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Background

Four numbers of Fire Water Pumps supplied newly failed to pass SAT in 2006 due to high vibration on the Pump Head.

2 vibration consultants were involved to solve the problems without success.

3rd vibration consultant (GE) was involved in Oct 2009, implementation of recommendations started in 2010, hand over in 2012.
Technical Data and Acceptance Criteria

**Pump:**
- Vertically suspended centrifugal pump, 3 stages
- 1750 rpm, water lubricated, 880 cu.m/hr

**Drive Shaft:**
- Double Universal Joint Cardan Shaft

**Gearbox:**
- 750 HP, 1750 RPM,
- 1:1 Ratio, Right Angle
- Threaded Coupling Type

**Diesel Engine:**
- 12 Cylinders, DITA, 800HP, 1750 RPM

**Acceptance Criteria:**
- As per NFPA 20 article 7.6.1.5 which refers to Hydraulic Institute Standard (5.84 mm/s rms) at Pump Head
Specification Limit: 5.84 mm/sec. RMS measured at the top of the discharge head in 3 perpendicular directions.
Comparison of vibration signatures of Pumps A, B & D on the GB output Vertical location

Observation#1: Vibration signature on Gearbox indicated that a significant energy components getting transmitted from the Diesel Engine at 1X, 3X, 3.5X and 6X
Reason could be: vibration isolators are not installed either under the engine feet nor under the frame on which the engine is mounted.

Normal locations for installation of VIBRATION ISOLATORS to prevent transfer of vibrations to surroundings.

Base plate on which Gearbox and pump are installed.

Fabricated Steel Frame – anchored to concrete floor - to hold Engine and Pump & GB Assembly

Concrete Floor

Foundation/supporting arrangement for Diesel Engine, Gearbox and Pump.
Observation #2: Startup/coast down waterfall indicated resonance in the speed range 1200 to 1400 rpm

Critical speed in the range of 1200-1400 rpm
Observation#3: Bump test data on the Gearbox structure indicated a resonance at 22.5Hz and 33 Hz, which is less than 20% separation margin (Pump Running speed 28.75cps)
Observation #4: High frequency spectrum on GB indicated 1X GMF with sidebands, possibly due to wear of gear teeth/excessive backlash.
Conclusions from Investigation

- Unbalance of Gearbox cordon shaft or coupling hub mounted at gearbox input shaft
- Transfer of vibrations from diesel engine to the gearbox and pump assembly
- Structural resonances from gearbox support assembly.
- Premature wear of gear teeth / excessive backlash.
Proposed Actions

- In situ balancing of gearbox cordon shaft and GB O/P shaft.
- Installation of vibration isolators below the engine feet or the base frame.
- Modify the Gearbox structure to shift the natural frequency with 30% separation margin.
- Inspection of Gearbox for excessive gear wear/backlash.
Action#1: In-situ Balancing done on GB I/P and GB O/P shafts to reduce unbalance on cordon shaft and to reduce excitation on the GB structure respectively. (coupling between Engine and GB used to balance the GB I/P shaft. GB fan at the top used to balance the GB O/P shaft).
Action#1 (contd): In-situ balancing resulted in reduction of 1X. However the 22Hz resonance component was excited.
Action#2: The engine stand was replaced with a new stand with vibration isolators
Comparison of vibration spectrum before and after the modification of engine base frame with vibration isolators

**Before**

1X vibration level before

Engine vibration frequency components above a level of 7 mm/s without vibration isolators

**Now**

Drastic reduction in 1X vibration level now

Engine vibration frequencies dropped below 4 mm/s after installing vibration isolators
Action #3: Pump Head structure modified

Old Pump head

New Pump head
Bump test on the GB and pump head (with modified head) showed natural frequency at 41.25 Hz (achieved 41% separation margin)
Action#4: Gearbox inspected and found only 70% teeth contact. GB OEM brought to site, gear backlash was checked and adjusted to conform to OEM specifications.
Comparison of vibration spectrum before and after the backlash adjustment on the Gearbox

Before

High frequency GMF components & side bands

Now

Drastic reduction of High frequency GMF components & side bands
Final Vibration Results

Pump-D selected as pilot, implemented all the mentioned action items and resulted in great improvement. Red highlighted in table below is the contract specified location (Limit 5.84 mm/s rms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Before the modification in Oct’ 2009</th>
<th>After the modification in Nov’ 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vibration value - Overall in mm/s (rms)</td>
<td>Vibration value - 1X in mm/s (rms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine DE-H</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Input shaft DE H</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Input shaft DE V</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Input shaft DE A</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Output Top Horizontal</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Output Top Vertical</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Output Bottom Horizontal</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Output Bottom Vertical</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Output Bottom Axial</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Horizontal</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons Learned

- All contractual limits must be carefully acknowledged during design and verified during FAT.
- Solving the problems in factory is much easier than doing it on site.
- Get the right consultant involved at the first chance.
- Understand the size of problem since beginning could save time, cost and efforts.
- Major modifications might be a solution whenever the problem is complex.
END OF THE CASE...

QUESTIONS?