DINOFLAGELLATE CYST BIOSTRATIGRAPHY, PALYNOFACIES AND PALEOENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS OF THE MAASTRICHTIAN AND BASAL

DANIAN, BRAZOS RIVER, TEXAS.

A Thesis

by

TUBA AYDIN

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Chair of Committee,	Tl
Co-Chair of Committee	Jo
Committee Members,	Et
	V
Head of Department,	R

Thomas E.Yancey John V. Firth Ethan L. Grossman Vaughn M. Bryant Rick Giardino

August 2013

Major Subject: Geology

Copyright 2013 Tuba Aydin

ABSTRACT

This study aims to document the dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy and paleoenvironmental record of the Maastrichtian Neylandville and Corsicana Formations and the lower part of the Danian Kincaid Formation from the Brazos River, Texas. Rock samples are exposed to standard palynological methods for biostratigraphic interpretations. The quantitative data collected from palynological samples are combined with δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O stable isotope geochemistry and TEX₈₆ and BIT Index organic geochemistry data for paleoenvironmental interpretations.

Biostratigraphically important species of dinoflagellates divide the section into three intervals. Interval 1 occurs within the Neylandville Formation, and the presence of *Alterbidinium acutulum, Xenascus ceratioides* and *Isabelidinium cooksoniae* indicate that this interval is not younger than early Maastrichtian. Interval 2 represents the Corsicana Formation. The presence of the late Maastrichtian species *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis, Palynodinium grallator* and *Deflandrea galeata* at the base of the Corsicana Formation indicate that this interval is of late Maastrichtian age. Interval 3 occurs within the Kincaid Formation. The presence of *Carpetalla cornuta* and *Damassadinium californicum* at the base of the Kincaid Formation indicates that this interval is of Danian age. Previously published Gulf of Mexico palynology studies, as well as planktonic foraminifera and nannoplankton data confirm the age assignments of the studied interval. Dinoflagellate species assemblages increase in diversity upwards from Interval 1 to Interval 2, and then show a small decrease above the K-Pg boundary within Interval 3, indicating that the K-Pg event was not catastrophic for the dinoflagellates. The *Cerodinium* spp. and *Spiniferites* spp. complex comprise a large proportion of the species within the section. High abundance peaks of *Glaphyrocysta* spp., *Cribroperidinium* spp., and *Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum* occur within Interval 2.

Two intervals in the section are dominated by peridinioid dinoflagellates, measured by the Peridinioid/Gonyaulacoid (P/G) ratio. The first one occurs within Interval 2 and contains peaks of the P/G ratio that correlate with increases in δ^{13} C, suggestive of an increase in paleoproductivity. Two more peaks occur within Interval 3. Bottom water δ^{18} O temperatures determined from benthic foraminifera and sea surface temperatures determined from TEX₈₆ organic geochemistry show an overall cooling trend from Early Maastrichtian to the K-Pg boundary.

DEDICATION

To my mother, Sengul Aydin, and my father, Irfan Aydin

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my co-advisors, Dr. Yancey and Dr. Firth for their efforts, guidance, patience and advice throughout the research, and also my committee members Dr. Grossman for his help in interpreting the geochemistry data and Dr. Bryant for his help during palynological laboratory processing.

I also would like to thank Prof. Malcom Hart for providing me samples for the Danian part of the study.

This study would not be possible without my sponsoring company, Turkish Petroleum Corporation (TPAO). I would like to thank them for their encouragement and financial support.

I also would like to thank my friends Zeynep Dincer and Pinar Karpuz for their encouragement, support and their lovely friendships.

Finally, thanks to my mother, my father and my sister for their encouragement, belief in me and their understanding and patience all the time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REGIONAL GEOLOGY	6
3. LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY	10
3.1. Neylandville Formation3.2. Corsicana Formation	11 12 16
4. GEOLOGICAL AGE	18
5. METHODS	23
5.1. Sampling Procedure5.2. Standard Palynological Acid Maceration Techniques5.3 Geochemistry Analyses	
6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	29
6.1 Biostratigraphy6.2 Assemblage Characteristics6.3 Paleoenvironments	
7. SYSTEMATIC PALYNOLOGY	

7.1. Species List 7.2 Systematics	
8. CONCLUSIONS	
REFERENCES	64
APPENDIX 1	
APPENDIX 2	94

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. Study area map. LWB: Lake Winiwaca Bluff; BRS: Brazos River Section; RBS: Riverbank South (Hart et al., 2012).	2
Figure 2. The Gulf of Mexico and the Western Interior Seaway shoreline during the Late Cretaceous (Blakey, 2011).	7
Figure 3. Shoreline position for the northern Gulf of Mexico during the Early Paleocene (Overlying map is modified after Galloway, 2008)	9
Figure 4. Generalized stratigraphic section of the study area (Stephenson, 1941)	11
Figure 5. Location map of the Lake Winiwaca Bluff section.	12
Figure 6. The Neylandville Formation at Lake Winiwaca Bluff.	14
Figure 7. Location map of Brazos River section	15
Figure 8. Stratigraphic units of the studied section. The marker bed is identified in th Mullinax-1 core (Abramovich et al., 2011).	ie 16
Figure 9. Location map of the Riverbank South (RBS) section	19
Figure 10. Previously reported biostratigraphic zonation of Upper Cretaceous of Texas.	21
Figure 11. Biostratigraphic comparison of Zaitzeff and Cross (1970) and the Brazos River Section. Solid lines represent occurrence of species in the Brazos River Section, dashed lines represent occurrence of species from Austin (Travis County) by Zaitzeff and Cross (1970).	34
Figure 12. Biostratigraphic correlation of the studied section to previous Gulf of Mexico studies.	37
Figure 13. Dinoflagellate versus pollen-spore (M/T) ratio, and absolute abundances (dinocyst/gr) of dinoflagellate cysts in the Brazos River Section	40
Figure 14. Comparison of species abundances in the Brazos River versus Austin (Travis County) (Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970)	43

Figure 15. Correlation of dinoflagellate/spore-pollen ratio, the P/G ratio and TEX86	
and BIT Index organic and δ^{18} O (corrected) and δ^{13} C stable isotope	
geochemistry.	46

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Biostratigraphic zonations from previous studies of the Gulf and Atlantic Coastal Plains.	4
Table 2. Stratigraphic ranges of palynomorphs in the Brazos River Section. + represent species that show in the sample, but not in counts	33

1. INTRODUCTION

This study focuses on the paleontological and paleoenvironmental record through the Maastrichtian to early Danian sediments on the coastal plain of the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. In particular, the dinoflagellate cyst assemblages of the lower to upper Maastrichtian and lower Danian are documented, including their response to the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) impact event. Since the K-Pg boundary strata in Texas and the biotic record just before and after the impact event have been investigated by many scientists. Based on recently discovered exposures along the Brazos River in Falls County, central Texas, this study provides a longer stratigraphic record, the biota and the paleoenvironmental conditions of the Maastrichtian and Danian in Texas.

The study area is located on the northwestern side of the Texas Gulf Coastal Plain (Figure 1). Several outcrop sections from the study area have been previously described and studied, with an emphasis on the Brazos River K-Pg boundary (e.g. Pessagno, 1969; Smith and Pessagno, 1973; Jiang and Gartner, 1986; Hansen et al., 1987; Yancey, 1996; Smit et al., 1996; Keller et al., 2007; Keller et al., 2011; Hart et al., 2012; Yancey and Liu, 2013). The study sections are located between 31°07′30″ to 34°47′00″ N and 96°47′08″ to 96°47′07″ E (Reagan Quadrangle, 7.5 minutes series topographic maps) in between Eloise and Cedar Springs. The samples were collected from both the Brazos riverbed and from exposed bluffs (Figure 1).

1



Figure 1. Study area map. LWB: Lake Winiwaca Bluff; BRS: Brazos River Section; RBS: Riverbank South (Hart et al., 2012).

Dinoflagellates are a major component of the primary plankton of the oceans. Their cysts are useful tools for biostratigraphy and paleoenvironmental studies. The oldest well-established fossil dinoflagellate cysts are of Triassic age. Their species diversity reached peaks in the Albian and Maastrichtian (MacRae et al., 1996; Powell, 1992). Throughout their geological history, dinoflagellate cysts show fewer declines in their diversity (MacRae et al., 1996) compared to other microfossil groups (e.g., planktonic foraminifer, calcareous nannoplankton, diatoms and radiolaria).

Differences in dinoflagellate assemblages occur along transects from inshore to offshore environments, associated with changes in salinity, primary productivity and eutrophication (De Vernal and Marret, 2007; Marret, 2003). Changes in relative abundances of dinoflagellate cysts can be used as a parameter to determine transgressive-regressive cycles and changes in eustatic sea-level (Habib and Miller, 1989). Relative sea-level drop through the Maastrichtian was interpreted from variations in relative abundances of certain species (Firth, 1987, 1993).

Highest and lowest occurrences of biostratigraphically important taxa provide relative time determinations in stratigraphic sections, and differences in abundance of taxa provide evidence of changing environmental conditions. Species abundances vary with geographical regions, providing interpretation of local paleoenvironments. Dinoflagellate cyst evolution makes them useful for biostratigraphic age dating (Table 1).

3

	ZONATION							
ΞE	PLANKTONIC FORAMINIFERA	DINOFLAGELLATES						
STAC	Texas (Smith and Pessagno, 1973)	Texas (Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970)	Georgia (Firth, 1987)	Maryland (Benson, 1976)	New Jersey (Koch and Olsson, 1977)		New (Au 1	Jersey risano, 989)
Danian	Р0	Unnamed	Interval C	Unnamed	Unnamed		Unnamed	
astrichtian	A. mayorensis		Interval B	Monmouth Assemblage zone C	D	D. cretacea	D.g	aleata
	G. gansseri	Zone B and C	Interval A	Monmouth Assemblage zone A and B	basi lium	D. magnifica S. australina	<i>C.</i> ?	robusta
Ma	R. subcircumnodifer	Zone A			P. iņ	fusorioides	P. infus orioi des	D. wilsonii

Table 1. Biostratigraphic zonations from previous studies of the Gulf and Atlantic Coastal Plains.

Zaitzeff and Cross (1970) studied the dinoflagellate taxonomy and stratigraphy of the Maastrichtian Corsicana and Neylandville Formations near Austin and the Escondido and Olmos Formations of Frio County, Texas. Benson (1976) also reported dinoflagellate biostratigraphy and taxonomy of the Monmouth and Brightseat Formations from Round Bay, Maryland. May (1980) studied dinoflagellate cyst assemblages from Campanian-Maastrichtian strata of Atlantic Highland, Monmouth County, New Jersey. Another Upper Cretaceous dinoflagellate biostratigraphy study of New Jersey was published by Aurisano (1989). Firth (1987) reported Maastrichtian and Danian dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy of a U.S. Geological Survey core from Albany, Georgia. Firth (1993) studied dinoflagellate assemblages and their relation to the sea level fluctuations in Georgia. Prauss (2009) reported marine palynology of the K-Pg boundary at Brazos River, Texas. He compared dinoflagellate assemblages to isotope chemistry for one outcrop section (Cottonmouth Creek) and two cores (KT-1, Mullinax-

1).

2. REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The study area is located on the northwestern margin of the Gulf of Mexico (Figure 2), a small, deep ocean basin on the southern edge of North America connected to the Atlantic Ocean basin to the east. The Gulf is bounded by continental crust on all sides, except for a connection to the Atlantic Ocean through a narrow opening between the Florida Peninsula and the Yucatan Peninsula. It is bounded on the north by the United States, on the east by the Florida Peninsula, on the west by Mexico and on the south by the Yucatan Peninsula. The northern margin of the Gulf of Mexico has a wide continental shelf, built from sedimentary deposits derived from the erosion of the interior of North America. During the Cretaceous, waters of the Gulf of Mexico connected with the Western Interior Seaway, a long-lasting epicontinental sea that extended from the Gulf of Mexico to the Arctic Ocean (Kauffman, 1984; Sohl, 1987).

The breakup of Pangea occurred during the Mesozoic with rifting that separated Laurasia from Gondwana and opened the mid-Atlantic Ocean basin. An east-west directed segment of the rift zone, located from Florida to Texas, opened up and led to formation of the Gulf of Mexico. As the rift widened, sea-floor spreading developed within the rift zone, as the Yucatan Peninsula block moved southward from the rest of North America. From the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous, rotational spreading occurred along this east-west trend as ocean crust formed in the newly created Gulf of Mexico (Pindell and Kennan, 2001; Marton and Buffler, 1999).

6

By the end of the Early Cretaceous, carbonate reefs developed on the continental margin of the Gulf of Mexico, producing the typical morphology of the basin (Winker and Buffler, 1988).



Figure 2. The Gulf of Mexico and the Western Interior Seaway shoreline during the Late Cretaceous (Blakey, 2011).

The Cretaceous was a time of high global sea level because of high spreading rates and increasing volume of mid-ocean ridges. The highest level occurred during the Turonian. There was a gradual fall of the global sea-level from the Turonian to the Maastrichtian (Haq et al., 1987). The global sea level fall after the Turonian warm period may have been associated with the development of continental ice sheets on Antarctica (Bornemann et al., 2008; Stoll and Schrag, 2000). The Western Interior Seaway was getting shallower and closing during the Late Cretaceous (Haq et al., 1987; Mancini and Puckett, 2005) (Figure 2). Tectono-eustatic cycles and numerous important fourth-order transgressive-regressive cycles modified the shape, bathymetry and sedimentary composition of the basin from latest Albian to middle Maastrichtian (Kauffman, 1969, 1977). During the Cretaceous there were shortterm cyclic changes in sea-level superimposed on the long-term trend of global sea-level fall. These small cyclic variations in sea-level are recognized as sequence units within the Cretaceous deposits, but their cause is unknown. During the Cretaceous there were also times of wide spread ocean anoxia that are recognized as oceanic anoxic events (OAE).

The Navarro group is characterized by siliciclastic-dominated progradation, marine transgression and periods of shelf starvation with lags of phosphorite (Mancini and Puckett, 2005). Features such as bored phosphatized mud clasts, steinkerns, and shark, fish and mosasaur teeth and bone fragments, are indicators of nearshore to innershelf formed disconformities (Galloway, 2008) (Figure 2). The Maastrichtian Corsicana Formation was deposited during a highstand and the Kincaid Formation accumulated as a last unit of offshore sediment deposition. The origin and structural history of the Western Interior Epicontinental Sea was controlled by plate tectonic activity, regional tectonics in the western Cordilleran orogenic belt and the structural attachment of the frontal part of the Cordilleran thrust belt.

Increased rates of sediment deposition during the Late Cretaceous progradation of the shelf margin produced aggradational depositional environments in this portion of the Gulf Coast (Davidoff and Yancey, 1993). Thus, the environmental conditions for the Brazos river site from the Latest Cretaceous to early Paleocene was mid-to outer shelf (Figure 3) (Schulte et al., 2006).



Figure 3. Shoreline position for the northern Gulf of Mexico during the Early Paleocene (Overlying map is modified after Galloway, 2008).

3. LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY

From early Maastrichtian to the early Danian, sediments are mostly fine grained mudstone, as characterized by the Neylandville Formation, the Corsicana Formation, and the Kincaid Formation (Figure 4). The carbonate content of these mudstones varies, reflecting changes in the production and deposition of calcareous plankton and benthic organisms, and local cementation. During the middle Maastrichtian, fine-grained sediment deposition was interrupted by deposition of a wedge of sand onto the continental shelf in east Texas and Arkansas, represented by the Nacatoch Sand Formation (Figure 4). The top of the Maastrichtian is overlain by a thin layer of coarser sediment that was spread across the shelf by the high energy disturbance produced by the Chicxulub impact event. The Wills Point Formation overlies the Kincaid Formation and it is a transitional unit of silt-rich sediment that marks the beginning of a change to coarser sediment deposition of the Paleocene-Eocene Wilcox group (Stephenson, 1941; Yancey, 1996; Schulte et al, 2006).



Figure 4. Generalized stratigraphic section of the study area (Stephenson, 1941).

3.1. Neylandville Formation

The Neylandville Formation is a gray calcareous mudstone unit with minor amounts of sand (Sellards et al., 1932; Stephenson, 1941). Stephenson (1941) placed the formation in the *Exogyra cancellata* macrofossil zone. The Neylandville Formation was sampled in an outcrop 7 km upriver from Highbank 413 Bridge at Lake Winiwaca Bluff (Figure 5). This outcrop was sampled on August 2012 and November 2012 during low water conditions. Lithology of this unit consists of clay-rich, fossiliferous mudstone with abundant macrofossils and inoceramid shell fragments. Sediments in the lower part of the bluff exposures contain many small fresh biotite crystals, suggesting a volcanic ash component to the sediment (Figure 6, 8).



Figure 5. Location map of the Lake Winiwaca Bluff section.

The Neylandville Formation lies stratigraphically below the Nacatoch Formation (McGowen and Lopez, 1983), a sheet sand unit present between the Neylandville Formation and the Corsicana Formation. This sand unit is not exposed along the river and was not sampled.

3.2. Corsicana Formation

The Corsicana Formation lies on top of the Nacatoch Formation and beneath the Littig Member of the Kincaid Formation in the study area (Yancey, 1996). Smith and Pessagno (1973) described the lithology of the Corsicana Formation as dark to light gray to grayish tan calcareous mudstone, locally glauconitic and occasionally with quartzose silty fine sand, containing varying amounts of mica and phosphatic fish remains, as well as small pyrite nodules. Corsicana strata exposed along the Brazos River near the Rt. 413 bridge have the same lithology described by Smith and Pessagno (1973), although lacking glauconite (Figure 7). The Brazos River exposures also contain a 0.5 m thick sand layer about 9 m below the top of the formation, as well as a thin volcanic ash bed (Figure 8).

Hill (1901) proposed separate names "Corsicana beds" and "Kemp beds" as submembers of the top of the Navarro Formation in north-central Texas, and also recognized the Nacatoch Formation sandstone in the middle of the Navarro. The strata below the Nacatoch were separated and placed in the Neylandville Formation. Adkins (in Sellards et al, 1932) redefined the Corsicana to include all the variable strata above the Nacatoch Formation and below the top of the Navarro. In the Brazos River Valley there is no lithologic basis for subdividing strata between the Nacatoch Formation and the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary into lower and upper units, so these strata belong to a single formation. The Corsicana Formation is better defined as a formation and that name is applied to the section exposed along the Brazos River.



Figure 6. The Neylandville Formation at Lake Winiwaca Bluff.



Figure 7. Location map of Brazos River section.

Smith and Pessagno (1973) reported an approximate thickness of 75- 90 meters for the formation. The Corsicana Formation lies on top of the Nacatoch Formation and disconformably beneath the Littig Member of the Kincaid Formation (Yancey, 1996). Smith and Pessagno (1973) described the lithology of the Corsicana Formation as dark gray to light gray or grayish tan calcareous mudstone, locally glauconitic and occasionally quartzose silty and fine sandy with varying admixtures of muscovite mica, phosphatic fish tooth and bone fragments, and small pyrite and marcasite nodules. Hence, based on previous studies, the Corsicana Formation is herein considered as late Maastrichtian section of the Cretaceous sediments from the Brazos River.



Figure 8. Stratigraphic units of the studied section. The marker bed is identified in the Mullinax-1 core (Abramovich et al., 2011).

3.3. Kincaid Formation

The Kincaid Formation has a fine-grained lithology similar to the mudstones of the underlying Corsicana Formation and foraminiferal sand deposits in the lower part of the formation. It is separated from the Corsicana by a meter thick layer of sandstone and mass flow mudstone that were deposited as a result of Chicxulub impact disturbance on the continental shelf. The formation contains a lower Littig Member and an upper Pisgah Member, with the Littig Member containing five meters of fossiliferous condensed section deposited during maximum flood conditions and sea level highstand (Hansen et al., 1987; Hart et al., 2012; Yancey, 1996). The Littig Member is extensively exposed along the Brazos River and along the Cottonmouth Creek and Darting Minnow Creek tributaries to the river (Figure 9). Calcareous concretion units occur above the steinkern bearing condensed deposit and a pyrite concretion horizon is present at the top of the Littig Member (Yancey, 1996). Rock samples of RBS Section, an outcrop located on the bank of the Brazos River between Cottonmouth Creek and Darting Minnow Creek (Hart et al., 2012), were used in this study (Figure 8).

4. GEOLOGICAL AGE

The first detailed study of microfossil biostratigraphy of latest Cretaceous sediments of Texas was by Pessagno (1969), who presented a planktonic foraminiferal zonation of the Late Cretaceous extending from the Cenomanian to Maastrichtian. This work was followed by documentation of the planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Corsicana Formation at several localities in Texas by Smith and Pessagno (1973). Two Maastrichtian assemblage zones were distinguished and a *Globotruncana gansseri* zone was identified in the Corsicana Formation (Figure 10). The Globotruncana gansseri zone was divided into a lower Globotruncana aegyptica subzone, distinguished by the presence of middle Maastrichtian planktonic foraminifera found in sediments of the Corsicana Formation exposed in Travis Creek, and a late Maastrichtian Racemiguembelina fructicosa zone present in the Corsicana Formation throughout northcentral Texas. A latest Maastrichtian Abathomphalus mayaroensis zone was reported to be absent, presumably removed by erosion. Abramovich et al. (2011) published on Maastrichtian planktonic foraminifera in the Brazos River Section and used the CF zonation of Li and Keller (1998).



Figure 9. Location map of the Riverbank South (RBS) section.

A detailed study of Maastrichtian calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy was reported by Jiang and Gartner (1986). They reported three biostratigraphic zones from two different localities in east-central Texas: the late Maastrichtian *Micula murus* zone and the early Tertiary *Markalius astroporus* and *Cruciplacolithus tenuis* zones (Figure 10). They reported that the K-Pg boundary interval in east-central Texas contains a continuous record of deposition from the late Maastrichtian to the Danian. This conflicted with the conclusions of Pessagno (1969) and Smith and Pessagno (1973), who interpreted an erosional unconformity at the top of the Cretaceous based on the absence of indicator species of the planktonic foraminiferal zone *Abathomphalus mayaroensis*. This study was followed by another calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy of the K-Pg boundary in Brazos River by Tantawy (2011), who reported results similar to Jiang and Gartner (1986).

The first dinoflagellate biostratigraphy of Maastrichtian sediments from eastcentral Texas was reported by Zaitzeff and Cross (1970). The Corsicana Formation and Kemp Clay were reported as different sedimentary units within the late Maastrichtian. The Neylandville and Nacatoch Formations also were considered one unit. Three biostratigraphic zones of dinoflagellates were reported in their study: Zone A (oldest), Zone B and Zone C (youngest) (Table 1).

Danian biostratigraphy in Texas remained undeveloped after the early studies of Plummer (1931) until Jiang and Gartner (1986) reported on the basal Danian nannoplankton zones in the Brazos River section. At the same time, the recognition of a mass extinction event at the end of the Cretaceous led to documentation of two new basal Danian planktonic foraminiferal zones, zones P0 (partial range zone of *P*. *cretacea*) and Pα (taxon range zone of *P. eugubina*) (Berggren and Pearson, 2005).

The Brazos River Section contains the most complete record of the K-Pg boundary in Texas. Keller (1989) reported on planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of three exposures of the section from the Brazos River. She defined the K-Pg boundary based on the lowest occurrences of Danian marker species (Keller et al., 2011). This is in conflict with the current definition for the placement of the K-Pg boundary (Molina et al., 2006). Accordingly, she places the base of the Danian at a horizon 0.5 meters above the Molina et al. (2006) definition of the K-Pg boundary. Some reports on biostratigraphy of the K-Pg boundary follow the Keller definition (e.g. Prauss, 2009;

Abramovich et al., 2011 and Tantawy, 2011).

AGE	FORMATION	Planktonic foraminifera biostratigraphy (Smith and Pessagno, 1973)	Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy (Jiang and Gartner, 1986)	
IIAN	Kinesid Fermatian	Lincompled interval	C. tenuis zone	
DAN	Kincald Formation	Unsampled Interval	M. astroporus zone	
MAASTRICHTIAN	Corsicana Formation	Globotruncana contusa- stratiformis Assemblage zone	Micula murus zone	
		Globotruncana fornicata- stratiformis Assemblage zone		
	Nacatoch Sand	Unsampled interval	Unsampled interval	
	Neylandville Marl			

Figure 10. Previously reported biostratigraphic zonation of Upper Cretaceous of Texas.

Prauss (2009) reported dinoflagellate cyst biostratigraphy of K-Pg boundary sediments from the Brazos River. He placed the K-Pg boundary at the base of the P0 foraminiferal zone. Prauss reported the highest occurrences (HO) of *Dinogymnium* spp. and *Yolkinigymnium* spp., and the lowest occurrences (LO) of *Membranilarnacia tenella* and *Damassidinium californicum* to bracket a Keller-defined placement of the K-Pg boundary. He reported the first occurrence of *Carpatella cornuta* to be within planktonic foraminiferal zone P1b.

Other useful zonations of the Campanian and Maastrichtian section of the Gulf of Mexico region are a Campanian ostracode zonation of central Texas by Chimene and Maddocks (1984) and an oyster macrofossil zonation by Stephenson (1941) based on the ranges of *Exogrya ponderosa, Exogyra cancellata,* and *Exogyra costata.* The oyster zonation provides a general determination of the stratigraphic position for the Campanian and Maastrichtian of Texas. A report on ammonites present in the late Maastrichtian of the Brazos River section was presented by Kennedy et al. (2001).

5. METHODS

5.1. Sampling Procedure

Twenty eight samples were collected from above and below the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary on the Brazos River. Six samples were collected from the Paleogene and twenty two samples were collected from the Maastrichtian section. Six Paleocene samples and one Maastrichtian sample were provided by Dr. Malcolm Hart (Plymouth University) from the Riverbank South (RBS) section. Twenty one samples, approximately one kilogram per sample, were collected from the Brazos River bed and from bluffs during shallow water conditions. Clean collecting tools were used for each sample to prevent contamination and each sample was immediately placed in a labeled sample bag and sealed.

Samples were placed in the oven for 24 hours to dry; then they were placed in new plastic sample bags.

5.2. Standard Palynological Acid Maceration Techniques

Samples were treated in a freezing and thawing process to disaggregate the clay component before applying standard palynological acid maceration techniques (Vidal, 1988). For freezing and thawing process:

- 1- Approximately 40-gram samples were taken from a sample bag.
- 2- The outer surface of the sample was removed to prevent contamination.

3- The sample was crushed to granulated powder and placed in 50 ml centrifuge tubes.

4- Each centrifuge tube was filled with 50% sodium sulfate solution and placed in a freezer for 12 hours.

5- The frozen sample was taken to room temperature and left for approximately12 hours until thawed. These steps were repeated 5-6 times until all clay material wasbroken down.

6- The sample was sieved in 120 μ m, 63 μ m and 20 μ m sieves and a 2 ml subsample were collected from the sieve in each step.

All remaining sieved material was collected in a 400 ml beaker for standard palynological acid maceration techniques, outlined below.

1- The sieved material was washed in a 400 ml beaker.

2- Cold concentrated HCl was added to the beaker to remove carbonates, and ethanol was used to break the surface tension of bubbles, also tracer spore *Lycopodium* tablets were added. When the reaction was completed, the sample was washed and centrifuged until neutralized.

3- Cold concentrated HF was added to the sample and left for 24 hours to remove silicate and clay minerals. The sample was washed and centrifuged until neutrality, and then the material was sieved at 20 μ m to remove any remaining clay particles.

4- 2 ml subsamples collected from each sample for palynofacies analysis.

5- 5% sodium hypochlorite (Clorox) solution was added to the sample for 5 minutes to oxidize organic material, and then the sample was washed and centrifuged.

6- 5-6 drops of ammonium hydroxide were added and the solution was left for5 minutes to dissolve oxidized products before washing and centrifugation.

7- The residue was placed in 15 ml centrifuge tubes and centrifuge tubes were filled with $ZnCl_2$ solution (specific gravity > 2.0) to separate organic material from mineral debris.

8- Samples were centrifuged for 30 minutes starting from low to high speed. The organic residue was collected from the top in a clean pipette, and placed in a new centrifuge tube; then it was diluted with water. The organic residue was again sieved at 20 μm.

9- The sample was washed with glacial acetic acid, then acetolyzed in 9/1 solution of acetic anhydride and sulfuric acid for 15 minutes in a heating vessel.

10- The sample was washed again with glacial acetic acid, then centrifuged.

11- The sample was treated with a mixture of 50% glacial acetic acid and 50% water and centrifuged again.

12- The palynological residue was placed in 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes and 3-4 drops of ethanol were added.

13- A few drops of residue were put on a cover glass and allowed to dry on a hot plate. A few drops of Norland Optical Adhesive was placed on microscope slide, and then dry cover glass was placed on top, and slides were left the under ultraviolet light for a few minutes until the adhesive hardened.

Samples were processed at the Pollen Laboratory in the Department of Anthropology at Texas A&M University. Specimen locations on slides were given as right (R) and above (U) in millimeters to the lower left corner of the cover slide.

Specimen photos were taken on a Zeiss Microscope, using a Spot Camera connected to a Macintosh computer. Photos were captured on Spot software and saved as jpg files. An Olympus FV1000 Confocal Microscope was also used for imaging species, especially those that were hard to determine on regular light microscope.

5.2.1 Counts of Palynomorphs and Calculation of Absolute Abundances

Dinoflagellate cysts and terrestrial palynomorphs (pollen and spores) were counted only if they comprise at least half of the specimen. Three hundred specimens were counted per sample. *Lycopodium* spores were added to samples to calculate absolute abundances of palynomorphs in samples. Absolute abundances of palynomorphs were calculated according to the following formula by Benninghoff (1962):

$$C \quad \frac{d_c \times L_T \times t}{L_c \times w}$$

where

C: concentration of palynomorphs per gram dry sediment

d_C: number of counted palynomorphs

L_T: number of *Lycopodium* spores per tablet

t: number of tablets added to sample

L_C: number of counted Lycopodium spores
w: weight of dry sediment sample

5.3 Geochemistry Analyses

5.3.1 Sample Preparation For δ^{18} O and δ^{13} C Stable Isotope Analyses

Approximately 20 g rock samples were used to collect specimens of *Lenticulin*a spp. for δ^{18} O and δ^{13} C analyses. Seven to ten *Lenticulina* specimens from each sample were placed in small vials. Next, each specimen was cleaned and weighted. Three specimens for each sample (approximately 50 µg) were used for each isotope analysis. Samples were run for oxygen and carbon stable isotope analyses using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer and Kiel IV carbonate device in the Department of Geological Sciences at the University of Texas at Austin.

Modern species of *Lenticulina* are reported as being in disequilibrium with ambient water (Grossman, 1984). δ^{18} O data for *Lenticulina* spp. was corrected to $\Delta^{18}O_{eq}$ = -0.47 ‰ (Grossman, 1984).

Estimated temperatures based on δ^{18} O is calculated according to the following formula by Epstein and Mayeda (1953);

 $T^{\circ}C = 16.5 - 4.3(\delta^{18}O_{caCO3} - \delta^{18}O_{w-AMW}) + 0.14(\delta^{18}O_{caCO3} - \delta^{18}O_{w-AMW})^{2}$ Where; $\delta^{18}O_{CaCO3}: \delta^{18}O \text{ of calcium carbonate relative to VPDB}$

 $\delta^{18}O_{w\text{-}AMW}\!$: $\delta^{18}O$ of ambient water versus "average marine water".

5.3.2 TEX₈₆ and BIT Index Organic Geochemistry Analysis

About 12 g from each of the 21 Maastrichtian samples were processed for Glycerol Dialkyl Glycerol Tetraethers (GDGT) content. Samples were run in a high performance liquid chromatography-atmospheric-pressure positive-ion chemicalionization mass spectrometer (HPLC-APCI-MS) in the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Utrecht.

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1 Biostratigraphy

Twenty-eight samples of the Neylandville, Corsicana and Kincaid Formations from the Brazos River, Texas yielded well preserved, highly diverse assemblages of dinoflagellate cysts, acritarchs, pollen and spores. Dinoflagellate cysts dominate most palynomorph assemblages, while a few samples are dominated by terrestrial palynomorphs and woody debris. Dinoflagellate cysts are identified to genus and species level when possible. Other palynomorphs are identified to general groups, such as pollen/spores, bisaccate pollen, and foraminiferal linings, except for the stratigraphically important species of the pollen *Wodehousia spinata*, *Aquilapollenites* and the acritarch *Fromea fragilis*. A total of 98 dinoflagellate cysts and acritarchs and two pollen are identified (Table 2).

The lowest species abundance of dinoflagellate cysts occurs in samples of the Neylandville Formation with 46 species, and the highest species abundance occurs in the Corsicana Formation with 67 species. The lower Danian Kincaid Formation contains 48 species. The stratigraphic occurrences of dinoflagellate cyst taxa are reported in the following section based on their stratigraphic depth (- meters) or height (+ meters) below and above the K-Pg boundary event bed (= 0 m).

6.1.1 Dinoflagellate Cyst Zonation of the Brazos River Section

6.1.1.1 Interval 1

Interval 1 occurs in the Neylandville Formation from -53.5 m to -56.6 m and contains highest occurrence (HO) datums of *Alterbidinium acutulum, Xenascus ceratoides* and *Isabelidinium cooksoniae* at -53.5 m, and lowest occurrence (LO) datums of *Phelodinium africanum, Pierceites pentagonus, Dinogymnium sibiricum, Senegalinium laevigatum* and *Deflandrea galeata*. at -53.5 m. Thirteen species of dinoflagellate cysts are restricted to this interval: *Chatangiella micrachanta, Alterbidinium acutulum, Spinidinium ornatum, Xenascus ceratoides, Isabelidinium cooksoniae, Chatangiella ditissima, Dinogymnium digitus, Senegalinium sp. 1, Cerbia tabulata, Batiacasphaera reticulata, Chatangiella granulifera, Manumiella cretacea* and *Dinoflagellate* sp.1 (Table 2).

6.1.1.2 Interval 2

Interval 2 corresponds to the Corsicana Formation from -31 m to the K-Pg boundary (0 m). Sixty seven species occur within Interval 2, with 33 of those species continuing higher in the section into Interval 3. Nine species have HO datums close to the top of Interval 2 at the highest level sampled within the Corsicana Formation: *Achomosphaera ramulifera, Dinogymnium nelsonense, Glaphyrocysta cf. G. reticulosa, Hystrichosphaeridium tubiferum, Palynodinium sp. 1, Glaphyrocysta expansa, Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum, Conosphaeridium striataconum* and *Circulodinium distinctum.* The highest HO datums in the Brazos River Section occur at 0.2 m below the K-Pg boundary. Fifteen species have their HOs at various levels within the Corsicana Formation (Table 2).

Four species have acmes within this interval: *Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum*, *Glaphyrocysta perforata*, *Glaphyrocysta cf. G. reticulata*, and *Cribroperidinium wetzelii. Glaphyrocysta cf. G. reticulata* and *Cribroperidinium wetzelii* have abundance peaks in the middle of Interval 2 at -18 m, whereas *Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum* and *Glaphyrocysta perforata* acmes occur immediately below the top of Interval 2 at -0.1 m in the RBS section.

6.1.1.3 Interval 3

Interval 3 encompasses the Kincaid Formation from the K-Pg boundary to + 5.7 m in the RBS Section. Forty eight species occur within Interval 3 and three species have their LOs at the base of this interval: *Manumiella druggii, Carpetalla cornuta* and *Alterbidinium cf. A. circulum*.

The biostratigraphically important late Maastrichtian species of *Dinogymnium acuminatum* and *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis* have HOs at + 0.6 m to + 2.0 m above the base of Interval 3. Species of *Dinogymnium* spp. are usually considered to be restricted to the Cretaceous and their HOs have been used to mark the K-Pg boundary (Brinkhuis and Leereveld, 1988). *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis* and *Dinogymnium* spp. appear to be reworked into the base of Interval 3 in the RBS section. They occur rarely in the Kincaid Formation and have poor preservation, indicated by damage to the cyst wall. 6.1.2 Biostratigraphic Comparison with Previous Studies

Zaitzeff and Cross (1970) studied the dinoflagellate biostratigraphy of the Navarro Group (Maastrichtian) in south Texas (Frio County) and the Austin area (Travis County), Texas. They described three biostratigraphic zones from oldest to youngest as zone A, B and C. Zone A occurs in the "Neylandville-Nacatoch" formations and contains the HOs of *Deflandrea? micrachanta* (*Chatangiella micrachanta*), Hystrichosphaeridium sp. 2 (*Conosphaeridium striatoconum*), *Dinogymnium digitus* and *Deflandrea acuminata* (*Alterbidinium acutulum*). They reported that this zone is characterized by the abundance of *Dinogymnium digitus* and *Dinogymnium nelsonense* (Figure 11).

In the Brazos River Section, Interval 1 is similar to zone A of Zaitzeff and Cross (1970). *Chatangiella micrachanta*, *Alterbidinium acutulum* and *Dinogymnium digitus* have their HOs at the top of Interval 1 (Figure 11). However, Interval 1 is characterized by the common occurrences of *Hafniasphaera* septata and *Apteodinium cf. A. granulatum* and does not have common *Dinogymnium* species (Table 2).

Moshkovitz and Habib (1993) reported late Maastrichtian to Danian calcareous nannofossil and dinoflagellate cyst assemblages in core and outcrop from the Braggs, Alabama section. A succession of LO datums of late Maastrichtian indicator species occurs in the Prairie Bluff Formation below the K-Pg boundary, including *Trithyrodinium evittii* (lowest), *Palynodinium grallator*, *Thalassipora pelagica* and

FORMATION	SI AGE IN TERVAL	SAMPLE	DEPTH	cratanglena micracantna Batiacasphaera reticulata Isabelidinium cooksoniae	Kenascus ceratioides Ceinidinium craatum	spimamium ornatum Alterbidinium acutulum Anteodinium cf A aramulatum	Dinogymnium Iongicorne	senegarınum picavatum Oligosphaeridium complex	Operculodinium radiculatum Impagidinium cristatum	Alisogymnium euclaense Cerodinium diebelii subsp. Riaidum	Senegalinium obscurum	Achomosphaera ramulifera Dinogymnium nelsonense	Palaeocystodinium australinum	Yolkinigymnium expansum Crihraneridinium wetzelii	Cribroperidinium aiuseppei	Dinogymnium acuminatum	Cerodinium pannuceum Spiniferites ramosus	Exochos phaeridium bifidum	Hafniasphaera complex Cordosphaeridium inodes	Spiniferites ramosus subsp. reticulatus	Spiniferites membranaceus Palaeocystodinium golzowense	Phelodinium magnificum Dinoflaaellate sp.1	Dinogymnium cretaceum	Phelodinium africanum Pierceites pentagonus	Manumiella cretacea Chatanaiella aranulifera	Chatangiella ditissima	Dinogymnium digitus Seneralinium sn 1	Cerbia tabulata	Dinogymnium sibiricum	senegannum iaevigatum Deflandrea galeata	Trithyrodinium evittii	ceroamum alebelli Manumiella seymourensis	Magallanesium densispinatum Operculodinium centrocarpum	Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum	Thalassiphora pelagica Glanhurocusta ef G reticulosa		Gordosphaeridium fibrospinosum	Hystrichosphaeridium tubiferum	Diconodinium psilatum Alisogymnium cerviculum	Cyclonephelium compactum Damassadinium fibrosum	Dinogymnium sp.1	Palynodinium grallator Glaphyrocysta retiintexta	Senegalinium microgranulatum Connecdinium delitionee	Spongodinium delitiense Disphaeroaena carposphaeropsis	Palynodinium sp.1	Glaphyrocysta expansa Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum	Pterodinium cingulatum Florentinia perforata	Conos phaeridium striatoconum	circuloainium aistinctum Coronifera cf. C.oceanica	Andalusielia gabonensis Senegalinium simplex	Cannosphaeropsis sp.1 Senegalinium pallidum	Dinogymnium sp.2 Leieunervsta sp.1	Cerodinium leptodermum	Manumiella aruggu Carpatella cornuta	Alterbidinium circulum	Mayununesium esson Tectatodinium rugulatum	Impagidinium multiplex Isabelidinium bakeri	Impagidinium cf. I.patulum	Kottnestia cj. n.grunuru Cerodinium speciosum	Damassidinium californicum	Eisenackia crassitapurutu Achilleodinium sp.1	Cordosphaeridium? gracilis	Impagidinium pentahedrias Apteodinium fallax	Fromea fragilis	Acritarcn sp. 1 Aquapollenites	Wodehousia spinata Pollen/Spores	Bisaccate pollen	Foraminifera linings	Lycopodium	TOTAL
		RBS-Z	5.7																2 1												2 1	L +											3							2	7				5 10) +	3	1				1				23	8 24		12	300
		RBS-W	4.9														3	1	18	1	+										1	1	2										3							3	+				1 3	1	2	2				1 1	1 +			23	4 24	1	14	302
AID	IAN	RBS-R	3.6													:	14 3	10	59	12	3												4 2										13							2	10				1 7	7										15	9 12	1	10	318
KINC	NTERV	RBS-M	2.0													1 1	18 1		13	1	1 3										1	2 +		1									1									3		9	6			2							+	24	5 34		9	351
		RBS-J	1.4										+ +	+ +	+		+	1	94 2		+ 1	1		+ +							2 8	3 +	3		+								10 +	+						2	+ 8		+	+	5	+	+ +	+ 1	. +	+ +	+ +					+ 18	3 6	+	9	327
		RBS-F	0.6											+	+	1	5 1	+	41		1 1										+ 1	l 1	2	6	+		2 3						1	1	L						1 1	1	1	1 1	+									1	L +	+ 29	2 31		9	397
		RBS-A	0.0										+ 3	3			4 1		28		1										2	2 2		44																		1	+											1	L	21	2 8	+	21	307
		BC-1	0.2								2	20 1	1 +	+ 4	2	+ :	14 1	3	22		5 1	2	1	2 3							3 1	L		+	1 3	38 1	00 1	1						1	5	30 +		1	L		3															50) 1	+	25	318
		BC-2	0.6							+ 3	1 1	L6 +	+	1	1	+ 2	21 1	1	.12		4 1	2		2							7 4	1		1		1	29	8	+				1	2	2	+ 1					+	2													+	77	3	+	9	303
		BC-3	1.2							+ 3	2	1	1	1	1	:	15 18	1	99	1	6 +	1	4	4 4							2 3	3	7	2	1	19 1	17	8								1 3		3			1	1														+ 79	5	4 1	147	309
		BC-4	1.8							+ 2	1 1	10	1	1	1	+	9 18	2	81 +		9 +	+		7							2 2	2	4	3	1	12 1	12 4	7						+	•	+ 2		1	+															+ 1	L +	+ 10	4 4	+ 1	107	300
		BC-5	3.1										+				1	1	5		1 1	+		1										5														1																1	L +	+ 28	6 5		46	308
		BC-6	3.7										+				3	1	15		5													3																																2 27	0 4		32	303
		BC-7	6.2						1	4	3	1	2	1		2	21 7	1	8		3	7	3	3							1	L	2	10		2	4 1						7	+																				1	L +	+ 21	6 7	2	288	313
M	≣	BC-8	7.7							+	1		+ +	+			1 1				2			1										18			1						1																							+ 26	98		22	303
RSICA	TERVA	BC-9	8.3							+							+	+	2		+													3									+	+																				1	1 +	28	6 12		70	304
8		BC-10	9.0						1		+		1 +	+			3 2	+	4		1 2		2	2 5							4	+	3	6		3	2					3	3	+						1	1															2 25	3 5	1	141	303
	AASIA	BC-11	10.4								2	+	2				8 2	1	2 1		+	6	(6 5							4	+	1	7	1	6	4 +					3	1	+						÷															+	1 25	2 7	3 1	117	322
	Σ	BC-12	13.2														1				4			2													1						1					1																	+	2 29	1 4		10	307
		BC-13	14.0								3			6			8	1	1 3		+ 3		2	2 1						1	1	L		7		2	1 1						3																						+	1 25	69	4	53	310
		BC-14	16.9					2	+			4		18	0	+ 4	13 49	10	24	1	7 3	1	(5 12					1	L	2	2 1	6	1	+ 1	85 1	18 37	9				1 7	3	12	2 2 2	20 1	3	2	1																+	79	9		3 (846
		BC-15	18.4				2	2 3	+			7		4	7 5	5	52 49	20 1	.12	6	13 2		4	4 23							1 1	L	6	3	+ 1	63 1	19 11	12	1			3 11	5	4	17	2 3	1 1																		+	+ 13	1 6		9	836
		BC-16	30.0								+		-	+			1 20	1	38 1		5									1	4	+ +		3		4	1	+					2	+	•																					21	1 16		16	304
		BC-17	30.5				+			+			+			1	2 16	1	62 +	3	4 3		1 ·	+							4	+ +			+	3	+		+				1 +	+																						+ 20	9 7	6	72	313
		BC-18	31.0			2			+	+		+ +	+ +	+		+	4 9		28	3	2 1		1 :	1 1					+		1 1	L +	1 2	+	+	3	1 +	1	+ +	+ +	+	1 3																								+ 22	59	+	25	300
VILLE	Ē	BN-19	53.5	2 4	4 5	5 6 5		2	2	1		2				7	7 5	10	37	1	9		:	1 1	1 2	3	3 3	+	1 1	+	1																																			25	5 19	+ 3	358	400
LAND	TERVA	BN-20	54.2	1	63	8 6 8		2	1 3	1						1	8	3	41	1	3 1	2 1	2																																											17	9 27	2 7	287	300
NEY	Z	BN-21	56.6	6 + 6	4 8	8 8 20	0 1 3	3 +	4 1	1 2	7	1 2	+ 1	1 +	1	+	3 5	1	13 1																																															17	1 31	3	67	301

Table 2. Stratigraphic ranges of palynomorphs in the Brazos River Section. + represent species that show in the sample, but not in counts.

EARLY MAAS.	LATE MAASTRICHTIAN	DANIAN	AGE
NEY- LAND.	CORSICANA	KINCAID	FORMATION
			Xenascus ceratoides
1			Alterbidinium acutulum
			Alisogymnium euclaense
			Achomosphaera ramulifera
			Dinogymnium nelsonense
		I	Paleocystodinium australinium
		1	Cribroperidinium wetzelii
			Dinogymnium acuminatum
			Cerodinium pannuceum
			Spiniferites ramosus
			Exochosphaeridium bifidum
	1		Cordosphaeridium inodes
		1	Phelodinium magnificum
ľ			Dinogymnium digitus
		I	Cordosphaeridium fibrospinosum
		I	Glaphyrocysta perforata
			Senegalinium microgranulatum
			Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum
			Conosphaeridium striatoconum

Figure 11. Biostratigraphic comparison of Zaitzeff and Cross (1970) and the Brazos River Section. Solid lines represent occurrence of species in the Brazos River Section, dashed lines represent occurrence of species from Austin (Travis County) by Zaitzeff and Cross (1970).

Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis (highest). In the Braggs section these species have HOs in about the same horizon 1.5 m below the K-Pg boundary (Figure 12).

In the Brazos River section, *Palynodinium grallator* and *Trithyrodinium evittii* have LOs at -30.9 and -53.5 m. *Palynodinium grallator* has its HO at -16.9 m, while *Trithyrodinum evittii* ranges up through the Danian part of the section, similar to its occurrence in the Braggs core (Moshkovitz and Habib, 1993) (Figure 12). *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis* has it's LO at -30 m and HO at +0.6 m in the Brazos River section, similar to its range in the Braggs, Alabama section. The HO and LO of *Deflandrea galeata* in the Brazos Valley section is restricted to Maastrichtian strata. Within the Braggs section *D. galeata* is reported within Danian sediments. However, this is interpreted as reworking by Moshkovitz and Habib (1993) (Figure 12).

Firth (1987) reported on the Maastrichtian and Early Danian dinoflagellate biostratigraphy of the USGS Albany Core in Georgia. He described three dinoflagellate assemblages in the core, intervals A (oldest), B and C (youngest). Interval A was interpreted as early Maastrichtian in age and is characterized by the common to abundant occurrences of *?Chatangiella robusta* and *Exochosphaeridium robustum*, and sparse to common occurrences of *Cerodinium boloniense*. The HO of *Spongodinium delitiense* is close to the top of Interval A. Interval B is interpreted to be of late Maastrichtian age and is characterized by very high diversity of dinoflagellate cysts. The HO of *Isabelidinium cooksoniae* is about 7 m below the K-Pg boundary, while the HO of of *Alterbidinium acutulum* is about 10 m below the boundary. *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis, Manumiella seelandica* and *Palynodinium grallator* are reported in one sample just below the K-Pg boundary (Figure 12). *Thalassipora pelagica* has its LO close to the base of this interval, while its HO is just above the K-Pg boundary. Also, abundance peaks of *Manumiella seelandica* and *Trithyrodinium evittii* occur just below the K-Pg boundary.

In the Brazos River section, *Disphaerogena carpospharopsis*, *Trithyrodinium evittii*, *Thalassipora pelagica* and *Palynodinium grallator* have their LOs 30 m below the K-Pg boundary, much lower than their LOs reported in Firth (1987). Also, no abundance peaks of *Manumiella seelandica* or *Trithyrodinium evittii* occur in the Brazos River section.

In the Davis-Hopkins core in Georgia (Moshkovitz and Habib, 1993), the Late Maastrichtian to Danian species *Thallassipora pelagica* has a lowest appearance horizon at 750.6 m. *Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis* has a lowest appearance horizon at 722.6 m. The HO of *Palynodinium grallator* is in the Cretaceous sample at 713.4 m and extends down to the base of the core (806.4 m). *Seniosphaera inornata* occurs in the lowest Paleocene sample at 678.7 m and ranges up to 661.3 m. *Damassidinium californicum*, has its LO at 661.9 m, 6.8 m above the LO of *Seniosphaera inornata* (Figure 12).

Similar to the Davis-Hopkins core, the LO of *Thalassipora pelagica* is at -30.9 m in the Brazos River Section; *Disphaerogena carpospharopsis* is at -30 m, below the K-Pg boundary. The LO of *Damassidinium californicum* is at 1.3 m above the K-Pg boundary in the Brazos River Section. *Seniosphaera inornata* is not recorded from the Brazos River Section.



Figure 12. Biostratigraphic correlation of the studied section to previous Gulf of Mexico studies.

6.2 Assemblage Characteristics

The palynological assemblages consist of dinoflagellates, terrestrial spores and pollen. Palynofacies are determined by the proportion of amorphous organic matter facies compared to vascular tissue facies remains in the manner described by Habib and Miller (1989), which can be used to interpret marine influence versus terrestrial influence. The amorphous organic facies (AOF) is considered as fecal remains of zooplankton feeding on phytoplankton and other organic materials. The vascular tissue facies (VTF) is considered as abundant well preserved vascular tissue remains and other organic material coming from land plants (Habib and Miller, 1989). The ratio of dinoflagellate cysts to spores-pollen (marine/terrestrial) (M/T) is used to interpret proximity to shoreline or to sediment source (Habib and Miller, 1989). The section is divided into six sub-intervals based on the palynofacies (amorphous versus vascular tissue) and the dinoflagellate/spore-pollen (M/T) ratio.

Interval 1 contains a low M/T ratio and a vascular tissue facies (Figure 13). This suggests the presence of a shallow marine depositional environment or a greater riverine influence within deposits of the Neylandville Formation.

Interval 2 contains two amorphous organic matter facies separated by a vascular tissue facies. The highest M/T ratio corresponds with the amorphous organic facies and also corresponds to the highest dinoflagellate cyst species abundance at the base of Interval 2. The middle of Interval 2 contains a vascular tissue facies, a low M/T ratio, and low dinoflagellate cyst species abundance. The top of Interval 2, below the K-Pg boundary, contains increased relative abundance of dinoflagellates and an amorphous organic facies, and higher dinoflagellate cyst species abundance (Figure 13).

Interval 3 has a moderate and variable M/T ratio. The palynofacies changes upward from a more terrestrial vascular tissue facies to a more marine amorphous organic facies (Figure 13). The lowest part of Interval 3 contains abundant VTF content and low M/T ratio, while the middle part varies in VTF content. The highest part of Interval 3 contains an AOM facies that corresponds to the deepest water deposits of the section. Several species of dinoflagellates cysts have abundance acmes in the Brazos River section: *Glaphyrocysta* spp., *Cribroperidinium* spp., *Spiniferites-Achomosphaera-Hafniasphaera* (S-A) species complex, *Cordosphaeridium-Exochosphaeridium* (C-E) group and *Senegalinium-Cerodinium* (S-C) group. The acmes of *Glaphyrocysta* spp., *Cribroperidinium* spp., *Spiniferites-Achomosphaera* complex, *Cordosphaeridium-Exochosphaeridium* group, and the *Senegalinium-Cerodinium* group correspond with the highest M/T ratio in Interval 2. Two acmes of *Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum* occur within Interval 2, and correlated with lower peaks of M/T values and low dinoflagellate cyst species abundance.

6.2.1 Comparisons of Dinoflagellate Assemblages with Previous Studies

Comparison of the dinoflagellate abundance peaks and diversity trends of Zaitzeff and Cross (1970)'s Austin section and the Brazos River section shows close similarity. *Exochosphaeridium* spp. (=Forma A sp.1 in Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970) has an abundance peak in both the Austin and the Brazos River sections. *Glaphyrocysta* spp. (=Forma B sp.1 in Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970) has two abundance peaks within the Corsicana Formation that is present in both sections. *Spiniferites* spp. (=*Hystrichosphaera ramosa* var.1 in Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970) has two distinct abundance peaks at the base and the top of the Corsicana Formation in both sections (Figure 14).



Figure 13. Dinoflagellate versus pollen-spore (M/T) ratio, and absolute abundances (dinocyst/gr) of dinoflagellate cysts in the Brazos River Section.

Firth (1987, 1993) studied dinoflagellate cyst assemblages in the USGS Albany Core and Ft. Gaines Core from Georgia. In the USGS Albany Core, all of the samples contain the amorphous organic facies of Habib and Miller (1989). Spiniferites ramosus increases in abundance from the lower Maastrichtian to upper Maastrichtian, corresponding with increasing abundance of terrestrial palynomorphs. Upper Maastrichtian assemblages of the Albany Core are dominated by the Spiniferites-Achomosphaera species complex. In contrast, the dinoflagellate assemblages from the Ft. Gaines core contain high relative abundances of Areoligera spp., Cribroperidinium wetzelii, Glaphyrocysta reticulosa, the Paleocystodinium-Andalusiella (P-A) complex, the Spiniferites-Achomosphaera (S-A) species complex, and the Senegalinium obscurum-Cerodinium pannuceum (S-C) species complex. The S-C complex in the Ft. Gaines core corresponds with more marine influence, similar to its occurrence in the Brazos River Section. The S-A complex has its greatest abundance in association with high occurrences of terrestrial palynomorphs in the Ft. Gaines core. The highest occurrences of the S-A complex and G. reticulosa are associated with less marine influence in the upper Maastrichtian within the Ft. Gaines core. In contrast, within the Albany core and the Brazos River Section the occurrence of the S-A complex occurs in association with Cribroperidinium complex and Glaphyrocysta complex, correlating with a greater marine influence.

The species abundance peaks of the Brazos River section and the Eastern Gulf Coast sections are similar, but the Brazos River Section contains an expanded uppermost Maastrichtian *Micula prinsii* nannofossil zone, whereas the Eastern Gulf Coast sections contain an expanded middle Maastrichtian *Lithraphidites quadrites* nannofossil zone.

Although these are not stratigraphically equal they do show that several taxa have repeated abundance peaks throughout the middle to upper Maastrichtian. There are conflicting interpretations of the marine versus terrestrial influences of several dinoflagellate taxa. Increasing relative abundances of Achomosphaera spp., Spiniferites spp., Cyclonephelium spp. and Glaphyrocysta spp. were interpreted as indicators of shallowing water conditions by Brinkhuis and Zachariasse (1988) and Hultberg and Malmgren (1986). Firth (1993) noted that increasing abundances of *Spiniferites ramosus* from the lower to upper Maastrichtian in the Albany Core correlate with increasing abundances of terrestrial palynomorphs. Habib and Miller (1989) noted that increasing abundances of Achomosphaera medusettiformis and Glaphyrocysta reiintexta correspond to increasing abundances of marine phytoplankton as well as amorphous organic palynofacies. Atta-Peters and Salami (2004), Downie et al. (1971), and Prauss (2009) report that increasing abundance of *Spiniferites* spp. and Areoligera/ *Glaphyrocysta* spp. correlate with open marine conditions. Thus, there is conflicting palynological evidence of changing relative abundances of Achomosphaera spp., Spiniferites spp., Areoligera spp. and Glaphyrocysta spp. in association with less open marine conditions. Despite these conflicting interpretations of marine influence or distance to shore, there are other factors in addition to terrestrial influence that control species abundances in the marine environment. Dinoflagellate cysts are extremely sensitive to small changes in nutrient availability, water temperature and salinity (Brinkhuis, 1994; Dale, 1996; Pross and Schmiedl, 2002). It seems likely that changes

in species abundance in the study area are affected by regional differences in terrestrial runoff, chemical composition within the water column, as well as temperature.



Figure 14. Comparison of species abundances in the Brazos River versus Austin (Travis County) (Zaitzeff and Cross, 1970).

6.3 Paleoenvironments

Sediments of the Corsicana Formation are composed of mudstones and were deposited in a middle to outer shelf marine environment, based upon the water depth (75-150 m) determined from benthic and planktonic foraminifera (Yancey and Liu, 2013). The lack of sandstone layers (except for one thin sandstone bed at -9 m) in the Corsicana Formation indicates deposition below normal storm wave base. The diverse protist and invertebrate biota indicate normal salinity marine waters.

Sediments of the Kincaid Formation are composed of mudstone and sandstone deposited in a middle to outer shelf marine environment, based upon water depth from 50-200 m determined by benthic and planktonic foraminifera (Yancey and Liu, 2013). Sandstone of the Kincaid Formation within the RBS section consists of a condensed section deposited during maximum flooding conditions on the shelf (Hart et al., 2012). The sandstones in this formation occur in the planktonic foraminifera P1 zone and are composed dominantly of foraminiferal tests and phosphate steinkerns (Hansen et al.,1993; Yancey, 1996; Hart et al., 2012).

The Peridinioid/Gonyalucoid (P/G) ratio is calculated based on total number of peridinioid cysts versus gonyalucoid cysts to interpret paleoproductivity. Peridinoid dinoflagellates are mostly heterotrophic organisms, feeding on diatoms or phytoplanktons, while the gonyalucoid dinoflagellates are autotrophic organisms. Overall, gonyalucoid cysts dominate the Brazos River assemblages. There are four P/G ratio peaks within the section. Two peaks occur within the Corsicana Formation, correlating with the vascular tissue facies and low values of δ^{13} C. Brinkhuis and Biffi (1993) have related low values of δ^{13} C with paleoproductivity. This would indicate an increase in primary productivity the within interval from -14 m to -6 m. Two high P/G ratios also occur within the Kincaid Formation. Although there are no isotope analyses available for these Kincaid Formation strata, the P/G ratio may indicate high paleoproductivity (Figure 15).

Paleotemperature determinations for the Brazos River section are made using TEX₈₆ and δ^{18} O analyses. Both measures of paleotemperature indicate a cooling trend from early Maastrichtian to the K-Pg boundary in the Brazos River section. Sea surface temperatures (SST) based on TEX₈₆ indicates a 3°C decline within the late Maastrichtian. δ^{18} O paleotemperatures based upon the benthic foraminifer *Lenticulina* spp. (assuming constant seawater δ^{18} O of -1‰) suggests a 13°C decline from the early Maastrichtian to the K-Pg boundary (Figure 15). The warm temperatures for Interval 1 are likely an artifact of low seawater δ^{18} O values due to freshwater influence, as indicated by the M/T ratios. In contrast, M/T ratios for Interval 2 indicate a greater marine influence. Thus, seawater δ^{18} O was likely close to -1‰ and temperatures were likely close to the isotopic temperatures, about 18-20°C.

Although TEX₈₆ indicates a cooling trend of only 3°C, these values are for the higher part of the water column. Paleodepth determinations based on benthic foraminifera indicate water depths of 75-100 m for the Corsicana Formation, consistent with the waters being cooler than surface waters. The δ^{18} O values within Interval 2 are internally consistent within this interval and suggest stability of bottom waters during deposition of Interval 2 of the Corsicana Formation.



Figure 15. Correlation of dinoflagellate/spore-pollen ratio, the P/G ratio and TEX86 and BIT Index organic and $\delta^{18}O$ (corrected) and $\delta^{13}C$ stable isotope geochemistry.

A measure of the riverine water influence to marine water of the shelf is determined using the BIT Index (Branched and Isoprenoid Tetraether index). The BIT Index has low values (0.02-0.04) at the base and the top of the Corsicana Formation and slightly higher values (0.09) in the middle of the formation. BIT is an index based on relative abundances of terrestrially derived tetraether lipids versus crearchaeol, the membrane lipid of non-thermophilic crenarchaeota and is used to describe relative amount of fluvial input to marine environments (Hopmans et al., 2004). It varies between 0.00 and 1.00, and terrestrial organic matter such as soil and riverbed sediments show high values (>0.9), while marine organic matter has low values (0.02-0.83). Thus the BIT Index decreases seaward from the shoreline (Kim et al., 2006). The BIT index has highest values within the interval containing high M/T ratios and acme peaks of *Glaphyrocysta* spp., *Cribroperidinium* spp., *Spiniferites-Achomosphaera-Hafniasphaera* species complex, and *Cordosphaeridium-Exochosphaeridium*. This indicates a higher terrestrial influence within water masses interpreted more marine, within the middle of the Corsicana Formation. Hopmans et al. (2004) reported increases in BIT Index values in localities within the North Sea coincident with decreases in salinity. In the Brazos River section, acme peaks of dinoflagellate cysts occur within the interval where BIT index values are high (Figure 15). Hypothetically, this might indicate decrease in salinity in an open marine environment caused by greater riverine input. However, more future work needs to be done to improve the interpretation.

7. SYSTEMATIC PALYNOLOGY

In this section 96 dinoflagellate cyst taxa, two acritarchs, and two angiosperm pollen taxa are listed. Other terrestrial palynomorphs are only grouped as either "pollen/spores" or "gymnosperm pollen".

Phytoplankton cyst taxa are identified down to species level when possible. Only unknown species and "cf" affinities of dinoflagellates are described or discussed, along with their measurements, stratigraphic occurrences and previously reported occurrences.

. New taxa are treated informally in this study, since a Master's thesis is not considered as a valid publication by the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Mcneill et al., 2012).

The suprageneric classification of dinoflagellate cysts follows the informal categories of Wall and Dale (1968) and Eaton (1980):

- 1- Dinogymnioid group: This group of dinoflagellate cysts is similar to modern genera *Gymnodinium*. They are biconical, one layered and do not show paratabulation, except for having an apical aperture. A paracingulum and parasulcus are usually evident, along with two flagellar scars in the central parasulcul region.
- 2- Gonyaulacoid group: This group has a similar tabulation with the modern genus *Gonyaulax*, comprising four apical, six precingular, six cingular, six postcingular and one antapical paraplates (4',6'', 6c, 5''',

1p, 1^{''''}). They have apical, precingular and combination apicalprecingular archeopyles.

- 3- Peridinioid group: This group has similar tabulation with the modern genus *Peridinium*, comprising four apical, three apical intercalary, seven precingular, six cingular, five postcingular and two antapical paraplates (4', 3a, 7'', 6c, 5''', 2''''). They have different combinations of apical and intercalary archeopyles.
- Unknown affinities: This group includes dinoflagellate cysts that do not fall into any of the groups above.
- 7.1. Species List
- 7.1.1 Division Dinoflagellata
- 7.1.2 Class Dinophyceae
- 7.1.3 Order *Peridiniales*
- 7.1.4 Dinogymnioid Group

Alisogymnium euclaense (Cookson and Eisenack, 1970) Lentin and

Vozzhennikova (1990)

Alisogymnium cerviculum (Cookson and Eisenack, 1970) Lentin and

Vozzhennikova (1990)

Dinogymnium acuminatum (Evitt et al., 1967)

Dinogymnium cretaceum (Deflandre, 1936) Evitt et al. (1967)

Dinogymnium digchitus (Deflandre, 1936) Evitt et al. (1967)

Dinogymnium longicorne (Vozzhennikova, 1967) (Harland, 1973) emend. Lentin and Vozzhennikova, 1990

Dinogymnium nelsonense (Cookson, 1956) Evitt et al., 1967

Dinogymnium sibiricum (Cookson, 1956) Evitt et al., 1967

Dinogymnium sp.1

Dinogymnium sp.2

Yolkinigymnium expansum (Firth, 1993)

Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum (May, 1977) Lentin and Vozzhennikova (1990)

7.1.5 Gonyaulacoid Group

Achilleodinium sp.1

Achomosphaera ramulifera (Deflandre, 1937b) Evitt (1963)

Apteodinium fallax

Apteodinium cf. A. granulatum (Eisenack, 1958) emend.Sarjeant (1985); Lucas-

Clark (1987)

Batiacasphaera reticulata (Davey, 1969a) Davey (1979)

Cannosphaeropsis sp.1

Carpatella cornuta (Grigorovich, 1969) emend. Fechner and Mohr (1986);

Damassa (1988)

Cerbia tabulata (Davey and Verdier, 1974) Below (1981)

Conosphaeridium striatoconum (Deflandre and Cookson, 1955) Cookson and

Eisenack (1969)

Cordospharedium fibrospinosum (Davey and Williams, 1966b) emend. Davey (1969b)

Cordosphaeridium? gracilis (Eisenack, 1954b) Davey and Williams, 1966b

Cordosphaeridium inodes (Klumpp, 1953) Eisenack (1963) emend. Morgenroth

(1968); Sarjeant (1981); Lentin and Williams (1985)

Coronifera cf. *C. oceanica* (Cookson and Eisenack, 1958) emend. May (1980) *Cribroperidinium giuseppei* (Morgenroth, 1966) Helenes (1984) *Cribroperidinium wetzelii* (Lejeune-Carpentier, 1939) Helenes (1984) *Circulodinium distinctum* (Deflandre and Cookson, 1955) Jansonius (1986) *Cyclonephelium compactum* (Davey and Verdier, 1974) *Damassadinium californicum* (Drugg, 1967) Fensome et al. (1993b) *Damassadinium fibrosum* (Hultberg, 1985) Fensome et al. (1993)
Dinoflagellate sp.1

Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis (Wetzel, 1933b) emend. Sarjeant (1981) *Eisenackia crassitabulata* (Deflandre and Cookson, 1955) emend. McLean

(1973)

Exochosphaeridium bifidum (Clark and Verdier, 1967) Clarke et al. (1968) emend. Davey (1969b)

Florentinia perforata (Firth, 1993)
Glaphyrocysta cf. G. reticulosa (Gerlach, 1961) Stover and Evitt (1978)
Glaphyrocysta retiintexta (Cookson, 1965) Stover and Evitt (1978)
Glaphyrocysta expansa (Corradini, 1973) Roncaglia and Corradini (1997)

Glaphyrocysta perforata (Hultberg and Malmgren, 1985)

Hafniasphaera septata (Cookson and Eisenack, 1967b) Hansen (1977)

Hystrichosphaeridium tubiferum (Ehrenberg, 1838) Deflandre, 1937b emend.

Davey and Williams (1966b)

Impagidinium cristatum (May, 1980) Lentin and Williams (1981)

Impagidinium multiplex (Wall and Dale, 1968a) Lentin and Williams (1981)

Impagidinium cf. I. patulum (Wall, 1967) Stover and Evitt (1978)

Operculodinium centrocarpum (Deflandre and Cookson, 1955) Wall (1967)

Oligosphaeridium complex (White, 1842) Davey and Williams (1966b)

Operculodinium radiculatum (Smith, 1992)

Palynodinium grallator (Gocht, 1970a)

Palynodinium sp.1

Pterodinium cingulatum (Wetzel, 1933b) Below, 1981a

Rottnesia cf. R. granulata (Hultberg, 1985)

Spiniferites membranaceous (Rossignol, 1964) Sarjeant (1970)

Spiniferites ramosus (Ehrenberg, 1838) Mantell (1854)

Spiniferites ramosus subsp. reticulatus (Davey and Williams, 1966a) Lentin and

Williams (1973)

Spongodinium delitiense (Ehrenberg, 1838) Deflandre, 1936b emend. Lucas-

Clark, 1987

Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum (Davey and Williams, 1966b) *Tectatodonium rugulatum* (Hansen, 1977) McMinn (1988) *Thalassiphora pelagica* (Eisenack, 1954) Eisenack and Gocht (1960) emend. Benedek and Gocht (1981)

Xenascus ceratiodes (Deflandre, 1937) Lentin and Williams (1973)Ynezidinium pentahedrias (Damassa, 1979) Lucas-Clark and Helenes (2000)

7.1.6 *Peridinioid* Group

Alterbidinium acutulum (Wilson, 1967a) Lentin and Williams (1985) emend. Khowaja-Ateequzzaman and Jain (1991)

Alterbidinium cf. A. circulum (Heilmann-Clausen, 1985) Lentin and Williams (1989)

Alterbidinium cf. A. distinctum (Wilson, 1967b) Lentin and Williams (1985)
Andalusiella gabonensis (Stover and Evitt, 1978) Wrenn and Hart (1988)
Cerodinium diebelii (Alberti, 1959) Lentin and Williams (1987)
Cerodinium diebelii subsp. rigidum (May, 1980) Lentin and Williams (1987)
Cerodinium leptodermum (Vozzhennikova, 1963) Lentin and Williams (1987)
Cerodinium pannuceum (Stanley, 1965) Lentin and Williams (1987)
Cerodinium speciosum (Alberti, 1959) Lentin and Williams (1987)
Chatangiella ditissima (McIntyre, 1975) Lentin and Williams (1976)
Chatangiella granulifera (Manum, 1963) Lentin and Williams (1976)
Chatangiella micrachanta (Cookson and Eisenack, 1960) Lentin and Williams

Deflandrea galeata (Lejeune-Carpentier, 1946); Lentin and Williams (1973)

emend. Lejeune-Carpentier and Sarjeant (1981)

Diconodinium psilatum (Morgan, 1977)

Isabelidinium bakeri (Deflandre and Cookson, 1955) Lentin and Williams (1977)

Isabelidinium cooksoniae (Alberti, 1959) Lentin and Williams (1977)

Lejeunecysta sp.1

Magallanesium sp. cf. M. essoi (Cookson and Eisenack, 1967a) Quattrocchio and Sarjeant (2003)

Magallanesium densispinatum (Stanley, 1965) Quattrocchio and Sarjeant (2003)

Manumiella cretacea (Cookson, 1956) Bujak and Davies (1983)

Manumiella druggii (Stover, 1974) Bujak and Davies (1983)

Manumiella seymourensis (Askin, 1999)

Paleocystodinium australinum (Cookson and Eisenack, 1965) Lentin and

Williams (1976) emend. Malloy (1972)

Paleocystodinium golzowense (Alberti, 1961)

Phelodinium africanum (Biffi and Grignani, 1983)

Phelodinium magnificum (Stanley, 1965) Stover and Evitt (1978)

Pierceites pentagonus (May, 1980) Habib and Drugg (1987)

Senegalinium bicavatum (Jain and Millepied, 1973)

Senegalinium laevigatum (Malloy, 1972) Bujak and Davies (1983)

Senegalinium microgranulatum (Stanley, 1965) Stover and Evitt (1978)

Senegalinium obscurum (Drugg, 1967) Stover and Evitt (1978)

Senegalinium pallidum (Lucas-Clark, 2006) Senegalinium simplex (Lucas-Clark, 2006) Senegalinium sp.1 Spinidinium ornatum (May, 1980) Trithyrodinium evittii (Drugg, 1967)

7.1.7 Acritarch

Fromea fragilis (Cookson and Eisenack, 1962)

Acritarch sp.1

7.1.8 Angiosperm Pollen

Aquilapollenites (Rouse, 1957) Funkhouser (1961)

Wodehouseia spinata (Stanley, 1961)

7.2 Systematics

- 7.2.1 Division Dinoflagellata
- 7.2.2 Class Dinophyceae
- 7.2.3 Order Peridiniales
- 7.2.4 Dinogymnioid Group
- 7.2.4.1 Genus Dinogymnium (Evitt et al., 1967)
- 7.2.4.1.1 *Dinogymnium sp. 1* (Plate 1, Figure 1)

Dimensions: Body width: 34.0 µm, length: 56.7 µm, epitheca: 35.9 um,

hypotheca: 28.3 um.

Description: Cyst elongated, epicyst and hypocyst are of the same size. Epitheca is conical with a rounded apex, and has longitudinal ridges. Hypotheca is conical, slightly rounded at the antapex, and lacks longitudinal ridges. Paracingulum laevorotatory displaced about one cingulum width. Archeopyle is apical.

Comparison: This specimen is similar to *Dinogymnium acuminatum*, in having an elongated shape with narrow apex and antapex, but differs in lacking longitudinal ridges on the hypotheca. Also, the antapex is narrower than *D. acuminatum* and forms slight "shoulders" close to the tip. This specimen is also very similar to *Dinogymnium aerlicum*, but *D. aerlicum* has a pointed tip on the antapex.

7.2.4.1.2 *Dinogymnium* sp. 2 (Plate 1, Figure m)

Dimensions: Body height: 40.2 μm, Cyst body width: 34.1 μm. Cingulum width: 5.7 um. Total specimens measured:1

Description: Small, rounded dinogymnioid cyst. Epicyst and hypocyst are about the same size. Both epitheca and hypotheca are broadly rounded and they are separated by a wide paracingulum. Archeopyle apical. Autophragm granular on both epitheca and hypotheca, as well as on paracingulum. Longitudinal ridges on autophragm very distinct.

Comparison: This species resembles *Dinogymnium cretaceum*, but differs by having more elongated and pronounced longitudinal ridges.

Previously reported occurrences:

Firth (1987): Maastrichtian of Georgia (As Dinogymnium sp.C)

7.2.5 Gonyaulacoid Group

7.2.5.1 Genus Achilleodinium (Eaton, 1976)

7.2.5.1.1 Achilleodinium sp.1 (Plate 2, Figure b-c)

Dimensions: Body width: 58.4 µm, length: 47.8 µm. Total number of specimens measured: 1.

Description: Subspherical, skolochorate gonyaulacoid cyst. Processes are penitabular, wide, short and closed. Periphragm lightly granulate. Archeopyle precingular (?).

7.2.5.2 Genus Apteodinium (Eisenack, 1958)

7.2.5.2.1 Apteodinium cf. A. granulatum (Plate 2, Figure e-h) (Eisenack, 1958)

Dimensions: Body length: 59.0-64.0 μ m (mean: 62.4 μ m, std dev. 2.2 μ m, n=3), cyst body width: 59.0-64.0 μ m (mean: 62.7 μ m, std. dev. 3.1 μ m, n=3), apical horn length: 6.0-8.0 μ m (mean: 6.9 μ m, std. dev. 1.1, n=2). Total number of specimens measured: 3. Autophragm thickness: 3.8 um.

Description: Acavate, subspherical, gonyaulacoid cyst with short pointed apical horn. Autophragm thick (> 3μ m), and densely granulated. No indication of paratabulation. However, lightly smooth areas on the cyst wall present. Archeopyle is precingular, rounded, operculum free.

Discussion: These specimens, overall bear all the descriptive features of *Apteodinium granulatum*, which was described from Aptian sediments by Eisenack (1958). The youngest occurrence for this species was reported from Santonian sediments

by Scott et al. (2012). Previously reported occurrences of *A. granulatum* are older than Maastrichtian, and for this reason these specimens are referred to as *A.* cf. *A. granulatum*.

7.2.5.3 Genus *Cannosphaeropsis* (Wetzel, 1933)

7.2.5.3.1 Cannosphaeropsis sp. 1 (Plate 2, Figure 1; Plate 3, Figure a-b)

Dimensions: Body width: $32.0-50.0 \ \mu m$ (mean: $40.9 \ \mu m$, std dev. $11.8 \ \mu m$, n=2), body length: $37.0-54.0 \ \mu m$ (mean: $45.1 \ \mu m$, std dev. $11.3 \ \mu m$, n=3), processes: $15.0-18.0 \ \mu m$. Total number of specimens measured: 2. Cyst wall thickness: $0.4 \ um$.

Description: Chorate, subspherical, gonyaulacoid cyst bearing gonal and intergonal processes, connecting with trabeculae immediately below the trifurcate ends. Autophragm is thin (< 1 μ m) and microreticulate. Processes are mostly trifurcate, occasionally bifurcate. Processes and trabeculae are vesicular. Trabeculae is forming between processes is perforated. Archeopyle is precingular.

Comparison: These species resemble *Cannosphaeropsis franciscana* and *Cannosphaeropsis utinensis*. *Cannosphaeropsis franciscana* is distinguished by having a smooth autophragm, incomplete development of paratabulation as indicated by the trabeculae and by the presence of an apical projection. However, my species lacks of an apical projection, and have a microreticulate autophragm as well as vesicular processes and trabeculae. *Cannosphaeropsis utinensis* is also different than my species by lacking microreticulate and vesicular structures.

Previously reported occurences:

Evitt (1973): Maastrichtian of Texas (As Cannosphaeropsis cf.aff. C.utinensis)

7.2.5.4 Genus Coronifera (Cookson and Eisenack, 1958)

7.2.5.4.1 *Coronifera cf. C. oceanica* (Plate 2, Figure i-j) (Cookson and Eisenack, 1958) emend. May (1980)

Dimensions: Body width: 48.5 μm, length: 57.6 μm. Apical processes: 15.2 μm, antapical process: 18.2 μm. Total specimens measured: 1.

Description: Chorate, spherical, gonyalucoid cyst bearing nontabular processes. Processes are smooth, conical. Endophragm is smooth, periphragm forms the processes. Archeopyle type is not clear.

Discussion: This specimen is similar to the emended description of *Coronifera oceanica* reported in May (1980), by having a spherical body shape and smooth, conical, non-tabular processes. However, the apical nipple and denticulate large antapical process margin are not observed.

7.2.5.5 Genus Impagidinium (Stover and Evitt, 1978)

7.2.5.5.1 *Impagidinium cf. I. patulum* (Plate 5, Figure e) (Wall, 1967) Stover and Evitt (1978)

Dimensions: Body width: 62.3 μm, length: 68.0 μm. Total specimens measured: 1. Description: Proximate, circular, gonyaulacoid cyst bearing parasutural ridges with low septa. Periphragm lightly granulate. My specimens are poorly preserved and it is hard to discern their exact paratabulation.

7.2.5.6 Genus Palynodinium (Gocht, 1970)

7.2.5.6.1 *Palynodinium* sp. 1 (Plate 6, Figure g)

Dimesions: Body width: 100.1 µm, length: 71.3 µm. Pericoel with processes:

17.1 µm. Total number of specimens measured: 1

Description: Skolochorate, dorsoventrally compressed gonyaulacoid cyst with lenticular shape. Endophragm and periphragm thin (<1 μ m). Two small pericoels developed on left and right sides of ventral surface. Furcated processes eminate from the surface of the pericoels. Processes length is varied. Endophragm smooth and periphragm lightly granular. Archeopyle apical, operculum free.

Discussion: This species closely resembles *Palynodinium grallator* (Gocht, 1970), but differs by lacking parasutural features, as well as smaller less- developed pericoels. This species is also smaller in size than *P. grallator*.

7.2.5.7 Genus Rottnestia (Cookson and Eisenack, 1961)

7.2.5.7.1 Rottnestia cf. R. granulata (Plate 6, Figure h) (Hultberg, 1985)

Dimensions: Body width: 26.5 µm, length: 39.7 µm. Total specimens measured:

1.

Description: Bicavate, elongated, gonyaulacoid cyst. Periphragm lightly granular. Apical process elongate, with bifurcate tip. Periphragm forms an antapical pericoel that emanates from the gonal sutures. Archeopyle precingular. Paracingulum is represented by two longitudinal ridges. Small processes occur at gonal points of paracingular paraplates.

Discussion: This specimen is similar to *Rottnestia granulata* reported in Hultberg (1985), but differs by having a longer apical process and shorter paracingular processes.

7.2.5.8 Dinoflagellate sp. 1 (Plate 4, Figure f)

Dimensions: Body width: 44.0 μm, length: 49.2 μm. Total specimens measured: 1.

Description: Proximochorate, ovoidal, gonyaulacoid cyst. Periphragm granular. Apical archeopyle. Parasutural ridges present. Processes are short, wide, tubular. Paracingulum is not evident, except for short paracingular processes.

7.2.6 *Peridinioid* Group

7.2.6.1 Genus *Lejeunecysta* (Artzner and Dörhöfer, 1978)

7.2.6.1.1 *Lejeunecysta* sp. 1 (Plate 10, Figure g)

Dimensions: Body width: 107.6 μ m, length: 119.8 μ m. Total specimens measured: 1.

Description: Proximate, single walled, pentagonal shaped peridinioid cyst. Apex is sub-triangular with truncated apical horn. Two antapical horns well developed, slightly rounded end. Paracingulum is defined by two parallel lines that which have small regularly spaced spines. Autophragm is folded, lightly granulate. Granulate pattern is denser close to the paracingulum. Archeopyle is intercalary.

Discussion: This specimen is similar to *Lejeunecysta cinctoria* (Bujak et al., 1980). It differs by not having pronounced spines on the dorsal part of the paracingulu, and lacks spines on apex and antapex. Also, this specimen is larger than *L. cinctoria*.

7.2.6.2 Genus Senegalinium (Jain and Millepied, 1973)

7.2.6.2.1 Senegalinium sp. 1 (Plate 12, Figure i-j)

Dimensions: Body width: 37.9 μ m, length: 40.2 μ m. Total number of specimens measured: 1

Description: Proximate, elongated peridinioid cyst. Epitheca is subtriangular. Apex is slightly rounded. Antapex forms two (?) antapical horns. Archeopyle is intercalary. Paracingulum faintly present. Periphragm granulate.

Discussion: This specimen is similar to *Senegalinium microgranulatum*, but it differs by having shorter apical and antapical horns, and the periphragm is more densely granular than *S. microgranulatum*.
8. CONCLUSIONS

Palynological study of the Maastrichtian Neylandville and Corsicana Formation, and the Danian Kincaid Formation revealed abundant species of dinoflagellates and terrestrial palynomorphs. Stratigraphically important dinoflagellate species datums divide the section into three intervals. Accordingly, the Neylandville Formation is early Maastrichtian age, the Corsicana Formation is of late Maastrichtian age and the Kincaid Formation is of Danian age.

Relative abundance of dinoflagellate cysts correlating with palynofacies indicate two intervals of terrestrial influence within the Maastrichtian, within the Neylandville Formation and the middle of the Corsicana Formation. Marine influence is dominant at the base and the top of the Corsicana Formation. Acme peaks of *Exochosphaeridium* spp., *Glaphyrocysta* spp. and *Spiniferites* spp. in the Brazos River section correlates well with abundance peaks of these species in the Austin section, indicating regional peak abundances of the species.

Cooling in sea surface temperatures occurred from the early Maastrichtian to the K-Pg boundary in the Brazos River section. Low M/T ratios correlate well with high P/G ratios indicating increases in paleoproductivity in the Corsicana and Kincaid formations.

REFERENCES

- Abramovich, S., Keller, G., Berner, Z., Cymbalista, M., and Rak, C., 2011,
 - Maastrichtian Planktic Foraminiferal Biostratigraphy and Paleoenviroment of Brazos River, Falls County, Texas, USA, *in* Keller, G., and Adatte, T., eds., The End-Cretaceous Mass Extinction and the Chicxulub Impact in Texas, Volume 110, SEPM Special Publications, p. 123-156.
- Alberti, G., 1959, Zur Kenntnis der Gattung Deflandrea Eisenack (Dinoflag.) in der Kreide und im Alttertiär Nord-und Mitteldeutschlands: Mitteilungen aus dem Geologischen Staatsinstitut in Hamburg, v. 28, no. 9, p. 3-105.
- Alberti, G., 1961, Zur Kenntnis Mesozoischer und Alttertiärer Dinoflagellaten und Hystrichosphaerideen von Nord-und Mitteldeutschland Sowie Einigen Anderen Europäischen Gebieten: Palaeontographica Abteilung A, v. 116, no. 1-4, p. 1-58.
- Artzner, D. G., and Dörhöfer, G., 1978, Taxonomic note: *Lejeunecysta* nom. nov. pro.
 Lejeunia Gerlach 1961 emend. Lentin and Williams 1976-Dinoflagellate Cyst
 Genus: Canadian Journal of Botany, v. 56, no. 11, p. 1381-1382.
- Askin, R. A., 1999, *Manumiella seymourensis* New Species, a Stratigraphically Significant Dinoflagellate Cyst from the Maastrichtian of Seymour Island, Antarctica: Journal of Paleontology, v. 73, no. 3, p. 373-379.
- Atta-Peters, D., and Salami, M. B., 2004, Campanian to Paleocene Dinoflagellate Cyst
 Biostratigraphy from Offshore Sediments in the Tano Basin, Southwestern
 Ghana: Revista Española de Micropaleontología, v. 36, no. 2, p. 305-321.

- Aurisano, R. W., 1989, Upper Cretaceous Dinoflagellate Biostratigraphy of the Subsurface Atlantic Coastal Plain of New Jersey and Delaware, U.S.A: Palynology, v. 13, p. 143-179.
- Below, R., 1981, Dinoflagellaten-Zysten aus dem oberen Hauterive bis Unteren Cenoman Süd-West-Marokkos: Palaeontographica Abteilung B, v. 176, p. 1-145.
- Benedek, P. N., and Gocht, H., 1981, *Thalassiphora pelagica* (Dinoflagellata, Tertiär):
 Electronenmikroskopische Untersuchung und Gedanken zur Paläobiologie:
 Palaeontographica Abteilung B, v. 180, p. 39-64.
- Benninghoff, W. S., 1962, Calculation of Pollen and Spore Density in Sediments by Addition of Exotic Pollen in Known Quantities: Pollen et Spores, v. 4, no. 2, p. 332-333.
- Benson, D. G., 1976, Dinoflagellate Taxonomy and Biostratigraphy at the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary, Round Bay, Maryland: Tulane Studies in Geology and Paleontology, v. 12, no. 4, p. 169-233.
- Berggren, W. A., and Pearson, P. N., 2005, A Revised Tropical to Subtropical Paleogene Planktonic Foraminiferal Zonation: The Journal of Foraminiferal Research, v. 35, no. 4, p. 279-298.
- Biffi, U., and Grignani, D., 1983, Peridinioid Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Oligocene of the Niger Delta, Nigeria: Micropaleontology, v. 29, no. 2, p. 126-145.
- Blakey, R., 2011, North American Paleogeography, *in* 02/20/2013, ed., <u>http://cpgeosystems.com/globaltext2.html</u>.

- Bornemann, A., Norris, R. D., Friedrich, O., Beckmann, B., Schouten, S., Damsté, J. S.
 S., Vogel, J., Hofmann, P., and Wagner, T., 2008, Isotopic Evidence for
 Glaciation During the Cretaceous Supergreenhouse: Science, v. 319, no. 5860, p.
 189-192.
- Brinkhuis, H., 1994, Late Eocene to Early Oligocene Dinoflagellate Cysts from the
 Priabonian Type-Area (Northeast Italy): Biostratigraphy and Paleoenvironmental
 Interpretation: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 107, no.
 1–2, p. 121-163.
- Brinkhuis, H., and Biffi, U., 1993, Dinoflagellate Cyst Stratigraphy of the
 Eocene/Oligocene Transition in Central Italy: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 22,
 no. 1–2, p. 131-183.
- Brinkhuis, H., and Leereveld, H., 1988, Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Cretaceous/Tertiary Boundary Sequence of El Kef, Northwest Tunisia: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 56, no. 1–2, p. 5-19.
- Brinkhuis, H., and Zachariasse, W. J., 1988, Dinoflagellate Cysts, Sea Level Changes and Planktonic Foraminifers Across the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary at El Haria, Northwest Tunisia: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 13, no. 2, p. 153-191.
- Bujak, J. P., and Davies, E. H., 1983, Modern and Fossil *Peridiniineae*, Dallas, TX,
 American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, American
 Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contribution Series, 203 p.

- Bujak, J. P., Downie, C., Eaton, G. L., and Williams, G. L., 1980, Dinoflagellate Cysts and Acritarchs from the Eocene of Southern England, London, UK, The Palaeontological Association, Special Papers in Paleontology, v. 24, 100 p.
- Chimene, J. B., and Maddocks, R. F., 1984, Ostracode Biostratigraphy and Paleoecology of the Upper Taylor Group (Campanian, Upper Cretaceous) in Central Texas:Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Transactions, v. 34, p. 311-320.
- Clark, D. L., and Verdier, J. P., 1967, An Investigation of Microplankton Assemblages from the Chalk of the Isle of Wight, England: Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Afdeeling Natuurkunde, Eerste Reeks, v. 24, no. 3, p. 1-96.
- Clarke, R. F. A., Davey, R. J., Sarjeant, W. A. S., and Verdier, J. P., 1968, A Note on the Nomenclature of Some Upper Cretaceous and Eocene Dinoflagellate Taxa: Taxon, v. 17, no. 2, p. 181-183.
- Cookson, I., 1956, Additional Microplankton from Australian Late Mesozoic and Tertiary Sediments: Marine and Freshwater Research, v. 7, no. 1, p. 183-191.
- Cookson, I. C., 1965, Cretaceous and Tertiary Microplankton from Southeastern Australia: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 78, no. 1, p. 85-93.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1958, Microplankton from Australian and New Guinea Upper Mesozoic Sediments: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 70, no. 1, p. 19-79.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1960, Microplankton from Australian Cretaceous Sediments: Micropaleontology, v. 6, no. 1, p. 1-18.

- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1961, Tertiary Microplankton from the Rottnest Island
 Bore, Western Australia: Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia, v.
 44, no. 2, p. 39-47.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1962, Additional Microplankton from Australian Cretaceous Sediments: Micropaleontology, v. 8, no. 4, p. 485-497.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1965, Microplankton from the Paleocene Pebble Point Formation, Southwestern Victoria: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 78, p. 137-141.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1967a, Some Early Tertiary Microplankton and Pollen Grains from a Deposit Near Strahan, Western Tasmania: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 80, no. 1, p. 131-140.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1967b, Some Microplankton from the Paleocene
 Rivernook Bed, Victoria: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 80, no.
 2, p. 247-257.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1969, Some Microplankton from Two Bores at Balcatta, Western Australia: Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia, v. 52, p. 3-8.
- Cookson, I. C., and Eisenack, A., 1970, Cretaceous Microplankton from the Eucla Basin, Western Australia: Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, v. 83, no. 2, p. 137-157.

- Corradini, D., 1973, Non-Calcareous Microplankton from the Upper Cretaceous of the Northern Apennines: Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana, v. 11, p. 119-197.
- Dale, B., 1996, Dinoflagellate Cyst Ecology: Modeling and Geological Applications, *in*Jansonius, J., and McGregor, D. C., eds., Palynology: Principles and
 Applications, Volume 3: Salt Lake City, UT, American Association of
 Stratigraphic Palynologists, p. 1249-1275.
- Damassa, S. P., 1979, Danian Dinoflagellates from the Franciscan Complex, Mendocino County, California: Palynology, v. 3, no. 1, p. 191-207.
- Damassa, S.P., 1988, *Carpatella cornuta* Grigorovich 1969 (Dinophyceae): A Member of the Aptiana-Ventriosum Complex: Palynology, v. 12, no. 1, p. 167-177.
- Davey, R. J., 1969a, Some Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Upper Cretaceous of Northern Natal, South Africa: Palaeontologia Africana, v. 12, p. 1-23.
- Davey, R. J., 1969b, The Evolution of Certain Upper Cretaceous Hystrichospheres from South Africa: Palaeontologia Africana, v. 12, p. 25-51.
- Davey, R. J., 1979, A Re-Appraisal of the Genus Chytroeisphaeridia (Sarjeant 1962): Palynology, v. 3, p. 209-218.
- Davey, R. J., and Verdier, J. P., 1974, Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Aptian Type Sections at Gargas and La Bédoule, France: Palaeontology, v. 17, no. 3, p. 623-653.
- Davey, R. J., and Williams, G. L., 1966a, The Genera Hystrichosphaera and Achomosphaera, *in* Davey, R. J., Downie, C., Sarjeant, W. A. S., and Williams,

G. L., eds., Studies on Mesozoic and Cainozoic dinoflagellate cysts, Volume 3, Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Geology, p. 28-52.

- Davey, R. J., and Williams, G. L., 1966b, The Genus Hystrichosphaeridium and Its
 Allies, *in* Davey, R. J., Downie, C., Sarjeant, W. A. S., and Williams, G. L., eds.,
 Studies on Mesozoic and Cainozoic dinoflagellate cysts, Volume 3: London, UK,
 Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Geology, p. 53-106.
- Davidoff, A. J., and Yancey, T. E., 1993, Eustatic Cyclicity in the Paleocene and
 Eocene: Data from the Brazos River Valley, Texas: Tectonophysics, v. 222, no.
 3–4, p. 371-395.
- De Vernal, A., and Marret, F., 2007, Organic-Walled Dinoflagellate Cysts: Tracers of Sea-Surface Conditions, *in* Claude, H. M., and De Vernal, A., eds.,
 Developments in Marine Geology, Volume 1, Elsevier, p. 371-408.
- Deflandre, G., 1936, Microfossiles des Silex Crétacés, Première Partie: Généralités, Flagellés: Annales de Paléontologie, v. 25, p. 151-191.
- Deflandre, G., 1937, Microfossiles des Silex Crétacés, Deuxième Partie: Flagellés Incertae Sedis, Hystrichosphaeridés, Sarcodinés, Organismes Divers: Annales de Paléontologie, v. 26, p. 51-103.
- Deflandre, G., and Cookson, I. C., 1955, Fossil Microplankton from Australian Late Mesozoic and Tertiary sediments: Marine and Freshwater Research, v. 6, no. 2, p. 242-314.

- Downie, C., Hussain, M. A., and Williams, G. L., 1971, Dinoflagellate Cyst and Acritarch Associations in the Paleogene of Southeast England: Geoscience and Man, v. 3, no. 1, p. 29-35.
- Drugg, W. S., 1967, Palynology of the Upper Moreno Formation (Late Cretaceous-Paleocene) Escarpado Canyon, California: Palaeontographica Abteilung B, v. 120, no. 1-4, p. 1-71.
- Eaton, G. L., 1976, Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Bracklesham Beds (Eocene) of the Isle of Wight, Southern England: Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Geology, v. 26, p. 227-332.
- Eaton, G. L., 1980, Nomenclature and Homology in Peridinialean Dinoflagellate Plate Patterns: Palaeontology, v. 23, no. 3, p. 667-688.
- Ehrenberg, C. G., 1838, Über das Massenverhältniss der jetzt lebenden Kiesel-Infusorien und über ein neues Infusorien-Conglomerat als Polierschiefer von Jastraba in Ungarn: Königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Abhandlungen, v. 1, p. 109-135.
- Eisenack, A., 1954, Mikrofossilien aus Phosphoriten des Samländischen Unteroligozäns und über die Einheitlichkeit der Hystrichosphaerideen: Palaeontographica Abteilung A, v. 105, no. 3-6, p. 49-95.
- Eisenack, A., 1958, Mikroplankton aus dem Norddeutschen Apt Nebst Einigen Bemerkungen über Fossile Dinoflagellaten: Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen, v. 106, no. 3, p. 383-422.

Eisenack, A., 1963, Cordosphaeridium n.g., ex Hystrichosphaeridium, Hystrichosphaeridea: Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen, v. 118, p. 260-265.

- Eisenack, A., and Gocht, H., 1960, Neue Namen für einige Hystrichosphären der Bernsteinformation Ostpreussens: Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte, v. 11, p. 511-518.
- Epstein, S., and Mayeda, T., 1953, Variation of O-18 Content of Waters from Natural Sources: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 47, no. 6, p. 1025-1031.
- Evitt, W. R., 1963, A Discussion and Proposals Concerning Fossil Dinoflagellates,Hystrichospheres, and Acritarchs: Proceedings of the National Academy ofSciences of the United States of America, v. 49, no. 2, p. 158-164.
- Evitt, W. R., 1973, Maestrichtian Aquilapollenites in Texas, Maryland, and New Jersey: Geoscience and Man, v. 7, p. 31-38.
- Evitt, W. R., Clarke, R. F. A., and Verdier, J.-P., 1967, Dinoflagellate Studies III. *Dinogymnium acuminatum* n. gen., n.sp. (Maastrichtian) and Other Fossils
 Formerly Referable to *Gymnodinium* Stein: Stanford University Publications,
 University Series, Geological Sciences, v. 10, no. 4, p. 1-27.
- Fechner, G. G., and Mohr, B., 1986, Zur tertiären Dinoflagellaten-Gattung Carpatella Grigorovich 1969 (Gonyaulacystaceae): Paläontologische Zeitschrift, v. 60, p. 181-188.

- Fensome, R. A., Taylor, F. J. R., Norris, G., Sarjeant, W. A. S., Wharton, D. I., and Williams, G. L., 1993, A Classification of Fossil and Living Dinoflagellates, Micropaleontology Press Special Paper, v. 7, 351 p.
- Firth, J. V., 1987, Dinoflagellate Biostratigraphy of the Maastrichtian to Danian Interval in the U.S. Geological Survey Albany core, Georgia, U.S.A: Palynology, v. 11, no. 1, p. 199-216.
- Firth, J. V., 1993, Dinoflagellate Assemblages and Sea-Level Fluctuations in the Maastrichtian of Southwest Georgia: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 79, no. 3, p. 179-204.
- Funkhouser, J. W., 1961, Pollen of the Genus Aquilapollenites: Micropaleontology, v. 7, no. 2, p. 193-198.
- Galloway, W. E., 2008, Depositional Evolution of the Gulf of Mexico Sedimentary Basin, *in* Andrew, D. M., ed., Sedimentary Basins of the World, Volume 5, Elsevier, p. 505-549.
- Gerlach, E., 1961, Mikrofossilien aus dem Oligozän und Miozän Nordwestdeutschlands,
 Unter Besonderer Berücksichtigung der Hystrichosphaeren und Dinoflagellaten:
 Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen, v. 112, no. 2, p. 143-228.
- Gocht, H., 1970, Dinoflagellaten-Zysten aus einem Geschiebefeuerstein und ihr Erhaltungszustand: Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte, v. 3, p. 129-140.

- Grigorovich, A. S., 1969, Novyi Rod Carpatella (Dinoflagellyata) iz Dat-Paleotsenovykh Otlozhenii Karpat: Paleontologicheskii Sbornik, v. 6, no. 2, p. 74-76.
- Grossman, E. L., 1984, Carbon Isotopic Fractionation in Live Benthic Foraminifera-Comparison with Inorganic Precipitate Studies: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 48, no. 7, p. 1505-1512.
- Habib, D., and Drugg, W., 1987, Palynology of Sites 603 and 605, Leg 93, Deep SeaDrilling Project: Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, v. 93, no. 2, p. 751-775.
- Habib, D., and Miller, J. A., 1989, Dinoflagellate Species and Organic Facies Evidence of Marine Transgression and Regression in the Atlantic Coastal Plain:
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 74, no. 1–2, p. 23-47.
- Hansen, J. M., 1977, Dinoflagellate Stratigraphy and Echinoid Distribution in UpperMaastrichtian and Danian Deposits from Denmark.: Bulletin of the GeologicalSociety of Denmark, v. 26, p. 1-26.
- Hansen, T., Farrand, R. B., Montgomery, H. A., Billman, H. G., and Blechschmidt, G.,
 1987, Sedimentology and Extinction Patterns Across the Cretaceous-Tertiary
 Boundary Interval in East Texas: Cretaceous Research, v. 8, no. 3, p. 229-252.
- Hansen, T. A., Upshaw, B., III, Kauffman, E. G., and Gose, W., 1993, Patterns of
 Molluscan Extinction and Recovery Across the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary in
 East Texas; Report on New Outcrops: Cretaceous Research, v. 14, p. 685-706.
- Haq, B. U., Hardenbol, J., and Vail, P. R., 1987, Chronology of Fluctuating Sea Levels since the Triassic: Science, v. 235, no. 4793, p. 1156-1167.

- Harland, R., 1973, Dinoflagellate Cysts and Acritarchs from the Bearpaw Formation (Upper Campanian) of Southern Alberta, Canada: Palaeontology, v. 16, no. 4, p. 665-706.
- Hart, M. B., Yancey, T., Leighton, A. D., Miller, B., Liu, C., Smart, C. W., and Twitchett, R. J., 2012, The Cretaceous-Paleogene Boundary on the Brazos River, Texas: New Stratigraphic Sections and Revised Interpretations: Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies Journal, v. 1, p. 69-80.
- Heilmann-Clausen, C., 1985, Dinoflagellate Stratigraphy of the Uppermost Danian to Ypresian in the Viborg 1 Borehole, Central Jylland, Denmark: Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse, no. A7, p. 1-69.
- Helenes, J., 1984, Morphological Analysis of Mesozoic-Cenozoic Cribroperidinium (Dinophyceae), and Taxonomic Implications: Palynology, v. 8, no. 1, p. 107-137.
- Hill, R. T., 1901, The Geography and Geology of the Black and Grand Prairies of TexasU.S. Geological Survey 21st Annual Reports, v. 7, p. 1-666.
- Hopmans, E. C., Weijers, J. W. H., Schefuß, E., Herfort, L., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., and Schouten, S., 2004, A Novel Proxy for Terrestrial Organic Matter in Sediments Based on Branched and Isoprenoid Tetraether Lipids: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 224, no. 1, p. 107-116.
- Hultberg, S. U., 1985, *Pithonella organica*-A New Calcareous Dinoflagellate with an Inner Organic Wall: Grana, v. 24, no. 2, p. 115-120.

- Hultberg, S. U., and Malmgren, B. A., 1986, Dinoflagellate and PlanktonicForaminiferal Paleobathymetrical Indices in the Boreal Uppermost Cretaceous:Micropaleontology, v. 32, no. 4, p. 316-323.
- Jain, K., and Millepied, P., 1973, Cretaceous Microplankton from Senegal Basin, NW Africa 1. Some New Genera, Species and Combinations of Dinoflagellates: The Palaeobotanist, v. 20, no. 1, p. 22-32.
- Jansonius, J., 1986, Reexamination of Mesozoic Canadian Dinoflagellate Cysts Published by S.A.J. Pocock (1962, 1972): Palynology, v. 10, p. 201-223.
- Jiang, M. J., and Gartner, S., 1986, Calcareous Nannofossil Succession Across the Cretaceous/Tertiary Boundary in East-Central Texas: Micropaleontology, v. 32, no. 3, p. 232-255.
- Kauffman, E. G., 1969, Cretaceous Marine Cycles of the Western Interior: Mountain Geologist, v. 6, no. 4, p. 227-245.
- Kauffman, E. G., 1977, Geological and Biological Overview: Western Interior Cretaceous Basin: Mountain Geologist, v. 14, no. 3-4, p. 75-99.
- Kauffman, E. G., 1984, Paleobiogeography and Evolutionary Response Dynamic in the Cretaceous Western Interior Seaway of North America: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper, v. 27, p. 273-306.
- Keller, G., 1989, Extended Cretaceous/Tertiary Boundary Extinctions and DelayedPopulation Change in Planktonic Foraminifera from Brazos River, Texas:Paleoceanography, v. 4, no. 3, p. 287-332.

- Keller, G., Abramovich, S., Adatte, T., and Berner, Z., 2011, Biostratigraphy, Age of Chicxulub Impact, and Depositional Environment of the Brazos River KTB Sequences, *in* Keller, G., and Adatte, T., eds., The End-Cretaceous Mass Extinction and the Chicxulub Impact in Texas, Volume 100, SEPM Special Publications, p. 81-123.
- Keller, G., Adatte, T., Berner, Z., Harting, M., Baum, G., Prauss, M., Tantawy, A., and Stueben, D., 2007, Chicxulub Impact Predates K–T Boundary: New Evidence from Brazos, Texas: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 255, no. 3–4, p. 339-356.
- Kennedy, W. J., Gale, A. S., and Hansen, T. A., 2001, The last Maastrichtian Ammonites from the Brazos River Sections in Falls County, Texas: Cretaceous Research, v. 22, no. 2, p. 163-171.
- Khowaja-Ateequzzaman, G. R., and Jain, K., 1991, Some Observations on Dinoflagellate Cyst Genus Alterbidinium Lentin and Williams 1985: The Palaeobotanist, v. 39, p. 37-45.
- Kim, J. H., Schouten, S., Buscail, R., Ludwig, W., Bonnin, J., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., and Bourrin, F., 2006, Origin and Distribution of Terrestrial Organic Matter in the NW Mediterranean (Gulf of Lions): Exploring the Newly Developed BIT Index: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 7, no. 11, p. 1-20.
- Klumpp, B., 1953, Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Mikrofossilien des Mittleren und Oberen Eozän: Palaeontographica Abteilung A, v. 103, p. 377-406.

- Koch, R. C., and Olsson, R. K., 1977, Dinoflagellate and Planktonic Foraminiferal Biostratigraphy of the Uppermost Cretaceous of New Jersey: Journal of Paleontology, v. 51, no. 3, p. 480-491.
- Lejeune-Carpentier, M., 1939, L'étude Microscopique des silex. Un Nouveau Péridinien Crétacique: *Gonyaulax wetzeli*. (Septième note.): Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique, v. 62, p. B525-B529.
- Lejeune-Carpentier, M., 1946, L'étude Microscopique des silex (lière note). Espéces Nouvelles ou Douteuses de *Gonyaulax*.: Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique, v. 69, p. 187-197.
- Lejeune-Carpentier, M., and Sarjeant, W. A., 1981, Restudy of Some Larger Dinoflagellate Cysts and an Acritarch from the Upper Cretaceous of Belgium and Germany: Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique, v. 104, p. 1-39.
- Lentin, J. K., and Vozzhennikova, T. F., 1990, Fossil Dinoflagellates from the Jurassic, Cretaceous and Paleogene Deposits of the USSR- A restudy, American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contributions Series, 221 p.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1973, Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to Genera and Species, Ottawa, Canada, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, 176 p.
- Lentin, J. K. and Williams, G. L., 1976, A Monograph of Fossil Peridinioid Dinoflagellate Cysts, Bedford Institute Oceanography Report Series, 237 p.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1977, Taxonomic Note: Fossil Dinoflagellate Genus *Isabelidinium* nom. nov: Palynology, v. 1, p. 167-168.

- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1981, Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to Genera and Species, 1981 edition, Bedford Institute of Oceanography Report Series, 345 p.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1985, Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to Genera and Species, 1985 edition, Canadian Technical Report of Hydrography and Ocean Series, 451 p.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1987, Status of the Fossil Dinoflagellate Genera
 Ceratiopsis Vozzhennikova 1963 and *Cerodinium* Vozzhennikova 1963 emend:
 Palynology, v. 11, no. 1, p. 113-116.
- Lentin, J. K., and Williams, G. L., 1989, Fossil Dinoflagellates: Index to Genera and Species, Houston, TX, American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contribution Series, 473 p.
- Li, L., and Keller, G., 1998, Maastrichtian Climate, Productivity and Faunal Turnovers in Planktic Foraminifera in South Atlantic DSDP sites 525A and 21: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 33, no. 1–2, p. 55-86.
- Lucas-Clark, J., 1987, *Wigginsiella* n. gen., *Spongodinium*, and *Apteodinium* as Members of the *Aptianaventriosum* Complex (Fossil Dinophyceae): Palynology, v. 11, no. 1, p. 155-184.
- Lucas-Clark, J., 2006, Small Peridinioid Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Paleocene of South Carolina, USA Palynology, v. 30, no. 1, p. 183-210.

- Lucas-Clark, J., and Helenes, J., 2000, *Ynezidinium*, a New Genus within the *Gonyaulacaceae* (Fossil Dinophyceae): Journal of Micropalaeontology, v. 19, no. 2, p. 113-121.
- MacRae, R. A., Fensome, R. A., and Williams, G. L., 1996, Fossil Dinoflagellate Diversity, Originations, and Extinctions and Their Significance: Canadian Journal of Botany, v. 74, no. 11, p. 1687-1694.
- Malloy, R. E., 1972, An Upper Cretaceous Dinoflagellate Cyst Lineage from Gabon, West Africa: Geoscience and Man, v. 4, p. 57-65.
- Mancini, E. A., and Puckett, T. M., 2005, Jurassic and Cretaceous Transgressive-Regressive Cycles, Northern Gulf of Mexico, USA: Stratigraphy, v. 2, p. 31-48.
- Mantell, G. A., 1854, The Medals of Creation: or, First Lessons in Geology and the Study of Organic Remains, London, UK, Henry G. Bohn, 930 p.
- Manum, S. B., 1963, Some New Species of *Deflandrea* and their Probable Affinity with *Peridinium*: Norsk Polarinstitutt, Arbok, 1962, p. 55-67.
- Marret, F., 2003, Atlas of Modern Organic-Walled Dinoflagellate Cyst Distribution: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 125, no. 1-2, p. 1-200.
- Marton, G. L., and Buffler, R. T., 1999, Jurassic-Early Cretaceous Tectono-Paleogeographic Evolution of the Southeastern Gulf of Mexico Basin, *in* Mann,P., ed., Sedimentary Basins of the World, Volume 4, Elsevier, p. 63-91.
- May, F. E., 1977, Functional Morphology, Paleoecology, and Systematics of *Dinogymnium* Tests: Palynology, v. 1, p. 103-121.

- May, F. E., 1980, Dinoflagellate Cysts of the *Gymnodiniaceae*, *Peridiniaceae*, and *Gonyaulacaceae* from the Upper Cretaceous Monmouth Group, Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey: Palaeontographica Abteilung B, v. 172, no. 1-4, p. 10-116.
- McGowen, M. K., and Lopez, C. M., 1983, Depositional Systems in the Nacatoch Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Northeast Texas and Southwest Arkansas, University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology Report of Investigations, v. 137, 59 p.
- McIntyre, D. J., 1975, Morphologic Changes in *Deflandrea* from a Campanian Section, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T., Canada: Geoscience and Man, v. 11, p. 61-76.
- McLean, D. M., 1973, Emendation and Transfer of Eisenackia (Pyrrhophyta) from the Microdiniaceae to the *Gonyaulacaceae*: Geologiska Föreningens i Stockholm Förhandlingar, v. 95, p. 261-265.
- McMinn, A., 1988, Outline of a Late Cretaceous Dinoflagellate Zonation of Northwestern Australia: Alcheringa, v. 12, no. 2, p. 137-156.
- Mcneill, J., Turland, N. J., Barrie, F. R., Buck, W. R., and Demoulin, V., 2012,
 International Code of Nomenclature for Algae, Fungi, and Plants (Melbourne
 Code) adopted by the Eighteenth International Botanical Congress, Melbourne,
 Australia, July, 2011, Köeningstein, Germany, Koeltz Scientific Books, Regnum
 Vegetabile, v. 154.
- Molina, E., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Arz, J. A., Gallala, N., Hardenbol, J., Salis, K. v., Steurbaut, E., Vandenberghe, N., and Zaghbib-Turki, D., 2006, The Global

Boundary Stratotype Section and Point for the base of the Danian Stage (Paleocene, Paleogene, "Tertiary", Cenozoic) at El Kef, Tunisia-Original Definition and Revision: Episodes, v. 29, no. 4, p. 263-273.

- Morgan, R., 1977, Elucidation of the Cretaceous Dinoflagellate *Diconodinium* Eisenack and Cookson, 1960, and Related *Peridinioid* Species from Australia: Palynology, v. 1, p. 123-138.
- Morgenroth, P., 1966, Mikrofossilien und Konkretionen des Nordwesteuropäischen Untereozäns: Palaeontographica Abteilung A, v. 119, no. 1-3, p. 1-53.
- Morgenroth, P., 1968, Zur Kenntnis der Dinoflagellaten und Hystrichosphaeridien des Danien: Geologisches Jahrbuch, Hannover, v. 86, p. 533-578.
- Moshkovitz, S., and Habib, D., 1993, Calcareous Nannofossil and Dinoflagellate Stratigraphy of the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary, Alabama and Georgia: Micropaleontology, v. 39, no. 2, p. 167-191.
- Pessagno, E. A. J., 1969, Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy of the Western Gulf Coast Area of Mexico, Texas and Arkansas, Geological Society of America Memoir, v. 111, 139 p.
- Pindell, J. L., and Kennan, L., 2001, Kinematic Evolution of the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean, *in* Fillon, R., Rosen, N., Weimer, P., Lowrie, A., Pettingill, H., Phair, R., Roberts, H., and van Hoorn, B., eds., Transactions of the Gulf Coast Section Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists (GCSSEPM) 21st Annual Bob F. Perkins Research Conference, Petroleum Systems of Deep-Water Basins,: Houston, TX, Gulf Coast Section SEPM Foundation, p. 193-220.

- Plummer, H. J., 1931, Some Cretaceous Foraminifera in Texas: University of Texas Bulletin, v. 3101, p. 109-203.
- Powell, A. J., 1992, A stratigraphic Index of Dinoflagellate Cysts, London, UK, Chapman and Hall, 290 p.
- Prauss, M. L., 2009, The K/Pg boundary at Brazos-River, Texas, USA- An Approach by Marine Palynology: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 283, no. 3-4, p. 195-215.
- Pross, J., and Schmiedl, G., 2002, Early Oligocene Dinoflagellate Cysts from the Upper Rhine Graben (SW Germany): Paleoenvironmental and Paleoclimatic Implications: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 45, no. 1, p. 1-24.
- Quattrocchio, M. E., and Sarjeant, W. A., 2003, Dinoflagellates from the Chorrillo Chico Formation (Paleocene) of Southern Chile: Ameghiniana, v. 40, no. 2, p. 129-153.
- Roncaglia, L., and Corradini, D., 1997, Correlation of Key Dinoflagellate Events with Calcareous Nannoplankton and Planktonic Foraminiferal Zones in the Solignano Formation (Maastrichtian, Late Cretaceous), Northern Apennines, Italy: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 97, no. 1–2, p. 177-196.
- Rossignol, M., 1964, Hystrichosphères du Quaternaire en Méditerranée Orientale, dans les Sédiments Pléistocènes et les Boues Marines Actuelles: Revue de Micropaléontologie, v. 7, no. 2, p. 83-99.
- Rouse, G. E., 1957, The Application of a New Nomenclature Approach to Upper Cretaceous Plant Microfossils from Western Canada: Canadian Journal of Botany, v. 35, p. 349-375.

- Sarjeant, W. A., 1985, The German Aptian Dinoflagellate Cysts of Eisenack (1958): A restudy: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 45, no. 1, p. 47-106.
- Sarjeant, W. A. S., 1970, The genus *Spiniferites* Mantell, 1850 (Dinophyceae): Grana, v. 10, p. 74-78.
- Sarjeant, W. A. S., 1981, A Restudy of Some Dinoflagellate Cyst Holotypes in the University of Kiel Collections: VI. Late Cretaceous Dinoflagellate Cysts and Other Palynomorphs in the Otto Wetzel Collection. Meyniana, v. 37, p. 129-185.
- Schulte, P., Speijer, R., Mai, H., and Kontny, A., 2006, The Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-P) boundary at Brazos, Texas: Sequence Stratigraphy, Depositional Events and the Chicxulub Impact: Sedimentary Geology, v. 184, no. 1–2, p. 77-109.
- Scott, R. W., Wan, X., Wang, C., and Huang, Q., 2012, Late CretaceousChronostratigraphy (Turonian–Maastrichtian): SK1 core Songliao Basin, China:Geoscience Frontiers, v. 3, no. 4, p. 357-367.
- Sellards, E. H., Adkins, W. S., and Plummer, F. B., 1932, The Geology of Texas: University of Texas Bulletin, v. 1. Stratigraphy, no. 3232, p. 1-1007.
- Smit, J., Roep, T., Alvarez, W., Montanari, A., Claeys, P., Grajales-Nishimura, J., and Bermudez, J., 1996, Coarse-grained, Clastic Sandstone Complex at the K/T Boundary Around the Gulf of Mexico: Deposition by Tsunami Waves Induced by the Chicxulub Impact?, *in* Ryder, G., Fastovsky, D., and Gartner, S., eds., The Cretaceous-Tertiary Event and Other Catastrophes in Earth History, Volume 307: Boulder, CO, Geological Society of America Special Papers, p. 151-182.

- Smith, J., and Pessagno, E. A. J., 1973, Planktonic Foraminifera and Stratigraphy of the Corsicana Formation (Maestrichtian) North-Central Texas: Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research Special Publication, no. 12, p. 68.
- Smith, S. W., 1992, Microplankton from the Cape Lamb Member, López de Bertodano Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Cape Lamb, Vega Island: Antarctic Science, v. 4, no. 3, p. 337-353.
- Sohl, N. F., 1987, Cretaceous Gastropods: Contrasts between Tethys and the Temperate Provinces: Journal of Paleontology, v. 61, no. 6, p. 1085-1111.
- Stanley, E. A., 1961, A New Sporomorph Genus from South Dakota: Pollen et Spores, v. 3, no. 1, p. 155-162.
- Stanley, E. A., 1965, Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene Plant Microfossils and Paleocene Dinoflagellates and Hystrichosphaerids from Northwestern South Dakota:Bulletins of American Paleontology, v. 49, p. 179-384.
- Stephenson, L., W., 1941, The Larger Invertebrate Fossils of the Navarro Group of Texas: University of Texas Publications, v. 4101, p. 1-641.
- Stoll, H. M., and Schrag, D. P., 2000, High-Resolution Stable Isotope Records from the Upper Cretaceous rocks of Italy and Spain: Glacial Episodes in a Greenhouse Planet?: Geological Society of America Memoir, v. 112, no. 2, p. 308-319.
- Stover, L. E., 1974, Paleocene and Eocene Species of Deflandrea (Dinophyceae) in
 Victorian Coastal and Offshore Basins, Australia: Geological Society of
 Australia Special Publication, v. 4, p. 167-188.

- Stover, L. E., and Evitt, W. R., 1978, Analysis of pre-Pleistocene Organic-walled Dinoflagellates, Standford, CA, Stanford University Publications, Stanford University Publications, Geological Sciences, v. 15, 300 p.
- Tantawy, A., 2011, Calcareous Nannofossils Across the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary ar Brazos, Texas, U.S.A.: Extinction and Survivorship, Biostratigraphy, and Paleoecology, *in* Keller, G., and Adatte, T., eds., The End-Cretaceous Mass Extinctions and the Chixculub Impact in Texas, Volume 10, SEPM Special Publications, p. 157-178.
- Vidal, G., 1988, A Palynological Preparation Method: Palynology, v. 12, no. 1, p. 215-220.
- Vozzhennikova, T., 1963, Klass Peridineae (Dinoflagellateae). Peridinei, ili
 Dinoflagellate, *in* Vakhrameeva, V. A., Radchenko, G. P., and Tachmadzhana,
 A. L., eds., Tip Pyrrophyta, Pirrofitovye Vodorosli., Volume 14, Osnovy
 Paleontologii, p. 171-186.
- Vozzhennikova, T. F., 1967, Iskopaemye peridinei Yurskikh, Melovykhi Paleogenovykh otlozheniy SSSR: Izdatelstvo Nauka, Moscow, USSR, p. 347-348.
- Wall, D., 1967, Fossil Microplankton in Deep-sea Cores from the Caribbean Sea: Palaeontology, v. 10, no. 1, p. 95-123.
- Wall, D., and Dale, B., 1968, Early Pleistocene Dinoflagellates from the Royal Society Borehole at Ludham, Norfolk: New Phytologist, v. 67, no. 2, p. 315-326.

- Wetzel, O., 1933, Die in organischer Substanz erhaltenen Mikrofossilien des baltischen Kreide-Feuersteins mit einem sediment-petrographischen und stratigraphischen Anhang: Palaeontographica Abteilung A, v. 78, p. 1-110.
- White, H. H., 1842, On fossil Xanthidia: Microscopical Journal, v. 11, p. 35-40.
- Wilson, G. J., 1967a, Microplankton from the Garden Cove Formation, Campbell Island: New Zealand Journal of Botany, v. 5, no. 2, p. 223-240.
- Wilson, G. J., 1967b, Some New Species of Lower Tertiary Dinoflagellates from McMurdo Sound, Antarctica: New Zealand Journal of Botany, v. 5, no. 1, p. 57-83.
- Winker, C. D., and Buffler, R. T., 1988, Paleogeographic Evolution of Early Deep-Water Gulf of Mexico and Margins, Jurassic to Middle Cretaceous (Comanchean): American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 72, p. 318-346.
- Wrenn, J. H., and Hart, G. F., 1988, Paleogene Dinoflagellate Cyst Biostratigraphy of Seymour Island, Antarctica: Geological Society of America Memoir, v. 169, p. 321-447.
- Yancey, T., E., 1996, Stratigraphy and Depositional Environments of the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary Complex and Basal Paleocene Section, Brazos River, Texas: Transactions of the Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies v. 46, p. 433-442.

- Yancey, T. E., and Liu, C., 2013, Impact-Induced Sediment Deposition on an Offshore, Mud-Substrate Continental Shelf, Cretaceous-Paleogene Boundary, Brazos
 River, Texas, U.S.A.: Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 83, p. 354-367.
- Zaitzeff, J. B., and Cross, A. T., 1970, The use of Dinoflagellates and Acritarchs forZonation and Correlation of the Navarro Group (Maestrichtian) of Texas:Geological Society of America Special Paper, v. 127, p. 341-377.

APPENDIX 1

Occurrence and optical details of palynomorphs in studied samples. The first column shows the taxon name. The next column shows illustrations for the taxon. The locations for each taxa listed as a combination of sample name, slide number and coordinates on following columns. Specimen locations on slides were given as right (R) and above (U) in millimeters to lower right corner of the cover slide. Last column lists the type of illumination used while photographing the specimens: pl: plain light; ph: phase contrast.

Taxon	Plate- Figure	Sample	Slide	Coordinates	Lens Type
DINOFLAGELLATE CYSTS					
Achilleodinium sp.1	Plate-2-fig.b-c	RBS-J	1	3.3x75.2	pl
Achomosphaera ramulifera	Plate-2-fig.a	BC-1	1	17.2x69.0	ph
Alisogymnium euclaense	Plate-1-fig.a	BC-4	1	13.0x70.3	pl
Alisogymnium cerviculum	Plate-1-fig.b-c	BC-11	1	17.8x74.5	pl
Alterbidinium acutulum	Plate-7-fig.h-i	BN-21	1	9.0x67.6	ph
Alterbidinium cf. A.circulum	Plate-7-fig.j-k	RBS-Z	2	29.7x69.0	ph
Andalusiella gabonensis	Plate-8-fig.a	BC-11	1	17.0x70.6	pl
Apteodinium fallax	Plate-2-fig.d	RBS-W	1	1.3x66.3	pl
Apteodinium cf.A.granulatum	Plate-2-fig.e-h	BN-21	1	10.3x69.2	pl
Batiacasphaera reticulata	Plate-2-fig.k	BN-19	1	26.5x69.9	ph
Cannosphaeropsis sp.1	Plate-2-fig.l; Plate-3-fig.a-b	BC-4	1	9.6x71.6	pl
Carpatella cornuta	Plate-3-fig.c-d	RBS-J	1	5.5x84.4	pl
Cerbia tabulata	Plate-3-fig.e-f	BN-19	1	3.6x81.7	pl

Taxon	Plate- Figure	Sample	Slide	Coordinates	Lens Type
Cerodinium diebelii	Plate-8-fig.b-c	RBS-J	1	11.0x75.9	pl
Cerodinium diebelii subsp. rigidum	Plate-8-fig.d-g	BC-3	1	12.8x71.7	pl
Cerodinium leptodermum	Plate-8-fig.f	RBS-J	1	28.0x79.6	pl
Cerodinium pannuceum	Plate-8-fig.h	BC-2	1	6.3x64.8	pl
Cerodinium speciosum	Plate-9-fig.a	RBS-J	1	17.0x82.4	pl
Chatangiella ditissima	Plate-9-fig.b-c	BN-19	1	8.7x67.6	ph
Chatangiella granulifera	Plate-9-fig.f-g	BN-19	1	25.0x84.3	pl
Chatangiella micracantha	Plate-9-fig.d-e	BN-19	1	18.2x72.2	ph
Circulodinium distinctum	Plate-3-fig.h	BC-14	1	1.0x72.8	ph
Conosphaeridium striatoconum	Plate-3-fig.g	BC-4	1	8.4x79.4	pl
Cordosphaeridium fibrospinosum	Plate-3-fig.i	BC-14	1	20.1x71.4	pl
Cordosphaeridium? gracilis	Plate-3-fig.k	RBS-W	1	6.0x73.2	pl
Cordosphaeridium inodes	Plate-3-fig.j	BC-16	2	22.3x69.6	pl
Coronifera cf. C.oceanica	Plate-2-fig.i-j	BC-14	2	13.0x69.5	pl
Cribroperidinium giuseppei	Plate-3-fig.1	RBS-J	1	17.4x78.8	pl
Cribroperidinium wetzelii	Plate-4-fig.a	BC-4	1	29.8x78.3	pl
Cyclonephelium compactum	Plate-4-fig.b	BC-20	1	8.7x81.2	ph
Damassidinium californicum	Plate-4-fig.c	RBS-J	1	23.7x74.4	pl
Damassadinium fibrosum	Plate-4-fig.d	BC-18	1	2.9x69.4	ph
Deflandrea galeata	Plate-9-fig.h-i	BN-19	2	12.4x66.4	pl
Diconodinium psilatum	Plate-9-fig.j	BC-18	1	23.6x80.5	ph
Dinoflagellate sp.1	Plate-4-fig. f	BN-20	2	17.0x73.4	ph
Dinogymnium acuminatum	Plate-1-fig.d	BC-4	1	12.9x65.1	pl
Dinogymnium cretaceum	Plate-1-fig.d-e	BC-18	1	5.2x69.9	pl
Dinogymnium digitus	Plate-1-fig.g-h	BN-19	2	2.2x72.3	pl
Dinogymnium longicorne	Plate-1-fig.i	BC-17	1	6.8x68.5	pl

Taxon	Plate- Figure	Sample	Slide	Coordinates	Lens Type
Dinogymnium nelsonense	Plate-1-fig.j	BC-1	1	15.2x65.4	pl
Dinogymnium sibiricum	Plate-1-fig.k	BC-18	1	5.2x65.7	pl
Dinogymnium sp.1	Plate-1-fig,l	BC-18	2	2.5x68.9	pl
Dinogymnium sp.2	Plate-1-fig.m	RBS-W	1	13.6x68.2	pl
Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis	Plate-4-fig.e	BC-14	1	12.8x70.4	ph
Eisenackia crassitabulata	Plate-4-fig.g	RBS-J	1	8.9x76.0	pl
Exochosphaeridium bifidum	Plate-4-fig.h	BC-16	1	14.9x77.4	ph
Florentinia perforata	Plate-4-fig.i	BC-14	1	5.5x64.5	ph
Glaphyrocysta cf.G.reticulosa	Plate-4-fig.j	BC-14	1	19.9x72.7	pl
Glaphyrocysta expansa	Plate-4-fig.k	BC-14	1	1.5x72.0	pl
Glaphyrocysta perforata	Plate-5-fig.a	RBS-F	1	3.0x71.5	pl
Glaphyrocysta retiintexta	Plate-5-fig.c	BC-4	1	14.6x80.7	ph
Hafniasphaera spp.	Plate-5-fig.b	BC-20	2	25.2x73.5	pl
Hystrichosphaeridium tubiferum	Plate-5-fig.d	BBC-3	1	14.8x71.9	pl
Impagidinium cf. I.patulum	Plate-5-fig.e	RBS-J	1	21.9x65.6	ph
Impagidinium cristatum	Plate-5-fig.f-g	BN-21	1	0.7x69.1	pl
Impagidinium multiplex	Plate-5-fig.h-j	RBS-J	1	10.5x75.0	pl
Isabelidinium bakeri	Plate-9-fig.k	RBS-Z	1	12.7x69.0	ph
Isabelidinium cooksoniae	Plate-10-fig.a-d	BN-19	2	7.0x72.3	ph
Isabelidinium sp.1	Plate-10-fig.e-f	BN-19	2	21.8x72.4	pl
Lejeunecysta sp.1	Plate-10-fig.g	RBS-F	1	8.1x70.9	pl
Magallanesium cf. M.essoi	Plate-10-fig.h	RBS-Z	1	12.6x68.5	pl
Magallanesium densispinatum	Plate-10-fig.i-j	RBS-J	1	39.4x56.09	pl
Manumiella druggii	Plate-10-fig.k-l	RBS-F	1	25.7x75.3	pl
Manumiella seymourensis	Plate-11-fig.a-c	BC-11	1	5.9x69.9	ph
Oligosphaeridium complex	Plate-6-fig.b	BC-15	1	28.5x77.8	ph

Taxon	Plate- Figure	Sample	Slide	Coordinates	Lens Type
Operculodinium centrocarpum	Plate-6-fig.c	BC-15	1	27.8x66.5	pl
Operculodinium radiculatum	Plate-6-fig.d	BN-21	1	3.7x73.5	pl
Palaeocystodinium australinum	Plate-11-fig.d	BC-11	1	21.7x69.9	pl
Palaeocystodinium golzowense	Plate-11-fig.e-f	BC-4	1	21.1x65.8	ph
Palynodinium grallator	Plate-6-fig.e	BC-18	1	-0.8x69.0	ph
Palynodinium sp.1	Plate-6-fig.g	BC-1	1	17.1x71.5	pl
Phelodinium africanum	Plate-11-fig.g	BC-11	1	27.7x75.2	ph
Phelodinium magnificum	Plate-11-fig.h	BC-11	1	27.9x76.8	pl
Pierceites pentagonus	Plate-11-fig.i	BC-2	1	5.6x72.3	pl
Pterodinium cingulatum	Plate-6-fig.i-j	BC-15	2	20.2x78.2	pl
Rottnestia cf. R.granulata	Plate-6-fig.h	RBS-J	1	26.0x72.1	pl
Senegalinium bicavatum	Plate-12-fig.a	BN-21	1	7.4x66.7	pl
Senegalinium laevigatum	Plate-12-fig.b	BC-15	1	7.6x67.0	pl
Senegalinium microgranulatum	Plate-12-fig.c	RBS-J	1	9.3x68.2	ph
Senegalinium obscurum	Plate-12-fig.d	BC-15	1	8.2x68.9	ph
Senegalinium pallidum	Plate-12-fig.e	RBS-J	1	13.5x68.0	ph
Senegalinium sp.1	Plate-12-fig.i-j	BN-19	2	16.9x71.8	ph
Senegalinium simplex	Plate-12-fig.f	BC-16	2	2.3x67.4	pl
Spinidinium ornatum	Plate-12-fig.g-h	BN-19	2	11.1x65.7	pl
Spiniferites membranaceus	Plate-6-fig.f	BC-18	1	2.0x66.3	pl
Spiniferites ramosus	Plate-6-fig.k	BC-18	1	3.1x69.2	pl
Spiniferites ramosus subsp. reticulatus	Plate-6-fig.l	BC-18	1	1.8x69.2	pl
Spongodinium delitiense	Plate-7-fig.a-b	BC-17	1	14.1x67.3	pl
Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum	Plate-7-fig.c	BC-1	1	5.9x70.0	ph
Tectatodinium rugulatum	Plate-7-fig.d	RBS-W	1	21.6x72.3	pl
Thalassiphora pelagica	Plate-7-fig.e	BC-15	1	19.5x75.8	pl

Taxon	Plate- Figure	Sample	Slide	Coordinates	Lens Type
Trithyrodinium evittii	Plate-12-fig.l-m	BC-10	1	-0.8x79.6	ph
Xenascus ceratioides	Plate-7-fig.f-g	BN-21	1	22.9x67.6	pl
Ynezinidinium pentahedrias	Plate-5-fig.k-l Plate-6-fig.a	RBS-W	1	17.3x72.8	pl
Yolkinigymnium expansum	Plate-1-fig.n	RBS-A	2	7.0x69.4	pl
Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum	Plate-1-fig.o	BC-1	1	9.1x72.7	pl
ACRITARCH					
Fromea fragilis	Plate-13-fig.f	BC-4	1	0.8x67.9	pl
POLLEN AND SPORES					
Wodehousia spinata	Plate-13-fig.a	BC-4	1	9.7x66.7	ph
Tricolporate spore	Plate-13-fig.b	BC-18	1	8.4x66.5	pl
Ephedripites sp.	Plate-13-fig.c	BC-18	1	3.3x75.9	pl
Periporate pollen	Plate-13-fig.d	BC-18	1	25.0x72.0	pl
Foraminifera lining	Plate-13-fig.e	BC-18	1	21.9x82.7	pl

APPENDIX 2

This appendix includes illustrations of palynomorphs in the studied interval. Plate illustrations follow the systematic listing of specimens.

PLATE 1

Figure

- a. Alisogymnium euclaense (dorsal view).
- b. Alisogymnium cerviculum (apical archeopyle in focus)
- c. Alisogymnium cerviculum (ventral view)
- *d. Dinogymnium acuminatum* (ventral view)
- e. Dinogymnium cretaceum (apical archeopyle in focus)
- f. Dinogymnium cretaceum (ventral view)
- g. Dinogymnium digitus (epicyst in focus)
- *h.* Dinogymnium digitus (hypocyst in focus)
- *i.* Dinogymnium lognicorne (ventral view)
- *j.* Dinogymnium nelsonense (dorsal view)
- *k. Dinogymnium sibiricum* (dorsal view)
- *l. Dinogymnium sp.1* (dorsal view- apical archeopyle in focus)
- *m. Dinogymnium sp.2* (dorsal view)
- *n.* Yolkinigymnium expansum (unknown view)
- o. Yolkinigymnium lanceolatum (unknown view)



PLATE 2

Figure

- a. Achomosphaera ramulifera
- b. Achilleodinium sp.1 (archeoyple in focus)
- c. Achilleodinium sp.1
- *d.* Apteodinium fallax
- e. Apteodinium cf.A.granulatum (dorsal view)
- *f.* Apteodinium cf.A.granulatum (close up to periphragm)
- g. Apteodinium cf.A.granulatum (archeopyle in focus)
- *h.* Apteodinium cf.A.granulatum (note the reduced apical horn)
- i. Coronifera cf.C.oceanica
- j. Coronifera cf.C.oceanica
- *k.* Batiacasphaera reticulata (apical archeoyple in focus)
- l. Cannosphaeropsis sp.1



PLATE 3

Figure

- a. Cannosphaeropsis sp.1 (archeopyle in focus)
- b. Cannosphaeropsis sp.1 (processes with trabecula in focus)
- c. Carpetalla cornuta (apical horn in focus)
- d. Carpetalla cornuta (archeoyple in focus, note the antapical horn)
- e. Cerbia tabulata
- f. Cerbia tabulata (apical archeoyple in focus)
- g. Conosphaeridium striatoconum
- h. Circulodinium distinctum
- i. Cordosphaeridium fibrospinosum
- j. Cordosphaeridium inodes
- k. Cordosphaeridium? gracilis
- *l.* Cribroperidinium giuseppi (archeopyle in focus)


- a. Cribroperidinium wetzelii
- b. Cyclonephelium compactum (archeopyle in focus)
- c. Damassadinium californicum (epicyst in focus)
- d. Damassadinium fibrosum
- e. Disphaerogena carposphaeropsis (dorsal view)
- f. Dinoflagellate sp.1
- g. Eisenackia crassitabulata
- h. Exochosphaeridium bifidum
- *i.* Florentinia perforata (archeopyle in focus)
- *j. Glaphyrocysta cf.G.reticulata* (archeopyle in focus)
- *k. Glaphyrocysta expansa* (archeopyle in focus)



- a. Glaphyrocysta perforata (hypocyst in focus)
- b. Hafniasphaera spp.
- c. Glaphyrocysta reintexta
- d. Hystrichosphaeridium tubiferum (archeopyle in focus)
- e. Impagidinium cf. I. patulum
- f. Impagidinium cristatum (hypocyst in focus)
- g. Impagidinium cristatum (epicyst in focus)
- h. Impagidinium multiplex
- *i.* Impagidinium multiplex (left side- dorsal view)
- *j.* Impagidinium multiplex (right side-dorsal view)
- *k. Ynezidinium pentahedrias* (archeopyle in focus)
- *l.* Ynezidinium pentahedrias (dorsal view)



- a. Ynezidinium pentahedrias (ventral view)
- b. Oligosphaeridium complex
- c. Operculodinium centrocarpum
- d. Operculodinium radiculatum
- e. *Palynodinium grallator* (note the Pericoel with small processes)
- f. Spiniferites membranaceous (ventral view)
- g. Palynodinium sp.1
- h. Rottnestia cf. R.granulata
- *i. Pterodinium cingulatum* (archeopyle in focus)
- *j. Pterodinium cingulatum* (ventral view)
- k. Spiniferites ramosus
- l. Spiniferites ramosus subsp. reticulatus



- a. Spongodinium delitiense (hypocyst in focus)
- b. Spongodinium delitiense (epicyst in focus)
- c. Tanyosphaeridium variecalamum
- d. Tectatodinium rugulatum (archeopyle in focus)
- e. Thalassipora pelagica
- f. Xenascus ceratoides
- g. Xenascus ceratoides
- h. Alterbidinium acutulum
- *i.* Alterbidinium acutulum (archeopyle in focus)
- j. Alterbidinium cf. A.circulum
- k. Alterbidinium cf.A.circulum



- a. Andalusilla gabonensis (note the antapical horn)
- b. Cerodinium diebelii (ventral view)
- c. Cerodinium diebelii (dorsal view)
- d. Cerodinium diebelii subsp. rigidum (epicyst in focus)
- e. Cerodinium diebelii subsp. rigidum (hypocyst in focus)
- *f. Cerodinium leptodermum* (dorsal view)
- g. Cerodinium diebelii subsp. rigidum (archeopyle in focus)
- *h. Cerodinium pannuceum* (dorsal view)



- a. Cerodinium speciosum
- b. Chatangiella ditissima (epicyst in focus)
- c. Chatangiella ditissima (hypocyst in focus)
- d. Chatangiella micrachanta (hypocyst in focus-note the spines)
- e. Chatangiella micrachanta (epicyst in focus)
- *f. Chatangiella granulifera* (epicyst in focus)
- g. Chatangiella granulifera (hypocyst in focus)
- h. Deflandrea galeata (epicyst in focus)
- *i.* Deflandrea galeata (close up to granular periphragm)
- j. Diconodinium psilatum
- k. Isabelidinium bakeri (dorsal view)



- a. Isabelidinium cooksoniae (epicyst in focus)
- b. Isabelidinium cooksoniae (archeopyle in focus)
- c. Isabelidinium cooksoniae (paracingulum in focus)
- d. Isabelidinium cooksoniae (hypocyst in focus)
- e. Isabelidinium sp.1(ventral view-broken specimen)
- f. Isabelidinium sp.1 (dorsal view-broken specimen)
- g. Lejeunecysta sp.1 (ventral view)
- h. Magallanesium cf. M.essoi (ventral view)
- *i.* Magallenesium densispinatum (archeopyle in focus)
- *j. Magallenesium densispinatum* (dorsal view)
- k. Manumiella druggii (ventral view)
- *l. Manumiella druggii* (close up to granular periphragm)



- a. Manumiella seymourensis (right side of dorsal side in focus)
- b. Manumiella seymourensis (left side of dorsal side in focus)
- c. Manumiella seymourensis (note conical apex and antapex)
- d. Paleocystodinium australinium
- e. Paleocystodinium golzowense (hypocyst in focus)
- f. Paleocystodinium golzowense (epicyst in focus)
- g. Phelodinium africanum (dorsal view)
- h. Phelodinium magnificum
- *i.* Pierceites pentagonus (dorsal view)



- a. Senegalinium bicavatum
- b. Senegalinium laevigatum
- c. Senegalinium microgranulatum
- d. Senegalinium obscurum
- e. Senegalinium pallidum
- f. Senegalinium simplex
- g. Senegalinium ornatum (hypocyst in focus)
- *h.* Senegalinium ornatum (epicyst in focus)
- *i.* Trithyrodinium evitii (ventral view)
- *j. Trithyrodinium evitii* (dorsal view)



- *a*. Tricolporate spore
- *b*. Wodehousia spinata
- c. Triporate spore
- *d*. Periporate pollen
- e. Ephedripites sp.
- f. Foraminifera linings
- g. Acritarch sp.1
- h. Acritarch sp.1













- a. Achilleodinium sp.1
- b. Achilleodinium sp.1
- c. Apteodinium cf. A.granulatum
- d. Apteodinium cf. A.granulatum
- e. Cannosphaeropsis sp.1
- f. Cannosphaeropsis sp.1

