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ABSTRACT<br>Mechanistic Investigation into the Sommelet-Hauser Rearrangement of an Allyl Ammonium Ylide Through Determination of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIEs. (August 2010)<br>Sean Christopher Collins, B.S., Schreiner University<br>Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Daniel A. Singleton

The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is a pericyclic reaction of great synthetic utility to organic chemists. Within the scope of this reaction exist some cases in which the product corresponding to a $[1,2]$ rearrangement is formed, despite the fact this is a forbidden process. Generally this is explained by a radical dissociation-recombination pathway; however, studies into the failure of transition state theory and the necessity to incorporate dynamic effects into mechanistic theory lead us to believe such products may arise from these phenomena. In particular, the possibility that many of these products result from an "unsymmetrical bifurcating surface" in the potential energy landscape is intriguing. To investigate this possibility, the Sommelet-Hauser rearrangement of N -allyl- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylglycine methyl ester was explored. The combined use of experimental and theoretically predicted kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) has been previously shown to deliver great mechanistic insight into reactions. The combination of these techniques, however, has found little employ in studying [2,3] rearrangements. This combination was used to study this reaction, using the Singleton method for determining small heavy-atom isotope effects.

Resulting experimental KIEs suggest the reaction proceeds by an asynchronous, concerted, early transition state, and is relatively exothermic. This agrees with previous studies and Hammond's postulate. Predicted theoretical KIEs are in good agreement with experimental KIEs, and the associated transition structure confirms the results suggested by experiment. Interestingly, as calculations proceed from gas phase to solvent models, the activation barrier of the reaction increases, while its exothermicity decreases. The energy difference determined between the lowest and second lowest energy transition structures decreases to $0.81 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the PCM model, so we cannot exclude the contribution of this transition structure to the reaction. However, qualitative results from the associated KIEs and energetics are consistent with the lowest energy transition structure. This reaction does not seem to afford the [1,2] product, and most likely dynamic effects are insignificant in determining product distribution. However, the study has validated, with respect to this body of reactions, both the use of the Singleton method for KIE determination and the combination of these experimental and theoretical techniques.

To the glory of God, the Author of knowledge.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## General Overview

Understanding how reactions operate can easily be considered the fundamental objective of physical organic chemistry. Chemists pursue this goal various ways, but the essential focus lies in understanding reaction mechanisms. Within organic chemistry, considerable effort has been directed toward understanding why reactions often give a mixture of products. Chemical reactions are understood to generate multiple products in various ways, and understanding the distribution of products in a reaction can offer clear insight into the mechanism of their formation. Often in reactions, the primary product is formed through a specific reaction mechanism, which then can undergo potential side reactions or equilibration, yielding different products. However, some reactions have been shown to operate under multiple pathways, giving multiple products. This generally occurs through competition amongst several pathways whose energy barriers are relatively close in energy, leading to a kinetic competition between these pathways.

This thesis follows the style and format of The Journal of the American Chemical Society.

Such competition is generally understood throughout organic chemistry within the framework of transition state theory (TST). TST operates by considering the potential energy surface (PES) of a reaction, in which changes in reactants increase or decrease a system's potential energy, creating a theoretical landscape connecting reactants and products. As a reaction proceeds along a given pathway, it eventually reaches the highest point, or transition state, along the potential energy path taken. TST states that the rate by which products form will be governed by the relative heights of the energy barriers associated with the transition states in each pathway involved. This transition state, including associated enthalpy and entropy, is derived from the PES of the reaction. TST uses this PES to calculate the rate of a reaction. TST also assumes that the intramolecular vibrational energy redistribution (IVR) of a molecule will occur very rapidly in comparison with motion along the reaction pathway. Therefore, TST assigns the relative rates of reactions to the differing heights of the energy barriers involved, rather than atomic motion. Thus, the distribution of products arises from kinetic competition between the various pathways, with the fastest pathway giving the predominant product. However, there have been cases documented in which TST fails to account for the experimental results obtained from a reaction. ${ }^{1}$

## Dynamic Effects

In order to properly understand the reaction in such cases, it is necessary to employ alternative theory, to which end dynamic effects, that is, the physical vectors associated with atomic motion and momenta, must be taken into consideration. Investigation into the failure of transition state theory has lead to several primary
dynamic effects which result in discrepancies between experiment and TST's predictions. Some of these effects, as detailed by Carpenter, occur when the assumptions of TST regarding the rate of IVR are invalid, and relatively slow IVR allows products to form before the redistribution is complete. ${ }^{2}$

Of particular interest to this study are other dynamic effects which cause a single transition state to give rise to multiple products. This phenomenon has been referred to as a bifurcating energy surface (Figure 1). In such cases, a reactant following the minimum energy path (MEP) can enter a flat region of the PES after passing through an initial transition state, and can then proceed to form two different products without encountering an additional energy barrier. This process occurs on a symmetrical PES, and such cases are generally associated with symmetry breaking in a reaction, giving indistinguishable products (Figure 1a). However, a far less understood process occurs with an "unsymmetrical bifurcating surface" (Figure 1b), possibly generating two nonequivalent products. In this case, similarly to a bifurcating energy surface, the reaction coordinate encounters an adjacent saddle point subsequent to the transition state without passing through an intermediate; however, the MEPs in such surfaces do not bifurcate. Instead, some trajectories can stray from the expected MEP and produce an alternative product. ${ }^{3}$


Figure 1. a) Symmetrical PES which results in a bifurcating MEP, yielding two products from the same transition state. b) Unsymmetrical PES, leading to one product or two nonequivalent products. ${ }^{3}$

In order to explore the mechanism of the reaction investigated herein and determine if such dynamic effects contributed significantly, it was necessary to first gain an idea of the nature of the reaction at the transition state. To accomplish this, measurement of experimental kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) and calculation of predicted theoretical KIEs for the reaction system were employed.

## Kinetic Isotope Effects

KIEs arise from the difference in reaction rate associated with isotopic substitution at a rate-limiting position of a molecule. A simplistic model of this effect is that substitution anywhere in a molecule by an isotopomer produces differences in the frequencies of vibrational modes associated with that atom. This substitution causes little difference in the potential energy of the system, i.e., the relative minima and maxima of the PES remain constant. However, changes in the vibrational modes of a molecule
affect the shape of the potential energy well associated with any point on the PES. These changes are dominated by the vibrational modes undergoing the most change during a reaction. Considering, for instance, a bonding change at the rate-limiting step during a reaction, the reaction coordinate can be defined as the stretching vibration of the bond(s) being broken or formed. At ambient temperature, the quantized energies of the vibrational modes for bond stretches are dominated by $n=0$, referred to as the zeropoint energy (ZPE, eq. 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}=(n+1 / 2) h v \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stretching vibrations are modeled by the classic equation for the stretching of a spring with a mass attached at both ends, and the frequency is therefore directly proportional to the square root of the force constant $k$ for the bond, and inversely proportional to the square root of the reduced mass $m_{r}$. This reduced mass is greatly affected when D replaces H in a bond between this light atom and a heavy atom like C . Because the heavier mass of D reduces the stretching frequency of a bond, the ZPE of the bond upon substitution with D is also reduced (eq. 2). The magnitude of the resulting KIE will be dependent upon the relative shapes of the potential energy wells in the starting material and transition state. As bonds are formed or broken at the transition state, the potential energy wells of the associated stretching vibrations in the transition state are generally wider than those of the starting material. This has a tendency to lower the gap between the ZPE of the lighter isotope and the heavier isotope at the transition state as the change in the degree of bonding causes the associated $k$ to approach 0 . The result is a lower activation energy for the lighter isotope when compared to the heavier
isotope. These differences in activation energy between competing isotopes produce differing rates of reaction arising from the differences in associated ZPEs (Figure 2). ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=1 / 2 \pi\left(k / m_{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \text { where } m_{r}=\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) /\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The measurement of KIEs has been previously used to investigate the transition states of reactions. The predominant methods for these measurements involve measurement of the rate of reaction for a reactant specifically labeled with an isotope in question, and comparison of that rate against the rate of reaction for unlabeled material. However, this results in a severe limitation in the use of KIEs to study reaction mechanisms, necessitating the selection of reaction systems and conditions conducive to isotopic labeling. Often labeled material is very expensive, and can be cost-prohibitive, particularly because generally only one atom may be studied at a time. In addition, most labeling studies require the use of radioactive isotopes.


Figure 2. An illustration of the primary isotope effect between protium and deuterium.

Singleton has instead shown that using methodology involving nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy can permit the study of KIEs at isotopic natural abundance, which has found employ in permitting the simultaneous investigation of numerous positions within a molecule. For this reason, all KIE measurements in this thesis have been performed using the Singleton method. As a reaction proceeds, the unreacted starting material becomes fractionatively enriched in the slower reacting (minor) isotopic component. The proportion $\left(R / R_{0}\right)$ of the minor isotopic component in residual starting material at a given conversion can be determined by comparison to unreacted starting material from the same synthetic lot. Equation 3 relates this proportion to the fractional conversion $(F)$ of the reactants and the KIE. As a reaction proceeds toward completion, $F$ approaches 1 and $R / R_{0} \infty$, greatly enhancing the KIE observed from $R / R_{0}$. KIEs can be calculated from these measurements (eq. 4), with uncertainty arising primarily from the measurement of $\Delta R / R_{0}\left(\Delta \mathrm{KIE}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ and $\Delta F\left(\Delta \mathrm{KIE}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ (eq. 5 and 6, respectively) as equation 7 . In cases where KIEs are large or measurement of the isotopic proportion is very precise, $\triangle \mathrm{KIE}_{\mathrm{F}}$ dominates and therefore high conversion does little to improve accuracy. However, in cases using NMR integration, precision in measuring $R / R_{0}$ is relatively low, $\Delta \mathrm{KIE}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is negligible, and uncertainty is dominated by $\Delta \mathrm{KIE}_{\mathrm{R}}$, which decreases as a reaction approaches completion (Equations 3-7 are taken from: Thomas, A. A.; Singleton, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9357-9358, its references, and supporting information).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R / R_{0}\right)=(1-F)^{(1 / K I E)-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
K I E_{\text {calcd }}=\frac{\ln (1-F)}{\ln \left[(1-F) R / R_{0}\right]}  \tag{4}\\
\Delta K I E_{F}=\frac{\partial K I E}{\partial F} \Delta F=\frac{-\ln \left(R / R_{0}\right)}{(1-F) \ln ^{2}\left[(1-F) R / R_{0}\right]} \Delta F  \tag{5}\\
\Delta K I E_{R}=\frac{\partial K I E}{\partial\left(R / R_{0}\right)} \Delta\left(R / R_{0}\right)=\frac{-\ln (1-F)}{\left(R / R_{0}\right) \ln ^{2}\left[(1-F) R / R_{0}\right]} \Delta\left(R / R_{0}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\Delta K I E=K I E \cdot\left(\left(K I E_{R} / K I E\right)^{2}+\left(K I E_{F} / K I E\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Singleton has demonstrated this principle through the measurement of starting material KIEs. Using careful acquisition and integration in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ quantities can be measured in both the sample (a reaction taken to $80-99 \%$ conversion) and standard by comparing peak integrations to an internal standard; this is a position in the molecule expected to remain constant in isotopic composition. Differences in isotopic composition can be used to calculate the associated KIEs (eq. 4). ${ }^{5}$ For this thesis, due to the nature of the reaction involved, product KIEs, in which product obtained from a low conversion reaction is compared with that of a reaction driven to completion, were used rather than the starting material KIEs just described, though through an analogous method. ${ }^{6}$ Subsequently, theoretical calculations were used to model the reaction transition state, and the calculated frequencies of the normal vibrational modes associated with these transition states used to predict KIEs. Comparison of predicted KIEs to those obtained experimentally, as well as the use of potential energy surfaces and dynamic trajectories, has in the past allowed for detailed investigation of reaction mechanisms, and has been demonstrated to be a versatile tool for the exploration of organic reactions. ${ }^{7}$

## CHAPTER II

## [2,3]-SIGMATROPIC REARRANGEMENTS

The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is a reaction class that has found great synthetic utility within the toolbox of organic chemistry. These pericyclic reactions have been extensively employed, and a fair amount of mechanistic investigation directed toward them. ${ }^{8}$ Some heteroatomic [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements have produced the Stevens rearranged [1,2] product in addition to the predicted [2,3] rearrangement. ${ }^{9}$ As the $[1,2]$ rearrangement is a forbidden process according to the conservation of orbital symmetry as outlined by Woodward and Hoffman, ${ }^{10}$ the formation of [1,2] rearranged products is generally explained via a dissociation-recombination stepwise mechanism. However, the generation of the [1,2] product from an expected [2,3] rearrangement provides a striking case in which dynamic effects in atomic motion may play a critical role in product determination. Despite the intrigue of these reactions, and the combined experimental and theoretical investigation employed in attempts to elucidate the reaction mechanism, ${ }^{11}$ there have been, so far as we are aware, no attempts to directly investigate these transition states using heavy-atom KIEs, with the exception of an associated [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement in the ene reaction of selenium dioxide with 2-methyl-2butene. ${ }^{12}$ To this end, this thesis addresses several primary objectives. First, to investigate the potential of an example of the Sommelet-Hauser rearrangement as a subject in the aforementioned discrepancy, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ KIE measurements and subsequent
theoretical calculations were used to explore the reaction's transition state. Subsequently, the energetics of the rearrangement from the deprotonated starting material were analyzed by calculational methodology to further investigate the rearrangement. Finally, the efficacy of the Singleton method was evaluated against the results obtained from the experiments herein described, as justification for the application of such physical organic techniques to future systems within the body of [2,3]-sigamatropic rearrangements.

## [2,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement of $N$-alkyl ammonium salt 1

Coldham et al. have demonstrated the $[2,3]$ rearrangement of $N$-allyl $\alpha$ aminoesters. ${ }^{13,14}$ This rearrangement of a quaternary ammonium salt was used to generate experimental intermolecular KIEs; as mentioned previously, heavy-atom intermolecular KIEs have proven effective tools in generating information about the transition state of a reaction at the rate-limiting step. The particular reaction studied was selected for several reasons. Although apparent that this rearrangement would yield identical products via either the [1,2] or [2,3] rearrangement, it was noted that dynamic methods, that is, the analysis of atomic motion along the trajectory followed by the reactants over the PES, could be used to investigate the transition state for this reaction, should it appear conducive to the generation of a [1,2] rearrangement in addition to the expected $[2,3]$ rearrangement. Furthermore, the facile nature of this reaction, and the significance of the Sommelet-Hauser rearrangement of ammonium ylides as an original instance of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, ${ }^{15,16}$ brought the reaction to light as an introductory system in our investigations. Also, this system appeared to be an excellent
opportunity to validate whether or not the Singleton method would be effectively applicable to these reactions, from the standpoint of whether theoretical KIEs accurately predict experimental data. Finally, data obtained from this reaction will hopefully provide a basis for future investigation into dynamic effects in [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements.

## Background and Significance

Since their inception in the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century, heteroatomic [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, such as the Sommelet-Hauser, ${ }^{15,16}$ Wittig, ${ }^{17}$ aza-Wittig, ${ }^{18}$ and thioWittig, ${ }^{19}$ as well as rearrangements of selenium, ${ }^{20}$ have found considerable employ within the toolbox of modern organic chemistry. These reactions continue to serve as objects of study from the perspective of general methodology, ${ }^{21}$ especially with regards to asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{22}$ Continued development of the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic rearrangement has led to two classes of rearrangements: those involving oxides or onium ylides, such as the Mislow-Evans ${ }^{23}$ or Sommelet-Hauser rearrangements, and those such as the Wittig rearrangement which involve anionic species. As the atom X (Scheme 1) in the rearrangement must be able to function as a leaving group, it is generally an electronegative atom, hence the widespread development of heteroatomic rearrangements. In the most facile $[2,3]$ reactions, atom Y is also stabilized, as with onium ylides, or through the addition of an electron withdrawing group. In addition, as sigmatropic rearrangements such as the $[2,3]$ rearrangement remain one of the primary methods of carbon-carbon bond formation, direct application of [2,3] rearrangements has also found employ within the context of projects such as natural product total synthesis,
in order to affect addition to existing carbon skeletons, as well as generate functionalities in locations that would be otherwise difficult or impossible to produce. ${ }^{24}$

## Scheme 1



Because of the utility of [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, these reactions have undergone considerable mechanistic study in terms of the nature of the rearrangement and its transition structure, as well as to the influencing factors toward stereochemical and regiochemical control. The transition states involved in these rearrangements, while similar, show unique properties depending on the heteroatom involved. In the late 1960's and early 1970's, groups such as Sharpless, Baldwin, and Rautenstrauch ${ }^{25}$ worked on investigating and classifying various sigmatropic rearrangements and their associated mechanisms.

Subsequent expansion of computational chemistry would later allow in-depth analysis of transition states and mechanisms. Research into reaction mechanisms of [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements follows along the lines of the general divide between the two major classes of the rearrangement. Among the oxide and ylide compounds which undergo [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, much of the focus has been on nitrogen and sulfur containing compounds. Following research by Raustenstrauch into the mechanism of ammonium ylide rearrangements, ${ }^{25 d}$ Jursic investigated the
rearrangements of ammonium and sulfonium ylides using $a b$ initio calculations. This study concluded that both rearrangements would occur through a concerted pathway, rather than a dissociation mechanism into an ion pair. The ammonium rearrangement is expected to proceed through an early transition state according to Hammond's postulate, with a relatively low energy barrier. The sulfonium system could proceed through either an exo or an endo configuration, with the exo configuration predicted to be slightly lower in energy. ${ }^{26}$ In 1996, Heard and Yates investigated the concerted Sommelet-Hauser mechanism versus the radical dissociation-recombination mechanism of N -methyl-3propenylammonium methylide, noting that both pathways were relatively close in energy, and that influences from substiuents, solvent effects, various basis sets and electron correlation were unable to predict rules by which each mechanism would be preferred. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ Work by Wu and Houk in 1991 investigated the transition states of sulfur ylides, noting that the preference for exo and endo (Scheme 2 , respectively 2 and 3 ) configurations within the transition state varied depending upon the nature of the substituents involved. The transition states in all cases appear to be concerted and asynchronous, demonstrating relatively early transition states, in agreement with predicted exothermicity and activation energies. Stabilization advances the transition state along the reaction pathway, with a tendency to orient in such a way that the forming and breaking bonds are partially eclipsed, promoting orbital overlap, particularly in stabilized systems. ${ }^{8 c}$ In 2003, a study by Okada et al. investigated the rearrangement of a cyclic sulfonium salt, substituted in the ring with either a sulfur or sulfonium moiety. The inclusion of the sulfonium yielded the expected $[2,3]$
rearrangement, while inclusion of the donating sulfur atom decreased the electrophilicity of the alkyl group, converting the mechanism into a [1,4]-sigmatropic shift. ${ }^{27}$ An interesting example of in situ generation of ammonium ylides was accomplished by Blid et al. in 2007 by the complexation of a Lewis acid to a tertiary amine; the subsequent [2,3] rearrangment of these compounds was investigated by DFT calculations and spectroscopic means, with the high diasterioselectivity being explained by exo complexation of the Lewis acid at the transition state. ${ }^{9 c}$

## Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{8 c}$



2


3

In 1995, the Mislow-Evans rearrangement of allylic sulfoxides was investigated by Jones-Hertzog and Jorgensen. It was determined that the transition state appeared to resemble the sulfenate product, as the rate of the forward reaction was slowed by polar solvent, while the reverse reaction remained unaffected. Calculations revealed that the dipole moment of the system as the reaction approaches the transition state highly resembles the sulfenate, with the exception of the sulfoxide oxygen, which remains more reactant-like in its configuration. The resulting substantial decrease in hydrogen bonding explains the apparent solvent effects. Regioselectivity in the reaction was analyzed as a
product of four possible transition states originating from two diasteriomeric configurations for the sulfur atom. However, in both cases the configuration leading to the E-product is favored, though this leads to the exo product from one epimer and the endo from the other. ${ }^{28,8 \mathrm{~d}}$ In 2006, a study relating to the apparent discrepancy between experimental and calculational studies of the Mislow-Evans rearrangement, and the question of a concerted versus a radical dissociation mechanism, was conducted by Freeman et al., in which alkyl-3-propenyl sulfoxides were studied. The rearrangements of these compounds were confirmed to be concerted, with small differences between the barriers for exo and endo transition states. Barriers for these allylic sulfoxide rearrangements were also smaller than those of the corresponding non-allylic aryl sulfoxide rearrangements. ${ }^{29}$ Further studies in 2000 investigated the Mislow-Evans rearrangement as an entry to allylic alchohols, using DFT calculations to compute energy barriers and the nucleus-independent chemical shifts (NICSs) for the transition states of various rearrangements, determining that there was strong aromatic character at the transition states. ${ }^{30}$

## Scheme $3^{12}$



Also in 2000, a study performed by Singleton and Hang addressed the ene reaction of selenium dioxide with 2-methyl-2-butene, using experimental and calculated KIEs to evaluate between a concerted and stepwise mechanism (Scheme 3). Using DFT methods, the study ruled out the involvement of selenium esters as the oxidant because of higher activation barriers, and reversible electrophilic attack by $\mathrm{HSeO}_{2}{ }^{+}$because the reaction showed no dependence on basicity. Calculations predicted the concerted mechanism was dominant, but the KIEs suggested the possibility of a mixture of concerted and stepwise mechanisms, with the stepwise giving the minor products observed experimentally. ${ }^{12}$ A related study in 2003 addressed the same reaction from the perspective of overall stereochemical control. The anti-endo or syn-endo were determined to be the preferred configurations for addition, with the anti-endo preferred by $0.76 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The pseudo-equatorial position of the methyl group of the allyl moiety within the transition state is preferred over the axial position by $2.96 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, accounting for the retention in stereochemistry of the double bond. ${ }^{31}$ Work by Bayse in 2009 investigated the rearrangements of aryl allyl selenoxides and selenimides via DFT calculations, modeling the transition states and comparing the results to experimental data by Reich, as well as previously estimated barriers. Ortho substitution by nitro groups was also investigated. In each case, the endo and exo transition state configurations were explored (Scheme 4), yielding general agreement between calculated barriers and those obtained experimentally. Substitution by a nitro group lowered the relative energies of the conformations leading to the endo and exo transition states, as well as increased the barrier of the exo relative to the endo, with an overall
increase in reaction exothermicity. Unsubstituted selenoxides and compounds substituted at the 1 or 3 position of the allyl group favored the endo configuration, while selenimides and compounds substituted at the 2 position of the allyl group favored the exo TS. ${ }^{32}$

Scheme $4^{32}$


In addition to investigations of various ylides, theoretical work has also explored anionic species; notably, the Wittig rearrangement of anionic oxygen-containing species is among the most ubiquitous of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements. A 1983 study of diasterioselectivity in the Wittig rearrangement rationalized that both the $E$ and $Z$ isomers reacted via an envelope transition state (Figure 3). Reaction of the Z-isomer produced a diaxial interaction that disfavored the threo product, while in the $E$-isomer the gauche interactions are minimized in the transition state, leading to the threo product. This results in the preference of the Z-isomer for erythro and the E-isomer for threo. ${ }^{33}$ In

1990, computational studies performed by Wu et al. probed the transition structure of the Wittig rearrangement, focusing on the necessity of the lithium $\left(\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right)$counterion in order to locate a concerted reaction pathway. The overall transition state located for the concerted pathway produced the expected envelope configuration, and provided rationale for the observed stereoselectivity in the reactions. ${ }^{8 b}$ In 1994, a study investigating the Wittig rearrangement was published, comparing several allyloxy anion species. It was rationalized that the diasterioselectivity is due to differences in the transition states for stabilized versus unstabalized systems, with various substituents altering the transition state configurations. ${ }^{8 e}$ These theoretical calculations on the Wittig rearrangement consistently included the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$counterion, and a subsequent study in 2001 noted that the presence of explicit tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent molecules altered chelation to the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$. ${ }^{34}$


Figure 3. Two depictions of a generic Wittig rearrangement with emphasis on the envelope nature of the five-membered transition state. ${ }^{8 b}$

Similar exploration has been undertaken for anionic rearrangements involving other heteroatoms. A mechanistic study in 1971 investigated rearrangements of lithiated allyl sulfides; in analogous cases involving sulfonium ylides or allylic oxygen ethers, competition ensues between the $[2,3]$ shift and the [1,2] shift; again, the mechanism of the latter is rationalized as a dissociation-recombination mechanism to produce the alternative product. The apparent absence of this competition in the case of these allyl sulfides is explained by the instability of the thioaldehyde anion radical fragments that would be involved in this pathway. ${ }^{19}$ While, until recently, difficulty in accessing the rearrangement of tertiary amines has primarily required nitrogen-bearing compounds to be ammonium ylides, ${ }^{35}$ studies of the aza-Wittig reaction have also been undertaken. In 2003, a study by Haeffner et al. delved the mechanisms of various [2,3] rearrangements, including the Wittig, aza-Wittig, thio-Wittig, and carba-Witting rearrangements. The researchers used homolytic and heterolytic bond cleavage energies, compared with the proton affinity of the heteroatom involved, to explore the reactions. It was determined that less basic atoms like sulfur and oxygen could promote the concerted $[2,3]$ rearrangement, while the instability of atoms like nitrogen tended to promote a heterolytic cleavage-recombination pathway. The presence of a counterion again provided a stabilizing effect that promoted the concerted pathway, but still produced cleavage in the aza-Wittig and carba-Wittig structures. ${ }^{8 a}$

Some mechanistic research has targeted the formation of the [1,2] product in [2,3] rearrangements. One example studied the transition states of [1,2] and [2,3] rearrangements of $\alpha$-allyloxycarbenes, noting that the [1,2] shift was expectedly higher
in energy, although it was speculated that methyl substitution may lower the gap between the two transition states. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ A later study by Zipse investigated the [1,2] shift in acyloxy radicals, exploring substituent and solvent effects, as well as the possible influence of other mechanisms besides the [2,3] and [1,2] rearrangements. It was determined that the [2,3] shift was the most favorable in all cases, with the [1,2] slightly less favorable; in addition, solvent effects seemed to be under-predicted in the models investigated. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{c}}$

Despite such studies targeted at [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, this class of reaction has still received relatively little attention from the perspective of physical organic techniques, such as the measurement of experimental KIEs, prediction of theoretical KIEs, and the investigation of dynamic effects; this thesis aims at a preliminary address of these deficiencies.

## CHAPTER III

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to initiate such investigation into [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, the base-induced rearrangement of $N$-allyl- $N, N$-dimethylglycine methyl ester bromide (Figure 4) was explored, using 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU). Coldham reports optimal synthesis of the rearranged product as a one-pot reaction, in which the ammonium salt was generated and subsequently rearranged. To accomplish this, the N allyl $\alpha$-aminoester of glycine was combined with iodomethane, dimethyl formamide (DMF), potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, and DBU , and reacted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{13}$ Because measurement of KIEs at natural abundance requires the initial isotopic composition of the starting material in both the experimental and standard to be uniform, it was instead necessary to form and isolate the ammonium salt separately, prior to subjecting the compound to conditions by which to induce the rearrangement. To accomplish this, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylglycine methyl ester $\mathbf{4}$ was generated from a glycine methyl ester hydrochloride salt 3 using the Eschweiler-Clarke reaction, then reacted with allyl bromide to generate the starting material $\mathbf{1}$ for the reactions (Scheme 5).


Figure 4. Rearrangement of ammonium salt 1. a) The concentration of DBU was varied; for the standard reactions, 4 eq of DBU were used. For sample reactions, 15-20 mol\% DBU were used.

Subsequent experiments with this starting material led us to evaluate the rearrangement conditions given by Coldham. In particular, it was decided to carry out the reaction at room temperature, rather than $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as the increase in temperature was noted to have little effect on the net yield of the reaction. It was discovered, however, that discernable quantities of water in the reaction mixture tended to reduce the yield dramatically, forming a byproduct that was presumed to be the hydrolysis of the methyl ester of the ammonium salt. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ seemed to play no substantial role in the reaction or in minimizing this effect, and so was not included in our experiments. In order to combat the apparent starting material decomposition, $4 \AA$ powdered molecular sieves were included in the reaction mixture. Because of the possibility of starting material decomposition, trans-stilbene was included as a standard against which to quantify the conversion of the reaction.

## Scheme 5



## Experimental KIEs

The nature of the ammonium salt as starting material proved to be unfeasible for the measurement of starting material KIEs, as recovery of the starting material from the protonated DBU was nearly impossible. Instead, product KIEs were measured. Optimization of the reaction conditions described above necessitated the use of excess base in order to drive conversion to $100 \%$. Formation of product at low conversion was affected by using $15-20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$. The reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\approx 15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DBU afforded 2 in $11.7 \%$ yield, while the equivalent reaction with $\approx 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DBU afforded 2 in $21.3 \%$ yield. Product from these reactions was isolated using column chromatography. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in the low conversion samples was compared with the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in the standards that had been driven to $100 \%$ conversion, using NMR methodology. ${ }^{6}$ Because the methyl group of the methoxy was not expected to change in composition, it was selected as the internal standard for measurement of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ composition. Intermolecular isotope effects are shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Experimental and computational intermolecular KIEs. Experimental KIEs represent measurements obtained from two independent experiments, with the standard deviations of these measurements included in parentheses. The methoxy methyl was chosen as a standard as its isotopic composition was not expected to change during the course of the reaction. Computational KIEs are indicated by italicized brackets.

Interestingly, the resulting KIEs show a small isotope effect at C1, the carbonyl carbon, which may reflect a change from the enolate in the deprotonated intermediate to a carbonyl at the transition state, as the oxygen no longer greatly assists in the stabilization of the anion at C2. There is a large primary isotope effect at C5, indicating cleavage of the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N}$ bond at the transition state, while small isotope effects on C 6 and C7 may reflect the changing environment from the formerly cationic nitrogen. C4 interestingly shows results that are unclear, giving equally a small inverse and small normal isotope effect, while C3 shows a small isotope effect, possibly indicating only a
small degree of bond formation between C2 and C3 at the transition state. These results seem to indicate an early transition state.

## Theoretical Studies

In order to further evaluate the transition state and reaction mechanism of this rearrangement, theoretical calculations were employed, using the program Gaussian03 (G03). ${ }^{36}$ All calculations were performed in the gas phase at the Becke3LYP ${ }^{37} / 6$ $31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ level. Several transition structures were located, and the four lowest energy transition structures modeled using an Onsager solvent model. The lowest energy transition structure is given as structure 5; Table 1 contains the relative $\Delta \mathrm{Gs}$ of the different transition structures versus 5. Scaled theoretical vibrational frequency calculations were performed on all four transition structures. ${ }^{38}$ These frequency calculations were used to calculate predicted theoretical KIEs using conventional transition state theory by the method of Bigeleisen and Mayer. ${ }^{39,40}$ The KIEs associated with structure 5 are shown in Figure 5 and in Table 2, which also contains KIEs associated with the other three transition structures for both the gas phase and solution phase calculations. It is interesting to note that all predicted isotope effects from this structure are within experimental error of those obtained experimentally.


5

The predicted KIEs, coupled with transition structure 5, further confirm the character of the transition state for this rearrangement. Examining the data, several points of interest are apparent. Interestingly, the isotope effect at C4 is predicted at unity, a result possibly extrapolated from the experimental isotope effects in that both measurements were off from unity by the same degree in opposite directions. The C5-N bond in the transition state is elongated to $2.084 \AA$ from the more typical ammonium CN bond length of $1.564 \AA$ in the intermediate, while the C2-C3 bond length is still 2.876 $\AA$, much greater than the C-C single bond length of $1.542 \AA$ in the product (structural information regarding the deprotonated intermediate and the rearranged product are included in the Appendix). The transition structure suggests a concerted, though highly asynchronous, mechanism, and, according to Hammond's postulate, a relatively early transition state. The $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N}$ bond length is also shortened in the transition state, from $1.479 \AA$ in the intermediate to $1.388 \AA$ at the transition state, consistent with the
transition from a C-N charge separated bond to a formal C-N single bond described by Heard and Yates. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$

Table 1. Relative energetics of the four lowest energy transition structures.

| Calculated Structure | Gas Phase $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | G 03Onsager $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{G} 09 \mathrm{PCM} \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transition Structure 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transition Structure 6 <br> (Alt TS 1) | 1.82 | 1.50 | 0.81 |
| Transition Structure 7 <br> (Alt TS 2) | 6.06 | 4.40 | - |
| Transition Structure 10 <br> (Alt TS 5) | 3.84 | 2.76 | 2.11 |

Table 2. Predicted theoretical and experimental KIEs for several transition structures.

| Structure | C | Gas Phase KIE | G03 Onsager KIE | $\begin{gathered} \text { G09 PCM } \\ \text { KIE } \end{gathered}$ | Experimental KIE 1 | Experimental KIE 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transition Structure 5 | 1 | 1.009 | 1.010 | 1.012 | $1.009(3)$ | 1.009(14) |
|  | 2 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.009 | $1.008(5)$ | $1.009(7)$ |
|  | 3 | 1.013 | 1.013 | 1.015 | $1.008(5)$ | 1.012(7) |
|  | 4 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.996(4) | 1.004(8) |
|  | 5 | 1.049 | 1.049 | 1.048 | 1.049(3) | 1.051(7) |
|  | 6 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.002(3) | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 7 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.002(3) | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 8 | 0.997 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Transition <br> Structure 6 <br> (Alt TS 1) | 1 | 1.008 | 1.011 | 1.013 | $1.009(3)$ | 1.009(14) |
|  | 2 | 1.006 | 1.007 | 1.008 | $1.008(5)$ | $1.009(7)$ |
|  | 3 | 1.010 | 1.011 | 1.012 | $1.008(5)$ | $1.012(7)$ |
|  | 4 | 1.001 | 1.002 | 1.002 | 0.996(4) | 1.004(8) |
|  | 5 | 1.050 | 1.051 | 1.051 | 1.049(3) | 1.051(7) |
|  | 6 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.002(3) | 1.003(7) |
|  | 7 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.002(3) | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 8 | 0.997 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Transition <br> Structure 7 <br> (Alt TS 2) | 1 | 1.010 | 1.010 | - | $1.009(3)$ | $1.009(14)$ |
|  | 2 | 1.009 | 1.008 |  | $1.008(5)$ | $1.009(7)$ |
|  | 3 | 1.008 | 1.009 |  | $1.008(5)$ | $1.012(7)$ |
|  | 4 | 1.001 | 1.000 | - | 0.996(4) | 1.004(8) |
|  | 5 | 1.050 | 1.049 | - | 1.049(3) | 1.051(7) |
|  | 6 | 0.999 | 0.999 | - | 1.002(3) | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 7 | 1.000 | 0.998 | - | $1.002(3)$ | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 8 | 0.997 | 0.996 | - | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Transition <br> Structure 10 <br> (Alt TS 5) | 1 | 1.009 | 1.010 | 1.012 | $1.009(3)$ | 1.009(14) |
|  | 2 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.009 | $1.008(5)$ | $1.009(7)$ |
|  | 3 | 1.011 | 1.013 | 1.015 | $1.008(5)$ | $1.012(7)$ |
|  | 4 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.996(4) | 1.004(8) |
|  | 5 | 1.050 | 1.048 | 1.048 | 1.049(3) | 1.051(7) |
|  | 6 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.000 | $1.002(3)$ | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 7 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.001 | 1.002(3) | $1.003(7)$ |
|  | 8 | 0.997 | 0.997 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Further calculations into the energetics of the rearrangement were performed and are shown in Table 3. Structure 5 and the two lowest energy alternate structures were further evaluated at the Becke3LYP/6-31+G** level using Gaussian09 ${ }^{41}$ (G09) and a PCM solvent model for comparison. Structural information is included in the Appendix.

Table 3. Calculated gas phase and solvent model $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} / \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ 's.

| Calculated Structure | Gas Phase $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | G 03 <br> Onsager $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$$\mathrm{G} 09 \mathrm{PCM} \Delta \mathrm{G} / \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deprotonated <br> Intermediate for 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transition Structure 5 | 5.13 | 7.42 | 9.87 |
| Product from 5 | -38.75 | -35.66 | -32.07 |
| Deprotonated <br> Intermediate for 6 | 3.24 | 2.83 | 2.49 |
| Transition Structure 6 <br> (Alt TS 1) | 6.95 | 8.92 | 10.68 |
| Product from 6 | -36.17 | -33.33 | -23.22 |
| Deprotonated <br> Intermediate for 7 | 5.95 | 3.26 | - |
| Transition Structure 7 <br> (Alt TS 2) | 11.19 | 11.82 | - |
| Product from 7 7 | -38.40 | -35.16 | - |
| Deprotonated <br> Intermediate for 10 | 5.25 | 3.08 | 2.21 |
| Transition Structure <br> $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Alt TS 5) | 8.98 | 10.17 | 11.98 |
| Product from 10 | -35.67 | -32.57 | -29.52 |

## Discussion

Examining 5, the transition state is indeed early, as expected from the experimental KIEs. Interestingly, the barrier of the rearrangement from the ylide structure increases from the gas phase to the solution phase, from $5.13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to 7.42 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, further increasing to $9.87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the G09 calculations (structure 5). The barrier in each case is relatively low, while the reaction is highly exothermic, as expected from Hammond's postulate, and in agreement with previous work by Heard and Yates ${ }^{11 a}$, as well as calculations by Jursic. ${ }^{26}$ The exothermicity, however, does decrease with the solvent model, similarly to how the barrier increases. The second lowest energy transition structure (6) shows a lower barrier for rearrangement from the corresponding starting material than does structure 5; in addition, the difference in energy between the two transition structures drops from $1.82 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the gas phase down to $0.81 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the G09 calculations. It is therefore likely that structure $\mathbf{6}$ contributes to the actual nature of the reaction; however, in looking at the predicted versus experimental KIEs for both $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, one notes that the KIEs are relatively similar between the two, and so a weighted average of the KIEs would make little difference; in addition, in comparing transition structures $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, one notes the two structures are very similar, with difference resulting from the orientation of the allyl group, as might be expected in order to generate alternate configurations of the product. In addition, the qualitative results from the associated KIEs and energetics do not differ from those of the lowest energy transition state, and the KIEs for both structures agree with experimental values.

## CHAPTER IV

## EXPERIMENTAL

## General Calculational Procedures

Standard procedures using Gaussian $03^{36}$ and Gaussian $09^{41}$ were performed for optimization of structures and energies. Vibrational frequency analyses were performed for all stationary points and used to calculate predicted KIEs.

## General Experimental Procedures

Materials for all reactions were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification unless otherwise specified.

General NMR Methodology. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded on Mercury 300, Inova 300, NMRS 300, and Unity 500 spectrometers. Simultaneous determination of kinetic isotope effects used identical techniques in all cases. All KIE measurements were performed using product KIEs. This procedure involves running a reaction to $100 \%$ conversion, then running a reaction under identical conditions to low (10-20\%) conversion. Product from both reactions is isolated and NMR samples prepared. Starting material for both high and low conversion reactions was obtained from the same synthetic lot. NMR samples for both high and low conversion reactions were prepared in an identical fashion. This involves filling a 5 mm NMR tube containing an identical quantity of material, on the order of $300-500 \mathrm{mg}$, to a height of 5 cm with deuterated
solvent. Inclusion of the deuterated solvent assists in shimming during NMR acquisitions.

In order to perform measurements using inverse-gated decoupling, and to avoid integration errors from paramagnetic impurities in the sample, T 1 values were determined for each sample by the inversion-recovery method. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra for KIE determination were recorded on a Unity 500 NMR at constant temperature, with delays of at least 5 xT 1 between pulses. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ integrations for each spectrum were determined numerically using a constant integration for each peak based upon the peak width at half height, generally $\approx 10 x$ half height, but in some cases reduced to $\approx 5 x$ half height in order to prevent integration of minor impurities in the sample. In all cases, a zero ${ }^{\text {th }}$ order baseline correction was applied, but a first order (tilt) correction was not applied. Six spectra were recorded for each sample of rearranged product. The acquisition time, spectra width, and number of points was optimized to obtain necessary resolution.

Determination of Kinetic Isotope Effects. The ratio of integrations $\left(R / R_{0}\right)$ was taken from the NMR spectra of the full conversion and low conversion samples, with standard deviations calculated from equation 8. General uncertainty from the measurement of $R / R_{0}$ using this method is minimal at low conversion, and increases as conversion increases. Uncertainty in the measurement of $F$ was not expected to play a significant role in the uncertainty of the KIE determination, and no allowance was made for this factor. KIEs were calculated from the fractionation $(F)$ and the ratio of integrations $\left(R / R_{0}\right)$ using equation 9 , with the standard deviations calculated from equations 10 and 11. Equation 9 is a perturbation of equation 4 from Chapter I, taken
from Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules, Melander and Saunders. ${ }^{42}$ Equation 10 and 11 are derived from equations 6 and 7, respectively, in Chapter I. Equations 3-7 are taken from: Thomas, A. A.; Singleton, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9357-9358, its references, and supporting information.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta\left(R / R_{0}\right)=\left(R / R_{0}\right) \bullet\left((\Delta \text { IntSample/ IntSample })^{2}+(\Delta \text { IntStandard } / \text { IntStandard })^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{8}\\
K I E_{\text {calcd }}=\frac{\ln (1-F)}{\ln \left[1-\left(F R / R_{0}\right)\right]}  \tag{9}\\
\Delta K I E_{R}=\frac{\partial K I E}{\partial\left(R / R_{0}\right)} \Delta\left(R / R_{0}\right)=\frac{F \ln (1-F)}{\left[1-\left(F R / R_{0}\right)\right] \ln ^{2}\left[1-\left(F R / R_{0}\right)\right]} \Delta\left(R / R_{0}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\Delta K I E=\Delta K I E_{R} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Synthesis of $N, N$-dimethylglycine methyl ester 4

Experimental Procedure. To a clean $250-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask equipped with a condenser were added $15.07 \mathrm{~g}(120 \mathrm{mmol})$ of glycine methyl ester hydrochloride 3, 35 mL ( 465 mmol ) of formaldehyde ( $37 \%$ solution in water), and 40 mL ( 917 mmol ) of formic acid. The solution was allowed to reflux while stirring with a magnetic stirrer for 4 h . The hydrochloride salt was concentrated under reduced pressure, free-based with saturated $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, and extracted with methylene chloride (DCM). The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, then purified via short-path distillation $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26.7\right.$ mbars), giving 4 as a clear oil, which was obtained in $\approx 30 \%$ yield. Generally several reactions were run, and the crude product collected combined on the order of 20-30 grams prior to distillation.

## Synthesis of $N$-allyl- $N, N$-dimethylglycine methyl ester 1

Experimental Procedure. To a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask containing 19.07 $\mathrm{g}(163 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{4}$ were added 40 mL of acetonitrile, and then the sample was dissolved to form a solution. Then $19.72 \mathrm{~g}(163 \mathrm{mmol})$ of allyl bromide were added and the reaction mixture stirred with a magnetic stirrer at room temperature (r.t.) for 20 h . The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure until 1 was crystallized as an off-white solid, which was obtained in $\approx 70 \%$ yield.

## DBU-Induced Rearrangement of 1

General Methodology. All glassware, magnetic stirring bars, and molecular sieves used in these reactions were oven-dried overnight. Glassware was used and/or placed under nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ as quickly as possible after being removed from the oven. All DMF used in these reactions was dried using $4 \AA$ molecular sieves in the following manner: approximately 500 mL of DMF were added to a bottle containing $\approx 30 \mathrm{~g}$ of molecular sieves and allowed to dry for 24 h . A $250-\mathrm{mL}$ three-necked flask was fitted with $\mathrm{a} \approx 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, 0.75 cm diameter straight condenser packed with molecular sieves, and sealed with septa and parafilm. Approximately 100 mL of DMF were then passed through the condenser under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then the condenser was removed and the flask sealed. DMF added to reactions was removed from the flask via syringe. Procedures for working up the reactions, as well as the amount of base added to the sample reactions, varied between sets 1 and 2 of KIE samples. The procedures for purifying the material were altered for sample and standard 2 in order to gain better purity; the increase in the $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of base added was undertaken in an attempt to increase the yield of product from
the reactions vs. the amount recovered from the first set of reactions. Workup conditions were identical for each set of sample and standard to maintain the integrity of the NMR measurements.

Experimental Procedure Sample 1. A $250-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirrer was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then $20.18 \mathrm{~g}(84.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and $1.81 \mathrm{~g}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-stilbene were added, working as quickly as possible, and the flask returned to $\mathrm{N}_{2} .25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF were added via an oven-dried syringe to the flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reactants dissolved. Then $\approx 15 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 24 g of $4 \AA$ powdered molecular sieves were added and the mixture left stirring for 30 min at r.t., allowing the reaction to proceed to $11.7 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was diluted by the sequential addition of 40 mL of chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and 70 mL of water, and then the organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual organics were dissolved in 150 mL of diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, washed twice with water, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound 2 was purified by flash chromatography using $1 \%$ methanol (MeOH) in DCM. The results were then analyzed by NMR using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as a solvent. Isolated product was then used to prepare a low-conversion KIE sample by the addition of 0.30 g of product to a 5 mm NMR tube, which was filled to 5.5 cm with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Experimental Procedure Sample 2. A $250-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirrer was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then $17.67 \mathrm{~g}(74.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and $1.82 \mathrm{~g}(10.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-stilbene were added, working as quickly as possible, and the
flask returned to $\mathrm{N}_{2} .30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF were added via an oven-dried syringe to the flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reactants dissolved. Then $\approx 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}(2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 35 g of $4 \AA$ powdered molecular sieves were added and the mixture left stirring for 30 min at r.t., allowing the reaction to proceed to $21.3 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was diluted by the sequential addition of 40 mL of DCM and 70 mL of water, and then the organic layer was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed twice with water and once with a saturated solution of sodium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, then was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual organics were dissolved in 180 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed twice with water and once with brine, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound 2 was purified by flash chromatography using a gradient of DCM followed by $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The results were then analyzed by NMR using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as a solvent. Isolated product was then used to prepare a low-conversion KIE sample by the addition of 0.50 g product to a 5 mm NMR tube, which was filled to 5 cm with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Experimental Procedure Standard 1. A $100-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirrer was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then $3.57 \mathrm{~g}(15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.90 $\mathrm{g}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-stilbene were added, working as quickly as possible, and the flask returned to $\mathrm{N}_{2} .15 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF were added via an oven-dried syringe to the flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reactants dissolved. Then $9.13 \mathrm{~g}(60 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$.$) of \mathrm{DBU}$ and 12 g of $4 \AA$ powdered molecular sieves were added and the mixture left stirring for 3 h at r.t., allowing the reaction to proceed to $100 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was diluted by the sequential addition of 40 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and 70 mL of water, and then the organic
layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual organics were dissolved in 150 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed twice with water, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound 2 was purified by flash chromatography using $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The results were then analyzed by NMR using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as a solvent. Isolated product was then used to prepare a low-conversion KIE sample by the addition of 0.30 g of product to a 5 mm NMR tube, which was filled to 5.5 cm with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Experimental Procedure Standard 2. A $100-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stirrer was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then $3.57 \mathrm{~g}(15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1}$ and 0.90 $\mathrm{g}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-stilbene were added, working as quickly as possible, and the flask returned to $\mathrm{N}_{2} .15 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMF were added via an oven-dried syringe to the flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reactants dissolved. Then 9.13 g ( $60 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$.) of DBU and 12 g of $4 \AA$ powdered molecular sieves were added and the mixture left stirring for 3 h at r.t., allowing the reaction to proceed to $100 \%$ conversion. The reaction mixture was diluted by the sequential addition of 40 mL of DCM and 70 mL of water, and then the organic layer was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed twice with water and once with a saturated solution of sodium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, then was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual organics were dissolved in 180 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed twice with water and once with brine, then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude compound 2 was purified by flash chromatography using a gradient of DCM followed by $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The results
were then analyzed by NMR using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as a solvent. Isolated product was then used to prepare a low-conversion KIE sample by the addition of 0.50 g product to a 5 mm NMR tube, which was filled to 5 cm with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

## Results for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Determination of KIE Sets 1 and 2

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ measurements were carried out as previously detailed. For the KIE determination, C8 was chosen as the internal standard. Displayed in Table 4 and Table 5 below are the integrations of all carbons for the standard and sample spectra of KIE sets 1 and 2 , respectively, including the averages.

Table 4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Integrations for Methyl-2-( $N, N$-dimethylamino)-4-pentenoate 2, KIE set 1.

| \% <br> Conv. | C | Fid 1 | Fid 2 | Fid 3 | Fid 4 | Fid 5 | Fid 6 | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.7 | 1 | 1022.03 | 1024.85 | 1026.69 | 1027.29 | 1024.21 | 1028.45 | 1025.59 |
|  | 2 | 984.85 | 983.22 | 980.57 | 986.04 | 983.95 | 986.99 | 984.27 |
|  | 3 | 988.86 | 983.47 | 982.81 | 984.76 | 982.28 | 984.00 | 984.36 |
|  | 4 | 1034.68 | 1033.65 | 1036.69 | 1033.68 | 1033.26 | 1039.09 | 1035.18 |
|  | 5 | 947.73 | 945.29 | 949.67 | 947.70 | 951.98 | 948.85 | 948.54 |
|  | 6 | 1934.75 | 1935.06 | 1937.75 | 1938.63 | 1935.22 | 1938.06 | 1936.58 |
|  | 7 | 1934.75 | 1935.06 | 1937.75 | 1938.63 | 1935.22 | 1938.06 | 1936.58 |
|  | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 1035.80 | 1031.29 | 1033.84 | 1033.07 | 1033.97 | 1036.56 | 1034.09 |
|  | 2 | 994.24 | 989.30 | 986.57 | 992.39 | 989.86 | 996.38 | 991.46 |
|  | 3 | 994.48 | 993.59 | 985.34 | 992.68 | 990.22 | 996.81 | 992.19 |
|  | 4 | 1031.71 | 1027.52 | 1029.77 | 1027.45 | 1033.09 | 1035.40 | 1030.82 |
|  | 5 | 993.09 | 990.31 | 990.65 | 992.18 | 992.49 | 991.98 | 991.78 |
|  | 6 | 1944.37 | 1938.01 | 1933.06 | 1940.62 | 1943.04 | 1947.30 | 1941.07 |
|  | 7 | 1944.37 | 1938.01 | 1933.06 | 1940.62 | 1943.04 | 1947.30 | 1941.07 |
|  | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |  |

Table 5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Integrations for Methyl-2-( $N, N$-dimethylamino)-4-pentenoate 2, KIE set 2.

| \% <br> Conv. | C | Fid 1 | Fid 2 | Fid 3 | Fid 4 | Fid 5 | Fid 6 | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.3 | 1 | 1035.73 | 1033.27 | 1035.52 | 1035.63 | 1033.52 | 1034.98 | 1034.78 |
|  | 2 | 982.88 | 982.34 | 981.27 | 984.17 | 981.50 | 983.51 | 982.61 |
|  | 3 | 978.47 | 978.82 | 978.29 | 980.28 | 975.52 | 979.46 | 978.47 |
|  | 4 | 1006.73 | 1006.10 | 1008.43 | 1008.49 | 1007.56 | 1007.77 | 1007.51 |
|  | 5 | 939.80 | 938.98 | 940.83 | 938.42 | 938.25 | 938.22 | 939.08 |
|  | 6 | 1939.59 | 1937.62 | 1939.77 | 1939.37 | 1938.43 | 1940.70 | 1939.25 |
|  | 7 | 1939.59 | 1937.62 | 1939.77 | 1939.37 | 1938.43 | 1940.70 | 1939.25 |
|  | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 | 1000.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 1034.30 | 1042.44 | 1063.81 | 1038.86 | 1051.07 | 1028.33 | 1043.14 |
|  | 2 | 991.15 | 983.71 | 998.23 | 983.32 | 995.26 | 990.64 | 990.38 |
|  | 4 | 988.68 | 979.68 | 996.14 | 984.43 | 992.64 | 989.61 | 988.53 |
|  | 1012.56 | 1000.84 | 1018.52 | 1003.42 | 1013.83 | 1014.73 | 1010.65 |  |
|  | 5 | 979.83 | 973.19 | 989.73 | 978.11 | 986.39 | 981.49 | 981.46 |
| 6 | 1945.72 | 1929.62 | 1961.08 | 1931.93 | 1952.09 | 1944.54 | 1944.16 |  |
|  | 1945.72 | 1929.62 | 1961.08 | 1931.93 | 1952.09 | 1944.54 | 1944.16 |  |

Figures 6 through 12 contain spectral information regarding the different materials synthesized; figures 6-8 detail compound 2, figures 9-11 detail compound 1 , and figure 12 details compound 4.

Figure 6. Sample ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 2; $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 33.7,41.3,50.6,67.2,116.8,134.0,171.7$.



Figure 8. Expanded regions for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of 2.

Figure 9. Sample ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 50.7,52.9,60.6,66.5,123.9,130.3,164.9$.



Figure 12. Sample ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS

In physical organic chemistry, the ability to measure experimental kinetic isotope effects and the power of theoretical calculations are particularly effective tools. Determination of KIEs alone can yield valuable insight into the general mechanism of a reaction. When compared with theoretically predicted heavy-atom KIEs, this tool becomes still more effective, permitting evaluation between correct and incorrect transition structures. This is important, because an understanding of the transition structure and the associated mechanism involved in a reaction allows chemists to push forward reaction optimization, as well as develop new synthetic strategies to affect various synthetic goals.

Exploring the Sommelet-Hauser [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of this glycinederived ammonium ylide, the combined use of experimental and theoretically predicted KIEs allowed for in-depth analysis of the reaction. As evidenced by the results shown in Figure 5, the predicted theoretical KIEs match very well with experimental data. Examining the lowest-energy transition structure (5), the bond changes occurring between the deprotonated intermediate and the transition structure reflect an early transition state. Furthermore, subsequent calculations of energetics have demonstrated that the reaction is highly exothermic, with a relatively small energy barrier to the rearranged product, as expected for an early transition state according to Hammond's
postulate. This matches well with the geometry of the transition structure, with minor bond dissociation and little bond formation at the transition state. The difference in energy determined between the lowest energy and second lowest energy transition structures decreases to $0.81 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in the PCM solvent model, so we cannot exclude the contribution of this transition structure to the reaction. However, qualitative results of the associated KIEs and energetics do not differ from those of the lowest energy transition structure. Overall, our results are in agreement with previous work by previous researchers such as Heard and Yates. ${ }^{\text {11a }}$

The ability of the computational methods used to replicate experimental results, as well as the relatively harmonious nature of the experimental KIE measurements, has demonstrated that, at least for such ammonium ylide type starting materials, the Singleton method has proven successful in addressing the nature of the transition state geometry involved in the reaction. While the particular reaction studied does not appear to generate multiple products from the same transition state, and so does not seem to be a case in which dynamic effects are particularly contributing in terms of the reaction selectivity, nevertheless, it has demonstrated that the Singleton method should be effective in evaluating future [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, which would likely exhibit such dynamic effects. Furthermore, this study has again validated the ability of KIE measurements to elucidate the mechanism of an organic reaction, and is, so far as we know, among the only studies to make such an evaluation of this particular class of pericyclic reactions.
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## Terms:

B3: Becke 3-parameter exchange model
LYP: Lee-Yang-Parr gradient-corrected functionals
RB: Restricted Becke
HF: Hartree-Fock

## Theoretical Structures from Chapter III

## G03 Calculations: Gas Phase Structures

## Glycine Salt 1 Rearrangement Transition Structures

Structure 5, B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinetsgp

$E($ RB+HF-LYP $)=-519.019890402$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.222957 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235738 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.236683 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.184608 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.796933 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.784152 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.783208 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.835282 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.928 | 47.021 | 109.600 |

C,0,2.0443095252,-0.8730486436,-2.3846942404
O,0,2.7439675141, 0.3731372091,-2.4709197435
C, $0,2.8301512156,0.9192810732,-3.7295078633$
C,0,3.5126932344,2.1708125866,-3.7021883315
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.8098772809,2.8855607096,-4.8572923237$
C,0,4.679094253,4.0464596138,-4.57148554
O, $0,2.3420834881,0.3490560037,-4.7142384962$
C,0,4.3136127002,2.1141507299,-6.0223362889
C, $0,2.1346631484,3.7670219491,-5.6637222005$
C,0,1.5045358882,4.2959078683,-4.5069271327
C, $0,0.9130676086,3.4766081837,-3.5924259111$
H,0,2.0696136889,-1.1476348144,-1.3291982629
H,0,1.0099169798,-0.7679096518,-2.724921045
H,0,2.5354623621,-1.641421454,-2.9887709737
H,0,3.7511394382,2.6301533254,-2.7552042853
H,0,5.3224403406,1.7473246768,-5.8021313387
H,0,3.6486478895,1.2721480916,-6.2023653966
H,0,4.343651437,2.772808995,-6.8935083329
H,0,5.6505129596,3.7003600582,-4.2010702034
H,0,4.8208278725,4.6281736205,-5.4838434197
H,0,4.2042284379,4.669836544,-3.8124174792
H,0,2.5531351341,4.4443307197,-6.4043621286
H,0,1.7649641431,2.8246605353,-6.0568177092
H,0,1.6724140343,5.3422436714,-4.2541181221
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.6770317315,2.4463906361,-3.8342582227$
H,0,0.5622116941,3.8510487626,-2.6353150083

## Structure 6, B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinets2gp


$E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.016247196$
Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.183862
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-518.793343$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-518.780490$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-518.779545$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $=-518.832385$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.940 | 46.965 | 111.211 |

C,0,1.6671848631,-0.1891409476,-2.2382030013
C,0,1.4394735469,-0.2765955968,-0.9061291227
C, $0,0.2128326445,-0.8212355128,-0.4010610975$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3663998462,-2.7404193091,-0.6552161913$
C, $0,1.083676221,-3.1056942302,-1.8028776416$
C,0,2.500985054,-3.2300075396,-1.8448365465
O,0,2.913356094,-3.6001458499,-3.1103991486
C,0,4.3247892869,-3.7577343242,-3.2732862138
C,0,-1.0575939036,-3.1490458207,-0.7544510258
C,0,0.932498638,-3.2111610036,0.643720131
O,0,3.3192193788,-3.0789825094,-0.9282651821
H,0,4.4662586904,-4.0591460842,-4.3126205809
H,0,4.8517410159,-2.818589999,-3.0770150357
Н,0,4.7176004878,-4.5248262298,-2.5993780972
H,0,2.2631022137,-0.122454463,-0.2127376487
H,0,2.6410151298,0.0801906086,-2.634658215
H,0,0.8693860818,-0.3348580075,-2.9605168839
Н, $0,-1.6213972635,-2.7013258042,0.0671198536$
Н,, ,-1.4674120034,-2.8055624775,-1.7053570609
Н,0,-1.1286008668,-4.2391111648,-0.7040524462
H,0,0.2799444219,-2.8695574906,1.4497816646
Н,0,0.9744229047,-4.3042218347,0.633252811
$\mathrm{H}, 0,1.9417269211,-2.8242379059,0.7542013247$

```
H,0,-0.6655268809,-0.6754109272,-1.0264699933
H,0,0.0056402938,-0.7324735649,0.6630775363
H,0,0.5166771841,-3.1686620114,-2.7199981882
```


## Structure 7 B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinets3gp


$E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.010009924$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.223049 (Hartree/Particle)
0.235838
0.236782
0.184383
-518.786961
-518.774172
-518.773228
-518.825627

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 147.990 | 46.935 | 110.282 |

C,0,2.3658964602,-1.2656907111,-1.9020957364
C,0,1.8941721249,-1.1411413024,-0.6401424044
C, $0,0.4926475418,-0.9423259004,-0.387156111$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,-0.3341879932,-2.636932091,-0.6760631135$
C, $0,-0.1785314098,-3.3223064224,0.6395011481$
C, $0,0.1377812118,-3.3224428738,-1.8111292193$
C,0,1.2053483495,-4.2606351713,-1.9379229467
O,0,1.5207658226,-4.7921425634,-3.0045781271
C,0,-1.7599760299,-2.2471737832,-0.8548475673
O,0,1.8676865867,-4.5946862989,-0.765456619
C, $0,2.9200076159,-5.554655865,-0.9191779635$
H,0,3.3155677732,-5.7179872788,0.0852216837
Н, $0,2.5394487971,-6.4908057473,-1.336384646$
H,0,3.7046243,-5.1729937138,-1.578937049
H,0,2.5438538343,-1.377767022,0.200246743
H,0,3.3895009401,-1.5656146645,-2.1035475644
H,0,1.7493193953,-1.0310856821,-2.7640109008
Н, $,,-2.0515985606,-1.5606285836,-0.0564895427$

```
H,0,-1.8795814299,-1.7536223425,-1.8197928889
H,0,-2.3868668094,-3.1421181782,-0.8284012093
H,0,-0.6621472806,-2.7121152143,1.4050158703
H,0,-0.6528386722,-4.3056095696,0.5865797014
H,0,0.8762395283,-3.4511561544,0.8596015518
H,0,-0.0518516645,-0.3975045013,-1.1558551026
H,0,0.1881353962,-0.6737021155,0.6229722503
H,0,-0.3120328279,-3.0157062493,-2.7445592368
```


## Structure 8 B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinets4gp


$E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.006477034$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.223032 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235624 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.236568 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.184798 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -518.783445 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.770853 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.76990 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.821679 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 147.856 | 46.901 | 108.959 |

C,0,1.6436042229,-0.0841222434,-2.1368679452
C, $0,1.5371382622,-0.2670742106,-0.7965734733$
C, $0,0.3451235383,-0.7988790132,-0.2194986289$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3872529384,-2.743897533,-0.7190535135$
C,0,-1.045205617,-3.0213853763,-0.9805131175
C, $0,0.8032432516,-3.4046799753,0.5524217524$
C, $0,1.1768432627,-3.0065619793,-1.845647904$
C,0,2.6024968386,-3.0700827373,-1.7722392677
O,0,3.285758915,-2.9078073315,-0.7579384883
O,0,3.2978696301,-3.3571656568,-2.9322999677
C,0,2.6181829648,-3.6337194082,-4.1515850038
Н,0,3.3957080685,-3.8878321349,-4.8744535396
H,0,1.9314259161,-4.4828914413,-4.0489625901

```
H,0,2.0668325586,-2.75814611,-4.5182988494
H,0,2.4313145199,-0.2134219912,-0.1812347666
H,0,2.5861690131,0.1840805694,-2.6031681157
H,0,0.7724969187,-0.1358228486,-2.7846611741
H,0,-1.6432053919,-2.6609994497,-0.1410170279
H,0,-1.3562644716,-2.5072220938,-1.8915082818
H,0,-1.1966231453,-4.098404649,-1.1031404064
H,0,0.1184979625,-3.0950089839,1.3444689037
H,0,0.7490587871,-4.4900762531,0.4185832262
H,0,1.8283145365,-3.1224379577,0.7770422749
H,0,-0.5891199437,-0.5687551733,-0.7262821195
H,0,0.2618610223,-0.8467526749,0.8627464703
H,0,0.6496304416,-2.985493343,-2.7861314468
```

Structure 9 B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinets5gp

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.010522360$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.223017 (Hartree/Particle)
0.235578
0.236522
0.185253 -518.787506
-518.774945
-518.774000
-518.825270

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 147.827 | 46.978 | 107.905 |

C,0,2.0301310274,-0.8974085722,-2.3877929053
Н,0,2.5554975078,0.051499691,-2.4484912336
Н,0,1.6464550789,-1.3075770706,-3.3150154987
C,0,2.0143783155,-1.6288594818,-1.2303432973
Н,0,2.4849852717,-1.2175373169,-0.3377568944
C,0,1.1916401912,-2.7645167881,-1.0966251439
H,0,0.8949183878,-3.3017709118,-1.9915184203
Н,0,1.1956164489,-3.3396207237,-0.1745739236
$\mathrm{N}, 0,-0.7288823103,-1.788998445,-1.0135006987$

```
C,0,-0.753339991,-1.2768587992,0.3690766074
H,0,-1.7204398659,-0.8037784761,0.5802884903
H,0,0.0432063852,-0.5416512072,0.4954340984
H,0,-0.5952050154,-2.1022078125,1.0652218154
C,0,-1.636221424,-2.9493398522,-1.1801872581
H,0,-2.665853234,-2.6395176313,-0.9629676483
H,0,-1.5691049719,-3.3077917741,-2.2046774727
H,0,-1.3352120969,-3.7311707139,-0.4790972693
C,0,-0.7796100758,-0.7724258965,-1.9538996947
H,0,-0.647826727,0.2277363859,-1.5742646238
C,0,-0.8971533405,-1.0408118848,-3.3581472994
O,0,-0.8616651285,-2.1585294598,-3.8764336318
O,0,-1.0390655385,0.0283307154,-4.214507334
C,0,-1.1409353367,1.3626582446,-3.7239411349
H,0,-1.3040310705,1.9887212666,-4.6027378985
H,0,-1.98854751,1.4770908268,-3.0379979024
H,0,-0.2165659775,1.6813316875,-3.2260238276
```


## Structure 10 B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinets6gp


$E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.013607840$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.222881 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235685 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.236629 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.184451 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.790727 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.777923 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.776978 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.829157 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 147.895 | 47.128 | 109.819 |

C,0,2.4586657116,-1.329001609,-1.1417843833
H,0,2.2996482316,-1.3523427634,-0.0623925949
H,0,1.5111265754,-1.1682226028,-1.6627356567
H,0,3.1465634578,-0.5228267239,-1.4101446446
O,0,3.0163035617,-2.6086833614,-1.4766279901

C,0,3.3204223116,-2.7860908001,-2.819804949
O,0,3.0777626067,-1.903257468,-3.6406220354
C,0,3.8940504038,-4.0561308784,-3.1365461173
H,0,3.9697520768,-4.2892342277,-4.1875907839
$\mathrm{N}, 0,4.2827607587,-5.0531043672,-2.2403242538$
C,0,5.0592523745,-4.6418173859,-1.04231819
H,0,6.042041391,-4.2764326372,-1.3587171262
H,0,5.1809696318,-5.5079874668,-0.3872723087
H,0,4.5275105121,-3.8509783514,-0.5209812099
C,0,4.9796207275,-6.152077008,-2.9499181149
Н,0,5.9051023412,-5.7731477989,-3.3963404947
H,0,4.3282856146,-6.5350950791,-3.7361352858
Н,0,5.2107344088,-6.952250346,-2.2450565021
C, $0,2.7105256742,-6.0091368042,-1.398707241$
H,0,3.1739545737,-6.8451728847,-0.8794387388
H,0,2.4568921724,-5.1648871056,-0.7647906281
C,0,1.8723924884,-6.2703634212,-2.5200520614
C,0,1.1978321197,-5.2702891401,-3.1495093596
Н,0,1.9304165514,-7.2500483627,-2.9928892491
H,0,1.0733975486,-4.296176709,-2.690753556
H,0,0.6862641743,-5.4343356974,-4.0931615244

## Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure

Structure 5 SM B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinesmgp
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.463605832$
Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.200539$
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-519.223486$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-519.210442$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-519.209498$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-519.263067$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 158.863 | 47.509 | 112.747 |

C,0,2.1434525798,-0.935283082,-2.2746333443
O,0,2.8477832494,0.3331040161,-2.4297119244
C, $0,2.8072864947,0.9051258822,-3.6249627488$
O,0,2.2395414365,0.4737959752,-4.6072623886
C,0,3.5953456091,2.2146108251,-3.5561932637
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.7141047015,2.9717104947,-4.8602527036$
C,0,4.4220440527,2.1393676937,-5.9073301822
C,0,4.5481798397,4.2018750699,-4.5900392549
C, $0,1.4896624801,4.1881946059,-4.4715501569$
C, $0,0.3093534252,3.7490070624,-4.0217433305$
C,0,2.3300605712,3.393932272,-5.4249201892

H, $0,2.3097146936,-1.2205815686,-1.2384676207$
H,0,1.0815552723,-0.7923551513,-2.478634624
H,0,2.562915459,-1.6732935406,-2.9594756264
H,0,4.607599241,2.0050359493,-3.2018504133
H,0,5.3943400356,1.8383202279,-5.5145021977
H,0,3.8136274963,1.2671247008,-6.1351476718
H,0,4.5582358551,2.7541533241,-6.7973984424
H,0,5.5449027898,3.8909551144,--4.2751102444
H,0,4.6161562227,4.7848523066,-5.5089799891
H,0,4.081315278,4.7940456885,-3.8042674276
H,0,2.5723571745,3.9706767594,-6.3225563767
H,0,1.8372791334,2.467329572,-5.714865511
H,0,1.8218387264,5.1920480617,-4.2146955081
H,0,-0.080110963,2.769888843,-4.2903350482
H,0,-0.317049572,4.3694151373,-3.3884901668
H,0,3.1113717173,2.8725947604,-2.8315956448

Structure 6 SM B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinesm2gp $\mathrm{E}($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.570066577$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.239188 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.252196 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.253141 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.199987 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.330878 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -519.317870 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -519.316926 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -519.370079 |


| Total | 158.256 | 47.528 | 111.871 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

C,0,1.9307579907,0.1578658964,-2.067640445
C,0,1.6256408535,-0.5469113346,-0.9801210809
C,0,0.2860993166,-1.1859383815,-0.8003152236
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.2855994946,-2.7205846321,-0.6094058726$
C, $0,0.8767910321,-3.0952428686,0.7330127798$
C, $0,0.9607719418,-3.4249104097,-1.7682406264$
C, $0,2.4890897594,-3.3367962913,-1.855337372$
O,0,3.2429838034,-3.1773427708,-0.9307872088
C,0,-1.1600442764,-3.1615418094,-0.6128619389
O,0,2.8333689362,-3.5526770443,-3.1173532601
C, $0,4.2592353329,-3.6451285774,-3.405067978$
Н, 0, 4.3171326926,-3.8544949718,-4.4688949316
H,0,4.742840448,-2.6996463262,-3.1641087995
H,0,4.7009317282,-4.4514529525,-2.8212833613
H,0,2.3282415631,-0.6055772625,-0.1560431524
H,0,2.8776326359,0.6777322075,-2.1508406241
H,0,1.2440023237,0.2608670457,-2.9023403214
H,0,-1.6952282782,-2.6060948936,0.155138834
Н, $0,-1.5956713006,-2.9592673751,-1.5899712268$

```
H,0,-1.2054328756,-4.2273915271,-0.3962646327
H,0,0.2573436958,-2.6412035087,1.5048982907
H,0,0.8534825974,-4.1800174684,0.8282337528
H,0,1.9022088406,-2.7512140747,0.7908114298
H,0,-0.349209958,-0.9969359336,-1.6666579551
H,0,-0.2197554708,-0.8021735488,0.0890972347
H,0,0.5209340825,-3.0397649334,-2.687027029
H,0,0.7047330906,-4.4841432533,-1.6907442817
```


## Structure 7 SM B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glycinesm3gp $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.456559684$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.240035 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253136 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.254080 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.200192 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.216525 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -519.203424 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -519.202479 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -519.256368 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} /$ Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 158.845 | 47.594 | 113.418 |

C, $0,1.9774414347,0.4350136938,-1.8061697652$
C, $0,1.4515765112,-0.2165518638,-0.7637459075$
C, $0,0.1753740841,-0.9973521499,-0.8529911034$
N,0,0.322797931,-2.5301482166,-0.6404702783
C,0,-1.0649696433,-3.1248190197,-0.6932256985
C, $0,0.936073916,-2.793555826,0.7151487849$
C, $0,1.1897283942,-3.0738836839,-1.760625885$
C, $0,1.5121038078,-4.5695036077,-1.8543708599$
O, $0,1.0074365328,-5.3396843944,-0.884390427$
C,0,1.3222058547,-6.7661010091,-0.9757577837
O,0,2.1877984444,-4.951222828,-2.7776504652
H,0,0.8373068016,-7.2167107594,-0.1124932444
Н, $0,0.9244527912,-7.1676989937,-1.9082055252$
H,0,2.4032374299,-6.903629731,-0.9397171209
H,0,1.9080117513,-0.114966349,0.2182265184
H,0,2.8653601685,1.0492351893,-1.6947366681
H,0,1.5327694462,0.3971894049,-2.7980877746
H,0,-1.6664321202,-2.6687932997,0.093698862
H,0,-1.5035561695,-2.9067654552,-1.6679193827
Н, $0,-0.9951600907,-4.1992244438,-0.5407959293$
Н, $0,0.3512756671,-2.2600533824,1.4654485351$
H,0,0.915685095,-3.8633371313,0.9066388807
H,0,1.9637864204,-2.4327139977,0.7159379711
Н, $0,-0.2947801142,-0.8782495741,-1.8317910431$
Н, $0,-0.5365891502,-0.681873684,-0.0847853125$
H,0,0.7069330608,-2.8003242339,-2.701982422
H,0,2.1419887451,-2.5422676535,-1.7175129557


## Deprotonated Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure

## Structure 5 Int B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glydeprotonsmgp


$E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.029844334$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225306 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238293 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239237 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.186380 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.804538 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.791551 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.790607 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.843464 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.531 | 47.611 | 111.247 |

C,0,2.0963267025,-0.9379780431,-2.3916830212
O,0,2.7294472976,0.3420620422,-2.4052250393
C, $0,2.9165213143,0.9014494235,-3.6591640307$
O,0,2.4685715613,0.3314709248,-4.6813506887
C,0,3.626943214,2.1018099743,-3.6045531644
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.7926763956,2.8777620104,-4.8505768016$
C,0,4.579759124,2.0848011652,-5.8684588652
C,0,4.559687162,4.1208305249,-4.5328746328
C, $0,1.6113387674,4.2216682735,-4.7390168376$
C,0,0.7102595475,3.8256074698,-3.8328362442
C,0,2.4366483158,3.2661863073,-5.5381490984
H,0,2.0257349863,-1.2161867534,-1.3381988783
H,0,1.0980273448,-0.8963472445,-2.8380875636
H,0,2.6886611336,-1.6805899194,-2.9362328427
H,0,3.8110238453,2.6437535854,-2.6914348558
H,0,5.5501197838,1.8573510777,-5.4284568122

```
H,0,4.0307649948,1.1633260928,-6.0589216448
H,0,4.6938521225,2.6758762541,-6.7819442291
H,0,5.5224382473,3.8275830251,-4.1131645969
H,0,4.7012578498,4.7017224056,-5.4468357116
H,0,4.0027745616,4.7017161677,-3.7983086931
H,0,2.700759315,3.688857484,-6.5120816352
H,0,1.94295522,2.3025222687,-5.6738071003
H,0,1.7666155686,5.2856406168,-4.9121312311
H,0,0.525930653,2.7730407336,-3.6394269339
H,0,0.1302629716,4.5447061331,-3.2610048474
```

Structure 6 Int B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glydeprotonsm2gp $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.023999757$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224940 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= | 0.238048 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.238992 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185707 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.799060 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.785952 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.785008 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.838293 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 149.377 | 47.742 | 112.148 |

C,0,1.7860965126,0.3642808477,-1.9649805489
C,0,1.5235460737,-0.4216384399,-0.9180841431
C, $0,0.225195749,-1.1483220854,-0.7736047421$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3365338853,-2.6943381975,-0.6626584972$
C,0,1.0318800928,-3.3386888497,-1.7990608641
C, $0,2.4275108434,-3.3019310386,-1.9007552364$
O,0,2.8527484933,-3.8236868957,-3.1155861759
C,0,4.267141157,-3.9199534802,-3.2793855311
С,0,-1.0720461294,-3.2128297705,-0.6431458408
C, $0,0.9650285912,-3.0555343391,0.6635368135$
O,0,3.2646019161,-2.9045383427,-1.0628505177
H,0,4.4169142043,-4.3349927743,-4.2783734346
H,0,4.746303489,-2.9388175157,-3.2035514454
H,0,4.7116328951,-4.5816578752,-2.5286038681
H,0,2.2555109177,-0.5289506541,-0.1235991442
H,0,2.7191990303,0.9141073226,-2.0362878908
H, $0,1.0852934158,0.4747848549,-2.7890044148$
H,0,-1.6203787846,-2.7503396047,0.1807864554
H,0,-1.5500978242,-2.9685158638,-1.5926992442
H,0,-1.0326034685,-4.2947313737,-0.5243440665
H,0,0.4189084279,-2.5469772903,1.4624415834
H,0,0.8948818395,-4.1376697128,0.7724240525
H,0,2.0151675281,-2.7722705847,0.6284846192
H,0,-0.4043110477,-0.9713406262,-1.6482456521
H,0,-0.3118112746,-0.8187580271,0.1253420438


Structure 10 Int B3LYP/6-31+G**, File glydeprotonsm6gp
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.021499282$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
0.225184 (Hartree/Particle)
0.238174
0.239118

| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.186406 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -518.796315 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.783326 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.782381 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.835093 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.456 | 47.834 | 110.941 |

C,0,2.5376009103,-1.3253660022,-1.0387398202
O,0,3.1306176126,-2.5723160585,-1.4177587859 C,0,3.4706608326,-2.6759827551,-2.7869020099
C,0,4.1164330955,-3.8733483917,-3.1317495042
$\mathrm{N}, 0,4.2802063758,-5.0253990798,-2.2168367032$
C,0,4.9799052497,-6.1096504158,-2.979328366
O,0,3.198398182,-1.7437201908,-3.5506893953
C,0,5.1464591879,-4.676476083,-1.029942955
C,0,2.9347535854,-5.6028813646,-1.6445545853
C, $0,2.0512465708,-6.2072346265,-2.6877511413$
C,0,1.1728661704,-5.4983534055,-3.4064211109
H, $0,2.3406690395,-1.4048247214,0.0328733924$
Н,0,1.605622521,-1.1492193017,-1.5831487628
H,0,3.2167002976,-0.4914759363,-1.2380375193
H,0,4.2215545871,-4.1192348007,-4.1759530098
H,0,6.1004265401,-4.3179670527,-1.4147472642
H,0,5.2849414067,-5.5643861235,-0.4059226269
H,0,4.6540196959,-3.882359154,-0.4739885114
H,0,5.9404603145,-5.7198173511,-3.316633827
Н,0,4.3723239197,-6.3817906085,-3.8413887908
H,0,5.1223616218,-6.9751623985,-2.3285858341
H,0,3.2142699611,-6.3416614671,-0.8873545225
$\mathrm{H}, 0,2.4748796094,-4.7417838932,-1.1613700397$
Н,0,2.1519306428,-7.2765769689,-2.8665418536
Н,0,1.045034261,-4.4299163663,-3.260934322
Н,0,0.5583468087,-5.9696894826,-4.1683991311

## Rearranged Product 2 Optimized Structure

Structure 5 Prod B3LYP/6-31+G**, File productoptgp
$\mathrm{E}($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.089430444$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224797 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238216 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239161 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184216 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.864634 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.851214 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.850270 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.905215 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.483 | 47.055 | 115.641 |

```
C,0,2.9810145675,-0.5501971521,-1.8291829802
O,0,2.9484539422,0.8279498254,-2.2539876513
C,0,2.6820012714,1.0392223151,-3.5644266819
O,0,2.4842114115,0.1376132892,-4.3559815231
C,0,2.7188807407,2.5345612998,-3.921702991
N,0,3.9304203207,2.9069532495,-4.6593316333
C,0,4.1022783149,2.3095306302,-5.982023359
C,0,5.1480427918,2.8186333313,-3.8605726609
C,0,1.373199279,4.3647271424,-5.0658068663
C,0,1.4201976085,2.9162897434,-4.6604190956
C,0,0.9654180005,4.8105023425,-6.2570454215
H,0,3.2034021435,-0.5200677453,-0.7630130736
H,0,2.0141918556,-1.0259788901,-2.0098376554
H,0,3.7561184371,-1.0969690836,-2.3712121462
H,0,2.7552239932,3.0902818837,-2.9784744071
H,0,4.3061829747,1.2262127804,-5.9540820148
H,0,3.213570132,2.4752598156,-6.5934630729
H,0,4.9426717261,2.8083850171,-6.4756450781
H,0,5.4720930871,1.7815349894,-3.6534604505
H,0,5.9615539426,3.3214712596,-4.393644848
H,0,5.0027994145,3.3260854054,-2.9019879997
H,0,0.9246486666,5.8719479463,-6.4833524011
H,0,0.6571858511,4.1289857465,-7.0472375543
H,0,1.6800550387,5.0851732747,-4.3070459556
H,0,1.2654080751,2.2642010639,-5.5254260995
H,0,0.5904524135,2.7054725198,-3.9695003792
```

Structure 6 Prod B3LYP/6-31+G**, File productopt2gp $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.086092530$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224926 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy= $=$ | 0.238103 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= $=$ | 0.239047 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184996 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.861166 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.847989 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.847045 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.901097 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} /$ Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.412 | 46.730 | 113.761 |

C,0,-0.0945067162,0.0480261675,-0.8378040638
Н,0,-0.4333512478,0.6530657508,-0.0020707412
Н, $0,-0.7987524403,-0.0931351102,-1.6558573336$
C, $0,1.1274583015,-0.4916483864,-0.8574097083$
Н, $0,1.7947525987,-0.3348511673,-0.0118295393$

```
C,0,1.6754532628,-1.3094410602,-1.99864326
H,0,0.869197012,-1.5946432142,-2.6823975139
H,0,2.3693889756,-0.6996784426,-2.5932989117
C,0,2.4627891763,-2.5808308635,-1.5681921521
H,0,2.7275262647,-3.1232586328,-2.496796465
N,0,1.7249333323,-3.4361755167,-0.6434757147
C,0,0.4089467697,-3.8151602612,-1.1510038159
H,0,-0.2292225131,-2.9380145099,-1.2699415182
H,0,0.4567343714,-4.3560707151,-2.1175702558
H,0,-0.0709045631,-4.4736701049,-0.42160566
C,0,2.4891832436,-4.6253639233,-0.270542543
H,0,1.9123200861,-5.2108853081,0.4509172155
H,0,2.7163718944,-5.2761369474,-1.1381773853
H,0,3.4268910635,-4.3396908791,0.2104798901
C,0,3.784184038,-2.1098287697,-0.9495949079
O,0,3.9824357322,-1.8413013701,0.2158277267
O,0,4.724892107,-1.9660094636,-1.9133875926
C,0,5.9907764231,-1.4285795069,-1.4823271664
H,0,6.6069552441,-1.3836901294,-2.3798057478
H,0,5.8563091297,-0.4318381512,-1.0550974101
H,0,6.4456394537,-2.0809954849,-0.7333714257
```


## Structure 7 Prod B3LYP/6-31+G**, File productopt3gp

 $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.088956058$| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224896 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238292 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239236 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184293 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.864060 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.850664 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.849720 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.904663 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.530 | 47.027 | 115.637 |

C, $0,2.0888564107,-1.2511133937,-1.3346345395$
C, $0,0.8939044856,-0.7697710936,-0.9783519797$
C, $0,0.1708322336,0.3403084682,-1.6919073649$
C,0,-0.1992048699,1.5043143281,-0.74786495
C,0,-0.7094461602,2.7536686215,-1.485662465
O,0,-1.3781105871,2.4642602426,-2.6249469437
$\mathrm{N}, 0,-1.1221381797,1.0873698351,0.3210454534$
C,0,-2.4952708417,0.8101215393,-0.0939045061
C,0,-1.0825554189,1.9412589956,1.5070224357
O,0,-0.5801080186,3.8870765594,-1.0635837102
H,0,0.383381079,-1.1864890568,-0.1117472527
H,0,-0.7384716484,-0.0467345046,-2.1668519183
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7950008112,0.7313326357,-2.5035820656$
Н,0,-1.6418832919,1.4518886302,2.3115237321

```
H,0,-0.0473848626,2.0658147534,1.8377024837
H,0,-1.513508125,2.9437457482,1.344025376
H,0,-3.0120493446,0.2975911294,0.7238423373
H,0,-3.0738519801,1.7193990419,-0.3403215861
H,0,-2.5114927388,0.1514568328,-0.9649015897
H,0,2.6334548989,-0.8574469323,-2.1907662711
H,0,2.5627337003,-2.0591471128,-0.7845978987
H,0,0.714169538,1.8358099655,-0.2441412284
C,0,-1.9314049319,3.5898944797,-3.3366502579
H,0,-2.4086303374,3.1679736877,-4.2206466446
H,0,-2.6616561712,4.112632625,-2.7145173962
H,0,-1.138355649,4.2861219748,-3.6192372498
```

Structure 10 Prod B3LYP/6-31+G**, File productopt6gp
$E(R B+H F-L Y P)=-519.085743514$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225141 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238257 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239201 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.185439 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.860603 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.847487 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.846543 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.900304 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 149.508 | 46.621 | 113.151 |

C,0,2.4925174939,-1.7806368871,-0.5036817525
O,0,3.1050555386,-2.9120426732,-1.153209618
C,0,3.4001901903,-2.750115633,-2.4540172009
C,0,3.950917855,-4.0107772137,-3.1302934848
N,0,4.7402388886,-4.8563588027,-2.2310343391
C,0,5.1722029266,-6.1073663324,-2.8519565685
O,0,3.1939238102,-1.719845597,-3.0665930506
C,0,5.9014024473,-4.1358045047,-1.7075918829
C, $0,0.425934952,-5.1347872733,-2.8920143038$
C, $0,1.7470923021,-5.318322868,-2.8123131418$
C,0,2.7440205986,-4.7426823093,-3.7832681665
H,0,2.3372027542,-2.0911865182,0.5290834623
Н,0,1.5405236155,-1.5387439296,-0.9819093877
Н,0,3.1502869693,-0.9094064931,-0.5523279813
H,0,4.5734373355,-3.6281479412,-3.9617997723
H,0,6.606194313,-3.8290323549,-2.5048144529
H,0,6.4391797044,-4.7789696377,-1.005029197
H,0,5.5836353165,-3.2439128597,-1.1638360201
H,0,5.7608032736,-5.9520488723,-3.7779088344
H,0,4.3205970353,-6.7486739596,-3.088321405
H,0,5.8023477665,-6.6501518298,-2.1418958129
H,0,-0.254235321,-5.5890928328,-2.1772513427
Н, $0,-0.0210338763,-4.5252730545,-3.6748383536$

## G03 Calculations: Solution Phase Structures

Glycine Salt 1 Rearrangement Transition Structures
(5) $B 3 L Y P / 6-31+G * *$, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.71$, File glycinetsdielec

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.021527425$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.222849 (Hartree/Particle)
0.235645
0.236589
0.184467
-518.798678
-518.785882
-518.784938
-518.837060

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 147.870 | 47.057 | 109.700 |

C,0,2.0496246032,-0.8621081873,-2.3656079406
O,0,2.7352277692,0.3869953971,-2.4605292526
C,0,2.8218019245,0.921380218,-3.7294165094
C,0,3.4948296784,2.1766047636,-3.7084766566
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.8119366512,2.8854697458,-4.8591805182$
C,0,4.6917401267,4.0391949394,-4.5703256105
O,0,2.3388488309,0.3302260036,-4.7047010191
C,0,4.3048583408,2.1133486849,-6.0270897515
C,0,2.127516902,3.801853158,-5.6745977901
C,0,1.4992320483,4.2940973247,-4.5045835041
C,0,0.9150652857,3.4441204627,-3.6111400252

```
H,0,2.0734205293,-1.1274351447,-1.3070784289
H,0,1.0145894928,-0.7726778151,-2.7107130779
H,0,2.5496529173,-1.6330542036,-2.9601513661
H,0,3.737850702,2.6311157348,-2.7602704195
H,0,5.3094693097,1.7328350275,-5.8102938615
H,0,3.6303066821,1.2805357044,-6.2131883013
H,0,4.3448908757,2.776256299,-6.8942080723
H,0,5.6599473336,3.685012231,-4.1995014047
H,0,4.8405545873,4.6203040304,-5.4814275089
H,0,4.2221502629,4.6669479026,-3.8118508348
H,0,2.5587268645,4.4968040115,-6.3904806549
H,0,1.7682796002,2.8665719318,-6.0931209388
H,0,1.6685350661,5.3314654646,-4.2191328834
H,0,0.6754072961,2.4229761054,-3.8861700208
H,0,0.5697893195,3.7886242099,-2.6407996481
```

(6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.71$, File glycinets2dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.018399642$


C,0,1.6613643611,-0.2281364085,-2.2388211149
C, $0,1.4321144931,-0.2686086739,-0.9024054036$
C,0,0.206729452,-0.7905565547,-0.3851189263
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3688502369,-2.7436844088,-0.6538455605$
C,0,1.0952803234,-3.0839405485,-1.7990269533
C,0,2.5094570722,-3.2217548475,-1.8402575469
O,0,2.9173712288,-3.5877376891,-3.115410739
C,0,4.323511692,-3.7528409809,-3.279536326
C,0,-1.0559609296,-3.1489375345,-0.7649810048
C,0,0.9287525279,-3.212395409,0.6461799082
O,0,3.3378807854,-3.0889470946,-0.9296157809
H,0,4.4633277823,-4.0511428424,-4.3208292269
H,0,4.8591033199,-2.8181745125,-3.0801375044
H,0,4.7149695694,-4.5250940455,-2.6095597216
H,0,2.259127687,-0.1067311827,-0.2144721115
H,0,2.6381383766,0.0181644888,-2.6434672478
H,0,0.8594333162,-0.3747915331,-2.9558918652
H,0,-1.623210016,-2.7092295854,0.0577307859
H, $0,-1.4615427836,-2.7948610364,-1.7136242835$
Н, $0,-1.1310704071,-4.2393757155,-0.7248990992$

```
H,0,0.2699530902,-2.8751846083,1.4483743174
H,0,0.9746466781,-4.3057029897,0.638204985
H,0,1.9346816255,-2.8199639983,0.7662955631
H,0,-0.6770572902,-0.6598835337,-1.0049122212
H,0,0.009526502,-0.7109366721,0.6809977495
H,0,0.5320203069,-3.1599620832,-2.7173456713
```

(7) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.71$, File glycinets3dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.014229250$

| Zero-point correction= 0.222880 (Hartree/Particle) |  | 0.222880 (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Therm | rrection to En |  | . 235684 |
| Therm | rrection to En | alpy $=0.23$ | 0.236628 |
| Therm | rrection to Gib | F Free Energy= | 0.184176 |
| Sum of | tronic and zer | point Energies= | -518.791350 |
| Sum of | tronic and the | mal Energies= | -518.778545 |
| Sum | tronic and the | mal Enthalpies= | -518.777601 |
| Sum | tronic and the | al Free Energies= | $=\quad-518.830053$ |
|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.894 | 47.018 | 110.396 |

C,0,2.3115051683,-1.286930338,-1.9390345159
C,0,1.9007096506,-1.1407026539,-0.6544201514
C, $0,0.5255577535,-0.9083487468,-0.3443610535$
N,0,-0.3370515564,-2.6544703009,-0.6788546397
C,0,-0.1996158691,-3.3411352057,0.6364864263
C,0,0.1620192076,-3.3102945828,-1.8119614038
C,0,1.2265022856,-4.2508732139,-1.9368223602
O,0,1.5527188382,-4.7834946875,-3.0043536437
C, $0,-1.7525401871,-2.2403377827,-0.8739920667$
O, $0,1.8788078433,-4.5875194885,-0.7614928846$
C, $0,2.9282303123,-5.5472714821,-0.8982524093$
H,0,3.3076659051,-5.7118796865,0.1121751991
Н,0,2.5528865432,-6.4838348637,-1.3210832957
H,0,3.7257386075,-5.1676915798,-1.5450743798
H,0,2.5783729367,-1.400676071,0.1563652927
H,0,3.3155633956,-1.6192195753,-2.1842229246
H,0,1.6711411449,-1.0169319926,-2.7727562689
H,0,-2.0459813494,-1.5617543679,-0.0707738638
Н,0,-1.851140299,-1.7293022927,-1.8323325959
Н,0,-2.3973285265,-3.1237571248,-0.8674631559
H,0,-0.6997006208,-2.735802078, 1.3941009172
Н, 0,-0.669542847,-4.3269668908,0.5783096554
H,0,0.85095118,-3.4620890743,0.8792427318
H,0,-0.0538535703,-0.3596702471,-1.0828792515
H,0,0.2492062243,-0.6856441989,0.6834418614
H,0,-0.2894391712,-3.0119524738,-2.7474002193
(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.71$, File glycinets6dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.017001125$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.222747 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235572 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.236516 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.184333 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -518.794254 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.781429 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.780485 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.832669 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.824 | 47.199 | 109.829 |

C,0,2.4647046393,-1.3226897455,-1.1594472531
H,0,2.3172812367,-1.3395234194,-0.0782648457
Н,0,1.5124762026,-1.1530930798,-1.6703922372
H,0,3.1585785099,-0.5241312292,-1.4373362562
O,0,3.0039711054,-2.6065306442,-1.4936775223
C,0,3.2962700128,-2.800608695,-2.8348234457
O,0,3.0439227401,-1.9245011074,-3.665092917
C,0,3.8672104221,-4.0743468969,-3.1404544971
H,0,3.9516043747,-4.3059265813,-4.1914482303
N,0,4.2922706096,-5.0514342785,-2.2458163411
C,0,5.0499917763,-4.6326310646,-1.0398577377
Н,0,6.0282190165,-4.2431973986,-1.3432706585
H,0,5.1883064753,-5.5020074141,-0.3937401057
Н, $0,4.4989426793,-3.861022506,-0.5105962048$
C,0,5.0006906842,-6.1475688884,-2.9470397392
H,0,5.9252246507,-5.765538028,-3.3941833903
Н, $0,4.3554150752,-6.5449286334,-3.7312889601$
H,0,5.2394345764,-6.9404250168,-2.2373697406
C,0,2.6848638197,-6.0637384501,-1.3827737655
H,0,3.1594613681,-6.9138520301,-0.8999085515
H,0,2.4587045389,-5.2273879157,-0.7290691645
C, $0,1.8654135548,-6.2683334915,-2.5197626161$
C, $0,1.2194003914,-5.2295935149,-3.1238791837$
Н,0,1.9210639472,-7.2276401779,-3.0325198467
H,0,1.0839467692,-4.2800864389,-2.619115216
H,0,0.7248798237,-5.348353354,-4.0834865735

## Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure

[^0]H,0,4.6289439852,4.7868030557,-5.508927215
H,0,4.0893952374,4.796935919,-3.8054967909
H,0,2.5758937288,3.9939711634,-6.3109426409
H,0,1.8465940346,2.4758366226,-5.7339899794
H,0,1.8152512038,5.1708278632,-4.1824707401
H,0,-0.0774827385,2.744892022,-4.3158429333
H,0,-0.3245979961,4.3274628067,-3.3840803289
H,0,3.1328955136,2.8725136835,-2.8305306051
(6) $B 3 L Y P / 6-31+G^{* *}$, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.58$, File glycinesm2dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.461180009$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.240086 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253089 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.254033 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.200891 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.221094 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -519.208091 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -519.207147 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $=$ | -519.260289 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 158.816 | 47.480 | 111.848 |

C,0,1.915002508,0.1437270812,-2.1179367808
C, $0,1.6081972823,-0.5470527283,-1.0158474858$
C, $0,0.2676197243,-1.1873272069,-0.8261727187$
N,0,0.275387462,-2.7164031536,-0.5938980994
C,0,0.8621930722,-3.0545562362,0.7618187116
C, $0,0.96302982,-3.4470755529,-1.7286020935$
C,0,2.4883431727,-3.3349830979,-1.8239859036
O,0,3.2585571096,-3.1692562033,-0.9034885349
C, $0,-1.1731120619,-3.1689233245,-0.5942612875$
O,0,2.8359888072,-3.5468497294,-3.0933681546
C,0,4.2584739056,-3.6223571924,-3.3752713747
H,0,4.3223981701,-3.8337509439,-4.4406703926
H,0,4.7324981972,-2.6693732695,-3.1341193203
H,0,4.7110760376,-4.4229406955,-2.7876681213
H,0,2.3098885329,-0.5877835116,-0.1872949986
H,0,2.8607995551,0.6691589665,-2.2043350421
H,0,1.2282344184,0.2327307625,-2.9564973956
Н,0,-1.7168153809,-2.5977038239,0.1583619762
H,0,-1.6061261948,-2.992654392,-1.5793801333
Н, $0,-1.2130183726,-4.2307889456,-0.3504730334$
H,0,0.2387853929,-2.5811571904,1.5208024119
Н, $, 0,0.837673613,-4.1378719143,0.8862632079$
H,0,1.8888305024,-2.7073363365,0.8136120507
H,0,-0.3658292582,-1.0238426665,-1.7011430937
H,0,-0.24541865,-0.7804424218,0.050694689
H,0,0.5144881952,-3.1020105266,-2.6612047478
Н, 0,0.7252764396,-4.5094447466,-1.6152393352
(7) B3LYP/6-31 $+G^{* *}$, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.58$, File glycinesm3dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.572131116$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.238993 | (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.252136 |  |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.253080 |  |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.199141 |  |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.333138 |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -519.319995 |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -519.319051 |  |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -519.372990 |  |


(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.58$, File glycinesm6dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.460297524$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.239846 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253066 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.254010 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.199077 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.220452 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= } & -519.207232 \\ \text { Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies }= & -519.206288 \\ \text { Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies }= & -519.261221\end{array}$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} /$ Mol | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 158.801 | 47.675 | 115.616 |

C,0,0.8203023641,-4.7358703833,-4.134772976
C,0,1.8014216709,-5.5222726009,-3.6815273698
C,0,2.3995307605,-5.3665247871,-2.314830239
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.8951632736,-4.9651280066,-2.3145301743$
C,0,4.3593877117,-4.8236103442,-0.8804891733
C,0,4.715576626,-6.0643460273,-2.9594041572
C, $0,4.1473788763,-3.6985603302,-3.1112856931$
C,0,3.424094011,-2.3929377079,-2.7680039542
O,0,2.7493850606,-2.3882609617,-1.6128045543
C,0,2.1045287745,-1.1313062849,-1.2519914882
O,0,3.5193519425,-1.4547880028,-3.5236764303
H,0,0.42573002,-3.9090759798,-3.5487662698
H,0,0.3586406657,-4.9145198947,-5.1006500426
H,0,2.1299733966,-6.3670358723,-4.2829009719
H,0,2.3672073969,-6.307164522,-1.7573960435
H,0,1.885331614,-4.5982014125,-1.7392347287
Н,0,5.4145278218,-4.5467708596,-0.8813306462
Н,0,3.7663699641,-4.0590572953,-0.3858404737
H,0,4.234195355,-5.7853993951,-0.3823578329
Н,0,5.7720639908,-5.8050539348,-2.8845449459
Н,0,4.4318458813,-6.1649759059,-4.0057151272
H,0,4.5273252573,-6.9973575397,-2.4276399078
H,0,3.9052063832,-3.9149266087,-4.1521304096
H,0,2.8574953351,-0.3474991473,-1.160646259
H,0,1.6219611327,-1.3255004976,-0.2962966237
Н,0,1.3746838884,-0.8600672545,-2.0160709644
H,0,5.2200298255,-3.4939074433,-3.0521785435

## Deprotonated Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure


$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.034687960$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225191 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238274 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239218 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185805 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.809497 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.796414 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.795470 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.848883 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 149.519 | 47.634 | 112.417 |

C,0,2.1236391472,-0.9518495375,-2.3754911864
O,0,2.7522308082,0.32208419,-2.391338911
C,0,2.917157654,0.8867905549,-3.6622557266
O,0,2.457888681,0.2937886947,-4.6674419489
C,0,3.6088828069,2.0905729015,-3.6113577476
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.7861694996,2.8758344876,-4.8518129629$
C,0,4.5727701355,2.0885612659,-5.8746366087
C,0,4.5609910761,4.1160429205,-4.5237566226
C,0,1.6207011563,4.2419828871,-4.734481056
C,0,0.6759440786,3.8624222075,-3.8680535866
C,0,2.435898212,3.2728332842,-5.5310536199
H,0,2.0628854804,-1.2354992899,-1.3208870928
H,0,1.1188906042,-0.9150506392,-2.8113228041
H,0,2.7073439712,-1.6977686846,-2.9282375651
H,0,3.8306112744,2.6116128363,-2.6948772899
H,0,5.5394827101,1.8496200009,-5.4327440499
H,0,4.0187724231,1.174114335,-6.0823625392
H,0,4.6991070364,2.6902829558,-6.778462827
H,0,5.5264114255,3.8167646604,-4.1153109717
Н,0,4.6990088406,4.7059780682,-5.431602935

H,0,4.0108779558,4.691442902,-3.7803331789
H,0,2.7000386565,3.6931295602,-6.5057414889
H,0,1.9275057229,2.3173137592,-5.6672345254
Н,0,1.8131889685,5.3022248281,-4.888079966
H,0,0.4548270118,2.8126954961,-3.6964264801
H,0,0.0981326631,4.5927153552,-3.3086253089

(7) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.52$, File glydeprotonsm3dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.029571002$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225145 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238254 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239198 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185888 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.804426 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.791317 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.790373 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.843683 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelv | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Tota | 149.507 | 47.81 | 112 |
| C, $0,1.8302083494,0.5304424465,-1.8537901512$ <br> C, $0,1.4697986284,-0.2830219681,-0.8579580664$ <br> C, $0,0.196579786,-1.0749009998,-0.8792641474$ <br> $\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3412174203,-2.5792401462,-0.6335185858$ <br> C, $0,0.9268995885,-2.8039225567,0.7458151973$ <br> C, $0,1.1731455525,-3.159149587,-1.7247214046$ <br> C,0,1.5002779109,-4.5085315839,-1.8491794592 <br> O,0,2.2354253503,-5.024545725,-2.7113563581 <br> C,0,-1.0661740955,-3.1375623397,-0.6366870306 <br> O,0,0.8990988199,-5.3470136669,-0.8753276476 <br> C, $0,1.1942699165,-6.7334617501,-1.001689448$ <br> H,0,0.67358655588,-7.2247001532,-0.1755884143 <br> H,0,0.8383647752,-7.1314450413,-1.9586215208 <br> H,0,2.2712623554,-6.9199568875,-0.9313722134 <br> H,0,2.0618378991,-0.3041838925,0.0537591563 <br> H,0,2.7066610989,1.1656407235,-1.7733318741 <br> H,0,1.2492444693,0.6074711476,-2.7697949112 <br> H,0,-1.6424070275,-2.6807372301,0.1720024561 <br> H,0,-1.5115524212,-2.909536571,-1.6041522451 <br> H,0,-0.9978086714,-4.2140271591,-0.5031532114 <br> H,0,0.3164759111,-2.2931511751,1.4953107086 <br> H,0,0.9388037561,-3.8767722381,0.9239009283 <br> Н,0,1.9456927669,-2.423568123,0.7494284384 <br> Н, $0,-0.2967155395,-0.9798875224,-1.8486146254$ <br> Н,0,-0.4843559978,-0.7139938367,-0.1011949954 <br> H,0,1.6715458395,-2.4287941639,-2.3383095751 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.52$, File glydeprotonsm6dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-519.030135107$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225290 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238332 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.239276 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.186155 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.804845 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.791803 |


| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.790859 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.843980 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 149.556 | 47.756 | 111.803 |

C,0,1.0753239842,-4.9229056452,-4.3427214639
C, $0,1.7529377156,-5.7695150024,-3.5610260962$
C, $0,2.3618714605,-5.3721239411,-2.252353122$
N,0,3.8411367894,-4.8782978062,-2.3649147566
C,0,4.6446332942,-5.9288041929,-3.0778470106
C,0,4.0042302255,-3.6045244134,-3.1096773658
C,0,3.4120455661,-2.3898061578,-2.7608503351
O,0,3.4578199927,-1.3242176274,-3.4021605951
C,0,4.3821952011,-4.7832147729,-0.9559626092
O,0,2.7032405365,-2.4205058383,-1.5357972759
C,0,2.1382535962,-1.1787512772,-1.125848343
Н, $0,0.9385459746,-3.8807787939,-4.0681419333$
Н,0,0.6381045075,-5.2523063712,-5.2810950329
Н, $0,1.8653827688,-6.808108345,-3.8659340211$
Н,0,2.3744177471,-6.2171960913,-1.5583274772
H,0,1.8387763203,-4.5347487118,-1.793183841
H,0,5.4227566992,-4.4685162345,-1.0250629094
Н,0,3.8041876611,-4.0358543127,-0.4184957442
H,0,4.3043187627,-5.758852354,-0.4701098529
H,0,5.6884744545,-5.6151498362,-3.0788443005
H,0,4.2837001149,-6.0143343591,-4.1017018048
H,0,4.5340481226,-6.8820735503,-2.5583338966
H,0,4.4210658081,-3.7179324763,-4.0968589867
H,0,2.9128149133,-0.4164201682,-0.9885390255
H,0,1.6371419973,-1.379672312,-0.1757213987
H,0,1.4162607861,-0.8071574086,-1.8606168017

## Rearranged Product 2 Optimized Structure

(5) $\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, \mathrm{a} 0=4.52$, File productoptdielec

$E($ RB+HF-LYP $)=-519.089960586$

(6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.52$, File productopt2dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.086815913$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224867 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238077 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239021 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184813 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.861949 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.848739 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.847795 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.902003 |


|  | $\mathrm{E} \text { (Thermal) }$ | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.396 | 46.769 | 114.090 |
| C, $0,-0$ | 37695,0.04 | $2729,-0.82995$ | 985 |
| H, $0,-0$ | 33542,0.64 | 99674,0.007346 |  |
| H,0,-0 | 5136,-0.090 | 799,-1.650176 |  |
| C,0,1. | 66741,-0.4888 | 15249,-0.84955 |  |
| H,0,1. | 95249,-0.333 | 16382,-0.003045 | 4863 |
| C,0,1. | 69237,-1.299 | 395965,-1.992832 | 881 |
| H,0,0. | 880137,-1.585 | 208092,-2.67951675 | 7558 |
| H,0,2. | 597693,-0.683 | 52386,-2.584178 | 511 |
| C,0,2. | 25539,-2.56 | 32167,-1.56608 | 335 |
| H,0,2. | 06335,-3.108 | 88298,-2.49514 |  |
| N,0,1. | 96593,-3.429 | 88868,-0.6416270 |  |
| C,0,0. | 54063,-3.822 | 469156,-1.160544 | 088 |
| H, $0,-0$ | 000938,-2.95 | 225263,-1.28394 | 917 |
| H,0,0. | 239896,-4.360 | 857159,-2.12687406 | 675 |
| H, $0,-0$ | 028382,-4.48 | 771056,-0.43578 | 0001 |
| C,0,2. | 76902,-4.613 | 652802,-0.270890 | 357 |
| H,0,1. | 09404,-5.207 | 344677,0.446124 | 728 |
| H,0,2. | 86824,-5.258 | 236435,-1.13924400 | 053 |
| H,0,3. | 698632,-4.320 | 17952,0.214356 | 069 |
| C,0,3. | 81467,-2.095 | 88233,-0.9480465 |  |
| O,0,3. | 708311,-1.796 | 557975,0.213055 | 012 |
| O,0,4. | 04797,-1.987 | 938604,-1.90553131 | 128 |
| C,0,6.0 | 331597,-1.456 | 394146,-1.485196 | 186 |
| H,0,6. | 566838,-1.446 | 074591,-2.3812757 | 7916 |
| H,0,5. | 538798,-0.4463 | 183422,-1.0875520 | 997 |
| H,0,6. | 26863,-2.095 | 171629,-0.716850 | 4969 |

(7) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.52$, File productopt3dielec $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{RB}+$ HF-LYP $)=-519.089337484$


O,0,-1.3478563032,2.4630513282,-2.6450237075
$\mathrm{N}, 0,-1.1281441232,1.0848865598,0.3236681224$
C, $0,-2.5071911626,0.8313419889,-0.0902253169$
C, $0,-1.0744369562,1.9268417551,1.5172719421$
O,0,-0.6303355493,3.8788075708,-1.0377611767
H,0,0.4065620709,-1.1771048615,-0.0980716168
H,0,-0.7492559405,-0.0656557665,-2.1464930635
$\mathrm{H}, 0,0.7713763229,0.7234568213,-2.5112624917$
H,0,-1.6401314202,1.4375664507,2.3175201446
Н,, ,-0.036675791,2.0320432924,1.8476581552
H,0,-1.4904448035,2.9370626859,1.3644402978
H,0,-3.0274405924,0.3107824892,0.7200361948
H,0,-3.0749286877,1.7522027899,-0.3174268085
H,0,-2.535223363,0.1886206917,-0.972497964
H,0,2.6261652109,-0.8513856367,-2.2104317835
H,0,2.5824437088,-2.0416630115,-0.793230955
H,0,0.708162801,1.8341158005,-0.2449716168
C,0,-1.9170017726,3.5829761165,-3.3550648518
H,0,-2.376684979,3.157901212,-4.2464994871
Н, $0,-2.663151439,4.0863711755,-2.7363181992$
H,0,-1.1343529684,4.2957716352,-3.6252756235
(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.52$, File productopt6dielec $\mathrm{E}($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.086209304$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225090 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238202 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239147 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185421 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.861119 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.848007 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.847063 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.900789 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.474 | 46.630 | 113.076 |

C,0,2.487779373,-1.7819295861,-0.5058834295
O,0,3.1017892831,-2.9079264221,-1.161729972
C,0,3.3963197536,-2.7433109156,-2.4610707631
C,0,3.9527317417,-4.0017179147,-3.1371001045
N,0,4.7352414621,-4.8483709583,-2.2351327498
C,0,5.1656013089,-6.1029817345,-2.8506732632
O,0,3.1853274825,-1.7125367801,-3.0732180904
C,0,5.8958116773,-4.1316722175,-1.7050311321
C, $0,0.4249085842,-5.114275385,-2.9193328403$
C, $0,1.7446302424,-5.3055511909,-2.8341085759$
C,0,2.7491362626,-4.7321723735,-3.7984721156
H,0,2.3366627366,-2.0974042331,0.5259267021
H,0,1.533325297,-1.5414692239,-0.9802297289
H,0,3.1429447759,-0.9085173416,-0.5524104065

H,0,4.5796617196,-3.616811329,-3.9648688546
H,0,6.6060750541,-3.8279063576,-2.4986958639
H,0,6.4273095247,-4.7762713747,-0.9995009265
H,0,5.5784331137,-3.2385732749,-1.1629214305
H,0,5.7580203225,-5.951808196,-3.7748429864
H,0,4.3133333244,-6.7431699195,-3.087428288
H,0,5.7914122546,-6.6452016312,-2.1368813542
H,0,-0.2611422106,-5.5677898651,-2.2098068511
H,0,-0.014451091,-4.4997370141,-3.7027907937
H,0,2.1447698027,-5.9153135184,-2.0259927188
H,0,2.2611885441,-4.0190442775,-4.4713366583
H,0,3.1509366601,-5.5285639651,-4.4363628043

## G09 Calculations: Solution Phase Structures

## Glycine Salt 1 Rearrangement Transition Structures

(5) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinetsg09

$E($ RB3LYP $)=-519.030461896$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=
0.222430 (Hartree/Particle)
0.235289
0.236233
0.183974
-518.808032
-518.795173
-518.794229
-518.846488

Total
E (Thermal) CV S

| $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147.646 | 47.281 | 109.988 |

```
C,0,2.8280507641,0.9119166699,-3.7261560083
C,0,3.4650335389,2.1867478488,-3.724905834
N,0,3.8206558858,2.8839346139,-4.865972667
C,0,4.712214967,4.0272320428,-4.5680373699
O,0,2.3871300251,0.2717237758,-4.6945147886
C,0,4.2972616826,2.119310433,-6.0432499887
C,0,2.1169626582,3.8744473635,-5.6992197342
C,0,1.4876580643,4.2798007394,-4.5039010014
C,0,0.9048984259,3.3661538213,-3.6676527796
H,0,2.0715605224,-1.0658324375,-1.2361253173
H,0,1.0122300634,-0.7638645071,-2.6460588278
H,0,2.5545103763,-1.6304666701,-2.8637564433
H,0,3.6913407653,2.6507027772,-2.776658122
H,0,5.2883601357,1.7030153879,-5.8293946173
H,0,3.6013199967,1.3122401785,-6.2558527697
H,0,4.3645341237,2.7978287723,-6.8951871553
H,0,5.6780323504,3.6602607755,-4.2031294264
H,0,4.8640869524,4.6126546744,-5.4750442794
H,0,4.2512680877,4.6543655618,-3.8041732751
H,0,2.5807649839,4.6072054229,-6.353002532
H,0,1.7828225199,2.9609905931,-6.1813019091
H,0,1.6670953653,5.2904579057,-4.1395027803
H,0,0.6497143448,2.3715876429,-4.0174576757
H,0,0.5580755308,3.6426164093,-2.6762187455
```

(6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinets2g09 $E($ RB3LYP $)=-519.029228927$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.222597 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235405 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.236349 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184030 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.806632 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -518.793824 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.792880 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.845199 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.719 | 47.120 | 110.115 |

C,0,1.6646225112,-0.2904658293,-2.2390273468
C,0,1.3937924142,-0.2368358537,-0.9045727051
C, $0,0.1628660926,-0.7258261744,-0.3933679992$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3774319546,-2.7525042325,-0.6434514461$
C,0,1.1208787358,-3.0525286935,-1.7797241608
C, $0,2.5295543802,-3.2359787726,-1.8256722172$
O,0,2.9226306035,-3.5847772639,-3.1084147139
C,0,4.3296649444,-3.7651605541,-3.3089600705
C, $,,-1.0413116214,-3.1724732519,-0.7678699265$
C,0,0.9305975468,-3.1746896314,0.6705803798
O,0,3.3667659916,-3.1593138559,-0.9106730642

```
Н,0,4.4428688019,-4.0349447061,-4.3597145832
H,0,4.8787969936,-2.8420479366,-3.1000318166
H,0,4.7213548777,-4.5649983231,-2.6742662511
H,0,2.2024389182,-0.0310932714,-0.2054333651
H,0,2.6522580529,-0.0674561726,-2.6315303005
H,0,0.8776359534,-0.4662662045,-2.9659441738
H,0,-1.6204797582,-2.7258963297,0.0417744639
Н,0,-1.438107362,-2.8359090369,-1.726117332
H,0,-1.108291212,-4.2631894666,-0.7096080128
H,0,0.2385010435,-2.8586957988,1.451667808
H,0,1.0304638807,-4.2646703457,0.6875376426
H,0,1.9076785264,-2.7244674684,0.8164348627
H,0,-0.7104228343,-0.6639929718,-1.0364700281
H,0,-0.0484895532,-0.6471558775,0.6692688841
H,0,0.5637001178,-3.1190719772,-2.7027905277
```

(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinets6g09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.027125741$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.222446 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.235297 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.236241 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.183994 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.804680 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.791829 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.790885 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.843132 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} /$ Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 147.651 | 47.296 | 109.963 |

C, $0,2.4719421222,-1.2895645714,-1.1934324898$
Н, 0, 2.3483814669,-1.2912706862,-0.1103247096
Н,0,1.5072441878,-1.1321804541,-1.6820445521
H,0,3.1629594455,-0.4977419294,-1.4932009523
O,0,3.0059440967,-2.5846230552,-1.5187874031
C,0,3.2785515491,-2.8202568244,-2.8427133059
O,0,3.0294735082,-1.9616967253,-3.7059176082
C,0,3.8349751529,-4.1024462747,-3.1354741118
H,0,3.920885444,-4.3401167946,-4.1855943093
N,0,4.2981370763,-5.059125223,-2.2453066851
C,0,5.0259972772,-4.6291957359,-1.0265862579
Н,0,5.9938897656,-4.2017464912,-1.3119600805
H,0,5.1882804963,-5.5038280271,-0.3944618081
Н,0,4.4442035154,-3.8870378975,-0.4886282257
C,0,5.0337552427,-6.1405505657,-2.9426955493
H,0,5.9574723588,-5.7415002801,-3.3762050151
Н,0,4.4073898266,-6.5481913053,-3.7366477693
H,0,5.2765844059,-6.9297134649,-2.2311554963
C,0,2.6700333229,-6.143090953,-1.383257763
H,0,3.1694649296,-7.0124227558,-0.9656105476

Н,0,2.4679842379,-5.337084547,-0.6850457186
C,0,1.8583081545,-6.2683534831,-2.5301459781
C, $0,1.2245224835,-5.1824708879,-3.0709705017$
H,0,1.9247163509,-7.1851916007,-3.1143783927
H,0,1.0747030609,-4.2764832571,-2.4941432633
H,0,0.7364495217,-5.2332062092,-4.0399265056

## Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure

## (5) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinesmg09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.537162910$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.240131 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253212 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.254156 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.200280 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.297032 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -519.283951 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -519.283007 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -519.336883 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 158.893 | 47.518 | 113.393 |

C, $0,2.1534896449,-0.9414872192,-2.2643437961$
O,0,2.8407647356,0.3300924061,-2.4160514486
C,0,2.8190434077,0.886548126,-3.624482364
O,0,2.2770569689,0.4134890104,-4.6045784984
C,0,3.5745546606,2.2094768622,-3.5563651044
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.7058691113,2.9652990813,-4.8564904142$
C, $0,4.4401622847,2.1453628067,-5.8944820138$
C, $0,4.5258155736,4.2037589193,-4.5686015629$
C, $0,1.4943148406,4.2036302368,-4.5166035797$
C,0,0.3069589592,3.7958297331,-4.0574246793
C,0,2.3330362327,3.3715835379,-5.4397802911
H,0,2.2892156868,-1.2117730953,-1.2195470349
H,0,1.0955133981,-0.8201366629,-2.5008977653
H,0,2.6053468462,-1.6863336113,-2.9208533498
H,0,4.5821837373,2.0215467531,-3.1798460299
H,0,5.4003756313,1.8401594447,-5.4795197767
H,0,3.8384776321,1.2768105239,-6.1481962329
H,0,4.5960061917,2.7721587955,-6.7713695591
H,0,5.5270563339,3.8951516472,-4.2705919971
H,0,4.573097761,4.8030420703,-5.477093175
H,0,4.0589534765,4.7704892903,-3.7652834674
H,0,2.5787321375,3.9187216804,-6.3533699709
H, $0,1.8342070456,2.4410485614,-5.7045865201$
H,0,1.8491166487,5.2032888818,-4.2778735622
H,0,-0.0960280422,2.814700667,-4.2959428742
Н, $0,-0.3059129184,4.4428356184,-3.4375127278$
(6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinesm2g09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.533323510$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.240119 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253156 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.254101 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.200905 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -519.293205 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -519.280167 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -519.279223 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -519.332419 |

C,0,1.9668400681,0.0774849981,-2.1361906205
C, $0,1.6174724358,-0.5657037681,-1.0177314988$
C, $0,0.2744275404,-1.2082277091,-0.8527239077$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.2888468929,-2.7323301473,-0.607360288$
C, $0,0.8710444944,-3.0563162635,0.7518494367$
C,0,0.9790522993,-3.4679166536,-1.736114173
C,0,2.4995595376,-3.3509233193,-1.8327985632
O,0,3.2779302886,-3.2493661086,-0.9059841412
С, $0,-1.1555037685,-3.1911842978,-0.61062103$
O,0,2.8495089876,-3.4817309594,-3.1127180191
C,0,4.2725427105,-3.5343743677,-3.3975980849
Н,0,4.3401759502,-3.680962424,-4.473337585
H,0,4.7417681991,-2.5945714929,-3.1028993807
H,0,4.7280683378,-4.3680959366,-2.8612069824
H, $0,2.2873590613,-0.5712033855,-0.162256776$
H,0,2.9191299465,0.5930318008,-2.2121674255
H,0,1.3122837835,0.1191620173,-3.0033509484
H,0,-1.7034330709,-2.6054266512,0.1261925701
H, $0,-1.5776237613,-3.0364396725,-1.6029685268$
Н, $0,-1.1875332448,-4.2473384424,-0.3466682369$
H, $0,0.2354007365,-2.5896094486,1.5033637191$
H,0,0.8669120984,-4.1388046076,0.8782438106
Н, $0,1.8878616555,-2.6847519309,0.812110164$
H,0,-0.3390854058,-1.05653076,-1.7424777772
H,0,-0.2548803538,-0.7964732742,0.0104793075
H, $0,0.5286396913,-3.1300541463,-2.668788351$
H,0,0.7500328897,-4.5302580491,-1.6153616917
(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glycinesm6g09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.534168792$

Zero-point correction=
0.240188 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy=
0.253313


## Deprotonated Glycine Salt 1 Starting Material Optimized Structure

## (5) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glydeprotonsmg09

 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.047416758$| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225075 |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Hartree/Particle) |  |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238266 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy= | 0.239210 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185194 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.822342 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.809151 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.808207 |

Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-518.862223$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.514 | 47.781 | 113.687 |
| C,0,2. | 7657,-0.934 | 91973,-2.28338 |  |
| O,0,2. | 15627,0.3307 | 05404,-2.36243 |  |
| C,0,2. | 43895,0.8838 | 09054,-3.64238 |  |
| O,0,2. | 27899,0.2819 | 70546,-4.63036 |  |
| C,0,3. | 0369,2.0790 | 975,-3.621556 |  |
| N,0,3. | 0757,2.8783 | 1375,-4.85949 |  |
| C, $0,4$. | 60197,2.0992 | 01972,-5.91984 |  |
| C, $0,4$. | 04975,4.1000 | 23902,-4.536580 | 807 |
| C,0,1. | 9131,4.20791 | 0757,-4.618106703 |  |
| C, $0,0$. | 39084,3.8289 | 26502,-4.055923 |  |
| C,0,2. | 903,3.30385 | 9781,-5.48271737 |  |
| H,0,2. | 1132,-1.203 | 508261,-1.225323 | 9961 |
| H,0,1. | 1401,-0.873 | 999971,-2.6405413 | 3676 |
| H,0,2. | 33069,-1.700 | 189857,-2.860558 | 6432 |
| H,0,3. | 92521,2.5907 | 57748,-2.711140 | 151 |
| H,0,5. | 61656,1.841508 | 8356,-5.5143321 |  |
| H,0,3. | 31933,1.19766 | 13865,-6.13394 | 594 |
| H,0,4. | 96462,2.71720 | 97784,-6.813673 | 991 |
| H,0,5. | 27245,3.775 | 31833,-4.188680 | 768 |
| H,0,4. | 71904,4.709195 | $59494,-5.435584$ | 056 |
| H,0,4. | 694734,4.663 | 68927,-3.749845 | 504 |
| H,0,2. | 22032,3.793876 | 6914,-6.4293686 |  |
| H,0,1. | 76715,2.3648 | 20516,-5.682564 |  |
| H,0,1. | 22941,5.2336 | $78621,-4.495475$ | 545 |
| H,0,0. | 58651,2.817 | 78173,-4.167465 |  |
| H,, ,-0 | 073196,4.522 | $501358,-3.472640$ | 471 |

## (6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glydeprotonsm2g09

 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.043899320$| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.225171 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.238270 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.239214 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=$ | 0.185645 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -518.818728 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.805629 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.804685 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -518.858255 |

Total

| E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| 149.517 | 47.670 | 112.747 |

C,0,1.7704868142,0.426204032,-1.8872786684
C,0,1.4382592971,-0.3456672273,-0.8483776029
C,0,0.1705775447,-1.1389521958,-0.8050564494
$\mathrm{N}, 0,0.3549623387,-2.6700388549,-0.6627671639$
C,0,1.0817389265,-3.2792112121,-1.8004188025
C, $0,2.4682998949,-3.3149076983,-1.8989739996$
O,0,2.8543129367,-3.8057926459,-3.1580364104
C,0,4.252853838,-4.0327344215,-3.3428530525
С, $0,-1.0337608033,-3.2582094705,-0.6464117923$
C, $0,0.9869999989,-2.9845617497,0.6714210321$
O,0,3.3553903105,-3.0166847055,-1.0624889637
H,0,4.3558956564,-4.4149042131,-4.360368467
H,0,4.8297722031,-3.1087818702,-3.2373168177
Н,0,4.6378531862,-4.7722501101,-2.6328598307
H,0,2.0718129047,-0.3645104585,0.0348439731
H,0,2.6674064088,1.0383320522,-1.8720157181
H,0,1.1549821482,0.4754558348,-2.7823554305
H,0,-1.599720624,-2.8211177442,0.1770653856
H,0,-1.5214011124,-3.0343357895,-1.5950895973
Н, $,--0.9436856647,-4.3360010235,-0.5216625226$
H,0,0.398843631,-2.5046277991,1.4551111428
H,0,0.9766415633,-4.0671213127,0.7947616981
H,0,2.0144104291,-2.6343856525,0.6631119585
H,0,-0.3996687783,-1.0028754563,-1.7253952808
Н,0,-0.447900984,-0.8311637999,0.0452945416
H,0,0.4520379357,-3.4615675079,-2.6582581616
(10) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File glydeprotonsm6g09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.043883469$

Zero-point correction=
Thermal correction to Energy=
Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
0.225120 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy=
0.238298

Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=
0.185174

Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-518.805585$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-518.804641$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-518.858709$

|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.535 | 47.808 | 113.797 |

C,0,2.5004854509,-1.3180273861,-1.1595274816
O,0,3.1150361372,-2.5619384397,-1.5140546968
C,0,3.5752955518,-2.6768431468,-2.8302899019
C,0,4.2054688996,-3.8776401706,-3.1347088876
$\mathrm{N}, 0,4.3251607398,-5.041347192,-2.2180048344$
C,0,5.0730882836,-6.1213641094,-2.9516081487
O,0,3.4075072776,-1.7161140855,-3.6239114802
C,0,5.1297295553,-4.7055790025,-0.9811843745
C,0,2.9645813396,-5.606099123,-1.7364693893
C,0,2.0683660154,-6.0831794307,-2.837770669
C,0,0.8907491412,-5.516004328,-3.116346989
H,0,2.221694729,-1.417971949,-0.1090865721
H, $0,1.6083972927,-1.1289873204,-1.7633464886$
H,0,3.1957496291,-0.4822902283,--1.2805907173
H,0,4.4735907945,-4.0928487748,-4.1566996452
H,0,6.1101588557,--4.3627031245,-1.3084826826
H,0,5.2227842332,-5.5982221498,-0.3591659314
H,0,4.6155301115,-3.9134876007,--0.443404435
H,0,6.0625352367,-5.7393416268,-3.2003761707
H,0,4.5373809881,-6.3707604226,-3.8655133363
H,0,5.1556843858,-6.9976031702,-2.3082733867
H,0,3.2081297073,-6.4259934868,-1.0533165576
H,0,2.501842465,-4.7954197012,-1.1757231913
H,0,2.3761570556,-6.9709564544,-3.3864516252
H,0,0.5394888394,--4.6374331068,-2.5809242568
H,0,0.2380962843,-5.9184384696,-3.8855751502

## Rearranged Product 2 Optimized Structure

(5) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File productoptg09 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.097435780$

| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224596 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.237973 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.238917 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.184109 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.872840 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.859463 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -518.858518 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.913326 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.330 | 47.089 | 115.353 |

C,0,3.0425787406,-0.5360064263,-1.8337105834
O,0,3.0020189838,0.8377910468,-2.2881996281
C,0,2.6419407485,1.0428720276,-3.5690277475
O,0,2.3576304003, 0.1368308819,-4.3361249851
C, $0,2.6928794654,2.5323080072,-3.948346332$
$\mathrm{N}, 0,3.9136481039,2.8795215049,-4.6904493421$
C,0,4.1085649547,2.206661761,-5.97486883
C,0,5.1251131408,2.8370039598,-3.8716720376
C,0,1.3323886873,4.3886171754,-5.0278079481
C, $0,1.3985995322,2.9238570207,-4.6900173857$
C, $0,1.0079033644,4.885403623,-6.22591894$
Н, $0,3.3423718197,-0.4852187056,-0.7884005111$
H,0,2.055701526,-0.9931012619,-1.9293961365
H,0,3.7709101561,-1.1042444231,-2.4155462846
H,0,2.7355239857,3.0993089945,-3.0131469357
H,0,4.3450844426,1.1336366497,-5.877257989
H,0,3.2189920897,2.3051333951,-6.5999319981
H,0,4.9404247102,2.6915122061,-6.4953359307

H,0,5.4397228575,1.8139373023,-3.5985424941
H,0,5.9459458372,3.3010569533,-4.4273715034
H,0,4.9709759769,3.4049089546,-2.9494562325
H,0,0.9466054941,5.9563216421,-6.3986850935
H,0,0.7910842102,4.2374108614,-7.0727893496
H,0,1.5443648661,5.0767716469,-4.2082498367
H,0,1.2647786551,2.3130060156,-5.5876402183
Н,0,0.563923251,2.6724811871,-4.0199697264

## (6) B3LYP/6-31+G**, PCM, Solvent=n,n-DimethylFormamide File productopt2g09

 $\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-519.084627831$| Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.224904 (Hartree/Particle) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.237956 |
| Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.238900 |
| Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.185406 |
| Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= | -518.859724 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= | -518.846672 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= | -518.845728 |
| Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= | -518.899222 |


|  | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$ | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin | $\mathrm{Cal} /$ Mol-Kelvin |
| Total | 149.319 | 46.840 | 112.588 |

C,0,1.6366796561,-1.3267135131,-2.0472838687
C,0,1.1593585746,-0.4455103829,-0.9224993564
C, $0,-0.0895303247,0.0172829689,-0.8055437519$
N,0,1.7199604844,-3.4298659258,-0.6375397797
C,0,2.4869110721,-4.6388867158,-0.3160233169
C, $0,2.4333153029,-2.593982448,-1.6086700367$
C,0,3.7737719964,-2.1167344897,-1.0280625934
O,0,3.9380173765,-1.8318011174,0.143549434
C, $0,0.3834437645,-3.8058404456,-1.1084888258$
O,0,4.8172530374,-1.9284678893,-1.8666731025
C,0,4.8107217205,-2.316346025,-3.2606106963
H,0,5.7885193941,-2.0175828235,-3.6364114755
H,0,4.6939913292,-3.3973098053,-3.3619746537
H,0,4.0323465031,-1.7915374957,-3.8175483625
H,0,1.9043263381,-0.1564342192,-0.1830070408
H,0,2.2946658269,-0.7420071201,-2.7041682384
H,0,0.7936331745,-1.6361550015,-2.671750835
H,0,-0.0706339489,-4.4719210497,-0.3700940795
H,0,-0.2582850955,-2.928928146,-1.2029444901
Н, $0,0.4057400489,-4.3367363738,-2.078747031$
H, $0,1.9423826394,-5.2210145553,0.4322372908$
H,0,2.6502394072,-5.2827219544,-1.200407773
H,0,3.458620861,-4.3754246571,0.1069527111
Н, $0,-0.8681469354,-0.2462385671,-1.5187105461$
H,0,-0.3737860142,0.6794966022,0.0074062715
H,0,2.6315788107,-3.1725498497,-2.5265948535
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[^0]:    (5) B3LYP/6-31+G**, Onsager, $\varepsilon=37.219, a 0=4.58$, File glycinesmdielec
    
    $E($ RB + HF-LYP $)=-519.466070183$

    | Zero-point correction $=$ | 0.240134 (Hartree/Particle) |
    | :--- | :---: |
    | Thermal correction to Energy $=$ | 0.253166 |
    | Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=$ | 0.254110 |
    | Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= | 0.200676 |
    | Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=$ | -519.225936 |
    | Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=$ | -519.212904 |
    | Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=$ | -519.211960 |
    | Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=$ | -519.265394 |

    Total

    | E (Thermal) | CV | S |
    | :---: | :---: | :--- |
    | KCal/Mol | Cal/Mol-Kelvin | Cal/Mol-Kelvin |
    | 158.864 | 47.491 | 112.461 |

    C,0,2.1345842065,-0.9184583528,-2.2668261666
    O, $0,2.8415443572,0.3421361277,-2.4245558797$
    C, $0,2.7976754247,0.9132303254,-3.6242570404$
    O,0,2.2133736245,0.4773799031,-4.5948200284
    C,0,3.6006837282,2.2124951519,-3.563985943
    N,0,3.7176585864,2.9735640075,-4.8642712141
    C, $0,4.4243775126,2.144055067,-5.9150733621$
    C,0,4.5563919884,4.2038650815,-4.5904551752
    C, $0,1.4870177951,4.17158871,-4.4609845301$
    C, $0,0.306264864,3.7201131945,-4.0254889226$
    C,0,2.3354510775,3.3983659061,-5.4254059665
    H,0,2.3047373426,-1.2061110801,-1.2315637044
    H,0,1.0714508025,-0.7730118559,-2.4652812196
    H,0,2.5457399807,-1.6602883532,-2.9532308301
    H,0,4.6132562988,1.9899694496,-3.2182019256
    H,0,5.4001054377,1.8478072396,-5.5273766634
    Н,0,3.818160678,1.2698960618,-6.1417299084
    H,0,4.5558280131,2.7596771399,-6.8052345303
    H,0,5.5516663128,3.8901341405,-4.2739467562

