Vibration Field Problem Resolved with Analytical Diagnostic Approach and Innovative Impeller Design
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ABSTRACT

Several pumps of same design exhibited field vibrations above API limits, dominated by Vane Passage Frequency (VPF).
Root cause analysis included both experimental and theoretical paths.
Experimental investigation, with shop vibration tests and modal analysis, showed natural frequency of bearing housings at VPF.
The theoretical approach, based on mainly hydraulic analysis, pointed out to discharge recirculation as primary cause of hydraulic excitation for high VPF vibrations.
The solution was identified with the design of an innovative impeller geometry (5+9 vanes).
Shop tests confirmed both overall performance and drastic reduction of vibrations below API limits.
Pumps with the innovative impeller were installed in the field confirming reduction of vibrations.

Lesson learned: high number of vanes at impeller outlet is a key feature for controlling hydraulic excitation forces, changing both VPF and amplitude.
Case story

Several pump units of same design installed with different duties, exhibited high vibrations above API limits, from rated point down to minimum continuous flow with process fluids (SG=0.56 to 0.75)
The pump type/size is an API 6" discharge with top-top configuration, double suction impeller, double volute, antifriction bearings configuration, 360° center-mounted (BB2)

Cross-Section
Bearing Housing – Vibrations Pickups
- DE-H Drive End – Horizontal
- DE-V Drive End – Vertical
- NDE-H Non Drive End – Horizontal
- NDE-V Non Drive End – Vertical
- NDE-H Non Drive End – Axial
Pump characteristics

Design conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N [rpm]</th>
<th>3565</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capcity Q [gpm]</td>
<td>3100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head H [ft]</td>
<td>1490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSHr [ft]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ns [US]</td>
<td>828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nss [US]</td>
<td>10950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 [in]</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 [in]</td>
<td>20.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rated points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case N.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q (gpm)</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>3070</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>2634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (ft)</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>1181</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 (in)</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>16.93</td>
<td>17.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCSF (gpm)</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSHr (ft)</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSHr(ft)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. of units</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vibration spectra at site - DEV

Qoper=2420 gpm (78% Qrated)
(Case 2, SG=0.56, N=3565 rpm)

API acceptance criteria = 0.12 in/sec overall (RMS)

0.37 in/sec

VPF = 5xN = 300 Hz (17825 cpm)
Key observations: CASE 2

ASPECTS

1) Operating capacity:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Q_{\text{rated}}}{Q_{\text{BEPduty}}} &= 1.03 \\
\frac{Q_{\text{oper}}}{Q_{\text{rated}}} &= 0.79 \\
\frac{Q_{\text{oper}}}{Q_{\text{BEPduty}}} &= 0.81 \\
\frac{Q_{\text{oper}}}{Q_{\text{BEPdesign}}} &= 0.78
\end{align*}
\]

(at max impeller diameter)

2) Margin of NPSHA/NPSHR

NPSHA/NPSHR = 1.5

KEY POINT

- Operating Capacity far below Design Capacity
- Sufficient NPSH margin
Key observations: CASE 2

3) Frequency activity:
   a) Most up to 1000Hz
   b) Dominant VPF (300Hz)
   c) Absence above 1000Hz up 4000Hz

4) VPF like spike

KEY POINT

High suspect of suction recirculation
High suspect of discharge recirculation
Absence or marginal cavitation

Possible structural response of bearing housing
The above vibration aspects are in principle associated to:

1) suction recirculation
   - Pressure pulsations with a broadband spectrum

2) discharge recirculation
   - A sharp increase of the pressure pulsations at VPF
     The intensity is increasing with further reduction of flow capacity

3) strong impeller–volute interaction
   - Pressure pulsations inducing vibration at VPF and increasing with flow reduction

4) structural response of bearing housing at 3565 rpm (mechanical aspect)
   - Structural vibration modes of the bearing housing are an essential factor in determining the level of vibration in presence of an internal exciting mechanism
Root Cause Investigation

**Experimental investigation**
- Shop tests
  (with an “as built” pump)
- Modal analysis

**Analytical investigation**
- Background data
- Hydraulic analysis
Shop tests

Case 2

Shop test vibration spectra at 1750 GPM 57% of Qbep-design (PK Velocity In/Sec)

N=3565 rpm SG=1.0

17,825 cpm = VPF (5X)

NDE-H

NDE-V

NDE-A
Shop tests

Shop test vibration spectra at 2690 GPM
87% of Qbep-design (PK Velocity In/Sec)

N=3565rpm SG=1.0

17,825 cpm = VPF (5X)

Case 2

17,825 cpm = VPF (5X)
Original impeller
Shop test vibration spectra
Velocity PK In/Sec
N=3565rpm SG=1.0
Original impeller
Shop test vibration spectra
Velocity PK In/Sec
N=3565rpm SG=1.0
Modal analysis (Hammer test)

**ORIGINAL BEARINGS HOUSINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Natural frequency [Hz]</th>
<th>HORIZONTAL</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>NDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Natural frequency [Hz]</th>
<th>VERTICAL</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>NDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Natural frequency [Hz]</th>
<th>HORIZONTAL</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>NDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>262-300</td>
<td>361-391</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Natural frequency [Hz]</th>
<th>VERTICAL</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>NDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pump speed** = 3560cpm = 60Hz

**VPF** = 17,825cpm = 297Hz
Modified pump (Mass added)

Shop test vibration results

Velocity PK In/Sec

N=3565rpm SG=1.0
Modified pump (Mass added)

Shop test vibration results

Velocity PK In/Sec
N=3565rpm SG=1.0
Key observations

Critical vibrational aspects:

a) High vane pass frequency

b) Increasing with flow reduction: Flow dependent

c) Bearing housing responding to vane pass

d) Added mass not effective

Key point: Vibration source is internal hydraulic excitation at vane pass frequency (Forced vibrations)
Root Cause Investigation
Hydraulic analysis

Analytical design approach

1) Off design evaluation

2) Impeller - Volute matching capacity

3) Onset determination of suction and discharge recirculation

4) Cutwater- impeller diameter ratio (Gap B)
Root Cause Investigation
Hydraulic analysis

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CASE 1</th>
<th>CASE 2</th>
<th>CASE 3</th>
<th>CASE 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{Q_{\text{rated}}}{Q_{\text{bep-max}}}$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{Q_{\text{rated}}}{Q_{\text{bep-duty}}}$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{Q_{\text{rated}}}{Q_{\text{rs}}}$ (*)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{Q_{\text{rated}}}{Q_{\text{rd}}}$ (*)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{D_3}{D_2}$duty</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$Q_{\text{rs}}$ = suction recirculation onset capacity (Fraser 1981)
$Q_{\text{rd}}$ = discharge recirculation onset capacity (    “    “ )

Key conclusions:
Discharge recirculation is the hydraulic triggering mechanism of vibrations
Discharge recirculation related with the impeller design
Volute interaction negligible (Large B-Gap)
New impeller design strategy

Impeller to be interchangeable with present pump configuration, i.e. double suction, double volute, existing bearing housing

A) Delay the discharge recirculation onset capacity below lowest rated flow for all cases (Rank A)
B) Reduce the discharge recirculation strength (energy level of the exciting hydraulic forces or dynamic radial loads) (Rank A)
C) Change the impeller vane number to reduce vane loading, pressure pulsations and move away from possible natural frequency of bearing housing (increased number of vanes) (Rank A)
D) Reduce the suction recirculation onset capacity and intensity (Rank A)
E) Keep or increase volute cutwater-impeller clearance: i.e. keep or reduce impeller duty diameter (Rank A)
F) Keep rated flow, head and NPSHR (Rank A)
G) Maintain efficiency at rated flow close to contractual value within maximum negative deviation of 1 point (Rank A/B)
H) Revise and marginally increase MCSF for each Case thus redefining the lower end of the operating range within API vibrations limit (Rank B)
Impeller design features

An existing patented design (double row of vanes) has been considered. The key features are:

A) **Inlet geometry: number and shape of vanes:**
   Optimized to meet suction conditions design target (Low number of blades)

B) **Outlet geometry: number and shape of vanes:**
   Optimized for reduction of hydraulic excitation strength
   (high number of vanes and appropriate shape)
   Compatible efficiency design target

The above features have been optimized for the specific requirements following the design priorities (Rank A/B)
New impeller design

Discharge impeller vanes = 9

Suction impeller vanes = 5
New Impeller Design
Shop test vibration results
Velocity PK In/Sec
N=3565rpm SG=1.0

Key remark :
Overall Vibration reduced by 50% and close to API limits of 0.12 IPS even with SG = 1.0
New Impeller Design
Shop test vibration results
Velocity PK In/Sec
N=3565rpm SG=1.0

Key remark:
All Vibration components at VPF drastically reduced below 0.03 IPS even with SG = 1.0
New Impeller Design

Shop test vibration spectra at 2690 GPM 87% of Qbep-design (PK Velocity In/Sec)

N=3565rpm SG=1.0

32,085cpm = VPF (9x)

DE-H

DE-V

DE-A
Shop test vibration spectra at 2690 GPM – N = 3565 rpm – SG=1.0 (PK Velocity In/Sec)

Old design

- DE-H: 17,825 cpm
- DE-V
- NDE-H
- NDE-V
- NDE-A

New design

- DE-H: 32,085 cpm
- DE-V
- NDE-H
- NDE-V
- NDE-A
New Impeller - Vibration reading
Site results (Velocity PK ln/sec)
CASE 2, N=3565rpm SG=0.56

Key remark:
New Impeller - Vibration reading
Site results (Velocity PK In/Sec)
CASE 2, N=3565rpm SG=0.56

Key remark:
Conclusions

An analytical diagnostics approach has been applied along with experimental investigation for identifying the vibration root cause. The vibration source was identified as an internal hydraulic excitation at vane pass frequency due to impeller discharge recirculation.

An innovative blade impeller design with double row of blades was optimized to meet the specific requirements.

The new impeller has been implemented and shop test revealed a drastic reduction of all vibration components below API acceptance level.

Field data show further vibrations reduction with full satisfaction of the customer.
Lesson Learned

An innovative blade impeller design with double row of blades was optimized to meet the specific requirements.

The new impeller has been implemented and shop test revealed a drastic reduction of all vibration components below API acceptance level.

Field data show further vibrations reduction with full satisfaction of the customer.
Thank you for the attention!