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#### Abstract

Mechanistic Investigations into the Origin of Selectivity of Organic Reactions.


(August 2008)

Jacqueline Besinaiz Thomas, B.S., Texas A\&M University-Kingsville Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Daniel A. Singleton

Detailed mechanistic studies were conducted on several organic reactions that exhibit product selectivity (regio-, peri-, or enantioselectivity). The organic reactions studied were electrophilic aromatic substitutions, Diels-Alder cycloadditions of 1,3dienes with cyclopentadieneone, Lewis acid catalyzed ene reactions with olefins, chlorinations of alkynes, and the enantioselective intramolecular Stetter reaction. Analyses of these systems were conducted by measurement of kinetic isotope effects, standard theoretical calculations, and in some cases dynamic trajectories.

Mechanistic studies of electrophilic aromatic substitution, Lewis acid catalyzed ene reaction with olefins, the chlorination of alkynes, and the Diels-Alder cycloadditions of 1,3-dienes with cyclopentadienones, suggest that the origin of selectivity is not always a result of selectivity result from a kinetic competition between two closely related pathways to form distinct products. All of these systems involve one transition state on a potential energy surface that bifurcates and leads to two distinct products. In these systems, experimental kinetic isotope effects measured using natural abundance methodology, theoretical modeling of the potential energy surfaces, and trajectory
analyses suggests that selectivites (regio- and periselectivities) are a result of influences by momenta and steepest-descent paths on the energy surface. The work here has shown that in order to understand selectivity on bifurcating surfaces, transition state theory is not applicable. In place of transition state energetics, the guiding principles must be those of Newtonian dynamics.

In the mechanistic studies for the enantioselective intramolecular Stetter reaction, the origin of selectivity is a result of multiple transition states and their relative energies. Experimental H/D kinetic isotopes effects had lead to the conclusion that two different mechanisms were operating for reactions where carbenes were generated in situ versus reactions using free carbenes. However, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ kinetic isotope effects and theoretical modeling of the reaction profile provide evidence for one mechanism operating in both cases.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Daniel A. Singleton for his guidance and support throughout the course of this research. I would also like to thank my committee member Dr. David E. Bergbreiter for all his guidance throughout the course of my undergraduate and graduate school experiences. To Dr. Coran Watanabe, and Dr. Perla Balbuena thank you for serving on my committee.

Thanks also go to my friends and colleagues and the department faculty and staff for making my time at Texas A\&M University a great experience. I also want to extend my gratitude to the National Institute of Health, the National Science Foundation, and Texas A\&M University.

Finally, thanks to my family for their encouragement and to my husband for his love and support.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

ABSTRACT ..... iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... v
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... vi
LIST OF FIGURES ..... viii
LIST OF TABLES ..... x
CHAPTER
I INTRODUCTION ..... 1
Kinetic Isotope Effects ..... 2
Dynamic Effects ..... 4
II ELECTROPHILIC AROMATIC SUBSTITUTION ..... 10
Results ..... 13
Theoretical Studies ..... 19
Nitrations ..... 27
Discussion ..... 30
Experimental Section ..... 33
III CYCLOPENTADIENONE DIELS-ALDER CYCLOADDITIONS ..... 39
Results ..... 41
Discussion ..... 57
Experimental Section ..... 59
IV ENE REACTION OF ISOPROPYLIDENECYCLOHEXANE ..... 63
Results ..... 64
Theoretical Studies ..... 67
Discussion ..... 72
Experimental Section ..... 73
CHAPTER Page
V CHLORINATION OF ALKYNES ..... 78
Results ..... 79
Discussion ..... 81
Experimental Section ..... 81
VI ENANTIOSELECTIVE INTRAMOLECULAR STETTER REACTION ..... 84
Results ..... 86
Theoretical Results ..... 88
Discussion ..... 89
Experimental Section ..... 90
VII CONCLUSIONS ..... 92
REFERENCES ..... 95
APPENDIX A ..... 104
APPENDIX B. ..... 127
VITA ..... 222

## LIST OF FIGURES

## Page

## Figure 1 Depiction for the origin of primary isotope effects caused by the zero point energy differences in protium versus deuterium <br> 3

Figure 2 Bifurcating surfaces in which dynamic effects would control selectivity5

Figure 3 Intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for electrophilic aromatic substitution
reactions ..... 16

Figure 4 Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs, defined as $\left({ }^{12} k /{ }^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) /\left({ }^{12} k /{ }^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, for electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions

Figure 5 Energy surface (B3LYP/6-31+G**/PCM + zpe) for the approach of acylium ion 8 to veratrole, fixing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at the values specified on the grid.

Figure 622 explicit $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules was explored in ONIOM calculations using a B3LYP/6-31G* layer for the $\mathbf{8}+$ veratrole, and using an AM1 layer for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules (12)

Figure $7 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Predicted }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { KIEs based on } \mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}(\mathbf{1 2} \text { in parenthesis), } \mathbf{9} \text { and } \\ & \text { bromination derivates ........................................................... } 26\end{aligned}$
Figure 8 Inter- and intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for nitration of veratrole using $50 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$28

Figure 9 Energy Surface (B3LYP/6-31G*/PCM + zpe) for the approach of nitronium to veratrole, fixing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at the values specified on the grid.30

Figure 10 The low-energy transition structures for the reactions of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (19), 1-vinylcyclohexene (20), 2-vinylfuran (21), and styrene (22), along with a summary of trajectory studies on these structures43

## Page

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Figure } 11 \text { MPW1K/6-31+G** transition structures for the }[3,3] \text {-sigmatropic } \\
& \text { (Cope) rearrangements interconverting the }\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right] \\
& \text { products } \mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 2} \text {, and } \mathbf{3 4} \text { and the }\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right] \text { products } \mathbf{2 8}, \\
& \\
& \mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1} \text {, and } \mathbf{3 3} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~
\end{aligned} 46
$$

Figure $12 \begin{aligned} & \text { Experimental }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { KIEs for the Diels-Alder reaction of } \\ & \text { vinylcyclohexene.......................................................................... } 51\end{aligned}$
Figure 13 Summary of trajectory studies for reations with 1,3-dienes54

Figure 14 Qualitative energy surface for the reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathbf{2 0}$................ 55
Figure 15 Predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ kinetic isotope effects $\left(k^{12} \mathrm{C} / k^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathbf{2 0}$ for both (a) 24-ax and (b) Boltzmann combination of 21 structures based on 24-ax located along the transition state ridge.57

Figure 16 Frontier orbital interactions between 18 and vinylcyclohexene........ 58
Figure $17 \begin{array}{ll}\text { Intermolecular (left) and intramolecular (right) }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { KIEs for the ene } \\ \text { reaction of } \mathbf{4 3} \text { with acetic anhydride catalyzed by zinc chloride ...... } 64\end{array}$
Figure 18 Intermediates 46 and 47 that lead to products 44 and $\mathbf{4 5}$, and transition state for proton abstraction 4869

Figure 19 Energy surface for the approach of the acylium ion to 43, fixing
$\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{\alpha}}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ distances ..... 70
Figure 20 (a) Predicted ${ }^{13}$ C KIEs based on $5 \mathbf{5 0}^{\ddagger}$, (b) H/D EIE based on 46 and 47, and (c) predicted H/D KIE based on transition structure 48 ..... 72
Figure 21 (a) Intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for the recovered bis(trimethylsilyl)- acetylene reactions taken at $82 \%$ (top) and $80 \%$ (bottom), (b) Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for 55 ..... 80
Figure 22 Mechanism based on the benzoin condensation mechanism proposed by Rovis ..... 86
Figure $23{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIEs for the cyclization of 57 at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ..... 87
Figure 24 Calculated catalytic cycle for the cyclization of $\mathbf{5 9}$ mediated by58a91

## LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1 Results from quasiclassical trajectories starting from transition structures 23, 24-ax, 24-eq, 25, and 26, and starting from ridge structures $\mathbf{4 1}$ and $\mathbf{4 2}$53

Table 2 Results of quasiclassical trajectories starting from $\mathbf{4 9}^{\ddagger}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}^{\ddagger} \ldots \ldots . . \quad 71$

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Organic reactions usually produce a mixture of products. Understanding the origin of the product mixture is of great importance because it can offer insight to the mechanism by which the reaction takes place. Distinct products can be the result of independent reactions pathways where each reaction can be considered separate. Sometimes the product mixture is the result of one or more products undergoing a secondary reaction to produce other products, and sometimes the mixture of products are the result of equilibration. In many reactions, however, the interesting cases are those where selectivity results from a kinetic competition between two closely related pathways. In such cases, understanding can be gained by detailed study of the interrelation of the products.

Kinetic selectivity is generally assumed to be controlled within the framework of Transition State Theory (TST). In TST, the rate of a reaction is governed by the energy barrier for formation of the product. This energy barrier is associated with the transition state which is itself technically an energy hypersurface separating starting materials from products. TST then predicts the rates of reactions from this energy hypersurface, including its enthalpy and entropy. Kinetic selectivity is then governed by the relative

This dissertation follows the style and format of The Journal of the American Chemical Society.
heights of the energy barriers leading to alternative products. In general, the product from a transition state is generally assumed to be the minimum obtained from following the steepest-descent path in mass-weighted coordinates. Such a process by its nature can only lead to a single product. TST for systems with one energy barrier fails to predict in some case the ratio of distinct products. When one transition state can lead to two products, chemistry currently has no qualitative way to predict the ratio of the two. In fact, chemistry has no qualitative theory that predicts that a second product can be formed at all. This dissertation aimed to understand selectivity for systems that proceed through a single transition, and it will be seen that the observed selectivity can be the result of steepest-descent path and Newtonian motion on a bifurcating energy surface.

In order to accurately determine the origin of kinetic selectivity in systems with closely related pathways, physical organic techniques were employed. Such physical organic techniques where determine contributing factors include reaction rate studies, measuring experimental kinetic isotope effects (KIE), predicting theoretical isotope effects, and running dynamic trajectories in some cases.

## Kinetic Isotope Effects

Measuring KIEs is a very useful tool for physical organic chemists for probing into a reaction mechanism. Because KIEs are a measure of the change in rate for a reaction resulting from isotopic substitution, the result is correlated with the highest energy barrier or the first irreversible energy barrier in the reaction. Variations in the rate with competing isotopes are caused by differences in their zero-point energies as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Depiction for the origin of primary isotope effects caused by the zero point energy differences in protium versus deuterium.

The traditional method for measuring KIEs is to measure differences in reaction rates of labeled versus unlabeled material. This method is very useful when labeled material is readily available, however, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ labeled material is often very expensive and often time consuming to synthesize. For these reasons, all of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs reported in this dissertation were measured at natural abundance using NMR methodology developed by Singleton and co-workers. ${ }^{1-3}$ In this method, as a reaction proceeds to high conversion, the unreacted starting material is naturally enriched with slower reacting isotopes, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ versus ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, at the reactive centers in the first irreversible step in the reaction. The isotopic content of the recovered unreacted starting material then is compared to that of the original starting material and it is then through a comparison of
the relative ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ integrations and taking into account the enhancement that the experimental KIE is obtained. This methodology is very effective in determining the rate-determining step in chemical reactions.

## Dynamic Effects

For organic reactions where only one transition state exists on bifurcating energy surfaces, the factors that affect selectivity has been termed "dynamic effects." Dynamic effects is a term that is used to describe many different phenomena in chemical reactions, however, in the context of this dissertation, dynamic effects is a term used to describe experimental observations that are not understood with current forms of TST. In TST, there is an assumption that intramolecular vibrational energy redistribution (IVR) is fast on the timescale of motion along the reaction coordinate, thus the determining factor in selectivity is relative energy barriers on a hypersurface. ${ }^{4}$ This assumption does not hold up for some reactions. It has been shown that selectivity is sometimes controlled by dynamic effects - influences by atomic motion and momenta on a bifurcating energy surface which sometimes cause nonstatistical results. ${ }^{5}$

In 1984 Carpenter brought to light this important phenomena with examples of organic reactions involving diradicals. In one such system, the product ratios for the rearrangement of bicyclo[2.1.1]hexane-5- $d$ provided evidence for unusual selectivity. In this rearrangement, one would have expected either pure retention or pure inversion, however, a mixture of both isomers was observed. ${ }^{6}$


In general, on bifurcating energy surfaces (Figure 2), reactants that pass through a rate-limiting transition state can proceed to form two products without an additional barrier. For symmetrical surfaces of this type (Figure 2a), the MEP bifurcates and two products are formed in equal amounts. Such surfaces are typically associated with symmetry breaking and have been analyzed theoretically for many simple reactions. ${ }^{7-19}$


Figure 2. Bifurcating surfaces in which dynamic effects would control selectivity. (a) On a symmetrical surface, the MEP bifurcates at a second transition state. Real trajectories tend to diverge from the MEP in the area of the valley-ridge inflection point (VRI). (b) The surface is unsymmetrical and the MEP does not bifurcate. However, trajectories may afford a product not on the MEP.

One simple reaction of great importance is the gas phase $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction of alkyl halides. Hase has conducted studied on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions (eq 1), where X and Y are distinguishable chloride ions. Theoretical results show that both possible ion dipoles, $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, exist at the bottom of a deep potential energy well. As a result, this allows each ion dipole to be considered as a distinct and stable intermediate on the potential energy surface. The IVR was slow in this system, and no intermediate was formed during the course of the reaction. Instead, product was formed directly. ${ }^{20}$


Unsymmetrical bifurcating surfaces (Figure 2b) are far less understood and are potentially more interesting chemically because trajectories may lead to two nonequivalent products. ${ }^{21-28}$ Because the MEP does not bifurcate on these surfaces, TST is not able to predict the ratios of products, ${ }^{29}$ and presently no qualitative theory exists for predicting the selectivity. As a result, the identity of the major product and the ratio of products can only be understood by consideration of dynamic trajectories. ${ }^{5}$

The electron transfer - substitution mechanism involving ketyl radical anions with alkyl halides has been studied theoretical and found to involve an unsymmetrical bifurcating energy surface. ${ }^{21,27,28}$ In this reaction, a high-energy transition state was found and is described as an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ 2-like transition state. Trajectory analysis showed that once reactions were past this high-energy transition state on the energy surface, the
molecule was able to form two distinct products (eq 2). The product mixture cannot be predicted from TST.


Singleton and co-workers have also conducted a detailed mechanistic study for the ene reaction of singlet oxygen with simple alkenes. In this study, intermolecular KIEs were obtained for the reaction of singlet oxygen with 2,4-dimethyl-3-isopropyl-2pentene and intramolecular KIEs were obtained for the reaction with tetramethylethylene. The experimental KIE results suggest that the reaction is taking place via a two-step mechanism since the rate-determining (intermolecular) and the product selectivity-determining (intramolecular) KIEs did not correlate with each other. However, experimentally and theoretically, no intermediate was isolated or predicted to be a minima on the energy surface. This reaction is thought to involve a bifurcating energy hypersurface with an early transition state where singlet oxygen does not decide which olefinic carbon it will react with until it has reached the valley ridge inflection point. The origin of the intramolecular KIE is not a result of a kinetic competition between two high energy barriers for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ versus ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, but is a result of symmetry breaking in this symmetrical system. ${ }^{5,24,25}$


In a study of the cycloaddition of cyclopentadiene with ketenes, Singleton and Ussing provided evidence that dynamic effects are determining factors in regioselectivities observed for these reactions. Through a detailed kinetic study, they were able to observe the formation of both the $[4+2]$ and $[2+2]$ cycloadducts from the start of the reaction, which an earlier study had overlooked. The kinetic study showed that as the reaction progressed, the $[4+2]$ under went a $[3,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangement to the $[2+2]$ cycloadduct. Also, relatively small experimental intramolecular KIEs were observed for the $[2+2]$ cycloadduct of the diphenylketene reaction. One would have expected to obtain a larger KIE as in the case of the dichloroketene system. This experimental observation of low intramolecular KIE cannot be understood with a TST analysis but can be understood by a trajectory analysis. When starting from one single transition state for the diphenylketene system, quasiclassical trajectories not only afforded both [4+2] and [2+2] products but also many trajectories recrossed to reform starting materials. The trajectory evidence leads to the assumption that in this case, the decision for product determination is not final until it has reached the second transition state (transition state for product rearrangement) on the energy hypersurface, thus giving relatively small KIEs for this system. ${ }^{5}$


As seen from the examples given, by gathering information such as reaction rates, experimental KIEs, theoretical KIEs, and in some cases, trajectory analysis, selectivity in various reactions one can determine the origin of selectivity in a reaction.

## CHAPTER II

## ELECTROPHILIC AROMATIC SUBSTITUTION

The understanding of reactivity and regioselectivity in electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions had a substantial role in arguments in the 1920's and 1930's over the nature of organic structures, ${ }^{30}$ then was important again in the 1950's and 1960's in the rise of quantitative physical organic chemistry. The vast observations associated with electrophilic aromatic substitution can usually be understood within a limited mechanistic framework involving electrophilic attack via a transition state resembling $\mathbf{1}$ to afford an intermediate cation, e. g. 2, referred to as a $\sigma$-complex. This intermediate then loses a proton to form the substituted product 3. The evidence supporting this simple mechanism is diverse, but a cornerstone observation is a general correspondence between the stability of the intermediate $\sigma$-complex, the reactivity of the aromatic, and the regioselectivity of product formation. The relationship between reactivity and selectivity is delineated quantitatively in the "Brown selectivity relationship".",31 In a series of studies in the 1950's, Brown found that reactivity and isomer selectivity correspond in many simple electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions. ${ }^{32-41}$ This correspondence is strong evidence that a single transition state is both rate limiting and product determining, as would be expected for a transition state resembling 1 leading to the $\sigma$-complex. A subtle but critical implicit assumption in this analysis is that any particular transition state can only lead directly to a single product. This is not always
the case when bifurcating energy surfaces are involved. ${ }^{14,42-47}$ In this chapter, evidence for a bifurcating energy surface will show that under some circumstances play a role in the selectivity and mechanism of electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions.


In considering whether bifurcating surfaces might play a role in electrophilic aromatic substitution, it was best to explore reactions in which the electrophilic addition step has an "early" transition state. When the transition state for the addition of an electrophile to an aromatic is "late," the electrophile will be committed to forming a bond with a particular carbon and no dynamic choice of products is possible. This is the case for most simple electrophilic aromatic substitutions, as recognized by Hammond. ${ }^{48}$ An early transition state could in contrast provide the possibility that the ultimate regioselectivity of the attack might not be yet be decided. From Hammond's postulate, an early transition state should result from the combination of highly reactive electrophiles and highly activated aromatics.

Intriguingly, this is exactly the situation that has historically been mechanistically most controversial. Olah observed a series of reactions of strong electrophiles that display low intermolecular substrate selectivity (as a measure of relative reactivity of pairs of aromatics) but high positional (intramolecular) selectivity in product
regioselectivity. ${ }^{49}$ Based on this breakdown in the Brown selectivity relationship, Olah proposed that the inter- and intramolecular selectivities were determined in different steps, with intermolecular selectivity determined in a rate-limiting formation of a loose $\pi$-complex (4) (an intermediate first proposed by Dewar ${ }^{50,51}$ ) followed by intramolecular selectivity determined in the formation of the $\sigma$-complex.


Olah's proposal has been heavily criticized. A real breakdown in the Brown selectivity relationship is unambiguously indicative of the presence of two kinetically distinguishable steps in a reaction, but Ridd suggested that the first of these steps is more likely to be either macroscopic mixing or diffusional encounter. ${ }^{52}$ In broad analyses of toluene / benzene rate ratios and selectivities, anomalies were found to be rare ${ }^{53,54} \mathrm{~A}$ number of the apparent failures of the Brown selectivity relationship have been proposed to be fallacious. ${ }^{55,56}$ From the perspective of modern kinetic understanding, the ratelimiting formation of a $\pi$-complex as a separate step from diffusional encounter is unattractive because other weak complexes are typically formed at encounter-controlled rates. ${ }^{57}$ Despite these criticisms, some anomalies in selectivity observations are not readily rationalized or discounted, and this seems particularly the case with aromatics more reactive than toluene in their reactions with strong electrophiles. ${ }^{58-66}$

The Brown relationship between intermolecular substrate selectivity (reactivity) and intramolecular positional selectivity in electrophilic aromatic substitution is analogous to a well-known phenomenon in kinetic isotope effects (KIEs). When a reaction involves a single rate-limiting / product-determining step, intermolecular and intramolecular KIEs must correspond in a consistent manner. ${ }^{67}$ If they do not, the ratelimiting and product-determining steps must be separate. In conventional analyses, a lack of correspondence of intermolecular and intramolecular KIEs is considered to be proof of an intermediate, though it has been found that the dynamics of bifurcating energy surfaces can result in a reaction having two kinetically distinguishable steps without an intermediate. ${ }^{25}$

In this chapter, the combination of intermolecular and intramolecular KIEs has been applied as a new and advantageous form of the Brown selectivity relationship for electrophilic aromatic substitution. In the reaction of veratrole with a weak electrophile, the relationship holds, supporting the conventional mechanism. However, the relationship fails with a reactive electrophile, giving unusual intramolecular KIEs that are not rationalizable by a conventional mechanism. The combination of the experimental KIEs, standard theoretical calculations, and trajectory studies support a role for dynamic trajectories in controlling selectivity in some electrophilic aromatic substitutions.

## Results

The Friedel-Crafts acylation of veratrole (5) was chosen for study. Veratrole is highly reactive in electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions, and this high reactivity
was interestingly used by Dewar as an argument for the importance of $\pi$-complexes. ${ }^{51}$ The $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ positions of veratrole are of course equivalent in the absence of isotopic substitution, and high selectivity for substitution at these positions is typically observed. Particularly high selectivity is observed for acetylation of veratrole, as no product could be detected resulting from substitution at the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ position. However, the intermolecular substrate selectivity of acylation is in a medium range among electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions. ${ }^{68}$ Under the carefully studied conditions of Rapoport, ${ }^{69}$ the acylation of veratrole with acetyl chloride mediated by aluminum bromide affords $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ dimethoxyacetophenone (6) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with no detectable byproducts at up to $90 \%$ conversion.


For comparison with a reaction expected to follow a conventional electrophilic aromatic substitution mechanism, the bromination of veratrole in acetic acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was studied. Under these conditions, the electrophilic attack appears to involve a late transition state for formation of a $\sigma$-complex, as the reactivity of diverse aromatics closely parallels the stability of corresponding $\sigma$-complexes. ${ }^{49}$ As additional mechanistic evidence, bromination in acetic acid follows the Brown selectivity relationship. ${ }^{31}$ The
bromination of veratrole affords 4-bromoveratrole (7) in nearly quantitative yield, accompanied by approximately $0.4 \%$ of the isomeric 3-bromoveratrole.

Intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ KIEs. Intermolecular KIEs are the measure of the impact of isotopic substitution on the overall reactivity of a molecule, reflecting the transition state for the rate-limiting step in a reaction. The intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for the FriedelCrafts acylation of veratrole were determined combinatorially at natural abundance by NMR methodology. ${ }^{3}$ Acylations of veratrole mediated by aluminum bromide at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were taken to $82 \pm 2 \%$ and $86 \pm 2 \%$ conversion using limiting acetyl chloride, and the unreacted veratrole was recovered by an aqueous workup followed by column chromatography. The recovered veratrole was then analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR by comparison with a standard sample of veratrole that was taken from the same reagent bottle. The relative changes in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic composition in the aromatic carbons were determined using the methoxy carbons as an internal standard with the assumption that their isotopic composition did not change during the reaction. A problem encountered in this analysis was that the very sharp peak for the quaternary carbons of veratrole was not well integrable, so that the change in isotopic composition at these positions could not be determined. From the changes in isotopic composition of the aromatic carbons, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs were calculated as previously described. ${ }^{3}$

The results are shown in Figure 3. The key observation is that the isotope effects for the aromatic carbons are all very small (Figure 3a), essentially within experimental error of unity. The qualitative interpretation is that the rate-limiting transition state involves little or no bonding of the electrophile to the aromatic carbons. For
electrophilic attack to be rate limiting, the transition state would have to be very early. Alternatively, the isotope effects could be considered reasonably consistent with ratelimiting diffusional encounter of the electrophile.

(b) bromination in AcOH


Figure 3. Intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions. (a) Friedel-Crafts acylation using acetyl chloride / $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) Bromination using $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in acetic acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To obtain KIEs for bromination in acetic acid, reactions of natural-abundance veratrole were taken to $77 \pm 2 \%$ and $74 \pm 3 \%$ conversion using limiting bromine at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the unreacted veratrole was recovered by removing most of the acetic acid under vacuum, followed by an aqueous workup and fractional vacuum distillation. NMR analysis as before led to the isotope effects shown in Figure 3b.

The KIE of $\approx 1.0035$ at $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ is quite small for positions expected to exhibit a primary isotope effect, but it should be recognized that the observed isotope effects for the symmetrical veratrole are necessarily an average of the individual isotope effects at the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ positions. For an unsymmetrical electrophilic attack, the carbon not being attacked would be expected to have a very small isotope effect that would be averaged with that for the carbon being attacked, lowering the overall KIE observed. Overall, the $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ KIE, while small, is qualitatively consistent with a rate-limiting electrophilic
attack on one of these carbons. A more quantitative interpretation of the isotope effects will be possible below with the aid of theoretically calculated isotope effects.

Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ KIEs. Intramolecular KIEs reflect the relative facility of two branches of a mechanism that are equivalent, except for isotopic substitution. As such, intramolecular KIEs characterize the first irreversible step undertaken by an initially symmetrical molecule after losing symmetry on the path to product, and this step will be referred to here as the 'product-determining step.'

The intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{KIE}$ at $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ for acylation of veratrole was determined from analysis of samples of the product 6 obtained from acylations taken to 46-48\% conversion, using methodology for the accurate and precise measurement of the relative integrations of pairs of peaks within a spectrum..$^{25,70,71}$ This includes high digital resolution, long delays, centering of the peaks of interest within the spectral window, and integration ranges that are a constant multiple of the peak width at half height. A complication in the numerical interpretation of these integrations is that $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ is subject to three ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ couplings with satellites not included in the integration range, while $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ is only subject to two such satellite couplings. To allow for this, the integrations at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ was adjusted by the $0.0107(8)$ natural abundance of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{72}$ After this correction, the integration of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ peak for $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of 6 was surprisingly $2 \%$ greater than that for $\mathrm{C}_{5}$. The excess ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in the acylated carbon was consistent in a total of four samples, two each from reactions in dichloromethane and $o$-dichlorobenzene. The intramolecular KIE, defined as $\left({ }^{12} k /{ }^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) /\left({ }^{12} k /^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$ was then calculated as the reciprocal of the ratio of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ composition in the two positions.

The results are shown in Figure 4. The observation of a substantial inverse KIE in this reaction (Figure 4a) is highly unusual and is opposite to what would be expected for a transition state involving carbon-carbon bond formation at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$. No effect of solvent was observed - allowing for the uncertainties the KIEs in the two solvents were identical.

For comparison, the intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE at $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ for bromination in acetic acid (Figure 4b) was determined by analysis of 7 obtained from reactions taken to 9193\% conversion. (No detectable dibromination product was observed in these reactions.) In this case, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ composition in the brominated $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ carbon was less than in $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ position. This isotopic compositions corresponded to experimental intramolecular KIEs, defined as with 6, of 1.013-1.016. In this case the normal isotope effect fits with qualitative expectations for a product-determining transition state involving formation of a carbon-bromine bond.
(a) Friedel-Crafts acylation

(b) bromination in AcOH


Figure 4. Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs, defined as $\left({ }^{12} k /{ }^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) /\left({ }^{12} k /{ }^{13} k\right.$ at $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, for electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions. (a) Friedel-Crafts acylation using acetyl chloride / $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ in either $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $o$-dichlorobenzene at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) Bromination using $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in acetic acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

H/D KIEs. In some electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions, loss of a proton from the intermediate $\sigma$-complex can be fully or partially rate limiting. This includes Friedel-Crafts acylation reactions under some conditions. ${ }^{73,74}$ Rate-limiting deprotonation of the $\sigma$-complex would still not account for inverse isotope effect observed in acylation, as a normal ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE would be expected for the deprotonation step. However, it was important to evaluate this potential complication.

For this reason, the H/D KIEs were studied for veratrole. Veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ was prepared by successive exchange reactions at $\approx 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ followed by $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and was found to be $98.6 \%$ deuterated in the aromatic positions based on NMR analysis. Acylations of 1:1 mixtures of veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ and veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ taken to 7\% and $6 \%$ conversion (limited by rapid quenching with an excess of an aqueous bicarbonate solution) afforded in both cases a $1.01: 1$ ratio of $6: 6-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ by NMR analysis (using 21.6 s delays, $\approx 5 \times \mathrm{T}_{1}$, between $\pi / 2$ pulses). Mass spectral analysis of recovered veratrole from each reaction revealed that negligible $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange had occurred in the starting material under these conditions. The $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of $1.01( \pm \approx 5 \%)$ rules out ratelimiting deprotonation of a $\sigma$-complex.

## Theoretical Studies

The Energy Surface for Addition of Acylium Cation to Veratrole. The application of theoretical calculations to mechanistic studies of electrophilic aromatic substitution represents a substantial challenge due to the importance of charge-separated species in the mechanistic pathway. To mitigate this problem, the calculational models
here employ a variety of approaches. Initially, the issue of zwitterionic charge separation was avoided by looking at cationic species in the absence of a counterion, either in the gas phase or including implicit or explicit solvent models. Then later, a counterion was included along with implicit or explicit solvent. Ultimately, it is questionable whether any practical theoretical model can adequately and reliably represent the energy surface for the reaction in solution. Instead, the goal was to calculationally explore mechanistic models against which the unusual experimental observations can be interpreted.

In gas-phase DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31+G**), the addition of acylium cation (8) to $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ of veratrole to afford $\mathbf{9}$ is downhill by $30.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (pot. $\mathrm{E}+$ zpe) and there is no potential energy barrier for the reaction. Solvent will tend to preferentially stabilize the more localized charge of 8 over the delocalized charge of $\mathbf{9}$, but $\mathbf{9}$ is still downhill from $\mathbf{8}+$ veratrole by $11.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} / \mathrm{PCM}+\right.$ zpe with full geometry optimization) when a PCM solvent model for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is employed.


Relaxed energy surfaces for approach of $\mathbf{8}$ to veratrole were calculated by fixing the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at a grid of values and optimizing the remaining geometrical variables at each point. The B3LYP/6-31+G**/PCM + zpe surface generated in this way is shown in Figure 5. Similar surfaces were obtained for the gas phase (though the surface is more sloping due to the greater exothermicity in the gas phase) and in MP2/6-31+G** calculations in both the gas phase and with a solvent model. The essential feature of each of these surfaces is that the preferred approach of $\mathbf{8}$ is symmetrical between $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ at long $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances. As $\mathbf{8}$ comes closer to veratrole, its approach ultimately breaks symmetry to afford chiral 9 , but the symmetry breaking is not favored until the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances are less than $2.8 \AA$.


Figure 5. Energy surface (B3LYP/6-31+G**/PCM + zpe) for the approach of acylium ion 8 to veratrole, fixing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at the values specified on the grid. Higher-energy points at the left, right, and front of the grid have been left out for clarity.

In more technical detail, the reaction's minimum-energy path (MEP, the steepestdescent path in mass-weighted coordinates starting from a geometry with $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances of $3.95 \AA$ ) passes through a $\mathrm{C}_{s}$-symmetric channel in which modes orthogonal to the MEP all have a positive curvature. The MEP then passes a valleyridge inflection point (VRI) when $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}=2.8 \AA$, at which one of the modes has a zero curvature. At shorter distances, an orthogonal mode involving side-to-side motion of the acylium ion has a negative curvature, and real trajectories will tend to diverge from the MEP. The MEP itself can only bifurcate at a stationary point, and it proceeds to saddle point $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$, the transition structure for a 1,2-migration of the acyl group equilibrating 9 and its enantiomer $\mathbf{9}^{9}$.



Within this calculational model, the addition of acylium cation to veratrole has no meaningful conventional transition state. ${ }^{75}$ The canonical variational transition state, that is, the starting materials / product dividing surface for which the free energy is a maximum, can be approximated from entropy estimates based on the unscaled harmonic frequencies. This in itself is problematic due to the inaccuracy of such entropy estimates
for loose structures, but following the MEP the free energy reaches an approximate plateau centered on structure $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ with a $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distance of $3.1 \AA$. It should be noted that the normal mode associated with the imaginary frequency in $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ involves forward / back motion of the acylium ion versus the veratrole, while the imaginary mode in $\mathbf{1 0}^{\ddagger}$ involves side-to-side motion.

Addition in the Presence of Explicit Solvent. The real reaction could be complicated by the presence of solvent molecules in ways that are not accounted for in implicit solvent models. For example, assuming that $\mathbf{8}$ approaches the veratrole symmetrically, the arrangement of solvent molecules surrounding the reactants as the approach progresses will in any individual case be unsymmetrical. It might be envisioned that the arrangement of solvent molecules could dictate whether $\mathbf{9}$ or $\mathbf{9}^{\prime}$ is formed. In addition, the preference for symmetrical approach could conceivably be lost if explicit solvent best stabilized an unsymmetrical approach. Finally, solvent could certainly affect the position of the variational transition state, and an unsymmetrical transition state would be anticipated if the transition state is sufficiently late.

To study these issues, a calculational model reaction including 22 explicit $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules was explored in ONIOM calculations using a B3LYP/6-31G* layer for the $\mathbf{8}+$ veratrole, and using an AM1 layer for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules, shown in Figure 6. Low-energy solvent configurations in the area of the energy surface for attack of $\mathbf{8}$ on veratrole were obtained by simulated annealing with a maximum temperature of 600 K and confining the molecules to a $14 \AA$ cubic box, fixing the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at 3.1 $\AA$. Of the structures found, $\mathbf{1 2}$ was lowest in energy, though the necessarily limited
annealing process is unlikely to have found the global minimum. Classical trajectories started statistically at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $\mathbf{1 2}$ and other low-energy structures invariably resulted in formation of $\mathbf{9}$ or $\mathbf{9}$ ' within 1000 fs . This supports the idea that $\mathbf{1 2}$ is past the variational transition state for addition of $\mathbf{8}$ to veratrole.


Figure 6. 22 explicit $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules was explored in ONIOM calculations using a B3LYP/6-31G* layer for the $\mathbf{8}+$ veratrole, and using an AM1 layer for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules (12).

Addition Including the Counterion. The $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$counterion involved in this experimental reactions was computationally modeled here for simplicity as $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, assuming that the choice of the counterion would not qualitatively affect the mechanism. In B3LYP/6-31+G**/PCM calculations, transition structure $\mathbf{1 3}$ was located for the reaction of veratrole with an acylium $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$ion pair. Unlike the reaction in the absence of counterion, the reaction including the counterion faces a conventional potential energy barrier. However, with $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ at $2.67 \AA$, the transition structure is still quite early with
respect to carbon-carbon bond formation. A notable feature of $\mathbf{1 3}$ is that the counterion has imparted asymmetry to the approach of the acylium ion to the veratrole - the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances are now unequal. In principle the loosely bound $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$could adopt a position anti to the incoming nucleophilic veratrole, but this would lead to greater charge separation between the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and the incipient positive charge on the aromatic ring.


While structure $\mathbf{1 3}$ is a saddle point on the potential energy surface, entropy will also affect the distance between the loosely coordinated counterion and the acylium ion as the attack on veratrole proceeds. To gauge qualitatively the effect of entropy on the looseness of the counterion association, classical trajectories were initiated from $\mathbf{1 3}$ at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, fixing the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances but leaving all other motions variable. When this is done, the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ion traverses its potential energy well over time in a way that reflects both the potential energy and the classical entropy. The average distance between $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and the nearest fluorine atom over a series of 1 ps trajectories was $3.2 \AA$. This implies that the counterion association as the acylium ion approaches the veratrole is likely much looser than suggested by 13. This will tend to decrease the approach asymmetry induced by the counterion.

Predicted Isotope Effects. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs based on $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ and the bromination derivatives were predicted from scaled theoretical vibrational ${ }^{76}$ frequencies using conventional transition state theory by the Bigeleisen and Mayer method. ${ }^{77-79}$ Tunneling corrections were applied using a one-dimensional infinite parabolic barrier model. ${ }^{80}$ Such KIE predictions have proven highly accurate in reactions not involving hydrogen transfer, so long as the calculation accurately depicts the mechanism and transition state geometry. ${ }^{2,21,23,26-28,81,82}$ The results are shown in Figure 7.
(a) Friedel-Crafts acylation




1.000
(b) bromination in AcOH



Figure 7. Predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs based on $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ in parenthesis), $\mathbf{9}$ and bromination derivates.

In the case of bromination, the predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs correlate very well with experimentally measured 13C KIEs. A late transition state is reflective in the KIEs that are observed for the starting material, and the product KIEs are a result of equilibration giving excellent agreement with the Brown selectivity relationship. Meaning, since both starting material and product KIEs correlate nicely with each other, this data is an indication that both the Inter- and intramolecular selectivity for bromination is
happening in the same step. On the other hand, in the case of the Friedel-Crafts acylation, when predicting the KIEs form $\mathbf{1 1}^{\ddagger}$ one does not obtain a realistic KIE. The imaginary frequency associated with the transition state overestimates the modes of vibration contribution of the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ positions. For that reason, the intermolecular KIEs were predicted from 12. Structure $\mathbf{1 2}$ gives a more realistic mode for the solvated veratrole + acylium ion complex, and gives a value that correlates well with what is obtained experimentally. The predicted intramolecular KIEs does not correlate with experimental values, and can not be the result of an equilibration.

## Nitrations

Another controversial electrophilic substitution reaction that is notorious for not following the Brown selectivity relationship is that of nitration. To explore this system, a mechanistic study was done similar to that done for Friedel-Crafts acylation.

Many nitration procedures exist in the literature, however, for purposes here, the optimal reaction condition found using $50 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at room temperature. Hydrazine was also used in the reaction as an $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$scavenger. Also, catalytic amounts of anisole were used $(0.01 \mathrm{eq})$ and was used as an indicator for the presence of $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$.
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Nitration Inter- and Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ KIEs. Inter- and intramolecular KIEs for the nitration of veratrole were determined again combinatorially at natural abundance by NMR methodology. ${ }^{3}$ Nitrations of veratrole for intermolecular KIEs were taken to 78 $\%$ and $80 \%$ conversion, and the unreacted veratrole was recovered by an aqueous workup followed by column chromatography. The recovered veratrole was then analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR by comparison with a standard sample of veratrole that was taken from the same reagent bottle. The relative changes in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic composition in the aromatic carbons were determined using the methoxy carbons as an internal standard with the assumption that their isotopic composition did not change during the reaction.

The results are shown in Figure 8. The isotope effects for the aromatic carbons are all very small, essentially unity, just as in the case of Friedel-Crafts acylation.



Figure 8. Inter- and intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for nitration of veratrole using $50 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE at $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ for nitration was determined from analysis of samples of the product 14 obtained from nitrations taken to $50 \%$ conversion, using methodology described above. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ composition in the nitrated $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ carbon was less
than in $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ position. This isotopic composition corresponded to intramolecular KIEs, defined as with 14, of 1.015-1.019.

Nitration Theoretical Studies. In gas-phase DFT calculations (B3LYP/6$31 G^{*}$ ), the addition of nitronium cation (15) to $\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ of veratrole to afford $\mathbf{1 6}$ is downhill by $40.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}($ pot. $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{zpe})$ and there is no potential energy barrier for the reaction. With PCM solvent model 16 is still downhill from $\mathbf{1 5}+$ veratrole by 20.2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*} / \mathrm{PCM}+\right.$ zpe with full geometry optimization $)$.


Relaxed energy surfaces for approach of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to veratrole were calculated by fixing the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at a grid of values and optimizing the remaining geometrical variables at each point. The B3LYP/6-31G*/PCM + zpe surface generated in this way is shown in Figure 9. Similar surfaces were obtained for the gas phase. As $\mathbf{1 5}$ comes closer to the veratrole, its approach ultimately breaks symmetry to afford the chiral 16, but the symmetry breaking is not favored until the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances are less than $2.4 \AA$.


Figure 9. Energy Surface (B3LYP/6-31G*/PCM + zpe) for the approach of nitronium to veratrole, fixing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ distances at the values specified on the grid. Highenergy points at the left, right, and front of the grid have been left out for clarity.

## Discussion

In the classic mechanism of electrophilic aromatic substitution, Brown identified the relationship that exists between the substrate selectivity and resulting product selectivity. ${ }^{31}$ For reactions involving electrophiles with "late," transition states, the electrophile will be committed to forming a bond with a particular carbon and no dynamic choice of products is possible. The bromonium ion is one such electrophile. It has been found through experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs in the bromination of veratrole that Brown's selectivity relationship holds true. For the bromination of veratrole in acetic acid, a normal intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE of $\approx 1.014$ and a normal intermolecular isotope effect of $\approx 1.007$ were observed using natural abundance methodology. These experimental isotope effects are consistent with a conventional electrophilic aromatic
substitution mechanism involving a late rate-limiting / selectivity-determining transition state resembling a $\sigma$-complex.

This is not the case for Friedel-Crafts acylations. The mechanism supported here for Friedel-Crafts acylations can be described as a "two-step no intermediate" reaction, similar to that of the singlet oxygen ene reaction. ${ }^{25}$ Olah was right in the sense that the inter- and intramolecular selectivities were determined in different steps, but in a completely different fundamental. He had proposed a $\pi$-complex intermediate where selectivity was determined; our proposal is that selectivity is being influenced by dynamic effects on a bifurcating energy surface.

The experimental KIEs (inter- and intramolecular) measured using natural abudance methodology for the Friedel-Crafts acylation of veratrole do not correlate with each other. The inverse intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE $(\approx 0.0981)$ and the lack of an intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE ( $\approx 1.000$ ) are inconsistent with the conventional electrophilic aromatic substitution mechanism. These data suggest that the rate-determining and selectivity-determining steps are separate. If the rate-determining and selectivitydetermining step are different steps on the MEP, this suggests the existence of an intermediate positioned somewhere between a $\pi$-complex and 9 . However, upon theoretical examination of the energy surface, and due to the fact that no such intermediate has ever observed in the history of Friedel-Crafts acylations, a long-lived intermediate must be ruled out.

If deprotonation were the rate determining step in Friedel-Crafts acylation, then that might amount for the unusual intramolecular KIE. The experimental H/D KIE is
unity and is inconsistent with rate-limiting proton loss from a $\sigma$-complex. A deprotonation rate-limiting mechanism cannot be used here to explain the origin of the inverse intramolecular KIE.

Extensive theoretical calculations provide an explanation for the experimental acylation observations. The acylation of veratrole involves a symmetrical early ratelimiting transition state followed by dynamic selectivity on a bifurcating energy surface, which is the origin of the intramolecular isotope effect. It was found that solvent models are necessary for accurately modeling this system due to the charge of the acylium ion.

Nitration is yet another example found in the literature that does not follow the Brown selectivity relationship. The experimental KIEs for the nitration of veratrole are far more difficult to interpret than those of Friedel-Crafts acylation or bromination. A normal intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE of $\approx 1.017$ was measured, however, no intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE was observed. Again here the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs are inconsistent with each other, but in a different way from that of Friedel-Crafts acylation. This data can only suggest an early rate-determining step. Because the intramolecular KIE is normal, it cannot be concluded that these two steps are not correlated. Theoretical calculations provide evidence for an early symmetrical rate-limiting transition state followed by dynamic selectivity on a bifurcating energy surface. The mechanism of nitration still remains to be debated.

This type of analysis can be applied to other non-symmetrical systems, such as Friedel-Crafts acylation of anisole. By modeling the energy surface, one might be able to better understand the unusually high amounts of meta isomers formed during acylations.


## Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out in dried glassware and freshly purified solvent.
Preparation of Veratrole- $\mathbf{d}_{4}$. A mixture of 15 g of veratrole in 100 mL of deuterium oxide and 15 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid was prepared and refluxed. Aliquots were periodically removed and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and it was observed that after 3 d the deuterium incorporation into the aromatic hydrogens was no longer changing substantially. The reaction mixture was then cooled and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was then washed with $5 \%$ sodium bicarbonate, water, and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The volatiles were removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was chromatographed on a $55-\mathrm{mm} \times 300-\mathrm{mm}$ flash silica gel column using $30 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes as eluent to afford 17.4 g veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{4}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 0.28 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 0.29 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. The CI-MS m/e 142:141 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+} / \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$ion intensity ratio was 65.5:34.5.

## Intramolecular Isotope Effects in the Acylation of Veratrole. Example

Procedure. A 2 M solution of aluminum bromide in dichloromethane was added to a mixture of $3.102 \mathrm{~g}(0.022 \mathrm{~mol})$ of veratrole and $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}(0.011 \mathrm{~mol})$ of acetyl chloride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ while stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 4 h the conversion, monitored by NMR analysis of aliquots, was $46 \%$. The reaction mixture was washed successively with 1 M HCl and water, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The volatiles were removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residual oil was chromatographed on a $65-\mathrm{mm} \times 300-\mathrm{mm}$ flash silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent, followed by a second chromatography on a $33 \mathrm{~mm} \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ flash silica gel column using $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as eluent. The resulting crude product was recrystallized from petroleum ether to afford 398 mg of $\mathbf{6}$ :
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d} J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd} J=2.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d} J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 197.0, 153.5, 149.2, $130.7,123.5,110.2,110.1,56.2,56.1,26.4$.

An analogous reaction using 3.105 g of veratrole was taken to $48 \%$ conversion and 1.09 g of $\mathbf{6}$ was isolated. Two other analogous reactions were performed in $o$ dichlorobenzene instead of dichloromethane, using 3.182 and 3.188 g of veratrole with the reactions taken to $82 \%$ and $86 \%$ conversion, affording 0.95 g and 1.02 g of $\mathbf{6}$, respectively. Two similar reactions using 0.345 g of veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ and 0.355 g of veratrole- $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ were taken to $48 \%$ and $47 \%$ conversion, affording 35 mg and 20 mg of $\mathbf{6 - d _ { 3 }}$.

Intermolecular Isotope Effects in the Acylation of Veratrole. Example
Procedure. A 2 M solution of aluminum bromide in dichloromethane was added to a mixture of 20.726 g veratrole $(0.15 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $13 \mathrm{~mL}(0.15 \mathrm{~mol})$ of acetyl chloride in 1.5

L of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ while stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 8 h the conversion was $82 \%$. The reaction mixture was washed successively with 1 M HCl and water, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the volatiles were removed using a rotary evaporator. The residue was chromatographed successively on three flash silica gel columns using 20\% $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes as eluent to afford 0.60 g of the unreacted veratole. An analogous reaction using 20.731 g of veratrole was taken to $86 \%$ conversion and 1.10 g of veratrole was reisolated.

## Intramolecular Isotope Effects in the Bromination of Veratrole. Example

Procedure. While stirring, 8 g of bromine ( 0.05 mol ) in 30 mL of acetic acid was added dropwise over 1 h to $6.901 \mathrm{~g}(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ of veratrole in 20 mL of acetic acid at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was monitored by NMR analysis of aliquots, and after 1 h the conversion was $93 \%$. The bulk of the acetic acid was then removed by vacuum distillation, and the resultant oil was taken up in 25 mL of petroleum ether and washed successively with $5 \%$ sodium hydroxide and water, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The volatiles were removed on a rotary evaporator and the residue was distilled under vacuum to afford 2.9 g of 4-bromo-1,2-dimethoxy-benzene: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.72-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d} J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.8,148.4,123.4,114.8,112.7,112.6$, 56.1, 56.0. An analogous reaction using 6.905 g of veratrole was taken to $95 \%$ conversion and 2.0 g of 3-bromo-1,2dimethoxy-benzene was isolated.

## Intermolecular Isotope Effects in the Bromination of Veratrole. Example

Procedure. While stirring, 19 g of bromine $(0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 72 mL of acetic acid was added dropwise over 2 h to $20.727 \mathrm{~g}(0.15 \mathrm{~mol})$ of veratrole in 60 mL of acetic acid at 0
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h the conversion based on NMR analysis was $77 \%$. The reaction was worked up as in the previous procedure, and 4.0 g of the unreacted veratrole was recovered by fractional vacuum distillation. An analogous reaction using 20.730 g of veratrole was taken to $74 \%$ conversion, with 1.22 g of veratrole recovered.

## Intramolecular Isotope Effects in the Nitration of Veratrole. Example

Procedure. While stirring, 10 g of hydrazine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 544 mL of $50 \%$ nitric acid solution was added and stirred for 30 mins , then 0.2 g of anisole ( 0.002 mol ) was added to the solution and left to stir for an additional hour. Veratrole, $5.5 \mathrm{~g}(0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$, was then added and the reaction was monitored by NMR analysis of aliquots, and after 30 mins the conversion was $50 \%$. The reaction mixture was then poured over ice and the organics were extracted with chloroform. The combined organic layers were then filtered through a silica plug and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and deactivated charcoal. The volatiles were removed using a rotary evaporator and the residue was crystallized using petroleum ether to afford 2.5 g of 1,2-dimethoxy-4-nitrobenzene: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta$ X.X $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 154.7, 149.1, $118.0,110.1,106.7,56.77,56.6$. An analogous reaction using 5.5 g of veratrole was taken to $50 \%$ conversion and 2.6 g of 1,2-dimethoxy-4-nitrobenzene.

## Intermolecular Isotope Effects in the Nitration of Veratrole. Example

Procedure. While stirring, 26 g of hydrazine $(0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 1.36 L of $50 \%$ nitric acid solution was added and stirred for 30 mins , then 0.54 g of anisole $(0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the solution and left to stir for an additional hour. Veratrole, $13.8 \mathrm{~g}(0.10 \mathrm{~mol})$, was then added and the reaction was monitored by NMR analysis of aliquots, and after 1 hr
the conversion was $76 \%$. The reaction was worked up as in the previous procedure, and 2.1 g of the unreacted veratrole was recovered by fractional vacuum distillation. An analogous reaction using 13.8 g of veratrole was taken to $80 \%$ conversion, with 2.4 g of veratrole recovered.

NMR Measurements. Samples were in 5-mm NMR tubes filled with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ to a constant height of 5.0 cm , and the intermolecular KIE measurements involving a comparison of sample versus standard used a constant amount of analyte ( 400 mg for samples of recovered veratrole from acylation, 650 mg for samples of recovered veratrole from bromination, and 400 mg for samples of recovered veratrole from nitration). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 125.701 MHz with inverse gated decoupling. A $T_{1}$ measurement was performed on each sample to ensure that the relaxation rates did not change from sample to sample. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant integration region for each peak based on peak width. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 6 were taken using 86.5 -s delays between calibrated $90^{\circ}$ pulses, $9.000-\mathrm{s}$ acquisition time, and collecting 503872 points. For comparison of each relevant pair of peaks, a set of spectra was taken with the transmitter centered between the two peaks. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of veratrole were taken using 72-s delays between calibrated $90^{\circ}$ pulses, $14.221-\mathrm{s}$ acquisition time, and collecting 512,000 points.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of $\mathbf{6 - d _ { 3 }}$ were taken using 10 -s delays between calibrated $90^{\circ}$ pulses, 3.744 -s acquisition time, and collecting 41932 points. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 3 -bromo-1,2-dimethoxy-benzene were taken using 69-s delays between calibrated $90^{\circ}$ pulses, 10.669-s acquisition time, and collecting 512000 points. Six spectra were
obtained for each sample. The resulting ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ integrations for the spectra are provided in the Appendix A, along with sample spectra, and the KIEs were calculated as previously described.

## CHAPTER III

## CYCLOPENTADIENONE DIELS-ALDER CYCLOADDITIONS

A unique opportunity to develop an understanding of selectivity on bifurcating energy surfaces was presented by the cycloadditions of 1,3-dienes with a substituted cyclopentadieneone. Cyclopentadienones are extremely reactive in Diels-Alder cycloadditions and readily dimerize in the absence of steric stabilization (Scheme 1). ${ }^{83}$ The dimer product is the result of a $[4+2]$ cycloaddition, with one molecule acting as the diene and the other as the dienophile. ${ }^{84}$ Caramella and co-workers have proposed based on computational studies that the cyclopentadienone dimerization also involves a C2-symmetric bispericyclic transition state, similar to the cyclopentadiene case previously mentioned, which involves symmetry breaking to afford two identical products. ${ }^{85}$ Early studies found that when free cyclopentadienone is generated in the presence of a diene, the cyclopentadienone acts exclusively as the dienophile and not as a diene in the observed Diels-Alder product (Scheme 2). ${ }^{86}$ However, cyclopentadienone can also act as a reactive diene in Diels-Alder cycloadditions with acetylenic dienophiles. ${ }^{84}$ The nature of the exclusive periselectivity (selectivity between allowed cycloadditions - here $\left[4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}+2 \pi_{\text {diene }}\right]$ versus $\left[2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}+4 \pi_{\text {diene }}\right]$ with cyclopentadiene) remains unclear.

Scheme 1


Scheme 2

Substituted cyclopentadienones are less reactive and have longer lifetimes in solution. ${ }^{83,87-90}$ Recently, Harmata has reported numerous examples of periselective Diels-Alder reactions using 17 as a precursor to cyclopentadienone $\mathbf{1 8}$ in the presence of a base. In the presence of various dienes, the ensuing cycloadditions afford good yields of cycloadducts under mild conditions. Mechanistically, these well-behaved reactions provide an excellent opportunity to add to the understanding of cyclopentadienone cycloadditions. The possibility that the periselectivity of these reactions is decided on a bifurcating energy surface was particularly interesting, based on their similarity to both theoretical studies of the parent cyclopentadienone reaction and to the experimental studies of cyclopentadiene/ketene reactions. ${ }^{5}$ It will be seen that experimental and calculational studies support this idea. Mechanistically, these well-behaved reactions with broadly variable dienes provide an excellent opportunity for deeper consideration of the nature of their selectivity.


Describe here is a mechanistic study of the Diels-Alder cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with1,3-dienes using a combination of experimental kinetic isotope effects (KIEs), theoretical calculations, and trajectory calculations. The results define the qualitative ides necessary to understand the dynamically-determined selectivity on bifurcating surfaces, including consideration of the detailed transition structure geometry, of how trajectories cross an unsymmetrical transition state ridge, and of the shape of the energy surface.

## Results

Continua of Transition Structures. Based on the hypothesis above that the reactions of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with 1,3-dienes would involve bifurcating energy surfaces, we sought to examine a range of reactions that varied from preferring $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ periselectivity to preferring $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ periselectivity, with examples exhibiting intermediate selectivity. Toward that end, transition structures for the reaction of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with a variety of dienes were surveyed in DFT calculations. From this survey, the reactions of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (19), 1-vinylcyclohexene (20), 2-vinylfuran (21), and styrene (22) were chosen for further experimental and computational study.

Transition structures for these reactions were located in MPW1 $\mathrm{K}^{91}$ calculations using a $6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set, ${ }^{92}$ and depicted in Figure 10. These studies were complicated by a diversity of possible modes for the cycloadditions. For example, a total of 16 transition structures were located for the cycloaddition of vinylcyclohexene with 18 in which vinylcyclohexene plays the $4 \pi$-component role in the cycloaddition. These 16 structures arise from the possibility of endo versus exo orientation of $\mathbf{1 8}$ relative to vinylcyclohexene, two possible regiochemical orientations of $\mathbf{1 8}$ versus vinylcyclohexene, the possible involvement of the $\mathrm{C}_{2},=\mathrm{C}_{3}$, versus $\mathrm{C}_{4},=\mathrm{C}_{5}$, double bonds of 18, and the possibility for attack on vinylcyclohexene from two faces defined by the half-chair conformation of the cyclohexene ring. Fortunately, the consideration of these reactions was simplified by a strong preference for endo transition structures (the exo transition structures were at least $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy), a strong preference for reaction at $\mathrm{C}_{2},=\mathrm{C}_{3}$, of $\mathbf{1 8}$, and a strong regioselectivity preference favoring bonding of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ of the dienes with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, of $\mathbf{1 8}$. As a result, the reactions of $\mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 1}$, and $\mathbf{2 2}$ are predicted to be dominated by the single transition structures $\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 5}$, and $\mathbf{2 6}$, while the reaction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ has two low-energy transition structures 24-ax and 24-eq. 24-ax is referred to as "axial" and 24-eq as "equatorial" based upon the initial orientation of $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ bond formation on the incipient cyclohexane chair. The axial structure is slightly favored in keeping with related trends in other additions to cyclohexenes. ${ }^{93}$
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Figure 10. The low-energy transition structures for the reactions of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with 2,3dimethylbutadiene (19), 1-vinylcyclohexene (20), 2-vinylfuran (21), and styrene (22), along with a summary of trajectory studies on these structures. Activation barriers (MPW1K/6-31+G** + zpe) are versus separate starting materials in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The striking feature of these transition structures is that they have qualities of both $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ cycloadditions. This bispericyclic
character, ${ }^{85,94,95}$ likely contributing to the low energy of the structures versus alternatives, can be seen in the similarity of the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$, distances in each structure. Based on the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$, versus $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$, distances, structure $\mathbf{2 3}$ may be described as having greater $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ character while the styrene transition structure $\mathbf{2 5}$ is more $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ in character, with 24-ax and $\mathbf{2 5}$ in between. Notably, the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ versus $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ character of these transition structures seems closely related to the relative stability of the possible products. For $\mathbf{2 3}$ and 24-ax, the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products $\mathbf{2 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$ are more stable by 22.6 and $10.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, than the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products $\mathbf{2 8}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ (MPW1K/6-31+G** + zpe).

For $\mathbf{2 5}$ and 26, the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ are more stable than the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products $\mathbf{3 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$ by 1.5 and $12.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.

This intriguing continuum of transition structures is necessarily subdivided in MEP analyses since a steepest-descent path can only lead to a single product. The MEPs (in mass-weighted coordinates) passing through 23 and 24-ax lead to the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+\right.$ $\left.2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products 27 and 29 , while the MEPs passing through 25 and 26 lead to [ $2 \pi_{\text {diene }}$ $+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}$ ] cycloadducts 31 and 33. It will be seen below that trajectories through these transition structures are not so cleanly subdivided. A search was undertaken for alternative transition state structures that were mainly $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ in character for reactions of $\mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ or mainly $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ in character for reactions of $\mathbf{2 1}$ and 22. No low-energy structures of these types could be located. Instead, attempts to locate transition structures for the alternative cycloaddition modes invariably reconverged on

23, 24-ax, 25, and 26. This is consistent with a merging of $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and
$\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ pathways through the transition structures, and supports the involvement of bifurcating energy surfaces qualitatively resembling those of Figure 2.

A second continuum of transition structures is of importance here. As discussed in the introduction of this chapter, bifurcating energy surfaces are recognizable by the presence of a second geometrically adjacent saddle point without an intervening intermediate. The adjacent saddle points in the systems here are the transition structures for the [3,3]-sigmatropic (Cope) rearrangements interconverting the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products. The transition structures located for these rearrangements are shown in Figure 11 and their geometric proximity to the cycloaddition transition structures of Figure 10 is obvious. However, one difference in the trends in the two continua seems important. While the cycloaddition transition structures geometrically favored the more stable product, the rearrangement transition structures, following Hammond's postulate, more closely resemble the less stable product. As the relative stability of the products changes, the cycloaddition and rearrangement transition structures shift in opposite directions!


Figure 11. MPW $1 \mathrm{~K} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ transition structures for the [3,3]-sigmatropic (Cope) rearrangements interconverting the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products 27, 29, 32, and 34 and the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products 28, 30, 31, and 33. Energies (MPW1K/6-31+G** + zpe) are versus separate $\mathbf{1 8}$ / diene, in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, to be on the same scale as the energies of Figure 10.

Product Studies. The reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathbf{1 9}$ has been previously reported ${ }^{88}$ and affords the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product 27 as the only observable cycloadduct except for traces of materials arising from apparent dimerization of $\mathbf{1 8}$. The reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with vinylcyclohexene (20), also previously reported, ${ }^{88}$ affords the single cycloadduct, 29, though it will be seen that this reaction is more complex than it appears. Product 29 is notably the endo isomer that would arise from 24-ax or 24-eq, and no traces of either exo adducts or the possible alternative regioisomer were observed. For reasons that will be apparent, particular care was taken in attempts to observe the alternative $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+\right.$ $\left.4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product 30 in the reaction mixture, but no evidence for its formation was obtained.

The reaction of 2-vinylfuran (21) with $\mathbf{1 8}$ was more complicated, affording both the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $\mathbf{3 1}$ and the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $\mathbf{3 2}$ in a total yield of $88 \%$ and a ratio of $1.6: 1$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 2 days. The stereochemistries of $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ were assigned from analysis of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling constants and COSY spectra. The $\mathrm{H}_{\text {exo }}$ in $\mathbf{3 1}$ was assigned on the basis of a 5.2 Hz coupling constant with the bridgehead hydrogen at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, while $\mathrm{H}_{\text {endo }}$ shows no coupling with this hydrogen. The hydrogen at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ was then assigned as exo based on a 9.6 Hz coupling with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{exo}}$ and a 4.6 Hz coupling with $\mathrm{H}_{\text {endo }}{ }^{96,97}$ In assigning the stereochemistry of $\mathbf{3 2}$, the observation of relatively small coupling constants to $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}$ ( 6.0 and 2.0 Hz ) was important. The predicted coupling constants ${ }^{98}$ for the endo isomer 32, based on the lowest-energy conformation in MM3 calculations, were 5.6 Hz for $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{\beta H}_{5}$ and 1.4 Hz for $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}-\alpha \mathrm{H}_{5}$, matching well with the observed values. In the alternative exo analog of 32, the predicted coupling constants were 10.0 and 7.6 Hz , matching poorly with the observed values. Notably, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal for $\beta \mathrm{H}_{5}$ exhibited a large long-range coupling of 3.0 Hz with $\mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}$, while no $\alpha \mathrm{H}_{5^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ coupling was observed.

The formation of $\mathbf{3 2}$ is particularly striking since the calculational studies were unable to locate any cycloaddition transition structure that leads by a steepest-descent path to 32. The formation of $\mathbf{3 2}$ from $\mathbf{2 5}$ will be explained by trajectory studies.

Styrene is somewhat less reactive with 18 than typical 1,3-dienes are, so that dimerization of $\mathbf{1 8}$ becomes competitive. With excess styrene at room temperature, the reaction affords $\mathbf{3 3}$ as the only styrene adduct in $36 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{H}_{\text {exo }}$ in $\mathbf{3 3}$ was assigned from its 4.0 Hz coupling constant with the bridgehead hydrogen at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, while $\mathrm{H}_{\text {endo }}$ was
not coupled with this hydrogen. The hydrogen at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ was assigned as exo based on a 9.8 Hz coupling with $\mathrm{H}_{\text {exo }}$ versus a 6 Hz coupling with $\mathrm{H}_{\text {endo }}$.

## Cope Rearrangements and Kinetic versus Thermodynamic Control.

Because the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ versus $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ products in these reactions are potentially interconverted by a Cope rearrangement, it was consider here whether the experimental product observations are the result of kinetic or thermodynamic control. In the case of $\mathbf{3 1}$ versus $\mathbf{3 2}$, this was readily evaluated experimentally. The ratio of products from the $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reaction was unchanged after 17 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while isolated 32 underwent no significant isomerization to 31 in 32 days. The combination of these observations indicates that the observed ratio is kinetically controlled.

In the other reactions, the unobserved alternative products $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 2}$, and $\mathbf{3 4}$ are higher in energy and were unable to experimentally determine whether the observed products are the result of kinetic control. As will be seen, the issue of kinetic versus thermodynamic control was of particular interest for the vinylcyclohexene system, and it was considered whether the issue could be resolved from the computational studies. Transition structure $\mathbf{3 6}$-ax for the interconversion of $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ was predicted to be 28.9 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\mathrm{MPW} 1 \mathrm{~K} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}+\mathrm{ZPE}\right.$ ) above 30. If this barrier were accurate, the rearrangement of $\mathbf{3 0}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ would be quite slow, and based on the trajectory studies below, $\mathbf{3 0}$ should have been observable.

However, the MPW1K calculations appear to overestimate the barrier in these reactions. In the related [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of 39, Zwanenburg observed the slow equilibration of $\mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 0}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{99}$ If one estimates from their observations
that the rate constant for the rearrangement is on the order of $10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ would be $\approx 24$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. A transition structure was located for the [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of 39, and the calculated $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ was $31.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (MPW1K/6-31+ $\mathrm{G}^{* *}$, including harmonic thermal energy and entropy estimates). Since MPW1K overestimates this barrier by $\approx 7$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, a similar overestimate of the barrier for the rearrangement of $\mathbf{3 0}$ is likely. MP2 single point calculations (MP2/6-31+G**//MPW1K/6-31+G** + ZPE) place the barriers for rearrangement of $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$ at 21.6 and $24.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. MP2 tends to underestimate barriers for pericyclic reactions, but if the $2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference in the barrier for $\mathbf{3 0}$ versus $\mathbf{3 9}$ is correct, $\mathbf{3 0}$ would rearrange $\approx 115$ times faster than $\mathbf{3 9}$ and it would be difficult to observe under the reaction conditions.
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The predicted barrier for rearrangement of $\mathbf{3 2}$ is $25.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (MP2/6$31+\mathrm{G}^{* *} / / \mathrm{MPW} 1 \mathrm{~K} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}+$ ZPE $)$, in keeping with the observation above of a kinetically controlled product mixture at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The situation is less certain with $\mathbf{2 7} / \mathbf{2 8}$, as the predicted barrier for rearrangement of $\mathbf{2 8}$ is $23.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, but so little $\mathbf{2 8}$ is expected from any prediction (see below) that no effort was made to observe it. The observation of $\mathbf{3 4}$ would be very difficult at temperatures conducive to the cycloaddition,
as the predicted barrier for its rearrangement is $18.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, but no $\mathbf{3 4}$ is expected from either a conventional calculational analysis or the trajectory studies below.

Experimental Isotope Effects. While synthetic reactions of 17 with vinylcyclohexene mediated by triethylamine have been conducted under refluxing conditions in THF or toluene, ${ }^{88}$ the reaction proceeds quite cleanly at room temperature, albeit more slowly, and these conditions were used for the KIE studies here. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs $\left(k 12_{C} / k 13_{C}\right)$ for the vinylcyclohexene component of the cycloaddition were determined combinatorially at natural abundance by NMR methodology. ${ }^{3,70,100}$ While it is usually advantageous to analyze recovered starting material when determining KIEs in this way, ${ }^{3}$ analysis of the product at low conversion versus $100 \%$ conversion ${ }^{70,100}$ was employed here to avoid the need for an excess of $\mathbf{1 7}$ in a large-scale reaction. Two reactions were taken to $\approx 13 \%$ conversion and the product 29 was isolated by extractive workup followed by flash chromatography. The samples were then analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in comparison to a standard sample of $\mathbf{2 9}$ obtained from a small-scale reaction taken to $100 \%$ conversion. The relative changes in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic composition in each position were determined using $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ as an "internal standard" with the assumption that the KIE at $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ is negligible.


Figure 12. Experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for the Diels-Alder reaction of vinylcyclohexene. KIEs at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ were not determined because of the near-overlap of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ peaks.

The results are shown in Figure 12. A large ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{KIE}$ of $\approx 1.033$ is observed at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. This fits well qualitatively with the expected substantial $\sigma$-bond change at the carbon in the cycloaddition transition state. The relatively small ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ can be interpreted as the result of the cycloaddition proceeding through a highly asynchronous transition state, ${ }^{101}$ and the small ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE is reminiscent of those observed in corresponding positions in Lewis acid catalyzed Diels-Alder reactions. ${ }^{1}$ The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE at C 2 is the most difficult to understand, as it is larger than has been observed for the "unreactive" olefinic carbons of the diene in previous studies of carbon KIEs for Diels-Alder reactions. ${ }^{1,3,102}$ The qualitative suggestion from this isotope effect is that some unusual degree of bonding change is occurring at this carbon in the rate-limiting transition state. A more detailed discussion of this isotope effect will be given below.

Trajectory Studies. Because the simplicity of transition state theory cannot be used to theoretically predict the product ratios that should arise on unsymmetrical bifurcating energy surfaces, one must fall back on the detailed consideration of atomic
positions and momenta inherent in trajectory studies. Transition structures 23, 24-ax, 24-eq, 25, and 26 were used as starting points for quasiclassical direct dynamic trajectories ${ }^{8,26,103-119}$ on the MPW1K/6-31+G** potential energy surfaces. Trajectories were initialized with all atomic motions freely variable by giving each mode a random sign for its initial velocity, and an initial energy based on a random Boltzmann sampling of vibrational levels appropriate for 298.15 K , including zero-point energy. The mode associated with the imaginary frequency was treated as a translation and given a Boltzmann sampling of translational energy 'forward' over the col. The trajectories were propagated employing a Verlet algorithm using 1-fs steps in previously described code ${ }^{5}$ and using Gaussian $03^{92}$ to calculate forces at each point until either the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+\right.$ $\left.2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $(\mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 2}$ or $\mathbf{3 4})$ or the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$, or $\mathbf{3 3})$ was formed or recrossing occurred to afford the starting materials. All trajectories were complete within 370 fs and the median times for product formation from was 23, 24 (combined), 25, and $\mathbf{2 6}$ were $70 \mathrm{fs}, 109 \mathrm{fs}, 129 \mathrm{fs}$, and 63 fs , respectively.

The results are summarized in Table 1 and shown in Figure 13. Trajectories passing through 23 afforded predominantly the $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product 27 , in keeping with experimental observations and the prediction from the MEP passing through 23. Trajectories passing through 24-ax or the similar 24-eq afford a majority of the observed 29, but the trajectories results predict that a substantial amount ( $\approx 25-30 \%$ ) of the alternative product $\mathbf{3 0}$ should also be formed. As discussed above, isomerization of $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 9}$ can account for $\mathbf{3 0}$ not being observed. Trajectories passing through $\mathbf{2 5}$ preferentially afforded $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $\mathbf{3 1}$ over $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product $\mathbf{3 2}$.

The ratio of trajectories forming 31 versus $\mathbf{3 2}$, at 1.58 , is strikingly close experimental observations, though the uncertainty of the predicted ratio based on the necessarily limited number of trajectories is high. All trajectories passing though 26 afforded the $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ product 33 , in keeping with experimental observations and the prediction from the MEP passing through 34.

Table 1. Results from quasiclassical trajectories starting from transition structures 23, 24-ax, 24-eq, 25, and 26, and starting from ridge structures 41 and 42.

|  | $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ <br> (Formation of <br> $\mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 2}$, or $\mathbf{3 4})$ | $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ <br> (Formation of <br> $\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{3 1}$, or 33) | Recrossing <br> trajectories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transition Structure |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 22 | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 - a x}$ | 18 | 10 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 - e q}$ | 12 | 8 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0 | 19 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 7 | 0 |  |
| Ridge Structure | 4 | 7 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ |  |  |  |



Figure 13. Summary of trajectory studies for reations with 1,3-dienes.

Figure 14 was constructed to display in more detail the qualitative features of the surface involving 24-ax. On this surface, the paths for formation of observed product 29 versus alternative product $\mathbf{3 0}$ have merged into a broad transition state "ridge" with 24$\mathbf{a x}$ as the low point. This ridge may be roughly defined by the displacement of the atoms along a low-energy transverse vibrational normal mode ( $70 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). This transverse mode is strikingly anharmonic, which will be seen to have experimental consequences.


Figure 14. Qualitative energy surface for the reaction of 18 with 20. Trajectories starting from $\mathbf{1 8}+\mathbf{2 0}$ (at the back of the surface) could lead to either $\mathbf{2 9}$ or $\mathbf{3 0}$ through the same transition state area. Due to the anharmonicity of the transition state ridge, more trajectories pass to the right of $\mathbf{2 4 - a x}$ than to the left, affecting the isotope effects.

On a relaxed potential energy surface (fixing $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ after extension along the $70 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ mode), ridge structure $\mathbf{4 1}$ is $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above $\mathbf{2 4}$-ax while ridge structure 42, displaced equally from 24-ax in the opposite direction as 41, is only $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above 24-ax. The outcome of trajectories should depend on where they
cross this ridge, (or more generally, the transition state hypersurface). ${ }^{120,121}$ Trajectories passing through 41 should tend to afford $\mathbf{2 9}$, while trajectories passing through $\mathbf{4 2}$ should tend to afford $\mathbf{3 0}$.

Predicted Isotope Effects. For comparison with the experimental KIEs, KIEs were predicted from the computational studies in two ways. The first way employed conventional transition state theory and the second way allowed for the anharmonicity of the transition state ridge.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs based on 24-ax were predicted as described earlier. The results are shown in Figure 15. To allow for the anharmonicity of the transition state ridge, a series of 21 equally spaced structures along the $70 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ mode for $\mathbf{2 4}$-ax were located and the isotope effects were calculated from a Boltzmann combination of all the predicted isotope effects for the 21 structures (Figure 15a). Because of the asymmetry of the ridge, the Boltzmann combination is weighted toward structures resembling 42. The results are shown in Figure 15b. Both sets of predicted isotope effects match reasonably with experimental values, but the set of predicted isotope effects allowing for the asymmetry of the transition state match slightly better.


Figure 15. Predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ kinetic isotope effects $\left(k^{12} \mathrm{C} / k^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathbf{2 0}$ for both (a) 24-ax and (b) Boltzmann combination of 21 structures based on $24-\mathrm{ax}$ located along the transition state ridge.

## Discussion

In applying transition state theory to the understanding of the kinetic selectivity between two distinct products in a reaction, and assumption is made that the products arise from two distinct transition states. In the cycloadditions of 17, the operative transition states would be envisioned as taking on separate "platonic forms" that are recognizably $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ or $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ in character. This assumption is intrinsic in qualitative theory. For example, FMO theory would attempt to account for the selectivity with 18 by examining the various [ $4+2$ ] interactions and identifying the combination with the greatest overlap between the highest-energy HOMO and the lowest energy LUMO. It is not surprising that this simple model of reactivity can fail ${ }^{122-124}$ but the emphasis here is that its assumption of the applicability of transition state theory can be incorrect.

In the current reactions, the platonic $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ forms have merged at the transitions state. The reason for this merging and the strong preference for the resulting transition states over alternatives can be understood from the
bispericyclic idea of Caramella. ${ }^{85,94}$ Figure 16 illustrates how the frontier orbital interactions can lead to a transition state with both $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+\right.$ $\left.4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ character. Approach of $\mathbf{1 8}$ to vinylcyclohexene in the endo orientation that would lead to product $\mathbf{2 9}$ maximizes HOMO-LUMO interactions. The $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {vinylcyclohexene }}-\mathrm{LUMO}_{2}$ orbital pair in Figure 15a is closer in energy than the $\mathrm{HOMO}_{2}-\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {vinylcyclohexene }}$ pair of Figure $8 \mathrm{~b}(8.8 \mathrm{eV}$ versus 10.9 eV at $\mathrm{HF} / 6-$ $31++G(2 d, p)$, so this orbital interaction should be more important, but both HOMOLUMO pairs involve favorable $[4+2]$ and $[2+4]$ orbital interactions. From the size of the coefficients (HF/STO-3G), it might be expected that the [4+2] interaction would be favored by the $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {vinylcyclohexene }}-\mathrm{LUMO}_{2}$ orbital pair. but the $[2+4]$ interaction is favored by the alternative HOMO-LUMO pair. In the transition structures 24-ax evolving from these interactions, the $[4+2]$ and $[2+4]$ character is nearly equal. Only as the energy surface approaches the products is the thermodynamically more stable 29 favored.


Figure 16. Frontier orbital interactions between 18 and vinylcyclohexene. The size of the coefficients depicted is based on an HF/STO-3G calculation.

The experimental of the current system support the bispericyclic nature of the transition state. The $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ KIE of $\approx 1.009$ is much larger than the KIEs observed at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and would be surprisingly large for a simple $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ cycloaddition. However, this strange observation can be qualitatively rationalized once it is understood that a bispericyclic transition state is involved, so that there is some degree of bonding to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ at the transition state, even though this bonding does not show up in the observed product. Quantitatively, the close match between experimental and predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs provides support for the bispericyclic geometries observed in 24-ax.

When transition state is applicable, the fundamentals of ground-state structural energetics are used implicitly for insight into selectivity. The central goal of the work here is to examine the qualitative ideas that must be considered to understand selectivity on bifurcating surfaces when transition state theory is not applicable. In place of transition state energetics, the guiding principles must be those of Newtonian dynamics.

## Experimental Section

Diels-Alder Cycloaddition of 17 and 20 - Low Conversion Reaction. A mixture of $2.59 \mathrm{~g}(24 \mathrm{mmol})$ of vinylcyclohexene and 700 mg of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was dissolved in 80 mL of dry THF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}(9.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine was added and the reaction was left to stir overnight. An aliquot taken before workup and checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR found that the reaction was at $\sim 13 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 150 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl and extracted with $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ of diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were
dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated using a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$ flash silica gel column using $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent to afford 625 mg of 29. A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $13.2 \%$ conversion.

Diels-Alder Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ - High Conversion Reaction. A mixture of $400 \mathrm{mg}(3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of vinylcyclohexene and 300 mg of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was dissolved in 38 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, and $1.6 \mathrm{~mL}(11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine was added. The reaction was then heated to reflux. Aliquots were taken every 3 h and checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and additional 300 mg portions of $\mathbf{1 7}$ were added until the reaction reached $99.3 \pm 0.7 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 50 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl and extracted with $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated on a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent to afford 610 mg of $\mathbf{2 9}$.

Diels-Alder Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and Styrene. A mixture of 2.93 mL of styrene ( 25.6 mmol ) and 280 mg of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was dissolved in 12 mL of dry THF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, and $392 \mathrm{~mL}(2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine was added and left to stir for 3 days at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then poured into 120 mL diethyl ether and washed with $50 \mathrm{~mL} 2 \% \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ to remove triethylamine. The organic layer was washed twice with 100 mL of water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ with decolorizing charcoal, and concentrated using a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $20-\mathrm{mm} \times 250-\mathrm{mm}$ flash silica gel column using hexanes to remove the styrene, followed
by $5 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent to afford 111 mg of $\mathbf{3 3}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 6.98$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d} J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.8,163.3,141.8,140.0$, 137.5, 128.8, 128.1, 127.2, 56.0, 52.3, 47.9, 39.7, 30.6. HRMS: calculated $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Li}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} 249.1103$, found 249.1105.

Diels-Alder Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 2-vinylfuran. A mixture of 0.82 g of 2vinylfuran ( 9 mmol ) and 400 mg of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was dissolved in 30 mL of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, and $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}(5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethylamine was added and left to stir for 2 days at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then poured into 100 mL saturated NaCl , then extracted the organic layer with $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ portions of diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were then washed twice with 100 mL of water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated on a rotary evaporator. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $20-\mathrm{mm} \times 400-\mathrm{mm}$ flash silica gel column using hexanes to remove the styrene, followed by $5 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent to afford 116 mg of 31 and 80 mg of 32. $31{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d} J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m} J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84(\mathrm{~m} J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~m} J=4.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 200.0,162.8$, 155.4, 142.0, 139.8, 137.8, 110.4, 106.5, 54.0, 51.2, 47.3, 33.2, 28.5. HRMS: calculated $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Li}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 239.0896, found 239.0901. $32{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 6.91(\mathrm{~d} J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~m} J=2.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d} J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~m} J=1.2,2.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $5.00(\mathrm{~m} J=1.2,3.2,3.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m} J=2.2,2.6,3.0,3.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{~m} J=2.0,8.0,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~m} J=2.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~m} J=3.0,3.4,6.0$,
$14.9,1 \mathrm{H})$. COSY spectrum was consistent with the assigned structure giving couplings between X and Y. HRMS: calculated $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Li}]^{+}$for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 239.0896, found 239.0898.

NMR Measurements. NMR samples were prepared using 400 mg of product 29 in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.895 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 43.29 s delays, and an 8.493 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for all three independent samples of 29. The raw integration results are shown in the Appendix A.

## CHAPTER IV

## ENE REACTION OF ISOPROPYLIDENECYCLOHEXANE

The acylation reaction of olefins catalyzed by Lewis acids, unlike that of aromatics, is postulated to go through a concerted ene type mechanism. A concerted mechanism is proposed to account for experimentally observed regioselectivity in resulting $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone products. ${ }^{125-127}$ However, there have also been arguments for a stepwise mechanisms based on experimental H/D KIEs that support the idea of different rate-determining and product selectivity-determining steps. ${ }^{128}$

Deciphering between a concerted and stepwise mechanism is often more complicated than imagined.

Describe here is a mechanistic study of the ene reaction of isopropylidenecyclohexane (43) with acetic anhydride catalyzed by zinc chloride using a combination of KIEs, standard theoretical calculations, and trajectory calculations. The results suggest that the nature of regioselectivity in this reaction is far more complicated than previously understood, and thought to involve dynamic effects on a bifurcating energy surface.


## Results

Intermolecular and Intramolecular ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ Experimental Isotope Effects. The ene reaction of isopropylidenecyclohexane (43) with acetic anhydride catalyzed by zinc chloride proceeds smoothly at room temperature as previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{128}$ Similar conditions were used to carry out all reactions. The ${ }^{13}$ C KIEs for $\mathbf{4 3}$ were determined using natural abundance by NMR methodology. ${ }^{3}$ Two separate reactions were taken to $85 \%$ and $75 \%$ conversion and $\mathbf{4 3}$ was recovered by extractive workup followed by flash chromatography. Both samples were analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in comparison with unreacted standard of 43. The relative changes in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotopic composition at each position was then analyzed using $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ as an internal standard with the assumption that the KIE at $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ is negligible. In addition, $\mathbf{4 4}$ was also isolated by flash chromatography and analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for intramolecular comparison of $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ using NMR methodology. ${ }^{71}$


Figure 17. Intermolecular (left) and intramolecular (right) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for the ene reaction of 43 with acetic anhydride catalyzed by zinc chloride.

The results show small intermolecular KIEs at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ positions of the recovered starting olefin, Figure 17. These results are very similar to those seen in previous studies of ene reactions, ${ }^{25,127}$ and fits well with a mechanism involving an acylium ion attack down the center of the $\pi$-bond.

The experimental intramolecular KIEs here are unusual. One would have expected to see an equal amount of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at both the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ positions based on TST, if deprotonation was not the rate-determining step. However, effects upon symmetry breaking have been seen before for reactions that involve bifurcating energy surfaces, ${ }^{29}$ and may account for this isotopic selectivity.

Intermolecular and Intramolecular H/D Experimental Isotope Effects. To explore the possibility of deprotonation being the rate-determining step in the reaction, intermolecular H/D KIEs were obtained. Two reactions of a $1: 3$ mixture of $\mathbf{4 3 - \mathbf { d } _ { \mathbf { 0 } }}$ and 43-d $\mathbf{d}_{4}$ was reacted with acetic anhydride catalyzed by zinc chloride and both taken to $\approx 75 \%$ conversion. The mixture of $\mathbf{4 3}$ was then isolated by an extractive work up, followed by flash chromatography. Both samples were analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in comparison with unreacted standard mixture of $\mathbf{4 3}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{4 3}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{4}}$. The relative changes in isotopic composition at each position was then analyzed using the isopropylene methyl protons as an internal standard with the assumption that the KIE at those positions are negligible. The absences of a significant $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D} \operatorname{KIE}\left(k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}} \approx 0.99\right)$ suggests that deprotonation is not the rate-determining step in this reaction.


Intramolecular H/D KIEs were also obtained by comparison of the product isomers ratios obtained by GC analyses for 2 sets of independent reactions for $\mathbf{4 3 - \mathbf { d } _ { 0 }}$ versus 43-d . $_{4}$. An intramolecular H/D KIE of 1.5 was obtained. This result suggests that selectivity is in fact decided after the rate-determining step. However, this data does not eliminate the probability of a long-lived intermediate.


Selectivity in H/D Abstraction? To probe the question about if there is a longlived intermediate formed following the acylium attack, 43-d $\mathbf{d}_{1}$ was synthesized and used to help clarify this issue. Two separate reactions of 43-d $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with acetic anhydride
catalyzed by zinc chloride were taken to $\approx 76 \%$ and $\approx 78 \%$ conversion. The product mixture of 44-a and 44-b was then isolated by an extractive work up, followed by flash chromatography. Both samples were analyzed by ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to determine relative changes in isotopic composition of $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ versus $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ positions.


The results for this experiment are difficult to interpret using TST. By TST, one would expect to have an equal probability of either a proton or deuterium since deprotonation has been ruled out as not being the rate-determining step in this reaction. However, upon analysis of $\mathbf{4 4}$ from these reactions shows that there is quantitatively more deuterium at the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ position than the $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ position, 2.13:1 ratio. To interpret these results.

## Theoretical Studies

Just as in the case of electrophilic aromatic substitution theoretical calculations are challenging due to the importance of charge separation. Here a more simplistic approach was taken for the modeling process on this system. The ene reaction of 43 with acylium ion was studied using B3LYP calculations using a $6-31+G^{*}$ basis set. Stationary points and transitions states were located for the reaction of $\mathbf{4 3}$ with acylium
ion. In the gas-phase DFT calculations, the addition of the acylium ion is downhill by $\approx 28 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (pot. $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{zpe}$ ) and no potential energy barrier for the reaction.


The intermediates that lead to products 44 and $\mathbf{4 5}, 46$ and 47 respectively are shown in Figure 18. Between these two structures, there is only a difference of 1.13 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, with 46 being lower in energy than 47. By examination of their bond distances with the acyl group, one can predict that the rearrangement would be favorable. The transition state for the rearrangement between 46 and 47 was then located, and found to have a barrier of $1.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to 46 .

Again, if the argument for proton abstraction was made for this reaction, then the barrier should be quite high. The transition state for proton abstraction, 48, was also located and shown in Figure 18. The barrier was found to be downhill by $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to 46 .


Figure 18. Intermediates 46 and 47 that lead to products 44 (top left) and 45 (top right), and transition state for proton abstraction 48 (bottom left).

The relaxed energy surface was calculated for the reaction of the acylium ion with $\mathbf{4 3}$ by fixing the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ distances at a grid of values and optimizing the remaining geometrical variables at each point. The B3LYP/6-31G* +zpe surface generated is shown in Figure19. The surface is amazingly symmetrical as the acylium ion approaches 43. As the acylium ion approaches 43, symmetry breaking is only
favored after the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ distances are less than $2.4 \AA$. No conventional transition state can be located within this calculational model.


Figure 19. Energy surface for the approach of the acylium ion to 43 , fixing $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ distances.

Trajectory Studies. Quasiclassical trajectories were started from structure $\mathbf{4 9}^{*}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}{ }^{\ddagger}$ on the B3LYP/6-31G* potential energy surface. Trajectories were initialized as previously described for 298.15 K , including zero-point energy. The trajectories were propagated employing a Verlet algorithm using 1-fs steps in previously described code ${ }^{5}$ and using Gaussian $03^{100}$ to calculate forces at each point until reaching intermediate 46 or 47, or recrossing occurred to afford the starting materials. All trajectories were complete within 700 fs and the median time for product formation was 227 fs . Results are shown in Table 2.


Table 2. Results of quasiclassical trajectories starting from $\mathbf{4 9}$ and $\mathbf{5 0}$.

|  | Formation of $\mathbf{4 6}$ | Formation of $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 9}^{\ddagger}$ | 30 | 39 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}^{\ddagger}$ | 2 | 5 |

The results for trajectory studies showed no recrossing to starting material in any of the trajectory simulations. Surprisingly, intermediate 47 was favored over 46 giving a ratio of $1: 1.10$. This preference is contradictory to the selectivity that is observed experimentally (1:0.19).

Predicted Isotope Effects. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and H/D KIEs were predicted based on $\mathbf{4 9}^{\ddagger}$, a comparison of $\mathbf{4 6}$ with 47 , and 48 by a standard method described earlier. The results are shown in Figure 20.

(b)

$E I E=2.33$
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$k_{H} / k_{D}=4.75$

Figure 20. (a) Predicted ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs based on 50 , (b) H/D EIE based on 46 and 47, and (c) predicted H/D KIE based on transition structure 48.
${ }^{13}$ C KIEs for $\mathbf{5 0}$ values are within experimental error of values measured experimentally. However, the predicted values would suggest a more synchronous ratedetermining step compared to experimental values. The predicted H/D KIE for transition structure $\mathbf{4 8}$ shows that if deprotonation were the rate-determining step in this reaction, then a significant experimental KIE would have been obtained. The predicted equilibrium isotope effect (EIE) is calculated to be 2.33. This value is very close to experimentally measure $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ KIE of 2.1.

## Discussion

The mechanism for the Lewis acid catalyzed ene reaction of $\mathbf{4 3}$ had proven to be a difficult system to interpret. As indicated by the experimental and theoretical results, there is unusual selectivity taking place in this Lewis acid catalyzed ene reaction of 43. Not only is the observed regioselectivity in these reactions based on product selectivity, but also selectivity is observed in the downhill proton abstraction of 46 to 44.

The experimental intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs show a slightly smaller KIE at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ position relative to $\mathrm{C}_{3}(\approx 1.007$ versus $\approx 1.010)$. This information at first did not seem to be unusual, since both experimental values are within experimental error. However,
when analyzing the trajectory data, the slightly larger ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE of $\approx 1.010$ suggests that 47 is forming in competition with 46, with a slight preference for the formation of 47. This is a clear indication that dynamic effects are influencing the selectivity of the reaction after the initial transition state. However, once the intermediate $\mathbf{4 7}$ is formed, the geometry is set up for the enthalpically favored rearrangement to $\mathbf{4 6}$ which then leads downhill to the major product 44. The experimentally observed selectivity in this reaction is a result of the energy barriers associated with the rearrangement of $\mathbf{4 6}$ to $\mathbf{4 7}$, however, had this barrier for rearrangement been larger than $1.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, a different ratio would have been observed in terms of the product selectivity.

## Experimental Section

The isopropylidenecyclohexane was prepared according to previously reported procedure. ${ }^{129}$

## Ene Reaction of Isopropylidenecyclohexane - High Conversion Reaction. A

 mixture of $5 \mathrm{~g}(0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ of isopropyldienecyclohexane and 0.1 mL of dodecane (internal standard) were dissolved in 400 mL of acetic anhydride under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $0.54 \mathrm{~g}(0.004 \mathrm{~mol})$ of dry zinc chloride was added. The reaction was monitored by aliquots taken before workup and checked by GC. After approximately 4 $h$ the reaction was at $\sim 85 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 400 mL of cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated by a distillation. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using pentane as eluent to afford 2 fractions. Fraction 1 contained dodecane,and fraction 2 contained the recovered 43. The column was then flushed with diethyl ether to recover a mixture of the two ene products, $\mathbf{4 4}$, which was then set aside. Pentane was then distilled off from fraction 1 and the resultant oil was then vacuum distilled to obtain 630 mg of recovered isopropylidenecyclohexane. The recovered mixture of ene products was then concentrated by rotary evaporator, then the resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using dichloromethane as eluent to afford 250 mg of $44:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 212.7,140.3,122.3,54.0,26.1,25.9,24.9,23.5,23.3,22.7$. A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $75 \%$ conversion.

Ene Reaction of Isopropylidenecyclohexane- $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{0}}$ vs $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{4}}$. A mixture of 0.20 g $(0.0016 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{4 3 - \mathbf { d } _ { \mathbf { 0 } }}$ and $0.64 \mathrm{~g}(0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{4 3}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{4}}$ and 0.1 mL of dodecane (internal standard) were dissolved in 66 mL of acetic anhydride under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $0.09 \mathrm{~g}(0.00066 \mathrm{~mol})$ of dry zinc chloride was added. The reaction was monitored by aliquots taken before workup and checked by GC. After approximately 4 h the reaction was at $\sim 75 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 100 mL of cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated by a distillation. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using pentane as eluent to afford 2 fractions. Fraction 1 contained dodecane, and fraction 2 contained the recovered isopropylidenecyclohexane. Pentane was then distilled off from fraction 1 and then vacuum distilled to obtain 60 mg of recovered
isopropylidenecyclohexane mixture. A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $75 \%$ conversion.

Preparations of Isopropylidenecyclohexane- $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$. A mixture of $20 \mathrm{~g}(0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 1-morpholinocyclohexene and $7 \mathrm{~mL}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was prepared at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 10 m the reaction mixture was then poured onto 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with 2 x 100 mL of pentane. The combined organic layers were then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated using a rototary evaporator and yielded 6.4 g of cyclohexanone- $\mathrm{d}_{1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 212.6,42.3,27.3,25.2$. The deuterated cyclohexanone was then used to prepare the deuterated isopropylidenecyclohexane which was prepared according to previously reported procedure. ${ }^{129}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.15(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 132.4,120.6,30.6,28.3,27.2,20.1$.

Ene Reaction of Isopropylidenecyclohexane-d $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$. A mixture of $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(0.012$ mol ) of $\mathbf{4 3}-\mathbf{d}_{1}$ and 0.1 mL of dodecane (internal standard) were dissolved in 120 mL of acetic anhydride under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.0012 \mathrm{~mol})$ of dry zinc chloride was added. The reaction was monitored by aliquots taken before workup and checked by GC. After approximately 4 hrs the reaction was at $\sim 76 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 100 mL of cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solution was then concentrated by a distillation. The resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using pentane as eluent to afford 2 fractions. Fraction 1 contained dodecane, and fraction 2 contained the
recovered 43. The column was then flushed with diethyl ether to recover a mixture of the two ene products. The recovered mixture of ene products was then concentrated by rotary evaporator, then the resultant oil was chromatographed on a $5-\mathrm{cm} \times 45-\mathrm{cm}$ flash silica gel column using dichloromethane as eluent to afford 667 mg of 44 . A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $78 \%$ conversion.

NMR Measurements. Recovered isopropylidenecyclohexane NMR samples were prepared using 360 mg of olefin in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.698 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 259.74 s delays, and an 11.648 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for both independent samples of recovered olefin and unreacted olefin.

Product 1 NMR samples were prepared using 530 mg of olefin in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.697 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 34.632 s delays, and a 6.40 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for both independent samples of 44 . Recovered mixture of deuterated isopropylidenecyclohexane NMR samples were prepared using 60 mg of olefin in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra
were recorded at $499.902 \mathrm{MHz}, 25.974 \mathrm{~s}$ delays, and a 3.0 s acquisition time to collect 48,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for both independent samples of recovered olefin and unreacted olefin. Recovered mixture of 43- $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ NMR samples were prepared using 40 mg of olefin in a 5mm NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were recorded at $601.337 \mathrm{MHz}, 10 \mathrm{~s}$ delays, and a 4.00 s acquisition time to collect 5,600 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for both independent samples of 44. The raw integration results are shown in the Appendix A.

## CHAPTER V

## CHLORINATION OF ALKYNES

Chlorination of alkynes, like electrophilic aromatic substitution, appears to be another organic reaction of great interest where previous experimental observations for the electrophilic chlorination of alkynes were consistent with a bifurcating energy surface. The reaction is thought to involve an early symmetrical transition state and the regioselectivity is decided later on the energy hypersurface. ${ }^{130}$ Unlike bromination, chlorination is not a well-studied system. TST cannot accurately predict the experimentally observed product selectivity that was observed by Yates and coworkers. ${ }^{130-132}$ Yates reported that in the chlorination of dialkylacetylenes, the trans alkene product was the major product, and for this reason concluded that the reaction passes through a bridged chloronium ion structure. ${ }^{130}$ The chloronium ion is not predicted to be a stable intermediate in the reaction, but instead an early transition state.


To investigate the possibility of dynamic effects determining regioselectivity in this reaction, a study was carried out using bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (51) as the substrate. Bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene was an excellent substrate to use because it is
symmetrical. Secondly, the intermediate does a rapid rearrangement followed by attack of the final nucleophile, in this case a chloride ion, and the carbons of the multiple bond in the final product are now unsymmetrical and easily distinguishable by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The rearrangement of one of the TMS groups is not the rate-determining step in the reaction and has no affect on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ content in the product.


Describe here is a mechanistic study of the chlorination of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene using KIEs. The results suggest that the nature of the selectivity in this reaction is far more complicated than it appears on the surface, involving dynamically determined regioselectivity on a bifurcating surface.

## Results

Experimental Isotope Effects. Intermolecular KIEs for the chlorination of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene were determined as previously described. ${ }^{130}$ A mixture of 20 mL of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene with 3.22 g of 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene (internal standard) in 22 mL of dichloromethane was taken to $82 \%$ and $80 \%$ conversion by
monitoring the addition of chlorine in dichloromethane by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The reactions were then quenched and the starting material for each was isolated and purified by a series of vacuum distillations. The change in isotopic content in the starting material was then measured by comparing these samples to original bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene samples by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The resulting KIEs are shown in Figure 21.


Figure 21. (a) Intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs for the recovered bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene reactions taken at $82 \%$ (top) and $80 \%$ (bottom), (b) Intramolecular ${ }^{13}$ C KIEs for 55.

As shown in Figure 21a, the recovered starting material shows a significant KIE when compared to original starting material. Since $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ of the starting alkyne are indistinguishable, the only conclusion is that chlorine addition is the rate-determining step in this reaction.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ content of the isolated dichloro product 67 was also analyzed. A mixture of 10 mL of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene with 25 mL of dichloromethane was taken to $12.3 \%$ and $12.5 \%$ conversion by monitoring the addition of chlorine in dichloromethane. The reaction was then stopped and the product was isolated and purified by a series of vacuum distillations. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ content analyses of $\mathbf{5 5}$ are shown in Figure 21 b .

As shown in Figure 21 b, the product does show different ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ content at the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ positions in the product. These results indicate that there is selectivitiy in terms of the chlorine preferring to react with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ versus ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$.

## Discussion

Experimental KIEs show that the electrophile, chooses to react with the heavier carbon. This is the same observation as seen in singlet oxygen ene reactions and Friedel-Crafts acylation of veratrole. During the theoretical analysis, it was concluded that a through evaluation of this system could not be done properly. Chlorine ions are very hard to model computationally due to problems of charge separation.

Unfortunately, after extensive efforts, no accurate transition state was located. Since a transition state structure could not accurately be located, theoretically predicted KIEs and dynamic simulations could not be done at this time.

## Experimental Section

Chlorination of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene - High Conversion Reaction. A mixture of $10 \mathrm{~mL}(0.044 \mathrm{~mol})$ of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene and 5.9 mL of isoamyl nitrite ( 0.044 mol ) (radical inhibitor) were dissolved in 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $80 \mathrm{~mL}(0.044 \mathrm{~mol})$ of a 0.4 M chlorine solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise while stirring. The reaction was monitored by aliquots taken before workup and checked by GC until the reaction was at $\sim 82 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 100 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$. The organic layer was then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by
distillation to remove $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the resultant oil was then vacuum distilled to recover 533mg of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene. A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $80 \%$ conversion.

## Chlorination of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene - Low Conversion Reaction. A

 mixture of $23.6 \mathrm{~mL}(0.09 \mathrm{~mol})$ of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene and 12 mL of isoamyl nitrite ( 0.09 mol ) (radical inhibitor) were dissolved in 200 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature, then $45 \mathrm{~mL}(0.023 \mathrm{~mol})$ of a 0.5 M chlorine solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise while stirring. The reaction was monitored by aliquots taken before workup and checked by GC until the reaction was at $\sim 12 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was then poured into 200 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$. The organic layer was then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated on by distillation. The resultant oil was then vacuum distilled to recover 2.0 g of $\mathbf{5 5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 138.7$, 129.2, 2.8. A second reaction performed by an analogous procedure proceeded to $\sim 12 \%$ conversion as well.NMR Measurements. Recovered starting material NMR samples were prepared using 600 mg of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.894 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 28.860 s delays, and a 8.00 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for two independent samples of recovered bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene and
compared with a standard unreacted sample of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene. The raw integration results are shown in the Appendix A.

Product NMR samples were prepared using 1.5 g of the 55 in a $10-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.896 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 151 s delays, and a 8.739 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for two independent samples of recovered 55. The raw integration results are shown in the Appendix A.

## CHAPTER VI

## ENANTIOSELECTIVE INTRAMOLECULAR STETTER REACTION

There is no general physical difference in the nature of catalysis by enzymes versus abiological catalysts. ${ }^{133}$ However, the evolution of enzymes for both proficiency and specificity tends to raise the importance of binding in the catalysis, so that substrate binding (or product loss) steps are much more often rate limiting in the realm of enzymatic catalysis. ${ }^{134,135}$ This may have the subtle advantage of separating substrate selectivity from the chemical conversion and product selectivity (such as enantioselectivity), so that the two can be affected independently. ${ }^{136}$ The need for efficiency also dictates that an enzyme mediating a complex transformation bar loss of intermediates. ${ }^{137}$ The mechanism of an organocatalytic reaction can bear each of these traits. The results may impact approaches to the design of new organocatalysts and organocatalytic reactions.

One major class of organocatalytic reactions, modeled on thiamine coenzyme catalysis, achieves reactivity umpolung by changing an electrophilic aldehyde into a nucleophilic acyl anion equivalent. In the example of the Stetter reaction, the intermediate acyl anion equivalent reacts with a Michael acceptor to ultimately afford 1,4-dicarbonyl species. ${ }^{138-140}$ Thiazo-, imidazo-, and triazolinylidene carbenes, as well as cyanide catalyze these reactions. ${ }^{141-143}$ The Rovis group has focused on the development of chiral triazolinylidene carbenes and precursors, 56-58. ${ }^{144-149}$ These induce the enantioselective cyclization of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes to diverse activated
alkenes, allowing the generation of quaternary stereocenters from $\beta, \beta$-disubstituted Michael acceptors and contiguous stereocenters from $\alpha, \alpha, \beta$-trisubstituted acceptors.



56a $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
56b $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
57a $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
57b $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
58a $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$ 56c $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$


The overall mechanism of these reactions is expected to be analogous to that elucidated by Breslow for thiamine catalysis of the benzoin condensation ${ }^{150-153}$, but a detailed study revealed surprising facets, shown in Figure 22.


Figure 22. Mechanism based on the benzoin condensation mechanism proposed by Rovis. ${ }^{149}$

## Results

Experimental Isotope Effects. For the cyclization of aldehyde 59 to keto-ester 60 mediated by 1:157a / KHMDS, a standard kinetic analysis found a first-order dependence on aldehyde concentration and a second-order dependence on 57a / KHMDS. However, KHMDS in excess of $\mathbf{5 7 a}$ did not increase the rate. Using preformed carbene 58a in the absence of HMDS, the dependence on carbene is only first
order. These observations suggest that the rate law for the $\mathbf{5 8 a}$ / KHMDS mediated reaction is $v=\mathrm{k}[59][$ carbene $][H M D S]$.

The ${ }^{13}$ C KIEs for the cyclization of $\mathbf{5 9}$ were determined by NMR methodology at natural abundance. ${ }^{1-3}$ Reactions of $\mathbf{5 9}$ mediated by $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{5 7 a} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ KHMDS at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were taken to $\approx 80 \%$ conversion, and the unreacted 58 was reisolated and analyzed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR compared with samples of the original 58. The change in isotopic composition in each position was determined relative to the methylene group of the ethyl ester, with the assumption that isotopic fractionation of this carbon was negligible, and the KIEs were calculated as previously described. As shown in Figure 23, the aldehydic carbon exhibits a substantial ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE of 1.022 , but for the remaining carbons the KIE is negligible.

(b)


Figure 23. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIEs for the cyclization of 57 at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs are competitive while the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIEs are based on absolute rates. KIEs for the corresponding reaction using preformed 58a in the absence of HMDS are shown in brackets.

The ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIE for the aldehydic was also measured under these conditions using absolute kinetics. From triplicate runs with 59 and its deuterated isotopologue (ArCDO ), the $k_{\mathrm{H}} / k_{\mathrm{D}}$ is $3.0 \pm 0.6$. For the reaction using pre-formed carbene 58a, the
changed rate law is accompanied by a reduction of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIE to $1.07 \pm 0.12$. However, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ kinetic isotope effects change little, exhibiting a substantial effect at the aldehydic carbon and negligible isotope effects at the other carbons.

## Theoretical Results

The reaction of $\mathbf{5 8 a}$ with $\mathbf{5 9}$ was explored in B3LYP calculations employing a 6$31 G^{*}$ basis set with single-point energies calculated using a PCM solvent model for toluene and a $6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set. The calculationally preferred pathway is shown in Figure 24. Addition of $\mathbf{5 8}$ a to $\mathbf{5 9}$ occurs preferentially to the $r e$ face of the aldehyde via transition structure 61 to afford zwitterionic transition structure 62. The ultimate cyclization requires conversion of $\mathbf{6 2}$ to neutral $\mathbf{6 3}$, but the direct intramolecular 1,2proton transfer has a very high barrier ( $>40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ versus 62 ). Instead, the conversion would likely occur by two sequential proton-transfer steps with an acid or base catalyst, as discussed further below. From 63, the C-C bond-forming transition structure 64 leads to an intermediate ester enolate in the gas-phase calculations, but there is no barrier for proton transfer from the hydroxyl group to the enolate in PCM calculations. As a result, 65 would be formed directly from 64 by a concerted but highly asynchronous cyclization / proton transfer. This concerted process accounts for previous observations of the diastereoselectivity of these reactions. ${ }^{149}$ An alternative stepwise cyclization / proton transfer has a predicted barrier that is $0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy, accounting for the observation of some leakage in the diastereoselectivity. ${ }^{149}$ The fragmentation of zwitterions 65 into product $\mathbf{6 0}$ with regeneration of catalyst 58a is facile via transition structure 66.

The best transition structure leading to the enantiomeric product is very similar to 64, except that the benzyl group of the triazolinylidene moiety is oriented toward the Michael acceptor rather than away. The resulting steric interaction destabilized the transition structure by $1.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to $\mathbf{6 4}$, in excellent agreement with the observed enantioselectivity of 97:3 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Discussion

This mechanism accounts for the kinetics and kinetic isotope effects in the presence of HMDS, with the rate-limiting proton-transfer converting 62 to 63 being catalyzed by HMDS. The acidity of HMDS is similar to that of alcohols, ${ }^{154,155}$ allowing it to mediate the proton transfer by donation of a proton to $\mathbf{6 2}$ to afford ion-pair $\mathbf{6 7}$ followed by a second proton transfer affording 63. In support of this proposal, the calculated formyl-group ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ KIEs for rate-limiting formation of 63 from 67 (in a simplified model with $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$ and no Bn group) are 1.021 and 2.74 , respectively. KIEs were predicted as described previously.


The most striking feature of this mechanism, strongly supported by the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs, is that the complex rate-limiting process of binding the catalyst to afford 63 commits the
reaction to product formation and is kinetically decoupled from the stereoselectivitydetermining cyclization of $\mathbf{6 3}$. This enzyme-like behavior has a series of effects. One effect is that the catalysis is promiscuous, achieving similar reactivity in reactions of diverse Michael acceptors. ${ }^{147,149}$ A second result is that the optimization of stereoselectivity need not incur a cost in reactivity. For example, catalysts derived from 66 versus 67 display relatively similar reactivity, though 66 often leads to substantially greater enantio- and diastereoselectivity. ${ }^{147}$ A third effect is that the cyclization of aldehydes of greatly differing intrinsic reactivity, such as salicylaldehyde derivatives versus aliphatic aldehydes, often shows similar stereoselectivity. ${ }^{147}$ In each case, the enzyme-like kinetic character of the organocatalytic reaction simplifies the strategy of catalyst design.

## Experimental Section

NMR Measurements. NMR samples were prepared using 300 mg of 59 in a 5mm NMR tube filled to a $5-\mathrm{cm}$ sample height with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded at 125.701 MHz using inverse gated decoupling, 43.00 s delays, and a 9.152 s acquisition time to collect 512,000 points. Integrations were determined numerically using a constant equal integration region for peaks compared. A zeroth-order baseline correction is generally applied, but in no case was a first-order (tilt) correction applied. Six spectra were obtained for all three independent samples of 59. The raw integration results are shown in the Appendix A.


Figure 24. Calculated catalytic cycle for the cyclization of $\mathbf{5 9}$ mediated by $\mathbf{5 8 a}$.
Energies in parentheses are B3LYP/6-31+G**/PCM(toluene) // B3LYP/6-31G* + zpe in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to $\mathbf{5 9}+\mathbf{5 8 a}$.

## CHAPTER VII

## CONCLUSIONS

Detailed mechanistic studies revealed the origin of selectivity for the organic reaction of electrophilic aromatic substitutions, Diels-Alder cycloadditions of $\mathbf{8}$ with 1,3dienes, Lewis acid catalyzed ene reactions with 43, chlorinations of alkynes, and the enantioselective intramolecular Stetter reaction. In all cases studies, with the exception of the Stetter reaction, the reactions all proceeded to product on bifurcating surfaces were selectivity was influenced by steepest-descent paths and atomic motion and momenta.

In the study of electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions, electrophiles that do not follow the Brown selectivity relationship and have early transition states involve dynamic effects on bifurcating energy surfaces. In the case of Friedel-Crafts acyltion, the origin for the regioselectivity (preference for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ versus ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ) observed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs is the result of symmetry breaking on the downward slope of the bifurcating energy surface. This type of analysis can be applied to other non-symmetrical systems, such as Friedel-Crafts acylation of anisole. By modeling the energy surface, one might be able to better understand the unusually high amounts of meta isomers form, and why they do not correlate with the Brown selectivity relationship.

In the Diels-Alder cycloadditions of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with various 1,3 -dienes, the transition states for the four systems studied would be envisioned having both $\left[4 \pi_{\text {diene }}+2 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ and $\left[2 \pi_{\text {diene }}+4 \pi_{\text {dienone }}\right]$ character. The transitions states have ultimately merged into one
single transitions state. The reason for this merging and the strong preference for the resulting transition states over alternatives can be understood from the bispericyclic idea of Caramella. ${ }^{85,94}$ The experimental of cycloadditions of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathbf{2 4}$ support the bispericyclic nature of the transition state. Trajectory analysis supports the idea that the steepest-descent path is a major contributor to selectivites observed experimentally for cycloadditions of $\mathbf{2 1}$ with $\mathbf{1 8}$.

For the mechanism for the Lewis acid catalyzed ene reaction of $\mathbf{4 3}$, the experimental intermolecular ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs showed a slightly smaller KIE at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ position relative to $\mathrm{C}_{3}(\approx 1.007$ versus $\approx 1.010)$. This information combined with analyzing the trajectory data leads to the suggestion that $\mathbf{4 7}$ is in fact forming in competition with $\mathbf{4 6}$, with a slight preference for the formation of 47 . This is a clear indication that dynamic effects are influencing the selectivity of the reaction after the initial transition state. However, once $\mathbf{4 7}$ is formed, the geometry of this intermediate is set up for the enthalpically favored transition state rearrangement to 46. The observed selectivity in this reaction is a result of the energy barriers associate with the rearrangement of 46 to 47, however, had this barrier for rearrangement been larger than $1.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, the regioselectivity may have reflected results similar to the trajectory data.

In the mechanistic studies for the enantioselective intramolecular Stetter reaction, the origin of selectivity is a result of multiple transition states and their relative energies. Experimental H/D KIEs had lead to the conclusion that two different mechanisms were operating for reactions were carbenes were generated in situ versus reactions using free
carbenes. However, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs and theoretical modeling of the reaction profile provide evidence for one mechanism operating in both cases.

In conclusion, when applying transition state theory to the understanding of the kinetic selectivity between two distinct products in a reaction, an assumption is made that the products arise from two distinct transition states. It has been shown through the mechanistic studies of electrophilic aromatic substitution, Lewis acid catalyzed ene reaction with $\mathbf{4 3}$, the chlorination of alkynes, and the Diels-Alder cycloadditions of 1,3dienes with $\mathbf{1 8}$, that the origin of selectivity is not always a result of selectivity result from a kinetic competition between two closely related pathways to form distinct products. When TST is applicable, the fundamentals of ground-state structural energetics are used implicity for insight into selectivity. The central goal of the work here was to examine the qualitative ideas that must be considered to understand selectivity on bifurcating surfaces when transition state theory is not applicable. In place of transition state energetics, the guiding principles must be those of Newtonian dynamics for understanding the origin of selectivity.
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## Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution

## Intermolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR KIE Sample Integration Results for

## Acylation of Veratrole

| Standard |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Initial |
| $\#$ | Int.1 |
| 1 | 1022.080 |
| 2 | 1098.320 |
| 3 | 1088.520 |
| 4 | 1000.000 |


| Sample2 <br>  <br>  <br> Final |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| \# | Int. 1 |
| 1 | 1013.83 |
| 2 | 1095.25 |
| 3 | 1092.57 |
| 4 | 1000.00 |



| Initial | Initial |
| :--- | :--- |
| Int. 5 | Int. 6 |
| 1019.940 | 1020.27 |
| 1099.810 | 1100.87 |
| 1088.660 | 1091.63 |
| 1000.000 | 1000.00 |

<Int>
1021.36
1099.44
1089.57

1000

Percent Conversion $=$
82\%
Final Int. Final Int. Final Int. Final
Final Int. $2 \quad 3$
1008.510
1010.470

4
5
1004.390

Int. 6
<Int>
1008.67
1096.26
1091.05

1000

| \# | SD Init | RSD Init | RD Final | RSD <br> 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1.043157 | 0.1021332 | 3.7316377 | 0.36995 |  |
| 2 | 1.081443 | 0.0983631 | 2.5675935 | 0.23421 |
| 3 | 2.446284 | 0.2245169 | 2.3949732 | 0.21951 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| \# | Enhancement | \% Conv | SD of Conv | KIE | SD of KIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.9876 | 0.18 | 0.0010 | 0.993 | 0.002 |
| 2 | 0.9971 | 0.18 | 0.0010 | 0.998 | 0.001 |
| 3 | 1.0014 | 0.18 | 0.0010 | 1.001 | 0.002 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 0.18 | 0.0010 | 1.000 | \#DIV/0! |

# Intarmolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR KIE Sample Integration Results for Acylation of Veratrole 



Veratrole (5) - ${ }^{13}$ C NMR for KIEs

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.4,119.8,110.1,54.3$.

3,4-dimethoxyacetophenone (6) - ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for KIEs


## Diels-Alder Reaction of 1,3-dienes with 17

Sample ${ }^{13}$ C NMR for 29


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 208.3,174.2,161.9,138.7,133.6,118.6,58.1,52.4,49.0,42.2$, 30.9, 25.8, 22.9, 22.8, 22.7.

## NMR Sample Integration Results for 29

Standard

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Ave | Std |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C2 | 1090.65 | 1096.83 | 1098.40 | 1096.43 | 1097.72 | 1098.96 | 1096.49 | 3 |
| C3 | 1094.54 | 1096.83 | 1101.34 | 1099.60 | 1097.71 | 1099.76 | 1098.29 | 2 |
| C4 | 1010.62 | 1015.78 | 1011.30 | 1014.24 | 1012.02 | 1013.49 | 1012.90 | 2 |
| C7 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 0 |
| C8 | 965.42 | 972.60 | 970.56 | 971.84 | 968.23 | 969.84 | 969.75 | 3 |
| C5 | 975.65 | 981.46 | 978.35 | 975.66 | 974.22 | 978.78 | 977.35 | 3 |
| C6 | 999.40 | 1004.14 | 1002.84 | 107.27 | 1003.29 | 1003.62 | 1003.42 | 3 |
| C1 | 952.32 | 953.80 | 952.82 | 956.91 | 948.99 | 950.71 | 952.59 | 3 |

Sample

| 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Ave | Std |  |  |  |  |  |
| C2 | 1084.09 | 1091.68 | 1085.57 | 1086.28 | 1090.03 | 1092.40 | 1088.34 |
| C3 | 1090.50 | 1095.03 | 1095.40 | 1095.74 | 1099.24 | 1097.68 | 1095.59 |
| C4 | 1008.87 | 1015.53 | 1010.27 | 1008.02 | 1013.76 | 1006.83 | 1010.54 |
| C7 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |
| C8 | 968.62 | 972.89 | 971.30 | 968.22 | 973.64 | 968.48 | 970.52 |
| C5 | 980.92 | 976.62 | 974.77 | 979.37 | 985.20 | 974.56 | 978.57 |
| C6 | 996.57 | 1004.89 | 1002.91 | 999.01 | 1001.23 | 1001.19 | 1000.96 |
| C1 | 919.21 | 931.52 | 924.30 | 924.49 | 921.58 | 920.20 | 923.55 |


|  | $\mathbf{C l}^{12} / \mathbf{C}^{13}$ | Corrected fo |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C2 | 1.007 | 1.008 | 3 |
| C3 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 5 |
| C4 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 5 |
| C7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
| C8 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 2 |
| C5 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 4 |
| C6 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 4 |
| C1 | 1.031 | 1.035 | 7 |

## Spectra of 31

## 31- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (C6D6) d $6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## $31-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.0,162.8,155.4,142.0,139.8,137.8,110.4,106.5,54.0,51.2$, 47.3, 333.2, 28.5.

## Spectra of 32

## 32- ${ }^{1} H$ in $C_{6} D_{6}$


 ${ }^{1} H$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Spectra of 33

## 33- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (C6D6) d $6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.8,163.3,141.8,140.0,137.5,128.8,128.1,127.2,56.0,52.3$, 47.9, 39.7, 30.6.

## Transition State Ridge for 24-ax

Graph of Transition State Ridge for 24-ax


## Method for predicting ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs based on transition state ridge

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs were predicted for each of the 21 structures generated from scaled theoretical vibrational frequencies ${ }^{1}$ using conventional Bigeleisen Mayer method. ${ }^{2,3}$ Tunneling corrections were applied using a one-dimensional infinite parabolic barrier model. ${ }^{4}$ The individual KIEs were then calculated using a Boltzmann combination of all the predicted isotope effects and their relative energies.

|  | Erel + ZPE | BFx | BFx/SBF |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 1.5813 | 0.0705 | 0.0059 |
| -1.8 | 1.2519 | 0.1225 | 0.0102 |
| -1.6 | 0.9752 | 0.1949 | 0.0162 |
| -1.4 | 0.7417 | 0.2883 | 0.0240 |
| -1.2 | 0.5943 | 0.3691 | 0.0307 |
| -1 | 0.4374 | 0.4802 | 0.0400 |
| -0.8 | 0.2993 | 0.6053 | 0.0504 |
| -0.6 | 0.1845 | 0.7339 | 0.0611 |
| -0.4 | 0.1010 | 0.8441 | 0.0703 |
| -0.2 | 0.0377 | 0.9388 | 0.0782 |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0833 |
| 0.2 | 0.0082 | 0.9864 | 0.0822 |
| 0.4 | 0.0515 | 0.9173 | 0.0764 |
| 0.6 | 0.1061 | 0.8371 | 0.0697 |
| 0.8 | 0.1588 | 0.7663 | 0.0638 |
| 1 | 0.2152 | 0.6970 | 0.0581 |
| 1.2 | 0.2755 | 0.6300 | 0.0525 |
| 1.4 | 0.3859 | 0.5235 | 0.0436 |
| 1.6 | 0.5265 | 0.4136 | 0.0344 |
| 1.8 | 0.6633 | 0.3288 | 0.0274 |
| 2 | 0.8076 | 0.2581 | 0.0215 |
| Total |  | 12.006 | 1.0000 |


|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{KIE}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| C 1 | 1.033 |
| C 2 | 1.0056 |
| C 3 | 1.002 |
| C 4 | 1.004 |
| C 5 | 1.002 |
| C 6 | 1.000 |
| C 7 | 0.998 |
| C 8 | 0.999 |

## Ene Reaction of Isopropylidenecyclohexene

## Intermolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR KIE Sample Integration Results

Standard
Initial

|  | Initial |
| :---: | :---: |
| \# | Int. 1 |
| 1 | 983 |

$1 \quad 983.269$
$2 \quad 1018.940$
$3 \quad 2019.510$
$4 \quad 2004.450$
$5 \quad 1000.000$
$6 \quad 1978.580$

## Sample1

|  | Final Int. |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\#$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 1 | 988.383 |
| 2 | 1029.950 |
| 3 | 2022.280 |
| 4 | 2004.720 |
| 5 | 1000.000 |
| 6 | 1973.260 |

C13 KIE's

| Initial Int. | Initial Int. | Initial Int. | Initial Int. | Initial Int. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
| 977.452 | 985.930 | 978.857 | 980.898 | 978.390 |
| 1020.720 | 1024.840 | 1023.430 | 1023.180 | 1023.310 |
| 2016.650 | 2025.170 | 2021.530 | 2023.760 | 2018.070 |
| 1999.850 | 2010.390 | 2009.550 | 2008.260 | 2006.560 |
| 1000.000 | 1000.000 | 1000.000 | 1000.000 | 1000.000 |

1970.630

Percent Conversion $=85 \%$
Final Int.
998.444
1033.650
$2024.330 \quad 2026.680$
2005.170
1000.000
1974.770

## Final Int. <br> 3 Final Int. Final Int.

996.441
1033.690
2011.820
1000.000

| \# | SD Init | RSD Init | SD Final | RSD <br> Final |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3.2624 | 0.3326 | 3.6573 | 0.3677 |
| 2 | 2.1562 | 0.2109 | 5.2483 | 0.5078 |
| 3 | 3.3084 | 0.1637 | 5.1653 | 0.2547 |
| 4 | 3.8977 | 0.1943 | 6.9375 | 0.3448 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 6 | 4.2003 | 0.2123 | 6.4054 | 0.3242 |

SD of

|  | Enhancement | SD of <br> \% Conv |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.0142 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | KIE | SD of KIE |
| 2 | 1.0109 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | 1.008 | 0.0027 |
| 3 | 1.0037 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | 1.002 | 0.0029 |
| 4 | 1.0029 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | 1.002 | 0.0016 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | 1.000 | \#DIV/0! |
| 6 | 0.9985 | 0.15 | 0.0010 | 0.999 | 0.0020 |

SD of KIE
$1.008 \quad 0.0027$
0.0029
0.0016
0.0021
\#DIV/0!
0.0020
<Int> 980.799
1022.403
2020.782
2006.510
1000.000
1978.668
<Int>
994.767
1033.517
2028.338
2012.320
1000.000
1975.683

Isopropylidenecyclohexene (43) - ${ }^{13}$ C NMR for KIEs

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 132.2,120.3,30.8,28.5,27.5,20.1$.

## 44- $d_{1}-{ }^{1} H N M R$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.6(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 1.11 (m, 6H).

## Chlorination of Bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene

## Intermolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR KIE Sample Integration Results

| Standard 1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  | Initial |
| \# | Initial Int.1 | Int. 2 |
| 1 | 2330.07 | 2327.14 |
| 2 | 6000 | 6000 |


| Sample 1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \# | Final Int. 1 | Final Int. |
| 2 |  |  |
| 1 | 2396.74 | 2397.88 |
| 2 | 6000 | 6000 |


| $\#$ | SD Init |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 1.518683641 |
| 2 | 0 |
| $\#$ | Enhancement |
| 1 | 1.029225786 |
| 2 | 1 |


| Standard 2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| \# | Initial Int.1 | Initial |
| Int. 2 |  |  |
| 1 | 2331.65 | 2327.52 |
| 2 | 6000 | 6000 |


| Sample 2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \# | Final Int. 1 | Final Int. |
| 1 | 2377.93 | 2382.29 |
| 2 | 6000 | 6000 |


| \# | SD Init |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 2.785108615 |
| 2 | 0 |
|  |  |
| $\#$ | Enhancement |
| 1 | 1.021788634 |
| 2 | 1 |

C13 KIE's

| Initial Int. 3 | Initial <br> Int. 4 | Initial Int. $5$ | Initial Int. 6 | <Int> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2328.48 | 2325.58 | 2327.62 | 2328.57 | 2327.91 |
| 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |
| Percent Conversion $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| 85.4\% |  | 82\% |  |  |
| Final Int. 3 | Final Int. | Final Int. | Final Int. 6 | <Int> |
| 2399.11 | 2398.17 | 2388.79 | 2394.98 | 2395.94 |
| 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |


| RSD Init |  | SD Final |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.065238074 |  | 3.78072 |  |
| 0 |  | 0 |  |
| \% Conv | SD of Conv | KIE | SD of KIE |
| 0.18 | 0.001 | 1.01708 | 0.0010609 |
| 0.18 | 0.001 | 1 | 0 |

C13 KIE's

|  | Initial | Initial Int. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Initial Int. 3 | Int. 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 2328.15 | 2326.38 | 2330.51 |
| 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |

Percent Conversion $=$
$85.4 \% \quad$ Final Int. $\quad \begin{array}{r}80 \% \\ \text { Final Int. }\end{array}$

| Final Int. 3 |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2383.09 | 2377.89 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 2383.06 |  |  |
| 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |


| Initial Int. 6 | <Int> |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2333.72 | 2329.65 |
| 6000 | 6000 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Final Int. 6 | <Int> |
| 2378.23 | 2380.41 |
| 6000 | 6000 |

RSD
Final
0.1111

0
RSD
Final
0.1577

0
2395.94

6000

## D Final

KIE SD of KIE
1.013570 .0010647

<Int>
2327.91 6000

RSD Init
0.11955026

\% Conv |  | SD of <br> Conv |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.001



## Intramolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR for KIEs for Prodcut 55

| Sample 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fid1 | fid2 | fid3 | fid4 | fid5 | Fid6 | AVERAGE | STDEV |
| 1 | 989.384 | 987.843 | 989.501 | 987.318 | 985.81 | 987.382 | 987.873 | 1.395670448 |
| 2 | 1031.12 | 1031.26 | 1032.1 | 1034.12 | 1030.69 | 1031.97 | 1031.876667 | 1.220830318 |
| 3 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 0 |
| Sample 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | fid1 | fid2 | fid3 | fid4 | fid5 | Fid6 | AVERAGE | STDEV |
| 1 | 988.619 | 990.742 | 986.597 | 985.323 | 986.505 | 988.017 | 987.6338333 | 1.921838122 |
| 2 | 1032.55 | 1037.92 | 1032.61 | 1030.98 | 1030.27 | 1031.3 | 1032.605 | 2.758555782 |
| 3 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 | 0 |
| 13C Content |  |  | KIE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.045 |  |  | 0.957 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prodcut $55-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for KIEs


 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}00 & 180 & 160 & 140 & 120 & 100 & 80 & 60 & 40 & 20 & 0 & \mathrm{ppm}\end{array}$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.7,129.2,2.8$.

## Enantioselective Intramolecular Stetter Reaction

## Intermolecular ${ }^{13}$ C NMR KIE Sample Integration Results for 59

| Standard | C13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Initial | Initial | KIE's |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Initial |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Int. 1 | Int. 2 | Int. 3 | Initial Int. 4 | Initial Int. 5 | Initial Int. 6 | <Int> |
| 1 | 885.19 | 880.28 | 886.38 | 883.81 | 881.85 | 878.65 | 882.69 |
| 2 | 986.80 | 990.34 | 996.42 | 983.62 | 987.13 | 984.48 | 988.13 |
| 3 | 975.41 | 974.73 | 978.61 | 975.53 | 977.65 | 973.80 | 975.96 |
| 4 | 985.08 | 983.65 | 984.41 | 978.39 | 983.43 | 981.08 | 982.67 |
| 5 | 1009.79 | 1007.50 | 1008.59 | 1005.02 | 1010.58 | 1006.49 | 1007.99 |
| 6 | 1001.96 | 998.99 | 1002.96 | 996.39 | 1000.65 | 998.31 | 999.88 |
| 7 | 1022.95 | 1026.46 | 1029.51 | 1024.18 | 1026.61 | 1020.91 | 1025.10 |
| 8 | 993.74 | 993.71 | 994.67 | 991.53 | 994.35 | 989.45 | 992.91 |
| 9 | 1005.85 | 1005.85 | 1011.81 | 1007.18 | 1008.80 | 1001.91 | 1006.90 |
| 10 | 1007.50 | 1009.27 | 1014.10 | 1005.96 | 1010.61 | 1006.63 | 1009.01 |
| 11 | 1004.48 | 1004.53 | 1011.11 | 1003.62 | 1008.43 | 1003.59 | 1005.96 |
| 12 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |
| 13 | 907.03 | 904.44 | 908.28 | 902.93 | 903.79 | 904.08 | 905.09 |
| Sample2 |  |  | Percent Conversion $=$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 75\% |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Final Int. $1$ | Final Int. | Final Int. 3 | Final Int. 4 | Final Int. 5 | Final Int. 6 | <Int> |
| 1 | 907.08 | 905.93 | 909.94 | 911.71 | 909.22 | 910.86 | 909.12 |
| 2 | 977.32 | 980.67 | 981.36 | 981.19 | 980.04 | 983.79 | 980.73 |
| 3 | 974.31 | 972.98 | 978.25 | 978.00 | 976.89 | 980.30 | 976.79 |
| 4 | 978.93 | 978.27 | 981.34 | 978.30 | 976.61 | 978.95 | 978.73 |
| 5 | 1008.34 | 1011.08 | 1010.01 | 1009.37 | 1011.39 | 1013.31 | 1010.58 |
| 6 | 1003.01 | 1002.05 | 1003.69 | 1004.62 | 1001.51 | 1005.91 | 1003.47 |
| 7 | 1002.08 | 1002.92 | 1002.57 | 1003.83 | 1003.00 | 1005.14 | 1003.26 |
| 8 | 990.63 | 990.59 | 991.54 | 995.55 | 989.86 | 989.19 | 991.23 |
| 9 | 1002.05 | 1002.18 | 1006.96 | 1008.70 | 1003.14 | 1008.86 | 1005.32 |
| 10 | 999.80 | 1001.52 | 1004.20 | 1003.93 | 1001.01 | 1002.33 | 1002.13 |
| 11 | 1002.43 | 998.55 | 1004.10 | 1002.76 | 997.32 | 1003.82 | 1001.50 |
| 12 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |
| 13 | 901.77 | 903.08 | 905.07 | 905.87 | 897.19 | 907.60 | 903.43 |
| \# $\begin{array}{ll} \\ \\ 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | RSD |  |
|  | SD Init |  | RSD Init |  | SD Final | Final |  |
|  | 2.9636 |  | 0.3357 |  | 2.2253 | 0.2448 |  |
|  | 4.6885 |  | 0.4745 |  | 2.1011 | 0.2142 |  |
|  | 1.8194 |  | 0.1864 |  | 2.7033 | 0.2768 |  |
|  | 2.4999 |  | 0.2544 |  | 1.5377 | 0.1571 |  |
|  | 2.0808 |  | 0.2064 |  | 1.7422 | 0.1724 |  |


| 6 | 2.4412 | 0.2441 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 3.0546 | 0.2980 |
| 8 | 2.0165 | 0.2031 |
| 9 | 3.3134 | 0.3291 |
| 10 | 3.0252 | 0.2998 |
| 11 | 3.0967 | 0.3078 |
| 12 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13 | 2.0851 | 0.2304 |

Enhance
ment
1.0299
0.9925
1.0009
0.9960
1.0026
1.0036
0.9787
0.9983
0.9984
0.9932
0.9956
1.0000
0.9982
\% Conv
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25
0.25

| SD of Conv |
| :---: |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |
| 0.0010 |


| 1.6372 | 0.1631 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.0871 | 0.1084 |
| 2.2610 | 0.2281 |
| 3.2235 | 0.3206 |
| 1.7111 | 0.1707 |
| 2.8550 | 0.2851 |
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3.6825 | 0.4076 |

SD of KIE
0.003
0.004
0.002
0.002
0.002
0.002
0.002
0.002
0.003
0.002
0.003
\#DIV/0!
0.003

## $59-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for KIEs


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 189.1,165.7,160.2,141.4,136.1,128.7,125.0,122.4,121.3,112.6$, 66.9, 60.8, 14.2.
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| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.215951 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.163516 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -613.775351 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -613.762964 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -613.762019 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -613.814454 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCAL/MOL | CAL/MOL-KELVIN | CAL/MOL-KELVIN |  |
| TOTAL | 134.919 | 46.088 | 110.359 |  |

C,0,0.7860327886,-0.7017780426,-1.131904038<br>C, $0,-0.4375384704,-0.3461703823,-1.7010049274$<br>С, $0,-1.4096944087,0.3185476333,-0.9440424713$<br>C,0,-1.1710673833,0.6374962567,0.3954460974<br>C,0,0.0686729927,0.2764915492,0.9698719499<br>C,0,1.0403851447,-0.3818298861,0.2325269571<br>O, $0,2.2569983989,-0.764317024,0.7069779399$<br>C, $0,2.5673350024,-0.4707613869,2.065305514$<br>O,0,1.7866544331,-1.3451152757,-1.7818014535<br>C,0,1.5976049436,-1.694315415,-3.1487281558<br>C, $0,-2.150631625,1.3434274094,1.2725371702$<br>H,0,-0.6453231935,-0.5828125258,-2.7373772121<br>Н,0,-2.3457404561,0.5763627589,-1.4244260547<br>H,0,0.2279063755,0.5352181702,2.0089061533<br>H,0,3.5731095503,-0.8597554808,2.2271599079<br>H,0,2.5570884419,0.6100118473,2.252072852<br>H,0,1.8676578982,-0.965366622,2.7500506204<br>H,0,2.5176686058,-2.1933963084,-3.4540137725<br>H,0,0.7511483538,-2.3811813697,-3.2711692692<br>H,0,1.4414787721,-0.8036185824,-3.7696533286<br>O,0,-1.8721919914,1.5913862277,2.4426926649<br>C,0,-3.5120745076,1.772413708,0.7448083189<br>Н,0,-3.7052152065,1.5269676324,-0.2991698644<br>H,0,-4.2817619018,1.3058517542,1.3676948736<br>H,0,-3.6038508267,2.8549586411,0.8780733945

## Acylium Ion (8)

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-153.020663268$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.044271 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.047847 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.048791 |

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.020197
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -152.976392
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-152.972817$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-152.971873$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-153.000466$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 30.024 | 10.404 | 60.180 |

C, $0,0.0535407511,-0.0081031881,-0.2006565859$
C, $0,-0.3146842661,0.0477167902,1.178954807$
O,0,0.3431228139,-0.052042625,-1.2852795567
H,0,-1.2794592429,-0.4681576379,1.2961932035
H,0,0.4709200766,-0.454913589,1.7628128763
H, $, 0,-0.3995691326,1.1061462499,1.4674492558$

Intermediate 9
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-614.371445010$
Zero-point correction $=\quad 0.212884$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy $=\quad 0.226386$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.227330
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.172484
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-614.158561$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-614.145059$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-614.144115$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\quad-614.198961$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 142.059 | 49.619 | 115.433 |

C,0,1.1417392803,0.1776803102,0.1373835765
C, $0,0.8019501716,-0.1752270483,-1.2304506516$
C, $0,-0.5372100565,-0.0603977297,-1.7063888237$
C,0,-1.5218895323,0.3610308052,-0.8672901936
C,0,-1.2565018412,0.710559997,0.5410884404
C,0,0.1517992104,0.5846544311,0.9790954937
O,0,1.7993594515,-0.5879176288,-1.9578810218
C, $0,1.6217367962,-0.9922498927,-3.3443635687$
C,0,-2.2655321711,0.0540580403,1.5746427315
C,0,-3.7028788898,-0.0788788114,1.1483589165
$\mathrm{O}, 0,2.4482247496,0.0400504375,0.4305944149$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.8750855188,0.3460026881,1.7677647318$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-1.8606855735,-0.2751072929,2.6658968651$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7733631011,-0.2985485545,-2.7383252366$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5307036222,0.4729181724,-1.2592046716$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3598798966,0.8259620152,2.0153876227$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.950054812,0.169987878,1.7750728978$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6668280746,1.3941298808,2.0091592516$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.3837028992,-0.3144563816,2.490527939$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.6159267182,-1.2899468939,-3.6712849667$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.930783599,-1.8366164603,-3.397180006$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.2622440129,-0.14464543,-3.9322739425$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.7963646807,-0.9043999013,0.4314779322$
H, $,-4.3169805759,-0.2970104674,2.0234211048$
H, $,--4.060285629,0.8314589372,0.654760926$
H,0,-1.5452005177,1.7872698998,0.6461962382

## Structure $11^{*}$

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-614.351931262$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.210227 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.224364 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.225308 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.167895 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -614.141704 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -614.127568 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -614.126623 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -614.184036 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 140.790 | 49.973 | 120.835 |

C,0,-0.0515169787,-1.4189256909,0.9079879665
C, $0,0.9601832926,-0.7195492824,0.248060217$
С, $, 0,0.9454738114,0.708150694,0.2467848902$
C, $0,-0.080385545,1.3869211635,0.9054977734$
C,0,-1.0981474552,0.6724673148,1.5596706247
С,0,-1.0842999379,-0.7257586164,1.5596953911
O,0,1.9989612037,-1.2939937763,-0.4090824091
C,0,2.08902902,-2.7226645525,-0.421990198
O,0,1.9719282416,1.302841677,-0.4118799622
C,0,2.0345625011,2.7328253099,-0.4244085586

```
C,0,-2.560002916,-0.0412372904,-1.0779091334
O,0,-1.6137015134,-0.1197836281,-1.6895462047
C,0,-3.8721565172,0.0584444387,-0.5030522069
H,0,-1.8466185543,-1.2868046234,2.0978752893
H,0,-0.0987753872,2.4712006353,0.9274091235
H,0,-1.8704201119,1.2184050966,2.0992531942
H,0,-0.0478304331,-2.5033572552,0.9296331423
H,0,2.990055605,-2.9531420503,-0.9906838417
H,0,2.1831423216,-3.1196969162,0.5950731922
H,0,1.2180170359,-3.1680059303,-0.9163333555
H,0,2.9298286974,2.9807918335,-0.9948423768
H,0,1.1541796848,3.161864205,-0.9166894578
H,0,2.1231839596,3.131336755,0.592628774
H,0,-3.9388164343,0.9969016781,0.0620022357
H,0,-4.5957622054,0.048950269,-1.3330349552
H,0,-4.0321113851,-0.798181458,0.1638808458
```


## Structure 12

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-614.244202375$
Zero-point correction $=\quad 0.847367$ (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy $=\quad 0.984587$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.985532
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.520351
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-614.374072$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-614.236852$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-614.235908$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-614.701088$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 617.838 | 385.733 | 979.054 |

C,0,0.7033913049,1.6427655754,2.1241537396
С, $, 0,0.5116247247,0.3205001338,2.560396008$
С, $0,1.9585451727,-0.0380852009,-0.1576674508$
O,0,2.9939201857,-0.0871447882,0.3111349298
C,0,0.8356139646,-0.078550558,-1.069629944
C, $0,1.5245301645,-0.3428635766,3.2631197777$
C, $0,1.9043668867,2.309220494,2.4015632247$
H,0,-0.4382554645,-0.179447881,2.3883627385
H,0,-0.1021181968,2.1744167455,1.6237795139
H,0,0.0356912961,-0.6896739413,-0.6303686316

H,0,0.4793223902,0.9454938958,-1.2251165896
H,0,1.1709704724,-0.5193936311,-2.0223046822
C,0,2.733652999,0.3034829586,3.5272366037
H,0,1.3657869931,-1.3615222246,3.6028038232
O,0,3.7752024994,-0.2307210508,4.1903199192
C,0,2.9245827929,1.6549775277,3.0926818538
H,0,2.0321071366,3.3385457685,2.0880234262
O,0,4.1162078692,2.1924191193,3.4190815559
C, $0,3.6884118423,-1.5865513775,4.6382523655$
H,0,4.6380828831,-1.7896092136,5.1328325167
H,0,2.8625448383,-1.7153034248,5.3474525033
H,0,3.5619351182,-2.2716027197,3.791087308
C,0,4.3928533757,3.5383493729,3.0295707546
H,0,5.4033750666,3.7433006928,3.3806242502
H,0,4.3557645865,3.6496234714,1.938346184
H,0,3.6905119197,4.2343957318,3.5018551054
C,0,6.970338916,0.7183320524,4.2164751408
H,0,5.869407052,0.745868727,4.024802741
$\mathrm{H}, 0,7.2580517068,1.3098630425,5.1143104749$
Cl,0,7.4467548558,-0.9361253421,4.4848331874
Cl,0,7.7776755459,1.4240700432,2.8347003871
C,0,1.901629699,2.8471397077,-3.9754101218
Cl,0,0.9846833745,1.4006616831,-4.2974143002
H,0,1.3161547126,3.7223304781,-4.3376320135
Cl,0,2.1973848798,3.0727524481,-2.2649097186
H,0,2.8904430623,2.7614356234,-4.482617558
Cl,0,6.0067085287,-4.9974024905,-0.6453321901
C,0,6.5717755708,-3.6914510242,0.3558554968
H,0,6.9729040532,-2.8885287179,-0.3046292816
Cl,0,5.2891695264,-2.9939764644,1.3216691044
H,0,7.3460763068,-4.0873011094,1.0522233265
Cl,0,-6.8653958616,0.3157173143,6.026662844
C,0,-5.7152436926,1.4918595431,5.4563760812
H,0,-5.5596139404,2.2417070901,6.264088922
H,0,-4.7772062218,0.9544268348,5.1886628425
Cl,0,-6.2682103981,2.3417017271,4.0356868338
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,2.2698801463,-7.3872149184,-2.1932652709$
C, $0,0.7967977885,-7.9325327176,-1.436612858$
H,0,0.9248905777,-9.0039199915,-1.1634909098
Cl,0,0.429825157,-7.0440405109,0.0194951803
H,0,-0.0401283198,-7.7736737928,-2.1554466192
Cl,0,-2.905086997,1.6796107193,1.8477360033
C,0,-4.12635722,2.4564321257,0.866884669
H,0,-4.0239857764,3.556887984,1.0030686472

Cl, 0,-3.9391551043,2.0927983078,-0.825860602
H,0,-5.122529407,2.0809160193,1.199284453
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-3.2646268344,-5.5793002316,1.2137643162$
C,0,-4.9344953509,-5.7586247839,1.6711173789
H,0,-5.365785319,-6.6076935938,1.0947095386
H,0,-4.9765932345,-5.9297055894,2.7710599457
Cl,0,-5.8810411372,-4.334316311,1.3157946546
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,1.5473017771,-3.0645754409,0.5642162782$
C, $0,2.3621678193,-3.9383325426,-0.7217356617$
Cl,0,2.7585144512,-2.87976867,-2.0556586196
H,0,3.3122392581,-4.3521971782,-0.3084500526
H,0,1.6702749526,-4.7320514793,-1.0913200972
Cl,0,-3.9203607185,6.3656308813,1.001521694
C,0,-4.0924633665,6.9744056994,2.6262162448
Cl,0,-2.7172312955,6.6041653101,3.6320473135
H,0,-4.1902069103,8.082121029,2.569239484
H,0,-4.9889720266,6.4957905854,3.0809928783
Cl,0,-7.8572255083,5.2454363675,-4.520890711
C, $0,-8.7014581634,5.3627566329,-6.0421130185$
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-8.9894583469,3.8041027669,-6.7674703027$
H,0,-9.688025291,5.8430356455,-5.8529699848
H,0,-8.0715240725,5.9574283193,-6.7411725446
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-7.196049421,-1.8520441485,-0.3446349076$
C,0,-7.9771011086,-0.410642172,0.2472959703
Cl,0,-7.1873209724,0.2481338232,1.6572211768
H,0,-7.9444313681,0.3579727842,-0.5603114154
H,0,-9.0199748053,-0.6764383699,0.5321241507
$\mathrm{C}, 0,5.1963338825,4.312691423,-4.8411316116$
C,0,6.0543404015,4.7673205324,-3.390711273
Cl,0,7.5667512864,3.9215727318,-3.2127687925
H,0,6.2726317407,5.8574214236,-3.4508812656
H,0,5.4113658402,4.51604187,-2.5159239005
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,1.3270655507,8.5366602141,1.802760797$
C, $0,0.9457218858,7.9406562174,3.3968933131$
Cl,0,1.732444691,6.427478142,3.7592109394
H,0,1.2951873209,8.6952152272,4.1374923272
H,0,-0.1553158871,7.7786556556,3.4480238564
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.284025797,-4.6729473982,-3.2712670981$
C,0,-2.0129544839,-4.91694588,-3.279529857
Cl, $0,-2.4546585948,-6.5864075331,-3.0608214967$
H,0,-2.4467934192,-4.3282132916,-2.4392361217
H,0,-2.3990443895,-4.581439785,-4.2689732969
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-3.7318272602,-1.5929637097,4.3135447007$
C,0,-5.0535474028,-2.6888139877,4.6323339791

| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.6884163129,-2.2382671288,5.4292433242$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.6117218686,-2.8406038286,3.6798934987$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-4.5030079589,-4.2469921009,5.1885562636$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,9.2792773658,-1.1372663512,0.1953312417$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,10.0126029555,-1.5260205616,1.7343235733$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,9.4941986382,-0.9270737717,2.5188606628$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,9.8533144731,-3.2106579175,2.142874949$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,11.0975814948,-1.2878164833,1.6628793045$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-9.5963782347,1.9261002115,-2.2720265697$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-8.6189625048,1.5523246488,-3.6665943737$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-7.022444021,1.0010049366,-3.2316781442$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-9.1224946993,0.7353653683,-4.2309467997$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,--5.5146268109,2.4808532123,-4.2756273909$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.7137706829,-1.2815389831,-4.8956144925$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.1877019623,-1.0899408158,-5.815687197$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-3.5217707351,-1.9688236543,-5.1257865631$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.449945509,-0.0081843375,-5.8216198221$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.9999641694,-1.4850424914,-6.8397399329$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,4.048435425,7.7323354029,-2.079250778$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,3.5294253681,7.1520399664,-0.5248245826$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,4.0915325949,5.5282611867,-0.1943506807$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9477501925,7.8221983697,0.2603470484$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.416043432,7.134184838,-0.5104015281$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.7265457936,-2.0846907484,0.5723812587$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.3198179054,-1.7055205559,-0.0527655926$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-3.3464939275,-1.6564786875,-1.7917825085$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.0149480535,-2.5051039324,0.2942761847$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.604766051,-0.6996231396,0.3322892214$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,0.1170218613,-2.6407286339,5.9580900477$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.6050896651,-3.893633285,4.9842458739$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4709779232,-4.8639700934,5.5131449579$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6808015517,-3.6416695458,4.8330627572$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,0.1461147924,-4.0289499352,3.4143610551$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,5.8208302354,-0.3374204981,-1.5919133485$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,6.4626536428,1.1495300548,-0.9435820985$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,7.1046651343,1.6210096066,-1.7234869038$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}, 0,5.19527219377,2.2862928834,-0.5346936661$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,7.0239098253,0.9116633187,-0.0102218525$ |

## Structure $13^{*}$

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-1038.97884803$

| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.248428 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.249372 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.180811 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1038.749277 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1038.730420 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1038.729476 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -1038.798037 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 155.891 | 67.504 | 144.300 |
| C, $0,1.2$ | 1347,0.693 | 3821,0.112459 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 4083,-0.650 | 1366,0.19439 | 655 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 91262,-0.8 | 93892,0.71571 | 9598 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 045576,0.269 | 333264,1.133940059 | 595 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 909407,1.612 | 25782,0.9745304 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 9025,1.81039 | 50298,0.499959 |  |
| O,0,1. | 91398,-1.603 | 506552,-0.27651 | 9143 |
| C, $0,1$. | $71921,-2.948$ | 06437,-0.344568 | 1466 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 556748,0.087 | 70262,2.64046 | 921 |
| C, $0,-3$ | 60672,0.305 | 20045,2.82726 | 935 |
| O,0,2. | 47544,0.80253 | 0769,-0.359957107 |  |
| C,0,3.0 | 13495,2.0869 | $99135,-0.50684603$ | 308 |
| O,0,-1 | 4405,-0.208 | $78742,3.51076$ |  |
| H,0,-0 | 105601,-1.83 | 410842,0.79422 | 9976 |
| H,0,-2 | $783191,0.189$ | 538374,0.5183502 | 104 |
| H,0,0. | 50317,2.813 | 80406,0.394752 |  |
| H,0,4. | 72766,1.8639 | 15412,-0.90147 | 549 |
| H,0,2. | 37076,2.7079 | 06632,-1.216182 | 187 |
| H,0,3. | 30248,2.583931 | 10251,0.463967 |  |
| H,0,1. | 92473,-3.522 | 149747,-0.84384 | 7117 |
| H, $0,0$. | 22842,-3.346 | 829334,0.663166 | 274 |
| H, $0,0$. | 18043,-2.978 | 45811,-0.9221086 | 674 |
| H,0,-3 | 423294,-0.52 | 273025,2.32935 | 2787 |
| H,0,-3 | $334956,0.329$ | $114788,3.891475$ | 462 |
| H,0,-3 | 85147,1.2267 | 63583,2.332006463 |  |
| H, $0,-1$ | 172906,2.467 | 289328,1.247432 | 615 |
| B, $0,-3$ | 218917,-1.83 | 235418,-0.54948 | 0262 |
| F,0,-2. | 305072,-2.066 | 875043,-1.3172620 |  |
| F, $0,-3$. | $780153,-2.248$ | 674804,0.794221 | 4559 |
| F,0,-3. | 7670456,-0.414 | 215917,-0.50177 | 917 |
| F, $0,-4$. | 18843,-2.4870 | 68188,-1.06541391 |  |

## Transition State for bromination of veratrole

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-3032.71631708$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.167904 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.178667 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.179611 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.130355 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -3032.548413 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -3032.537650 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -3032.536706 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -3032.585962 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 112.115 | 39.367 | 103.667 |

C,0,0.3408200797,-0.2642036517,1.6014965648
C,0,1.4002627088,-0.3164311731,0.6734047357
C, $0,1.1384114473,-0.6489033486,-0.6423643132$
C,0,-0.2480469131,-0.9527061969,-1.0773383095
C,0,-1.2902816626,-0.9060252664,-0.170667989
C,0,-1.0294203841,-0.5645933236,1.1715855255
O,0,2.0221528457,-0.7575373993,-1.6072862367
C,0,3.4188300857,-0.4877367402,-1.3489793316
O,0,-0.3363955909,-1.274269847,-2.3472126189
C, $0,-1.6207547409,-1.5919917014,-2.9300807735$
$\mathrm{Br}, 0,-0.8729393375,1.692611058,1.6007215937$
H,0,0.5607547247,-0.265901582,2.6632479477
H,0,-2.3032457173,-1.1424681596,-0.4693533664
Н,0,-1.7737176922,-0.7773075055,1.9308336153
H,0,2.4082823591,-0.1100037833,1.008814903
H,0,3.9135968155,-0.6239779647,-2.3085590868
H,0,3.5434443351,0.5407327479,-0.998535136
H,0,3.8081364993,-1.2005059973,-0.6164253127
H,0,-1.4117928881,-1.7909238724,-3.9793224151
H,0,-2.0390879408,-2.4817032386,-2.4508756843
H,0,-2.2984754471,-0.7393212927,-2.8314270531

## Brominated Intermediate

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-3032.72680832$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
0.168328 (Hartree/Particle) 0.179727

| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.180671 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.129668 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -3032.558480 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -3032.547082 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -3032.546137 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -3032.597141 |


|  | E (Thermal) <br> $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | CV <br> Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Total | Sal/Mol-Kelvin |  |

## Nitronium Ion (15)

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-204.711826770$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.010297 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.013280 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.014225 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | -0.006787 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -204.701530 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -204.698546 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -204.697602 |

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\quad-204.718614$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | S |
| Cal/Mol-Kelvin |  |  |
| Total | 8.334 | 7.024 |
|  | 44.223 |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}, 0,0.0003552638,0 ., 0.0001841139$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}, 0,-0.5194916683,-0.0000000002,1.0028920518$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}, 0,0.5191808125,0.0000000002,-1.0030531515$ |  |  |

## Transition Structure for Nitration of veratrole

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-666.098606034$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.178672 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.191197 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.192141 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= $=$ | 0.139660 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -665.919934 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -665.907409 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -665.906465 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -665.958946 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } 119.978 \quad 45.397 \quad 110.456 \\
& \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.7278060875,-0.5859152881,1.3356326253 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.6578247224,0.7731156788,1.6255292134 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.5447831949,1.7457759705,0.572341979 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.5029993467,1.3504334426,-0.7610748172 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.5205550488,-0.0099230177,-1.0687874561 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.6360003661,-1.0045829552,0.0082320917 \\
& \mathrm{~N}, 0,-1.5624758306,1.3580153673,1.4210141728 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.4519620815,-0.5331178101,-2.2717026774 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.3465019587,0.3175699276,-3.4355496114 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.6509595267,-2.2480835709,-0.414682474 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.7709551537,-3.3399877601,0.5248455596 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.7576575064,1.1182881285,2.6496628423 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.427846862,2.0931874073,-1.5453743765 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.5623081423,2.800340839,0.8283471115 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.8226735076,-1.3077654014,2.1371251286 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.7548053963,-4.2397662214,-0.0870044635 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.7177763252,-3.266795315,1.0672182948
\end{aligned}
$$

```
H,0,-0.077085859,-3.3354714119,1.2155272666
H,0,0.3053887502,-0.3668040934,-4.2806332253
H,0,-0.5700390359,0.9121412805,-3.3838083927
H,0,1.2270443335,0.9616997248,-3.5113280384
O,0,-2.11905083,0.5546063283,0.7391479147
O,0,-1.8883208266,2.1823352404,2.2167561288
```


## Nitrated Intermediate (16)

## $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-666.105681341$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.180067 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.192689 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.193634 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.140186 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -665.925614 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -665.912992 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -665.912048 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $=$ | -665.965496 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 120.914 | 45.730 | 112.490 |

C,0,0.54700098,-1.3462367722,0.0888946372
C, $0,0.4667045551,-0.9502321021,1.4652852469$
C,0,0.2031800186,0.3398405949,1.7834698566
C,0,0.0914876597,1.3795492501,0.7348354526
C,0,0.092497818,0.9178562351,-0.6708184565
С,0,0.3489857692,-0.3804902163,-0.9914748482
O,0,0.4271270976,-0.9185886306,-2.2076929395
C, $0,0.2042809464,-0.0694817925,-3.3487080613$
O,0,0.7652314069,-2.5575088678,-0.302549988
C,0,0.9730677804,-3.6659010802,0.6228450633
N,0,-1.1261880713,2.3370444539,1.0510575399
O,0,-1.2949860961,2.5903026783,2.2285115146
O,0,-1.7389772653,2.7642740328,0.0938441266
H,0,0.0902778172,0.6577873669,2.8148469145
H,0,-0.0864567087,1.6641143245,-1.4342470923
H,0,0.9344330076,2.0789571016,0.8857233366
H,0,0.5839552393,-1.6950306334,2.2433604767
H,0,1.1465728826,-4.5253871668,-0.0207055027
H,0,1.8489280621,-3.4663528361,1.2436293093
H,0,0.0744304638,-3.8119465036,1.2257115627

```
H,0,0.3117761171,-0.7203140959,-4.2147115658
H,0,-0.805269976,0.3536966252,-3.3195975732
H,0,0.954275285,0.7279062384,-3.3842876984
```

Cyclopentadienone (18)
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-495.841570165$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.121366 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.130359 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.131303 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.086500 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -495.720204 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -495.711211 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -495.710267 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -495.755070 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 81.802 | 31.705 | 94.296 |

C,0,-1.6469433811,0.2760461494,-1.873013
С,0,-1.6470070407,0.1340208897,-0.5499729562
C,0,-0.243324331,0.0173935535,-0.0586991006
C,0,0.606874061,0.0885936242,-1.0826042364
H,0,-2.4928748619,0.1010515463,0.115943507
H,0,-2.4825305381,0.3857892847,-2.5409178084
C, $0,0.0610952097,-0.150017072,1.3718796062$
О,0,-0.7890219295,-0.2026216181,2.2223960393
O,0,1.3615108546,-0.2351279654,1.6134657135
C, $0,1.7243069477,-0.3979784756,2.9761972337$
H, $0,1.3757087992,0.4459253309,3.5639876748$
H,0,1.2945740385,-1.311769313,3.3755664913
H,0,2.8057480377,-0.4490159662,2.9868432883
C,0,-0.2238327465,0.2597436126,-2.3248131489
O,0,0.1918288404,0.3612441971,-3.4466995793
H,0,1.68084804,0.0384222219,-1.0706497242

## Dimethylbutadiene (19)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-234.583787244$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
0.145780 (Hartree/Particle)
0.152752

Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.153697
0.115510
-234.438008
-234.431035
-234.430091
-234.468277

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 95.854 | 25.844 | 80.371 |

C,0,-0.0038573835,-0.0270518923,0.0219907483
С, $0,-0.0061341183,-0.0356398007,1.3576694389$
C, $0,1.2903032575,0.0607381166,-0.7295919961$
H,0,0.9178097617,0.0201036641,1.9139785026
H,0,-0.9140145963,-0.0975897271,1.9365768519
H,0,1.3202767116,0.946554416,-1.3631627086
H,0,2.1301543267,0.1100457399,-0.042490879
Н, $0,1.432507932,-0.805661432,-1.3746372798$
C,0,-1.2587070416,-0.1026767459,-0.7498607228
C, $0,-1.2564069807,-0.0941442577,-2.0855499732$
С,0,-2.5528699746,-0.1906501971,0.0017031515
H,0,-2.1803329589,-0.1499259804,-2.6418859372
H,0,-0.3485141301,-0.0322298835,-2.6644387812
H,0,-2.6952017792,0.6756545008,0.646847306
H,0,-3.3927063264,-0.2399350574,-0.6854235809
H,0,-2.5827406999,-1.0765424634,0.6351588595

Dimethylbutadiene [4+2] product (27)
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-730.514146845$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.274391 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.289919 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.290863 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.231354 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -730.239756 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -730.224228 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -730.223284 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -730.282793 |

Total
E (Thermal) CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-Kelvin}$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.5445568246,0.8957823862,-0.1832952812$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.2432178563,-0.1178915395,0.912289294$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.8605201288,0.2793429868,1.4409355347$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.5345348666,1.5490797584,0.696401097$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.4601442617,1.8883377225,-0.1970041121$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3090804711,-1.537051319,0.3611303113$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.1714594384,-1.8049595683,-0.5886109221$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.0522318641,-1.4264395265,-0.2073801615$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.2017988627,-0.8115636075,1.158174763$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.315862021,-1.5868074792,-0.9888123063$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3793367123,2.0884854689,0.8959698343$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-3.5041941132,0.863655214,-0.911832876$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.100147021,-1.5901348522,1.9201137809$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.5339647296,-2.4664995635,-1.8772519545$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.2662978532,-1.6614121049,-0.1415933204$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.2908397724,-2.248054693,1.1910699761$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.8685332883,0.5313178649,2.9358942831$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.9886482371,0.0070066281,1.6968157292$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.4455990029,2.7369182517,-0.8611767184$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,0.2636841885,1.1052526057,3.3360548895$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-1.7545729575,0.2333665271,3.6887331574$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.3728287596,1.3546247381,4.7293441538$
$H, 0,1.3390928276,1.8231549693,4.8698091252$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4232477548,2.0153485243,5.0591721568$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3154601277,0.4243784915,5.2866048739$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1942245341,-0.3833800311,1.2877456713$
$H, 0,2.0430092896,-2.1778100157,-0.4291833455$
$H, 0,1.1650207214,-2.0704245166,-1.9479968925$
$H, 0,1.7775014145,-0.6153237917,-1.1738441375$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6802576705,-2.6650687861,-2.5167786083$
$H, 0,-2.0353268694,-3.4163899128,-1.6850134631$
$H, 0,-2.2396882475,-1.8474628295,-2.4323735324$

## Dimethylbutadiene [2+4] product (28)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-730.473795517$
Zero-point correction= 0.274451 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.289535
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=\quad 0.290479$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.232135
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -730.199345
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-730.184261$

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -730.183317 Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-730.241660$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 181.686 | 57.647 | 122.795 |
| C, $0,1$. | 06665,-2.61 | 809,1.5513470 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 68964,-1.549 | 65563,2.329070 |  |
| C, $0,-0$ | 78845,-2.1365 | 656839,3.32387 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 65543,-3.618 | 9484,3.2423671 |  |
| C,0,1. | 12958,-3.530 | 63703,2.7555016 |  |
| O,0,2. | 899009,-3.992 | 333114,3.1759217 |  |
| C,0,-0 | 311309,-4.1339 | 415244,1.955929 | 585 |
| C, $0,0$. | 47462,-3.559 | 20769,0.783148889 | 892 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 466747,-1.49 | 701468,4.34719 | 783 |
| O,0,-1 | 9792,-2.09600 | 87342,5.204496 |  |
| O, $0,-1$ | 636863,-0.16 | 0752,4.22428943 |  |
| C, $0,-1$ | 402514,0.518 | 34146,5.1881628 |  |
| C, $0,-0$ | 53177,-2.8530 | 973499,-0.2760 | 4779 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 935058,-1.7102 | 281977,0.125117 | 622 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 094425,-3.21908 | 874174,-1.556673 | 4533 |
| H, $0,-1$ | 245836,-3.8139 | 961017,1.91918 | 355 |
| H,0,-0 | 317501,-5.22 | 992703,1.92384 | 419 |
| H,0,-0 | 275948,-4.179 | 528661,4.147927 |  |
| H, $0,-2$ | 813195,0.200 | 03675,5.136446083 | 836 |
| H,0,-1 | 70958,0.3290 | 42632,6.18852956 |  |
| H,, ,-1 | 516335,1.569 | 22544,4.9416601 |  |
| H, $0,0$. | 13307,-0.489 | 509921,2.142510933 | 333 |
| H,0,2. | 470004,-2.301 | 246766,0.9613759 | 189 |
| C, $0,1$. | 1524,-4.6897 | 0409,0.1863494583 |  |
| H,, ,-2 | 148125,-1.44 | 752062,-0.69338 | 5924 |
| H, $0,-2$ | 108933,-1.93 | 318832,0.996306 | 214 |
| H, $0,-0$ | 189394,-0.820 | 986137,0.372942 | 852 |
| H,0,1. | 13541,-5.198 | 440893,0.9582162 | 162 |
| H, $0,0$. | 15672,-5.432 | 677793,-0.287406 | 945 |
| H,0,1. | 12653,-4.317 | 6603,-0.5527986 |  |
| H, $0,-1$ | 78683,-2.710 | $35697,-2.283728$ | 688 |
| H, $0,0$. | 763514,-4.016 | 74255,-1.932313 | 995 |

Dimethylbutadiene Transition Structure 23
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-730.413031682$


Н, 0,-0.2899867421,1.0378072057,4.4409313049

Dimethylbutadiene Transition Structure 23-2
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-730.405894898$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.268921 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.285010 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.285954 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.225739 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -730.136974 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -730.120885 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -730.119941 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -730.180156 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 178.846 | 60.083 | 126.734 |
| C, $0,2.6$ | 48977,-0.3866 | 84322,-1.254648 |  |
| C, $0,1.3$ | 4802,-0.8476 | 0842,-0.7279764 |  |
| C, $0,1.0$ | $79712,-0.784$ | 40443,0.63565956 | 637 |
| H,0,1.83 | 38425,-0.4073 | 490199,1.281892531 |  |
| H,0,3.07 | 8991,0.3587365 | 5817,-0.59548197 |  |
| C, $0,0.3$ | 59214,-1.461 | 06841,-1.605341 | 8283 |
| C, $0,-0$ | $737585,-1.93$ | 518141,-1.13316 | 9804 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 045969,0.79038 | 61332,0.947312 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 47758,1.651933 | 31247,0.17492600 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 69967,1.6237 | 48956,-1.2090294 | 517 |
| C,0,-1 | 970606,1.118 | 62645,-1.164801 | 187 |
| C,0,-1 | 392843,0.620 | 25545,0.1445066 | 817 |
| O,0,1. | 00274,2.2459 | 48967,0.6142820 |  |
| H,0,-2 | 3943,0.20845 | 879,0.4233981351 |  |
| H,0,2. | 39194,0.0386 | 89076,-2.2513025 |  |
| H,0,3.3 | 51979,-1.22888 | 865956,-1.305415 | 6995 |
| H,0,0. | 7259,-1.4845 | 0077,1.100608687 |  |
| C, $0,0.6$ | 42876,-1.481 | 59782,-3.080004 | 4918 |
| H,0,-1 | 807731,-2.32 | 232022,-1.81036 | 9653 |
| H,0,-1 | 593561,-2.01 | 646988,-0.08376 | 9093 |
| H,0,-0 | 337907,0.750 | 44659,2.0246807 |  |
| C,0,-1 | 436873,1.058 | 59875,-2.340979 |  |
| H,0,0. | 47589,2.0767 | 20019,-2.053233 | 349 |
| H,0,-0 | 125929,-2.01 | 931798,-3.61033 | 4277 |
| H, $0,0$. | 46894,-0.470 | 0298,-3.4850571 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.559578953,-1.9673544116,-3.2995347204 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-1.6327440705,1.2946474963,-3.4681702207 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-3.2254299742,0.7235337281,-2.0131419592 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-4.1466736232,0.6623072985,-3.0886784158 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-5.0965786697,0.3857782082,-2.6479750133 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.2211882264,1.6279694571,-3.580072411 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8327273964,-0.0803767395,-3.8165432561
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dimethylbutadiene Cope Transition Structure 35

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-730.432384993$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.271521 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.286665 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.287609 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.229882 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -730.160864 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -730.145720 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -730.144776 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -730.202503 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 179.885 | 57.669 | 121.497 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.0769301485,0.2623739472,-0.8417615131 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.9660875614,-0.7477822779,-0.5885662079 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.2224988498,0.1026118491,-0.4112045381 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.7006920693,1.3905637249,0.0131298361 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.4111779529,1.5076120657,-0.4963885567 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-3.3651686269,-0.2846974171,-0.4745035087 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.3633435995,-0.0573779033,-1.4363751832 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,1.7678649931,-1.1852762209,-1.6042875539 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,2.0676672834,1.0248663731,-1.7803721769 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,3.3354331827,0.7700406144,-2.3565018072 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.9404597231,-1.3505199081,0.8733071883 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.0983081817,-0.2512745162,1.858180026 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.0519879986,0.4881059114,2.2347666072 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.2489357658,0.2049737912,1.653896977 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.1031485436,0.8443091255,1.8262899934 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.0756633723,1.6592775538,3.1649229556 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3694065571,-0.1911233392,2.6325194714 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7847839209,-2.0329779543,0.9302952788 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0189119957,-1.5570315318,-1.3083224019 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.1676158845,2.4158960963,--0.541732838
\end{aligned}
$$

```
H,0,-2.3240174293,2.194878826,0.3678986455
H,0,3.749693368,1.7415266455,-2.5982927809
H,0,3.9790348575,0.2480264759,-1.6535132352
H,0,3.2341893543,0.1667013647,-3.2539565274
H,0,1.4433184776,-0.7054086425,1.1144609947
H,0,-0.0266442966,-1.9225683524,1.0054634752
H,0,-2.4979763658,0.750918542,3.1553454725
H,0,-3.2278066552,-0.3577794086, 1.9826717233
H,0,-2.3740612948,-0.9908973346,3.3785572126
H,0,0.8747042251,2.1759002386,3.2651923049
H,0,-0.8138485992,2.3750806142,2.8048419158
H,0,-0.3834202302,1.3430160477,4.1605817509
```

Vinylcyclohexene (20)
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-312.002259823$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.184733 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.192255 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.193200 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.153068 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -311.817527 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -311.810004 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -311.809060 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -311.849191 |



```
H,0,2.2009571754,-0.6297545946,1.3632208031
H,0,3.3056930946,0.4861948715,0.5850401041
H,0,2.6434701574,-1.2672790249,-1.0249021204
H,0,1.9799556668,0.2710509394,-1.5332901085
H,0,0.5187399725,-2.0298318372,-0.185245845
H, \(0,0.2032350701,-1.3205558763,-1.7461772615\)
```

Vinylcyclohexene [4 + 2] Product (29)
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.917235086$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.313918 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.329530 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.330474 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.270207 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.603317 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.587705 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.586761 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.647029 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 206.783 | 60.678 | 126.845 |

C, $0,-2.3576556117,0.8865292332,-0.0664799603$
С,0,-2.0496541917,-0.1844269045,0.9731603383
C, $0,-0.5665671297,0.0511729754,1.3461648585$
C,0,-0.1970557386,1.2891626569,0.5797970799
C,0,-1.1706111165,1.7369159482,-0.2101174526
С, $0,-2.3328513703,-1.5801501989,0.4126925832$
С, $0,-1.3362351763,-1.881368935,-0.6598871349$
C, $0,-0.0454684703,-1.6360980729,-0.4391208222$
C, $0,0.3119346247,-1.178753808,0.9561750199$
C, $0,1.8105279768,-0.9796936151,1.2377639015$
C,0,2.6723287627,-0.7511944973,0.0063629086
C, $0,2.4120772805,-1.8377916345,-1.0204844935$
C, $0,0.9867853159,-1.7192974766,-1.5298946783$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7797314229,1.7385588492,0.6730245271$
O,0,-3.4052687977,0.993982833,-0.6530455202
H,0,3.1075707591,-1.7584446211,-1.8553460101
H,0,2.4602220792,0.2220187149,-0.4435649608
H,0,3.7213104244,-0.7364251703,0.3019153496
H,0,2.181353688,-1.8759605332,1.7360440116
H,0,1.9558968824,-0.1677089039,1.9519929548

```
H,0,0.9229035812,-0.8037045031,-2.1251597361
H,0,0.7369436844,-2.5381527297,-2.2037265414
H,0,-0.0398592127,-1.952905911,1.6401476325
H,0,2.5753091606,-2.8168359763,-0.5638600591
H,0,-1.6810577634,-2.2120889358,-1.6306995352
H,0,-3.3494621708,-1.5976998148,0.0277657041
H,0,-2.2793988346,-2.3069201864,1.2264187503
C,0,-0.4441638561,0.2217589294,2.8472969479
H,0,-2.6985317936,-0.0018729953,1.8302758581
H,0,-1.1341591711,2.5887264233,-0.8692772257
O,0,-0.0361428261,1.433666348,3.2073850712
O,0,-0.6967828104,-0.6481667418,3.6369496404
C,0,0.0733731002,1.659435126,4.6054965632
H,0,0.4016807777,2.6858528051,4.7120954388
H,0,-0.8883295081,1.5139205167,5.0879041883
H,0,0.796635029,0.9784178062,5.0441088025
```


## Vinylcyclohexene [2 + 4] Product (30)

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-807.900272691$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.313391 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.329308 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.330253 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.268591 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -807.586882 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -807.570964 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.570020 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -807.631682 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 206.644 | 60.758 | 129.777 |

C,0,1.060251,-2.773748,2.281087
C, $0,0.209375,-1.568953,2.582545$
C, $0,-0.738664,-1.607138,3.517875$
C,0,-1.036991,-2.803702,4.36784
С,0,0.061511,-3.851609,4.298949
C,0,0.499599,-4.061549,2.860754
C, $0,0.514713,-0.365622,1.733939$
C,0,0.083435,1.032896,2.245296
C,0,-0.72297,1.640596,1.07107
С, $0,0.069411,1.029247,-0.081348$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.139438,-0.436365,0.307012 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.622976,-0.402242,0.467886 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.965968,0.817559,0.910618 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.693019,1.520549,-0.968706 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-3.304755,1.309526,1.246825 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-3.52396,2.403084,1.70239 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-4.258684,0.414712,0.99665 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-5.580022,0.829457,1.297937 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.95649,1.644361,2.464351 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.594389,-0.356638,1.56621 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.350463,-0.733232,3.696291 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.520511,0.992062,3.145744 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.180662,-2.872677,1.200214 \\
& H, 0,2.067686,-2.594614,2.670172 \\
& H, 0,-0.359731,-4.381899,2.267441 \\
& H, 0,1.242504,-4.855678,2.792342 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.990013,-3.238674,4.051504 \\
& H, 0,-1.190456,-2.483395,5.399514 \\
& H, 0,-0.280454,-4.786738,4.741245 \\
& H, 0,0.917711,-3.517142,4.88855 \\
& H, 0,-2.294528,-1.22395,0.282697 \\
& H, 0,0.276847,-1.184972,-0.356092 \\
& H, 0,-5.84574,1.706939,0.715631 \\
& H, 0,-6.217335,-0.006472,1.036626 \\
& H, 0,-5.674487,1.06476,2.354155 \\
& H, 0,-0.850285,2.715308,1.082697
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Structure 24-ax

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.833642868$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.307984 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.324726 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.325671 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263212 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.525659 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.508917 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -807.507972 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.570431 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-Kelvin}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.769 | 63.376 | 131.455 |

```
C,0,-2.7383958184,0.5977760719,-0.3889297561
C,0,-2.5204059947,0.0810631513,1.0156148572
C,0,-1.2978325126,0.5573198375,1.4212234517
C,0,-0.6393760298,1.1802368192,0.2883101485
C,0,-1.4318986215,1.1445694723,-0.8016292873
C,0,-2.299972567,-1.9247566405,0.2618251714
C,0,-1.2755821078,-1.8373459782,-0.6443227706
C,0,0.1116030959,-1.7581399189,-0.3026838019
C,0,0.518767906,-1.7215980571,0.9884637015
C,0,1.9388774017,-1.5503512144,1.4095487674
C,0,2.8439325841,-1.102593047,0.2732316372
C,0,2.5314658211,-1.8825064067,-0.99183871
C,0,1.0994686955,-1.6288783231,-1.4320938671
H,0,0.3532944226,1.5958818136,0.343787189
O,0,-3.7787529341,0.5922111086,-0.9971962108
H,0,3.2190701151,-1.6126472731,-1.7924254492
H,0,2.6915521558,-0.0378925889,0.0848745641
H,0,3.8882212019,-1.2242612316,0.5580156524
H,0,2.292439515,-2.5021582987,1.8199435257
H,0,1.9833469938,-0.8427229711,2.2386454083
H,0,1.0124186296,-0.6272815606,-1.8609971111
H,0,0.8206071,-2.3213158048,-2.2272722531
H,0,-0.1952340235,-1.9039045579,1.7785952231
H,0,2.6716133331,-2.9488718525,-0.8017893359
H,0,-1.5266782303,-1.765116539,-1.6948252673
H,0,-3.3123844878,-2.0274291439,-0.0988927252
H,0,-2.1227906126,-2.2685766344,1.2695517796
C,0,-0.814098157,0.4914452494,2.7922718457
H,0,-3.3295480832,-0.1882391953,1.6738448624
H,0,-1.2298745809,1.5370547154,-1.7827369932
O,0,0.2885497438,1.2242850658,2.9830316341
O,0,-1.3399839794,-0.1420677322,3.6767004972
C,0,0.7794641265,1.2526500173,4.3115467064
H,0,1.6645288851,1.8770352331,4.2858273227
H,0,0.0372217914,1.6756419582,4.9824145842
H,0,1.0283952226,0.2516024561,4.652468009
```

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Structure 24-eq
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.833158251$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307780 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324603 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.325547 |

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.262645 -807.525379
-807.508555
-807.507611
-807.570513

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.691 | 63.452 | 132.388 |

C, $0,-2.7677704997,0.560649091,-0.380072992$
C, $0,-2.5399550484,0.0455575379,1.0241118973$
C,0,-1.3275902504,0.5493220104,1.4319909115
C, $0,-0.6817109571,1.184373649,0.3015487171$
C, $0,-1.4716780426,1.1316435555,-0.7908268188$
C,0,-2.2834627762,-1.9439270503,0.2816078733
C, $0,-1.2688520174,-1.8316229018,-0.6344572532$
C, $0,0.1203112237,-1.7270329462,-0.3057715409$
C,0,0.5403708817,-1.7093239913,0.9813916676
C,0,1.96619755,-1.546970581,1.3917059433
C,0,2.9358098955,-1.7299024854,0.2348209585
C,0,2.432989934,-1.0013148094,-0.9990245892
C,0,1.092852163,-1.561992803,-1.4441830996
H,0,0.3013801334,1.6220525919,0.3574127425
O,0,-3.8072369001,0.5343237754,-0.9893655174
H,0,3.1543718074,-1.0749700326,-1.8119785441
H,0,3.9261789059,-1.3756853844,0.5181409255
H,0,3.0342438749,-2.7933821718,0.0080566502
H,0,2.1894896618,-2.2485497257,2.1970689543
H,0,2.0879527842,-0.5513689316,1.8304781355
H,0,0.6470807673,-0.918248042,-2.2029746156
H,0,1.2408326241,-2.5348119174,-1.9206312981
Н, $,--0.1716440481,-1.8790940229,1.7757031053$
H,0,2.3245063564,0.0611720113,-0.7704659574
H,0,-1.5327873304,-1.754543227,-1.6812402951
H,0,-3.2960953746,-2.0669417542,-0.0722026861
H,0,-2.0917214154,-2.2930286969, 1.2847849692
C, $0,-0.8350385943,0.4782928499,2.7988265948$
H,0,-3.3450074233,-0.234476691,1.6830866353
H,0,-1.27580681,1.5292637247,-1.7711028384
O,0,0.2606027762,1.2220066437,2.9882327502
O, $0,-1.3462915924,-0.1708693172,3.680792661$
C, $0,0.7718464577,1.228272252,4.3093378092$
H, $0,1.6387815005,1.877771425,4.287312574$

## H,0,0.0292812056,1.6109051842,5.0034962435 <br> H,0,1.0549745771,0.2254481813,4.6167823269

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-3

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-807.827045631$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.308064 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324650 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325594 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263459 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -807.518982 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.502396 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.501452 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.563587 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-Kelvin}$ |
| Total | 203.721 | 63.177 | 130.774 |
| C, $0,0$. | 9261,2.499 | 1,-1.38342626 |  |
| C, 0,-0. | 293955,1.50 | 44132,-2.27864 | 4025 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 546125,0.732 | 006401,-1.459542 | 6889 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 712188,1.013 | 876584,-0.09868 | 8238 |
| C, $0,0.0$ | 7041,1.957 | 3984,-0.021738 | 331 |
| C, 0,1.3 | 35808,0.5945 | 90665,-2.5140824 | 135 |
| C, $0,1.8$ | 99885,0.29763 | 46752,-1.2420183 | 499 |
| C, $0,1.3$ | 95829,-0.85 | 60139,-0.499983 | 4424 |
| C, $0,0.4$ | 6159,-1.6775 | 1436,-0.960553 | 007 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 325416,-2.8480 | 043381,-0.20633 | 8127 |
| C, $0,0.3$ | 37885,-2.878 | 75702,1.24585705 | 512 |
| C,0,1.7 | 2031,-2.4858 | 55591,1.3682313 |  |
| C, $0,2.0$ | 57626,-1.071 | 10771,0.85526822 | 279 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 49677,0.3374 | 1721,1.02758719 |  |
| O,0,-1 | 78384,0.7215 | 23261,2.208806373 |  |
| C, $0,-1$ | 152717,0.175 | 664203,3.3415317 | 953 |
| O,0,0. | 63528,3.5230 | 18347,-1.7181077 | 276 |
| O,0,-2 | 792787,-0.45 | 526157,0.900286 | 0393 |
| H,0,2. | 83769,-2.559 | 822923,2.402844 | 329 |
| H, $0,-0$ | 298847,-2.18 | 845454,1.824291 | 463 |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0$. | 71029,-3.867 | 322091,1.667840 | 026 |
| H,0,0.2 | 4532,-3.7615 | 55467,-0.7187247 | 826 |
| H,0,-1 | 771032,-2.84 | 225519,-0.26433 | 8004 |
| H,0,1.5 | $78766,-0.351$ | 478542,1.559291205 | 053 |

```
H,0,3.0751385662,-0.8453360243,0.7991753623
H,0,0.044405198,-1.5467714659,-1.9644020922
H,0,2.4099425038,-3.1798108512,0.7886862385
H,0,2.5405227571,0.9512792923,-0.7864894872
H,0,1.8178723046,1.4100870841,-3.049965899
H,0,0.9507165457,-0.1887778297,-3.1358327436
H,0,-2.0703826289,0.0404458335,-1.7501175859
H,0,-0.6953760377,1.7113006844,-3.3197910301
H,0,0.4476535715,2.3905624731,0.864942344
H,0,-1.30626561,0.6022320013,4.2031631558
H,0,-2.856797437,0.4458383893,3.3375697774
H,0,-1.7196306694,-0.9072545884,3.3502471476
```

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-4
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-807.828230642$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.308080 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324750 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325694 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263606 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.520151 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.503481 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.502537 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.564625 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.783 | 63.430 | 130.676 |

C,0,1.51459,-0.17666,2.49119
C, $0,1.29934,-0.23275,1.00374$
C,0,0.58017,-1.27526,0.43673
C,0,0.1908,-2.49544,1.22538
C,0,0.30627,-2.33661,2.73509
C,0,1.5584,-1.56518,3.10941
C, $0,1.79944,0.814,0.18617$
C, $0,1.68268,0.85473,-1.16222$
C, $0,-1.22046,-0.30367,-0.18559$
C,0,-1.57365,0.32781,1.13944
C,0,-1.259,1.75642,0.99213
C,0,-0.97473,1.98526,-0.30268
C,0,-0.9565,0.73837,-1.05515
О,0,-2.05911,-0.23364,2.09286

C,0,-0.91449,0.5855,-2.49979
O,0,-0.84351,-0.47739,-3.07329
O,0,-0.96202,1.75558,-3.14462
C, $,,-0.93137,1.67649,-4.55817$
H,0,1.64568,-1.48322,4.19209
H,0,-0.56836,-1.80936,3.11146
H,0,0.30907,-3.32292,3.19818
H,0,0.85325,-3.29826,0.88801
H,0,-0.81618,-2.8141,0.95821
H,0,0.70927,0.39278,2.96074
H,0,2.43603,0.36983,2.69202
H,0,0.71986,-1.45654,-0.61987
H,0,2.44671,-2.09505,2.75766
H,0,2.20745, 1.67753, 0.69614
H,0,1.99402,1.73113,-1.7108
Н,0,1.43718,-0.01365,-1.75147
H,0,-1.62642,-1.25174,-0.49683
H,0,-0.77222,2.94313,-0.75358
H,0,-1.33424,2.47297,1.79116
Н,0,-0.98614,2.69914,-4.91182
H,0,-0.01208,1.20645,-4.89654
H,0,-1.77584,1.10183,-4.9273

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-5
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.823231895$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.308317 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324726 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325670 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.264147 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.514915 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -807.498506 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.497561 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $=$ | -807.559085 |


|  | E (Thermal $)$ | CV | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.769 | 63.069 | 129.487 |

C,0,1.6828292883,0.0585933669,2.2670618083
C, $0,1.3520131816,-0.2694111346,0.8315159484$
C,0,0.6821642814,-1.4255539318,0.5115927662
C,0,0.2143602766,-2.4144491574,1.5229412295

```
C,0,0.3369755454,-1.9180859099,2.9558656262
C,0,1.6410777943,-1.1687049775,3.1616054925
C,0,1.6590574981,0.6728292571,-0.1688511696
C,0,1.2622952769,0.5571446097,-1.4829469799
C,0,-1.3307738418,-0.4388644455,-0.7009050248
C,0,-1.8205400193,-0.1115223316,0.6396423194
C,0,-1.4770270468,1.3275517769,0.8471649159
C,0,-0.9747473629,1.8043511886,-0.295422689
C,0,-0.8492531972,0.7092144071,-1.2962766062
O,0,-2.4480112569,-0.8120944193,1.4067183128
H,0,-0.8833872525,0.9080662389,-2.3550251696
H,0,1.7547330272,-0.8712640543,4.2033723352
H,0,-0.5016239107,-1.2577108025,3.1769007581
H,0,0.2598880812,-2.761414483,3.6408077498
H,0,0.7928752111,-3.3335288529,1.3840881459
H,0,-0.8248427031,-2.6749756644,1.3125702394
H,0,0.9856119997,0.8095022629,2.6435562537
H,0,2.6705213847,0.5200762746,2.2971769369
H,0,0.6912346423,-1.7644101627,-0.5126637595
H,0,2.4836385424,-1.8229464536,2.9249931202
H,0,2.0716107087,1.6199638176,0.1573695808
H,0,1.4915011991,1.3603964477,-2.1675006994
H,0,1.1449872554,-0.4115279196,-1.9427112791
H,0,-1.5664456874,-1.3650374318,-1.1969079594
C,0,-0.6021389411,3.2125831086,-0.5064997791
H,0,-1.6612323437,1.8779854488,1.753776036
O,0,-0.2614534458,3.4535752645,-1.7733061159
O,0,-0.6123413821,4.0605372176,0.3464630686
C,0,0.0790060737,4.7983326661,-2.068157075
H,0,0.3171658943,4.8179513951,-3.1246217077
H,0,-0.7577142294,5.4566237009,-1.8539554987
H,0,0.9346854584,5.112408683,-1.4774911312
Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-6
\(\mathrm{E}(\) RmPW+HF-PW91 \()=-807.825710863\)
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Zero-point correction= & 0.308099 (Hartree/Particle) \\
Thermal correction to Energy \(=\) & 0.324708 \\
Thermal correction to Enthalpy \(=\) & 0.325652 \\
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= & 0.263304 \\
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies \(=\) & -807.517612 \\
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= & -807.501003 \\
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies \(=\) & -807.500059
\end{tabular}
```

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=


## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-7



```
H,0,2.1795250653,0.7337993721,1.914345262
H,0,2.1957674373,-0.8622224681,2.6343858034
H,0,3.8846815024,-1.6168701092,1.0282740899
H,0,4.4211801242,-0.0908645103,1.7043055206
H,0,3.4568235947,1.1051019273,-0.265106176
H,0,4.7013556638,-0.0296178239,-0.7495452539
H,0,3.1370322522,-1.6225279666,-1.5544397155
H,0,2.5490575678,-0.0943606098,-2.1491726747
```

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-endo-8
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-807.823713369$


C,0,-1.1341650109,1.1079906614,-1.1828208682
C, $0,-0.0412714571,1.8374156505,-0.9389495569$
C,0,0.2833582156,1.7190353938,0.5132200786
C,0,-0.6655167747,0.7467074615,1.047649685
C, $0,-1.5431804137,0.374511999,0.0466381462$
O,0,1.1251688457,2.363521348,1.1092523706
C,0,-1.143209873,-1.6141741918,-0.5197462
C, $0,0.0623487051,-1.4708579717,-1.1743904194$
C,0,1.2579281893,-1.0794453016,-0.5429958438
C, $0,1.2979589994,-0.9196387959,0.8215979411$
C, $0,2.4851055783,-0.4028173983,1.5559315595$
C, $0,3.7545672135,-0.4301388288,0.7165301358$
C,0,3.478209489,0.1128033122,-0.6746930386
C,0,2.4597907488,-0.7554358796,-1.3952405884
C, $0,-1.80712179,1.0453194765,-2.4898719838$
H,0,0.497074387,2.4518546495,-1.6400684432
H,0,-0.7785916738,0.5569563377,2.1013573195
H,0,-1.1809025634,-1.9296604211,0.511167311

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0198238123,-1.8607606049,-1.100450175 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.0543272084,-1.4699356918,-2.2574168838 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.5132472393,-1.3578423832,1.4170782773 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.2743011869,0.6325802593,1.8511835958 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.6130943844,-0.9640689876,2.4820964125 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,4.1262374859,-1.454496756,0.6397180768 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,4.5312369277,0.1529083326,1.2091648107 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.0999834274,1.1326460934,-0.588458959 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,4.3965386742,0.1621771457,-1.2588181802 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.9306189877,-1.6972296813,-1.6907258077 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.1352529489,-0.2753161169,-2.3180294306 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-2.9441791003,0.3499360143,-2.4333600131 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-1.401283355,1.5641990697,-3.4963567842 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-3.6709032287,0.2700434265,-3.6486197195 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5552415909,-0.3144917666,-3.4262103245 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.9467155299,1.2630378006,-3.9909838451 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0754356343,-0.2147000659,-4.4168432554 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.5689640344,0.0886094107,0.2147356
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-1

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.825207283$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307725 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324497 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325441 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263105 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.517482 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.500710 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.499766 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -807.562102 |

## E (Thermal) CV S

Total

| $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203.625 | 63.475 | 131.198 |

C, $0,-3.22124,-0.20023,0.13648$
C,0,-1.9841,-0.08591,-0.73556
C,0,-1.12825,0.76687,-0.07241
C,0,-1.68084,1.05136,1.2548
C, $0,-2.87692,0.4623,1.41328$
C, $0,0.80834,-0.94006,1.00157$
C,0,0.96124,-1.61368,-0.1712
C, $0,-0.15498,-2.18718,-0.83546$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.45058,-2.10592,-0.39718 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,2.29899,-1.66734,-0.86055 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,3.45095,-1.37484,0.0852 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,3.17664,-0.10346,0.86907 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.92255,-0.25228,1.71588 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.03091,1.45505,-0.72437 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.49551,2.42281,0.04271 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.47164,3.23374,-0.58321 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-4.26516,-0.70746,-0.18253 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.35763,1.22794,-1.84692 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.03718,-0.17342,-1.80688 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.23856,-2.57272,-0.9688 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.66068,-1.99036,0.65874 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.02339,-2.59619,-1.82242 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.12602,-1.01313,1.53848 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.57937,0.72132,2.06893 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.14621,-0.82891,2.61998 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.04517,0.72106,0.16762 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,4.02329,0.15494,1.50394 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.4237,-2.64867,-1.31928 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,4.37964,-1.28589,-0.47702 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.57905,-2.20972,0.77802 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.16992,1.66104,1.98275 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.52179,0.47857,2.27399 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.03958,3.76418,-1.42752 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.80276,3.93609,0.17266 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.30625,2.6367,-0.93859 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.29526,-0.94244,-1.67828
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-2

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.816281791$

Zero-point correction= 0.307844 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-807.508438$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-807.491801$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-807.490857$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-807.553436$
E (Thermal) CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \quad \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin}$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 823,1.3537116023 |  |  |
| C, $0,0.0390471865,1.8338216824,-1.1704424891$ |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.6909199392,0.9425011454,-0.4076725459$ |  |  |
| C, $0,-1.0753058298,-0.1781343444,-1.2747831296$ |  |  |
| C, 0,-0.6470415457,0.0022986027,-2.5367729332 |  |  |
| C,0,2.0928086353,1.3636984624,-1.0417459815 |  |  |
| C,0,2.3819316005,1.5784963338,0.2858016591 |  |  |
| C,0,1.8160285823,0.829712539,1.3348646798 |  |  |
| C, $0,1.011810028,-0.2514638374,1.075578921$ |  |  |
| C, $0,0.324578796,-1.0331225333,2.1487594844$ |  |  |
| C, $0,0.2649583843,-0.295925821,3.4759026103$ |  |  |
| C, $0,1.6119172024,0.3241782766,3.8004222765$ |  |  |
| C,0,1.9841710531,1.3474720597,2.742221085 |  |  |
| C,0,-1.8947588011,-1.3094335971,-0.7973150604 |  |  |
| O,0,-2.0289080751,-2.2654637363,-1.7070390401 |  |  |
| С, $0,-2.8423228662,-3.3647732686,-1.3303082319$ |  |  |
| O,0,0.3042825489,1.9548199222,-3.5818126045 |  |  |
| O,0,-2.4047105349,-1.3524810288,0.2932487258 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.5983735477,0.7942617831,4.7831913921$ |  |  |
| H,0,-0.4914096319,0.4905194027,3.4240095042 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0504454628,-0.9796737577,4.2630275559 |  |  |
| H,0,0.8690721558,-1.9754080767,2.2767250722 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.6755304275,-1.3116557238,1.8207023098 |  |  |
| H, $0,1.3641114348,2.2397570581,2.8683647201$ |  |  |
| H,0,3.0150411579,1.6777000236, 2.8743834159 |  |  |
| H,0,1.0831827152,-0.7301705831, 0.1094854818 |  |  |
| H,0,2.3715141526,-0.4601368469,3.8348222958 |  |  |
| H,0,2.9122560412,2.4850980001,0.5514749981 |  |  |
| H,0,2.5063004811,2.0254324999,-1.7890744206 |  |  |
| H, $0,1.8811699745,0.3632360533,-1.4005931369$ |  |  |
| H,0,-1.1584184035,1.1306365281,0.541238972 |  |  |
| H,0,0.1482695348,2.8834067422,-0.9599426213 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.7707659293,-0.6520620364,-3.380623027 |  |  |
| H,0,-3.8503564581,-3.0302634134,-1.1036828423 |  |  |
| H,, ,-2.8453078407,-4.0325187565,-2.1827574861 |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-3

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.824671615$
Zero-point correction=
0.307763 (Hartree/Particle)

| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324395 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325339 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.263558 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.516908 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.500276 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.499332 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.561114 |



```
H,0,-1.566961858,-1.1451934939,-3.5630771703
H,0,0.7174069721,4.4406964039,-0.0992647588
H,0,-0.2007673849,5.0687111553,-1.4915568461
H,0,-1.0368402899,4.6175624988,0.0161292842
```

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-4
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.817632517$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307943 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324475 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325419 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263311 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.509690 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.493158 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.492214 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.554321 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.611 | 63.197 | 130.717 |

C, $0,0.0943679113,2.33034367,-0.4811930639$
C,0,-0.1932098609,0.902957826,-0.7195591087
С, $0,-1.3782155782,0.5943307054,-0.0817642344$
C, $0,-1.8371629551,1.8242226958,0.6266085588$
C,0,-0.9630511917,2.8199057843,0.4554290812
C,0,-0.9328884254,-0.6200919539,1.7170494428
C,0,-0.0017233334,-1.5197692746,1.2632310566
C,0,1.2520841523,-1.1506362102,0.7447026503
C, $0,1.6201350625,0.174469342,0.7004832324$
C, $0,2.8886387519,0.6528109161,0.0791840733$
C, $0,3.5183723984,-0.3730115933,-0.8475510649$
C,0,3.5118697522,-1.7483775399,-0.2032334365
C,0,2.0853251167,-2.2014481771,0.05588660521
H, $0,-2.074186861,-0.1601537,-0.4024185838$
O,0,0.973318917,3.0046388337,-0.9681430428
H,0,4.0227065987,-2.4755592464,-0.8334542294
H,0,2.9601571789,-0.4109005505,-1.7857012825
H,0,4.5319663338,-0.0664162417,-1.1021008637
H,0,3.5831272011,0.8996628308,0.8890253826
H,0,2.70314642,1.5938161018,-0.4421500702
H,0,1.6123884629,-2.4642563579,-0.8954680751
H,0,2.0751436974,-3.1113577241,0.6568320988

```
H,0,1.1478167618,0.8646491039,1.3873393048
H,0,4.0598155779,-1.7074690178,0.7408069862
H,0,-0.3099628861,-2.5496010598,1.1246980734
H,0,-1.9089479932,-0.9682120223,2.0200839302
H,0,-0.6237200543,0.3136237648,2.1620945915
H,0,0.2488658241,0.3633892557,-1.5377343939
C,0,-3.0758160097,1.9524418688,1.4177821019
H,0,-1.0095118916,3.8119162627,0.8696169479
O,0,-3.3800553682,2.9241154964,2.0569577719
O,0,-3.837013722,0.863261788,1.3298538058
C,0,-5.065240114,0.9179375969,2.0377412114
H,0,-5.5557885302,-0.0294371067,1.8512013891
H,0,-4.8851066436,1.0530465287,3.1002517
H,0,-5.6741937005,1.7409084049,1.6754600067
```


## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-5

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.824368212$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307648 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324513 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325457 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.262643 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.516720 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.499856 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.498912 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.561725 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.635 | 63.514 | 132.203 |

O,0,-4.344954746,-0.7704197,-0.0602063025
С,0,-3.3011108856,-0.2427532932,0.2238164839
С, $0,-2.1003447525,-0.0951358312,-0.696068327$
С, $0,-1.2400111635,0.7752776415,-0.0589538542$
C, $0,-1.7447469449,1.0330552956,1.2909312298$
C,0,-2.9204643985,0.4150014033,1.491472315
С,0,-0.1727318052,1.4803955833,-0.7380949631
O,0,0.1782076169,1.2761940813,-1.8775718173
O, $0,0.3793159429,2.434835431,0.0296034483$
C, $0,1.3373050441,3.2557751609,-0.6114804343$
С,0,-1.5145920853,-2.089106501,-0.4088795786
C,0,-0.2380929952,-2.1429840009,-0.9076725806

```
C,0,0.90167238,-1.5700637556,-0.2829174303
C,0,0.7922284192,-0.9253480254,0.9104090195
C,0,1.9306406078,-0.2460805697,1.5960829315
C,0,3.2801883446,-0.6182452409,1.0011734043
C,0,3.216540236,-0.5970049011,-0.5156518847
C,0,2.2097385385,-1.6116649912,-1.0294362396
H,0,-2.1989729245,-0.1664556493,-1.7654940927
H,0,-3.5300657871,0.4087852654,2.3776114436
H,0,-1.2185146559,1.6468543498,2.0044004406
H,0,0.897881571,3.753770756,-1.4712118703
H,0,1.6461689527,3.9840737239,0.129224972
H,0,2.1902102288,2.6720510144,-0.9456452896
H,0,-2.3183418457,-2.5603894864,-0.9543111767
H,0,-1.6772927073,-2.006218772,0.65852864
H,0,-0.1027860994,-2.5248941728,-1.9119622407
H,0,-0.1332155302,-0.9874094272,1.4627074892
H,0,1.7772501446,0.8355243938,1.531305845
H,0,1.8987225329,-0.4824731858,2.6609450956
H,0,4.0481694667,0.0621738661,1.3672325469
H,0,3.560820424,-1.6190303294,1.3358045113
H,0,2.9224742211,0.3971882579,-0.8552423103
H,0,4.1968888171,-0.7991377838,-0.9455276481
H,0,2.019508461,-1.4431449541,-2.0889559421
H,0,2.6256003769,-2.6194126531,-0.9458698338
```

Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-6
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.824368212$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.307648 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324513 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325457 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.262643 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.516720 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.499856 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.498912 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -807.561725 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.635 | 63.514 | 132.203 |

O,0,-4.344954746,-0.7704197,-0.0602063025
С,0,-3.3011108856,-0.2427532932,0.2238164839

```
C,0,-2.1003447525,-0.0951358312,-0.696068327
C,0,-1.2400111635,0.7752776415,-0.0589538542
C,0,-1.7447469449,1.0330552956,1.2909312298
C,0,-2.9204643985,0.4150014033,1.491472315
C,0,-0.1727318052,1.4803955833,-0.7380949631
O,0,0.1782076169,1.2761940813,-1.8775718173
O,0,0.3793159429,2.434835431,0.0296034483
C,0,1.3373050441,3.2557751609,-0.6114804343
C,0,-1.5145920853,-2.089106501,-0.4088795786
C,0,-0.2380929952,-2.1429840009,-0.9076725806
C,0,0.90167238,-1.5700637556,-0.2829174303
C,0,0.7922284192,-0.9253480254,0.9104090195
C,0,1.9306406078,-0.2460805697,1.5960829315
C,0,3.2801883446,-0.6182452409,1.0011734043
C,0,3.216540236,-0.5970049011,-0.5156518847
C,0,2.2097385385,-1.6116649912,-1.0294362396
H,0,-2.1989729245,-0.1664556493,-1.7654940927
H,0,-3.5300657871,0.4087852654,2.3776114436
H,0,-1.2185146559,1.6468543498,2.0044004406
H,0,0.897881571,3.753770756,-1.4712118703
H,0,1.6461689527,3.9840737239,0.129224972
H,0,2.1902102288,2.6720510144,-0.9456452896
H,0,-2.3183418457,-2.5603894864,-0.9543111767
H,0,-1.6772927073,-2.006218772,0.65852864
H,0,-0.1027860994,-2.5248941728,-1.9119622407
H,0,-0.1332155302,-0.9874094272,1.4627074892
H,0,1.7772501446,0.8355243938,1.531305845
H,0,1.8987225329,-0.4824731858,2.6609450956
H,0,4.0481694667,0.0621738661,1.3672325469
H,0,3.560820424,-1.6190303294,1.3358045113
H,0,2.9224742211,0.3971882579,-0.8552423103
H,0,4.1968888171,-0.7991377838,-0.9455276481
H,0,2.019508461,-1.4431449541,-2.0889559421
H,0,2.6256003769,-2.6194126531,-0.9458698338
```


## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-7

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-807.821392510$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307973 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324632 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.325576 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263614 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -807.513419 |


| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= |  | $-807.496760$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= |  | -807.495816 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= |  | -807.557778 |
| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total 203.710 | 63.405 | 130.410 |
| C,0,0.2420962243,2.3264529677,-0.4044743079 |  |  |
| C,0,0.0220391265,0.8464169006,-0.6117282808 |  |  |
| C,0,-1.2399556726,0.5787392039,-0.1045746189 |  |  |
| C,0,-1.7447557278,1.7863089896,0.5654814078 |  |  |
| C,0,-0.866305329,2.7929027866,0.4568937849 |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.8433347986,-0.638470094,2.0268827103$ |  |  |
| C, $0,0.0617331111,-1.5141048743,1.5145129598$ |  |  |
| C,0,1.2582218949,-1.1240838656,0.8773520276 |  |  |
| C,0,1.575625158,0.219802239,0.7479639297 |  |  |
| C, $0,2.8859794907,0.6813479014,0.1662412636$ |  |  |
| C,0,3.8470823716,-0.4642742421,-0.115168117 |  |  |
| C,0,3.1135729763,-1.6257915571,-0.7588584077 |  |  |
| C,0,2.0806793219,-2.1863050238,0.2029620373 |  |  |
| C, $0,-2.0376443317,-0.5513640349,-0.5540696852$ |  |  |
| O,0,-3.3227237142,-0.450329548,-0.1903748869 |  |  |
| C, $0,-4.1612027645,-1.5094244487,-0.6126178783$ |  |  |
| O,0,1.1190133046,2.9907794955,-0.8985147617 |  |  |
| O,0,-1.6094444024,-1.4810580327,-1.1959357727 |  |  |
| H,0,3.8074572289,-2.4126590092,-1.0515371524 |  |  |
| H,0,4.6572387123,-0.1126207925,-0.7523564041 |  |  |
| H,0,4.3041949116,-0.8043991968,0.8167811856 |  |  |
| H,0,3.3376132498,1.3932142792,0.8568911155 |  |  |
| H,0,2.704130519,1.2529028536,-0.7446124529 |  |  |
| H,0,1.4134517235,-2.8798026141,-0.3092327765 |  |  |
| H,0,2.5855750345,-2.7682602386,0.979695916 |  |  |
| H,0,1.1284006659,0.8965189992,1.4666131085 |  |  |
| H,0,2.6229376186,-1.2860402381,-1.6730165405 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.2185644591,-2.5565158086,1.4377735351 |  |  |
| H,0,-1.7985305555,-0.9897428529,2.3884306263 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.5816652551,0.3705823616,2.3016238378 |  |  |
| H,0,0.441901151,0.3320942733,-1.4589203235 |  |  |
| H,0,-2.6958712203,1.8159121131,1.0723983524 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.9394017466,3.7905518409,0.8525767412 |  |  |
| H,0,-3.8357256733,-2.4523475936,-0.1813857614 |  |  |
| H,0,-5.1551374731,-1.2572760502,-0.2623680368 |  |  |
| H,0,-4.1516546712,-1.5977200894,-1.6951303744 |  |  |

## Vinylcyclohexene Transition Strucutre 24-exo-8

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-806.472415852$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.281097 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.297289 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.298234 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.236552 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -806.191318 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -806.175126 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -806.174182 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -806.235864 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 186.552 | 61.983 | 129.820 |

C,0,2.1760504119,-2.2002225807,0.269010248
C,0,1.294731742,-1.1491606349,0.894276942
C,0,1.6466358644,0.1803768687,0.8326229691
C,0,2.9271014651,0.6789726911,0.2496926225
C, $0,3.8920805918,-0.4369607181,-0.1275978573$
C,0,3.1523618112,-1.6137125888,-0.7376319656
C, $0,0.0343493102,-1.5163325449,1.3957161459$
С,0,-0.9107839119,-0.6102214092,1.8184521961
С, $0,-0.1921323329,0.7989595277,-0.6645644991$
C, $, 0,0.1260374709,2.2254036039,-0.5282142169$
C,0,-0.8915478404,2.7893715375,0.416595431
C,0,-1.7745257415,1.8194776011,0.6781566862
C, $0,-1.3598116777,0.539714925,0.0285397585$
O,0,0.9949732172,2.8582036709,-1.0838548989
H,0,-2.0846102441,-0.2016514,-0.2587116389
H,0,3.8533079419,-2.3816357823,-1.06252881
H,0,4.6461693844,-0.05476344,-0.813774536
H,0,4.4230248674,-0.7788198814,0.7631461006
H,0,3.3929904353,1.361194838,0.9621112894
H,0,2.6940712136,1.3033189958,-0.6183587654
H,0,1.5566841953,-2.9653411512,-0.1997780625
H,0,2.7359708082,-2.7068640377,1.0595204595
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1125343402,0.8818648938,1.4580605794$
H, $0,2.6123242276,-1.2841481361,-1.6278319765$
H,0,-0.2697134057,-2.5482380281,1.2681068638
Н,0,-1.8844593458,-0.9660545851,2.1210233216

```
H,0,-0.6079860641,0.3191286104,2.2782267227
H,0,0.2354611751,0.1907488699,-1.4404509204
C,0,-2.9728149779,2.0296626123,1.4932321106
H,0,-0.9084119951,3.8062027308,0.7693122891
O,0,-3.2569106126,2.9905075442,2.1233340647
O,0,-3.7928480344,0.8850445381,1.4923652927
C,0,-5.0879010102,1.0698603951,1.4591960366
H,0,-5.4602272791,0.0307804644,1.5423980176
```

Vinylcyclohexene [2+4] Transition State - High Energy example
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.826303597$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307815 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324665 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= $=$ | 0.325609 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.261954 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -807.518488 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.501639 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.500694 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.564350 |

    E (Thermal) CV S
    $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total } & 203.730 & 63.256 & 133.974\end{array}$

C,0,0.4729421192,2.9572147824,1.1648959354
C,0,1.8143375244,2.4858785137,0.6726761262
C,0,2.4797628268,3.1494383776,-0.2892624231
C,0,2.0015407542,4.4061643663,-0.9326158638
C, $0,0.8419076976,5.0358177488,-0.1775946499$
C, $0,-0.1629895061,3.9718399807,0.2280583509$
C,0,2.4257798076,1.3268472475,1.2821408234
C,0,1.5053891282,-0.5943301078,-0.3831419914
C,0,0.1790452276,-0.381606243,-0.1778373262
C, $,,-0.1746767604,-0.6743582967,1.1779595195$
C, $0,0.9714824593,-1.0068694347,1.8765160257$
C,0,1.9617079922,0.6916059282,2.4237804024
C,0,2.0408141204,-1.2439854437,0.8274836305
C,0,-1.4841679091,-0.5321053829,1.793766696
O,0,-2.4384481042,-0.2447682826,0.9014769787
C, $0,-3.745754893,-0.1116885415,1.4288654094$
O,0,3.0676173054,-1.8543056776,0.9760256841
O,0,-1.706125696,-0.6498056408,2.9752848304

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.0558518188,-0.4864706622,-1.301180321 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.9743957148,-1.5016148523,2.8339378924 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.397021893,1.0407902025,0.9008458928 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.6794689898,0.1260326559,2.9997830417 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.1553183688,1.1301863175,2.9923180336 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.4294060729,2.7521704006,-0.6276525311 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.8325157824,5.1085422187,-1.0185240829 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.703449641,4.1837419657,-1.9628331771 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.220040816,5.5325964295,0.7181293821 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.3692658574,5.8038290429,-0.7884177902 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.0308982199,4.4224114871,0.7078465001 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5270484554,3.4613489933,-0.6661210365 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.5910808269,3.3941174754,2.1605672708 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1894620429,2.100925878,1.2885650969 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.5226673672,-0.013898168,-0.9086196329 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.3838799857,0.114525193,0.5830618036 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-4.0606370557,-1.0356957549,1.9053285861 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-3.7841427491,0.6908022833,2.1601399135
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vinylcyclohexene Ridge Structure 41

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.832060986$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.307956 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324671 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.325615 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.263455 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.524105 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.507390 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.506446 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.568606 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 203.734 | 63.454 | 130.826 |

C, $0,-2.3292798816,-1.533185926,-0.5703512115$
С,0,-2.1079521591,-0.3844162918,0.3828858398
C,0,-1.2376214396,0.4791737928,-0.2303634157
C,0,-0.780222991,-0.1273314946,-1.4796127365
C, $0,-1.3613228974,-1.3238974889,-1.6681008767$
С, $0,-0.9978792793,-1.6523718744,1.8269234432$
C,0,0.0623708083,-2.1000961178,1.0909520442
C,0,1.1488772745,-1.2845199592,0.6593776778
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.1755639349,0.0460202087,0.9338040765$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.2488263695,0.9652577501,0.4509755127$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.1001808958,0.3609905371,-0.653583438$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.4588664932,-1.0765978207,-0.3225206889$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.201997693,-1.9221247653,-0.2092315042$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0721943181,0.3504608687,-2.1367994845$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-3.1639673529,-2.3964532477,-0.4655076928$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1209188361,-1.4945811294,-1.0798887256$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5482070581,0.3854093286,-1.5954003846$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.9966382498,0.9619417489,-0.8014649831$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.878762198,1.2272936754,1.3076241329$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8037310059,1.9037934028,0.1200969931$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7820301848,-2.0980535743,-1.2029651603$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4429788603,-2.9062265511,0.1941907709$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.4931238629,0.4543549919,1.6637224731$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.0042436001,-1.1034315535,0.6234565371$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.0284545289,-3.1132477012,0.7100565617$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7986726804,-2.3318348032,2.0771890654$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9213990474,-0.7658018429,2.4372688215$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.0580764259,1.8627997992,0.1872948796$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.8206551673,-0.1115463148,1.1427239773$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.2271482898,-2.0078320629,-2.4875968341$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-0.4910243025,2.6137433919,-0.7625683576$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-1.3888940589,2.3051422974,1.2617181312$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.3478158898,3.9885297579,-0.4494720719$
$H, 0,0.1252117715,4.4398999547,-1.3133381772$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3192595755,4.440010992,-0.2700381117$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.2680711307,4.1202830216,0.4357779171$

## Vinylcyclohexene Ridge Structure 42

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.832628070$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.307808 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.324621 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.325566 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.262403 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.524820 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.508007 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.507063 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.570225 |

E (Thermal) CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \quad \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin

| Tota | 203.703 | 63.367 | 132.938 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, 0,-2.2470623071,-1.5379173642,-0.5902579187 |  |  |  |
| C, 0,-2.173176732,-0.3452950407,0.3383688204 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-1.376685684,0.5850113281,-0.290935228$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.7542670246,-0.031622713,-1.4347987032$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-1.1677769679,-1.3131000438,-1.5682183254$ |  |  |  |
| C,0,-0.9829163997,-1.5049194374,1.6465389161 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,0.0411824999,-1.9883773754,0.8652622334$ |  |  |  |
| C,0,1.2503065827,-1.2768223734,0.5561868358 |  |  |  |
| C,0,1.4747705552,-0.0206947765,1.0005758225 |  |  |  |
| C,0,2.6725188878,0.7924735546,0.6428614037 |  |  |  |
| C,0,3.4716303422,0.194757836,-0.5038264206 |  |  |  |
| C,0,3.6108259371,-1.3068777156,-0.3253892191 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,2.2447280403,-1.9717460676,-0.3356684678$ |  |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0401114941,0.4769995929,-2.0611966831 |  |  |  |
| O,0,-3.0462180477,-2.4379112069,-0.5372074683 |  |  |  |
| H,0,4.2366754022,-1.7330331802,-1.1084721734 |  |  |  |
| H,0,2.960922052,0.3980637571,-1.4472949784 |  |  |  |
| H,0,4.4488184266,0.6718249256,-0.5668374164 |  |  |  |
| H,0,3.3037949333,0.8894618631,1.5323892539 |  |  |  |
| H,0,2.3544724912,1.8084711099, 0.402650938 |  |  |  |
| H,0,1.8468912184,-1.9916145143,-1.3537002134 |  |  |  |
| H,0,2.3299033027,-3.0144583844,-0.0269628973 |  |  |  |
| H,0,0.7785813955,0.4320907467,1.6932712054 |  |  |  |
| H,0,4.1099125009,-1.5125169093,0.6240806951 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0547191801,-2.9868989289, 0.4597669373 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-1.7776778226,-2.1779995745, 1.9314370696 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-0.8284954443,-0.6614376867,2.3018160104 |  |  |  |
| C,0,-1.1869123225,1.9486557697,0.1836619117 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-2.9345620904,-0.1166060146,1.0656243005 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-0.9032965285,-2.0168269858,-2.3380241152 |  |  |  |
| O,0,-0.5070810063,2.7038121723,-0.6852724747 |  |  |  |
| O,0,-1.5955902656,2.3707731831,1.2392174515 |  |  |  |
| C,0,-0.3201954114,4.054623192,-0.3032501953 |  |  |  |
| H,0,0.2374990895,4.515153938,-1.1099403132 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-1.2780405133,4.5502517322,-0.1736917396 |  |  |  |
| H,0,0.2350205845,4.1178065923,0.628470145 |  |  |  |

## Vinylcyclohexene Cope Transition Structure (36-ax)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-807.851279146$

| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.326081 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.327026 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.267156 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -807.540887 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -807.525198 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -807.524254 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -807.584123 |


C,0,-0.5273918692,4.1793877305,-0.4533567537
H,0,-0.0550548605,4.6630749433,-1.3001681734
H,0,-1.5045960288,4.6153809227,-0.2661060604
H,0,0.0820662927,4.29333558,0.4389379551
2-vinylfuran (21)
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-307.351007957$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.106617 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.112380 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.113324 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.077022 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -307.244391 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -307.238628 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -307.237684 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -307.273986 |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total } & 70.519 & 21.273 & 76.404\end{array}$
C,0,-0.0175396246,0.,-0.0277668173
C,0,0.228530778,0.,1.3018477015
C,0,1.2585008592,0.,-0.656410368
H,0,-0.4051708512,0.,2.1678433697
C,0,2.1813430779,0.,0.3435963451
Н,0,1.4692754703,0.,-1.7103739393
C,0,3.6222529851,0.,0.3204163754
O,0,1.5518613502,0.,1.5380241871
H,0,-0.9823991911,0.,-0.5003865074
C,0,4.4106011954,0., 1.3933359088
Н,0,4.0552006734,0.,-0.6703910429
H,0,5.4840435487,0.,1.2903223395
H,0,4.0026547287,0.,2.3926274478

## 2-vinylfuran [2+4] product (31)

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-803.250868931$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.235292 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.249425 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.250370 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.192247 |


| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= |  | -803.015577 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= |  | -803.001443 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= |  | -803.000499 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= |  | -803.058622 |
| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total 156.517 | 52.831 | 122.329 |
| O,0,1.0291352161,-2.5851423225,1.4956100759 |  |  |
| C, $0,0.4780529347,-1.6467835392,2.2951873921$ |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.0524780857,-2.2381696754,3.3930701231$ |  |  |
| C,0,0.1919517757,-3.6370861978,3.256706519 |  |  |
| C,0,0.5569856291,-0.2326528004,1.8666841645 |  |  |
| C, $0,0.1061648335,0.0531290736,0.4063786309$ |  |  |
| C,0,1.2576461304,0.9050371122,-0.1828580989 |  |  |
| C, $0,1.6762600534,1.6461274518,1.0846269458$ |  |  |
| C, $0,1.9857384951,0.4040879805,1.9301862022$ |  |  |
| C,0,2.8805914805,-0.2862553791,0.9527120455 |  |  |
| C,0,2.4620273747,0.0176525818,-0.2851053142 |  |  |
| O,0,1.7193542693, $2.8039911737,1.3559267576$ |  |  |
| C,0,3.0115721848,-0.4467492586,-1.5616114755 |  |  |
| O,0,2.5382140168,-0.1736891201,-2.6351585214 |  |  |
| O,0,4.0943990181,-1.2049612854,-1.4071707821 |  |  |
| C,0,4.6789187243,-1.6879295531,-2.6046875067 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.8325036587,0.6023164868,0.3920832835 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0674268617,0.3300757729,2.5596998197 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.5577429735,-1.7378798948,4.1993306076 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0246670291,-0.8620387898,-0.1633408869 |  |  |
| H,0,3.7062798394,-0.9296485046,1.206705831 |  |  |
| H,0,2.3638773809, $0.5639623047,2.9325057015$ |  |  |
| H,0,4.9950615292,-0.8612865311,-3.2343245786 |  |  |
| H,0,5.5330299028,-2.2788425872,-2.2973038655 |  |  |
| H,0,3.9700947509,-2.3001886959,-3.1546786974 |  |  |
| H,0,1.0152894641,1.496754073,-1.0559814569 |  |  |
| H,0,-0.0892332236,-4.4215084971,3.9349912863 |  |  |
| C, $0,0.8508409519,-3.7828850323,2.0876767039$ |  |  |
| H,0,1.2378328766,-4.6326263467,1.5589240937 |  |  |

## 2-vinylfuran [4+2] product (32)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-803.248056621$
Zero-point correction=

| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.248745 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.249689 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.192997 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -803.013263 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -802.999312 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -802.998368 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -803.055059 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-2.200210824,1.0772680953,0.1512749294$C,0,-1.8993755953,-0.0725842633,C,0,-0.3923136822,0.0838247621,1.4478684287C,0,0.0157799921,1.310363323,0.6707043641C, $0,-0.9679124069,1.8486737969,-0.0425057687$C,0,-2.2856959986,-1.4088571275,0.4570318407C,0,-1.3213293595,-1.7772235457,-0.6300428631C,0,-0.0438799355,-1.6437464163,-0.3157102943C,0,0.417737125,-1.1633102643,,1.0263366104C,0,1.8887255599,-1.0161845327,0.7773577163C,0,2.132671268,-1.442939673,-0.4543908369O,0,1.025379569,-1.8468298427,-1.1426381667H,0,1.0318267245,1.6741590025,0.7072518821O,0,-3.2765286532,1.2855337561,-0.3472049473H,0,3.0557643301,-1.5376375386,-0.9979011297H,0,0.2362333362,-1.919745587,1.794730163H,0,-1.6540156557,-2.0933797382,-1.6054960575H,0,-3.29506811,--1.3025765049,0.0666492788H,0,-2.3221741361,-2.1838927956,1.2269463954C,0,-0.1853788123,0.318232645,2.935218749H,0,-2.5154470135,0.0832426221,1.9913958994H,0,-0.9098996278,2.7146512336,-0.6809320772O,0,-0.792793321, $1.4226405421,3.3489419427 ~$O,0,0.4440109895,-0.4010373115,3.6631679415C,0,-0.6803597032,1.7136989873,4.7341878882H, $0,-1.2279545033,2.6355480701,4.8850520333$H,0,-1.1118857192,0.9119358029,5.3260407387H,0,0.3620238794,1.8383857728,5.0115773566H,0,2.6219462836,-0.6967012704,1.4955026712 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2-vinylfuran Transition Structure 25

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-803.183148908$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.229910 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.244821 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.245765 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.186879 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -802.953239 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -802.938328 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -802.937384 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -802.996270 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 153.627 | 55.326 | 123.937 |

C,0,-2.7147678026,0.6086342343,-0.433560283
C,0,-2.5536048229,0.1108241838,0.988579862
C, $0,-1.3435007368,0.5984525661,1.4311318859$
C, $0,-0.6328205806,1.1720221633,0.3112529529$
C,0,-1.3821510947,1.1121617803,-0.8111354738
C,0,-2.3322827233,-1.8587392384,0.309325331
C,0,-1.296159469,-1.8247251299,-0.5943688715
С,0,0.0452929349,-1.7999278716,-0.1615687884
H,0,0.3676100675, 1.5652638089,0.3890499511
O,0,-3.7336942663,0.6072692745,-1.0763976984
H,0,-1.4827203881,-1.7711609637,-1.6561984754
H,0,-3.3402882348,-1.9726651655,-0.0592498265
Н,0,-2.1556850979,-2.2025864138,1.3174139995
C,0,-0.8918713079,0.5237778466,2.8115069561
H,0,-3.3930925249,-0.1142593115,1.625961096
H,0,-1.1282560434,1.4602400267,-1.7967945542
O,0,0.1784822433,1.2878850212,3.0434475137
O,0,-1.4173868546,-0.1490116911,3.6684709129
C,0,0.6645370756,1.2736260658,4.3730891833
H, $0,1.5147425998,1.9449780695,4.3834514091$
H,0,-0.0999467897,1.6187751763,5.0631396355
H,0,0.9686982309,0.2707646654,4.6602145637
O,0,1.0253332393,-1.6934098119,-1.0925291917
C, $0,2.1914759999,-1.6022368614,-0.4415379196$
C,0,2.0047243427,-1.6431041711,0.9005026502
C,0,0.6071660012,-1.7718592959,1.0934784922
H,0,3.0697885766,-1.5236254463,-1.0539240291
H,0,0.0844145507,-1.8881241103,2.0251986459

## H,0,2.7698418752,-1.5934474004,1.6529160705

## 2-vinylfuran Transition Structure 25-endo-2

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-803.170130373$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.229677 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.244506 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.245450 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.187149 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -802.940453 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -802.925624 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -802.924680 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -802.982981 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 153.430 | 55.461 | 122.705 |

O,0,2.5770492946,-0.7788132942,-1.0985805929
C,0,1.3560835583,-1.040378595,-0.6125919062
C, $0,1.3186537448,-0.7605381741,0.7743370748$
C, $0,2.6909683121,-0.450426908,1.1073149865$
C,0,3.3662145815,-0.4315256581,-0.048264102
C, $0,0.301151123,-1.4301643105,-1.4433645283$
C, $0,-0.9075051959,-1.7081810788,-0.8978478324$
C, $0,0.2130413047,0.8816237941,0.8315098266$
C, $0,0.9279101115,1.7571590412,-0.1861993359$
C,0,0.0285487414,1.8459347147,-1.3363632515
С,0,-1.1480948771,1.2789806208,-0.9918257343
C,0,-1.076606355,0.679074111,0.3208621694
O,0,2.0118333707,2.2686745955,-0.0300403442
C,0,-2.156949058,0.1387703304,1.1121353548
O,0,-2.0019932368,-0.437586002,2.1688626552
O,0,-3.3612302856,0.3150354743, 0.556283521
C, $0,-4.4560652621,-0.2011353769,1.2902241786$
H,0,4.3816225747,-0.1897990488,-0.2967539691
H,0,0.6468646986,-1.2710274497,1.446932893
Н,0,0.4470179094,-1.3863774877,-2.5119664084
H,0,-1.766836259,-1.8909070129,-1.5244151997
Н, $,,-1.0240152957,-1.9084898977,0.1544185968$
H,0,0.3874842057,1.0234414295,1.8869159763
H,0,-2.0400600268,1.2509290841,-1.5972228634
H,0,0.2770429503,2.3481741965,-2.2545478488
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.3392609219,0.0406089089,0.7108887859$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.3658736679,-1.2771281132,1.4121618108$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.5099985583,0.2592775667,2.2725061475$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0809165187,-0.2000544601,2.0758179401$

2-vinylfuran Cope Transition Structure 37
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-803.197593831$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.232099 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.246022 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.246967 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.190709 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -802.965495 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -802.951571 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -802.950627 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -803.006885 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 154.381 | 53.112 | 118.404 |

C, $0,-2.3270231006,-1.4826458437,-0.6657546124$
C,0,-2.0802731785,-0.4047639934,0.3933888391
C,0,-1.3576880723,0.6370407808,-0.3479662714
C,0,-0.9648281514,0.1181451554,-1.584528261
C,0,-1.3736835262,-1.1892856663,-1.7243580466
C,0,-1.1955868102,-1.2240514494,1.4310957748
C,0,-0.0748079658,-1.8440007606,0.717230307
C,0,1.0083653989,-1.0601071318,0.4220917688
C,0,1.1934234501,0.3040338857,0.6562048765
C, $0,2.3617823882,0.6673664651,-0.0490879016$
C,0,2.8040160317,-0.470341586,-0.644655466
O, $0,2.0190128713,-1.5145800543,-0.374741791$
H,0,-0.3811588616,0.6706348201,-2.3039258497
O, $0,-3.1058821962,-2.4012358991,-0.5632610368$
H,0,3.6618343934,-0.6731281031,-1.2592058967
H,0,2.826806331,1.6341020464,-0.1002442203
H,0,0.6529170921,0.9001152802,1.3678677162
H,0,-0.0840286886,-2.8868867117,0.4429308411
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8528721731,-1.9720557958,1.8630076232$
H,0,-0.8761003973,-0.5346068811,2.2085312242
C,0,-1.2381634165,1.99984744,0.1174437321
H,0,-2.9623285199,-0.0584555996,0.9225906144

```
H,0,-1.1913538446,-1.8370399554,-2.5650996238
O,0,-0.7809308022,2.8411275195,-0.8187886766
O,0,-1.5076698456,2.3615265133,1.2438171123
C,0,-0.6469852413,4.1900257948,-0.4134613987
H,0,-0.2816474958,4.7241395277,-1.2826507382
H,0,-1.6051399397,4.5927417731,-0.0975499895
H,0,0.0569102705,4.2790164292,0.4098273505
```

Styrene (22)
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-309.581745090$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.137208 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.143949 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.144894 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.103237 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -309.444537 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -309.437796 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -309.436851 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -309.478508 |


|  | E (Thermal $)$ | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 90.330 | 25.319 | 87.673 |

C,0,-1.0062214476,0.1832772969,-0.0813281236
C, $0,0.3723266195,0.1560702596,-0.1831763518$
C, $0,1.1158648674,1.3374500006,-0.1485022215$
C,0,0.4281621673,2.5421175087,-0.0083681807
C,0,-0.9537145888,2.5723750769,0.0939462196
C, $0,-1.6772109665,1.3917194615,0.0578178942$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5623724852,-0.7422359985,-0.1103863913$
H,0,0.8741524195,-0.7937342743,-0.2905995266
H,0,0.98688278,3.4673517547,0.0205410595
H,0,-1.4634827871,3.5184189683,0.2017813953
H,0,-2.7539830518,1.4095975049,0.1371302689
C, $0,2.5777306317,1.3665595688,-0.2522258513$
H,0,3.0136338581,2.3573784649,-0.2086250297
С,0,3.3984765698,0.3289889138,-0.3905038942
Н,0,3.0488877989,-0.6915334677,-0.4427329963
H,0,4.4651656149, 0.4768079609,-0.4565832705


```
C,0,-5.1875745799,-0.2102121025,1.8209327014 H,0,-5.6587034799,0.3795078547,1.039938788
H,0,-5.7664720661,-1.1027138171,2.0243081841
H,0,-5.0965492347,0.3970636831,2.7167835974
```


## Styrene [4+2] product (34)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-805.460238490$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.265256 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.280116 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.281060 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.222251 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -805.194982 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -805.180122 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -805.179178 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -805.237988 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 175.775 | 57.634 | 123.775 |

C, $0,-2.2400074515,1.0040942551,0.078302625$
C,0,-1.9418922751,-0.1074180351,1.0791149973
C,0,-0.4475421356,0.080363415,1.4422652188
C, $0,-0.0502003373,1.3075031674,0.6685986135$
C, $0,-1.0242553888,1.8044421881,-0.0887764754$
C,0,-2.2662507151,-1.4766704115,0.4755934541
C,0,-1.2820093357,-1.8000158814,-0.595172146
C,0,0.0224393151,-1.6238125915,-0.3459111953
C, $, 0,0.4052315336,-1.1600386897,1.0382149366$
C, $0,1.0555172768,-1.8377299458,-1.3356437466$
H,0,0.9540846338,1.6991854965,0.7283757113
O,0,-3.3037443877,1.1636776344,-0.4649672211
H,0,0.1088597063,-1.9302173655,1.7586320077
H,0,-3.2846357847,-1.4529418022,0.0960193246
H,0,-2.2313511873,-2.2276660912,1.2706001243
C,0,-0.3308814837,0.2744659452,2.9428286347
H,0,-2.5826782476,0.0607728824,1.9452469359
H,0,-0.967218633,2.6579205289,-0.7438583499
O,0,-0.4752757826,1.5441673364,3.299017749
O, $0,-0.1715373155,-0.6178825406,3.7319910766$
C,0,-0.4594374591,1.8030717313,4.6955781966
Н,0,-0.5792992319,2.8745346003,4.7959732068

```
H,0,-1.274927659,1.2803399051,5.1864543438
H,0,0.4817819403,1.4803929196,5.1301592403
C,0,1.8708302027,-0.9266209397,1.223159692
H,0,-1.6234576558,-2.1311889816,-1.5658210383
C,0,2.7588312375,-1.1406153729,0.2505723819
H,0,2.1996227896,-0.6119524774,2.2041977615
H,0,3.8115193585,-0.9822241195,0.4362751725
C,0,2.3476834293,-1.6065088996,-1.0626592975
H,0,0.7490301564,-2.1780551946,-2.314872031
H,0,3.1021048872,-1.7645106659,-1.8188549037
```


## Styrene Transition Structure 26

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-805.411358366$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.260876 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.276594 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.277538 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.216558 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -805.150482 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -805.134764 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -805.133820 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -805.194801 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 173.565 | 59.171 | 128.344 |

C,0,-2.7097745915,0.5759351961,-0.4054752941
C,0,-2.5443434919,0.0809454033,1.0209106748
C, $0,-1.3622676871,0.6328777626,1.4775607021$
C, $0,-0.6552108092,1.204863854,0.3653452849$
C, $0,-1.3812082582,1.089931502,-0.773168097$
C, $0,-2.2364756795,-1.8167137011,0.3349396629$
C,0,-1.2433203107,-1.7363928672,-0.6191267873
C, $0,0.1638843077,-1.7634510384,-0.3213036792$
Н, $0,0.330807036,1.6313502084,0.4492610586$
O,0,-3.7264842212,0.5591538028,-1.0504303971
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5239290232,-1.6757992615,-1.6613952213$
H,0,-3.251085543,-1.9689163983,-0.0023259482
H,0,-2.0146084317,-2.2051134393,1.3178369795
C,0,-0.9167398803,0.5663790156,2.8607976299
Н,0,-3.3841576506,-0.1592533709,1.6529055828
H,0,-1.1214652867,1.432739192,-1.7592798195

```
O,0,0.1327736315,1.3555110656,3.0994438794
O,0,-1.4301827561,-0.1226618228,3.7115066802
C,0,0.6152809371,1.347349027,4.4304637218
H,0,1.4549893339,2.0316671678,4.4437953404
H,0,-0.1565626361,1.6802116067,5.1184650146
H,0,0.9336132515,0.3488550469,4.716944739
C, \(0,1.0976392117,-1.727850654,-1.3692181885\)
C, \(0,2.4509041488,-1.7195921379,-1.1131054629\)
C,0,2.91233873,-1.7359794815,0.2013601901
C,0,2.0071758576,-1.7722993381,1.2477297292
C,0,0.6434269951,-1.7913548601,0.9948466807
H,0,0.7402236503,-1.7012261834,-2.3889805179
H,0,3.1542327111,-1.6965966482,-1.9321759223
H,0,3.9733464925,-1.7276360059,0.401980056
H,0,2.360328472,-1.7953436955,2.267935841
Н,0,-0.0438625096,-1.8539409467,1.8240218875
```


## Styrene Transition Structure 26-endo-2

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-805.392979370$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.260446 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.276061 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.277005 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.217386 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -805.132533 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -805.116919 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -805.115975 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -805.175594 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 173.231 | 59.473 | 125.479 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,2.6410137067,-0.8147448186,-1.0243629511 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.333589833,-1.1111598163,-0.5943857925 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.9732794489,-0.8442910182,0.7810259018 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,2.0541957108,-0.5623064177,1.696546101 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,3.5975762523,-0.4119536647,-0.1359793283 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.3512938754,-1.5883727232,-1.5009768246 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.8992266886,-1.9287952048,-1.1231759285 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.0622103192,0.6789536894,0.7313849101 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.7910570241,1.6332386589,-0.1046883531 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.1248273307,1.7222516491,-1.4026283657
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.0929774037,1.1384695835,-1.2932919136 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.2570243704,0.5141886704,-0.0139536577 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,1.7891740691,2.1949181383,0.2757135467 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-2.4643347542,-0.0250266509,0.5639232969 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-2.5050785046,-0.6076335766,1.62817678 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-3.5493068542,0.1673769772,-0.1959848639 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-4.7615549327,-0.3393122058,0.3298766575 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.8808332759,-0.9265377699,-2.0719347176 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.1876899937,-1.4621884169,1.2009758007 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.6064528249,-1.5814550809,-2.5522286221 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6455294551,-2.1846421878,-1.8602571876 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1716339148,-2.0897272308,-0.0925932555 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1373675833,0.8521849266,1.795309165 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8566822253,1.1235676232,-2.0544907611 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,0.528848915,2.2497587068,-2.2488848233 \\
& H, 0,-5.5262485644,-0.0844357163,-0.3943164312 \\
& H, 0,-4.7059813293,-1.4168878955,0.4586013781 \\
& H, 0,-4.9829956575,0.1169146095,1.2904957225 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,3.3068013407,-0.3176980265,1.2477573338 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.8242885472,-0.491102647,2.7495300859 \\
& H, 0,4.0924469321,-0.0527680531,1.9386155111 \\
& H, 0,4.5942434767,-0.1873741115,-0.4846214137
\end{aligned}
$$

## Styrene Cope Transitions Structure (38)

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-805.418901378$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.262809 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.277566 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.278510 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.220600 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -805.156093 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -805.141335 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -805.140391 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -805.198302 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 174.175 | 57.281 | 121.883 |

C, $0,-2.6314079879,0.7568420583,-0.3461807799$
C, $0,-2.3837082031,-0.0086990773,0.9577286734$
C,0,-1.1062561725,0.538714697,1.4392269621
C,0,-0.5574891674,1.3524958813,0.4246898884
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.3604197298,1.3800771577,-0.680903788$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.3535624426,-1.5172431526,0.4501700476$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.3349155204,-1.6528191698,-0.5875321255$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.0105560019,-1.59504697,-0.2681613706$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3916391518,1.8553141987,0.5220058671$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-3.6736505181,0.7671639658,-0.9582058033$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.6330315942,-1.740156013,-1.6227408158$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.3481154108,-1.7297220851,0.0714429805$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.161695864,-2.1402212894,1.322051811$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.7412379552,0.5365837201,2.8409029015$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.190601035,0.0600055681,1.6812865807$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.1701622984,1.8952309735,-1.6072372091$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,0.2630687334,1.3740981764,3.1240742041$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,-1.2693440496,-0.1595216515,3.6810247634$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.6598988006,1.4142127221,4.4819391532$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.4667702836,2.1356843446,4.5320465969$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.1670226604,1.7268121924,5.1131993275$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0022400124,0.437893497,4.8145111633$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.0179928893,-1.5339081687,-1.2758278483$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.3148259519,-1.2970160545,-0.9456284949$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.68297605,-1.1186828323,0.4102603848$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.7480962149,-1.202853887,1.4052259598$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.3977009168,-1.4327725379,1.0896905871$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7236688875,-1.651475254,-2.3088735109$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.0710897119,-1.2414488438,-1.7140635093$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7195754994,-0.9432524073,0.6579660103$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0431067893,-1.1105662225,2.4392109317$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.2742612855,-1.7279325365,1.8785934613$

Zwanenburg Example - [2 + 4] Product 39
$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-768.593541319$
Zero-point correction= 0.282011 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.297091
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.298035
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.238989
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-768.311531$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-768.296451$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-768.295506$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-768.354552$
E (Thermal) CV S
$\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol} \quad \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Kelvin} \mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total } & 186.427 & 57.675 & 124.272\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.867606,-1.23155,5.374613 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.437419,-0.781482,4.756433 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.16927,0.283298,3.829646 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.267022,-0.57494,3.203145 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.850724,-1.099273,4.470997 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.335027,-1.67609,2.600013 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.81647,-1.802054,3.644769 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.895172,-3.059073,2.512094 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,0.252969,-3.912295,3.300822 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-0.868691,-3.279389,4.066603 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,-0.154771,1.412016,3.630478 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,1.018489,-1.808176,6.716679 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,2.294509,-1.885361,7.089629 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,2.561853,-2.478609,8.359832 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,2.648862,-3.980625,8.257873 \\
& \mathrm{O}, 0,0.099125,-2.181959,7.40206 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,2.883026,-1.36899,4.621555 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.020033,-1.319241,1.632197 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.764818,-1.484674,3.213469 \\
& \mathrm{C}, 0,-1.56067,-0.329275,5.650182 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.937919,-0.091675,2.503095 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-1.824738,-3.73862,3.810891 \\
& H, 0,0.491492,-4.962834,3.386704 \\
& H, 0,1.726486,-3.313893,1.870981 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,3.510079,-2.046688,8.665471 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,1.787356,-2.175644,9.05892 \\
& H, 0,2.920396,-4.398955,9.225466 \\
& H, 0,1.692426,-4.403691,7.962911 \\
& H, 0,3.405142,-4.276862,7.534384 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-0.749455,-3.402721,5.144038 \\
& H, 0,-1.980498,-1.162793,6.204904 \\
& H, 0,-1.214834,0.409793,6.369018 \\
& \mathrm{H}, 0,-2.342329,0.131363,5.049328
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Zwanenburg Example - Cope Transition Structure
```



```
Zero-point correction= 0.279095 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.294034
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=}0.29497
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.236912
```

| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -768.264352 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -768.249413 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -768.248469 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -768.306534 |


| E (Thermal) | CV | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 183.711 | 57.845 | 122.165 |
| C,0,-0.78890 | 93049,-3.08 | 71295,4.432081 |  |
| C, $0,0.59026$ | 86374,-3.524 | 615058,4.085615507 |  |
| C,0,0.98516 | 68217,-2.958 | 261349,2.897872184 |  |
| C, $0,0.12705$ | 66301,-1.906 | 72156,2.59496238 |  |
| C,0,1.60897 | 28905,0.012 | 09916,3.429756688 |  |
| C, 0,0.22739 | 94255,0.370 | 14838,3.6692108 |  |
| C,0,-0.27497 | 784326,-0.63 | 271129,4.715952 | 9611 |
| C,0,-0.84485 | 504126,-1.75 | 153944,3.704121 | 8886 |
| O,0,-0.4593 | 56262,1.2143 | 64394,3.1277184726 |  |
| C, $0,-1.376232$ | 329798,-0.12 | 329344,5.616783 | 8863 |
| C, $0,0.98930$ | 40845,-1.114 | 646895,5.323048 |  |
| C,0,2.05327 | 3138,-0.6990 | 64018,4.52868087 |  |
| C,0,1.12009 | 2963,-1.7590 | 24306,6.61556132 |  |
| O,0,0.19344 | 87235,-2.113 | 968222,7.320127938 |  |
| O,0,2.39411 | 0188,-1.920 | 9222,6.9824468149 |  |
| C,0,2.62134 | 8689,-2.5574 | 76813,8.23694998 |  |
| C,0,4.10901 | 244,-2.65687 | 3275,8.4355975235 |  |
| H,0,-0.987688 | 884323,0.607 | 5354104,6.3208690 |  |
| H,0,4.31876 | 17477,-3.138 | 9311514,9.3883687 | 594 |
| H,0,4.56949 | 04191,-3.246 | 050292,7.6459958 | 975 |
| H,0,4.56835 | 7294,-1.67088 | 52013,8.443529426 |  |
| H,0,2.14919 | 28611,-1.9698 | 949314,9.022448200 | 005 |
| H,0,2.15084 | 0695,-3.53923 | 45122,8.22628822 |  |
| H,0,-1.8228 | 789093,-0.93 | 9925182,6.189827 | 2739 |
| H,0,-2.142388 | 892703,0.356 | 529824,5.01273736 | 696 |
| H,0,3.08336 | 61834,-0.96 | 048762,4.71837567 | 722 |
| H,0,2.21756 | 31707,0.436 | 94946,2.6454165 |  |
| H,0,0.08112 | 09569,-1.372 | 760094,1.65627856 | 647 |
| H,0,1.87087 | 54068,-3.222 | 543083,2.3383450 |  |
| H,0,1.11845 | 79167,-4.31882 | 271749,4.5974529 |  |
| H,0,-1.82836 | 612967,-1.4138 | 2394542,3.383767 | 2462 |
| H,0,-1.507087 | 879103,-3.79 | 297623,4.004631 | 0312 |
| H,0,-0.9809 | 410716,-3.01 | 56871,5.4989831 |  |

## Butadiene Transition Structure with 18



## 3-vinylfuran Transition Structure with 18

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-803.177291140$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.230157 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.245038 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.245982 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.187260 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -802.947134 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -802.932254 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -802.931309 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -802.990031 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 153.763 | 55.296 | 123.591 |

C,0,-2.782153638,0.6015964816,-0.4039707058
C,0,-2.5443048441,0.066799822,0.9946860987
C,0,-1.3244333873,0.5703565721,1.3965642795
C,0,-0.6827680758,1.1951713826,0.2624402239
С, $0,-1.4807656709,1.1455545492,-0.8263098202$
С,0,-2.3332481261,-1.8703431575,0.2556557921
C,0,-1.3126936303,-1.7979071714,-0.6636214786
C,0,0.0519193805,-1.7600762078,-0.2697251557
H, $0,0.3085760765,1.6157516496,0.3062368731$
O,0,-3.8299339622,0.5949639136,-0.9987365148
H,0,-1.5409887066,-1.7214859816,-1.7165493423
H,0,-3.3479974165,-1.9830342215,-0.0952152111
H,0,-2.1372365472,-2.2468273864,1.2487236854
C, $0,-0.8138052818,0.4841848395,2.7554497721$
H,0,-3.3503151524,-0.1856817594,1.6643646399
H,0,-1.2825941322,1.5325114116,-1.8103402685
O,0,0.2423326704,1.2744129784,2.9565781439
O,0,-1.2817618594,-0.2233028766,3.6186615519
C,0,0.8004735049,1.2350541158,4.2578362951
H,0,1.6199125727,1.9434624905,4.24791234106
H,0,0.0601112593,1.5198703132,4.9995896936
H,0,1.1653021587,0.2378726258,4.4878295278
С,0,1.2203118849,-1.6212538838,-1.0961229647
С,0,2.2681760809,-1.5487716217,-0.2578089078
O,0,1.8578588567,-1.6279181272,1.0298951416
C,0,0.5264016728,-1.7466854516,1.0195929747
H,0,1.2516372205,-1.5778062375,-2.1694527901
H,0,3.325537014,-1.4432334709,-0.4059492028

H,0,0.053170078,-1.8830845906,1.9735602585

Phenylbutadiene Transition Structure with 18
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RmPW}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{PW} 91)=-882.802103162$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.295473 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.313340 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.314284 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.248259 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -882.506630 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -882.488763 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -882.487819 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -882.553844 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 196.624 | 67.216 | 138.961 |

C, $0,-2.7887377145,0.5469240076,-0.3524792115$
C,0,-2.5037830734,0.0494686822,1.0463022931
C,0,-1.2659041776,0.5331701508,1.3870562549
C, $0,-0.6634937484,1.1496536096,0.2169248613$
C,0,-1.5065631236,1.099807253,-0.8320962542
C, $0,-2.3300640422,-1.9862649242,0.2893012945$
C,0,-1.320962339,-1.9004333158,-0.6346215144
C, 0,0.0540434212,-1.7950349209,-0.2935126119
C, $0,0.5141395789,-1.759244525,0.9818940636$
C,0,1.8976600088,-1.5791778002,1.3932015596
H, $0,0.3303517645,1.5661460098,0.2228327052$
O,0,-3.8547122784,0.5271610805,-0.9139101708
H,0,-0.174639496,-1.9630158598,1.7899385333
H,0,-1.5810111417,-1.8379197541,-1.6817142834
H,0,-3.3510198321,-2.0866194785,-0.0467018988
H,0,-2.1317990245,-2.3107055293, 1.2992337907
C, $0,-0.7144640356,0.5143469381,2.7371232414$
Н, $0,-3.2801217718,-0.2175335312,1.7437927232$
H,0,-1.3512126429, 1.4745834208,-1.8284727886
O,0,0.3168787799,1.3480053898,2.8682276477
O,0,-1.1418130255,-0.1598932841,3.6449371651
C, $0,0.8901736851,1.424972615,4.1614762522$
H,0,1.6801272697,2.1624990361,4.0885275809
H,0,0.1453678709,1.734749766,4.8888779278
H,0,1.2992119513,0.4635351754,4.4559770601

```
C,0,2.2483941387,-1.8854311118,2.7108046324
C,0,3.5537719727,-1.7506003426,3.1516834464
C,0,4.5356227915,-1.2953311008,2.2850889269
C,0,4.1999502379,-0.973930977,0.9758201319
C,0,2.8973716957,-1.1113038963,0.5344984011
H,0,1.4841432688,-2.2386503574,3.3890465391
H,0,3.8046945151,-2.0021065399,4.1716300597
H,0,5.5544721809,-1.1869985094,2.6259181673
H,0,4.9581887425,-0.6093905287,0.2986373015
H,0,2.6531116898,-0.8408508146,-0.4818793732
H,0,0.7502769034,-1.6783660331,-1.1122134543
```


## 3,3,5,5-tetramethyl-1-vinylcyclohexene Transition Structure with 18

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW+HF-PW91 $)=-965.067610296$
Zero-point correction $=\quad 0.422173$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy $=\quad 0.444484$
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.445428
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.371522
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -964.645437
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad$-964.623127
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-964.622182$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\quad-964.696088$

|  | E(Thermal | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 278.918 | 85.888 | 155.548 |

C, $0,-2.7597713755,0.4914647178,-0.3902058683$
C, $0,-2.5897926422,0.0645383217,1.0534664459$
C, $0,-1.4412881356,0.6710193326,1.5028150613$
C, $0,-0.7576998428,1.2677872778,0.3786480777$
С,0,-1.4653427835,1.0927416158,-0.7592848121
C,0,-2.1759142119,-1.9109116002,0.4010018944
C, $0,-1.1769567611,-1.7794805254,-0.5305307269$
C,0,0.229901287,-1.7063717774,-0.2410288482
C, $0,0.7040718841,-1.7556697165,1.0217300475$
C,0,2.1535835454,-1.7168651896,1.4062449852
C, $0,3.0481246319,-1.4682744503,0.1841732825$
C, $0,2.5724451587,-2.0511930466,-1.1492292503$
C,0,1.1604011459,-1.5324048085,-1.4110763692
H,0,0.1935302163,1.7669070797,0.4653135103
O,0,-3.7631431759,0.3909215985,-1.0494392085

```
C,0,3.5044309705,-1.559192379,-2.2512446054
H,0,3.1313211872,-0.3878022802,0.0435610123
H,0,4.0578110183,-1.8227054113,0.4032832147
C,0,2.5040842279,-3.0295903062,2.1215193805
C,0,2.37393135,-0.586170632,2.4149682482
H,0,1.2030045297,-0.4697795033,-1.665884478
H,0,0.7419504463,-2.0338372776,-2.2857891567
H,0,0.0146882754,-1.9014049797,1.8444162613
C,0,2.5697108034,-3.578449226,-1.168239395
H,0,-1.4603282257,-1.7277774827,-1.5735609865
H,0,-3.1824891541,-2.0962910117,0.0573897414
H,0,-1.9580519928,-2.2284998133,1.409125272
C,0,-1.0491726188,0.7266601491,2.9041918769
H,0,-3.4167445292,-0.2284418702,1.679027321
H,0,-1.2263762493,1.4405092117,-1.7489242481
O,0,-0.1193598749,1.6578173666,3.1345783402
O,0,-1.5193566538,0.0367729558,3.7775155037
C,0,0.2719877443,1.811615977,4.4863524891
H,0,1.0201491093,2.5951741694,4.4899570389
H,0,-0.5788630847,2.0968482383,5.0984905349
H,0,0.6889986917,0.886753498,4.8745389805
H,0,3.1636122814,-1.8979648613,-3.2295740407
H,0,3.5539730765,-0.4706455915,-2.2727072855
H,0,4.5161196434,-1.937002709,-2.1038677107
H,0,2.3827076979,-3.93813804,-2.1800502914
H,0,3.5310130276,-3.9789976823,-0.8473964107
H,0,1.7957735256,-3.9959836236,-0.5287619617
H,0,3.4261557373,-0.5353849231,2.6961080013
H,0,2.0825696207,0.3779000205,2.0040117623
H,0,1.793796864,-0.7565502886,3.3209769143
H,0,3.5361232491,-2.9976941788,2.4707339955
H,0,1.8635634998,-3.1724860376,2.9910887538
H,0,2.3927748655,-3.8976683069,1.4798957064
```


## Vinylcyclopentene Transition Structure with 18

$\mathrm{E}($ RmPW + HF-PW91 $)=-768.518464178$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.277890 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.293650 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.294594 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.234325 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -768.240574 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -768.224814 |

Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= $\quad-768.223870$ Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=


## Isopropylidenecyclohexene (43)

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-352.623453654$


## Intermediate 46

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-505.600766372$


## Intermediate 47

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-505.600366433$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.278001 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.291644 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.292588 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.238692 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -505.322366 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -505.308723 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -505.307779 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -505.361674 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 183.009 | 52.362 | 113.432 |

C, $0,0.9098862366,-1.6296149014,-0.3771597166$ С,0,-0.2705236143,-1.0400557277,0.4235188977
С,0,-1.510009782,-0.9023905144,-0.5102224077
C, $0,-1.180122317,-0.0225203136,-1.7315958945$
C, $, 0.0 .0331070165,-0.5505740288,-2.5079150905$
C,0,1.2479982655,-0.7356861944,-1.5891339627
C,0,0.0111051492,0.0809526309,1.3022951804
C,0,-1.0835939367,0.9634467788,1.7507731383
C,0,1.3535622635,0.3426856685,1.8720865437
Н,0,-1.7800276827,-1.9072237042,-0.855656754
H,0,-2.3745797813,-0.5242829774,0.0369786058
H,0,-2.0633300403,0.0169512337,-2.3777413627
H,0,-0.9882407736, 1.0097976802,-1.4065053447
H, $0,-0.2214580721,-1.5133987499,-2.9708471082$
H,0,0.2813897285,0.1316762873,-3.3271554572
H,0,2.0787877278,-1.1900908096,-2.1384454101
H,0,1.6068126837,0.2432576209,-1.2411684112
H,0,0.6084978919,-2.6138997538,-0.7506547945
H,0,1.7995708755,-1.7885741892,0.2360534164
H,0,1.7199750208,1.2750833837,1.4092530185
H,0,1.2799093555,0.5721153143,2.9418674505
H,0,2.0964661469,-0.4316286751,1.6936282938
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5618177939,1.4563072669,0.8949372285$
H,0,-1.8794251437,0.3338198369,2.1869913584
H,0,-0.7617714515,1.7105263569,2.4763378027
C,0,-0.8177058459,-2.1447504352,1.6434798701

```
O,0,-1.8655160269,-1.9228258627,2.1664269927 C,0,0.0408861296,-3.3569308615,1.8761462101
H,0,1.0843842522,-3.0811079758,2.0460675898
H,0,-0.3607945484,-3.892104868,2.7378901438
H,0,0.0104000665,-4.0115985162,0.9994629735
```

Transition Structure 48
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-505.600020244$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.275064 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.287758 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.288702 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.237103 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -505.324957 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -505.312262 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -505.311318 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -505.362918 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 180.571 | 50.148 | 108.601 |

C,0,1.1282402045,-0.6036359652,-2.0772655743
C,0,1.2088940666,-0.695068966,-0.5445342978
C,0,-0.0492005368,-0.302488795,0.1758109466
C, $0,-1.2659603738,-0.2736110428,-0.5118890518$
C,0,-1.3774787051,-0.454007539,-2.0166105106
C,0,-0.1778305201,-1.2023569143,-2.6075894784
С,0,-0.0654645274,-0.2433225189,1.6945631179
C, $0,-0.8286430953,-1.5479721615,2.1663723326$
С, $0,-0.6531462703,-2.0432559862,3.5696080337$
C,0,-0.9130140801,0.9530969043,2.2370847904
C, $0,1.3414150615,-0.1810457288,2.3268654044$
O,0,-1.5801526218,-2.1365516653,1.392410007
H,0,-1.4218769287,-1.3635484561,0.1544503063
H,0,-2.1055698326,0.2415411209,-0.0422661677
Н,0,-2.318136504,-0.9680441209,-2.2414004773
H,0,-1.4656485982,0.5440913609,-2.4660214923
H,0,-0.2345059145,-2.2675109481,-2.3457783165
H,0,-0.2089719443,-1.1482658688,-3.6994117248
H,0,1.996964127,-1.1114842248,-2.5057677244
H,0,1.2001742768,0.4483225462,-2.3801062031
H,0,1.4754586836,-1.7172266658,-0.2288654194

```
H,0,2.024735182,-0.0705663858,-0.1604942861
H,0,1.8573398165,0.7260111858,2.0005145829
H,0,1.2679219166,-0.129386183,3.4141576238
H,0,1.964606995,-1.0414155459,2.0715948808
H,0,-0.4864774419,1.8903364444,1.8695747845
H,0,-1.9621408897,0.9093224011,1.9391324357
H,0,-0.8742590048,0.9764001638,3.3283267458
H,0,0.3240680244,-2.5348224582,3.6525706279
H,0,-0.6644228985,-1.2259866749,4.2946745019
H,0,-1.4340466665,-2.7684683121,3.7989956023
```


## Structure 49:

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-505.561484174$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.279399 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.293848 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.294793 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.238426 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -505.282085 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -505.267636 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -505.266692 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -505.323058 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 184.393 | 53.104 | 118.633 |

C, $0,0.0356405286,-0.5804955036,-2.6939055922$
C,0,1.2600752269,-0.7530552114,-1.7877362915
C, $0,0.9085740646,-1.5704431827,-0.509618549$
С, $0,-0.2321728067,-0.8842347223,0.2031353422$
C,0,-1.4750457765,-0.8118244228,-0.6513155839
C,0,-1.1514987692,0.0165688232,-1.9285811942
С,0,-0.0905467591,-0.1446890588,1.3827707549
C,0,1.22051493,0.020034757,2.108412314
C,0,-0.9633494935,-2.2923555705,2.003851185
O,0,-2.1122042506,-2.200602642,2.1249569136
C, $0,-1.1967672337,0.7527039735,1.886256537$
C,0,0.1453524145,-3.2002059679,2.3746436462
H,0,-1.761008035,-1.8240977626,-0.9663240943
H,0,-2.3275946743,-0.377531194,-0.1277992439
Н,0,-2.0483623713,0.0455808377,-2.5560546212
H,0,-0.9317817087,1.0532234558,-1.6412821172

```
H,0,-0.2509876976,-1.5556347344,-3.1110793658
H,0,0.2912044858,0.0601996902,-3.5450701277
H,0,2.0695746021,-1.2692863851,-2.3144872671
H,0,1.6503497858,0.2277579865,-1.4868714351
H,0,0.586663256,-2.5706726284,-0.8309505198
H,0,1.8008541915,-1.689345015,0.1083157325
H,0,1.5934654554,1.0362456276,1.9169513753
H,0,1.0803785364,-0.0439128792,3.1937231058
H,0,2.0040127455,-0.6752118074,1.8059597682
H,0,-1.2821508781,1.6318374104,1.2338785503
H,0,-2.1764651627,0.2668561329,1.8924713962
H,0,-0.983751787,1.1127573045,2.8958047231
H,0,0.6513371224,-3.5534895598,1.4720239351
H,0,0.870475657,-2.6603586245,2.9901794703
H,0,-0.2776395985,-4.0424731269,2.9321922531
```

Transition Structure 50
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-505.551359159$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.278001 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.292274 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.293218 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.236542 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -505.273358 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -505.259085 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -505.258141 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -505.314817 |


|  | E(Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 183.405 | 51.745 | 119.286 |

C,0,0.043646023,-0.6710038333,-2.6843490223
С, $0,1.2437596827,-0.8727657954,-1.7511694236$
С, $0,0.8264237597,-1.6058806464,-0.4455431962$
С, $0,-0.2919422315,-0.8430187489,0.2282076407$
С, $0,-1.508382859,-0.7190372865,-0.6626025877$
C,0,-1.1157884909,0.029870706,-1.9661560123
С,0,-0.1538710798,-0.1246021433,1.4070751875
C, $0,1.1316055941,-0.0400836611,2.1933006281$
C,0,-1.3454797417,-2.5320109703,2.1973071847
O,0,-2.4604420273,-2.2777249347,2.2631737449
C,0,-1.2466586826,0.7953919273,1.9078442708

```
C,0,-0.1958252062,-3.3917629021,2.4692634274
H,0,-1.8611403539,-1.7217004214,-0.9445428592
H,0,-2.3397179524,-0.2063726758,-0.1753988165
H,0,-1.9963116072,0.0912445855,-2.6146839802
H,0,-0.8327918866,1.0607801907,-1.7157452188
H,0,-0.2954386069,-1.6469715107,-3.0594243074
H,0,0.3476728939,-0.0887697906,-3.5613607563
H,0,2.0302189537,-1.4540171942,-2.2447334313
H,0,1.6827123337,0.0980432351,-1.4870822645
H,0,0.4632266613,-2.6051021752,-0.7311928286
H,0,1.7007662759,-1.7445301303,0.1944456715
H,0,1.557577316,0.9662939685,2.0745800677
H,0,0.9452667428,-0.1525972569,3.2689497993
H,0,1.8999215592,-0.7526389483,1.8902310221
H,0,-1.296571042,1.7005339596,1.2880042274
H,0,-2.2421293471,0.3405308639,1.8778348509
H,0,-1.0507384099,1.1185992534,2.9337674857
H,0,0.27283216,-3.6738091056,1.5209744598
H,0,0.5304703695,-2.8312583145,3.06722222554
H,0,-0.5464978006,-4.2791222445,3.0123708111
```


## Aldehyde 59

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-804.686698943$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.248248 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.265091 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.266035 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.200446 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -804.438451 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -804.421608 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -804.420664 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -804.486253 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 166.347 | 60.428 | 138.044 |

C, $0,0.2342922446,-0.5337463178,-0.354846681$
O,0,0.5634670918,-0.8204116937,0.7839183559
H,0,0.9933505209,-0.4186744667,-1.1497359714
C,0,-1.1722730605,-0.3195580029,-0.7681212534
C,0,-1.5144942082,0.0124627306,-2.099649692
O,0,-0.4794852089,0.1237694916,-2.9803232407
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.7559894828,0.4908152017,-4.3334080251$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.5550089009,0.6328562875,-5.040249272$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.3909635749,-0.2645001213,-4.8153296401$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.2955295377,1.4508500334,-4.3483074465$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.8247666613,0.0952925093,-6.2331492449$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.3132022139,1.2404942248,-4.5501502155$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.1346952707,0.3324747193,-6.8916320318$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1111290862,-0.5305053137,-6.7624833468$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,3.0352174591,1.0148966021,-6.4445263342$
$\mathrm{O}, 0,2.1981019684,-0.3225535229,-8.0720805661$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.4351721575,-0.1757809679,-8.8071056869$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.1897230651,-0.4487118694,0.1862490612$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.5244342854,-0.2572908697,-0.1528870082$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.849026751,0.0678767781,-1.471859595$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.8580626327,0.2030828145,-2.4459922583$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.89123183,-0.7035104988,1.1986779083$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.304276626,-0.3598602749,0.5954964323$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.8874672947,0.2190661289,-1.754954845$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1415806018,0.4523743456,-3.4616365273$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.3046582554,-0.9797680323,-10.0867418906$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.6030097828,0.8882449075,-9.0030127593$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2597651475,-0.5291156468,-8.1796045612$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.2256988262,-0.8945216847,-10.6734956975$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.132324973,-2.0385039077,-9.868254982$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4727005994,-0.6133345836,-10.6967039848$

## Carbene catalysis 59b

$\mathrm{E}($ RB+HF-LYP $)=-860.361158002$
Zero-point correction= 0.314608 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy=
0.331063

Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
0.332007

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
0.267889

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
-860.046550
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
-860.030095
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -860.029151
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
-860.093269

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 207.745 | 65.729 | 134.949 |

C, $0,0.2377646191,-0.849795022,2.0027173704$

```
C,0,-0.9976175956,-0.2299570909,1.8128978433
C,0,-2.0771446164,-0.9838114142,1.3455060597
C,0,-1.9295001428,-2.3408258481,1.0695273058
C,0,-0.6852980399,-2.9520472043,1.2642403415
C,0,0.4022583894,-2.2083557647,1.7313508785
N,0,-0.5380661233,-4.3409156984,0.9834066194
N,0,0.7205007829,-4.9373716567,1.1760794013
C,0,0.4860705495,-6.1633425706,0.8216294373
C,0,1.2322691527,-7.4577954685,0.7438029885
C,0,-1.1507042542,-7.7025722262,0.0484838377
C,0,0.2683466009,-8.3169480194,-0.1294999247
C,0,-2.0626986421,-7.7573114377,-1.1872902524
C,0,-2.4993987667,-9.1664196926,-1.528576266
C,0,-1.8860628084,-9.8861632594,-2.5622373791
C,0,-2.2745875964,-11.1949257265,-2.8548076008
C,0,-3.2871039464,-11.8065982082,-2.1149413345
C,0,-3.9103315791,-11.0993841833,-1.0843676557
C,0,-3.519648237,-9.791742172,-0.7967277217
N,0,-0.8219725437,-6.3246963021,0.4303376597
C,0,-1.5290606587,-5.1625214619,0.5252935925
H,0,-1.666507751,-8.1815916058,0.8910958964
H,0,1.3580028642,-2.6964961574,1.8764136422
H,0,1.086123562,-0.2755635549,2.3657374985
H,0,-1.1191441745,0.8283980901,2.0263528361
H,0,-3.0451747415,-0.5138424811,1.1929376642
H,0,-2.7552657799,-2.9410178683,0.7061355655
H,0,2.2277822642,-7.354833857,0.3037678147
H,0,1.3593330829,-7.886026259,1.7462573922
H,0,0.2896586746,-9.3766999933,0.1334951798
H,0,0.5623092355,-8.2316841392,-1.1819554855
H,0,-2.9313806968,-7.1212752536,-0.9814240761
H,0,-1.5328741826,-7.3033599017,-2.0334421414
H,0,-1.1023299368,-9.4136102455,-3.1503728091
H,0,-1.7887516625,-11.7336827903,-3.6642003314
H,0,-3.5932596299,-12.8239867986,-2.3429975673
H,0,-4.7061820466,-11.5640174879,-0.5080899744
H,0,-4.018422625,-9.2438922688,0.000303695
```


## Product 60

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-804.730198009$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.251388 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.266806 |


| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.267750 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.206601 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -804.478810 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -804.463392 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -804.462448 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -804.523597 |



## Transition Structure 61

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1665.03394722$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.564936 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.598678 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.599622 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.494200 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.469011 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.435269 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.434325 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.539747 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 375.676 | 128.705 | 221.880 |

C,0,3.854262186,-1.3680482862,0.1915969533
C,0,4.5152505171,-0.5323821545,-0.7204954974
C,0,5.909847022,-0.6279686701,-0.8228078378
C,0,6.6291893717,-1.5274088575,-0.0333569887
C,0,5.9604443688,-2.348100353,0.8751759091
C,0,4.5703295731,-2.2660959079,0.983158462
C,0,3.7418716306,0.4580150129,-1.5664294957
C,0,3.2538307971,1.6963305078,-0.7771426681
C, $0,4.3883205467,2.5823145993,-0.1797720476$
C, $0,4.660285871,3.7136392453,-1.2141275444$
C, $0,3.345256749,3.7804767666,-1.9204394343$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,2.5978498749,2.6579144073,-1.6782891941$
C, $0,1.3926403001,2.7646485084,-2.2979033041$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,1.5128925047,3.9594852852,-2.9320284814$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,2.7219114215,4.6190589117,-2.6927128355$
C,0,0.5437544125,4.5990493377,-3.7552787964
С,0,-0.3091086602,3.8246070689,-4.5442595941
С, $0,-1.2514946509,4.4590592542,-5.3537741853$
С, $0,-1.3323069304,5.8521274843,-5.3835627777$
C,0,-0.4663144583,6.614938933,-4.5964878343
C,0,0.4747465834,5.9948244741,-3.7775163464
С,0,-0.1464991914,2.2377535417,-1.4117591447
O,0,-0.0297459985,2.8761569193,-0.3230143303
C, $0,-0.1183629773,0.7040015089,-1.3504904868$
C, $0,-0.3152389432,-0.1462142606,-2.4565528752$
С,0,-0.2911394402,-1.5375296384,-2.295009895
C,0,-0.1318692281,-2.0900829052,-1.0204521225
C, $0,0.0059554776,-1.2621256857,0.0910540984$

C, $0,0.0160820292,0.1234117898,-0.0892080623$
O,0,-0.5333983848,0.4634328342,-3.6723596049
C, $0,-0.9535689745,-0.3505334591,-4.7662654116$
C, $0,-1.5601941404,0.5297820951,-5.8152530678$
C,0,-1.2061690722,0.4990704143,-7.1048100245
С,0,-1.8471099238,1.2866514545,-8.1850662793
O,0,-1.5078746368,1.2168533332,-9.3497438688
O,0,-2.8513935232,2.0820556781,-7.744167482
C,0,-3.5315238178,2.8542690105,-8.7619902399
С,0,-4.6756587761,3.5912342794,-8.0919951895
H,0,6.5166402193,-3.0504885867,1.4901736038
H,0,4.0403372604,-2.9079298039,1.6822327575
H, 0, 2.7710431493,-1.3225802228,0.2701762128
H,0,6.4377587841,0.0011770205,-1.5368086847
H,0,4.3672821315,0.7999542145,-2.4009817092
H,0,2.5371777328,1.397250429,-0.0107052724
H,0,4.0324544479,3.0285490755,0.7537469565
H,0,4.9321581266,4.6629004606,-0.7460473353
H,0,5.4624623988,3.4502007806,-1.9148075772
H,0,1.1527742865,6.5728781248,-3.1609542355
H,0,-0.5242181889,7.6996697186,-4.6150960439
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.0622007433,6.3433285939,-6.0213561509$
H,0,-1.9057417579,3.8566934574,-5.9773463457
H,0,-0.2187938489,2.744449141,-4.5281882569
H,0,-0.8709087048,2.5848022688,-2.1768874912
H,0,0.1049412729,0.8117797395,0.7466630934
Н,0,0.0944189558,-1.68761086,1.0873610836
H,0,-0.1286793552,-3.1712407699,-0.9086351766
H,0,-0.3962047206,-2.1999813979,-3.1467395677
Н,0,-0.109229635,-0.9273615619,-5.1687232852
H,0,-1.7170919677,-1.063919988,-4.4209377032
H,0,-2.3624793019,1.1842312262,-5.4830756493
H, $,--0.4031732732,-0.142530205,-7.4583701843$
H,0,-3.8828438519,2.1718123789,-9.5419009931
H,0,-2.8119084158,3.5393279802,-9.2219245763
H,0,-4.309453852,4.2702277672,-7.3149821018
H,0,-5.2190199501,4.183728558,-8.8361111903
H,0,-5.3781315767,2.8889584951,-7.6319401968
H,0,7.7098373433,-1.5886310319,-0.1325456975
H,0,5.2797758931,1.995106104,0.0491506992
Н,0,2.8599146327,-0.0235565838,-2.0048574561

## Intermediate 62

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-1665.05258135$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.567140 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.600568 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.601512 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.498421 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.485441 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.452014 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.451069 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.554161 |


|  | ermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 376.862 | 129.071 | 216.974 |
| C, $0,0.80891029,0.8318467184,-1.5760277086$ <br> $\mathrm{N}, 0,0.5817304695,0.5336481926,-0.2570074956$ <br> C, $0,1.277044318,-0.5850169522,0.0518365758$ <br> N,0,1.8990006936,-0.9119555698,-1.1040843679 <br> $\mathrm{N}, 0,1.6052203076,-0.0279625711,-2.1407404593$ <br> C, $0,-0.2094186719,1.5590019653,0.4566500984$ <br> C, $0,1.5475642979,-1.169850264,1.4313741124$ <br> O,0,2.5543753233,-0.4032425542,1.8925523124 <br> C, $0,2.8733672589,-1.9365214819,-1.3236825633$ <br> C,0,0.2722080122,-1.205088243,2.2977511009 <br> С,0,-0.9149423748,-1.8338868837,1.8825021512 <br> O,0,-0.8552238008,-2.4330757706,0.635829672 <br> C, $0,-2.0624712052,-2.7881963535,-0.030715729$ <br> C, $0,-2.788070701,-1.575961737,-0.5389048546$ <br> C,0,-4.1099466683,-1.3809192972,-0.5122055499 <br> С, $0,-4.6969774536,-0.1416606168,-1.077502192$ <br> O,0,-6.0439151282,-0.1785152928,-1.015883738 <br> O,0,-4.0685538905,0.7961891415,-1.5366392722 <br> C,0,0.6698948779,2.3988526734,1.4158297857 <br> H,0,-0.9773273857,1.0574447746,1.04909988 <br> C,0,-0.8378614683,2.3206386909,-0.7512598552 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8038342919,-2.2413190835,1.2487271454$ <br> C, $0,0.3234926661,-0.6452596114,3.5713500997$ <br> C,0,-2.0409038901,-1.8490848272,2.7099862745 <br> H,0,-2.7042129839,-3.419922244,0.5964664394 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.7294400915,-3.3991848684,-0.8783451417$ <br> H,0,-2.1597615038,-0.8083204028,-0.9869543689 <br> H,0,-4.7990065124,-2.1036797258,-0.0834406978 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{C}, 0,-6.7365274871,0.9823866288,-1.5319564475$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-0.7873989546,-0.6730353466,4.4194667454$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.267387516,-0.1920724968,3.8606862455$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7313132153,-0.2311014135,5.4106208733$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-1.9683904007,-1.2668050385,3.9805949774$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.9690891162,-2.3067584157,2.3867415257$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.846007856,-1.2866526134,4.6217871746$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.8455127834,-2.6461032783,-2.525955975$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.8176181411,-3.6167546775,-2.7604007309$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,4.7992212844,-3.8751162459,-1.800418968$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,4.8143105916,-3.1514687376,-0.6064356946$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.8589518042,-2.1652117033,-0.3579283896$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0794683041,-2.4267494672,-3.2617729528$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8052322904,-4.1729253437,-3.6935921552$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,5.5532951112,-4.6350676845,-1.9864098923$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,5.5829701213,-3.3430960399,0.1370418087$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.8600703094,-1.5689485849,0.5561780332$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.0927328493,2.0807819881,-1.9778532036$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4633046913,1.9598763203,-2.9114808621$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.8120849735,2.8953164175,-2.1118135807$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9503227388,3.3841499361,-0.5307411289$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8313451692,1.9120610962,-0.9598140652$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0995658182,1.7076449801,2.1467109435$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.7852459189,3.1866862998,0.7608596145$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0147594859,3.0727408631,1.946510759$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.0402256225,2.5870213808,0.5662518185$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,4.069217484,3.2966775701,-0.0559060433$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,3.8668159431,4.6105194926,-0.4838541463$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,2.6282545026,5.2210660082,-0.2763035433$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.5976355884,4.5131094992,0.3450917763$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.1943480194,1.5689251446,0.924435501$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,5.0365927353,2.8219107343,-0.1995340169$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.6719225538,5.1603159861,-0.9647408276$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4667852845,6.2500288653,-0.5881591779$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6422136311,5.0032717922,0.5242560574$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-8.2242080966,0.7359791357,-1.3686823068$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-6.4007268464,1.865226896,-0.9784372382$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-6.4533791677,1.1220183044,-2.5802086777$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-8.785876824,1.5957367007,-1.7496016723$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-8.5371695518,-0.1535531793,-1.9246047823$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-8.4844893184,0.5939676632,-0.3149640584$

## Transition structure for proton transfer

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-1664.97649539$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.561609 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.595505 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.596449 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.491992 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.414886 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.380990 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.380046 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.484504 |


|  | rmal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvi |
| Total | 373.685 | 130.166 | 219. |
| C,0,0.4693193553,0.5992151749,2.304703461 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}, 0,0.7026137487,-0.556591719,1.6131984214$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.4861020476,-1.0133470116,1.1075767605$ |  |  |  |
| N,0,-1.4036344257,-0.1017560029,1.5990323571 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}, 0,-0.7875140701,0.922011965,2.3302718881$ |  |  |  |
| C,0,2.13143298,-0.8854588811,1.4580732239 |  |  |  |
| С, $0,-0.6210787675,-2.2374589292,0.3832976583$ |  |  |  |
| O,0,0.5964296433,-3.0594001796,0.3488888466 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-2.8189635684,-0.1027029104,1.5243239807$ |  |  |  |
| C,0,-1.5681468816,-2.4386165083,-0.7516776737 |  |  |  |
| C,0,-2.0499582227,-1.4114501368,-1.5953329514 |  |  |  |
| O,0,-1.5712594554,-0.147692121,-1.3458862527 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-2.0411586336,0.9458462066,-2.1082757438$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-1.2278231145,2.1645874262,-1.793193079$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-0.1096244429,2.1485912213,-1.060322177$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,0.7204830264,3.3265158553,-0.7425702571$ |  |  |  |
| O,0,0.2566522827,4.4782143913,-1.2727263683 |  |  |  |
| O,0,1.7346084908,3.2649961292,-0.0647317212 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,2.6203014073,-0.5847405189,0.0240600679$ |  |  |  |
| C, $0,2.7490549351,0.0193351358,2.5649680335$ |  |  |  |
| H,0,-0.4851879967,-3.1593984495,1.1145325124 |  |  |  |
| C,0,-1.9467243681,-3.7538022961,-1.0623024746 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,-2.9444435692,-1.6957697449,-2.6338876189$ |  |  |  |
| H,0,-3.1055519422,1.1342444129,-1.8951249257 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-1.9609352277,0.7369023714,-3.1877259714 |  |  |  |
| H,0,-1.5911529617,3.0966351888,-2.2201996436 |  |  |  |
| H,0,0.2601865639,1.2197184548,-0.6468379322 |  |  |  |
| C, $0,1$. | 434003,5.664508 | 81022,-0.99066383 |  |

$\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.8113714882,-4.0503873115,-2.1121207079$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.5326602028,-4.5546346159,-0.4565833155$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0871540178,-5.0811763133,-2.3156439558$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.324985102,-3.0133148095,-2.8893059147$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.339447513,-0.9000775268,-3.2552112835$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.0167795705,-3.2208161427,-3.70124748$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.4956468467,1.1249724408,1.5315570593$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.8876625893,1.1425866881,1.4971214598$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-5.6132276477,-0.0511496886,1.4547035098$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.9314510908,-1.268207035,1.4570460925$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.537880458,-1.3028742037,1.4969227949$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.9232239185,2.0438773074,1.5760006219$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.4076756734,2.096881569,1.5032936408$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-6.6989060917,-0.0314611907,1.4232061194$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.4829602804,-2.2039477069,1.4349305654$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.0124602253,-2.2492929747,1.514397153$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,1.7552654104,1.1999264353,2.7796296033$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.7069585807,1.5375262176,3.8182193846$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.00222001,2.0625081789,2.1499392364$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.7483421479,0.3605434013,2.2858974093$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.8422866207,-0.5554035117,3.49236821$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,0.3471321218,6.8319315013,-1.674254051$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.089795823,5.7981379607,0.0944841176$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0531917542,5.5131896816,-1.3585861033$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9066837775,7.7541309272,-1.4836064302$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.2971148547,6.6776843378,-2.7569463746$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.6718714656,6.9635197415,-1.2963194277$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.2535695915,-1.9497015849,1.651632842$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,4.01151514,--1.1248265724,-0.2255583205$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9132739754,-1.0721618995,-0.6552585433$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5867995003,0.4971291399,-0.1563336908$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,5.1301369722,-0.2832453239,-0.2624898484$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,6.4096980896,-0.8038774929,-0.471095526$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,6.5853914306,-2.1767942856,-0.6455958883$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,5.4749693057,-3.0250503396,-0.6150636032$
$\mathrm{C}, 0,4.1982272466,-2.5041720236,-0.4086687913$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,4.996553818,0.7896727971,-0.1389834078$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,7.2666146415,-0.1355094855,-0.5015386994$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,7.5796992568,-2.583814718,-0.810592765$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,5.6037349546,-4.0948634742,-0.7591556528$
$\mathrm{H}, 0,3.3288480197,-3.1580327212,-0.3944046242$

## Intermediate 63

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1665.04806905$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.565672 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.599915 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.600859 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.493413 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.482397 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.448154 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.447210 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.554656 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 376.452 | 131.452 | 226.139 |

C,0,4.690115149,-2.4579844809,-2.1173257153
C,0,5.1023134291,-3.7550618827,-2.4302157785
C,0,4.4045774683,-4.8517536635,-1.9236633426
C,0,3.2911463106,-4.6415532639,-1.106609716
C, $0,2.8804503564,-3.3449681272,-0.7980665722$
C, $0,3.5762696222,-2.2327821465,-1.2975843269$
C,0,3.140086577,-0.8303864306,-0.9358777303
C,0,3.4704398825,-0.4542161288,0.5286126617
C,0,4.979583317,-0.2152992578,0.8180011861
C,0,5.2278803576,1.3093573131,0.6319796017
C,0,3.838684903,1.8665146066,0.7101255195
N,0,2.9114846606,0.858086254,0.8871977456
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.3288843202,3.0309055542,0.5132104815$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,1.9308517155,2.8091091101,0.5344977305$
C, $0,1.1442150432,3.7878127836,-0.1209291682$
C,0,0.208736387,3.4450661788,-1.1053435422
C,0,1.3608974531,5.1366708859,0.1914517909
С, $0,-0.5216266453,4.4454326031,-1.7454063792$
C, $0,0.6358738843,6.1280002707,-0.4646494472$
С,0,-0.3151291781,5.7893356885,-1.4306223371
C,0,1.6350894911,1.4431406734,0.7655763409
С,0,0.4203631802,0.865830549,1.0047787869
O,0,-0.7048521773,1.6816006206,0.958396788
C, $0,0.2127678802,-0.5338021026,1.4151557268$
C,0,0.9449881275,-1.1360171167,2.4514483132
C,0,0.7086794225,-2.4507607332,2.8579101886
С,0,-0.3016963912,-3.1891112602,2.2470573362
C, $0,-1.0732593837,-2.6146627204,1.2303985431$

| C,0,-0.8151526466,-1.3081675279,0.8160400118 |
| :---: |
| H,0,0.8106682546,7.1709788285,-0.2134740437 |
| H,0,-0.8850604296,6.5646746824,-1.9352468647 |
| H,0,2.1020163009,5.3903052178,0.9414423428 |
| H,0,-1.2472371672,4.1701552995,-2.506902019 |
| H,0,5.6725762681,1.5475704851,-0.3404974992 |
| H,0,5.8913784675,1.720316257,1.3988316499 |
| H,0,5.1945875107,-0.5006896223,1.8524382611 |
| H,0,5.2341495919,-1.6080300401,-2.5242642984 |
| H,0,5.9662858543,-3.9065671534,-3.0721738397 |
| H,0,4.7222313017,-5.8622567219,-2.1664538992 |
| H,0,2.737777628,-5.4898164081,-0.711791327 |
| H,0,2.0070287861,-3.1929721597,-0.1674645748 |
| H,0,5.609888389,-0.829871904,0.1707745415 |
| H,0,3.0699180205,-1.2291809203,1.185429088 |
| H,0,0.0692194541,2.4041274143,-1.3722465443 |
| H,0,2.0553592345,-0.726873165,-1.0636245849 |
| H,0,3.6091919385,-0.1000491907,-1.6074338287 |
| H,0,1.6961849833,-0.5396598566,2.9609188901 |
| H,0,1.2921598795,-2.8816588276,3.6665781942 |
| H,0,-0.5116544624,-4.2068748444,2.563372838 |
| H,0,-1.8669259738,-3.1977504007,0.777372888 |
| O,0,-1.501187698,-0.6692952083,-0.2007433651 |
| C,0,-2.5312049023,-1.3570814227,-0.9199779741 |
| C, $0,-3.841298428,-1.3486849807,-0.1879065128$ |
| H,0,-2.6151297029,-0.8248108002,-1.8714172812 |
| H,0,-2.203486043,-2.3820322375,-1.1409947263 |
| C,0,-4.9946007412,-0.9514963231,-0.7351172683 |
| H,0,-3.8473603012,-1.6928222643,0.844475214 |
| C,0,-6.259007961,-0.9991850184,0.0421657266 |
| H,0,-5.0555874514,-0.5814590033,-1.7554536546 |
| O,0,-6.3696655174,-1.4011177534,1.1837227042 |
| O,0,-7.2888896132,-0.5293813759,-0.6962258673 |
| C,0,-8.5784103944,-0.5165632167,-0.0414564116 |
| C,0,-9.5863221866,0.0414784018,-1.0282384533 |
| H,0,-8.8251098322,-1.5373154007,0.2683794424 |
| H,0,-8.5056441901,0.0940487689,0.8642896581 |
| H,, ,-10.5797990968, $0.0690320218,-0.5676582132$ |
| H,0,-9.3177372484,1.0592693383,-1.3287202029 |
| H,0,-9.6406677594,-0.5808753085,-1.927254658 |
| H,0,-1.3747372788, $1.2116345645,0.4296277758$ |

## Transition Structure 64

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1665.04089390$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.566361 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.598825 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.599769 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.500249 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.474533 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.442069 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.441124 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.540645 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol-Kelvin}$ |
| Total | 375.769 | 127.769 | 209.458 |
| C, $0,-1$ | 6333,2.109 | 8,-0.4798022177 |  |
| C, $0,-0$ | 34575,1.795 | 54431,0.6252390 |  |
| C, $0,-0$ | 490217,2.495 | 435905,1.822851 |  |
| C, $0,-1$ | 212623,3.424 | 20697,1.9378052 |  |
| C, $0,-2$ | 753032,3.684 | 035613,0.843661 |  |
| C, $0,-2$ | 0952,3.0358 | 8106,-0.370475 |  |
| C,0,0. | 10707,0.8383 | 87227,0.45977089 |  |
| O,0,1. | 25139,1.0577 | 04622,1.350281283 |  |
| O,0,-1 | 65731,1.4558 | 77461,-1.672979 |  |
| C, $0,0.0$ | 62466,1.4943 | 30416,-2.264638 |  |
| C, $0,1.2$ | 06697,1.5025 | 02465,-1.3250310 |  |
| C, $0,2$. | 86609,0.8699 | 46291,-1.7890841 |  |
| C,0,3. | 7349,1.1298 | 3136,-1.1711487 |  |
| O, $0,3$. | 54697,1.6936 | $68471,-0.0674603$ |  |
| C, $0,0.3$ | 43708,-0.562 | 389797,0.22307168 |  |
| N,0,1.3 | 06723,-1.444 | 593289,0.148501 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 78744,-2.608 | 89588,-0.4081468 |  |
| N,0,-0 | 889146,-2.565 | 851754,-0.6942192 | 2538 |
| N,0,-0 | 579528,-1.2856 | 978788,-0.2823679 | 9143 |
| C, $0,2$. | 26155,-1.582 | 450531,0.7006477 | 15 |
| C,0,3.2 | 84436,-2.789 | 146639,-0.133086 | 148 |
| C, $0,1$. | 28632,-3.636 | 43286,-0.4865736 |  |
| C,0,2. | 00668,-1.822 | 397433,2.2262183 |  |
| C,0,4. | 835941,-1.871 | 746075,2.8192784 |  |
| C, $0,4$. | 9637,-3.0521 | 49525,3.37045792 |  |
| C,0,5.8 | 65002,-3.092 | 853746,3.9075499 |  |
| C, $0,6$. | 80647,-1.948 | 783263,3.8963880 |  |
| C,0,6. | 00221,-0.764 | 594183,3.3479582 |  |

C, $0,4.9036606261,-0.7248947443,2.8152323029$
C, $0,-2.1492693545,-1.0407391421,-0.1868753752$
C,0,-2.7047749107,-0.5779691432,1.0077895934
С, $0,-4.0845863911,-0.3922048687,1.0936819065$
C,0,-4.9048406341,-0.6909634869,0.0056758534
C, $0,-4.3402241363,-1.1751160551,-1.1775369299$
С,0,-2.9626583862,-1.348553204,-1.2802275811
O,0,4.7395233365,0.6583734799,-1.8777456393
C, $0,6.0339588555,0.8596580093,-1.2849771152$
C, $0,7.0601337871,0.2389109742,-2.2167073775$
H,0,-4.973658146,-1.4115975881,-2.0278246371
H,0,-5.9796791293,-0.550951588,0.0788873175
H,0,-2.5095648443,-1.7179661702,-2.1932021239
H,0,-4.515331486,-0.0231239772,2.0198846883
H,0,1.8078005972,-4.4361856499,0.2418561168
H,0,2.0516000898,-4.1032086376,-1.4733740163
H,0,3.7037257492,-2.4115705079,-1.0520294757
H,0,3.9878759588,-3.9472242042,3.3886187288
H,0,6.2732197468,-4.0178010049,4.3353822753
H,0,7.6954216226,-1.9760805969,4.3143309423
H,0,6.8041184589,0.133519683,3.3399403769
H,0,4.5246369017,0.2017981547,2.3905012348
H,0,3.9996707938,-3.3586670463,0.4137504041
H,0,3.301949812,-0.6810412733,0.499339504
H,0,1.4094359114,2.4542189075,-0.8167903402
H,0,2.3290230765, 1.4740543292,0.8695231083
H,0,0.0945082912,0.6139233889,-2.9124019862
H,0,0.1142948927,2.3871033983,-2.9043505541
H,0,-2.0652659516,-0.3656250544,1.8570275003
H,0,2.1318455479,-0.9974867984,2.6673178155
H,0,2.1611570502,-2.7538246402,2.4456096111
H,0,2.3908094479,0.2006491979,-2.6430239144
H,0,-0.1620910893,2.296100932,2.6574615946
H,0,-2.0150980265,3.9466311568,2.8778891119
H,0,-3.4975894879,4.4070690043,0.9295768569
H,0,-3.0829428856,3.2367095129,-1.243649277
H,0,6.2082068261,1.9325578416,-1.149477268
H,0,6.0584873606,0.3982709721,-0.2911087795
H,0,8.0677800634,0.3699685859,-1.8066747088
H,0,6.8761813063,-0.8334551796,-2.3419079248
H,0,7.0252905862,0.7092791252,-3.2048233845

## Intermediate 65

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-1665.06708800$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.567927 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.600578 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.601522 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.500742 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.499161 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.466510 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.465566 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.566346 |


| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 376.869 | 128.022 |

O,0,-3.3498224242,-2.8734472636,-1.0696739335 C, $0,-3.8130082181,-3.7778636602,-0.0436723357$ C, $0,-4.9905395963,-4.5469596255,-0.6132526478$ C, $0,-2.8866656243,1.5802719382,1.0700536654$
C, $0,-4.0174624467,0.9455261001,1.5854159057$
C,0,-5.2588121383,1.5741382233,1.4877693378
C,0,-5.3607768088,2.8286925879,0.8864574601
C,0,-4.2180632843,3.4529722793,0.3807135749
C, $0,-2.9714708459,2.8371707156,0.4718039371$
O,0,-1.7361018063,-2.1573352929,0.3326699344
H,0,1.2065562757,-0.3965020682,4.0003251529
H,0,0.0434406074,-1.726021535,3.9193591374
H,0,1.0106167107,-2.6359425194,1.9173822346
H,0,2.5021741665,-1.9779429494,2.6163304241
H,0,1.6076716075,-1.261469237,0.0974486812
H,0,0.1311874271,-1.2571094188,-1.2708426986
H,0,-2.6241598491,-0.2755017518,-1.8351722498
H,0,-0.5624517415,0.384315293,-3.7340588886
H,0,0.0285680865,-1.3049716875,-3.7826218662
H,0,-2.1552171332,-1.6633531401,-2.8230802197
H,0,0.3458943021,3.2160616916,-0.067373006
H,0,2.405985971,4.4912405032,-0.5835745869
H,0,3.9916336312,3.6249630509,-2.3089799499
H,0,3.4514397982, 1.5112517906,-3.5259290134
H,0,-2.9898232992,-4.4404978992,0.2436116273
H,0,-4.0921428503,-3.1967866039,0.8417341129
H,0,-3.9160155888,-0.0263339258,2.055146655
H,0,-6.1421537061,1.0801039286,1.8824922971
H,0,-6.3273931106,3.3193315569,0.8104729009
H,0,-4.2938440085,4.4265677721,-0.0946804657
H,0,-2.0918281999,3.2939958793,0.0421880778
H,0,-5.3769079331,-5.24658716,0.1361207033
H,0,-5.7987386101,-3.8667871922,-0.9002502901
H,0,-4.6924409007,-5.1188448511,-1.4978457146
H,0,2.7905855744,0.7288060901,2.1088692727
H,0,2.6286953894,1.0397825253,0.3832846686
H,0,3.7291849118,-0.7485893968,-1.2357596456
H,0,5.8583543457,-1.9315481238,-1.6511111551
H,0,7.4682717287,-2.3057286119,0.2087028674
H,0,6.9226794154,-1.4821783221,2.4924084572
H,0,4.7861732212,-0.3052572557,2.9086564195

## Transition Structure 66

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1665.06443380$

| Zero-point correction= | 0.566939 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= $=$ | 0.599402 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.600347 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.498535 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -1664.497495 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -1664.465031 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -1664.464087 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -1664.565899 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 376.131 | 126.800 | 214.280 |

C,0,4.8340591958,1.087264963,-2.0984725333
C,0,4.1015975585,0.445152119,-1.0909156517
C,0,4.713536241,0.2441793227,0.1551263934
C,0,6.0167355974,0.6836041586,0.3878027472
C, $0,6.7341556933,1.3272940498,-0.6229916271$
C,0,6.1400441232,1.5252149672,-1.869681604
С,0,2.6765097323,-0.0098474864,-1.3308813283
C, $0,1.6346238366,0.9200437312,-0.6637285444$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.2569299882,0.4560955142,-0.9422476259$
C, $0,-0.3386540709,1.1655405361,-1.9516048525$
C,0,0.5431296117,2.2695854342,-2.435828963
C,0,1.5724371841,2.3491798652,-1.2722065857
N,0,-1.5298875609,0.7205371022,-2.2107971092
$\mathrm{N}, 0,-1.6837640274,-0.3101285244,-1.2830735837$
C, $0,-0.6059287279,-0.4827924891,-0.4766854237$
С,0,-0.5922640808,-1.2702912434,1.2229464331
C,0,0.7719049104,-1.9756941618,1.2530170293
C,0,1.6829693155,-1.7301050865,2.2927630171
O,0,1.4554007216,-0.7957188395,3.2682137569
C,0,0.0843510847,-0.4177687709,3.4424302113
C,0,-0.544630369,0.0327606249,2.1223788924
С,0,1.0886137117,-2.9739298634,0.3244256293
C,0,2.2897044009,-3.6773905428,0.3842686154
C,0,3.1992096676,-3.3953604881,1.4103724231
C,0,2.8960163517,-2.4305240959,2.3661012993
O,0,-1.6048688556,-2.0131493721,1.3999808154
C,0,-1.9500165198,0.597449751,2.3358945064
C, $0,-2.2359321065,1.8599263306,1.5529246487$

| $\mathrm{O}, 0,-3.4613676158,2.3390381675,1.8515458792$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-3.8684207284,3.5363843388,1.1525836061$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-5.2727585823,3.8777605109,1.614525463$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.9257567473,-1.027032493,-1.3189135898$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.0685808979,-0.3472158814,-1.7531253629$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-5.2792651755,-1.0306915111,-1.8394197582$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-5.3514482685,-2.3812834421,-1.492062362$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-4.2041279156,-3.0452156064,-1.0573477227$ |
| $\mathrm{C}, 0,-2.98154212,-2.3788402085,-0.9771316464$ |
| $\mathrm{O}, 0,-1.4927810891,2.4026820142,0.754846711$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.0138653791,2.0056673211,-3.3909238191$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.0063924863,3.2023975435,-2.582305154$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.1930139281,3.0383287262,-0.512450234$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5574622837,2.692811241,-1.5933575751$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.8028526742,0.9468239155,0.4137399136$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1036596193,0.8072889659,1.7027714561$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.6706499739,-0.182634445,2.0507667518$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.4796272904,-1.2648295273,3.8571580156$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1039440526,0.3931892416,4.1763749191$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1557316009,0.8182598201,3.3918773744$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.3706814536,-3.1868681859,-0.4644174933$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5148041128,-4.441611136,-0.3544335281$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1389436969,-3.9376815725,1.4737379386$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,3.5706783753,-2.2128896997,3.1890817857$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.1559506233,4.3372417479,1.3770956949$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.8244535795,3.348228457,0.0747744914$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-3.9963673322,0.6987241167,-2.0260958037$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-6.1670661365,-0.5032337695,-2.1771306307$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-6.2971978558,-2.9120594178,-1.559106127$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-4.2520066574,-4.0939422323,-0.7789472997$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-2.1016557342,-2.8827295813,-0.602014077$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.6225054841,4.7827251144,1.1055908895$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.9670456724,3.0627329904,1.3868880137$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,-5.296582109,4.0581998284,2.6940087679$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4741276268,-0.0507275328,-2.4087130769$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.5297785326,-1.0215849953,-0.938687999$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,4.1705074122,-0.2748090965,0.9412615466$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,6.4752928711,0.5163466452,1.3589151153$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,7.7508596482,1.6655318298,-0.4418199371$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,6.6924187274,2.0162984116,-2.6666074083$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,4.3809046749,1.2363358811,-3.0766917145$ |
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