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ABSTRACT

The paper discusses and highlights the most prominent critical pump related challenges and experiences Eskom has been faced with during the past 20 years.

The challenges include the following:

- The effects of Pump System resistance on pump operating point including NPSH requirements and cavitation problems.
- The effect of the boiler feed water quality on Boiler Feed Pump Mechanical Seal face life and the required changes made to seal face materials in order to be compatible with the required boiler feed water chemistry utilized.
- The advantages and disadvantages of gear type mechanical couplings compared to flexible membrane couplings as used on critical pumps.
Experiences and lessons learnt through retrofitting Boiler Feed Pumps designed in the 1960’s utilizing modern design tools and technology.

The selection of coating applications for different pump components.

The pros and cons of having a long term contract / partnering agreement with a partner or a pump supplier.

BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) experiences and progress made in refurbishment in South Africa utilizing OEM and Non-OEM repairers.

The effects of, and the resultant costs incurred, as a result of the unavailability and unreliability of the Feed Pump Driver (Steam Turbine).

INTRODUCTION

Eskom is one of the top 20 utilities in the world by generation capacity (net maximum self-generated capacity: 41 194 MW). Eskom generates approximately 95 percent of the electricity used in South Africa and approximately 45 percent of the electricity used on the African continent.

Additional power stations and major power lines are being built to meet South Africa’s rising demand for electricity. In 2005, Eskom embarked on a capacity expansion program, the largest in its history, which will increase its generation capacity by more than 13 000 MW and its transmission lines by 2 920 miles (4 700 km). The capacity expansion program aims to both meet increasing demand and to diversify Eskom’s energy sources. The total cost of the program to completion in 2018 is estimated to be USD 33.47 billion (R340 billion) (excluding capitalized interest).

The objective of this tutorial is to share some of the experiences and lessons learnt during the past 20 years on the Critical Pumps (Boiler Feed Water, Condensate Extraction and Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) installed on the Coal Fired Power Stations in the Eskom fleet.

1. THE EFFECTS OF PUMP SYSTEM RESISTANCE ON PUMP OPERATING POINT INCLUDING NPSH REQUIREMENTS AND CAVITATION PROBLEMS

1.1 Boiler Feed Pumps

Cavitation damage became evident after a short period of operation on the SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) suction impellers installed in the Eskom 600 MW Power Stations. During the investigation into the cause of cavitation damage to the first stage impellers of the feed water pumps at the various power stations, it was found that one of the major contributors of the problem was that the actual system resistance was found to be much lower (10-15 percent) than the design system resistance. This became evident when the boiler/turbine acceptance test data was compared to the feed pump manufacturer’s characteristics curves which are based on the information supplied by the turbine and boiler manufacturers.

Table 1.1 shows the difference in steam driven boiler feed pump design head at nominal duty, compared to the actual tested feed pump head at nominal duty for the various power stations. A typical steam feed pump curve is shown in Figure 1.1, comparing the designed parameters with the actual operating parameters. In Table 1.2 one can see the difference in parameters from design to actual tested data for nominal duty for a steam turbine driven boiler feed pump.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Station</th>
<th>Design Total Head (ft.) for Nominal Duty</th>
<th>Actual Operational Total Head (ft.) for Nominal Duty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matla</td>
<td>7 381 (2 250 m)</td>
<td>6 397 (1 950 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duvha</td>
<td>8 530 (2 600 m)</td>
<td>7 545 (2 300 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutuka</td>
<td>8 530 (2 600 m)</td>
<td>7 545 (2 300 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethabo</td>
<td>7 650 (2 332 m)</td>
<td>6 889 (2 100 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matimba</td>
<td>7 808 (2 380 m)</td>
<td>7 152 (2 180 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendal</td>
<td>8 202 (2 500 m)</td>
<td>7 414 (2 260 m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Comparison of Feed Pump Total Head

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Design Parameters</th>
<th>Actual Operational Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium Feed Water</td>
<td>Feed Water</td>
<td>Feed Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature °F</td>
<td>348.8 (176 °C)</td>
<td>348.8 (176 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density lbs./ft³</td>
<td>55.62 (891 kg/m³)</td>
<td>55.62 (891 kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow gal/s</td>
<td>157.7 (597 l/s)</td>
<td>157.7 (597 l/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generated Head ft.</td>
<td>7 381 (2 250 m)</td>
<td>6 397 (1 950 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Main Pump) RPM</td>
<td>5 276</td>
<td>4 915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Booster Pump) RPM</td>
<td>1 542</td>
<td>1 436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumption hp</td>
<td>18 774 (14 000 kW)</td>
<td>17 232 (12 850 kW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Feed Pump Duty Point Comparison

The reason for this lower resistance curve is that the different designers and manufacturers of the equipment from the feed pump discharge to the turbine inlet valve were too conservative with their friction loss calculations, or too great safety factors are used when calculating the hydraulic losses. This equipment includes valves, high pressure heaters, economizer, the boiler, super heater, pipes, etc., which forms part of this resistance curve.
The SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) were actually running at \( (Q > Q_{\text{BEP}}) \). For this duty, the safety margin between the NPSH required and the NPSH available was reduced, which promotes the onset of cavitation damage, see Figure 1.2.

Design Parameters
1. Overspeed – main pump + booster pump (main pump \( N = 5547 \text{ RPM} \))
2. Design speed for nominal duty (main pump \( N = 5276 \text{ RPM} \))
3. Design system resistance curve
4. Design nominal duty (main pump + booster pump)
5. Design right hand limit curve

Actual Operational Parameters
6. Actual speed for nominal duty (main pump \( N = 4915 \text{ RPM} \))
7. Actual system resistance curve
8. Actual nominal duty (main pump + booster pump)
9. New modified right hand limit curve

Figure 1.1: Design Feed Pump Parameters compared with Actual operational parameters

NPSH Requirements

The above mentioned lower system resistance then causes the feed pump to run at lower head which in turn means a lower speed, as shown in Figure 1.1 and Table 1.2. As the SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) are all variable speed, the booster pump would then also run at a lower speed as shown in Table 1.2. This lower speed then reduces the booster pumps generated head which in turn means reduction in NPSH available to the main pump. The NPSH required by the main pump is also affected since the first stage impeller runs at a lower speed for the same flow. By using the similarity laws for flow and NPSH one can correct for the reduction in speed. These changes in NPSH requirements are shown in Figure 1.2.

During the commissioning of Matla and Lethabo Power Stations it became clear that the Units could not be operated within the original contractual right-hand limit curve for the SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps), as shown in Figure 1.1. The reason was the very large fluctuation in feed water flow demand from the drum type boiler which resulted in excessive spray water flow.
Typical back of the vane cavitation damage is shown in Photographs 1 to 4 which is a combination of the above mentioned phenomena and the part load operating due to the different load demands on the power stations.

Photographs 1 and 2: Feed pump impeller with pressure side cavitation damage through the complete vane thickness

Photographs 3 and 4: Feed Pump Impeller vane – pressure side cavitation damage

Above Cavitation study taken from [1]

1.2 Grootvlei Water Supply Pumps

Grootvlei Power Station was commissioned in 1969. The power station was decommissioned in 1989 and then subsequently re-commissioned in 2012. Grootvlei Power Station is supplied with raw water from the Vaal Dam high lift pump house located next to Vaal Marina at the Vaal Dam. The pump house consists of four high lift pumps that pump the water to Grootvlei Power Station over a total distance of 16.8 miles (27 km). The supply pipe line was replaced at the beginning of the return to service project. The high lift pumps are two stage horizontal split casing pumps with a back to back impeller arrangement with a cross over pipe. These pumps were refurbished as part of the returned to service program.

Severe cavitation damage has been observed on the pressure side of the suction impeller vanes of the high lift pumps, see Photographs 5 and 6.

During the investigation the following facts were found:

- The impeller material is bronze which was the preferred material used during the construction of the Grootvlei water supply pumps in the mid to late 1960’s. Bronze is classified as a soft material and does not have a high resistance to cavitation erosion, see Figure 1.3 indicating the metal loss due to cavitation for different materials.
- The pumps were running to the right of the duty point and BEP – overload operation, see Figure 1.4
- The NPSH available from site versus the NPSH required by the pump were found to be marginal, especially when pump is running to the right of the duty point and BEP, see Figure 1.4. Figure 1.5 indicates the NPSH curves and cavitation identification for radial impeller designs.
- The actual system resistance was found to be lower (lower frictional loss due to new pipe) and that the control valves installed on each pump was not controlling the pump within the preferred operating point.
All the above factors has led to severe cavitation damage to the first stage impellers of the pumps and also caused the pumps to run in overload with a lower efficiency and higher power consumption.

Severe cavitation damage has been observed on the pressure side of the suction impeller vanes of the high lift pumps, see Photographs 5 and 6.

This pressure side cavitation damage is typical when operating above the 100% duty point as indicated in Figure 1.5.

The recommendations to rectify the problem was to replace the first stage impellers with 13-4 CrNi impellers and ensure that control valves are set correctly ensuring the pumps run as close as possible to original duty point or BEP.

Figure 1.3: Metal loss due to cavitation for different materials

Photographs 5 and 6: Grootvlei high lift pump suction impeller - pressure side cavitation damage
Figure 1.4: Typical Pump Characteristic Curves

Design Pump Parameters = 
Actual Pump Parameters = 
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2. THE EFFECT OF BOILER FEED WATER QUALITY ON BOILER FEED PUMP MECHANICAL SEAL FACE LIFE

The Boiler Feed Pumping Plant associated with each Turbine/Generator Unit at Tutuka Power Station consists of 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps), the original design rationale is to have the SFP on load most of the time, with the EFP’s used only for Unit light-ups and shutdowns and when the SFP’s are unavailable.

The layout (see Photograph 7 below) of the SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Feed Pump) consists of a Booster Pump (which obtains its suction from the Deaerator), a Steam Driven Turbine and a multi-stage Main Pump. All train components are linked via direct drives (Booster Pump driven via a step-down gearbox).

Photograph 7: Tutuka Power Station SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Feed Pump) plant layout

The layout (see Photograph 8 below) of the 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps), consists of Booster Pumps (which obtains its suction from the Deaerator), Electric Drive Motors, Variable Speed Fluid Drive Couplings and multi-stage Main Pumps.
Tutuka Power Station was the first Eskom power station to introduce COT (Combined Ammonia Oxygen Treatment) into the steam-water circuit of their once-through or Benson Boiler design. The conversion from AVT (All Volatiles Treatment) feed water chemistry treatment to COT (Combined Ammonia Oxygen Treatment) feed water chemistry treatment was conducted in the early 1990’s. The motivation for the change-over to the use of COT Feed Water Chemistry Treatment is increased Boiler and Turbine components protection.

The major benefits of introducing COT feed water treatment were identified as:

- Lower differential pressure build up across the boiler
- Extension of the interval between chemical cleanings
- Extended operating period of the condensate polishing plants
- Lower regenerant chemical consumption
- A substantial reduction in the production of effluents
- Extended life of Turbine blades

The majority of Eskom’s installed feed pumps are equipped with Mechanical Seals. The only problem experienced since the introduction of the COT (Combined Ammonia Oxygen Treatment) at Tutuka Power Station has been associated with the premature failure of Mechanical Seals of the Boiler Feed Pumps. The soft face material initially used in the stationary seal face of the Mechanical Seal was Carbon-Graphite, impregnated with Antimony. The rotating seal face is Silicon Carbide (SiC).

In a number of cases selective leaching of the Antimony from the Carbon-Graphite seal faces has occurred, which results in chipping of the Carbon-Graphite seal face and ultimately in seal failure (elevated seal face temperatures due to excessive leakage across the seal faces) see Photographs 9 and 10. The leaching out of the Antimony from the Carbon-Graphite seal faces is associated with relatively low Conductivity (0.60 to 0.70 µS/cm) and relatively high pH (8.3 to 8.5) limits associated with the use of COT as the feed water chemistry treatment regime.

The water’s electrical conductivity indicates the purity of the water. As impurities are removed, the feed water becomes an electrical insulator rather than a conductor.

In addition local cavitation damage of the Silicon Carbide surfaces have taken place.
The Main Feed Pumps installed on the SFP’s (100 percent Steam Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and the EFP’s (50 percent Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) at Tutuka Power Station are based on earlier designs as installed at Duvha Power Station which utilise “Floating-Rings” as the pump sealing arrangement. For Tutuka Power Station, the Main Feed Pumps designs were modified to allow the use of Mechanical Seals as the pump sealing arrangement. This conversion or modification has resulted in the use of Mechanical Seals with large seal face diameters, which in turn has resulted high seal face sliding velocities - 139.5 ft./s (42.52 m/s) for the SFP’s (100 percent Steam Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and 172.4 ft./s (52.54 m/s) for the EFP’s (50 percent Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps).

The high seal face sliding velocities results in the generation of static charges in the Mechanical Seal faces. Due to the relatively low Conductivity (0.60 to 0.70 µS/cm) of the feed water in use, these built-up static charges cannot be safely diverted back to earth. Electro-static charging occurs as soon as two seal faces separate from each other, where at least one of the seal faces is highly insulated. This leads to an effect similar to the principle of galvanic corrosion as these stray currents inevitably track through the Feed Pump Mechanical Seal faces, causing tracking or arcing marks and pitting which ultimately result in premature Mechanical Seal failure.

In order to extend the useful life of the Feed Pump Mechanical Seals, investigations involving expertise from the Pump supplier, Mechanical Seal supplier and ESKOM Group Technology Department have been conducted.

Preliminary investigations were conducted into the elimination of possible static current build-up within the rotating components of the Mechanical Seals by means of Grounding or Earthing Brushes or similar current discharge mechanisms. This however was not feasible due to constraints imposed by the Mechanical Seal design and Main Pump rotating assembly configuration.

Proposals for the installation of Ammonia Dosing Units were made but rejected on the basis of cost, complexity in design, maintenance and operations, concerns regarding the use of toxic and hazardous media and the unproven performance in similar applications.

In the mid 1990’s tests were conducted using Resin impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces instead of Antimony impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces. These faces are resistant to leaching out caused by the introduction of oxygen into the feed water. The tests were unfortunately not followed through and subsequently abandoned. Resin impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces were temporary re-introduced in 2009 pending further investigations for use on all Feed Pump Main Pump Mechanical Seals.

### Table 2.1: Tutuka Power Station Feed Pump Main Pump Mechanical Seal Technical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>Feed Water Temp.</th>
<th>Seal Face Dia.</th>
<th>Pump Speed (at Full Unit Load - MCR)</th>
<th>Seal Face Sliding Velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFP</td>
<td>289.4 °F (143 ℃)</td>
<td>7.52 in (191 mm)</td>
<td>4250 RPM</td>
<td>139.5 ft./s (42.52 m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFP</td>
<td>289.4 °F (143 ℃)</td>
<td>5.98 in (152 mm)</td>
<td>6600 RPM</td>
<td>172.4 ft./s (52.54 m/s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2010, the Mechanical Seal supplier recommended the use of “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces instead of Antimony impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces and of late the Resin impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces. Each of the converted Mechanical Seals was uniquely identified and their performance closely monitored. The first of the converted Mechanical Seals was installed in a feed pump in late 2010. The implementation of the use of “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces in the Tutuka Mechanical Seals however proved to be unsuccessful. The failure mode as experienced previously with the Antimony impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces; chipping and tracking of the seal faces was eliminated, but the new “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces proved to be “Too Soft”. The failure mode being experienced was that the seal faces were being worn away – see Photograph 11.

Mechanical Seals fitted with “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces failed after less than 10 000 running hours where previously about 15 000 running hours were achieved on Mechanical Seals fitted with Antimony impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces. The “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces, as the description indicates are “Pure” Carbon-Graphite, with no additives, thus accounting for its relative “Softness”.

Photograph 11: Worn Carbon-Graphite seal face – “Pure” Carbon-Graphite
Due to unsuccessful implementation of the use of “Pure” Carbon-Graphite seal faces in the Tutuka Mechanical Seals, the decision was made in early 2013 to revert back to using the Resin impregnated Carbon-Graphite seal faces. Timeline as per Table 2.2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Installation</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Material Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original (1980’s)</td>
<td>Stationary Element</td>
<td>Carbon Graphite, Antimony Impregnated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Element</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide (SiC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test (mid 1990’s and temporary reintroduction in 2009)</td>
<td>Stationary Element</td>
<td>Carbon Graphite, Resin Impregnated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Element</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide (SiC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim (2010 to 2013)</td>
<td>Stationary Element</td>
<td>Carbon Graphite (“Pure”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Element</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide (SiC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current (early 2013)</td>
<td>Stationary Element</td>
<td>Carbon Graphite, Resin Impregnated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Element</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide (SiC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed (2015)</td>
<td>Stationary Element</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide (SiC) impregnated Carbon Graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating Element</td>
<td>Q225 Poly Crystalline Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A project has since been launched for the installation and use of Mechanical Seals fitted with Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) seal faces.

The project is initially for installation on the SFP’s (100 percent Steam Driven Boiler Feed Pump) Main Pumps in 2015 with installation on the EFP’s (50 percent Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) Main Pumps to follow at a later date.

The proposed upgraded Mechanical Seals are configured as follows:
- Stationary Face – Silicon Carbide (SiC) impregnated Carbon Graphite - (Q3)
- Rotating Face – Q225 Poly Crystalline Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) – (DF)

The rotating Silicon Carbide (SiC) Seal Faces are coated with a layer of microcrystalline diamond coating up to 0.55 mil (14 µm) thick and is applied to the seal face under vacuum at temperatures of 3 632 °F (2 000 °C) by means of a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process.

To the mechanical seal supplier, the idea of using diamond coatings originated from the power transmission industry where diamond coatings showed excellent resistance against high voltages. Positive experiences with diamond-coated seal faces were obtained in general industry applications where highly abrasive fluids (slurries) are being pumped.

Mechanical Seals fitted with Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) seal faces are ideally suited for applications with seal face sliding velocities greater than 130 ft./s (40 m/s), operating with seal face temperatures of approximately 140°F (60°C) in Plan 23 installations. Expected Mechanical Seal life exceeds 40 000 running hours.

Suggested advantages associated with the use of Mechanical Seals fitted with Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) seal faces:
- Extreme hardness and wear resistance
- Excellent heat conductivity and minimal heat generation
- Maximum chemical and corrosion resistance
- Outstanding electrical conductivity

The currently installed and utilized Mechanical Seals cannot be retrofitted to accommodate the new seal faces, therefore completely New Mechanical Seal would need to be fabricated and be utilized.
The proposal from the mechanical seal supplier is for the first set of Mechanical Seals (one DE and one NDE Mechanical Seal) which are fitted with Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) seal faces to be fully manufactured in Germany. The remaining Mechanical Seals will be manufactured locally in South Africa utilizing seal faces supplied from the parent company in Germany.

2.1 Kendal and Matimba Boiler Feed Pump Mechanical Seals

Matimba and Kendal Power Stations both utilise 3 x 50 percent Variable Speed Electrical Driven Boiler Feed Pumps.

Due to differing Boiler designs etc., the feed water chemistry or treatment is different to that as utilised at Tutuka Power Station. The average Mechanical Seals life achieved on these Power Stations are in the region of 60 000 running hours. See Table 2.3 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Normal Operating Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tutuka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Conductivity</td>
<td>µS/cm</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen, as O₂</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.1 (100 µg/kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Main Chemical parameters for Tutuka, Matimba and Kendal Feed Water

Photograph 12 below indicates a microscopic image of the seal face from a Kendal Main Boiler Feed Pump Mechanical Seal face after 60 000 running hours.

2.2 Kriel Boiler Feed Pump Mechanical Seals

The Feed Pump Main Pumps on the SFP’s (100 percent Steam Driven Boiler Feed Pump) and EFP’s (50 percent Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) at Kriel Power Station utilise “Floating Rings” pump sealing arrangements. Due to unreliability of the current sealing arrangement and its ancillary equipment, a project is currently underway to replace the “Floating Rings” pump sealing arrangements with Mechanical Seals pump sealing arrangements. Due to concerns with regards to maintaining the required Feed Water Chemistry Standards, Mechanical Seals fitted with Diamond coated Silicon Carbide (SiC) seal faces are to be installed.

The feed water treatment utilised has a direct influence on the Mechanical Seal faces installed on the boiler feed pumps.

Additional factors contributing to poor feed pump Mechanical Seal life include:
- High circumferential speeds > 130 ft./s (40 m/s)
- Low pH < 9
- Low conductivity < 1 µS/cm

2.3 Discussion

Factors resulting from poor feed pump Mechanical Seal life:
- Consequential damage to other boiler feed pump plant components through lubricating oil contamination with water as a result of the failed Boiler Feed Pump Mechanical Seals.
- Increases in UCLF (Unplanned Capability Loss Factor) losses due to unreliability and unavailability of feed pumps resulting from poor Mechanical Seal life.
- Frequent failures leading to higher number of unplanned outages and increased maintenance costs.
- Unavailability of spare boiler feed pump Mechanical Seals due to the high failure rates and slow repair/refurbishment turnaround times.

Way forward with Mechanical Seals life
- Install Mechanical Seals fitted with Diamond coated SiC (Silicon Carbide) seal faces.
- Consider possibility of using other seal face technologies – Precision Face Topography with Laser treatment.

Above Mechanical Seal investigation taken from [4, 5 and 6]
3. THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF GEAR TYPE MECHANICAL COUPLINGS COMPARED TO FLEXIBLE MEMBRANE COUPLINGS AS USED ON CRITICAL PUMPS

In the past it was common practice to supply a high speed, high powered Boiler Feed Pump used in a power station with gear type mechanical couplings between the driven equipment.

Most of these couplings are injected with oil between the two coupling hubs and coupling boss, see Figure 3.1 of a typical gear type coupling.

The newer installed Eskom Boiler Feed Pumps such as those installed at Matimba Power Station have been equipped with Flexible Membrane Couplings, see Figure 3.2 below. The average operating hours of the couplings installed on the 18 off 12 069 hp (9 MW) Boiler Feed Pumps at Matimba Power Station are > 50 000 running hours. One of the Matimba Power Station boiler feed pump sets has run for more than 120 000 running hours.

If one compares our experiences with the gear type couplings compared to flexible membrane couplings installed on our high speed, high powered Boiler Feed Pumps the following is worth noting:

- Gear Type couplings tend to weigh more when compared to the same coupling application equipped with a flexible membrane coupling
- Gear injected couplings always leak at coupling housing or supplied oil pipe work
- Flexible membrane coupling are dry and have no oil injection
- Flexible membrane couplings are more rotor dynamic friendly when compared to gear type couplings – better pump vibration behavior
- Oil condition, oil carbonization and blocking of gear teeth could lead to gear teeth hang-up, which results in expansion thrust related problems
- Flexible membrane couplings are expensive compared to gear type couplings.

4. EXPERIENCES AND LESSONS LEARNT THROUGH RETROFITTING BOILER FEED PUMPS DESIGNED IN THE 1960'S UTILISING MODERN DESIGN TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGY

Arnot Power Station is equipped with Boiler Feed Pumps that were designed and supplied in the 1960’s (last Unit commissioned in 1975).

One area of development that has changed and has also been greatly improved in recent years is the thrust arrangement of Boiler Feed Pumps.

Arnot HPT pom 28-5 stage –Electric Motor Driven Boiler Feed Pump thrust balancing design

The original Arnot EFP (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) thrust balance equipment design consists of a balance disc and counter disc arrangement in combination with a throttle bush and balance piston arrangement as shown in Figure 4.1 below:
During the Arnot Capacity Increase Project (ACIP) it was decided to retrofit the existing balance disc; counter disc arrangement of the EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) with a balance piston/throttle bush arrangement in conjunction with a redesigned thrust bearing, see Figure 4.2 below:
To execute the above modification the following components was changed:
- A new shaft
- A new balance piston throttle bush arrangement
- Modifications to suction and discharge casing
- A new thrust bearing arrangement, with thrust collar and thrust pads
- New DE and NDE side bearing housings.
- New journal bearings

As part of the EFP (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) retrofit it was requested to conduct a Lateral Vibration Analysis. The pump OEM in conjunction with their design office in Switzerland conducted the Lateral Vibration Analysis.

During the Lateral Vibration Analysis investigation conducted on the new upgraded Arnot EFP (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) it was found that the EFP (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) actually runs very close to its second critical speed see Figure 4.3 below of the Frequency and Campbell diagram.

Figure 4.3: Frequency and Campbell Diagram

Modern tools and the development of rotor dynamic models have actually indicated that this Arnot EFP (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pump) designed in 1960 actually runs close the second critical of the pump.

Modern Boiler Feed Pumps today are designed using Modern tools with the development of rotor dynamic models to ensure that a Boiler Feed Pump maximum running speed is below the first critical speed of the Boiler Feed Pump.

Above ARNOT EFP taken from [7 and 8]
5. **THE SELECTION OF COATING APPLICATIONS FOR DIFFERENT CRITICAL PUMP COMPONENTS**

Various types of coatings with various applications exist on the market that could be applied to repair, extend the life and also to fix incorrectly machined or manufactured components for pumps.

First prize for any pump application will be that the pump selection – duty point is correct, Pump operation is ideal and that the material selection is such that limited damage is observed on pump components due to wear, corrosion and cavitation. The material selection must also be compatible with the pumped medium.

Damage to pump components will lead to increased vibrations, decline in pump efficiencies over time and have an influence in the reduction of a pump’s service life.

*If damage is observed on pump components it is important to understand the root cause of the damage, i.e.:*

- Is the pump actually running at the correct duty point? Both part load and overload operation will lead to pump component damage caused by radial, axial and bending forces. The onset of cavitation damage will also be observed.
- Is the pump exposed to frequent start and stops with temperature stratification on rotor and stator/casing?
- Are pump materials being attacked by the pumped medium? Is the material selection between rotating and stationary parts incorrect? Is it due to poor quality of materials?

Once the above questions have been satisfied can one either rectify the problem by addressing the root cause or is if necessary, accept the damage and then carefully utilize coating applications to upgrade the pump durability and reliability.

Using the correct coating for the correct application is important and is based on availability of coatings on the market with references where they have been used with success by more than one user.

*Application of coatings on the Eskom Critical Pumps:*

The majority of the installed Feed Pump Booster Pumps and Main Pumps in the Eskom fleet are supplied with 13-4 CrNi impellers and EN 56 or equivalent shaft materials manufactured utilizing OEM specified heat treatment regimes.

Due to the stainless combination of the impellers and the shafts, it was customary to silver plate the inner bores of the impellers to ensure that when an impeller is shrunk fit (impeller heated-up during assembly) on to the shaft it can be removed again without the shaft or impeller bore being damaged, i.e. to facilitate ease of assembly and disassembly of the two components.

It was also customary in the past to either apply a Hard Chrome coating to the journal bearing landings on a newly manufactured shaft or to apply the Hard Chrome coating to repair a shaft which had incurred damage in the areas of the journal bearing landings.

In the past number of years the design and manufacturing philosophies of feed pump manufactures have changed with regards to the use of coatings. Eskom has approved the adoption of these new state-of-the-art pump component coating philosophies for the critical pumps for Medupi and Kusile Power Station as well as for refurbishment and repairs on the current installed fleet of pumps.

*The following coatings and philosophies on the Booster Pump and Main Pumps have been used by Eskom during the past 6 years:*

- Chromium Carbide-Nickel Chromium (CrC-NiCr) coatings applied using a High Velocity Oxy-Fuel (HVOF) thermal spray coating process on the following pump areas:
  - Pump coupling landings on shaft
  - Bearing landings on shaft
  - Impeller landings on shaft
  - Balance Piston landings on shaft
  - Thrust Collar landings on shaft
- Bores of the impellers, balance pistons and thrust collars are no longer silver plated; instead the surface hardness’s if each individual item is controlled together with the use of highly polished bore surfaces.
- Repairs conducted on any of the bores on the shafts are by means of applying Chromium Carbide-Nickel Chromium (CrC-NiCr) coatings.
- On the older and smaller Eskom Power Stations (100 to 200 MW Units), Tungsten Carbide (WC) coatings are applied to the wear ring landings and in the throttle area at the balance disc. Balance disc and counter disc faces are left uncoated.

6. **THE PROS AND CONS OF HAVING A LONG TERM CONTRACT / PARTNERING AGREEMENT WITH A PARTNER OR A PUMP SUPPLIER**

6.1 *An agreement between partners*

A paper by Corbett et al [9] stated that if you choose to do something yourself, you should be better at it than the best company your competitor could hire to do it for them. If not, then you are sacrificing competitive edge, which few can afford for long. Clearly, maintenance partnering allows the customer to leverage the skills level of the contractor to increase business performance.

6.2 *What is partnering?*

Rothery and Robertson [10] defined partnering as a long-term strategy to achieve higher performance and/or lower costs through joint, mutually dependent actions of independent organizations. It is about sharing risks and rewards, achieving
common goals, and operating in mutual dependency. The element of risk sharing and mutually agreed objectives make partnership strikingly different from the traditional customer–supplier relationship.

### 6.3 Partnership between Eskom and Strategic Partner

Since 1993, Eskom have been partners with a Strategic Partner in a Partnering Agreement. During the initial implementation of the Partnering Agreement, the Strategic Partner was best equipped in order to service all critical pumps on Eskom’s plant due to disinvestment in South Africa and economic sanctions being imposed at the time.

Critical Pumps are identified as Boiler Feed Pumps (SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps), BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) and CEP’s (Condensate Extraction Pumps).

**Photograph 13: Typical CEP’s (Condensate Extraction Pumps) plant layout**

Back in the early 1990’s, due to lack of local technical support and back-up from non-Strategic Partner pump OEM’s and components suppliers, these critical pumps were being serviced and refurbished by the Strategic Partner. However, through this process, a number of pumps and components were modified to suit the design philosophy of the Strategic Partner, in some cases with disastrous results.

Recently, a number of foreign pumps manufacturers and suppliers have re-entered the South African market. A number of these companies were the original OEM’s of the plant being serviced or repaired by the Strategic Partner.

Eskom have since entered into strategic agreements with a number of these OEM’s or their appointed agents for the repair and refurbishment of Boiler Feed Pumps - SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps), BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) and CEP’s (Condensate Extraction Pumps).

By returning these components to their OEM’s for services and repairs, all previously modified items are being returned back to original specifications. Should updates have been implemented during the intervening years since original build and installation, these too can now be investigated for possible implementation.

The scope of the Partnering Agreement with the Strategic Partner includes the servicing, repairs and refurbishment of specific feed pump plant gearboxes and valves. As these plant items are not within the Strategic Partner’s scope of design, manufacture, supply or expertise, referral back to the their respective suppliers or OEM’s for back-up service and repairs is being considered and investigated.

The Partnering Agreement comprises two essential legs, on-site plant maintenance support and workshop repairs and refurbishment.

To date the on-site maintenance, services, overhauls and plant monitoring support function of the Partnering Agreement has operated satisfactorily.

The performance of the workshop repairs and refurbishment leg of the Partnering Agreement has however deteriorated over the past number of years.

Since the implementation of the Partnering Agreement, the scope of responsibility of the Strategic Partner has increased from 152 to 354 pumps (SFP’s, EFP’s and CEP’s), with a steep growth from 257 to 341 during the period 2006 to 2009. The workload and demands for spares, workshop repairs and refurbishments have exceeded available workshop and refurbishment capacity.

The key performance indicator for the success of the Partnering Agreement is the Availability of the pumps included in the scope of responsibility as defined by the Partnering Agreement.
The Partnering Agreement includes amongst others, the following KPI’s (Key Performance Indicators):

- Reliability
- Availability
- Power Station Performance
- Call-outs
- Overtime
- Spares
- Safety

Performance is measured continually. Monthly meetings are held at each Power Station site between management and site personnel from the Strategic Partner together with relevant Eskom site management and engineering representatives.

The overall performance of the Partnering Agreement is presented and discussed during an annual plenary meeting.

One to the original benefits or motivations of the Partnering Agreement was the fast-tracking of spares, overhauls and repairs. However from 2008 to approximately 2011, changes in management and managerial processes and
priorities at both Eskom and the Strategic Partner resulted in a dilution or shifting away from the original spirit and intent of the Partnering Agreement, which ultimately resulted in a deterioration in pump availability.

A renewed commitment by both Eskom and its Strategic Partner to making the Partnering Agreement work has resulted in an improved working relationship between both parties and an improvement in pump availability.

In January 2014 the Partnering Contract was renewed for a further 5 year period.

6.4 Closing notes on partnership with a pump supplier

The success of a Partnership alliance can be assessed by looking at the improvements that have been achieved. Basically, cost, productivity and pump reliability and availability is the most important performance indicators to be considered.

If one can sum up partnership in one word it will be TRUST. Once the trust is lost by any of the two partners the performance will deteriorate which could lead to enormous losses to both parties.

Senior management cooperation and the maturity of both organizations ensure that this is indeed a success for both parties. In other words, senior management must have partnering close to their hearts and drive it down and through an organization to ensure it works. The continuation of this alliance must be desirable and need be driven to the benefit of both participants into the foreseeable future.

Extracts taken from [9, 10 and 11]

7 BWCP’S (BOILER WATER CIRCULATING PUMPS) EXPERIENCES AND PROGRESS MADE IN REFRURBISHMENT IN SOUTH AFRICA UTILIZING OEM AND NON-OEM REPAIRERS

Across the Eskom Power Station fleet, the installed BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) were manufactured and supplied by either one of two OEM’s.

Operating regimes for the BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) differ between the power stations - see Table 7.1. Arnot, Duvha, and Kendal Power Stations have installed redundancy. Arnot Power Station have continuously operating BWCP’s and operates with 3 of the 4 pumps on load at all times, with the 4th pump as standby. Duvha Power Station has 2 continuously operating BWCP’s with the ability to run-up and shutdown the Unit with only 1 pump. Kendal Power Station has 3 pumps per Unit with 2 in continuous operation and 1 pump on standby. Should only 1 pump be available, Unit load will be reduced to 60 percent M.C.R. Kriel, Majuba, Matimba and Tutuka Power Stations each have only 1 BWCP per Unit which is only required during Unit light-ups and shutdowns - from 0 to 40 percent Unit Load.

As a result of differing suppliers and designs of the installed Boilers, little interchangeability of BWCP’s (Boiler Water Circulating Pumps) is possible between the various Eskom Power Stations: - No BWCP interchangeability is possible with the BWCP’s from Arnot, Duvha, Kendal and Kriel Power Stations. Full BWCP interchangeability of BWCP’s between Majuba and Matimba Power Stations is possible, with Tutuka Power Station also being able to interchange BWCP’s with these two power stations, but only after some significant modifications on the Electrical supply side.
At that point a Non-OEM supplier and manufacturer started to provide local support for these pumps. Components to repair the pumps were either sourced abroad or reverse engineered by this supplier.

Hence a large number of the installed BWCP’s have been repaired in the past using Non-OEM spare parts, standards, specifications, tolerances and materials. There are also a high number of BWCP’s installed that have never been refurbished since the day of installation when the Unit was built and commissioned.

**Causes for failures experienced during the past 20 years:**
- Plant aging – wet wound motor wire down to ground
- Inferior bearing material for the product lubricated bearing application
- Loss of cooling water – wire overheating – loss of insulation
- High number of frequent starts
- Poor water quality and debris in BWCP circulation loop
- Incorrect shaft material for the application
- Impeller and thrust collar bolt shearing due to not using high tensile steel and high temperature materials for the application.
- Using inferior winding material – not sufficiently insulated and correct thickness for the specific BWC and application.
- Poor operating practices

Photographs 15 to 18 below indicate differences in OEM and Non-OEM supplied components.

---

**Table 7.1: Design Status of BWCP’s installed in Eskom Power Stations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Station</th>
<th>Number of BWCP’s Installed per Unit</th>
<th>Number of BWCP’s required to operate Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arnot 6 x 400 MW</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kriel 6 x 500 MW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duvha 6 x 600 MW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (Unit can be returned to service with 1 BWCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutuka 6 x 609 MW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matimba 6 x 665 MW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendal 6 x 686 MW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majuba 6 x 685 MW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BWCP Continuous Running when Unit on Load**

BWCP used for Unit Start-up and Shut down

---

Owing to disinvestment and a number of associated reasons, the OEM BWCP (Boiler Water Circulating Pump) manufacturers and suppliers ceased support of their products in South Africa during the late 1980’s.
Eskom has removed all the BWCP’s repairs and refurbishments from the Non-OEM repairer.

All BWCP’s are now being repaired / refurbished through their respective OEMs. BWCP refurbishment / repair contracts are in place with these OEM’s or their appointed and authorized agents for the repair and refurbishment of BWCP’s.

Additional new spare BWCP’s were procured for the majority of the Power Stations to assist with the BWCP refurbishment program. A planned general overhaul is scheduled for each Power Station BWCP Unit, with the additional spare BWCP’s being utilized for replacing the older BWCP’s which are being refurbished or repaired or in case of a failure.
Both OEM’s have provided training to the local repairers who are equipped with the correct tools and facilities for repairing and testing and have the required technical information and know-how to successfully refurbish and repair BWCP’s locally, which is of benefit to local skills development and manufacturing.

Two sets of critical spares (electrical wire, bearings, thrust collar and sleeves) were also procured by the two repairers to ensure the long lead times for delivery of spares are eliminated with acceptable delivery times of 8 weeks for a complete BWCP refurbishment which includes a re-wind. Another initiative that is required by Eskom is to do local sourcing of the spares to ensure localization, development and manufacturing.

The two repairers, consisting of an OEM and an OEM’s agent also have more than one team that could attend to the mechanical and electrical requirements of the BWCP’s at the Power Station sites and assist with installation, commissioning and removal of BWCP’s.

8. THE EFFECTS OF, AND THE RESULTANT COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF FEED PUMP DRIVER (STEAM TURBINE) UNAVAILABILITY AND UNRELIABILITY

On all Power Stations equipped with 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) and 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps), the original design rationale is to have the SFP on load most of the time, with the EFP’s used only for Unit light-ups and shutdowns and when the SFP’s are unavailable.

The target is a 90 : 10 utilization ratio where the aim is to have the 1 x 100 percent SFP on load 90 percent of the time and the 2 x 50 percent EFP’s on load for the remaining 10 percent of the time when the Unit is on load or being run-up or being shut down.

Photograph 23: Typical SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) plant layout

Photograph 24: Typical 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) plant layout

SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) train availability has progressively deteriorated over the past number of years, resulting in much higher use and dependence of the standby EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps).

In a number of instances at some of the smaller power stations, the 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) are utilized instead of the 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps). This underutilization of the 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) is primarily due to Low Unit loading resulting from demand or production constraints.

A survey was conducted as to determine the unavailability of the 100 percent SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) trains at the various power stations. Data was then obtained for hours lost due to the pumping components on the SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) trains. The period analyzed was January 2010 to end June 2013. See Table 8.1 and Figure 8.1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Station</th>
<th>Overall SFP Train Unavailability</th>
<th>SFP Unavailability - Pumping Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tutuka</td>
<td>16.19%</td>
<td>2.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duvha</td>
<td>18.64%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnott</td>
<td>20.73%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matla</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kriel</td>
<td>41.09%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethabo</td>
<td>11.70%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>80.82%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendrina</td>
<td>37.06%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.1: SFP train and pumping components unavailability

It should be noted that a major contributor to the recorded SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) train unavailability due to pumping components at Tutuka Power Station are the frequent replacement of the Main Pump Mechanical Seals (see Section. 2).
Figure 8.1: SFP Train and Pumping Components Unavailability

A breakdown analysis was conducted to identify the main contributors to the unavailability and unreliability of the steam turbines and associated plant which is used to drive the feed pumps.

The graph below Figure 8.2 indicates as a percentage of severity, each of the issues being experienced.

Figure 8.2: SFP Turbine Unavailability Issues - SUMMARY

- Turbine Speed Control System Issues - 30%
- Lube Oil Systems Faults - 20%
- Steam Supply to Turbine and Unit Loading Issues - 13%
- SFP Condensate System Faulty - 9%
- SFP Turbine Rotor Failure (blade failures etc….) - 6%
- Misc. other contributors - 22%
In order to estimate or quantify the additional costs incurred by the Power Stations when running the 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) instead of the 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps), the cost for the additional Auxiliary Power consumed by the standby EFP’s was calculated.

**Note:** Costs calculated were based purely on the Cost of Auxiliary Power consumed when running the standby EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) and do not take into consideration any Thermodynamic Process gains or efficiency improvements which may result from running the SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps).

The costs were calculated for each power station based on the Power Consumed by each EFP motor, using the EFP running hours and the Auxiliary Power Costs applicable for that specific power station. See Table 8.2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SFP Train Unavailability Hours</th>
<th>Aux. Power Consumption (MWh)</th>
<th>Cost of SFP Unavailability (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>44 764.14</td>
<td>776 343.96</td>
<td>USD 13 252 549.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R 137 163 884.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>43 911.76</td>
<td>716 665.06</td>
<td>USD 14 218 226.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R 147 158 642.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>121 262.89</td>
<td>1 210 093.62</td>
<td>USD 24 925 800.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R 257 982 037.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>YTD</td>
<td>354 416.92</td>
<td>USD 6 310 204.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R 65 310 618.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>240 632.86</td>
<td>3 057 519.55</td>
<td>USD 58 706 780.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(R 607 615 183.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.2: Cost of SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) Unavailability

The consequences of 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) unavailability and underutilization include:
- Knock on effect of not having sufficient standby capability.
- Influence on and degradation of Electrical motors as a result of higher dependency on the 2 x 50 percent EFP’s (Electric Motor Driven Standby Boiler Feed Pumps) instead of the 1 x 100 percent SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps).

The survey determined that the larger percentage of SFP’s (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pumps) Train Unavailability is due to defects or problems on the SFP’s Turbine and its associated plant.

Initiatives to address SFP (Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump) Train Availability and reliability are being formulated and being implemented.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Eskom have been faced with various challenges during the past 20 years, which have brought along with it renewed understandings of what is required to maintain and improve the reliability and availability of the current fleet of Critical Pumps;

The current Eskom fleet is aging and as such numerous challenges with the plant are being experienced.

Loss of experienced personnel both within Eskom and its suppliers are being experienced resulting in a loss of essential skills.

A central team of specialists has been formed to assist with all major Critical Pump issues at the various Power Stations.

Knowledge transfer has taken place and the lessons learnt at one Power Station are being transferred and shared with the other Power Stations.

A Critical Pump Forum has been initiated with all Critical Pump System Engineers from the 14 Fossil Power Stations meeting once a month.

**NOMENCLATURE**

\( \eta \) = Efficiency [percent]

\( H \) = Head [ft.]

\( P \) = Power [hp]

\( Q \) = Flow [gal/s]

\( \text{NPSH} \) = Net Positive Suction Head [ft.]

\( N \) = Rotational Speed [RPM]

**SUBSCRIPTS**

AVT = All Volatile Treatment

BFP = Boiler Feed Pump

BEP = Best Efficiency Point

BWCP = Boiler Water Circulation Pumps

COT = Combined Ammonia Oxygen Treatment

CEP = Condensate Extraction Pump

DE = Drive End

NDE = Non Drive End

EE = Economic Evaluation

EFP = Electrical Motor Driven Boiler Feed Pumps

GT = Generation Technology

HP = High Pressure

LP = Low Pressure

MW = Megawatt

P/S = Power Station

SFP = Steam Turbine Driven Boiler Feed Pump

MCR = Maximum Continuous Rating

UCLF = Unplanned Capability Loss Factor

VSD = Variable Speed Drive

OEM = Original Equipment Manufacturer

Temp. = Temperature

Dia. = Diameter
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