweed.com
Metals
www.haynesintl.com
www.cartech.com
www.specialmetals.com