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ABSTRACT

The discovery of a diiron organometallic active site, found in the [FeFe]-Hydrogenase (H_{2}ase) enzyme, has led to a revisiting of the classic organometallic chemistry involving the Fe-Fe bond and bridging ligands. This diiron site is connected to a mainstay of biochemistry, a redox active 4Fe4S cluster, and the combination of these units is undoubtedly connected to the enzyme’s performance. The regioselectivity of CO substitution on the diiron framework of the so-called parent model complex (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)_{3}]_{2}, (pdt = propane-1,3-dithiolate), and its derivatives, informs on the interplay of electron density in the diiron core of the enzyme active site. The structural isomers (μ-pdt)[Fe(NHC)(NO)(PMe_{3})][Fe(CO)_{3}]^{+} and (μ-pdt)(μ-CO)[Fe(NHC)(NO)][Fe(PMe_{3})(CO)_{2}]^{+}, synthesized through CO substitution by opposing nucleophilic (PMe_{3}) and electrophilic (NO^{+}) ligands provide insight into the reactivity of both irons as a function of their π-acidity.

The intramolecular fluxional processes of a series of (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)_{3}]_{2} complexes allows for the generation of an open site mimicking the structure of the H_{2}ase where H^{+} binds in the catalytic cycle of H_{2} production. Density Functional Theory (DFT) was used to support the dynamic ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic studies that established the energy barriers to both the chair/boat interconversion of FeS_{2}C_{2}X, where X = NR or CR_{2}, and the rotation of the Fe(CO)_{3} moiety, a process essential to the formation of an open site. It was determined that the rotation barrier is correlated with the steric bulk of the bridging ligand.
that can be directed towards the iron. This is seen with the methyl substituent in both 
N(CH$_3$)$_2$ and C(CH$_3$)$_2$ producing a lower barrier to Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation than the NH and CH$_2$
analogues, while the steric bulk of NC(CH$_3$)$_3$ cannot be directed to the iron and results in 
a higher barrier than both NH and N(CH)$_3$.

Another class of bioorganometallic molecules, the dinitrosyl iron complexes (DNICs), is 
formed \textit{in vivo} as the product of NO degradation of iron-sulfur clusters; DNICs are thought 
to have possible NO storage and transport roles in the body. Computational investigations 
utilizing DFT have been used to support synthetic and kinetic studies of the reactivity of 
one such complex, (NHC)(SPh)Fe(NO)$_2$, (NHC = N-heterocyclic carbene) with CO.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION TO HYDROGENASES*

Introduction


Hydrogenase

The hallmarks of transition metal-organic chemistry are well represented in the catalytic active sites of the hydrogenases. They include low valent metals that are stabilized by \( \pi \)-accepting ligands such as carbon monoxide and cyanide, capable of supporting \( \eta^2 \)-H\(_2\) or hydrides as substrates or ligands, and found in molecular constructions that likely contain metal-metal bonds and bridging carbonyls. Even the air sensitivity of most hydrogenases, and light sensitivity of some, are nuisances that synthetic organometallic chemists have learned to accommodate.

In the mid-1990s, six decades after the report of the discovery of hydrogen metabolizing enzymes in methanogenic archaea by Marjory J. Stephenson and Leonard H. Stickland,\(^1\) a confluence of techniques, vibrational spectroscopy (with isotopic labeling)\(^2-5\) and protein crystallography,\(^6-8\) provided convincing evidence that the bimetallic active site of [NiFe]-hydrogenase, [NiFe]-H\(_2\)ase, surprisingly contained an iron bound to a carbonyl and two cyanide ligands. Shortly thereafter, vibrational spectroscopy in the isolated, diatomic
region of the IR spectrum confirmed the presence of $\nu$(CO) and $\nu$(CN) absorbances in the “all-iron” or [FeFe]-H$_2$ase, as indicated in protein crystal structures.\textsuperscript{9-13} That the highly light sensitive mono-iron or [Fe]-H$_2$ase likewise contained CO ligands,\textsuperscript{14-17} recognized in 2004, made clear that the active sites of the hydrogenase enzymes were most assuredly related to organometallic chemistry, Figure I-1.

Thus, the powerful principles and tenets of transition metal-organic chemistry, a field that matured almost concomitantly with the major advances in hydrogenase science, may be, and indeed are, used in the design of synthetic analogues that reproduce key features of

\textbf{Figure I-1.} Ribbon diagrams of the three known classes of hydrogenase; [FeFe]-H$_2$ase (A),\textsuperscript{9} [NiFe]-H$_2$ase (B),\textsuperscript{7,21} and [Fe]-H$_2$ase (C),\textsuperscript{14,15} from protein crystallography. The bioorganometallic active sites, below, demonstrate the unprecedented carbonyl and cyanide ligands, bound to low-valent iron (I) and iron (II).
the active sites and that may lead to understanding the enzymes’ mechanisms. It has been generally anticipated that such synthetic analogues might also be developed as robust, base metal catalysts to perform the functions of the [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H$_2$ase enzymes, that is, under the mildest of conditions, the controlled dihydrogen oxidation to protons and electrons or proton reduction to dihydrogen.

The widespread biological significance of hydrogenase enzymes was recognized soon after their discovery in the early 1930s. Since that time, hydrogenase activity has been established in a wide range of microorganisms; primarily within the domains of Archaea and Bacteria, but with a presence in Eukarya as well. These include, but are not limited to, methanogens, rumen bacteria, sulfate reducers, Fe$^{3+}$ reducers, photosynthetic O$_2$ reducers, anaerobic fermenters, and aerobic H$_2$ and N$_2$-fixing bacteria. A diverse community of scientists has contributed to all aspects of their characterization. Each decade since the initial discovery has seen major advances, from Hoberman and Rittenberg’s discovery of the inhibitors such as oxygen, carbon monoxide, and cyanide in the 1940s to the soluble hydrogenases from *Clostridium* and *Desulfovibrio* first obtained in the 1950s. In the 1960s, many proteins involved in biological redox processes were found to contain cysteine-bound iron and inorganic sulfide. By the 1970s, hydrogenases were recognized to contain these iron-sulfur clusters, which were implicated in the role of transporting electrons to and from the active site.

As the major class of hydrogenases contained EPR signals attributed to paramagnetic nickel in different redox levels, the 1980s brought contributions from
bioinorganic/biophysical chemists that further characterized the isolated, oxidized states of [NiFe]-H\(_2\)ase.\(^{24-26}\) The breakthrough that provided the impetus for traditional organometallic chemists to link their expertise with biology came in the 1990s in the form of x-ray diffraction analysis of crystalline proteins, coupled with IR spectroscopy, as described above.

Organometallic-like functions of the bifunctional enzyme acetyl-CoA and carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, ACS/CODH, also call on nickel in ACS and nickel plus iron in the CODH active sites.\(^{27}\) It is noteworthy that both biocatalysts are multimetallic: two S-bridged nickels are in ACS and nickel is surrounded by S-ligands and bridges to iron in CODH. Although numerous mechanisms of both ACS and CODH have been proposed, one common feature is the inclusion of Ni-C bonds, with both CO and CH\(_3\) ligation.\(^{28,29}\) As of this dissertation, experimental evidence for a naturally occurring Ni-CO is lacking.

Of course the most widely accepted organometallic in biology is based on cobalt in the B\(_{12}\) vitamin. Methylcobalamin, containing Co\(^{3+}\)-CH\(_3\), is an established source of methyl radicals, formed from the homolytic decomposition of the reduced Co\(^{2+}\)-CH\(_3\). The resulting Co\(^{1+}\) then regenerates into Co\(^{3+}\)-CH\(_3\) by nucleophilic attack of the electron rich Co\(^{1+}\) on a CH\(_3\)-X.\(^{30}\)

Myriad functions of iron in biology include roles in catalysis, electron transport and storage, and structure stability. In some metalloproteins, iron is found as an integral component of the peptide, attached to amino acid residues with oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur donor atoms assembled so as to achieve fairly regular and recognizable coordination
geometries. Other proteins utilize cofactors, such as iron-bound heme, that are assembled whole and delivered to the protein as a stand-alone, often weakly coordinated, unit. Iron is well known to exist in two biologically accessible redox levels, ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) and ferric (Fe$^{3+}$). These are the typically observed oxidation states in such famous systems as the iron sulfur clusters and hemoglobin. Only recently has the veracity of the reactive ferryl (Fe$^{4+}$) oxo species been accepted within bioinorganic chemistry. In contrast, the fundamental organometallic iron complexes find iron in lowest known oxidation states, e.g., Fe$^{2-}$ in Fe(CO)$_4^{2-}$ or Fe$^0$ in Fe(CO)$_5$, HFe(CO)$_4^-$ or Fe$_3$(CO)$_{12}$. Such low valent iron species, requiring toxic CO ligands for electron delocalization, would be reasonably assumed to conflict with nature. Nature’s control of such contradictions is of course the stimulus that drives the field of bioorganometallics.

The Chemistry of Hydrogen

The deceptively simple reactions facilitated by the hydrogenases are shown in Equations I-1, I-2, I-3, and I-4. The processing of hydrogen is needed in biology for relief of excess reducing equivalents, or alternatively, to use H$_2$ as a source of electrons, Equations I-1 and I-2. Indeed, some of the life forms mentioned above utilize H$_2$ as the primary energy

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2 & \quad \leftrightarrow \quad 2H^+ + 2e^- \quad (1) \\
H_2 & \quad \leftrightarrow \quad H^+ + H^- \quad (2) \\
H_2 + D_2O & \quad \rightarrow \quad HD + DHO \quad (3) \\
H_2 + D_2O & \quad \rightarrow \quad D_2 + H_2O \quad (4) \\
\text{Rh}^{1+} + H_2 + \text{Sub}^{ox} & \quad \rightarrow \quad (\text{Sub})\text{Rh}^{3+}(H)_2 \\
(\text{Sub})\text{Rh}^{3+}(H)_2 & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Rh}^{1+} + H_2\text{Sub}^{red} \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

Equations I-1, I-2, I-3, I-4, and I-5
source. The mid-Indian Ocean hydrothermal vents provide an example of an entire ecosystem that uses non-photosynthetic carbon sources with hydrogenase-containing microbes as the producers of H₂, the energy currency. Assays, as indicated by Equations I-3 and I-4, reflect the heterolytic mechanism of H₂ cleavage or formation whereby acid/base H/D exchange can take place.

The importance of hydrogen as a reagent in biology and in chemistry can hardly be overstated. The chemical industry and synthetic organic chemistry have realized many goals by developing homogeneous reduction catalysts, typically based on heavier noble metals such as platinum, rhodium, iridium, and palladium. These metals readily undergo two-electron redox level changes; Equation I-5 provides an example of hydrogenation of an organic substrate, such as an olefin, by the rhodium (I) based Wilkinson’s catalyst.

The extraction of electrons from H₂ is used for inorganic reduction processes, e.g. sulfate to hydrogen sulfide or iron (III) to iron (II). Thus, the [NiFe]-H₂ase, typically biased towards H₂ uptake is a hydrogenase common to such organisms that perform these reactions. Hydrogen oxidation is also a prominent feature of fuel cells, requiring catalysts for which the most efficient is the expensive and resource-limited platinum. The reverse reaction, generation of H₂ from protons in an aqueous solution and the direction for which the [FeFe]-H₂ase is typically biased, is the desired source of hydrogen for the reactions described above. Hence, a significant target of bioorganometallic chemistry is the synthesis of model complexes of the hydrogenase active sites that might
reproduce the activity of those enzymes—resulting in cheap catalysts based on first row transition metals.

*Dihydrogen Metal Complexes*

The coordination chemistry of the hydrogen molecule has been a critical challenge for organometallic chemists.\(^{36,37}\) As the seminal model for molecular bonding, with two electrons in a single \(\sigma\)-bonding orbital, \(\text{H}_2\) is the simplest molecule. However, the strong \(\text{H}-\text{H}\) bond (436 kJ/mol) and lack of non-bonding electrons to act as a “handle” by which to manipulate the molecule, renders it relatively inert to further bonding as an intact molecule. The first metal-dihydrogen complex, initially reported by Kubas and coworkers in 1980,\(^{37}\) was characterized and accepted as a true molecular hydrogen adduct in 1984.\(^{38}\) This tungsten(0)-dihydrogen complex, \((\eta^2-\text{H}_2)\text{W(CO)}_3(\text{PCy}_3)_2\), formed immediately upon the exposure of \(\text{H}_2\) to a five-coordinate \(d^6\) tungsten(0), ligated by two bulky phosphine
ligands and three carbonyls, Figure I-2. An intramolecular, agostic interaction between the C-H of a cyclohexyl moiety of one of the phosphines protected the sixth coordination site. This weak interaction could be displaced by H₂, in addition to many other small molecules such as N₂. The H₂ in this complex is bound to the tungsten side on, in a non-classical three-center, two-electron bond. As the two electrons originate in the σ-bonding orbital of H₂, all such η² complexes (including H-H, C-H, Si-H, etc.) were termed “σ complexes” by Crabtree.³⁹ The elongation of the H-H bond, from 0.74 Å in the free H₂ to 0.89 Å in the bound molecule, indicates the intact H₂ is destabilized, or more accurately activated, when bound to the metal. The hydrogen could be removed under vacuum in a fully reversible process. The ability of metal-hydrogen complexes to reversibly associate and dissociate H₂ is of critical importance to the hydrogenase enzymes, as is the rendering of the H₂ susceptible to heterolytic (H⁺/H⁻) splitting.

Since this first system, hundreds of metal-(η²-H₂) complexes have been synthesized using nearly every transition metal, from vanadium to platinum.⁴⁰ The majority contain

![Figure I-3. H₂ binding with H₂ σ-donation and π-back donation into H₂ σ* (center), the oxidative addition of H₂, forming a M⁴⁺(H₂) complex (left), and heterolytic cleavage leading to deprotonation (right).]
octahedral, d\textsuperscript{6} metals that are relatively low-valent, with a relatively accessible open site. In such complexes, the metal must be tuned such that its σ-accepting capability will allow H\textsubscript{2} to bind, while π-back donation from filled metal d orbitals into the σ\textsuperscript{*} orbital of the H\textsubscript{2} must not be excessive, Figure I-3. Highly Lewis acidic metal centers with insufficient σ-accepting capacity are unfavorable for H\textsubscript{2} binding, while excessive electron density on the metal results in full oxidative addition and formation of a metal-dihydride, with increase in the oxidation state of the associated metal. The instability of H\textsubscript{2} adducts of strong main group Lewis acids, such as CH\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+} and BBr\textsubscript{3} support the idea that stabilization of the H\textsubscript{2}σ\textsuperscript{*} orbital by the acceptor’s d electrons is required. Even so, the balance of σ-accepting and π-back donation is critical. Often, a π-accepting ligand is \textit{trans} to the H\textsubscript{2} to moderate the back donation.

Soon after Kubas’ first report of a dihydrogen-tungsten adduct, Crabtree \textit{et al.} reported an iridium (III) dihydrogen hydride, Figure I-2.\textsuperscript{41} At the time, it was anticipated that the reaction of the iridium (III) aqua complex with H\textsubscript{2} would yield the iridium (V) trihydride. Instead, \textsuperscript{1}H NMR below 260 K clearly showed the presence of two protons at δ = -2.9 and another single proton at δ = -15.3. When warmed to room temperature, the signals coalesce into a single resonance, indicating rapid exchange between the dihydrogen molecule and the hydride.\textsuperscript{42-44}
Although both of these metal-hydrogen complexes were synthesized via direct H_2(g) binding, either to an unsaturated precursor or by displacement of a weakly bound solvent molecule, this is not the only technique used. This straightforward reaction proceeds though a characterized hydrogen bond between the incoming acidic proton and the basic hydride, Figure I-4. This scheme has been used on many neutral metal-hydrides, which have been found to be good targets for protonation, forming stable, monocationic metal-hydrogen complexes. In 1997, Forde et al. demonstrated that a cationic iron-hydride could also be protonated, forming a dicationic iron-dihydrogen complex, Figure I-2. The iron (II) dihydrogen complex is stabilized by a trans π-acidic carbonyl ligand, but the short H-H bond reported, 0.86 Å, as determined by the NMR coupling within the bound HD, indicates that there is little donation into the σ* orbital of the hydrogen. Instead, this complex is almost exclusively stabilized by the H_2 σ-donation. Although the hydrogen is tightly bound, with no loss of H_2 under vacuum at 20°C, it is strongly acidic. Addition of dry ethyl ether results in deprotonation and reformation of the iron-hydride precursor. Tuning the basicity of the hydride and acidity of the dihydrogen in hydrogenase biases the enzymes towards either H_2 production or oxidation. Thus, our understanding of the organometallic chemistry enlightens our studies of hydrogenase biology, while new

![Figure I-4. Protonation of a metal-hydride.](image)
understanding of the biology in turn provides new paradigms for the organometallic chemist.

First Coordination Sphere Ligands

As described above, a critical balance of electrophilicity and π-back donating ability of the metal is required, for the uptake and binding of dihydrogen. For a mild two electron electrochemical process, as in the metal-catalyzed proton reduction to dihydrogen, proton coupled electron transfer is required. Both requirements are met for iron in the hydrogenase enzyme active sites by a combination of CO, CN\textsuperscript{−} and thiolate sulfur, RS\textsuperscript{−}. Well known for its π-backbonding capability, carbon monoxide delocalizes electron density from the reduced metal, while the anionic X-type ligands, CN\textsuperscript{−} and RS\textsuperscript{−}, rely on their capability to morph between strong σ-donor, dative bonds and covalent one-electron bonding. Cyanide and thiolate may be found combined with metals in higher oxidation states; for iron, Fe\textsuperscript{3+} is common. As an excellent 4-electron bridging ligand, RS\textsuperscript{−} takes on the additional role of maintaining the binuclearity of the [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active sites.

That CN\textsuperscript{−} is found in the [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ases and CO is found in all three H\textsubscript{2}ases, and that the three enzymes are phylogenetically distinct, speaks to convergent evolutionary processes that recruited these toxic ligands to facilitate the reversible reduction/oxidation process for hydrogen metabolism at iron.
Structure and Function

The Active Sites of the Hydrogenases

Shown in Figures I-5, I-6, and I-7 are graphics depicting the active sites of the hydrogenases, derived from the protein crystal structures as indicated in the captions, Figure I-1. These represent the consensus structures that, in all three hydrogenases, required committed effort over many years, eventually converging on the conclusions shown here; the dedication demonstrated towards this contribution to the hydrogenase field by a broad community of scientists cannot be overestimated. In Figures I-5, I-6, and I-7 we have used ball and stick renditions within ribbon protein structures, and oriented the configurations so as to emphasize the similarities of the pentacoordinate, organoiron units in each.

Figure I-5. Active site of [NiFe]-H₂ase.⁷,²¹
[NiFe]- and [FeFe]-Hydrogenase

The first reported (1995) structure of a hydrogenase, [NiFe]-hydrogenase from *D. gigas*, is a heterodimer in which a large subunit contains the [NiFe] active site and a smaller subunit contains several iron-sulfur clusters, Figure I-1. The [FeFe]-H$_2$ase protein from *C. pasteurianum* is a mushroom-shaped monomer, Figure I-1, for which a consensus active site structure was published in 2000. As with the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase, a series of iron-sulfur clusters are positioned at ca. 12 Å apart and function as the electron transport route from the exterior of the protein into the active site. While the 4Fe4S cluster closest to the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site is 12 Å away, for [FeFe]-H$_2$ase the final 4Fe4S cluster is directly attached to the [FeFe] subsite within the 6Fe6S, H-cluster of the protein. Its essential
requirement has been established, presumably for both high rates of \( \text{H}_2 \) production, as well as for activation and electronic tuning of the 2Fe subsite.\(^{46,47}\)

Thiolate sulfur bridges link the two metals in both the [NiFe]- and the [FeFe]-H\(_2\)ase active sites. In the former, the sulfurs are from two of the four protein-bound cysteines that comprise the coordination sphere of the nickel, producing a Ni(\(\mu\)-SCys\(_2\))Fe butterfly shaped core, with Ni-Fe distances that range from ~2.5 Å for the active form (Ni-SI), to ~2.9 Å in the inactive Ni-A and Ni-B forms.\(^6,8\) The larger distance is required for the additional bridging oxy-species. A variant, the [NiFeSe]-H\(_2\)ase, has one terminal cysteine replaced by selenocysteine, otherwise the structures are identical.\(^{48}\) The [NiFeSe]-H\(_2\)ase is found to be more oxygen tolerant relative to the [NiFe]-H\(_2\)ase, but the basis of the O\(_2\) tolerance is unclear. Recent studies of membrane-bound [NiFe]-H\(_2\)ase as in \textit{Ralstonia eutropha} have attributed O\(_2\) tolerance to a unique 4Fe3S cluster with additional cysteines proximal to the active site that aids in rapid repair.\(^{49,50}\)
For the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site, a unique, abiotic dithiolate bridges the two irons, creating two 6-membered, cyclohexane-like FeS₂C₂N rings displaying typical chair/boat configurations. The central, bridgehead atom of this metallo-heterocycle was the subject of controversy as protein crystallography at typical resolution cannot differentiate between the light atoms: CH₂, NH, or O. Through the use of HYSCORE and ENDOR spectroscopy, Lubitz and coworkers have provided definitive proof that the bridging atom is nitrogen.⁵¹,⁵² This conclusion has been further supported by Fontecave and coworkers.⁵³ The mechanistic role played by this pendent amine, or built-in base, for proton shuttling will be discussed below.

*Fe*-Hydrogenase

The mono-iron, [Fe]-H₂ase enzyme is the most recently discovered hydrogenase. Unlike both [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H₂ases, which are spread across multiple domains with hundreds of species utilizing one or both, that have been known for many decades, [Fe]-H₂ase, the so called “third hydrogenase” was first reported by Thauer in 1990.⁵⁴ It is also called H₂-
forming methylenetetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase (Hmd) and was first isolated from methanogenic archaea grown in the absence of nickel. At the time, the production of $N_5, N^{10}$-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin (CH$_2$=H$_4$MPT) from $N_5, N^{10}$-methenyltetrahydromethanopterin (CH≡H$_4$MPT) and H$_2$, Figure I-8, was found to be catalyzed by an unknown enzyme. Unlike the previously reported hydrogenases, Hmd does not catalyze the reversible interconversion of protons and electrons to H$_2$, Equations I-1 and I-2, and did not catalyze per se the single or double exchange between H$_2$ and H$_2$O, Equations I-3 and I-4. Also unlike previously examined hydrogenases, this one contained no iron sulfur clusters—they are not needed; there is no redox chemistry involved. In fact, it was originally thought to contain no metallocenters at all, and was referred to as the “metal-free hydrogenase”. It wasn’t until 2004 that Lyon et al. definitively showed both the existence and catalytic requirement of a single iron atom per enzyme.

The [Fe]-H$_2$ase is produced by methanogenic archaea that lack access to nickel. When the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase is not available for the reduction of F$_420$ to F$_420$H$_2$, essential to the production of methane, the CH$_2$=H$_4$HMPT produced by the [Fe]-H$_2$ase is capable of carrying out the reduction. It is worth noting that the [Fe]-H$_2$ase of *M. marburgensis* has a much higher $K_m$ than the [NiFe]-hydrogenase (0.2 mM vs 0.01 mM), and is therefore less active. Thus, the concentration of the [Fe]-H$_2$ase increases approximately five fold in nickel-limited conditions.

A difficulty in the study of [Fe]-H$_2$ase is its sensitivity to oxygen and light. Currently, the typical isolation of the functional protein requires first the purification of the inactive
enzyme from the *H. jannaschii* gene (as expressed in *E. coli*), then incorporation of a cofactor isolated from *M. marburgensis*. This cofactor contains the active site itself, and infrared studies have shown that it contains two iron-bound carbonyls in a *cis* configuration. A combination of protein crystallography and EXAFS has resulted in the presently accepted active site structure, Figure I-7. The cofactor is bound to a single iron atom by a pyridinyl nitrogen and an acyl carbon. The two carbonyl ligands and a single cysteinyl sulfur are bound in a square plane with the nitrogen. The sixth coordination site, *trans* to the acyl carbon, is the presumptive site of H₂ binding and activation. The iron is low-spin, d⁶, Fe⁰, and the substrate, CH≡H₄MPT, is positioned directly over the open site on Fe at a distance of *ca.* 3Å.¹⁴
The Mechanisms of the Hydrogenases

It is noteworthy that despite the separate evolutionary paths and different biosynthetic routes to the three hydrogenase active sites, a constant is the ultimate disposition in all three of a penta-coordinate iron. All three enzymes have iron atoms with two sulfurs (or one S and one N in the case of the [Fe]-H₂ase active site) and diatomic ligands: two cyanides and one carbon monoxide for the [NiFe]-H₂ase; two carbon monoxides and one cyanide for the [FeFe]-H₂ase; and two carbon monoxides and one acyl carbonyl for the [Fe]-H₂ase. With further ligation consisting of a single cysteinyl sulfur and a pyridinyl nitrogen, the apparent simplicity of [Fe]-H₂ase entices us to discern an explanation for its modus operandi that is entirely consistent with textbook organometallic chemistry. As hydrogen activation in the three hydrogenases is via heterolysis rather than homolysis of the H-H bond, the single iron of the [Fe]-H₂ase active site and its adjacent ligands must give the minimal mechanism. Nevertheless, as shown in Figure I-9, the computationally investigated catalytic cycle of the [Fe]-H₂ase by Yang and Hall, led to an unexpected dual pathway. After H₂ binding, deprotonation of either the hydroxyl group of the pyridinyl cofactor or the thiol of the coordinated cysteine produced energetically similar pathways. Rearrangement and heterolytic splitting of the H₂ by the newly generated base results in an iron (II) hydride, stabilized by charge delocalization over the adjacent ligands. This hydride is then transferred to the CH≡H₄MPT substrate, generating an equivalent of CH₂=H₄MPT and reforming the catalytic iron center.
While the imidazolium carbocation must be optimally positioned to extract a hydride from \( \text{H}_2 \), bound to and activated by Fe\(^{II} \) in [Fe]-H\(_2\)ase, the other hydrogenases are well set up for cooperative interactions and the formation of iron-hydrides. Positioned over the open

![Proposed mechanism](image)

**Figure I-10.** Proposed mechanism by which [FeFe]-H\(_2\)ase reversibly produces (clockwise) and oxidizes (counterclockwise) \( \text{H}_2 \).\(^{59,60}\)
site for the [FeFe]-H₂ase is the pendent nitrogen base built into the bridging dithiolate cofactor. This pendent base is attractively available for rapid intramolecular shuttling of a proton into the reduced iron for production of iron hydride in the first step of H₂ formation, followed by a second proton to form Fe^{II-(η²-H₂)}], Figure I-10.⁵⁹,⁶⁰ Alternatively, in the H₂ uptake process, the pendent base also enables rapid heterolytic bond cleavage of the H₂, forming a protonated amine and iron hydride.

The “open” site on iron in the [NiFe]-H₂ase is oriented towards nickel, hence the cooperative effect for hydrogen uptake or production must involve the ability of nickel or its thiolate sulfur donor to assist iron in the formation of H-bonded species or bridging hydrides.

Original discussions of [NiFe]-H₂ase argued for a Ni-site reactivity based on the observations of paramagnetic nickel and EPR signals that correlated with myriad redox levels of the enzyme. On the basis of FTIR, EPR, electrochemistry, spectroelectrochemistry and X-ray crystallographic studies, nearly a dozen different states of the [NiFe] active site have been assigned. The EPR silent Ni^{2+} species that includes the Ni-SI, Ni-SU, Ni-CO, and other states, can be oxidized to Ni^{3+}, as Ni-A, Ni-B, or Ni-C, or reduced to Ni^{1+}, as Ni-L; however, the iron remains low-spin Fe^{2+}.⁶¹ Currently most of the redox levels can be ascribed to inactive oxidized, oxy-species in the active sites of the enzymes which can be repaired electrochemically as well as by hydrogen reduction.⁴⁹,⁶²,⁶³
The most probable mechanism is shown in Figure I-11, wherein the nickel does indeed change oxidation states, involving Ni(I) and Ni(III) as well as Ni(II). However in the H₂ uptake and oxidation process (the counter-clockwise route), the Fe(II) traps the H₂, as it does in the [Fe]- and [FeFe]-H₂ase, while the roles of the nickel appear to be stabilization of the once-formed hydride, and in easing the transfer of electrons to the iron-sulfur clusters. It should also be noted that with changes in the oxidation state of the nickel, the bridging thiolates change their donating character to iron, hence the Ni(SCys)₄ also serves the role of a redox-active, metallodithiolate ligand.
Biosynthesis of the Active Sites

Biosynthesis of Hydrogenase Active Sites

The assembly of the sulfur-rich hydrogenase active sites is a challenge both in nature and at the chemist’s benchtop—or in the chemist’s glove box—as these molecules are usually air-sensitive, requiring the exclusion of oxygen in nature and in the laboratory. While nature must cope with the production of all components, including control of the diatomic ligands typically toxic to life forms, the chemist has CN⁻ and CO ligands readily available. In an insightful microreview, Professor Kaz Tatsumi expresses the great challenge to synthetic chemists in strategies to the sulfur-rich [NiFe]-biomimetics is the propensity of thiolate sulfur to bridge metals indescrimately, with resulting self-assembly of large, and largely insoluble, metal clusters containing all iron, all nickel or mixtures of Fe and Ni. Nature has the advantage here as the cysteiny1 sulfur donor sites that will bind the metals in proteins are in an evolutionarily perfected protein matrix that fixes a single metal at a time.
Biosynthesis of [NiFe]-Hydrogenase

Given its complexity, it is not surprising that the natural biosynthesis of the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site requires a suite of proteins, at least seven so-called “maturases”, which carry out a variety of tasks.$^{66-68}$ The order of events along the way to the finished hydrogenase proteins is given in the abbreviated cartoon depiction in Figure I-12. While for [NiFe]-H$_2$ase the source of carbon monoxide is currently not known, carbamoyl phosphate (CP), a natural product of ammonia and bicarbonate, is the source of cyanide.$^{64-66}$ Two maturases, HypE and HypF, hydrolyze the phosphate from the carbamoyl moiety and transfer it to a cysteinyl sulfur. From there, the latter is dehydrated to form a thiocyanate, which donates a CN$^-$ to the pre-active site iron found in a scaffold protein, HypD. An
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**Figure I-12.** Abbreviated descriptions of biosynthetic paths to [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H$_2$ase active sites.$^{64}$
intact Fe(CN)$_2$ (CO) unit is transiently bound before being transferred, prior to the nickel, into the apo-[NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site with the assistance of an additional maturase, HypC.\textsuperscript{69} The nickel storage protein SlyD is proposed to donate Ni to the maturases HypA and HypB, which act in concert to insert the nickel into the active site, transferred to cysteine sulfurs already positioned. At this point, the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site is complete, as described above. Nevertheless, some hydrogenases are not “turned on” until activated by an additional enzyme, which snips the end of the protein, resulting in assembly channel collapse in the large protein subunit. Subsequent protein aggregation with the small subunit that contains the electron-conducting, iron-sulfur clusters completes the active biocatalyst.\textsuperscript{67,68}

*Biosynthesis of [FeFe]-Hydrogenase*

As expected, the biosynthetic path to the 2Fe subsite, the catalytic engine in the H-cluster of [FeFe]-H$_2$ase, is simpler than that of the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site, see the right side of Figure I-12.\textsuperscript{70} Diiron units are common in metallobiology and an attractive proposal is that nature uses a diiron precursor, as of now ill-defined, found in a scaffold protein known as HydF, modifying it with the diatomic ligands produced by the HydG protein, and the unique bridging dithiolate cofactor that is proposed to be developed on HydE. Notably, HydG has been proven to generate both CO and CN$^-$ from the amino acid tyrosine, Figure I-12.\textsuperscript{71,72} The tyrosine undergoes a radical-induced decomposition to yield the carbonyl and cyanide, through interaction of either a glycyl radical (formed via a homolytic route) or a dehydroglycine moiety (formed via a heterolytic route) with an iron-sulfur cluster on
the maturase.\textsuperscript{73,74} It has been recently predicted that an individual iron atom, with diatomic ligands in place, may be inserted into HydF, there to combine with another.\textsuperscript{75} The formation of the dithiolate would then occur after the iron atoms are in place, perhaps even using them as a scaffold. While the structure of this 2Fe complex has not yet been established, HydF has been shown to bind the [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase model complexes, (μ-(SCH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}X)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(CN)]\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{2-}, where X = CH\textsubscript{2}, NH, or O, and insert them into the hydrogenase protein.\textsuperscript{53,72} In nature, the pre-active site complex is assembled on HydF, and subsequently delivered to the apo-hydrogenase, apo-HydA. This active site location already contains a 4Fe4S cluster at the end of a tunnel, which then collapses to form the cavity that encloses the fully assembled H-cluster, or the holo-HydA.\textsuperscript{76,77}

\textbf{Synthetic Analogues of the Active Sites}

\textit{Models of the [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site}

While it was known early on in their study that hydrogenases were rich in iron in the form of iron-sulfur clusters, it was not until 1980 that the presence of a single nickel atom was verified in the predominant class of hydrogenases, so named then as [NiFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase, with the Fe representing the multiple irons from the clusters.\textsuperscript{78} From EPR and EXAFS spectroscopic studies, the coordination environment about nickel was suggested to contain sulfur donors, and so the first reported models of the [NiFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase consisted of nickel-thiolate complexes.\textsuperscript{79,80} This direction yielded a wealth of information in nickel-sulfur coordination chemistry and helped address the control that must be exercised to avoid S-
aggregation of large clusters. In retrospect, we now view those homoleptic Ni(SR)$_4^{2-}$ compounds as apt models of the distorted geometry of the nickel site in [NiFe]-H$_2$ase.$^{81}$

The discovery of the Fe$^{II}$(CO)(CN)$_2$ unit bound to the nickel site gave rise to a focus on the synthesis of S-bridged, Ni-Fe heterobimetallics. This paradigm shift offered a new challenge, and a new assay for proof of progress. For the first time in biomimetic synthesis, the infrared spectroscopy of diatomic carbonyl and cyanide ligands, mainstays of organometallic chemistry, could be utilized to link synthetic models to the biological moieties they were made to mimic. One such model, the piano-stool ($\eta^5$-C$_5$H$_5$)Fe(CO)(CN)$_2^-$ complex,$^{82}$ Figure I-13, was shown to have an identical infrared stretching pattern to that of the protein in its oxidized, as-isolated form.$^5$ This result fully

**Figure I-13.** An organometallic analogue of the Fe(CN)$_2$(CO) unit in the as isolated, oxidized [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site.$^{82}$
corroborated the identity and number of the diatomics in the organoiron portion of the active site and the oxidation state of iron, Fe^{II}.

Several reviews of hydrogenase active sites have extensive descriptions of models prepared over the past two decades and the interested reader is encouraged to explore the structures from effective synthetic designs described therein.\textsuperscript{65,79,81,83,84} As in any natural product synthetic effort, selection of precursor is key to success. The structures selected for display in Figure I-14 are largely from recent efforts and were all designed as models of the [NiFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active site; core features are bridging dithiolates that reproduce the Ni(µ-S\textsubscript{2})Fe rhombic or "butterfly" center.\textsuperscript{85-92} In general the nickel is square planar, and the iron has diatomic ligands. Infrared spectroscopy is useful to monitor extent of reaction; vibrational spectroscopy also reports on the match or mismatch of the diatomic ligands’ electronic environment with those of the enzyme active sites in various stages of oxidation or inhibition by addition of exogenous CN or CO ligands. In the cases shown in Figure I-11, X-ray crystallography has determined Ni- - Fe distances in a range of 2.5 - 3.3 Å.

As all thiolate-S bridged heterobimetallics can be considered as metallodithiolate ligands complexed to a second metal, we have used that perspective to consider the origin of the [NiFe] complexes in Figure I-14. Complexes on the left have used an intact nickel dithiolate added to an iron precursor containing \textit{cis} leaving groups or labile ligands. The Schröder complex was prepared by reaction of the square planar (dppe)Ni\textsuperscript{II}(pdt) complex, pdt = propane dithiolate, with a source of Fe\textsuperscript{0}(CO)\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{85} Interestingly the (dppe)Ni(µ-
The pdt)Fe(CO)$_3$ product shows a tetrahedral twist within the Ni coordination geometry that implies internal reduction resulting in a Ni$^I$(µ-pdt)Fe$^I$ formulation. This complex contains a Ni—Fe distance of 2.47 Å, consistent with a M-M bond. Further work with this class of NiFe bimetallics found that the bridging bidentate dithiolates supported a one-electron oxidation, resulting in lengthening of the Ni—Fe distance to 2.82 Å, presumably due to reducing the Ni—Fe bond order to 0.5. Early designs used square planar NiN$_2$S$_2$ complexes in combination with iron carbonyls to yield adducts of Fe$^0$(CO)$_4$ and Fe$^{II}$, simply illustrating the principle of NiN$_2$S$_2$ as metalloligands to iron in two redox levels.

Figure I-14. A selection of biomimetics of the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase active site.
Tetradentate chelating ligands such as Artero’s NiS’2S2 dithiolate has been found to displace the labile THF ligand from [(η^5-C5H5)Fe(CO)2THF]^+ to first add the nickel dithiolate as a mono-dentate metallo ligand, followed by CO displacement to arrive at the bidentate Ni^{II}(µ-SR)2Fe^{II} product, with a long Ni---Fe distance of 2.9 Å.\(^9^2\) This complex is an electrocatalyst for H^+ reduction/H2 production using trifluoroacetic acid as proton source and operating at -1.47 V. The same NiS2S’2 complex was used by Bouwman, et al., in the synthesis of NiFe complexes wherein the Fe(NO)2 unit is the iron acceptor.\(^9^4\) The Fe(NO)2 unit has also been useful to Pohl (1997) and Liaw (2001) in the preparation of Fe(NO)2 derivatives.\(^8^9,9^5\) Notably the Fe(NO)2 unit may be considered as an isoelectronic analogue of Fe(CO)3 or a combination of diatomics in Fe(CO)\(_x\)(CN)\(_{3-x}\).

Complexes on the right of Figure I-14 were prepared from reactions of intact iron(II) dithiolates combined with complexes of nickel containing good leaving groups. Thus the (pdt)Fe(CO)2(CN)\(_2^-\) complex anion reacts with the dithiocarbamate nickel(II) complex containing Br\(^-\) and PPh\(_3\) as leaving groups.\(^8^7\) The resulting complex has cyanide and carbonyls on 6-coordinate iron(II) and a Ni---Fe distance of ca. 3 Å. Jiang and coworkers have derived a similar Ni^{II}(µ-pdt)Fe^{II} core in a neutral complex, again converging on a dicyano iron dicarbonyl acceptor with a Ni---Fe distance of 2.81 Å and a cyanide positioned as a bridging ligand.\(^8^8\) Both Tatsumi, et al. and Jiang et al. noted that similar NiFe bimetallics with monodentate thiolates as bridging ligands had longer Ni^{II}---Fe^{II} distances.\(^8^6\)
In order to achieve an Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_2$(CO) unit thiolate-S bridged to nickel, Tatsumi and coworkers incorporated 3-thiapentanedithiolate into the iron precursor.$^{90}$ In the reaction with the dithiocarbamate nickel(II) complex a Ni-Fe complex was achieved that had diatomic ligand stretching frequencies almost identical to that of the reduced form of the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase enzyme. As shown in Figure I-14, the thioether sulfur to iron bond was retained, and because of the large bite angle of the pentanedithiolate, the Ni(µ-S)$_2$Fe core is diamond-shaped, nearly flat rhombus with Ni---Fe distance of 3.30Å.$^{90}$

The Rauchfuss group has protonated the Schroeder-type Ni$^I$Fe$^I$ complex of Figure I-14, to yield a (µ-H)(µ-pdt)Ni$^{II}$Fe$^{II}$ complex, Figure I-15.$^{96}$ Likewise a bridging hydride was
reported by Ogo, et al., as a functional mimic that catalyzes electron and hydride transfer from dihydrogen.\(^9\) In this case the iron has phosphite ligands replacing the diatomics of the active site. The DuBois catalyst, Figure I-15, was designed to contain several of the functional features of the active sites of both [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H\(_2\)ase, by making nickel the catalytic metal, held in a flexible P\(_4\) donor environment.\(^9\) The pendent base nitrogen within a 6-membered NiP\(_2\)C\(_2\)N cyclohexane type metallocycles facilitate heterolytic H\(_2\) cleavage or formation. The built-in pendent base that serves as a proton relay has been further used in an iron complex, developed by Liu, et al., that is a solution electrocatalyst for the oxidation of hydrogen at a low overpotential.\(^1\)
Models of the [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site

The prototypical [FeFe]-H$_2$ase model complex is (µ-SR)$_2$[Fe$^\text{i}$(CO)$_3$]$_2$, first described by Reihlen in the late 1920s.$^{102}$ This complex and the µ-SRS, bridging bidentate dithiolate analogue, may be prepared by oxidative addition of thiols to iron(0) carboxyls, or via the route shown in Figure I-16. The bridging persulfide iron carbonyl, (µ-S$_2$)[Fe$^\text{i}$(CO)$_3$]$_2$, is arguably of primordial significance;$^{103}$ it is as well a prominent molecule in the historical development of Hieber’s organoiron carbonyl chemistry.$^{104}$ The Fe$^\text{i}$Fe$^\text{i}$ organometallic, rendered diamagnetic by the metal-metal bond between two d$^7$ Fe$^\text{i}$ atoms at ca. 2.6 Å, is a readily available precursor for both electrophilic addition of alkyl halides to the reduced persulfide ligand, or the condensation of aldehydes and amines that leads to the bridgehead amine complexes, of obvious closer analogy to the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase active site.$^{105}$

Also shown in Figure I-16 are the CO substitution reactions that typically proceed in bimolecular, thermal processes thus adding ligands such as cyanide, phosphines, N-heterocyclic carbenes and various N-donors. Sequential additions of monodentate ligands proceed with substitutions on alternate iron atoms. This is the observation with cyanide, readily producing the dicyano complex (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)$_2$(CN)]$_2$.$^{106}$ This complex is remarkably similar to the active site. A single additional replacement of one carbonyl ligand with a 4Fe4S cluster is needed to yield a composition identical to the active site. Unfortunately, the dicyano model complex is inert to further substitution. The cyanide derivatives are also incompatible with electrochemical proton reduction as the cyanide N is readily protonated, leading to decomposition. Hence phosphines are used as good
electron donor surrogates, resulting in many successes in the form (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)2(PR3)]2 that mimic some expected properties of the [FeFe]-H2ase active site.\textsuperscript{107,108}

Other complexes with strong σ-donating ligands have been utilized in models, especially N-heterocyclic carbenes.\textsuperscript{109,110} Nitrogen donor ligands such as pyridine and imidazole lack stability and only a few model complexes include them. Isonitrile ligands appear promising, as they should permit modifications of their steric and electronic properties. However, unlike cyanide, early studies found that isonitrile does not halt at the disubstituted product, but rather continues carbonyl substitution beyond what is desired.\textsuperscript{111,112} Multiple substitutions can also occur for PMe3 under stringent, forcing conditions\textsuperscript{113} and the vinyldiphosphine ligand has been highly useful as a scaffold bidentate ligand to promote “rotated” structures with an open site on one iron.\textsuperscript{114}

In addition to the propane dithiolate and the azadimethanethiolate as bridging bidentate ligand, other bridgehead modifications extend the list of diiron complexes. The condensation of formaldehyde and primary amines on a (µ-SH)\textsubscript{2}[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} scaffold can introduce a variety of amines in the bridgehead position making this a very useful functionality for subsequent immobilization on solid supports or electrode surfaces.\textsuperscript{115,116} It has also been used for cyclodextrin inclusion adducts.\textsuperscript{117,118} If the amine is excluded in the formaldehyde condensation process, etherdimethanethiolate is obtained.\textsuperscript{96} Song and coworkers have improved the synthesis of the etherdimethanethiolate\textsuperscript{119} and expanded the field with the first reported thioetherdimethanethiolate.\textsuperscript{120}
The requirement of good donor ligands lies in enhancing the pK$_b$ of the iron site for proton uptake and stabilization of higher oxidation states as are needed through the enzyme’s catalytic cycle, Figure I-10. Mimics of the diiron complexes in higher oxidation states are shown in Figure I-17. The right column shows structures of protonated (µ-pdt)[Fe$^\text{I}$(CO)$_2$(PMe$_3$)$_2$] and (µ-pdt)[Fe$^\text{I}$(CO)(PMe$_3$)$_2$]$_2$ yielding a thermodynamically favored bridging hydride, Fe$^{\text{II}}$(µ-H)Fe$^{\text{II}}$,$^{121}$ and a terminal hydride, Fe$^{\text{II}}$(µ-CO)Fe$^{\text{II}}$-H,$^{113}$ respectively, both in the redox level of Fe$^{\text{II}}$Fe$^{\text{II}}$. The terminal hydride is the expected configuration of the hydride species in the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase catalytic cycle.$^{113}$

**Figure I-17.** Biomimetics of the[FeFe]-H$_2$ase active site in oxidized form: Fe$^{2+}$Fe$^{1+}$ (left) and Fe$^{2+}$Fe$^{2+}$ (right).
As the open site on iron is a prominent feature of the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site, and an elusive geometrical isomer of the parent model, considerable effort has targeted such structural analogues. While the crystal structures of various (μ-SRS)[Fe^(I)(CO)₃]₂ complexes universally share an edge-bridged square pyramidal geometry, solution $^{13}$C NMR spectral studies established axial/basal site equilibration of the individual sets of three carbonyls at room temperature, but axial/basal site differentiation, as in the solid state structures, at low temperatures. Computational investigation identified a transition state that is the square pyramid – inverted square pyramid geometry as is seen in the active site, Figure I-18. Such a structure is a geometrical isomer of the ground state S-bridged square pyramids in the butterfly form of the parent models, (μ-SRS)[Fe^(I)(CO)₃]₂ complexes. Stabilization of this transition state relative to the ground state of the complex would require diminishing the Fe—Fe bond order, by removal of an electron, and inclusion of steric hindrance. The exact combination of steric hindrance that leads to reversible one-electron oxidation processes has been reached by accident or by design in less than 10 cases, three of which are displayed in Figure I-17, left column. Steric hindrance at the bridgehead carbon of dimethyl- or diethyl- propanedithiolate, in combination with the PMe₃ ligands, achieve the rotated isomer in the Fe^IIFe^I redox level. Steric hindrance from the ligands, the vinlyldiphosphine or the dimesityl-NHC, also facilitates these rare isomeric forms. The impressive change that occurs in the diiron complex with bridging propanedithiolate is emphasized in Figure I-18 where the
substituent donor ligands are PMe$_3$ and the IMes ligand. On one electron oxidation the huge IMes-NHC shifts from apical to basal positon as a CO shifts from terminal to bridging, opening up an open face on iron that is underneath the bridgehead CH$_2$ of the boat form of the FeS$_2$C$_3$ 6-membered ring.\textsuperscript{32} Clearly bridgehead steric bulk, provided in the protein by the surrounding peptide chain, is capable of maintaining the rotated structure even in reduced, Fe$^1$Fe$^1$ forms of the active site. In fact, the diethylpropane dithiolate form of (µ-SRS)[Fe$^1$(CO)$_2$PMe$_3$]$_2$ is substantially rotated in the solid state.

\textbf{Figure I-18.} Rotation of [FeFe]-H$_2$ase model into an isomer with a bridging carbonyl is initiated by oxidation of a complex with sterically bulky, strong σ-donor ligands.\textsuperscript{109}
Clearly the accessibility of a versatile precursor has led to a vast array, in fact, hundreds of synthetic analogues of the [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active site as have been already published. Some of them are electrocatalysts for proton reduction, the best being the benzene dithiolate diiron hexacarbonyl complex extensively explored by Evans, Glass et al.\textsuperscript{58} Some have multiple features that relate to individual components of the active site. The only complete H\textsubscript{ox} cluster mimic is the unique and fragile structure from the Pickett laboratory that has a 4Fe4S cluster connected to the diiron carbonyl core by a thiolate sulfur, Figure I-19.\textsuperscript{39} The redox active iron-sulfur cluster is represented by a ferrocenyl group on a phosphine ligand in elaborate construct from the Rauchfuss group, Figure I-19.\textsuperscript{40} This prize complex also contains the pendent N-bridgehead base, a diphosphine ligand to mimic the cyanides as donor ligands for the active site, and it is isolated in a

Figure I-19. [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active site and biomimetics displaying structural and functional similarities.
mixed-valent Fe$^3$Fe$^{II}$ state that is in the “rotated” structural form seen in the enzyme. This complex is active as a H$_2$ uptake catalyst, rapidly extracting electrons.$^{40}$

*Models of the [Fe]-Hydrogenase Active Site*

Early model complexes of the [Fe]-H$_2$ase active site, as with the [NiFe]-H$_2$ase, were hindered by a lack of structural information. It was known that the enzyme contained a single iron atom, and by its EPR silence the oxidation state could be narrowed down to Fe$^0$ or Fe$^{2+}$. Mössbauer spectroscopy confirmed the latter.$^{150}$ The combination of FTIR and EXAFS spectroscopies informed organometallic chemists of sulfur ligation as well as

![Figure I-20. Biomimetics of the [Fe]-H2ase active site.](image-url)
the presence of two cis carbonyl ligands with apparent unsaturation about the iron.\textsuperscript{79} Even with the structure of the light-inactivated cofactor, and the addition of a pyridine ligand, the composite active site structure revealed by Shima \textit{et al.} in 2009 had one more surprise, an acyl ligand trans to the open site.\textsuperscript{14} The difficulty in stabilizing a five-coordinate square pyramidal $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ species was addressed by Liu, \textit{et al.} through the reactivity of $\text{Fe(CO)}_3(\text{PR}_3)(\text{I})_2$ with the redox non-innocent 2-aminothiophenol (ATP) ligand.\textsuperscript{123} The structures of the resulting species revealed that the five-coordinate iron geometry ranged from mixed trigonal bipyramid / square pyramid to near-perfect square pyramid, Figure I-20. However, the non-innocence of the ATP ligand calls into question the oxidation state of the metal. Through a combination of X-ray crystallography, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and density functional theory calculations, it was suggested that the electron density could be more accurately described as an Fe$^{1+}$ antiferromagnetically coupled to an ATP radical. Protonation of the amine decreases the electron density on the metal, leaving the Fe$^{2+}$ more reactive and prompting acid-dependent CO uptake.\textsuperscript{123}

A major focus of organometallic chemists has been the acylmethylpyridinyl unit. Hu and coworkers synthesized a model of the [Fe]-H$_2$ase active site with this bidentate ligand from addition of Fe(CO)$_5$ to a methylpyridinyl radical generated \textit{in situ}.\textsuperscript{124} This reaction, similar to the Fischer synthesis of metal-acyl species, yields an $[\text{Fe}^0(\text{CO})_4\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{R}]^-$ complex that binds the pyridinyl nitrogen upon oxidation. A similar carbamoyl unit can be assembled by internal nucleophilic amine attack on Fe$^{II}$-bound CO\textsuperscript{125,126} Initially, this complex was isolated as a six-coordinate iron with the addition of the N,S-ligand:6-methyl-2-mercaptopyrindine, Figure I-20. A semi-stable five-coordinate species was
This species is a distorted square pyramid, in which the acyl group is trans to the open site, as in the protein. The geometrical similarity to the active site is continued with the two cis carbonyl ligands, one trans to the thiolate, the other trans to the pyridine. The H/D exchange of H₂ and D₂ has been observed with this complex as a catalyst. Unfortunately, the sensitivity of the active site is also well-modeled by this complex, with decomposition in solution that is accelerated by light.

Comments and Conclusion

As a final section in this short summary of the organometallic chemistry that is displayed in hydrogenase enzyme active sites, I will focus on a few of the recent literature reports. Electrochemical studies of oxygen tolerant hydrogenase enzymes making use of protein film voltammetry lead to conclusions regarding the importance of rapid repair and reactivation processes that are essential to utilizing hydrogenases as practical technological catalysts where the complete exclusion of adventitious oxygen would be a serious challenge.

Modifications continue to proliferate in the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site models; they are applied towards water solubility, spectroscopic probes, light-harvesting modules, and proton delivery units. Progress has also been made in immobilization of the [FeFe] organometallic models in polymers, dendrimers, and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). Efforts to reduce over-potential of proton
reduction and to understand factors that improve turnover numbers are also continuing in electrocatalytic studies of the models.\textsuperscript{137,138} More accurate models of the [NiFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active site that use cyanides as ligands to iron carbonyls have been developed wherein the highly reactive cyanide nitrogen is masked by forming arylborane Lewis acid adducts.\textsuperscript{139}

Protonation studies of reduced [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase synthetic analogues relevant to the enzymes’ catalytic mechanisms explore the role of stable thiols (S-protonation)\textsuperscript{140} or hydrides (Fe-protonation),\textsuperscript{141} respectively. The latter is an elegant example of a “spectator” bridging hydride, permitting proton-reduction catalysis at a biologically relevant oxidation state level, and demonstrating that the initial hydride, a bridging hydride, Figure I-21, is not involved in subsequent chemistry.

The roles of models in bioinorganic chemistry have long been oriented towards providing reference points for spectroscopy and for biochemical reactivity. In terms of catalysis, rarely do the models have more than a superficial connection to the evolutionarily perfected active sites of enzymes. However, in the case of the hydrogenases we see the possibility for a faithful reproduction of not only the spectroscopic features, but also the
chemical and catalytic reactivity in the form of an easily accessible synthetic analogue. In fact, in 2013 the landmark study mentioned above found the (µ-SCH₂XCH₂S)[Fe(CO)₂CN]₂²⁻ “models’ of the active site of [FeFe]-H₂ase may be inserted into the maturation process at a step immediately preceding the activation of the apo-[FeFe]-H₂ase.⁹¹ For X = NH, the semi-synthetic enzyme displays full activity for H₂ production, and various spectroscopies indicate the thus formed H-cluster is indistinguishable from the natural enzyme, Figure I-22. This study used the protein to inform on the models, as models with X = O or CH₂ loaded into the apo-enzyme, but were inactive. New studies have shown that the incorporation of the model complexes into the maturase protein is unnecessary, with direct insertion of the model complex into the apo-hydrogenase yielding a fully functional semi-synthetic enzyme.¹⁴²

This presents a challenge to organometallic chemists to not only define the final active site structures, but also to use the tenets, principles and characteristics of organometallic chemistry to identify other steps in biosynthetic pathways of the hydrogenase enzymes. In this dissertation, I have described the synthesis, reactivity, and characterization of the parent model complex, (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, (pdt = propane-1,3-dithiolate), and derivatives in Chapter III. The unique regioselectivity of CO exchange reactions as governed by the choice of nucleophilic or electrophilic ligands provides insight into the interplay of electron density in the diiron core of the enzyme. In Chapter IV, I describe computational investigation of the intramolecular fluxional processes of a series of (µ-SRS)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ complexes as a part of a study that aimed to quantify the ease of generation of an open site on the iron, modeling the distal iron of the enzyme active site. Finally, Chapter V details
Figure 1-22. Semi-synthetic production of [FeFe]-H$_2$ase from the insertion of an active site model complex into the apo-hydrogenase enzyme.$^{91}$
my computational search for a plausible mechanism of ligand exchange and reduction of another organometallic compound, a dinitrosyl iron complex (DNIC). I identified two possible mechanisms that both involve the iron complex acting as a nucleophile towards a CO molecule, which then binds and promotes the reductive elimination of a thiy radical. The role of organometallic chemistry in this dissertation has been to improve the knowledge of the selectivity, processes, and mechanisms of intermolecular and intramolecular ligand exchange as it relates to this new area in biological chemistry.
CHAPTER II

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION FOR CHAPTERS III - V

Procedures and Physical Methods for Chapter III

All reactions and operations were carried out on a double manifold Schlenk vacuum line, under an N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere and an Ar-filled glovebox was used in the manipulation and storage of air-sensitive compounds. All solvents were purchased as reagent grade and purified on an MBraun Manual Solvent Purification System, packed with Alcoa F200 activated alumina desiccant. The purified solvents were stored under an N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere prior to use. The N-heterocyclic carbenes, IMe = 1,3-dimethylimidazole-2-ylidene and IMes = 1,3-bis-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazole-2-ylidene were obtained by deprotonation of the imidazolium salts (IMe\textsuperscript{+}I\textsubscript{-} and IMes\textsuperscript{+}Cl\textsuperscript{-} respectively), which were synthesized according to literature procedures.\textsuperscript{143,144} The known complexes (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} (pdt = 1,3-propanedithiolate),\textsuperscript{145} 1; (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMe)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}],\textsuperscript{146} 1-IMe; (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMes)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}],\textsuperscript{110} 1-IMes; (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMe)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})],\textsuperscript{147} 5-IMe; and (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMes)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})],\textsuperscript{109} 5-IMes were prepared according to literature procedures. The following materials were purchased as reagent grade and used without further purification: trimethylphosphine, nitrosonium tetrafluoroborate, tetrabutylammonium cyanide, chloroform-\textsubscript{d}, methylene chloride-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{2}.
Solution and solid-state infrared spectroscopy was carried out on a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrometer using a 0.1 mm CaF$_2$ sealed cell for all solution measurements. Solid state samples were measured using the Pike MIRacleTM attachment from Pike Technologies for Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) Infrared Spectra. Mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed by the Laboratory for Biological Mass Spectrometry at Texas A&M University. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc., Norcross, Georgia, United States. Room temperature $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were obtained using a Mercury 300 MHz NMR Spectrometer, $^{31}$P NMR spectra were obtained using an Inova 300 MHz NMR Spectrometer. Variable temperature and low temperature $^{13}$C NMR spectra were obtained by group member Danielle Crouthers on a Unity+ 500 MHz NMR instrument operating between 0 and 30 °C. Exchange of $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO was monitored by in situ infrared spectroscopy by Samuel Kyran, using a Mettler Toledo iC10 ReactIR with an AgX fiber conduit probe having a SiComp ATR crystal.

X-ray data for all complexes was obtained by Chung-Hung Hsieh and Jason Denny at low temperature (110/150 K) on a Bruker Apex-II CCD based diffractometer (Texas A&M University) (Mo sealed X-ray tube, Kα = 0.71073 Å). A crystalline sample was coated in mineral oil, affixed to a Nylon loop, and placed under streaming N$_2$. The space groups were determined by systematic absences and intensity statistics, and structures solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F$^2$. Anisotropic displacement parameters were employed for all non-hydrogen atoms; H atoms were placed at idealized positions and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. The following programs were used: cell refinement, data collection, data reduction APEX2$^{148}$ absorption
correction SADABS\textsuperscript{149} structure solutions, SHELXS-97\textsuperscript{150} and structure refinement, SHELXL-97\textsuperscript{151}

**Preparation of Compounds for Chapter III**

*Synthesis of (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}][Fe(IMe)(NO)(CO)]\textsuperscript{+}[BF\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{-} (2-IMe) (first prepared by Dr. C.-H. Hsieh)*

Complex 2-IMe was prepared from a solution of 1-IMe, (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMe)], (0.440 g, 0.97 mmol), dissolved in 40 mL dichloromethane. This red solution was chilled to 0°C in an ice water bath. A second solution of 0.110 g (0.97 mmol) NOBF\textsubscript{4} and 0.260 g (0.97 mmol) 18-crown-6 was prepared in 20 mL dichloromethane in an ice water bath at 0°C. This pale yellow solution was magnetically stirred for up to 30 min. to allow for complete dissolution of the nitrosonium salt, at which time it was slowly added to the solution of 1-IMe. The reaction was monitored by solution IR until formation of product ceased, after approximately 30 min. The resulting dark red solution was anaerobically filtered through Celite into a clean flask. Under N\textsubscript{2}, the 2-IMe product was isolated from unreacted starting material by repeated washes with hexanes and diethyl ether at 0 °C. Crystals of X-ray quality were obtained by layering a solution of 2-IMe in dichloromethane under diethyl ether at -5 °C.

Yield: 0.25 g (52 %). IR (DCM) ν(CO): 2085(s), 2058(s), 2018(s); ν(NO): 1809(s).

Anal. Calcd. for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{14}BF\textsubscript{4}Fe\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{5}S\textsubscript{2}: C, 26.55; H, 2.60; N, 7.74. Found: C, 27.20; N, 3.11; H, 7.10. Mass Spec. Calcd. C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{14}Fe\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{5}S\textsubscript{2}: 455.91. Found: 455.9185
Synthesis of $\mu$-[Fe(CO)$_3$][Fe(IMes)(NO)(CO)]$^+$.BF$_4^-$ (2-IMes)

In a similar manner to 2-IMe above, complex 2-IMes was prepared from a solution of 1-IMes, $\mu$-[Fe(CO)$_3$][Fe(CO)$_2$(IMes)]. (0.200 g, 0.30 mmol), dissolved in 40 mL dichloromethane. This red solution was chilled to 0°C in an ice water bath. A second solution of 0.035 g (0.30 mmol) NOBF$_4$ and 0.080 g (0.30 mmol) 18-crown-6 was prepared in 20 mL dichloromethane in an ice water bath at 0°C. This pale yellow solution was slowly added to the solution of 1-IMes at 0 °C, and the reaction was monitored by solution IR until formation of product ceased, after approximately 30 min. The resulting dark red solution was filtered through Celite into a clean flask under an N$_2$ atmosphere. The product, 2-IMes, was isolated from unreacted starting material anaerobically by repeated washes with hexanes. Crystals of X-ray quality were obtained by layering a solution of 2-IMes in dichloromethane under a layer of hexanes, itself under a layer of diethyl ether at 0 °C. Yield: 0.08 g (35 %). IR (DCM) ν(CO): 2085(s), 2039(m), 2025(m); ν(NO): 1792(m). Anal. Calcd. C$_{28}$H$_{30}$BF$_4$Fe$_2$N$_3$O$_5$S$_2$: C, 43.78; H, 4.03; N, 5.59. Found: C, 43.92; H, 4.10; N, 5.39. Mass Spec. Calcd. C$_{12}$H$_{14}$Fe$_2$N$_3$O$_5$S$_2$: 644.03. Found: 644.0249.

Synthesis of $\mu$-[Fe(CO)$_3$][Fe(IME)(NO)(CN)] (3-IME) (first prepared by Dr. C.-H. Hsieh)

Complex 3-IME was prepared from 2-IME (0.20 g, 0.34 mmol), dissolved in 30 mL tetrahydrofuran. This red solution was chilled to 0 °C in an ice water bath, then transferred via cannula to a suspension of 0.047 g (0.30 mmol) Et$_4$N*CN$^-$ in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran.
at 0°C. The reaction was monitored by solution IR until formation of 3-IME ceased, after approximately 3 hours. The resulting light red solution was filtered through Celite into a clean flask under an N₂ atmosphere, removing any unreacted Et₄N⁺CN⁻. The product, 3-IME, was isolated from unreacted starting material by slow addition of diethyl ether, precipitating any remaining unreacted cyanide salts as well as any remaining starting material, 2-IME. This solution was washed with a 10:1 mixture of hexanes/ether to remove impurities until none were visible by IR spectroscopy in the diatomic ligand region (2200 – 1600 cm⁻¹). Crystals of X-ray quality were obtained by layering 3-IME in dichloromethane under a layer of hexanes, itself under a layer of diethyl ether at 0°C. Yield: 0.050 g (38%). IR (DCM) ν(CN): 2112(vw); ν(CO): 2054(s), 1988(s); ν(NO): 1755(m). Mass Spec. Calcd. C₂₀H₃₄Fe₂N₅O₄S₂: 584.08. Found: 584.0794.

Synthesis of (µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃][Fe(IME)(NO)(PMe₃)]⁺[BF₄]⁻ (4-IME)

Complex 4-IME was prepared from a solution of 2-IME (0.20 g, 0.34 mmol) in 40 mL dichloromethane under N₂. The solution was chilled to 0 °C in an ice water bath and 35 μL (0.34 mmol) PME₃ was added via microsyringe. The reaction was monitored by IR, with complete conversion to the product in less than 15 minutes. The product, 4-IME, was filtered through Celite into a clean flask and washed several times with diethyl ether at 0 °C, maintaining an N₂ atmosphere throughout. Crystals of X-ray quality were obtained by layering 4-IME in dichloromethane under a layer of diethyl ether at 0 °C. Yield: 0.15 g (69 %). IR (DCM) ν(CO): 2062(s), 1996(s); ν(NO): 1758(m). Anal. Calcd.

*Syntheses of (\mu-pdt)(\mu-CO)[Fe(IMe)(NO)][Fe(CO)\_2(PMe_3)]^+\text{[BF}_4^-\text{]} (6-\text{IMe}) and (\mu-pdt)(\mu-CO)[Fe(IMes)(NO)][Fe(CO)\_2(PMe_3)]^+\text{[BF}_4^-\text{]} (6-\text{IMes})*

Complexes 6-IMe and 6-IMes were prepared from solutions of 5-IMe (0.20 g, 0.37 mmol) and 5-IMes (0.20 g, 0.28 mmol), respectively, dissolved in 40 mL dichloromethane. These red solutions were chilled to 0 °C in an ice water bath, then transferred via cannula to suspensions of 0.043 g (0.37 mmol) and 0.033 g (0.28 mmol) NO^+BF_4^-, respectively, in 40 mL dichloromethane at 0 °C. The reactions were monitored by solution IR until formation of product ceased, after approximately 3 hours for both reactions. A similarly colored reddish brown solution was obtained for both reactions. Each solution was filtered through Celite into a clean flask under an N_2 atmosphere and the products, 6-IMe and 6-IMes, were purified by repeated diethyl ether washes of the products until no starting material (5-IMe and 5-IMes respectively) could be observed in the IR spectrum. Characterization of these complexes was limited to infrared spectroscopy, although crystals of X-ray quality were obtained by Dr. C.-H. Hsieh who prepared a solution 6-IMes in dichloromethane under a layer diethyl ether at 0 °C. **6-IMe**: Yield: 0.05 g (20 %). IR (DCM) \nu(CO): 2031(s), 1977(m); \nu(NO): 1784(m). **6-IMes**: Yield: 0.05 g (20 %). IR (DCM) \nu(CO): 2035(m), 1996(s); \nu(NO): 1782(m).
Preparation of $^{13}$CO labelled 2-IMe

Illuminating a solution 0.50 g (1.3 mmol) of complex 1, dissolved in hexanes in a Pyrex flask, with a 275 W GE ultraviolet Sunlamp for five hours while sealed under a $^{13}$CO atmosphere produced a sufficiently enriched source for $^{13}$C NMR studies. This compound was filtered through a plug of silica gel and the uniformly $^{13}$CO enriched 2-IMe was synthesized by the methods described above. The selectively enriched 2-IMe was produced by placing a sample of 0.10 g (0.24 mmols) 2-IMe at 22 °C under an atmosphere of $^{13}$CO for two hours. This reaction was performed in a 100mL flask, in ~10mL DCM leaving 90 mL (4.0 mmols) of headspace to be filled with $^{13}$CO(g) at 1 atmosphere. Under N$_2$, the selectively enriched product was filtered through a plug of Celite and dried at 0 °C. In order to examine the relative CO exchange of the two Fe(CO)$_x$ moieties, aliquots were removed and flash-frozen under N$_2$ at the following time points: 0 minutes, 10 minutes, 20 minutes, 30 minutes, and 2 hours.

Computational Methodology for Chapter III

Geometry and frequency calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09$^{152}$ suite of programs in the gas-phase with the B3LYP functional$^{153-155}$ and the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set$^{156,157}$ on all atoms. Crystallographic coordinates were used as starting geometries for optimizations of the ground state structures. Optimizations of the isomeric forms of each complex used the ground state bond angles and distances of each ligand as starting positions. Transition state calculations of the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotor were located using the
quadratic synchronous transit approach (STQN) implemented by Schledel and coworkers,\textsuperscript{158,159} in which initial guesses of the reactant, product, and transition state structures are made. Enthalpy and free energy corrections to the electronic energy of all stable geometries were calculated at 298.15 K by Gaussian, where all energies were obtained in hartrees and then converted to kilocalories per mole. All relative free energies were compared to the initial optimized structure based on the crystallographic coordinates. Ground state geometries had no imaginary vibrational modes, while transition states were located with a single imaginary mode. The Ampac Graphical User Interface (AGUI) program\textsuperscript{160} was used to extract geometric data as well as infrared frequency and bending and stretching vector data.

**Computational Methodology for Chapter IV**

Geometry and frequency calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09\textsuperscript{152} suite of programs in the gas-phase with the B3LYP,\textsuperscript{153-155} MPW1PW91,\textsuperscript{161} TPSSTPSS,\textsuperscript{162} and \omega B97x-D\textsuperscript{163} functionals and the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set\textsuperscript{156,157} on all atoms. Crystallographic coordinates were used for ground state geometries and utilized as starting geometries for optimizations. Transition state calculations of the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotor utilized starting geometry guesses from previous calculations. Transition states of the FeS$_2$C$_2$X chair/boat interconversion and the amine inversion were located through relaxed coordinate scans of the Fe(1)--Fe(2)--X (X = bridgehead atom) and Fe(1)--N--C angles, respectively. All geometries were located with the B3LYP functional, with subsequent
geometry optimizations of the other functionals using the B3LYP structure as an initial guess. Enthalpy and free energy corrections to the electronic energy of all stable geometries were calculated at 298.15 K by Gaussian, where all energies were obtained in hartrees and then converted to kilocalories per mole. To compare to the experimental results, ΔG* was recalculated at the coalescence temperature of the corresponding VT NMR experiment. Ground state geometries had no imaginary vibrational modes, while transition states were located with a single imaginary mode. The Ampac Graphical User Interface (AGUI) program\textsuperscript{160} was used to extract geometric data as well as infrared frequency and bending and stretching vector data.

**Computational Methodology for Chapter V**

Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09\textsuperscript{152} suite of programs in the gas-phase utilizing the BP86 functional\textsuperscript{164,165} with the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set,\textsuperscript{156,157} as previously demonstrated to be a suitable combination that best describes the electronic and vibrational structure of dinitrosyl iron complexes.\textsuperscript{166} Where possible, geometries were obtained from crystallographic coordinates and utilized as starting geometries for optimizations. Enthalpy and free energy corrections to the electronic energy of all stable geometries were calculated at 298.15 K, where all energies were obtained in hartrees, then converted to kilocalories per mole. These calculated complexes matched closely with the experimental data provided by X-ray crystallography as well as the ν(NO) and ν(CO) IR stretching modes. For computational efficiency, the
mesityl groups of the NHC and phenyl group of SPh were replaced by CH₃. All stable geometries had no imaginary vibrational modes, while transition states were located with a single imaginary mode. The Ampac Graphical User Interface (AGUI) program was used to extract geometric data as well as infrared frequency and bending and stretching vector data.
CHAPTER III

REGIOSELECTIVITY IN LIGAND SUBSTITUTION REACTIONS GOVERNED BY NUCLEOPHILIC AND ELECTROPHILIC LIGAND PROPERTIES*

Introduction

The surprisingly simple iron carbonyl complex, (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃], pdt = -S(CH₂)₃S-, complex 1, has a broad range of physical and chemical properties that have provided understanding of the the natural diiron catalytic site that exists within diiron hydrogenase, [FeFe]-H₂ase, see Figure III-1, mature HydA for structure of the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site. Although meager, its intrinsic activity as a solution electrocatalyst for proton reduction mimics the function of the enzyme, providing impetus for further development. Modifications via the dithiolate bridging ligand and CO exchange have resulted in myriad, well-characterized diiron complexes. Further adaptations including attachment into polymer supports, inclusion into metal organic frameworks, etc., have probed the potential for the diiron hexacarbonyl complex to be practical as a base metal molecular electrocatalyst replacement for platinum in solar or fuel cells.

*This chapter is to be submitted for review and publication with the authorship as follows: Ryan D. Bethel, Chung-Hung Hsieh, Jason A. Denny, Danielle J. Crouthers, Michael B. Hall, and Marcetta Y. Darensbourg.
The utility of the (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, adt = -S(CH₂NHCH₂)S- or azadithiolate, as a synthetic precursor to the diiron subsite as delivered to apo-[FeFe]-H₂ase has been demonstrated in recent studies that showed the dicyano derivative, (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)₂(CN)]₂⁻, when added to the apo-[FeFe]-H₂ase, lacking the 2Fe subsite, fully reconstitutes the enzyme in structure and achieves complete reactivity of the wild type enzyme, Figure III-1. Hence the CN⁻ substitution reactions of (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, explored over a decade ago for their mechanistic impact, become pertinent again as the full significance and possibility of even more organoiron units in biology is revealed through their presence in precursor proteins. In fact, as the [FeFe] site is incorporated into the mature HydA enzyme, there is yet another substitution process when the 4Fe4S cluster displaces an additional CO. A broader question lies in nature’s design of this collection of Fe, S, and diatomic ligands and its particular arrangement that undoubtedly

Figure III-1. The synthetic (μ-(adt)[Fe(CO)₂(CN)]₂⁻ may be inserted into the apo-[FeFe]H₂ase (apo-HydA, left) generating the fully functional enzyme (HydA, right).
Figure III-2. The mechanisms of nucleophilic CO substitution (1 and 2), where \( E_{a1} > E_{a2} \) for CN\(^-\) and \( E_{a1} < E_{a2} \) for PMe\(_3\),\(^{106,169}\) and oxidative addition of electrophilic ligands such as H\(^+\) and MeS\(^+\) (3)\(^{121,170}\)

involves electron density flow to and from the terminal iron site where hydrogen activity occurs.\(^{168}\)

Equations 1 and 2 within Figure III-2 summarize nucleophilic substitution reactions which for CN\(^-\) and PMe\(_3\) as entering ligands displace CO ligands on alternate irons.\(^{106,169}\) The most common result of an electrophilic attack on the diiron complexes is the formation of the bridging (\(\mu\)-Elec)(\(\mu\)-SRS)[Fe(CO)\(_2\)L\(_2\)]\(^+\), Elec = H\(^+\) or SR\(^+\), equation 3, Figure III-2.\(^{121,170}\) However, the kinetic product of electrophilic attack, according to theory and corroborated by experiment, is a terminal Fe-H or Fe-SR (from H\(^+\) and SR\(^+\), respectively,
as electrophiles).\textsuperscript{170,171} A less common site of electrophilic attack is the sulfur of the bridging thiolate. The formation of \([\text{[(μ-SRS(-Elec))}[\text{Fe(CO)}]_2(\text{L})]_2]^{n^+}\) has been observed in the case of the electrophilic attack of Et\(^+\) or O-atoms and has also been implicated as an intermediate step in the protonation of the Fe-Fe bond.\textsuperscript{169,172}

Several studies have addressed the use of NO\(^+\) as an electrophile that proceeds with isoelectronic CO exchange.\textsuperscript{173-175} The strongly electron withdrawing NO\(^+\) ligand is capable of significantly modifying the electronic environment of such complexes; additionally, the effect of nitrosylation on the structure of the diiron complex can be substantial. This is seen in the isomerization (a “rotation” or inversion of one \(\text{S}_2\text{Fe(PM}_3\text{)}(\text{CO})(\text{L})\) unit of \((\text{μ-dmpdt})[\text{Fe}^\text{I}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PM}_3)]_2\) upon NO\(^+\)/CO substitution, yielding a complex that is isostructural with the one-electron oxidized, mixed valent \((\text{μ-dmpdt})(\text{μ-CO})[\text{Fe}^\text{I}(\text{CO})(\text{PM}_3)][\text{Fe}^\text{II}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PM}_3)]^+.\textsuperscript{175} The electronic assignment in the rotated structure was supported by Mössbauer, EPR, and computational studies. As the NO analog was diamagnetic, it was concluded that the diiron core consisted of a spin-coupled \(d^7\)-Fe\(^\text{I}\) - NO\(^-\) or \{Fe(NO)\}\(^8\) by the Enemark-Feltham notation\textsuperscript{176} and an Fe\(^\text{II}\). Nevertheless, other possibilities exist for electronic assignment in such a \{FeFe(NO)\}\(^{14}\) arrangement.

Hence it seemed appropriate to further investigate NO\(^+\)/CO exchange in \((\text{μ-pdt})[\text{Fe(CO)}_3][\text{Fe(CO)}_2(\text{NHC})]\) where NHC = N-heterocyclic carbene, a strong electron donor. Subsequent addition of nucleophiles, CN\(^-\) or PME\(_3\), should inform on the electronic distribution within the diiron organometallic. Thus we have investigated by synthesis,
structure, and computations. We will report that NO\textsuperscript{+}/CO exchange in (μ-pdt)[Fe(NHC)(CO)\textsubscript{2}][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}] occurs at the NHC substituted side and subsequent addition of CN\textsuperscript{-} or PMe\textsubscript{3} as nucleophiles results in the completely dissymmetric (μ-pdt)[Fe(NHC)(NO)(Nuc)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]. Only with the doubly substituted (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(L)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(L\textquotesingle)] was a rotated structural isomer observed on reaction of NO\textsuperscript{+}, yielding (μ-pdt)(μ-CO)[Fe(L)(NO)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(L\textquotesingle)]\textsuperscript{+}, see Figure III-3.

Figure III-3. Synthetic routes toward symmetric and dissymmetric (μ-pdt)[FeFe] complexes.
Results and Discussion

Synthetic Routes to Symmetric and Dissymmetric [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase Model Complexes

The synthesis of (μ-pdt)[Fe(NHC)(CO)\textsubscript{2}][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}], 1-NHC, where NHC = IMe, IMes, etc., from (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} has been reliably established.\textsuperscript{110,146} The well-characterized complexes 1-IMe and 1-IMes were chosen for the current study for their ease of purification as well as their stability. In addition, the IMe and IMes ligands have very similar donor properties with considerably different steric bulk. Figure III-3 outlines the derivatives of 1-NHC that were obtained and characterized in this study. The stick drawings of products are in geometries established by X-ray diffraction analysis, \textit{vide infra}.

The reaction of either 1-IMe or 1-IMes with PMe\textsubscript{3} yields the (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMe)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})] (5-IMe) or (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(IMes)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})] (5-IMes) complexes with, consistent with their well-known donor properties, nearly symmetric electron density on both irons.\textsuperscript{109,147} The one-electron oxidation of 5-IMes yields the “rotated” [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase active site model complex (μ-pdt)(μ-CO)[Fe(CO)(IMes)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})]\textsuperscript{+}PF\textsubscript{6} (5-IMes\textsuperscript{+}), containing a bridging carbonyl ligand and a terminal open site.\textsuperscript{109} The isoelectronic ligand exchange of NO\textsuperscript{+} for CO has been shown to act as a one-electron internal oxidant, as was observed with (μ-dmpdt)(μ-CO)[Fe(NO)(PMe\textsubscript{3})][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{2}(PMe\textsubscript{3})]\textsuperscript{+}BF\textsubscript{4} (5-IMes\textsuperscript{+}).\textsuperscript{175} Upon NO\textsuperscript{+}/CO substitution of 5-IMe or 5-IMes, in DCM at 0 °C, a product, 6-IMe or 6-IMes, was isolated. The structure of 6-IMes was determined by X-ray crystallography, \textit{vide infra}, to
be “rotated”, i.e., the (pdt)Fe(IMes)(CO)(NO) square pyramid is rotated by 180° from the neutral Fe^IFe^I isomer, such that the apical ligand, now the CO, is in a bridging position between the two iron atoms. Thus, 6-IMes, (µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(NO)(IMes)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]⁺BF₄⁻, is isostructural with 5-IMes⁺, but with one CO replaced by an NO⁺. As was seen with 5-IMe⁺, the use of the less bulky IMe ligand yields a product spectroscopically similar to its IMes substituted counterpart that is assigned to (µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(NO)(IMe)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]⁺BF₄⁻, 6-IMe; however it has not yet been crystalized.

**Synthesis of** (µ-pdt)[Fe(IMe)(CO)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]⁺BF₄⁻, 2-IMe and (µ-pdt)[Fe(IMes)(CO)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]⁺BF₄⁻, 2-IMes

The addition of the nitrosyl ligand to 1-IMe or 1-IMes was carried out at 0 °C to prevent the decomposition of the product, 2-IMe or 2-IMes. When the nitrosylated product is held at 22 °C for only a few minutes, it decomposes and additional infrared bands grow into the spectrum at ν(CO) 2055, 2017, and 1984 cm⁻¹ and at ν(NO) 1780 and 1750 cm⁻¹. In addition, exposure to air results in the rapid decomposition of solutions of both 2-IMe and 2-IMes. The decomposition of 1-IMe was found to be greatly reduced at low temperatures (~0°C) or by replacement of the inert atmosphere with CO(g). The degradation is also slower when the reaction is carried out in DCM. The [NO]⁺[BF₄]⁻ salt is all but insoluble in this solvent, which considerably impedes the reaction. Such heterogeneous conditions, even on a small scale (~0.10 g), may take hours to reach 50% completion, greatly increasing the byproducts and the need for purification, thereby
drastically reducing the yield. A helpful guide to chemical redox agents by Connelly and Geiger\textsuperscript{177} notes that $[\text{NO}]^+ [\text{BF}_4]^{-}$ is soluble in DCM in the presence of the cyclic ether, 18-crown-6.\textsuperscript{178} With the aid of the crown ether, $[\text{NO}]^+ [\text{BF}_4]^{-}$ fully dissolves in anhydrous DCM and reacts rapidly at 0 °C with 1-IME and 1-IMes, yielding the pure product in minutes. The crown ether acts only to solubilize the NO\textsuperscript{+}, as the reaction goes to completion in less than an hour with a sub-stoichiometric amount (10 mol. %) of 18-crown-6. Both 2-IME and 2-IMes are inert to further NO\textsuperscript{+}/CO exchange.

The addition of 20 equivalents of NOBF\textsubscript{4} to 2-IME does not result in additional nitrosylation, while maintaining a solution of the same compound under a blanket of CO for up to 72 hours does not show, by FTIR, any reformation of 1-IME. Both 2-IME and 2-IMes may be stored as a solid powder under inert atmosphere for days at 0 °C without decomposition.

\textit{Synthesis of (μ-pdt)[Fe(IME)(CN)(NO)]\textsubscript{3}[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}], 3-IME and (μ-pdt)[Fe(IME)(PMe\textsubscript{3})(NO)]\textsubscript{3}[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{+}BF\textsubscript{4}^{-}, 4-IME}

The addition of cyanide or trimethylphosphine to 2-IME completes the synthetic cycle, and results in one fully substituted iron, while the other remains as an intact [Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}] unit. The addition of CN\textsuperscript{-} takes place in THF at 0°C where the cyanide salt is sparingly soluble, and the reaction is slow. Although DCM may be used successfully to generate the product, (μ-pdt)[Fe(IME)(CN)(NO)][Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}], 3-IME, the reaction in THF produces a cleaner IR spectrum, indicating a single product is present in high purity. The addition of PMe\textsubscript{3} to 2-IME takes place at 0°C in DCM. The reaction is complete within minutes,
with a nearly quantitative yield of the product, (µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(PMe₃)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]+BF⁴⁻, 4-Ime. Addition of excess PMe₃ does not result in a second CO substitution at this temperature. In contrast to its precursor, 2-Ime, and its analogue, 3-Ime, this complex is relatively stable and may be handled under air and at ambient temperatures for several minutes without decomposition.

**Table III-1.** Experimental CH₂Cl₂ solution vibrational frequencies of diatomic ligands of relevant (µ-pdt)[FeFe] complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexes</th>
<th>Vibrational Frequencies a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, 1</td>
<td>2072(m), 2037(s), 1990(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(CO)₂][Fe(CO)₃], 1-Ime</td>
<td>2035(m), 1971(s), 1952(m), 1915(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Imes)(CO)₂][Fe(CO)₃], 3-Imes</td>
<td>2035(m), 1969(s), 1947(w), 1916(w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]+BF⁴⁻, 2-Imes</td>
<td>2085(s), 2058(s), 2018(s), [1809(s)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Imes)(CO)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]+BF⁴⁻, 2-Imes+</td>
<td>2085(s), 2039(m), 2025(m), [1792(m)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(CN)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃], 3-Imes</td>
<td>[2112(vw)], 2054(s), 1888(s), [1755(m)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(PMe₃)(NO)][Fe(CO)₃]+BF⁴⁻, 4-Imes</td>
<td>2061(s), 1996(s), [1759(m)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Ime)(CO)₂][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)], 5-Ime</td>
<td>1974(s), 1934(s), 1898(m), 1884(sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)[Fe(Imes)(CO)₂][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)], 5-Imes+</td>
<td>1972(m), 1933(s), 1896(m), 1881(sh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(Ime)(CO)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]PF⁶⁻, 5-Imes⁺</td>
<td>2036(s), 2005(s), 1981(s), 1929(w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(Imes)(CO)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]PF⁶⁻, 5-Imes⁺</td>
<td>2036(s), 1997(s), 1987(sh), 1861(w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(Ime)(NO)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]⁺PF⁶⁻, 6-Ime</td>
<td>2031(s), 1977(m), [1784(m)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(µ-pdt)(µ-CO)[Fe(Imes)(NO)][Fe(CO)₂(PMe₃)]⁺BF⁴⁻, 6-Imes⁺</td>
<td>2035(m), 1990(s), [1782(m)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aValues in brackets, [ ], and in braces, { }, are for v(NO) and v(CN), respectively.
Infrared Spectroscopy of Dissymmetric Complexes

The infrared stretching frequencies of the diatomic ligands of relevant (μ-pdt)[FeFe] complexes are presented in Table III-1 and displays of the diatomic region of the IR spectra of 1, 1-IMe, 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IME are presented in Figure III-4. As expected, the ν(CO) IR bands of 1 are shifted to lower frequencies on the substitution of a CO ligand by either of the good donor ligands IMe or IMes. Differences of the pattern and position of ν(CO) bands between the two NHC derivatives, 1-IMe and 1-IMes, are minor. The products resulting from addition of [NO][BF₄]⁻ have ν(CO) shifts at higher values with a dramatic change in the pattern of CO stretches, Figure III-4. In addition to the three ν(CO) bands, a ν(NO) band appears at 1809 cm⁻¹ (2-IMe) and 1792 cm⁻¹ (2-IME). Unlike the minor differences in the spectra of 1-IMe and 1-IMes, the respective nitrosylated species are distinct. Although both 2-IMe and 2-IMes display an intense, sharp ν(CO) band at 2085 cm⁻¹, the other two carbonyl bands of 2-IMe are equally intense with minimal overlap, being some 40 cm⁻¹ separated at 2058 and 2018 cm⁻¹. In contrast, the two lower ν(CO) bands of 2-IMes are less intense and overlap much more, with only 14 cm⁻¹ separation at 2039 and 2025 cm⁻¹.
Figure III-4. Solution (CH₂Cl₂) IR spectra for complexes 1, 1-IMe, 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IMe in diatomic ligand region. The CN band of 3-IMe is marked with a blue box, the NO bands of 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IMe are marked with red boxes.
The infrared spectrum of 2-IMe was poorly predicted by Density Functional Theory, utilizing both pure (BP86) and hybrid (B3LYP) functionals with a 6-311+G(d,p) basis set on all atoms. Accordingly, the ν(CO) band at 2085 cm\(^{-1}\), Figure III-5, is assigned to the totally symmetric stretch of the Fe(CO)\(_3\) unit with minor coupling to the CO of the other Fe(IMe)(CO)(NO) moiety. In the pseudo-C\(_{3v}\) Fe(CO)\(_3\) moiety, this would be assigned as the A\(_1\) band. The second band, at 2058 cm\(^{-1}\), is primarily due to the lone CO, with minor coupling to the Fe(CO)\(_3\). The third CO stretch in the solution IR spectrum at 2018 cm\(^{-1}\),

**Figure III-5.** Solution (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) IR spectra for complexes 2-IMes and 2-IME in diatomic ligand region. The diatomic vibrational frequencies predicted using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) (scaling factor: 0.966) shows four ν(CO) bands as does the solid state (ATR) spectrum.
which would be the band assigned to the E stretching modes, consists of two overlapping bands in the molecule of overall C\textsubscript{1} symmetry. These bands also show minor coupling to the CO of the Fe(IMe)(CO)(NO) unit.

Addition of donor ligands, CN\textsuperscript{-} or PMe\textsubscript{3}, to \textbf{2-IMe} results in a shift of ν(CO) and ν(NO) bands to lower frequencies. If cyanide is utilized, a new ν(CN) band appears at 2112 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, Figure III-4. The ν(CO) bands for this complex, \textbf{3-IMe}, shift to 2054 and 1988 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, taking on the two-band pattern characteristic of the C\textsubscript{3v} structure seen, for example, in the piano stool complex (η\textsuperscript{5}-C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{5})Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}. This pattern is also seen in the ν(CO) bands of complex \textbf{4-IMe}, which contains a PMe\textsubscript{3} ligand rather than CN\textsuperscript{-}. The phosphine is not as strong an electron donor as cyanide, and the ν(CO) bands of the PMe\textsubscript{3}-containing complex, \textbf{4-IMe}, are slightly higher in frequency, at 2061 and 1996 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The ν(NO) band of \textbf{4-IMe} is also slightly higher than that of \textbf{3-IMe}, at 1759 and 1755 cm\textsuperscript{-1} respectively.

\textit{Molecular Structures of 2-IMe, 2-IMes, 3-IMe, 4-IMe, and 6-IMes from X-ray Crystallography}

Crystals of \textbf{2-IMe}, \textbf{2-IMes}, \textbf{3-IMe}, \textbf{4-IMe}, and \textbf{6-IMes} were subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis and the structures obtained are shown in Figures III-6, III-7 and III-8, with relevant metric parameters shown in Table III-2. The crystals of \textbf{2-IMe}, \textbf{3-IMe}, and \textbf{6-IMes} were grown by Dr. Chung-Hung Hsieh. The structures of \textbf{2-IMe}, \textbf{3-IMe}, and \textbf{6-IMes} were solved by Dr. Chung-Hung Hsieh while the structures of \textbf{2-IMes} and \textbf{4-IMe} were solved with the assistance of Jason Denny. Of the four structures that display an intact Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} moiety i.e., the Fe2(CO)\textsubscript{3} moiety of three (\textbf{2-IMe}, \textbf{3-IMe}, and \textbf{4-IMe}) is
Figure III-6. Molecular structures of 2-IMe and 2-IMes from X-ray diffraction analysis with side view (top: ball-and-stick rendition) and end view (bottom: capped stick rendition). Hydrogen atoms and BF$_4^-$ have been omitted for clarity.
Figure III-7. Molecular structures of 3-IMe and 4-IMe from X-ray diffraction analysis with side view (top: ball-and-stick rendition) and end view (bottom: capped stick rendition). Hydrogen atoms and BF₄⁻ (4-IMe) have been omitted for clarity.
“under” the propanedithiolate bridgehead; *i.e.*, the boat conformation of the FeS$_2$C$_3$ ring is on the Fe(CO)$_3$ side of the diiron construct.

The substituted Fe, Fe$_1$, has an apical NO ligand within one S$_2$Fe(NO)(NHC)(CO) square pyramid, slightly bent at ∠Fe$_1$-N-O = 164-166° in all four structures. The basal ligands of Fe$_1$ include both the carbene, IMe or IMes, and the differentiating ligand: CO (2-IMe and 2-IMes), CN (3-IMe), or PMe$_3$ (4-IMe). All four complexes display the typical edge-
Table III-2. Experimental structure metric parameters of compounds 2-IMe, 3-IMe, 4-IMe, 2-IMes and 6-IMes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2-IMe</th>
<th>3-IMe</th>
<th>4-IMea</th>
<th>2-IMes</th>
<th>6-IMes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – Fe₂</td>
<td>2.543(2)</td>
<td>2.568(2)</td>
<td>2.6059(5)</td>
<td>2.597(1)</td>
<td>2.619(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – C(NHC)</td>
<td>1.995(4)</td>
<td>2.017(4)</td>
<td>2.017(3)</td>
<td>2.033(5)</td>
<td>1.986(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – N(NO)</td>
<td>1.655(4)</td>
<td>1.672(4)</td>
<td>1.651(3)</td>
<td>1.662(5)</td>
<td>1.796(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe – Sb</td>
<td>2.247</td>
<td>2.260</td>
<td>2.250</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>2.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – C(CO)</td>
<td>1.846(5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.831(6)</td>
<td>1.986(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂ – C(CO)b</td>
<td>1.797</td>
<td>1.803</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.798</td>
<td>1.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – C(CN)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.955(6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe – P(PMe3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.299(1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.275(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – N – O</td>
<td>165.7(3)</td>
<td>166.4(4)</td>
<td>166.1(3)</td>
<td>163.5(5)</td>
<td>175.4(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁ – C – O</td>
<td>177.9(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>168.8(5)</td>
<td>136.1(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂ – C – Ob</td>
<td>178.6</td>
<td>178.6</td>
<td>177.3</td>
<td>177.7</td>
<td>177.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe – S – Feb</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>69.14</td>
<td>70.77</td>
<td>70.45</td>
<td>68.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S – Fe – Sb</td>
<td>85.65</td>
<td>85.62</td>
<td>85.63</td>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>85.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N – Fe – Fe – C</td>
<td>11.8(4)</td>
<td>7.0(4)</td>
<td>0.7(3)</td>
<td>55.6(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

τ values<sup>c</sup>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fe displacement<sup>d</sup>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₁</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Values reported are average of 3 distinct molecules within the unit cell. Error is also averaged. <sup>b</sup>Average values reported. <sup>c</sup>Reference 179 <sup>d</sup>Taken as distance of Fe from best square plane in each FeS2L3 square pyramid.
bridged bi-square pyramidal geometry of the (μ-SRS)[Fe₂(CO)₅(L)₆-x] family. The N_sites-Fe₁-Fe₂-Cₐp dihedral angles of the three compounds bearing the IMe ligand are between 0.7 and 11.6°, while the analogous angle of 2-IMes is much larger, at 55.6°. This difference in the structure of 2-IMes may be attributed to the distortion of the Fe₁, from square pyramidal, its τ value is 0.73 (τ = 1 for trigonal bipyramid; τ = 0, square pyramid). The other three structures are more regular square pyramids, with τ values ranging from 0.22 – 0.10. The unsubstituted iron, the Fe₂(CO)₃ moiety, of 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IMe are nearly perfect square pyramids (τ = 0.04 – 0.02), again the 2-IMes shows more distortion, τ = 0.15. The substituted Fe₁ is also seen to be pulled further out of the S₂L₂ plane, by up to 0.1 Å when compared to the Fe₂ for 2-IMe (S₂L₂ iron displacements of Fe₁ = 0.448 and Fe₂ = 0.348 Å) and 3-IMe (S₂L₂ iron displacements of Fe₁ = 0.470 and Fe₂ = 0.383 Å), although 4-IMe shows less difference (S₂L₂ iron displacements of Fe₁ = 0.396 and Fe₂ = 0.347 Å). There is a subtle elongation of the Fe-Fe bond, from 2.543(2) and 2.568(2) Å for 2-IMe and 3-IMe to 2.597(1) and 2.6059(5) Å for 2-IMes and 4-IMe, which may be attributed to the steric effects of the larger IMes and PMe₃ ligands. Unlike the four structures above, the square pyramid of Fe₁ in the structure of 6-IMes is rotated such that the carbonyl ligand bridges Fe₁ and Fe₂. This rotated structure, analogous to the structure of 5-IMes⁺, has an elongated Fe₁ – Fe₂ distance of 2.619(2) Å, with the μ-CO bent under the Fe₁ – Fe₂ vector at an ∠Fe₁-C-O of 136°. The NO ligand is in a basal position and the ∠Fe₁-N-O is 175.4(6)°, in contrast the apical NO of complexes 2-IMe, 3-IMe, 4-IMe, and 2-IMes with ∠Fe₁-N-O nearly 10° less.
Regioselective $^{13}$CO Substitution of 2-IMe

As noted above, the decomposition of 2-IMe occurs in one to two hours at room temperature in non-coordinating solvent, DCM, and under inert atmosphere, N$_2$ or Ar. It was anticipated that the loss of CO, resulting from Fe – C(CO) bond cleavage by either thermal or hv-induced dissociation may be the initial step in the decomposition pathway. This was supported by the observation that a solution of 2-IMe under a carbon monoxide atmosphere displayed little decomposition after 24 hours at room temperature. The CO dissociation is presumed to be thermal in nature, as decomposition ceases upon cooling the complex to 0 °C, under N$_2$ and ambient light, while at 22 °C, even in complete darkness, decomposition of 2-IMe is observed within hours.

In order to test its CO lability, a solution of 2-IMe in DCM was placed under a blanket of $^{13}$CO. When this reaction mixture was held at 0 °C, no change was observed in the IR spectrum, but when allowed to warm to room temperature, the spectrum changed within minutes, even in the dark. The $\nu$(CO) band at 2058 cm$^{-1}$ was seen to decrease in intensity with a concomitant growth of a new band at 2023 cm$^{-1}$, Figure III-9. At the same time, the CO stretch at 2085 cm$^{-1}$ was seen to shift only 5 cm$^{-1}$, to 2080 cm$^{-1}$, while the band at 2018 cm$^{-1}$ overlapped with the growing band at 2023 cm$^{-1}$ and no shift in the IR frequency could be observed.

The lone carbonyl of the Fe(IMe)(CO)(NO) moiety is, by calculations, primarily associated with the band at 2058 cm$^{-1}$ that changes dramatically while the two bands at 2085 and 2018 cm$^{-1}$, strongly associated with the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ unit are minimally affected,
indicating that the $^{13}$CO substitution is site selective, exchanging exclusively with the Fe$_t$(CO). The position shift is in agreement with that expected, as the $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO
exchange of a single, uncoupled carbonyl ligand at 2058 cm$^{-1}$ is predicted by the ratio of reduced masses of a single, uncoupled, CO to shift to 2012 cm$^{-1}$.

In the absence of light, CO adduct formation is observed to take place on the open site of the distal iron in the active site of the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase. When illuminated, the active site
undergoes $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO exchange only on that iron. An analogue of the active site reported by Thomas et al.,$^{181}$ the mixed-valent, $^{1}^{1}$Fe$^{II}$, complex (μ-pdt)(μ-CO)[Fe(IMes)(CO)][Fe(PMe$_3$(CO)$_2$)$_2^+$. 5-IMes$^+$, is known to exchange CO ligands but only on the “rotated” iron that contains an open site. When this species is exposed to 1 atm. of $^{13}$CO for 15 minutes in the dark, then reduced back to the diamagnetic Fe$^{II}$Fe$^{II}$ complex (μ-pdt)[Fe(IMes)(CO)$_2$][Fe(PMe$_3$(CO)$_2$)$_2$], 5-IMes, $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy shows that the two carbonyl ligands attached to the same iron as the IMes ligand are labeled while the two carbonyl ligands attached to the same iron as the PMe$_3$ ligand are not.$^{181}$ This regioselective $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO exchange is similar to what is observed with the complex 2-IME.

The $^{12}$CO/$^{13}$CO exchange reaction was monitored by in situ IR spectroscopy and found to have a half-life of 22 minutes at 23 °C in DCM; the rates are provided in Figure III-10. The labeling of the single Fe$(^{I})$(CO) of 2-IME is effectively complete in two hours. At this point, the system may be purged with argon and the atmosphere replaced with natural abundance carbon monoxide. The reverse reaction, substitution of the $^{12}$CO for the single $^{13}$CO occurs cleanly, regenerating the all-C-12 IR spectrum at the same rate, Figure III-10.

The photo-dissociation of carbon monoxide from (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ is a well-known method for promoting $^{13}$CO/$^{12}$CO exchange for the enhancement of $^{13}$C NMR studies. This technique does not allow for selective CO lability, either in the iron on which the CO is exchanged or the number of CO ligands exchanged. The thus-obtained $^{13}$CO substituted
complex was used as the precursor to synthesize 2-IMe in which all of the CO ligands are equally enriched. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of this complex at 0 °C reveals four resonances in the CO region as all four carbonyls are distinct, including the two basal carbonyls of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit, which are distinguished as being transoid or cisoid to the NHC on the opposite iron, Figure III-11. On warming this compound to 30 °C, no change is observed in the positions or intensities of the resonances, although the three peaks assigned to the Fe(CO)$_3$ carbonyls broaden slightly. This result is in agreement with the high (ca. 19 kcal/mol) calculated barrier to the rotation of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit, _vida infra._

The regioselective exchange of only the Fe$_1$-CO is equally productive in total darkness and under ambient light at room temperature and takes place at a single site. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of this regioselectively enriched complex at 0 °C shows the same four resonances as above, but the peak at 207.1 ppm, assigned to the Fe$_1$(CO), is much more intense, Figure III-12. Integration of this spectrum reveals that the ratio of $^{13}$CO that has been exchanged for the Fe$_1$(CO) relative to the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ carbonyls is 9:1. This was less than anticipated, as the predicted $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C molar ratio is 17:1, assuming full exchange of the Fe$_1$(CO) and no exchange of the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ carbonyls. There are three possible explanations for the lower than expected ratio.

The first possibility is an incomplete labelling of the Fe$_1$(CO) due to less than full exchange with the $^{13}$CO(g) atmosphere. However, FTIR spectroscopy of this sample confirms a nearly quantitative conversion to Fe$_1$(1$^{13}$CO) as seen in the absence of a ν(CO) peak at 2058 cm$^{-1}$.
Figure III-11. The uniformly enriched $^{13}$C NMR spectra of 2-IME in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ in the carbonyl region displaying four resonances, from 0 °C to 30 °C. The peak at 207.1 ppm is attributed to the Fe$_1$(CO) and remains sharp at higher temperatures while the three peaks at 206.2, 204.0 and 202.9 ppm are attributed to the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ and broaden at higher temperatures.
The second explanation of the NMR spectrum is intramolecular site exchange, whereby the labelled $^{13}\text{C}$ on the Fe$_1$(CO) is exchanged with an unlabeled $^{12}\text{C}$ on the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$.

This hypothesis was tested by monitoring a sample of the regioselectively labelled 2-IME by $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectroscopy at 20 °C. If the carbonyl ligands were undergoing exchange between the iron atoms, a leveling of the four CO resonances would be observed. However, there was no change in the relative intensities of the Fe$_1$(CO) and Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ resonances after two hours, indicating that no site exchange is occurring.

Figure III-12. The selectively enriched $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra of 2-IME in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ in the carbonyl region at 0 °C. The peak at 207.1 ppm is ~9 times the intensity of the three peaks at 206.2, 204.0 and 202.9 ppm, showing selective $^{13}\text{CO}/^{12}\text{CO}$ exchange at a single site.
The third possible explanation of the NMR spectrum is that the Fe₂(CO)₃ slowly undergoes ¹³CO/¹²CO exchange independently of the Fe₁(CO) exchange. As described in Chapter II, an experiment was performed in which a solution of complex 2-IMe in CD₂Cl₂ was placed under a blanket of ¹³CO at room temperature and aliquots were removed at 0, 10, 20 and 30 minutes and flash-frozen under N₂. The ¹³C NMR spectra of these samples show a rapid increase of the intensity of the carbonyl band assigned to the Fe₁(CO) and a much slower increase of the intensity of the three carbonyls assigned to the Fe₂(CO)₃. This indicates that the Fe₂(CO)₃ is exchanging with the ¹³CO atmosphere in the reaction flask, but at a much slower rate than the Fe₁(CO).

This thermal exchange of this single carbonyl is presumed to be due to the weakened Fe₁-C bond upon coordination of an NO ligand to the iron. As mentioned above, the thermal dissociation of CO from 2-IMe is expected to be the source of the instability of the complex in solution. The reduction in bond strength of the Fe₁-CO can be attributed to the increased electron-withdrawing effects of the NO ligand, as the Fe₁(IMe)(CO)(NO) moiety is more electron poor than the Fe₂(CO)₃ unit, *vida infra*.

*Density Functional Theory Investigation of Structure Isomer Stability of 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IMe*

In order to gain insight into the structures of 2-IMe, 3-IMe, and 4-IMe, a series of isomers of formula (μ-pdt)[Fe₂(CO)₃(NO)(IMe)(L)]ⁿ⁺, L = CO (2-IMe), CN (3-IMe), and PMe₃ (4-IMe) were optimized using the B3LYP functional¹⁵³-¹⁵⁵ with the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set¹⁵⁶,¹⁵⁷ on all atoms. The calculated free energies reported relative to the isomer
corresponding to the X-ray crystal structure of each, Figures III-13, III-14, III-15 and III-16. The specific questions addressed by the calculations are as follows:

- What is the effect on the stability of complex 2-IME if there is no structural rearrangement or if the carbene and NO ligands are on separate irons? (Figure III-13)

- What is the difference between CO and NO bridging the two iron atoms for complex 2-IME and what is the effect of the Fe(IME)(NO)(CO) unit on the rotation of the Fe(CO)₃ moiety? (Figure III-14)

- What is the effect on the stability of complex 3-IME if the two strong donor ligands are on a different iron than the NO or if they are on a different iron than each other? (Figure III-15)

- What is the difference in stability between complex 4-IME and 6-IME? Is the bridging CO isomer of 6-IME more stable than the all-terminal isomer? (Figure III-16)

The various permutations of the strong σ-donating ligands (IME, CN, and PMe₃) and π-withdrawing ligand (NO) on each of the iron atoms were investigated to find the most thermodynamically stable form of each structure. The most stable forms of 2-IME have the IMe and NO ligands on the same iron, as is observed in the crystal structure. However, this calculation can only inform on the thermodynamic stabilities; there is no way for this study to determine if this is the kinetic product as well.
The structures of in which there are two strong σ-donors, 3-IMe (IMe and CN) and 4-IMe (IMe and PMe₃), are generally more stable if the donating ligands are on separate irons. Despite the thermodynamic stability of the symmetric distribution of the strong donor ligands, this is not what is observed in the crystal structures, which are both the dissymmetric complexes. This is evidence that the result of addition of CN or PMe₃ to 2-IMe is the kinetic product, rather than the thermodynamic product.

All possible permutations of the formula (μ-pdt)[Fe₂(CO)₄(NO)(IMe)]⁺ in which the bridging propane dithiolate ligand is positioned away from the Fe₁(NO), are displayed in Figure III-13, which contains the all-terminal or unrotated structures, and Figure III-14, which contains the rotated structures. All energies are reported relative to the structure corresponding to the X-ray crystal structure, 2-IMe-1. The isomer resulting from positioning the IMe ligand apical (2-IMe-2) or CO apical (2-IMe-3) is less stable than 2-IMe-1 by 1.3 and 2.0 kcal/mol, respectively. Isomers resulting from moving the IMe ligand onto Fe₂ in either the apical (2-IMe-4) or basal (2-IMe-5) position are also higher in energy, by 1.8 and 1.7 kcal/mol, respectively. Finally, leaving the IMe ligand on Fe₂, but moving the NO into a basal position yields three possible isomers that are 2.0 (2-IMe-6), 2.5 (2-IMe-7), and 3.2 (2-IMe-8) kcal/mol less stable than 2-IMe-1.
Structures corresponding to the “rotated” structure, with an inverted square pyramid about one iron atom and a carbonyl ligand bridging the two iron atoms, are known to exist as transition states along the rotation of the Fe(CO)$_x$(L)$_{3-x}$ moiety, Figure III-14. A full description of the rotations of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit may be found in Chapter IV. Thus, the rotation of the [Fe(Ime)(CO)(NO)]$^+$ moiety has a single bridging CO structure, the

Figure III-13. Calculated relative energies of all-terminal isomers of (μ-pdt)[Fe$_2$(CO)$_4$(NO)(Ime)]$^+$, relative to 2-Ime-1, the structure corresponding to 2-Ime.
transition state of the rotation that exchanges NO and IMe as apical ligands. This transition, 2-IMe-9‡, at 10.6 kcal/mol, is only slightly higher in energy than the calculated rotation barrier of an Fe(CO)$_3$ unit in complex 1 (9.6 kcal/mol).$^{122,182}$ The rotation of the Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$ unit of 2-IMe has a much higher energy barrier. If the apical ligand on the opposite iron is NO, 2-IMe-12‡, the barrier is 19.1 kcal/mol; there is little difference when the apical ligand on Fe$_1$ is either IMe (2-IMe-10‡, 19.2 kcal/mol) or CO (2-IMe-11‡, 19.3 kcal/mol). Attempts to locate a transition state with the NO in a bridging position were unsuccessful; however, a structure with the bridging NO was located as a ground state isomer, 2-IMe-13, which was 0.2 kcal/mol more stable than 2-IMe-1. This isomer, calculated in the gas phase, is not experimentally observed, as the expected NO stretch in
the IR spectrum near 1500 cm\(^{-1}\),\(^{174}\) much lower than the observed 1809 cm\(^{-1}\) is not found. Additional CO stretches would also appear at higher frequencies than the three \(\nu(\text{CO})\) bands observed for 2-IMe.

In the same manner as the study of 2-IMe, investigations of the isomers of 3-IMe and 4-IMe were performed with the free energy of various isomers of \((\mu\text{-pdt})[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NO})(\text{IMe})(\text{CN})]\) reported relative to 3-IMe-1, Figure III-15, and of \((\mu\text{-pdt})[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NO})(\text{IMe})(\text{PMe}_3)]^+\) reported relative to 4-IMe-1, Figure III-16. Isomers resulting from separation of the electrophilic NO ligand and nucleophilic IMe and CN ligands onto separate Fe atoms are less stable than 3-IMe-1 by 3.2 kcal/mol if NO is apical.

![Diagram of isomers](image)

**Figure III-15.** Calculated relative energies of selected isomers of \((\mu\text{-pdt})[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NO})(\text{IMe})(\text{CN})]\) relative to 3-IMe-1, the structure corresponding to 3-IMe.
(3-IMe-3), and 3.3 kcal/mol if NO is basal (3-IMe-4). A more stable series of isomers involves separation of the nucleophilic ligands onto the two irons. If the two nucleophiles are transoid, the isomeric structures are very close in energy to 3-IMe-1, being 0.2 kcal/mol more stable if IMe is on the same Fe₁ as NO (3-IMe-5) and 0.3 kcal/mol less stable if CN is on Fe₁ instead (3-IMe-6). When the NO is moved from an apical position, 3-IMe-5, to a basal position, 3-IMe-2, the calculated structure is 3.7 kcal/mol higher in energy. If the nucleophilic ligands are cisoid instead, the isomers are more stable by 3.4 kcal/mol, with the IMe ligand on the Fe with NO, Fe₁, (3-IMe-7) and 1.5 kcal/mol, with the CN ligand on Fe₁ (3-IMe-8). The increased stability of the isomers of 3-IMe that separate the nucleophilic ligands onto different irons is also seen with the isomers of 4-IMe, Figure III-16. Moving the PMe₃ ligand onto Fe₂, 4-IMe-2, results in greater stability than the fully dissymmetric 4-IMe-1, by 3.6 kcal/mol. An even lower energy isomer, obtained by the rotation of the Fe₁, resulting in a bridging CO, 4-IMe-3, is more stable.

![Diagrams of 4-IMe-1 to 4-IMe-4 with relative energies]

**Figure III-16.** Calculated relative energies of selected isomers of \((\mu\text{-pdt})[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NO})(\text{IMe})(\text{PMe}_3)]^+\), a comparison of the stability of 4-NHC (4-IMe-1) and 6-NHC (4-IMe-3) relative to 4-IMe-1.
than 4-IMe-1 by 5.0 kcal/mol. This isomer corresponds to the X-ray structure of 6-IMes sans the bulky mesityl groups on the carbene. Another rotated isomer, in which the carbene and phosphine ligands of 4-IMe-3 are switched, 4-IMe-4, is yet more stable at 9.0 kcal/mol less than 4-IMe-1.

Concluding Remarks

The Regioselectivity of Nucleophilic and Electrophilic Ligand Substitution on a Diiron Carbonyl Framework

The regioselective PMe₃/CO substitution of 1-IMe and 2-IMe offers insight into the diiron core’s electronic response to its ligand environment. In an associative ligand exchange on the [FeFe] framework, the incoming nucleophile preferentially attacks the iron with the more π-acidic ligand set, which is more polarizable and may be considered the “softer” iron, Figure III-17. The softer iron is apparent in the case of 1-IMe, as the Fe(CO)₃ unit is more capable of accommodating the nucleophile than the Fe(IMe)(CO)₂ moiety. Upon PMe₃/CO substitution, the resulting complex, 5-IMe, is symmetric with regard to the number of strong σ-donor ligands on each iron. If the incoming ligand is more electrophilic, attack is expected to take place on the iron with the less π-acidic ligand set, i.e., the less polarizable or “harder” iron, Figure III-12. Thus, NO⁺/CO substitution of 1-IMe would be favored to take place on the Fe(IMe)(CO)₂ moiety, generating 2-IMe. Figure III-13 shows that the calculated thermodynamic difference in NO⁺ coordination on the two iron atoms is small, only 1.7 kcal/mol, but the observation of a single reaction
product indicates the IMe ligand is much less π-acidic than a CO. In the PMe₃/CO substitution of 2-IMe, two possible isomers can be imagined. If the Fe(IMe)(CO)(NO) unit is more π-acidic, the totally dissymmetric product, 4-IMe, will be synthesized. If
instead, the Fe(CO)$_3$ is more π-acidic, the more symmetric 6-Ime will be observed. At 0 °C, the only product observed of the PMe$_3$/CO exchange is 4-Ime. Therefore, the Fe(CO)$_3$ moiety is less π-acidic than the Fe(Ime)(CO)(NO) unit. Figure III-15 shows that this is not the most stable isomer of the formula (μ-pdt)[Fe$_2$(CO)$_3$(Ime)(PMe$_3$)(NO)]$^+$, indicating kinetic control of the reaction.
CHAPTER IV

COMPUTATIONAL STUDIES RELATING TO (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ AS INFORMANTS ON THE [FeFe]-HYDROGENASE ACTIVE SITE: INTRAMOLECULAR SITE EXCHANGE

Preface

Chapter IV describes my contributions to the following co-authored manuscript:

“Conformational Mobility and Pendent Base Effects on Electrochemistry of Synthetic Analogues of the [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site” Crouthers, D. J.; Denny, J. A.; Bethel, R. D.; Munoz, D. G.; Darensbourg, M. Y.; *Organometallics*, DOI: 10.1021/om500023j (2014). My computational results, found within that publication, were used to identify the transition states corresponding to the processes investigated by VT NMR and to support the assignment of the fluxional processes observed experimentally. All of my published results are provided herein, as well as an extended description and discussion of those findings.

*This chapter is reproduced in part with permission from Crouthers, D. J. D., J. A.; Bethel, R. D.; Munoz, D. G.; Darensbourg, M. Y., Conformational Mobility and Pendent Base Effects on Electrochemistry of Synthetic Analogues of the [FeFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site. *Organometallics* DOI: 10.1021/om500023j (2014). Copyright 2014 ACS Publications*
Introduction

Summary of the Paper

The investigation of the (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ series of complexes as mimics of the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site has been a focus of organometallic chemists since the enzymatic structure was elucidated some 15 years ago. Figure IV-1 is adapted from our paper and displays the active site of the [FeFe]-H₂ase as determined by protein crystallography, Figure IV-1 (A). One area of investigation of the simple models involves the intramolecular site exchange processes as it has been suggested that the well-known dynamics of such compounds may relate to the requirement for configurational mobility in order to function as solution electrocatalysts for the reduction of protons to produce H₂. The structure in Figure IV-1 (B) is that of the so-called parent model complex (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, pdt = propane-1,3-dithiolate, from which numerous derivatives have been prepared. This complex, perhaps more than any other, has provided an entry point

Figure IV-1. Depiction of (A) the 6Fe H-cluster of the [FeFe]-H₂ase active site; (B) the (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ parent model complex, in the eclipsed, “all-terminal” geometry and its fluxional processes: i. chair/boat interconversion, ii. apical/basal CO site exchange; (C) (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ with a N bridgehead; (D) the expected transition state during protonation of reduced (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂⁻.
into biomimetic chemistry for the organometallic community. In particular, its spectroscopic handle, FTIR in the diatomic region, permitted the close monitor of electron density at the iron centers. Carbon-13 and proton NMR spectroscopy has been used to interrogate the fluxional processes involved in the chair/boat interconversion of the FeS$_2$C$_3$ metallacycle, as the 6-membered ring flips from one side to the other, Figure IV-1 (B, i), and the apical/basal CO site exchange through a turnstile type Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation, Figure IV-1 (B, ii).$^{106}$

In the neutral Fe$^{1}$Fe$^{1}$ redox level, the ($\mu$-pdt)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ complex is in an edge-bridged bi-square pyramidal geometry with the CO ligands in an eclipsed, “all-terminal” geometry. The HOMO of this complex well delineates the Fe—Fe bond.$^{122}$ Theory has shown that this bond may be weakened by either oxidation, removing an electron from the bonding orbital, or reduction, adding an electron to the LUMO, which is Fe—Fe antibonding in character.$^{122}$ According to theory, both lead to an easier access to the so-called “rotated” isomer. This isomeric form results from the reorientation of one of the Fe(CO)$_3$ units by rotation, or inversion, maintaining the $\mu$-SRS unit, in order to expose that iron to attack at the now revealed terminal open site.

Another series of model complexes utilize the azadithiolate (adt) bridging ligand$^{167}$ as seen in the complex ($\mu$-adt)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, Figure IV-1 (C). These complexes have an amine in the “bridgehead” position of the bridging dithiolate cofactor that facilitates proton transfer to and from the catalytic iron site, as shown in Figure IV-1 (D).$^{122}$ This pendent amine, within 3.5 Å of the open faced iron, is critical to the function of the enzyme, as
demonstrated by recent reports of the hybrid synthesis of an [FeFe]-H\textsubscript{2}ase enzyme with an active site derived from small molecule compounds containing either CH\textsubscript{2} or NH bridgeheads. Only the azadithiolate-bridged diiron subsite is capable of reducing protons to generate H\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{53,142}

The experiments in this study investigated the fluxional processes of a series of diiron hexacarbonyl complexes, using variable temperature NMR spectroscopy, and looked for a correlation with their function as solution proton reduction electrocatalysts, determined by cyclic voltammetric responses to added acid.\textsuperscript{107} It was found that the energy barriers of the fluxional processes related to the steric bulk of the bridging dithiolate ligand that can be directed towards an Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} unit. However, differences in the mobility of the diiron complexes did not correlate with their electrocatalytic reduction of protons. Instead, the presence of an amine in the bridgehead position of the dithiolate ligand was responsible for a 1.5- to 2- fold increase in electrochemical response to acid when compared to the species with carbon in the bridgehead of comparable steric bulk.

\textit{\textsuperscript{1}H and \textsuperscript{13}C VT NMR Studies}

In addition to the proton signals from the substituent in the bridgehead position of the bridging dithiolate ligand, the rapidly equilibrating methylene (elbow carbon) protons for NH, NMe, NtBu, pdt, and dmpdt show a single resonance in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra at room temperature.\textsuperscript{106} For many of the complexes, these signals are observed to resolve into two broad resonances when cooled to the slow exchange regime, reflecting the nonequivalency of the axial and equatorial H atoms. From this, the energy barrier of the
chair/boat interconversion of the FeS$_2$C$_2$X metallacycle can be experimentally determined.$^{187}$

The Fe(CO)$_3$ rotor fluxionality was measured by VT $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy. From the values for the chair/boat interconversion, Figure IV-1 (B, i), and CO intramolecular site exchange, Figure IV-1 (B, ii), energy barriers of all complexes were obtained using the formulas $\Delta G^\ddagger = -(RT)\ln[k_{th}/k_{coal}]$ and $k_t = (\pi\Delta\nu)/2^{1/2}$, where the coalescence temperature ($T_{coal}$) and peak separation ($\Delta\nu$) were found from analysis of the spectra.$^{187}$

**Computational Investigation of the Structures and Fluxional Processes of Diiron Hexacarbonyl Complexes**

Density Functional Theory was utilized in the study of the ($\mu$-SRS)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ complexes. Specific questions asked of the calculations were:

- Can the calculations provide structures with both the geometry and vibrational frequencies comparable to the experimental data?
- Can the calculations locate the transition state structures of the fluxional processes known to take place in the ($\mu$-SRS)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ system?
- Do the calculated energies of those transition states compare favourably to the experimental energy barriers of those processes?
- Does the choice of functional affect the calculated geometries of the ground state and transition state structures and their relative energies?
- Can the calculations provide insight into the experimental results that are ambiguous or, because of certain limitations, cannot be measured?
The structures and fluxional processes of the (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ complexes have been previously investigated by DFT. Hall and co-workers have shown that the rotation of the Fe(CO)₃ moiety leads to a transition state, observed by a ca. 60 degree twist of the Fe(CO)₃ unit. This disrupts the Fe – Fe bond, making the two irons asymmetric in their calculated charges and positions a CO ligand between the iron atoms in a semi-bridging position. It has been shown that addition of steric bulk on the bridging ligand decreases the barrier to rotation; changing the “bridgehead” atom from carbon to nitrogen, as in Figure IV-1 (B) and (C), does not have a notable effect on the rotation barrier for (μ-(SCH₂)₂X)[Fe(CO)₃]₂, where X = CH₂ or NH. The effects of ligands other than CO on the structure of diiron dithiolate have been investigated by Hall, who has demonstrated that good donor ligands, such as phosphine, may subtly raise the rotation energy barrier if the donor ligand is *trans* to the bridging site. The computational investigations of de Gioia have also been utilized in the investigation of (μ-pdt)[Fe₂(CO)₅(CN)]⁻, where the transition state corresponding to the bridgehead flip was located and the interconversion of isomers varying by cyanide position were compared. The calculated ring flip energy was reported to be slightly higher (8.7-9.8 kcal/mol) than the experimental energy barrier (9.3 kcal/mol).
Table IV-1. Experimental and calculated spectroscopic and metric parameters of (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)₃]₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>IR v(CO) (cm⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>Fe - Fe (Å)</th>
<th>S - S (Å)</th>
<th>torsion angle (°)ᵇ</th>
<th>C/N --- Fe (Å)ᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>2075, 2036, 2007, 1990, 1981</td>
<td>2.5150(3)</td>
<td>3.045(2)</td>
<td>0.00(9)</td>
<td>3.47(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2067, 2028, 2007, 1985, 1975</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>3.113</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>3.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMe</td>
<td>2075, 2036, 2002, 1990, 1984</td>
<td>2.4924(7)</td>
<td>3.0398(7)</td>
<td>0.0(4)</td>
<td>3.27(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2066, 2029, 2003, 1992, 1981</td>
<td>2.524</td>
<td>3.099</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>3.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NtBu</td>
<td>2075, 2036, 2002, 1994, 1982</td>
<td>2.5172(9)</td>
<td>3.0147(8)</td>
<td>6.1(2)</td>
<td>3.319(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-S</td>
<td>2084, 2044, 2007</td>
<td>2.553(4)</td>
<td>2.006(3)</td>
<td>0.89(1)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2077, 2037, 2011, 2004, 1990</td>
<td>2.549</td>
<td>2.078</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edt</td>
<td>2078, 2037, 2008, 1995, 1986</td>
<td>2.502(1)</td>
<td>2.886(1)</td>
<td>1.0(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2069, 2030, 2007, 1992, 1983</td>
<td>2.512</td>
<td>2.951</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdt</td>
<td>2076, 2035, 2005, 1992, 1981</td>
<td>2.5105(8)</td>
<td>3.050(2)</td>
<td>0.0(2)</td>
<td>3.498(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dmpdt</td>
<td>2075, 2034, 2005, 1992, 1980</td>
<td>2.4939(4)</td>
<td>3.0171(7)</td>
<td>6.5(2)</td>
<td>3.735(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2067, 2037, 2007, 1985, 1976</td>
<td>2.506</td>
<td>3.066</td>
<td>0.000ᵈ</td>
<td>3.822</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃExperimental IR spectra obtained in hexanes, calculated IR spectra obtained using TPSSTPSS functional and 6-311+G(d,p) basis set on all atoms. ᵇCO₃²⁻-Fe-Fe²⁻-CO₃²⁻ angle. ᵈDistance from the central atom of the bridgehead (C or N) to the closest iron; the S-S and edt molecules have no bridgehead. ᵉCalculated torsion angle is exactly 0 as the dmpdt only optimized into a minimum when constrained to C₃ᵥ symmetry.
In this chapter, I provide computational support for the experimentally observed effect of steric bulk in lowering the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation barrier and the negligible effect of carbon versus nitrogen in the bridgehead position, while showing that the bridgehead atom does correspond to a difference in the ring flip. The primary focus of the computational investigation was the identification of the transition states of all fluxional processes involved in the dynamic intramolecular site exchange processes for all complexes as well as the assignment of $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR resonances at various temperatures. In total, 8 ground states and 21 transition states were located for each of four functionals, resulting in a total of 116 relevant structures. A summary and comparison of experimental and computed ν(CO) stretching frequencies and important metric parameters is provided in Table IV-1.

The four functionals selected for this investigation were B3LYP,$^{153-155}$ TPSSTPSS,$^{162}$ MPW1PW91,$^{161}$ and ω-B97xD$^{163}$ and each utilized the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set$^{156,157}$ on all atoms.

The B3LYP functional was originally selected for this investigation as it was utilized by Hall, de Gioia, and others for their investigations into the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase active site and the myriad model complexes thereof.$^{60,122,184,186,188,189}$ In the B3LYP functional, the B3 exchange functional,$^{153,154}$ which contains partial Hartree-Fock exchange, is paired with the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Par (LYP).$^{155}$ This combination has been specifically optimized as a hybrid functional, and is immensely popular.$^{190}$ As an example of its popularity, Sousa et al. analysed the titles and abstracts of every paper in the Web
of Science from 1990 to 2006, and found that 80% of the functionals listed were B3LYP.\textsuperscript{191}

The TPSSTPSS functional developed by Tao, Perdew, Staroverov, and Scuseria combines their exchange and correlation functionals.\textsuperscript{162} This pure-DFT functional does not contain any Hartree-Fock exchange, but it has been reported to improve on the overestimated bond lengths and poor vibrational frequencies of previous functionals of this type.\textsuperscript{192}

The MPW1PW91 functional is essentially the Perdew-Wang 1991 functional\textsuperscript{193,194} in which the exchange component has been modified by Adamo and Barone,\textsuperscript{161} in order to improve the performance of the overall functional. This functional has been found by Truhlar and coworkers to accurately model both covalent and noncovalent interactions.\textsuperscript{195}

The fourth functional, ω-B97xD, is a standalone functional and is not split into exchange and correlation components.\textsuperscript{163} Originally devised by Grimme to include dispersion forces, we expected this functional to accurately model the noncovalent interactions between the bridging ligand and the iron carbonyls.\textsuperscript{196}

Finally, these calculations provide a means to clarify ambiguous experimental results, such as the low temperature $^{13}$C NMR of NtBu, in which a single peak may be due to exchange of the carbonyl ligands or a serendipitous overlap of the peaks of non-exchanging COs. The results below provide evidence that the ligands are not exchanging at temperatures lower than -60 °C, but the non-exchanging COs happen to have the same chemical shift. Another benefit of the calculations is the ability to estimate energy barriers
that have not been determined experimentally. For example, the ring flip of dmpdt has been observed to continue at temperatures as low as -120 °C.\textsuperscript{197} The combination of calculated structures and energies with the experimental energies obtained from VT NMR spectroscopy, the fluxional processes of (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} are identified and described below.

\textbf{Figure IV-2.} Overlays of the seven diiron hexacarbonyl complexes investigated in this chapter are displayed above with the X-ray crystal structures in red and calculated structures, optimized in the gas phase using the B3LYP functional, in blue.
Results and Discussion

Structural Features of Diiron Hexacarbonyl Species

The molecular structures of the following diiron hexacarbonyl complexes (μ-(SCH$_2$)NH)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, NH, $^{167}$ μ-(SCH$_2$)$_2$N(Me))[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, NMe, $^{96}$ μ-(SCH$_2$)$_2$N(t-Bu))[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, NtBu, $^{167}$ (μ-S$_2$)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, S-S, $^{198}$ (μ-(SCH$_2$)$_2$)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, edt, $^{199}$ (μ-(S(CH$_2$)$_2$)$_2$S)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, pdt, $^{183}$ and (μ-(SCH$_2$)$_2$C(Me)$_2$)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$, dmpdt, $^{197}$ were optimized in the ground state and compared to the structures determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. Selected experimental and calculated metric parameters are provided in Table IV-1 along with v(CO) IR data. The seven complexes investigated in this study are displayed in Figure IV-2, where the B3LYP calculated structures (blue) are overlaid with the X-ray crystal structures (red). By visual inspection, there is little difference in the structures optimized using any of the four functionals: TPSSTPSS, B3LYP, MPW1PW91, and ω-B97xD.

The crystal structures of both NH and NMe have the pyramidal amines cocrystalized in both the axial (where the N-R is pointed down, towards the Fe(CO)$_3$) and equatorial (where the N-R is pointed up, away from the Fe(CO)$_3$) positions.$^{96,167}$ In contrast, the NtBu was experimentally found to crystalize only in the equatorial position.$^{182}$ For simplicity, the structures in Figure IV-2 are all shown with the N-R in the equatorial position. This explains the most apparent discrepancy in the overlaid structures, where the apical CO of NMe, directed away from the bridgehead, is bent further away from the dithiolate bridge in the crystal structure than in the calculated structure. This is due to the
partial occupancy of an axial N-CH₃ bridgehead that is above that iron, which provides steric repulsion for the apical CO in a manner similar to the C-CH₃ in the dmpdt bridgehead.¹⁹⁷ Because the calculated structure does not have this isomer in any occupancy, the unhindered CO is not bent down. Finally, the experimental observation of NtBu only in the equatorial conformation is consistent with computational data, for which the axial conformation of the tert-butyl group did not converge for all four functionals, vide infra.

The four remaining structures are either totally symmetric with respect to the bridging dithiolate and have no bridgehead atom; i.e., the S-S and edt complexes, or they have CR₂ bridgehead atoms, where R = H (pdt) or CH₃ (dmpdt). The only minor discrepancy between these calculated and crystal structures is the dmpdt, which was optimized as Cs symmetric. Thus, the COap-Fe-Fe’-COap’ dihedral angle is exactly 0°, while the reported dihedral from the crystal structure is 6.5(2)°.¹⁹⁷ Finally, as indicated by almost identical ν(CO) values, Table IV-1, the electron density on the iron centers is the same throughout the series.

Fluxional Processes of edt and S-S

The edt and S-S complexes are the simplest of the diiron hexacarbonyl class in terms of their fluxional processes. The absence of a 6-membered metallacycle eliminates the ring flip process, and without the bridgehead to distinguish the iron atoms, the two Fe(CO)₃
### Table IV-2.

Experimental and computational Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation barriers and FeS$_2$C$_2$X ring flip barrier in kcal/mol. The experimental values were determined by $^1$H and $^{13}$C VT NMR spectroscopy and the computations were carried out with the four functionals listed with the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set on all atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S-S</th>
<th>edt</th>
<th>pdt</th>
<th>dmpdt</th>
<th>NH</th>
<th>NMe</th>
<th>NtBu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(CO)$_3$</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  |     |     |     |       |     |     |      |
| **Computed**     |     |     |     |       |     |     |      |
| TPSSTPSS         | 9.0 | 12.1| 12.4| 9.6   | 13.3| 6.6 | 12.0 |
| B3LYP            | 11.0| 14.1| 14.5| 11.6  | 9.1 | 14.1| 11.5 |
| MPW1PW91         | 10.8| 13.8| 14.4| 11.2  | 15.2| 8.0 | 14.1 |
| $\omega$B97xD    | 12.0| 15.3| 16.1| 13.0  | 17.0| 9.6 | 14.8 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pdt</th>
<th>dmpdt</th>
<th>NH</th>
<th>NMe</th>
<th>NtBu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(CO)$_3$</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  |     |       |     |     |      |
| **Computed**     |     |       |     |     |      |
| TPSSTPSS         | 8.8 | 5.2   | 15.1| 10.9| 10.4 |
| B3LYP            | 9   | 5.9   | 14  | 10.4| 11.6 |
| MPW1PW91         | 9.5 | 5.4   | 14.1| 10.5| 11.4 |
| $\omega$B97xD    | 10.7| 6.1   | 14.3| 11.4| 12.6 |

---

$^a$Error estimated using ±5 °C for detected coalescence temperature.  $^b$Computational free energy recalculated at the experimental coalescence temperature using the indicated functionals.  $^c$The values to the left and right of the slash are the calculated rotation barriers for each iron with respect to the bridgehead position.  $^d$Experimental data could not be obtained, as the coalescence temperature was < -120 °C.  $^e$Free energy estimated by using the coalescence temperature of the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation, -87 °C.
Both rotors are identical. Both \textit{edt} and \textit{S-S} display a single $^{13}$C NMR resonance at room temperature, which splits into two peaks with an integration of 2:4 at 0 °C for \textit{edt} and -60 °C for \textit{S-S}.

Computational investigation of both complexes has revealed a single ground state structure in which the six carbonyl ligands are in the eclipsed “all terminal” geometry,
edt and S-Sa respectively, Figure IV-3. For both complexes, the single transition state of a fluxional process consists of the rotation of an iron in which one of the Fe(CO)$_3$ units is inverted, with one CO in a bridging or semi-bridging position between the two iron atoms, Figure IV-3. This transition state for the edt bridged complex, edt$_b$, is calculated to be 12.1 – 15.3 kcal/mol higher in energy than edt$_a$; the transition state for the disulfide complex, S-S$_b$, is 9.0 – 12.0 kcal/mol higher in energy than S-S$_b$, Table IV-2. With both iron units identical by symmetry, the rotation of either Fe(CO)$_3$ is the same. These are the simplest complexes with respect to fluxional processes, requiring the consideration of only a single Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation each. Therefore the only coalescence event observed by VT NMR is the splitting of the two equivalent apical and four equivalent basal COs when the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation ceases.

Fluxional Processes of NH

The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of NH at room temperature in the CO region reveals a single $^{13}$C resonance at $\delta = 208.3$ ppm for NH, Figure IV-4, indicating both Fe(CO)$_3$ units are freely rotating and the chair/boat interconversion is making both Fe(CO)$_3$ rotors equivalent. Upon cooling to 0 °C, the single peak separates into two resonances that integrate as three carbons each. At -60 °C the $^{13}$CO resonances have split into three, observed at $\delta = 208.3$, 208.2, and 206.8 ppm with relative intensities of three carbons at $\delta = 208.3$, one carbon at $\delta = 208.2$ and two carbons at 206.8. Four resonances are seen at -80 °C, which have relative intensities of 2:1:1:2. At this temperature, the apical and basal COs, both under
Figure IV-4. Variable temperature $^{13}$C NMR spectra at 500 MHz in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ of NH in the low-field CO region with depiction of the fluxional processes at the various temperatures, reproduced with permission.$^{182}$
and away from the bridgehead are distinct, indicating that all fluxional processes have ceased. This is shown in Figure IV-4, reproduced with permission from our paper.\textsuperscript{182}

The computational investigation of the fluxional processes of \textbf{NH} located two ground state and five transition state structures, the greatest number for the series of (\textit{\mu}-SRS)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} complexes. The two ground state structures have the expected structure of the two FeS\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2}N metallacycles, with one iron in the chair conformation and the other iron in the boat conformation. The six carbonyl ligands are in the “all terminal” geometry, with the only significant difference of the two structures being the position of the N-H hydrogen. If the hydrogen is in an axial position of the FeS\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2}N metallacycle, pointed “up” away the iron atoms, the structure \textbf{NH}_a' is 3.4 - 4.7 kcal/mol higher in energy than if the hydrogen is axial, pointed “down” towards the iron atoms, \textbf{NH}_a", Figure IV-5. The lowest energy isomer \textbf{NH}_a" is set as 0.0 kcal/mol, with all other structure energies reported relative to it.

Of the five transition states located, four are the transition states of the rotations of the Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} moiety in which the iron is in the boat conformation, under the NH bridgehead, or in the chair conformation, away from the bridgehead. In both structures, the N-H may be either axial or equatorial. If the N-H is axial, Figure IV-5 (right side), there is a substantial difference in the rotation barrier of the two irons. The transition state
corresponding to the rotation of the iron in the boat conformation, $\text{NH}_{b''}$, is the lowest in energy at 9.5 – 12.9 kcal/mol, while the transition state structure corresponding to the chair

**Figure IV-5.** Calculated structures of the all-terminal ground states of NH with the N-H in the equatorial and axial positions, $\text{NH}_{a'}$ and $\text{NH}_{a''}$ respectively, and the transition states of the rotation of the iron in the boat conformation, $\text{NH}_{b'}$ and $\text{NH}_{b''}$; the rotation of the iron in the chair conformation, $\text{NH}_{c'}$ and $\text{NH}_{c''}$; and the ring flip, $\text{NH}_f$, with free energies of the calculation with the TPSSTPSS functional reported.
conformation, $\text{NH}_c^\circ$, is higher in energy at 12.0 – 16.0 kcal/mol. If the N-H is equatorial, both iron units have a higher barrier to rotation, similar to each other at 15.8 – 17.9 kcal/mol for the boat conformation, $\text{NH}_b^\circ$, and 15.7 – 17.9 for the chair conformation, $\text{NH}_c^\circ$, Figure IV-5 (left side).

The fifth calculated transition state structure corresponds to the chair/boat interconversion, in which the $C_2S_2$ and $C_2N$ planes are coplanar as the bridgehead moves from one side to the other. In this transition state geometry, the bridgehead amine remains pyramidal with amine inversion occurring either before or after the ring flip, Figure IV-5 (bottom). This final transition state was calculated to be 14.0 – 15.1 kcal/mol. Because the two rotational transition states and the ground state all containing the N-H in the axial position are higher in energy than their equatorial counterparts, they are not the most energetically preferred route to Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation. Thus, the chair/boat interconversion is predicted to be the highest energy process observed. This is in agreement with the split of the single NMR resonance observed at room temperature into two peaks of equal intensity at 0 °C. The two Fe(CO)$_3$ units are still rotating at -30 °C but because the bridgehead is locked on one side, the two rotors are slightly different, resulting in two NMR resonances of equal intensity, one for each Fe(CO)$_3$ rotor. The next highest energy process is the rotation of the chair iron, which corresponds to the splitting of a single three carbon peak into a 2:1 ratio at -60 °C. At this temperature, the only the Fe(CO)$_3$ moiety under the NH bridgehead continues to rotate. This rotation is the lowest energy process, but upon cooling NH to -80 °C, this motion is also frozen out.
Fluxional Processes of NMe

The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of NMe at room temperature reveals a single resonance at $\delta = 208.4$ ppm that, upon cooling to -40 °C, splits in a manner indicating a distinction in the two Fe(CO)$_3$ units, Figure IV-5 (A). However, unlike NH, which showed two signals of equal intensity, cooling the NMe sample reveals that the higher field resonances become distinct between -40 and -50 °C. In contrast the broad lower field resonance does not split apart, collapsing into the base line, until -80 °C. The resonances at $\delta = 208.0$ and 207.1 ppm have relative intensities of 2:1, with the third peak having a relative intensity of 3. This may be seen in Figure IV-6 (A), reproduced with permission from reference 34. This indicates that the rotation of one Fe(CO)$_3$ unit has ceased at the higher temperature, ca. -45 °C, while the other Fe(CO)$_3$ unit has a much lower rotation barrier, and remains in motion until cooled to temperatures lower than -80 °C. The sample of NMe could be further cooled in the solvent CDFCl$_2$ to temperatures as low as -120 °C. At that temperature, four $^{13}$C resonances are seen at $\delta = 209.5, 208.4, 207.7$, and 207.5 ppm, indicating that both Fe(CO)$_3$ units have ceased rotation.

The calculated ground state of NMe corresponds to the X-ray crystal structure in which the N-CH$_3$ is equatorial, NMe$_a^\prime$, Figure IV-7. The rotation of the iron in the boat conformation results in two possible transition states. The first, NMe$_b^\prime$, in which the N-CH$_3$ is equatorial, has a higher energy, 11.4 – 15.6 kcal/mol, while the second, NMe$_b^\prime\prime$, in which the amine has inverted, has a lower energy, 6.6 – 11.6 kcal/mol, putting the methyl group in an axial position over the open site. In the ground state, the N-CH$_3$ inversion
barrier corresponding to the change from equatorial to axial, was found to be 1.1 kcal/mol, making this lower energy rotation easily accessible. A transition state for the rotation of the iron in the chair configuration was only located with the aminomethyl group in the equatorial position, $\text{NMe}_e$, at 10.9 – 14.8 kcal/mol. The transition state for final fluxional process, the chair/boat interconversion, $\text{NMe}_r$, was located at a similar energy, 10.4 – 12.6

Figure IV-6. Variable temperature $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra at 500 MHz in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ in the low-field CO region (A) NMe, (B) NtBu, and (C) pdt.$^{182}$
kcal/mol. Thus, the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation of one of the iron ceases at the same temperature as the ring flip, resulting in the single resonance splitting into three peaks concurrently as the NMR sample was cooled. This makes the NMR peaks with an intensity of 2:1 the basal and apical COs, respectively, of the iron in the chair conformation. The third peak is the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit under the N-CH$_3$ bridgehead, which is only split into two distinct
resonances at -120 °C as the low energy process for apical/basal site exchange is frozen out.

*Fluxional Processes of NtBu*

The single $^{13}$C CO resonance of NtBu at 25 °C, Figure IV-6 (B), broadens and collapses upon cooling to -30 °C. In a similar manner as observed with the NMe, the NMR spectrum at temperatures -40 °C and below shows three sharp resonances at $\delta = 209.3$, 207.8, and 206.8 ppm, which integrate in a 2:3:1 pattern. This is consistent with the possibility that one Fe(CO)$_3$ unit is fixed while the other is still in flux, as is observed with NMe. However, as all three resonances remain sharp, with no hint of additional coalescence events even at temperatures as low as -80 °C, there is a second possibility. It may be that the fluxional processes all stop at the same temperature and that the NMR resonance of the apical CO of one iron overlaps with the basal COs of the other.

Computational investigation of NtBu found a single ground state, NtBu$_a$, and three transition states, one for each Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation as well as one for the ring flip, Figure IV-8. In all four structures, the bridgehead amine is in a pyramidal geometry with the tert-butyl group in the equatorial position, in agreement with the crystal structure. Attempts to optimize the all-terminal CO ground state or either bridging CO transition state with an axial tert-butyl group always resulted in an amine inversion, generating the structure with
an equatorial \textit{t}ert-butyl. If the bridgehead is forced into the axial position, pointed towards the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit, there is no way to position the carbonyls such that they are not highly destabilized by the steric bulk of the \textit{t}ert-butyl group. The transition state for the rotation...
of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit under the bridgehead, the iron in the boat configuration, NtBu$_b$, was found to be 10.5 – 16.9 kcal/mol. The rotation of the other Fe(CO)$_3$ moiety, NtBu$_c$, was found to have a higher transition state energy, at 12.0 – 15.6 kcal/mol. The transition state of the ring flip was calculated to be at a slightly lower energy than the Fe(CO)$_3$ rotations, with NtBu$_r$ at 10.4 – 12.6 kcal/mol.

In the previous examples, NH and NMe, the difference in the energy barriers to rotation of the Fe(CO)$_3$ units, distinguished by the chair and boat conformations of the irons, resulted in two different coalescence events as the two sides of the molecule ceased rotation at different temperatures. However, experimental results from NMR spectroscopy clearly show a single coalescence event, Figure IV-6 (B). This apparent discrepancy can be accounted for by considering all of the fluxional processes of the NtBu molecule. With sufficient energy the NtBu molecule is in constant flux, as is observed at room temperature, with three fluxional processes making all six carbonyls equivalent at the NMR timescale. Upon cooling to the point that the rotation of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit away from the bridgehead has ceased, there are still two fluxional processes available to the NtBu. If the rotation of the Fe(CO)$_3$ unit under the bridgehead is still possible, there is enough energy to flip the bridgehead as well. This chair/boat interconversion allows both iron atoms to spend some time in the boat conformation, which allows both Fe(CO)$_3$ units to rotate on the NMR timescale. With the lower energy rotation and the ring flip ceasing at roughly the same temperature, the single room temperature resonance splits into all four peaks at the same time as the apical and basal COs are frozen out and the Fe(CO)$_3$ units are distinguished by the bridgehead. That only three peaks are visible, in a 2:3:1 ratio, is
happenstance as the apical CO from one iron has the same chemical shift as the basal COs from the other.

Fluxional Processes of pdt and dmpdt

The Fe(CO)$_3$ rotors of pdt also cease rotation at the same temperature, as the single room temperature resonance broadens and collapses at -60 °C and reforms into four distinct resonances at -80 °C, Figure IV-5 (C). The computational investigation of pdt was simplified by the presence of a methylene carbon at the bridgehead rather than an amine, eliminating the possibility of bridgehead inversion. Thus a single ground state structure, pdta, and three transition states that correspond to the rotation of the iron in the boat configuration, pdtb, the rotation of the iron in the chair conformation, pdtc, and the chair/boat interconversion, pdtf, were located, Figure IV-9. Like the NtBu Fe(CO)$_3$ rotors, the rotation of the boat iron was found to be easier, with a calculated energy of pdtb at 9.6 – 13.0 kcal/mol, than the rotation of the chair iron, with pdtc calculated to be 12.4 – 16.1 kcal/mol. Also like the NtBu, the ring flip was found to have a transition state, pdtf, with a lower energy than the rotations, 8.8 – 10.7 kcal/mol. The much larger difference in the energies of the two rotors of pdt makes it clear that so long as the bridgehead can continue to flip, both sides continue to rotate until both the chair and boat
rotations are frozen out. This leads to two equivalent apical COs and four equivalent basal COs, like \textit{edt} or \textit{S-S}, until the bridgehead stops flipping. Once that motion also ends, all four NMR peaks may be observed. For \textit{pdt}, the second rotation and bridgehead flip are

\textbf{Figure IV-9.} Calculated structures of the all-terminal ground state of \textit{pdt}, \textit{pdt}_a, and the transition states of the rotation of the iron in the boat conformation, \textit{pdt}_b, the rotation of the iron in the chair conformation, \textit{pdt}_c, and the ring flip, \textit{pdt}_f, with free energies of the calculation with the TPSSTPSS functional reported.
both frozen out at a similar temperature, but the two NMR peaks with an intensity ratio of 2:4 can just be observed at -60 °C.

A more obvious example may be seen in the case of dmpdt. The $^{13}$C CO resonance of dmpdt splits into two at low temperature, with an integration of 2:4. As the calculations

\[ \text{dmpdt}_a \]

\[ 0.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \text{dmpdt}_b \]

\[ 6.6 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \text{dmpdt}_c \]

\[ 13.3 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \text{dmpdt}_f \]

\[ 5.2 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \text{Figure IV-10.} \] Calculated structures of the all-terminal ground state of dmpdt, dmpdt$_a$, and the transition states of the rotation of the iron in the boat conformation, dmpdt$_b$, the rotation of the iron in the chair conformation, dmpdt$_c$, and the ring flip, dmpdt$_f$, with free energies of the calculation with the TPSSTPSS functional reported.
show, with the same single ground state, dmpdt\textsubscript{a}, and three transition states as pdt, one rotor (the iron in the chair configuration) has a much higher barrier, with dmpdt\textsubscript{c} calculated to be 13.3 – 17.0 kcal/mol, than the other, Figure IV-10. The calculated transition state for the iron in the boat conformation, dmpdt\textsubscript{b}, is only 6.6 – 9.6 kcal/mol. Thus, at a sufficiently low temperature (less than -87 °C), both irons will stop rotating and only the ring flip, with a transition state, dmpdt\textsubscript{f}, calculated to be 5.2 – 6.1 kcal/mol, continues. Therefore, the two NMR resonances are assigned to the apical and basal COs on both Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} units, as the chair/boat interconversion barrier in the dmpdt is too low to differentiate the two Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} units, even cooling the complex to -120 °C.\textsuperscript{197}

**Effect of the Functional on Structure and Energy**

There is little difference in the optimized structures of the (\textmu-SRS)[Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} complexes in either the ground or excited states. As a comparison, MPW1PW91 single point calculations were performed using the structures of the energy barrier to rotation of the Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} unit that had been optimized with the B3LYP functional, and they were less than 0.2 kcal/mol different than the structures optimized with the MPW1PW91. The energy barrier of the ring flip was similar for each functional, typically with differences of less than 1 kcal/mol, with a maximum difference between functionals of 2.2 kcal/mol. The four functionals formed a trend, in which the TPSSTPSS functional was usually the lowest in energy and the \(\omega\)B97xD functional was the highest. The B3LYP and MPW1PW91 functionals reported energies within 0.5 kcal/mol of each other and tended to be between the other functionals in energy. However, the TPSSTPSS functional did not always report
the lowest energy, the \textbf{NtBu} and \textbf{NH} complexes were exceptions. In fact, the TPSSTPSS functional reported an energy barrier \(~1\) kcal/mol higher than the other three functions for the \textbf{NH} complex. This complex was notable for being the only one to have all four functionals report greater energy barriers than the NMR spectroscopy experiment. When the five complexes with an FeS\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2}X (X = NR, CR\textsubscript{2}) metallacycle are ranked in order of increasing ring flip energy, the overall computational results are in agreement with the experimental data: \textbf{dmpdt} < \textbf{pdt} < \textbf{NMe} \approx \textbf{NtBu} < \textbf{NH}.\textsuperscript{182}

The energy barrier of the rotation of the Fe(CO)\textsubscript{3} unit as calculated by each functional followed a trend similar to the ring flip. The TPSSTPSS functional was consistently lower in energy than the B3LYP and MPW1PW91 functionals, which were energetically close to each other, with the B3LYP functional being between 0.2 and 1.1 kcal/mol higher in energy. The \textit{ωB97xD} functional was the highest in energy for all seven complexes investigated. The difference between each functional is best seen in the degree of overestimation of each rotation barrier. The TPSSTPSS functional was a close match to the experimental energy values, typically within 1 kcal/mol. The B3LYP and MPW1PW91 functionals consistently overestimated the experimental energies by \(1 \text{ – } 2\) kcal/mol, while the \textit{ωB97xD} functional reported rotation barrier some \(2.5 \text{ – } 3.5\) kcal/mol higher than the experimental data. These errors were systemic in nature, and a correction factor of \(1.5\) kcal/mol for the B3LYP and MPW1PW91 energies and \(3.0\) kcal/mol for the \textit{ωB97xD} energies place all four functionals in close agreement with the energies obtained by NMR spectroscopy.
When ranked by increasing energy barriers to Fe(CO)$_3$ rotation, all four functionals predicted the order obtained experimentally with a single exception. The B3LYP functional reported the energy of the rotation of the edt complex, calculated to be 14.1 kcal/mol, as lower than the rotation of the NtBu complex, calculated to be 14.4 kcal/mol, when the experimental data and the three other functionals are in agreement that the edt complex has a higher barrier of rotation. That all four functionals were essentially correct in ranking the energy barriers of the series of (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ complexes indicates that the fluxional processes of these complexes are well-described by Density Functional Theory.

**Conclusions**

The Density Functional Theory study of the intramolecular fluxional processes of a series of (μ-SRS)[Fe(CO)$_3$]$_2$ complexes was one portion of a paper in which these compounds were also investigated by VT NMR spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry. The goal was to answer the question of how might the bridging ligand affect their fluxional processes and proton reduction capacities through changing the bridgehead atom to carbon or nitrogen or increasing the steric bulk at that position. The calculations I provided reproduced the structures of the ground states of each complex as well as the transition states that correspond to each fluxional process. The relative energies of those transition states provided a computational basis for confirming the assignment of the energy barriers of those processes as determined by VT NMR spectroscopy.
By utilizing four different functionals,\textsuperscript{190} I was able to determine that the choice of functional, while important, did not have an effect on the ability of DFT to match the experimental energy barrier trends across the series of complexes. The major difference in the functionals was seen to be a systematic offset in the energy of the rotational barrier in all of the complexes. Finally, with the positive correlation between experimental and calculated numbers, I was able to provide estimations of the energies of processes that could not be observed by NMR spectroscopy, such as the ring flip of \textbf{dmpdt} or the rotation barriers of the Fe(CO)$_3$ units in which the iron is in the chair conformation of the FeS$_2$C$_2$X metallacycle, and clarify NMR spectroscopic results that were ambiguous, such as the overlapping resonances of the \textbf{NtBu} complex.
Preface

The chemistry of dinitrosyl iron complexes (DNICs) has been an area of interest to the Darensbourg research group in the past several years. Recently, we have taken our investigations in a more fundamental direction, prompting a study of the processes involved in the changes to the reduction level of these complexes. When the reduction of an oxidized DNIC was found to be induced by CO(g), members of the Darensbourg group began a study of the kinetics of the reaction. With my own interests in computational chemistry and my investigations of complexes containing iron-bound carbon monoxide, nitric oxide, and cyanide; Chapter III, I joined the DNIC effort. Through the use of DFT a plausible mechanism for this unusual reaction was derived. My contributions are described in the following co-authored manuscript: “Carbon Monoxide Induced Reductive Elimination of Disulfide in an N-Heterocyclic Carbene (NHC)/Thiolate Dinitrosyl Iron Complex (DNIC)”.

An Introduction to Dinitrosyl Iron Complexes (DNICs)

Though it has been considered a toxic pollutant for many years, nitric oxide has been more recently found to play a key role in biochemistry as a small molecule messenger. The functions of NO in humans may be regulatory, as with its ability to regulate blood pressure and smooth muscle relaxation as well as protective, as it is known to take part in an immune response. Nitric oxide is produced \textit{in vivo} by a family of enzymes known as Nitric Oxide Synthase (NOS), which convert L-arginine to L-citrulline, releasing an NO molecule. However, as NO is a radical whose lifetime in the cellular environment is on the order of 2 ms to 2 s, the transport and storage of this molecule are of interest.

It has been observed that the presence of NO can degrade iron-sulfur clusters in proteins. One product of this degradation is a protein-bound Fe(NO)$_2$ unit, the dinitrosyl iron complex (DNIC). These complexes were first observed in the 1960s, when the livers and other organs of rats that had been fed carcinogens were found to have a characteristic signal in the EPR spectrum at $g = 2.03$. This signal is ubiquitous for DNICs with S
= ½. However, in addition to the multiple redox levels possible for iron, both the oxidized NO⁺, nitrosyl, species (isoelectronic with CO) and reduced NO⁻, nitroxyl, species (isoelectronic with O₂), as well as the neutral ·NO radical, are readily accessible. As the redox levels of iron and NO are similar, orbital interactions lead to “non-innocence” of the NO ligand and thus determination of the oxidation states of the components of the Fe(NO)₂ unit is non-trivial. In an effort to allow for the simple identification and classification of the overall redox level of metal complexes with nitric oxide ligands,

![Figure V-1. Depiction of (A) anion and (B) neutral oxidized dinitrosyl iron complexes that are EPR active as well as the EPR silent, reduced, (C) anionic and (D) neutral DNICs.](image-url)
Enemark and Feltham devised a notation system in which the sum of metal d electrons and NO π* electrons is written as a superscript outside a pair of braces that contain the M(NO)_x unit in question, where M is the metal and x is the number of NO ligands. In this scheme, the S = \( \frac{1}{2} \) DNIC is written \{Fe(NO)$_2$\}$^9$. Depending on the other ligands coordinated to the iron, this complex may be reduced to the S = 0, \{Fe(NO)$_2$\}$^{10}$, species.

In the past decade, numerous small molecule dinitrosyl iron complexes have been synthesized in an effort to understand the chemistry of this system. These complexes have been synthesized in both the oxidized and reduced states, as seen on the left and right respectively of Figure V-1.

**Summary of the Paper**

Reduction of the oxidized, \{Fe(NO)$_2$\}$^9$, DNIC in the form (RS)$_2$Fe(NO)$_2^-$ to the \{Fe(NO)$_2$\}$^{10}$ species is known to require harsh conditions, with both a strong reducing agent, such as sodium-biphenyl, and a good incoming nucleophile, such as phosphine. In

![Figure V-2](image-url)  
*Figure V-2. Conversion of oxidized (NHC)(SR)Fe(NO)$_2$, 1, to (NHC)(CO)Fe(NO)$_2$, 2, in the presence of CO*
contrast, the reduction of \((\text{NHC})(\text{SR})\text{Fe(NO)}_2\), \textbf{1}, \text{NHC} = 1,3\text{-bis}(2,4,6\text{-trimethylphenyl})\text{imidazolidin-2-ylidene}, \text{SR} = \text{thiophenyl}, to \((\text{NHC})(\text{CO})\text{Fe(NO)}_2\), \textbf{2}, was discovered by Chung-Hung Hsieh and Randara Pulukkody to proceed without external reductant, requiring only the presence of CO(g) as the incoming ligand and generating phenyl disulfide as the oxidized byproduct, Figure V-2.\textsuperscript{204} As the rate of conversion of \textbf{1} to \textbf{2} is amenable to kinetic monitoring, a series of measurements were performed by Samuel Kyran, in a toluene solution via \textit{in situ} IR, Figure V-3. Under a constant
concentration of CO(g), the reaction was found to be first order in the DNIC starting material, 1. By varying the pressure of CO(g), the reaction was monitored at various levels of CO concentration, with the finding that the formation of 2 is first order in CO as well, giving an overall bimolecular rate expression, first order in both CO and DNIC, Figure V-3. The activation parameters were determined by Eyring analysis and found to have values for ΔHǂ of +7.80 ± 0.16 kcal/mol and ΔSǂ of -45.0 ± 0.5 e.u., making ΔGǂ +21.2 ± 0.2 kcal/mol at 298.15 K. With a small, positive enthalpy of activation and a large, negative entropy of activation, the Eyring analysis is indicative of an associative mechanism, consistent with the second order rate law.

Preliminary investigations of the reduction of 1 have been performed by Randara Pulukkody, using better donor ligands: triphenylphosphine, PPh₃; trimethylphosphine, PMe₃; and trimethylphosphite, P(OMe)₃. Triphenylphosphine was not observed to react with 1, likely due to its excessive steric bulk, leading us to investigate the smaller phosphine and phosphite reagents. In an unexpected development, the strongest σ-donor ligand, PMe₃, was the slowest to react with 1, with the rate of reaction with P(OMe)₃, a ligand intermediate in σ-donor strength, falling between the phosphine and carbonyl reaction rates. The CO-induced reduction of 1 was then investigated by DFT in an effort to determine a mechanism consistent with both the second order rate law and Eyring
activation parameters. This mechanism should also explain the inverse relationship between the rate of reaction and the σ donor strength of the incoming ligand.

Figure V-4. Structures derived by DFT and explored as intermediates in CO addition to complex 1. In DFT study, \( R = R' = \text{Me} \); in kinetics study, \( R = \text{Ph}, R' = \text{Mes} \).
Density Functional Theory was utilized in the study of the mechanism of the CO-induced reduction of 1. As described in Chapter II, all calculations were performed using the BP86 functional with the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set on all atoms. These were selected for use in this study for their effectiveness at modeling DNICs, as determined by Brothers, et al. The strength of DFT is the ability to locate the structures of all intermediates and transition states along a presumed reaction profile. However, in order to certain that the mechanism is correct, all possible reaction pathways must be analyzed. As in experimental studies of a mechanism, the exact mechanism can never be known with absolute confidence; a mechanism may never be proven, it can only be disproved. In fact, two mechanistic pathways, similar in energy, were identified in which the oxidized DNIC first attacks a CO molecule, generating an intermediate structure with a five-coordinate iron. This was followed by either homolytic Fe – S bond cleavage or thiolate migration onto the carbonyl ligand, then homolytic C – S bond cleavage, Figure V-4. In both mechanisms, the product 2 is generated along with a thyl radical, two of which must combine to form a disulfide. The calculated energies are all reported relative to 1 and a free CO throughout this chapter, with the electronic, free, and enthalpic energies of all stable and pseudostable complexes, described below, provided in Table V-1. The relevant metric parameters of the calculated structures along the reaction profile are provided in Table V-2.
Results and Discussion

A Comparison of the Experimental and Calculated Structures of (NHC)(SR)Fe(NO)$_2$, 1, and (NHC)(CO)Fe(NO)$_2$, 2.

The complexes (NHC)(SR)Fe(NO)$_2$, 1, and (NHC)(CO)Fe(NO)$_2$, 2, where NHC = sIMes (1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene) and SR = SPh (phenylthiolate) for

Table V-1. Calculated electronic energy, free energy, and enthalpies of converged transition states and minima in Figure V-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species$^a$</th>
<th>Electronic Energy (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Free Energy (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Enthalpy (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1+CO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+½RSSR</td>
<td>-19.6</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3''</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{4+CO}$^b$</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{5+CO}</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1-3']$^b$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1-3'']$^b$</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3''-9]$^b$</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9-2]$^b$</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3'-2]$^b$</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All energies relative to the sum of 1 and a free CO ligand, which was defined as 0.0 kcal/mol. $^b$Intermediates 4 and 5 were only located by freezing the S-N bond of the RSNO as described in the text.
the experimental structure, were optimized in the gas phase, both as the full experimental structures and in a truncated form, where NHC = sIMe (1,3-dimethylimidazolidin-2-ylidene) and SR = SMe (methylthiolate). A comparison of the metric parameters of the full and truncated optimized geometries with the X-ray crystal structures of 1 and 2 shows that there is little difference in the iron coordination environment between the full and truncated structures, Table V-1.\textsuperscript{204} As observed by Brothers, \textit{et al.} in a DFT study that benchmarked a series of functionals and basis sets with multiple DNICs, the structures optimized with the BP86/6-311+G(d,p) are consistent with the experimental structures.\textsuperscript{166} However, the truncation of the mesityl groups of the NHC and phenyl group of the thiolate reduces the number of basis functions by over 50\%, making the calculations much more efficient. The truncated structure does show that the Fe – N – O angles of the oxidized DNIC, 1, are slightly more bent, 171.9° and 169.6°, than the same angles of the reduced DNIC, 2, 171.4° and 174.9°, and in both instances are oriented towards each other in the “attracto” conformation seen in nearly all DNICs, while important distances such as the
Table V-2. Metric parameters of all calculated transition states and minima in lowest energy reaction coordinates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Length (Å)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>[1-3]*</th>
<th>3'</th>
<th>[3'-2]*</th>
<th>[1-3']*</th>
<th>3''</th>
<th>[3''-9]*</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>[9-2]*</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(CO)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2.452</td>
<td>1.852</td>
<td>1.817</td>
<td>2.376</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>1.789</td>
<td>1.965</td>
<td>1.846</td>
<td>1.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-S(SR)</td>
<td>2.225</td>
<td>2.334</td>
<td>2.346</td>
<td>2.745</td>
<td>2.343</td>
<td>2.341</td>
<td>2.455</td>
<td>3.114</td>
<td>3.379</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(NHC)</td>
<td>2.005</td>
<td>2.042</td>
<td>2.012</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>1.974</td>
<td>1.997</td>
<td>2.058</td>
<td>2.019</td>
<td>2.010</td>
<td>1.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N1</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>1.772</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>1.717</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>1.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N2</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>1.672</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>1.676</td>
<td>1.774</td>
<td>1.737</td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>1.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-O1</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>1.189</td>
<td>1.189</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>1.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-O2</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>1.193</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>1.193</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angle (°)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>[1-3]*</th>
<th>3'</th>
<th>[3'-2]*</th>
<th>[1-3']*</th>
<th>3''</th>
<th>[3''-9]*</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>[9-2]*</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1-Fe-N2</td>
<td>118.4</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>119.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N1-O1</td>
<td>171.9</td>
<td>166.2</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>166.5</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>154.6</td>
<td>172.6</td>
<td>175.2</td>
<td>171.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N2-O2</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>161.8</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>156.8</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>170.9</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>174.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(NHC)-Fe-S</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(CO)-Fe-S</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>164.1</td>
<td>168.9</td>
<td>169.5</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Fe-C</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C-O</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>174.9</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>178.4</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>162.2</td>
<td>176.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table V-3. Ball and stick representations and metric parameters of experimental and calculated structures of complexes 1 and 2. The calculated structures include both the full structure and truncated structure, in which the mesityl and phenyl groups are replaced with methyl groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Length (Å)</th>
<th>Experimental&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Full)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Truncated)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Experimental&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Full)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Truncated)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-S(SR)</td>
<td>2.243(1)</td>
<td>2.241</td>
<td>2.225</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(CO)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.771(2)</td>
<td>1.793</td>
<td>1.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(NHC)</td>
<td>2.048(1)</td>
<td>2.011</td>
<td>2.005</td>
<td>1.998(2)</td>
<td>1.975</td>
<td>1.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N(1)</td>
<td>1.677(1)</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>1.658(2)</td>
<td>1.664</td>
<td>1.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N(2)</td>
<td>1.666(1)</td>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>1.707(2)</td>
<td>1.664</td>
<td>1.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>1.174(5)</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>1.174(1)</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)-O(2)</td>
<td>1.184(5)</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>1.163(1)</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>1.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angle (°)</th>
<th>Experimental&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Full)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Truncated)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Experimental&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Full)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Calculated (Truncated)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N(1)-O(1)</td>
<td>168.4(1)</td>
<td>166.5</td>
<td>171.9</td>
<td>171.5(2)</td>
<td>172.1</td>
<td>171.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N(2)-O(2)</td>
<td>168.5(1)</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>173.8(2)</td>
<td>172.3</td>
<td>174.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)-Fe-N(2)</td>
<td>115.3(2)</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>118.4</td>
<td>116.6(1)</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>119.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Fe-S</td>
<td>109.1(1)</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Fe-C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101.8(1)</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Structures and parameters of \((\text{NHC})(\text{SR})\text{Fe(NO)}_2\), 1.  
<sup>b</sup>Structures and parameters of \((\text{NHC})(\text{CO})\text{Fe(NO)}_2\), 2.
Fe – S, Fe – C(NHC), and Fe – C(CO) bonds show only minor deviations between the calculated and experimental structures. The greatest difference between the truncated and full calculated structures are the C(NHC) – Fe – S and C(NHC) – Fe – C(CO) angles, which are several degrees larger in the truncated structure (102.6° vs. 106.4° and 97.3° vs. 99.2° respectively), likely due to the loss of steric bulk that would otherwise push the other ligands towards each other and away from the NHC. However, while the mesityl groups of the sIMes in the experimental structure shield the iron center from incoming ligands on one side, the actual steric bulk is more than 3.5 Å distant from the iron, reducing the ligand’s impact on coordinated ligand geometries to minimal. In addition to the subtle differences in coordination geometry, there is a change from an aryl thiolate to an alkyl thiolate in the truncated structure. This was examined experimentally. Unpublished results by Randara Pulukkody have shown that the mercaptoethane derivative of 1, (sIMes)(SCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3})Fe(NO)\textsubscript{2}, also reacts with CO(g) to generate the reduced product 2. Finally, the Fe(NO)\textsubscript{2} moiety is well-known to be redox non-innocent with the infrared stretching frequencies of the diatomic ligands acting as a sensitive reporter on the electron density in the Fe-NO π-system. As seen in Table V-3, the calculated ν(NO) bands of 1 are a near perfect match to the experimental data, while the calculated ν(CO) and ν(NO) bands of 2 are within the expected range, < 25 cm\textsuperscript{-1} from the experimental values. This provides evidence that the DFT calculations accurately reproduce the overall electronic structure of the DNIC complexes in this study.
Investigation of the Mechanism of the Reduction of the DNIC: Possible Intermediates Prior to Fe-CO Bond Formation

Although the rate determining step was experimentally determined to be first order in both CO and DNIC, Figure V-3, it was proposed that a site may be opened up by a process in which an iron-bound S-nitrosothiol (Fe-RSNO) exists in an equilibrium with the starting material, 1. This would open up a site on the 17-electron tetrahedral iron and, depending on the route by with the RSNO is produced, could yield either the N-bound or S-bound forms. Therefore, both nitrosoyl insertion into the Fe – S bond, forming the N-bound RSNO (intermediate 4), and nitrosoyl migration onto the thiolate, producing the S-bound RSNO (intermediate 5), were examined, Figure V-5. Although the structures shown are the most stable calculated forms of each, neither is stable with respect to the starting material 1, and

Figure V-5. Calculated structures of the three-coordinate iron intermediates formed by NO insertion into the Fe-SR bond (4) or NO migration onto the SR (5)
will revert to 1 upon reoptimization unless the N-S distance of the RSNO is constrained. These intermediates are far too high in energy, more than 36 kcal/mol when compared to 1, and thus they are not reasonably in the reaction pathway.

A third possibility considered was thiolate S/CO adduct formation, intermediate 8, followed by CO insertion between the sulfur and iron. However, positioning a CO at the sulfur of the Fe – SR produced neither S-CO nor Fe-C(=O)SR interactions and no stable or constrained minimum could be located.

Five-Coordinate Intermediates Derived from Fe-CO Bond Formation

With the failure to locate an intermediate structure in an equilibrium with 1, which may be more easily attacked by the poor nucleophile CO, direct coordination of the CO to Fe resulting in a five-coordinate 19 electron iron was examined. A trigonal bipyramidal

![Figure V-6. Calculated structures of the two lowest energy five-coordinate iron intermediates formed by CO addition across from the SR (3') or NHC (3'')](image-url)
complex of the formula ML₂L’L’’L’’’ could exist in seven possible geometric isomers. Optimizations of all seven possibilities found five stable isomers with similar free energies, all within 3 kcal/mol of each other, Table V-4. The two isomers with any of the π-acidic ligands (NO or CO) in both axial positions underwent Berry pseudorotations to generate lower energy isomers. The most stable isomer of 3, 3', Figure V-6, has the carbonyl and thiolate ligands in the axial position of a largely trigonal bipyramidal structure, τ = 0.75. As expected, the Fe – C – O angle is linear, 174.7°, but the two Fe – N – O angles are quite significantly bent, 158.2° and 145.9°. This bending is caused by the excess electron density on the 19 electron iron being pushed onto the NO ligands,

---

**Table V-4.** Isomers of the five-coordinate intermediate, 3, grouped by axial ligands in a TBP structure, which are defined as the longest L-Fe-L angle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axial Ligand Set</th>
<th>Free Energyᵃ</th>
<th>CO/SMe</th>
<th>CO/NHC</th>
<th>NO/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free Energyᵃ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Length (Å)</th>
<th>CO/SMe</th>
<th>CO/NHC</th>
<th>NO/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(CO)</td>
<td>1.852</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>1.788</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>1.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-C(NHC)</td>
<td>2.012</td>
<td>1.997</td>
<td>2.011</td>
<td>1.978</td>
<td>2.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N1</td>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>1.774</td>
<td>1.751</td>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>1.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N2</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>1.717</td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>1.734</td>
<td>1.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>2.346</td>
<td>2.341</td>
<td>2.370</td>
<td>2.376</td>
<td>2.381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angle (°)</th>
<th>CO/SMe</th>
<th>CO/NHC</th>
<th>NO/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/SMe</th>
<th>NHC/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N1-O1</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>148.1</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>150.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-N2-O2</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td>154.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-Fe-N2</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>121.0</td>
<td>105.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| τ Value          | 0.75   | 0.31   | 0.74   | 0.52    | 0.77   |

ᵃFree energy is defined with 3’ set to 0 kcal/mol
reducing them from a more neutral NO· to a more negative NO−. The increased electron density on the iron is also seen in the elongation of the Fe – S bond to 2.346 Å, as well as elongations of the Fe – N (NO) bonds, with one increasing by ~0.045 to 1.704 Å and the other by ~ 0.10 to 1.760 Å. Another isomer of 3, 3”, Figure V-6, is only 0.22 kcal/mol less stable than 3’. The geometry of 3” is largely square pyramidal, τ = 0.31, with the CO and NHC ligands comprising the largest angle across the iron, in what would be the axial positions if the structure were trigonal bipyramidal. The metric parameters of this intermediate are similar to 3’, with a linear Fe – C – O, 178.4°, two significantly bent Fe – N – O angles, at 142.8° and 143.3° and elongated Fe – S (2.341 Å) and Fe – N (1.717 and 1.774 Å) bonds. The three other isomers of 3 are not significantly higher in energy, ranging from 0.85 to 2.75 kcal/mol above 3’.

Transition States Leading to the Formation of 3’ and 3”

The search for transition states leading to the formation of 3’ and 3”, [1-3’]↑ and [1-3”]↑ respectively, was carried out by performing a relaxed coordinate scan along the Fe – C(CO) bond, using the appropriate five-coordinate intermediate as a starting geometry. By reoptimizing the full structure while elongating the Fe – C bond by 0.1 Å repeatedly, a structure was located near the appropriate Fe – C distance for a transition state. A Berny calculation then located the transition states for CO addition to the DNIC, [1-3’]↑ and [1-3”]↑, Figure V-7. The addition of a fifth ligand to (NHC)(SR)Fe(NO)2 can occur on any of the four faces of the tetrahedron, yielding a structure with the incoming CO ligand in an axial position, with the DNIC ligand across from the face of the tetrahedron that was
attacked in the other axial position. Thus, the CO would be anticipated to approach the DNIC across from the thiolate, [1-3’]⁺, or NHC, [1-3”]⁺, as no stable intermediate could be located with both CO and NO in the axial positions of the five-coordinate intermediate.

Upon examination of the two transition states, an immediately apparent feature is the long Fe – C(CO) interaction, 2.452 Å for [1-3’]⁺ and 2.376 Å for [1-3”]⁺, with a severe bend in the Fe – C – O angle, 134.8° and 137.2° respectively. Such a sharp bend is atypical for the usually linear metal-carbonyl bond unless the CO bridges two or more metals, which is not the case with these transition states. The reason the CO is bent on its approach to the DNIC may be more clearly seen in the SOMO, where the unpaired electron moves from the iron, its residence in the starting material, 1, out onto the CO. As shown in Figure V-8, the unpaired electron on the [Fe(NO)₂] captures the incoming ligand by donating into
the π* orbital of the carbonyl, pulling the CO onto the DNIC, where it can then straighten and bind in the typical σ-donating, π-withdrawing fashion.

Another feature of these transition states is the subtle elongation of the Fe – S(SR) bond by ca. 0.1 Å, from 2.225 Å in 1 to 2.334 Å in [1-3']\textsuperscript{t} and 2.343 Å in [1-3’”]\textsuperscript{t}. At the same time, the Fe – N – O angles decrease as the nitrosyl ligands bend to accept more electron density. These transition states are similar in energy, at 19.2 and 19.6 kcal/mol for [1-3’]\textsuperscript{t} and [1-3’]\textsuperscript{t} respectively, making them indistinguishable within the accepted accuracy of DFT. With less than 2 kcal/mol difference between the energies of these transition states, calculated for the truncated structure, and the experimental ΔG\textsuperscript{f} of reaction, as well as the

Figure V-8. SOMO of [1-3']\textsuperscript{t} showing overlap of the unpaired electron orbital of the [Fe(NO)\textsubscript{2}] with the π* of the CO.
experimental rate law being first order in both CO and DNIC, it is reasonable to assign either or both [1-3'] and [1-3''] as the transition states for the rate determining step.

*Possible Intermediates Subsequent to Fe-CO Bond Formation*

With the knowledge that the 19 electron, 5-coordinate iron in intermediate 3 is less stable than a 17 electron, 4-coordinate iron, another search for iron-bound RSNO was carried out. Two intermediate structures were located, one of which has an N-bound RSNO, 6, similar to 4, while the other has an S-bound RSNO, 7, similar to 5, Figure V-9. The differences between these four-coordinate iron-bound RSNOs and the previous, three-coordinate iron-bound RSNOs is substantial, with a more than 10 kcal/mol stabilization.

![Figure V-9](image-url)  
*Figure V-9.* Calculated structures of the four-coordinate iron intermediates formed by NO insertion into the Fe-SR bond (6), NO migration onto the SR (7), or CO insertion into the Fe-SR bond (9)
seen when the open site on the iron is occupied by a CO ligand, at 22.6 and 24.0 kcal/mol for 6 and 7 respectively. Despite being much more stable than 4 and 5, these intermediates are still too high in energy to be on a productive reaction pathway.

A third possible intermediate, 9, was examined in which the thiolate is moved from the iron to the carbonyl ligand, rather than NO, as in intermediate 6. The regeneration of the tetrahedral geometry about the iron generates a more stable structure, Figures V-4 and V-9, with a free energy of +10.4 kcal/mol, than the five-coordinate intermediate, 3", that precedes it. With the removal of the thiolate from the iron core, the DNIC is once more a 17 electron species, and the excess electron density is removed from the NO ligands, as confirmed by the more linear Fe – N – O angles, which have straightened to 172.6° and 170.9°. As a consequence of the formation of a thiocarbonyl, the Fe – C(CO) distance increases by ~0.1 Å to 1.965 Å and the Fe – C – O angle reduces to 130.6°.

Transition States Leading to the Formation of 9 and 2

The search for transition states leading to the formation of 9 was carried out and the lowest energy transition state was located by performing a Berny calculation, using the input from a relaxed coordinate scan that increased the S – Fe – C (CO) angle of 9 in a step-wise manner. This transition state, [3"-9]†, shows the thiolate migrating from the iron to the carbonyl ligand as the iron shifts back to a more tetrahedral coordination geometry, with a free energy of 18.4 kcal/mol, Figure V-10. The Fe – S bond elongates from 2.341 to 2.455 Å while the S – Fe – C (CO) angle decreases from 86.8° to 64.0°. As the Fe – N –
O angles remain bent, at 143.3° and 142.8°, the NO ligands can still be considered to store the excess electron density of a 19-electron iron.

The transition states leading to the generation of the reaction product, 2, both develop from S – X homolytic bond cleavage, where X = C (CO) if the preceding intermediate is 9, and where X = Fe if the preceding intermediate is 3’, Figure V-10. Both transition states were located through Berny calculations in which a structure guess was found through relaxed coordinate scans along the S – C (CO) and S – Fe bonds for [9-2]⁺ and [3'-2]⁺, respectively. In both transition states, the S – X bond lengthens by ca. 0.3 Å, from 3.114 to 3.379 Å for [9-2]⁺ and from 2.346 to 2.745 Å for [3'-2]⁺. However, the other structural changes from the preceding intermediates, 9 and 3’, are not similar, owing to the differences in the intermediates. The most significant change observed between 9 and [9-2]⁺ is the straightening of the Fe – C – O bond, from 130.6° to 162.2° as the thiyl radical leaves the

---

**Figure V-10.** Calculated structures of the transition states in which the SR migrates from the Fe to the CO, [3”-9]⁺. The ·SR radical leaves by C – S homolytic bond cleavage, ([9-2]⁺), and the ·SR radical leaves by Fe – S homolytic bond cleavage, [3'-2]⁺.
carbonyl ligand, which is not present in the other transition state. The S – C bond cleavage is only +17.0 kcal/mol, while the S – Fe bond cleavage is significantly higher in energy, at +21.2 kcal/mol. The [3’-2]† transition state more closely resembles the thiolate migration in [3”-9]† than the S – C cleavage transition state, [9-2]†. The difference in energies of these final transition states leading to the synthetic product, 2, indicates that the reaction pathway in which the thiolate migrates onto the carbonyl ligand, by way of intermediate 9, is favoured by ~1.5 kcal/mol.

As can be observed in the reaction profiles in Figures V-11 and V-12, the generation of a thiy radical is +9.4 kcal/mol. Although the thermodynamic free energy of this reaction is uphill to this point, the coupling of two thiy radicals to form a stable disulfide produces a large driving force (ΔG = −12.8 kcal/mol) for the overall reaction.216 The reaction profiles also show that the rate determining step involves the Fe(NO)₂ unit as a nucleophile toward the empty π* orbitals of CO. This explains the unusual, inverse dependence of the rate of reaction on the strength of the incoming nucleophile that was observed experimentally.
Figure V-11. Calculated reaction pathway in which the DNIC reactant, 1, performs a nucleophilic attack on a CO molecule, generating a five-coordinate intermediate. The product, 2, is formed by homolytic Fe – S bond cleavage. The energies provided for each minimum and transition state structure in black are free energies, while those energies in red and bracketed are the enthalpies.
Figure V-12. Calculated reaction pathway in which the DNIC reactant, 1, performs a nucleophilic attack on a CO molecule, generating a five-coordinate intermediate. In this scheme, the product, 2 is formed by homolytic C–S bond cleavage after thiolate migration on the the carbonyl ligand. The energies provided for each minimum and transition state structure in black are free energies, while those energies in red and bracketed are the enthalpies.
Conclusions

Density Functional Theory predicted two plausible reaction pathways, by which the CO induced reductive elimination of a disulfide might proceed under the mild reaction conditions observed. The experimental activation energy at 298.15 K, determined by Eyring analysis is within 2 kcal/mol of both calculated reaction pathways, Figures V-11 and V-12, while the associative mechanism predicted by experiment, being first order in both I and CO, was supported. However, a mechanism in which the Fe(NO)$_2$ unit acts as a nucleophile, grabbing the CO by its empty $\pi^*$ orbital, was not anticipated. That the Fe(NO)$_2$ moiety remained intact, even with Fe – C (CO) bond formation leading to a five-coordinate, 19-electron iron, speaks to the stability of the dinitrosyl iron unit.

A recent paper from the Darensbourg research group performed further investigation of the CO induced reduction of I, by Hammett analysis. Varying the phenylthiolate ligand by substitution of electron donating or withdrawing groups in the para position allowed for kinetics studies to be carried out with subtle perturbations of the electron density at the iron. This study supported the mechanisms I have described above, in which the DNIC acts as the nucleophile. Unfortunately, the differences in the rates of reactions for the series of complexes investigated were small, usually within an order of magnitude of the rate of reaction of complex I. Although attempts to continue computational correlations were made, the activation barriers for both [I-3']$^+$ and [I-3'”]$^+$ were too similar in energy across the series, ranging from 19.5 to 21.1 kcal/mol, and no clear trend could be established.
As our knowledge of the properties of hydrogenase enzymes grows, we have found that the fundamental chemistry of iron with diatomic ligands continues to find new relevance on the cutting edge of research. The hydrogenase field is easily one of the best examples of the explosive growth of bioorganometallic chemistry, with a June 2014 search for the term “hydrogenase” using the Scifinder locating more than 3200 references in the past five years alone. A new and rapidly growing field of hydrogenase research is the biosynthesis of the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase and its active site. I have been particularly interested in the development of this subject during my graduate studies at Texas A&M, highlighting some of the most important discoveries in the field. That the 2Fe portion of the active site is a genuine organometallic species, replete with carbon monoxide, cyanide, and a previously biologically unknown dithiolate cofactor, coupled with the impressive rate of the [FeFe]-H$_2$ase catalysis of H$_2$ production from mild potential electrons and water as proton source,$^{217}$ has brought global attention of chemists in search of an optimal synthetic analogue of the active site, without protein, as prospective molecular electrocatalysts for hydrogen production.

The presence of those diatomic ligands and their coordination in the active site has raised a number of questions: How does nature generate and manage CN\(^-\) and CO, known to poison metal sites if uncontrolled? How is the azadithiolate that connects the irons within the 2Fe subsite made? How is the active site assembled? Does a 6Fe supercluster precede and extrude the 2Fe subsite, or is the assembly modular? What can the study of synthetic analogues inform chemists of the reactivity of the 2Fe subsite?\(^{168}\)

Insights into the first two questions have been provided by recent discoveries from Britt and coworkers and their studies of the HydG maturase,\(^75\) which utilizes radical SAM (S-adenosyl methionine) pathways to degrade tyrosine into p-cresol and the diatomic ligands, CO and CN\(^-\).\(^{71,72,218}\) This is presumed to occur through the generation of a glycyl radical, which is observed by freeze-quench EPR spectroscopy to bind to the iron of a second 4Fe4S cluster in the HydG protein. This HN=CH-COO moiety is then split into an iron-bound cyanide and carbonyl through an unknown mechanism.\(^75\) Upon addition of another CO ligand, thought to require a second tyrosine, an Fe(CO)\(_2\)(CN) unit has been observed by FTIR spectroscopy. The current hypothesis is that two of these units are linked together by a bridging azadithiolate ligand, perhaps provided by the HydE maturase,\(^{219,220}\) generating an active site precursor similar to the synthetic (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)\(_2\)(CN)]\(^2^-\).

Guidance to answers to the next two questions has been provided by Mulder, Peters, Broderick et al., and additional biosynthetic and spectroscopic results on the nature of the 2Fe2S subsite precursor.\(^{76,183}\) They obtained the [FeFe]-H\(_2\)ase (also known as HydA) protein expressed in the absence of the HydE, HydF, and HydG proteins, known to be required for the synthesis of the 2Fe subsite and the maturation of the enzyme into active
The immature protein, produced without the accessory proteins and known as HydAΔEFG.

Whereas structures of the native HydA show the full active site H-cluster in the form of cysteine-bridged subsites, that is, 4Fe4S(μ-SCys)2Fe, only the 4Fe4S cluster is found in HydAΔEFG. The structure of HydAΔEFG shows the already-present 4Fe4S cluster resides in a cavity at the end of a channel (8–15 Å wide and 25 Å long; Figure VI-1). Overlays of the structures of the immature or apo-protein that lacks the 2Fe subsite with the complete or holo-protein show that the channel has closed in the latter, thus wrapping up the completed active site.

Analysis of the channel composition in HydAΔEFG indicates that positive amino acid residues (an arginine and two lysines) flank the entrance, thus most likely attracting the 2Fe subcluster with its negatively charged cyanides; another lysine within the channel is purported to form hydrogen bonds to the 2Fe subsite once it is in place as a constituent of the H-cluster. Yet another clue as to the guidance mechanism is a cysteine near the end of the cavity, the sulfur side chain of which is exposed and might be expected to swap out with a labile ligand on the 2Fe unit, becoming the bridge between the 4Fe4S and the 2Fe subunits.

Without a doubt, the ligand substitution processes of the (μ-SRS)[Fe2(CO)₅(L)₆₋ₓ] complexes that I have performed on the benchtop and investigated in silico, as reported in Chapter III, are necessary to the attachment of the 4Fe4S cluster in the apo-HydA enzyme to the 2Fe subsite. My own investigations have found that the displacement of a CO by
an incoming ligand, such as what is seen by the attachment of a (μ-adt)[Fe(CO)₂(CN)]₂ unit to the iron-sulfur cluster bound thiolate buried in the HydA enzyme, has shown that a nucleophilic ligand will prefer to attack the electron-poor iron site. If the diiron subunit

**Figure VI-1.** This figure, adapted from reference 168 with permission, shows the pre-assembled 2Fe organometallic unit as it inserts into apo-HydA. Positively charged residues help direct the precursor unit to the already present 4Fe4S, possibly with assistance of a cysteine sulfur atom within the channel. When the 2Fe units bind to the 4Fe4S cluster at the base of the channel, completing the H-cluster, the cavity collapses, burying the active site within the protein.⁶⁶
is to bind to a thiolate, either the 2Fe unit has been oxidized, allowing for SR/CO exchange, or perhaps the Fe-bound thiolate has been sufficiently masked by the electron-withdrawing iron-sulfur cluster to allow for a more electrophilic attack to occur.

Indeed, we (Professor Marcetta Darensbourg and I) have highlighted\textsuperscript{221} the results of Fontecave, Happe, and coworkers, who reported in a pair of papers,\textsuperscript{53,142} the symmetric, synthetic ($\mu$-SRS)[Fe(CO)$_2$(CN)]$_2^{2-}$ synthon was found to bind to HydF, the maturase thought to act as a scaffold for active site delivery to apo-HydA. FTIR and EPR spectroscopic studies of HydF bound to a series of diiron molecules, varying by the bridgehead: CH$_2$, NH, or O, have shown that this protein can carry and deliver the 2Fe site analogues to the HydA, completing the biosynthesis and generating an intact [FeFe]-H$_2$ase enzyme.\textsuperscript{53} Although the enzymes with the CH$_2$ and NH bridgeheads were virtually indistinguishable by spectroscopy, only the enzyme with the amine in the dithiolate bridge was functional in H$^+$ reduction studies, producing H$_2$ at a rate comparable to the native enzyme. An even more recent report has shown that the HydF scaffold may be unnecessary for H$_2$ase activation, as the ($\mu$-adt)[Fe(CO)$_2$(CN)]$_2^{2-}$ molecule can bind to and activate the apo-HydA enzyme without the need for its so-called “chaperone”\textsuperscript{142} That the diiron unit, which becomes the 2Fe subunit of the active site, does not require assistance to obtain the correct geometry in the active site may be attributed to the fluxional motions that I described in Chapter IV. At room temperature, the Fe(CO)$_2$(CN) moieties are free to rotate, but the presence of non-carbonyl ligands may provide a means for the enzyme active site to “lock” into the optimal geometry. This geometry in which the distal iron has generated an open site may be achieved through the introduction of a hydrogen bond
between the cyanide ligand and an N-H proton from an amino acid lining the active site cavity.\textsuperscript{9}

The implications of this stepwise assembly mechanism are profound for biologists and for chemists. Mulder et al. note a similarity between the structural features described above and the nitrogenase protein that lacks the FeMo cofactor and the holo-protein.\textsuperscript{76} This could signal that the ability of such proteins to incorporate a pre-existing abiotic catalyst could be a widespread motif in early metalloenzyme development.

For chemists, the speculation that the original 2Fe subsite was a standalone ancient catalyst, recognized by evolving microorganisms as beneficial to their growth and prosperity, reinvigorates designs to use synthetic ligands to reproduce the electronic environment about the prebiotic iron sulfide catalyst. However, despite reports of more than 400 model complexes; none perform the $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$ catalysis as does the H-cluster. Is there a requirement for the 4Fe4S cluster to be attached? This feat has been demonstrated to be feasible in an elegant synthetic analogue of the full 6Fe cluster;\textsuperscript{222} however, with no greater catalytic success. In another highlight of a recent hydrogenase model complex,\textsuperscript{223} I have shown an example of the progress that has been made in the synthesis of complexes that better mimic this function through the inclusion of the redox-active ligand derived from ferrocene, which Rauchfuss and coworkers have linked to a diiron hydrogenase model complex through a phosphine ligand, Figure VI-2.\textsuperscript{224} With the addition of the \textit{cis}-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethylene (dppv) ligand, known to promote the “rotated” isomeric form upon oxidation of the diiron core, as well as the inclusion of
an amine in the bridgehead position of the dithiolate ligand, this model complex has shown
catalytic H₂ oxidation without the massive over-pressurization that previous generations

**Figure VI-2.** In this figure adapted from reference 223, a cartoon of the 6Fe, hydrogen producing cluster in the [FeFe]-
hydrogenase is shown with the redox-active 4Fe4S cluster, pendent amine, and electron donating cyanide ligands indicated (A).⁴⁷ The bridgehead position in the dithiolate cofactor within the 2Fe subsite is replaced by a nitrogen, and a bridging carbonyl is inserted between the two iron atoms, reflecting the current understanding of the [FeFe]-
Hydrogenase active site. The parent model complex, (μ-pdt)[Fe(CO)₃]₂ (B) is modified into a better model of the active site⁴⁰ (C), with key functionalities of the active site modeled as indicated by red, blue and green arrows.
of model complexes have required. Thus it indeed appears to be the 2Fe subsite that must be strategically tuned in electronic and steric properties, both at the metal site where the reaction occurs and in the coordination spheres surrounding it.

The natural approach to the synthesis begins with oxidized iron, possibly from the iron-sulfur clusters, whereas the synthetic analogues typically use reduced iron in (μ-SRS)[Fe\(^{(\text{CO})_3}\)]\(_2\) as precursor. These complexes achieve stable rotated forms in only a few cases,\(^{109,225,226}\) which in nearly every instance return to the “unrotated” isomeric form on reduction. This suggests that the chemists’ efforts might profitably be directed in pursuit of 1) alternative FeFe precursors and 2) supramolecular constructs that will collapse around the 2Fe synthetic analogue and maintain it in the rotated form throughout its electrocatalytic cycle.

The role of organometallic-like iron moieties in nature is further emphasized in the physiologically significant Fe(NO)\(_2\) complexes. As this unit in its reduced form, \{Fe(NO)\(_2\)\}_\(^{10}\), is isoelectronic with Fe\(^0\)(CO)\(_x\)(CN)\(_{3-x}\), the chemistry mediated by complexes containing L\(_2\)Fe(CO)\(_x\)(CN)\(_{3-x}\) or L\(_2\)Fe(NO)\(_2\) can be comparable. Hence, through studies of CO addition to (NHC)(SR)Fe(NO)\(_2\) as explored in Chapter V,\(^{204}\) the possibility of an associative process giving rise to the displacement of a thiyl radical is relevant to the processes observed in the assembly of the hydrogenase enzymes as well as the redox processes of DNICs \textit{in vivo}.

In conclusion, the role of the chemist in the growing bioorganometallic field is to provide insight into the native behavior of the small molecules that are key to the activity of an
enzyme or the form in which a more reactive unit may be stored. My own studies have added to our knowledge of the regioselective CO displacement reactions of diiron model complexes and their fluxional processes, which may help to explain how this unique biological unit is generated, as well as my proposed mechanism for CO induced reductive elimination of a thiyl radical by way of a five-coordinate intermediate. It is my hope that these papers may prompt new investigations to continue to explore this rich and growing field.
REFERENCES


(44) Kuhlman, R. Coordination Chemistry Reviews 1997, 167, 205.


(47) Bruschi, M. G., C.; Bertini, L.; Rantucci, P.; Ryde, U.; De Gioia, L. 


(81) Bouwman, E.; Reedijk, J. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 2005, 249, 1555.


(84) Gloaguen, F.; Rauchfuss, T. B. *Chemical Society Reviews* 2009, 38, 100.


(127) Evans, R. M.; Parkin, A.; Roessler, M. M.; Murphy, B. J.; Adamson, H.;
Lukey, M. J.; Sargent, F.; Volbeda, A.; Fontecilla-Camps, J. C.; Armstrong, F. A.
Journal of the American Chemical Society 2013, 135, 2694.

(128) Gao, S.; Guo, H.; Peng, X.; Zhao, X.; Duan, Q.; Liang, Q.; Jiang, D. New
Journal of Chemistry 2013, 37, 1437.

Darensbourg, M. Y.; McNeely, K.; Sweely, K.; Popescu, C. V. Journal of Biological
Inorganic Chemistry 2013, 18, 609.

(130) Song, L.-C. W., L.-X.; Jia, G.-J.; Li, Q.-L.; Ming, J.-B. Organometallics
2012, 31, 5081.


(132) Song, L.-C. G., W.; Luo, X.; Wang, Z.-X.; Sun, X.-J.; Song, H.-B.
Organometallics 2012, 31, 3324.

(133) Li, Y. Z., W.; Qian, G.; Xiao, Z.; Liu, X Applied Organometallic
Chemistry 2013, 27, 253.

Science 2013, 51, 2171.

(135) Yu, T.; Zeng, Y.; Chen, J.; Li, Y. Y.; Yang, G.; Li, Y. Angewandte
Chemie 2013, 52, 5631.


171


(172) Zhao, X.; Chiang, C. Y.; Miller, M. L.; Rampersad, M. V.; Daresbourg, M. Y. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2003, 125, 518.


(188) Zampella, G.; Fantucci, P.; De Gioia, L. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2009, 131, 10909.

(190) Cramer, C. J. T., D. G. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics : PCCP*
*2009, 11*, 10757.

*2007, 111*.


(196) Grimme, S. *Journal of Chemical Composition* *2006, 27*, 1787.


(206) Vanin, A. F. Nitric Oxide 2009, 21, 1.


