THE IMPORTANCE OF STRUCTURAL MODAL ANALYSIS IN 2 POLES INDUCTION MOTORS FOR LNG APPLICATION
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Abstract

High vibrations due to 2X electrical frequency excitation on bearing housings and frame occurred on a 20MW 2-Pole Induction Motors during acceptance tests in manufacturer workshop in stand alone configuration. Modal Analysis results in Factory Acceptance Tests (FAT) configuration were confirmed by Operating Deflection Shape (ODS) and Experimental Modal Analysis (EMA - Hammer test) leading to supports redesign. To mitigate the risk of high vibration in string test bench and customer site, validating also the present motor design, a further Modal Analysis were executed using the experimental data collected during the FAT to drive mode selection.
Motor General Data

- Liquefaction Natural Gas Train
  HD Gas Turbine + 3MCL + MCL + 2BCL + Helper Motor
- 2-pole Induction Motor
- Rated Power 20 MW
- Rated Speed 3600 rpm
- Motor fed by VSD (Variable Speed Driver)
Problem Statement

- Horizontal DE vibration probe: 7.75 mm/s RMS @ 120Hz
- Horizontal NDE vibration probe: 7.91 mm/s RMS @ 120Hz
- API541 acceptance criteria at factory acceptance test (FAT) was 1.8 mm/s RMS unfiltered;

Main finding:

- Raised feet design: on test bench for FAT the motor was supported with 6 steel cylinders per side.
- Waterfall @ 120Hz presents an amplitude fluctuation with a long period (about 15-20 min)
Analysis of the Problem

According previous manufacturer experience and available literature, high vibration with long period fluctuation have been correlated with the 2 times line frequency (electrical) vibration interaction with the 2 times rotational frequency (mechanical).

In such a case vibrations are very sensitive to:
• Flux amplitude;
• Motor's foot flatness (Soft-foot);
• Frame and baseplate stiffness;
• Amount of air gap between stator and rotor;
• Eccentricity of the rotor/stator;

FE Modal Analysis performed to investigate the impact of foundation stiffness on frame resonant frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity (mm/s)</th>
<th>Voltage (%)</th>
<th>Flux (Voltage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>@ 100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>@ 70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>@ 50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of the Problem

Findings from FEA Analysis:

- Motor frame resonance really sensitive to supports stiffness
- The motor frame resonance at 120 Hz is induced by a supports low horizontal stiffness (≈10^7 N/m)

Redesign of the test bench, increasing stiffness in both horizontal and vertical direction should move the system resonant frequency far away from the 2X Excitation Source
Analysis of the Problem

Findings from Vibration Measures:

• A mode @ 120 Hz was confirmed by hammer test results (EMA)
• ODS confirms the dynamic response of the motor is dominated by the mode @ 120 Hz.

ODS Result – Deformed shape @ 120 Hz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Damping (%)</th>
<th>Amplification factor Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of the Problem

String Test and Customer Site have a different arrangement for motor feet supports and fixation:

- Motor mounted on a dedicated baseplate
- During string test: LNG train mounted on a elevated steel structure
- At site: LNG train installed on a reinforced concrete table top

Risk of high vibration to be investigated considering the above scenario through FE dynamic analysis
Analysis of the Problem

FEA on String Test configuration

• For the whole structure (Motor + baseplate + String test bench) the modal analysis founds more than 235 Modes in the Frequency range 0-150 Hz.

• Modes classification by a dedicated harmonic analysis was not possible because the lack of detailed information* about the exciting force;

• Modes classification has been carried out with a different approach;

*Motor built by a supplier.
Analysis of the Problem

Screening criteria:

1. Only the modes in proximity of Machine Operating speed Range (1X and 2X) have been considered with a separation margin of 15%.

2. Modes have been classified as Local or Global elaborating the modal coordinates values. For each $m$ mode with it’s $\phi_{jm}$ DOF’s translation, it is possible to calculate:

$$Global/Local\ Indicator\ _m = \frac{\Sigma_j |\phi_{jm}|}{N_j \cdot \max_j \{|\phi_{jm}\}}$$

Where $N_j$ is the number of DOF’s considered and $j$ is the j-th DOF.

- 235 modes in the Frequency range 0-150 Hz.
- 117 modes in the Frequency range of interest: Machine Operating speed Range: 95-101% ±15% 48.5 - 70 Hz and 96 - 140 Hz.
- 21 modes identified as Casing modes.
Analysis of the Problem

Screening criteria:

Considering the EMA and ODS results a Horizontal bending modes is dominating the dynamic response when the casing is excited by the 2x excitation.

1. The 21 modes were plotted considering only the transversal direction.

2. The 21 modes were classified as per the maximum displacement measured considering the fact that all the mode shapes are scaled in FE tool by the mass matrix.
Analysis of the Problem

FEA on Site Configuration

- In comparison with the String test bench case according to customer data the reinforced concrete foundation at site introduces an higher stiffness below the baseplates sub-sole plates.

- FEA in the operating range of interest pointed out a similar dynamic behavior.
Conclusion and Recommendation

High vibration recorded during the motor FAT have been induced by the typical 2x exciting force for 2-poles Asynchronous motor and amplified by a not proper supports stiffness. If the motor is well supported as in in String Test and Site Configurations vibration amplitude is expected to be within the limit.
Conclusion and Recommendation

- Assessment of vibration risk in string configuration and at site was addressed by means of dedicated FEA.

- A “qualitative” method of modes classification allowed to demonstrate the low risk even if it was not possible to execute a dangerous mode selection with an harmonic analysis.

- The classification method is applicable only when experimental measurements (ODS and EMA) are available.

Readings during the String Test show total vibrations at operating speed below limits, in compliance with ISO standards, applied for this string test.
2-poles Asynchronous motor dynamic response was found to be strongly dependent from its supporting structure configuration and features. Great expectation on installation size and weight is nowadays increasing and supporting structure shall become much lighter and consequently more flexible. In this scenario, the importance of a machines and elastic supporting structure combined analysis is pointed out and new design strategy shall be developed to avoid unwanted vibration during machine operation.

Suggested best practice are:

• Motor design shall be fulfilled with dedicated finite element model including not only the motor casing but also the relevant supporting structures.

• Identify a standard rule to model the excitation force to perform a reliable harmonic analysis and allow a robust mode classification.
Back Up
Amplitude Fluctuation

Let’s assume to have two waves vibrating close in frequency (2X Mechanical Component and 2X Electrical Component) that acting on the same body:

\[ V_m = A \cdot \sin(\omega_m \cdot t) \]
\[ V_e = B \cdot \sin(\omega_e \cdot t) \]

Following Prostaferesi formulation and Beat Theory it is possible to affirm that equivalent vibration is:

\[ V_T = C \cdot \cos \left( \frac{\omega_e - \omega_m}{2} \cdot t \right) \cdot \sin \left( \frac{\omega_e + \omega_m}{2} \cdot t \right) \]

Electrical Component \( V_e \) and Mechanical Component \( V_m \) are summed when they are in phase and subtracted when out of phase with a period of 2 time the slip defined as \( \text{slip} = \frac{\omega_e - \omega_m}{\omega_e} \).
Global Local Indicator

This indicator is calculated for each mode $m$, summing the overall DOF’s translation amplitudes and dividing by the number of the DOF’s $N_j$ multiplied by the maximum translation amplitude for each mode.

$$\text{Global/Local Indicator}_m = \frac{\sum_j |\phi_{jm}|}{N_j \cdot \max_{j} \{|\phi_{jm}|\}}$$
Experimental Modal Analysis (EMA): allows to identify natural frequencies, mode shapes and damping ratio of the structure by the analysis of vibration data collected exciting the system by an artificial and well known excitation like impact hammer or vibration shakers;

Operational Modal Analysis (OMA): allows to identify natural frequencies, mode shapes and damping ratio by the analysis of all vibration data (removing the 1X component) collected during the normal operation of the machine. It means that the structure is only solicited by machines in operation and not through an artificial and well known excitation like impact hammer or vibration shakers;

Operating Deflection shapes (ODS): allows to reproduce the vibration patterns of the structure observed for some relevant speeds/frequencies by the analysis of vibration data collected during the normal operation of the machine. It allows to identify which are the modes (and relative mode shapes) that are dominating the dynamic response of the structure.