ROLE OF P-GLYCOPROTEIN IN HAEMONCHUS CONTORTUS

ANTHELMINTIC RESISTANCE

A Thesis

by

PAMELA DONN GARRETSON

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

August 2007

Major Subject: Veterinary Parasitology

ROLE OF P-GLYCOPROTEIN IN HAEMONCHUS CONTORTUS

ANTHELMINTIC RESISTANCE

A Thesis

by

PAMELA DONN GARRETSON

Submitted to the Office of Graduate Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Approved as to style and content by:

Chair of Committee:	Patricia Holman
Committee Members:	Thomas Craig
	Clare Gill
Head of Department:	Gerald Bratton
Head of Department:	Gerald Bratto

August 2007

Major Subject: Veterinary Parasitology

ABSTRACT

Role of P-glycoprotein in *Haemonchus contortus* Anthelmintic Resistance. (August 2007) Pamela Donn Garretson, B.S., Colorado State University Chair of Advisory Committee: Dr. Patricia Holman

The gastrointestinal parasite, *Haemonchus contortus*, is of major concern in the sheep and goat industry as well as in zoological settings. Over the years this parasite has developed resistance to the three classes of anthelmintics, benzimidazoles, imidazothiazoles and macrocyclic lactones, that are currently used for treatment. One of the mechanisms proposed to be involved in this resistance is the efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (Pgp). In this study, the resistance status of several strains of H. contortus was evaluated using the larval development assay DrenchRite[®]. After documenting the resistance status of these strains, transcription of Pgp in L_3 larvae after exposure to anthelmintics was quantitated using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Of the strains analyzed, only one was determined to be susceptible to all of the anthelmintics tested, while the others showed variable levels of resistance to one or more. A Haemonchus strain acquired from a giraffe at a zoo in Florida was the most resistant, showing extremely high levels of resistance to benzimidazoles and levamisole. Molecular characterization of the 18S rRNA gene and the internal transcriber spacer region (ITS) were performed on the giraffe strain to identify the species. Although there were variations in the isolate sequences, the most likely species for the giraffe strain was *H. contortus*. No transcription of Pgp was identified in *H. contortus* L₃ larvae under the

conditions of this study. Thus, increased Pgp does not appear to be a primary mechanism of drug resistance in this stage of the worm.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this to my mother, Mary Lou Welch, who has supported me throughout my life, as well as through this project. She has been an inspiration to me and I would like to thank her for always being there.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Patricia Holman for her assistance and guidance throughout this project, not to mention taking on a project involving a parasite that was out of her area of expertise. I think we both learned a lot while working on this project. I would also like to thank Dr. Thomas Craig for his diligent efforts in acquiring parasite strains for use in this study and his extensive knowledge on helminths, especially *H. contortus*. I would like to thank Dr. Clare Gill, who was extremely helpful in the writing process and whose input throughout the project was well appreciated. I would also like to thank Dr. Elizabeth Hammond at Lion Country Safari in Florida for submitting the giraffe fecal sample to the Texas A&M University Diagnostic Parasitology Laboratory for evaluation and allowing us to use the highly resistant strain of *H. contortus* in this study. She has also been extremely helpful in providing background information on how the resistance may have developed in this strain.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my co-workers Eunhee Lee, Dr. Amal El-Gayor, Lauren Lehtinen and Issa Baradji for helping with various aspects of my project and lending a hand when needed. Last of all, I would like to thank my friends and family for always being there when I have needed them and supporting me throughout this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii	
DEDICATION		
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vi	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii	
LIST OF FIGURES	ix	
LIST OF TABLES	X	
1. INTRODUCTION	1	
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	23	
 2.1 Parasite strains 2.2 Modified McMaster test 2.3 Copro culture, Baermann technique, and larval identification 2.4 Egg isolation 2.5 DrenchRite[®] assay 2.6 DrenchRite[®] larval counts and collection 2.7 Determination of resistance 2.8 RNA isolation 2.9 cDNA synthesis 2.10 P-glycoprotein molecular analysis 2.11 Molecular characterization of Hc-GRF strain 2.11.1 Genomic DNA extraction 2.11.2 18S rRNA standard PCR 2.11.3 ITS region standard PCR 2.11.4 Ligation and transformation 2.11.5 Colony PCR 2.11.6 Plasmid DNA preparation 2.11.7 Sequencing and analysis 	23 24 25 27 29 31 33 34 35 37 39 39 41 41 43 43 43 44	
3. RESULTS	45	
3.1 Modified McMaster test and larval identification	45	

Page

DrenchRite [®] assay	46		
3.2.1 Hc-ES strain	46		
3.2.2 Hc-EG strain	47		
3.2.3 Hc-OS strain	47		
3.2.4 Hc-OG strain	47		
3.2.5 Hc-RFR strain	48		
3.2.6 Hc-H992 strain	48		
3.2.7 Hc-GRF strain	49		
P-glycoprotein molecular analysis	49		
Hc-GRF molecular characterization	49		
3.4.1 18S rRNA	49		
3.4.2 ITS region	55		
SION	61		
	~		
USION	66		
יבכ	67		
~E2	07		
A	75		
	10		
Ε Β	79		
APPENDIX C			
	105		
	DrenchRite [®] assay		

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		Page
1	A) Drawing of a copro culture set-up. B) Drawing of a Baermann apparatus	26
2	Diagram of the DrenchRite [®] assay plate	30
3	The anthelmintic exposure designations for the tubes of larvae based upon the DrenchRite [®] assay plate	34
4	A) Gel image of the P-glycoprotein analysis for the Hc-H992 strain.B) Gel image of the P-glycoprotein analysis for the Hc-OS strain	50
5	The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF 18S rRNA gene sequence alignment with denotation of primer location	51
6	The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF ITS 1 alignment with single base substitutions designated in bold type	56
7	The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF ITS 2 alignment with single base substitutions designated in bold type	59

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		
1	Haemonchus contortus strains	24
2	Tubes selected for molecular analysis of P-glycoprotein transcription	36
3	Primers for P-glycoprotein transcription analysis	38
4	Primers for 18S rRNA gene and ITS genomic region amplification	42
5	Results of the modified McMaster test and the larval identification for each strain of <i>H. contortus</i>	45
6	The critical wells (Cw) and corresponding levels of resistance for the <i>H. contortus</i> strains as determined by the DrenchRite [®] assay	46

1. INTRODUCTION

For the sheep and goat industry, the parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus* is of great concern. Commonly known as the barber's pole worm, *H. contortus* can inflict a considerable amount of damage to a flock or herd in a short span of time. Haemonchosis, the disease associated with *H. contortus* infections, may be chronic, resulting in minor clinical signs, acute or hyperacute, resulting in death. Treatment and control of this gastrointestinal parasite have been successful through the use of anthelmintics, such as benzimidazoles, levamisole, and ivermectin. However, resistance to these anti-parasitic drugs has developed worldwide and is becoming an area of increasing concern. This has led to an influx of research to determine the mechanisms employed by *H. contortus* that enables them to be highly efficient in drug resistance. By understanding the mechanisms involved, better methods of treatment as well as prevention may be developed.

Haemonchus contortus was first described in 1803 by Rudolphi. The classification is as follows: Class Nematoda, Order Strongylida, and Family Trichostrongylidae. Originally, the parasite was called *Strongylus contortus* and over the years has been referred to as *Strongylus falicollis* (Molin, 1861), *Filaria dendiculata* (Simmonds, 1881) and *Strongylus placei* (Place, 1893) (as cited in Morgan, 1949). It wasn't until the 1900s that *H. contortus* became the preferred nomenclature (Morgan, 1949). There have been several common names associated with *H. contortus*.

This thesis follows the style of Veterinary Parasitology.

These include barber's pole worm, twisted stomach worm, and wire worm (Soulsby, 1982; Kassai, 1999; Anderson, 2000).

The adult female *H. contortus* ranges in size from 2.0-3.5 cm in length and the males from 1.5-2.5 cm (Dunn, 1978). *Haemonchus contortus* are blood sucking parasites that possess a slender dorsal lancet within the buccal cavity for accessing the host blood supply (Soulsby, 1982). The appearance of the female, in which the red, blood filled intestine intertwines with the white reproductive organs, gave rise to the common name barber's pole worm (Morgan, 1949). The males are red in color and possess a characteristic three-lobed copulatory bursa consisting of two symmetrical lateral lobes and one asymmetrical dorsal lobe (Morgan, 1949; Soulsby, 1982). The adults are found in the abomasum, the fourth digestive compartment of the ruminant stomach, where they feed and sexually reproduce.

Haemonchus contortus is distributed throughout the world in tropical and subtropical regions. Approximately 60 different species of ruminants, both domestic and wild, have been identified as hosts for this gastrointestinal worm (Dunn, 1978). Sheep and goats tend to be the preferred host, however, *H. contortus* has been found in cattle, white-tailed deer, bison, antelope, giraffes, and camels to name a few (Hoberg et al., 2004). According to McGhee et al. (1981), cross-transmission is possible between wild and domestic hosts, as was seen in their studies on white-tailed deer and domestic cattle and sheep. Although *H. contortus* has a wide host range, domestic sheep appear to be the most drastically affected, which may be due, in part, to their grazing behaviors. Most sheep exhibit strong flocking habits where they remain in close proximity to each other while grazing. This concentrates the area of parasite contamination increasing the chance for infection (Dunn, 1978).

Haemonchus contortus has a direct life cycle in the natural hosts. The females are prolific egg layers and can deposit 5,000 to 10,000 eggs per day, which are released into the environment via the host's feces (Morgan, 1949). Once the eggs are released into the environment, larval development occurs and the L₁ stage larvae hatch within 24 hours. The L₁ larvae molt into the L₂ stage larvae soon after and within 3-5 days, molt into the L₃ stage larvae (Veglia, 1916). The resilient L₃ larvae is the infective stage and proceeds to migrate up damp vegetation during early morning and late evening hours in order to increase the chance of ingestion by the ruminant host (Morgan, 1949). This larval migration is highly dependent upon the combination of ideal temperature, humidity and light (Anderson, 2000). Once inside the rumen of the host, the L₃ larvae exsheath, migrate to the abomasum and molt into the L₄ larval stage followed by the L₅. The L₅ are the immature adults, which develop into mature adults within the abomasum approximately 15 days post infection (Morgan, 1949; Urquhart et al., 1987). Females will begin to produce eggs within 25-35 days post infection (Morgan, 1949).

Warm, rainy weather is essential for the survival and development of the freeliving larval stages of *H. contortus* (Kassai, 1999). Larval development is hindered by extremely dry or cold conditions (Kassai, 1999; Anderson, 2000). However, in temperate climates *H. contortus* overcome these adverse conditions through a process called hypobiosis, where the L_4 stage larvae enter into a state of dormancy within the host. Michel (1974) defines hypobiosis as "the temporary cessation of development of nematodes at a precise point in early parasitic development, where such an interruption contains a facultative element, occurring only in certain hosts, certain circumstances, or at certain times of the year and often affecting only a portion of the worms."

There are at least two types of arrested development that occur in nematodes. The first is termed immune mediated arrest and is considered to be non-specific and of immunological origin. It may arise at any time of the year and be triggered by either host-related or parasite-related factors. The second is termed seasonally induced arrest and occurs at the same time each year. This type of hypobiosis is similar to diapause in insects and is most often triggered by an external environmental stimulus (Horak, 1981; Gibbs, 1986a). The latter appears to be the predominant form of hypobiosis in *H. contortus* (Blitz and Gibbs, 1971; Gibbs, 1986b).

There are three categories of factors that have been suggested as triggers for hypobiosis. These triggers may act independently or in combination with each other to induce an arrested development of the nematode larvae. The first category consists of host-related factors including host resistance, acquired immunity, and age (Blitz and Gibbs, 1972a; Connan, 1975; Horak, 1981; Gibbs, 1982). The second category is parasite-related factors, which include population density and genetic predisposition (Horak, 1981; Gibbs, 1986a). The final category consists of environmental factors including temperature, humidity, and photoperiod length. Since hypobiosis in *H. contortus* appears to be more seasonal than immunological, environmental factors are most likely to be the triggers involved (Blitz and Gibbs, 1972a; Michel, 1974; Horak, 1981; Soulsby, 1982; Gibbs, 1986a, 1986b). The external triggers for hypobiosis probably act upon the L_3 larval stage in the environment prior to ingestion by the host. These include a decrease in photoperiod from 14.25 to 12.5 hours and an average temperature of 17°C in temperate climates (Blitz and Gibbs, 1972a; Gibbs, 1982). In tropical and arid climates, *H. contortus* may also utilize hypobiosis to withstand hot dry conditions (Gibbs, 1982). However, parasite genetics may also play a significant role in triggering an arrest in development.

Hypobiosis in *H. contortus*, as well as other nematodes, closely resembles the arrested development phenomenon known as diapause in insects. Diapause is an inhibition of development triggered by environmental factors and considered to be genetically controlled. This arrest in development is temporarily irreversible and may continue until either a specific stimulus presents itself or a predetermined period of time has elapsed (Horak, 1981; Sommerville and Davey, 2002).

Once conditions become suitable for parasite survival, the L_4 larvae come out of arrest and continue to develop into the mature adult. By undergoing hypobiosis, *H. contortus* reaches the reproductive stage at a time when it is most beneficial to the parasite and the eggs are released into the environment during conditions that are more favorable for the free-living larval stages (Soulsby, 1982; Urquhart et al., 1987).

There are several factors that may trigger the hypobiotic larvae to emerge from their dormant state and continue development. These include photoperiod, temperature, humidity, and host immune system relaxation due to periparturition and/or lactation. However, the larval development may also recommence spontaneously after a predetermined length of time without the influence of a stimulus (Blitz and Gibb, 1972b; Horak, 1981; Gibbs, 1982, 1986a). This aspect further demonstrates the similarity between hypobiosis in *H. contortus* and diapause in insects (Horak, 1981).

The resumed development of the arrested larvae often results in the events known as "spring rise" and "periparturient rise." Both are characterized by a sudden marked increase in nematode egg counts as a result of the arrested larvae reaching the reproductive adult stage en masse (Brunsdon, 1964; Procter and Gibbs, 1968). Spring rise, as its name suggests, occurs during the spring and although it is commonly associated with parturition, it is also seen in non-reproducing hosts (Crofton, 1958; Brunsdon, 1964). On the other hand, periparturient rise per se coincides with parturition, and is most evident during the spring lambing/kidding season but may also occur at other times of the year.

The combination of the short life cycle and the survivability of the larvae has enabled *H. contortus* to be a highly infective parasite able to cause a considerable amount of damage to an entire host population. Characteristic clinical signs of a *H. contortus* infection are anemia, edema, bottle jaw (intermandibular edema), lethargy, emaciation, weakness, wool loss, and even death (Dunn, 1978). Anemia is the most common clinical sign resulting from the adult worms feeding on host blood. An adult can consume as much as 0.5 cc of blood in one day (Urquhart et al., 1987). In addition to this, *H. contortus* adults are mobile feeders and move from one feeding site to another, leaving behind wounds that continue to hemorrhage, contributing to the anemia (Soulsby, 1982). The amount of blood that is lost with a high worm burden may result in the death of the host. The disease associated with *H. contortus* infections is known as haemonchosis, which may be categorized into three forms based on the worm burden and the associated clinical signs. The first, hyperacute haemonchosis, is rare, but also the most severe and tends to affect young and/or unhealthy individuals (Barriga, 1997). In this form of the disease, the worm burden is extremely high (> 10,000 worms) and the only sign of infection is the sudden death of the animal. Death often occurs within a week and is brought on by a severe anemia due to the large number of worms consuming the host blood (Dunn, 1978).

In the acute form of haemonchosis, the worm burden is moderate, 1,000-10,000 individuals, and all ages of animals are affected, regardless of current health status. The signs of infection are visible and include anemia, edema, lethargy, and wool loss. Anemia develops rapidly and the host mounts an erythropoietic response resulting in the production of red blood cells (Soulsby, 1982). Ewes often suffer from agalactia in which their ability to produce milk is lost and suckling lambs will often die due to malnutrition. Death may occur with acute haemonchosis, but may take several weeks to transpire (Dunn, 1978).

The third and final form of the disease is chronic haemonchosis. This is the most widespread of the three disease forms and often affects the entire flock or herd. Chronic haemonchosis is a result of a low worm burden, 100-1,000 individuals, and the most prominent clinical sign is the appearance of malnutrition (Soulsby, 1982). Anemia and edema are not usually present, and death is rare (Dunn, 1978). This type of haemonchosis is most often seen during dry periods when the pasture is in poor

condition and the host immune system is already being compromised by poor nutrition (Urquhart et al., 1987).

Early diagnosis of haemonchosis is essential for the treatment and survival of a flock or herd afflicted by this invasive parasite. Generally, a diagnosis for the acute and chronic forms of this disease is based on clinical signs as well as history. Fecal testing can also be performed to support the diagnosis. For hyperacute haemonchosis, the only way a diagnosis may be made is by performing a necropsy and looking for *H. contortus* adults in the abomasum of the deceased animal (Urquhart et al., 1987).

Many sheep do not develop an effective acquired immunity to *H. contortus* infections. However, some breeds, such as the Florida Native, St. Croix and Barbados Blackbelly, are less susceptible than others, such as the Rambouillet (Courtney et al., 1985). Amarante et al. (1999a, 1999b) crossed Florida Native sheep, which are small and resistant to *H. contortus* infection, and Rambouillet sheep, which are larger, faster growing, good wool producers and susceptible to *H. contortus* infections, to investigate if the offspring would possess the more desirable characteristics and show resistance to *H. contortus* infections. Upon challenge with the parasite, the F_1 generation did show a level of resistance. When the F_1 generation was crossed with each other, the resulting F_2 generation showed an even higher level of resistance (Amarante et al., 1999a, 1999b), which shows that crossing resistant breeds with susceptible ones could be valuable in developing a line of sheep with desirable production characteristics that is resistant to *H. contortus* infections.

Conflicting evidence questions the role of hemoglobin type in *H. contortus* resistance within certain breeds. In one study, Scottish Blackface and Finn Dorset sheep with type A hemoglobin possessed lower worm burdens and fecal egg counts and presented with less severe clinical signs than those with type B hemoglobin (Altaif and Dargie, 1978a, 1978b). However, many of the breeds that are considered to be highly resistant to *H. contortus* are predominantly type B while others, such as the Florida Native, are predominantly type A (Jilek and Bradley, 1969; Agar et al., 1972). Thus, the role of hemoglobin type is not clear in resistance of sheep to *H. contortus* infections.

To cope with gastrointestinal parasitic infections, sheep may undergo a phenomenon known as "self-cure" in order to alleviate the burden of *H. contortus* adults. Self-cure is a process in which the majority of adult parasites are expelled from the host when induced experimentally by a challenge dose of infective larvae or by the natural ingestion of a large number of infective larvae (Soulsby, 1982). As the larvae develop from the L₃ stage to the L₄ stage, an immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction develops to *H. contortus* antigens, leading to the expulsion of the adult worms. The host as well as the parasite each benefit from the self-cure process. The host is temporarily relieved of feeding adults and the damage that they cause, while the adult parasite population is replenished with a new generation (Urquhart et al., 1987).

Treatment of haemonchosis is accomplished by targeting the parasite itself. Today, this is accomplished through the use of anthelmintics, but over the past 200 years, several methods have been tried. Some of the earliest forms of treatment included arsenic and turpentine. These were highly toxic to the host, so new forms of treatment were constantly being tested. One of the earliest and most significantly efficient forms of treatment was an oral dose of copper sulphate, which was widely used from the late 1800s to around 1940 (Gibson, 1975). When administered correctly, copper sulphate had very few toxic effects on the host and was effective against the adult worms but not the immature larval stages (Morgan, 1949). However, copper must be administered with caution since sheep are sensitive to chronic copper toxicity. Current evidence has shown that small doses (0.5-2 grams) of copper oxide wire particles given annually may be effective in controlling *H. contortus* infections in lambs without being toxic (Miller et al., 2005; Burke and Miller, 2006; Fleming et al., 2006).

In 1940, phenothiazine was introduced and replaced copper sulphate as the drug of choice. This drug proved to be highly effective for not only eliminating infections, but also for controlling outbreaks. Administration of phenothiazine was often through the use of a salt lick with the ideal concentration of a 1:10 phenothiazine to salt concentration. This proved to be a highly efficient dosing method with minimal toxic side effects (Gibson, 1975).

However, by the 1960s *H. contortus* began to show signs of resistance to phenothiazine, leading to the development of new anthelmintics. In 1961, the first to be introduced was a benzimidazole called Thiabendazole (Gibson, 1975). Although highly effective against both the adult and immature stages, *H. contortus* began to show signs of resistance to this anthelmintic after being in use for just a few years (Drudge et al., 1964; Soulsby, 1982). Several other anthelmintics followed, including additional benzimidazoles, imidazothiazoles (levamisole), and macrocyclic lactones (ivermectin). These three classes of drugs are currently used to treat *H. contortus* infections.

Each class of anthelmintics possesses a distinct mode of action against parasites (Kohler, 2001). The target of benzimidazoles is the tubulin within the parasite intestinal cells, which forms into microtubules that are necessary for nutrient acquisition (Sangster and Dobson, 2002). Benzimidazoles bind to the β -tubulin component preventing it from forming microtubules within the intestinal cells of the helminth. This impairs the uptake of nutrients and inhibits the transportation of necessary digestive enzymes resulting in parasite death due to starvation (Kohler, 2001; Mansour, 2002). Imidazothiazoles, such as levamisole, are acetylcholine agonists that affect the nervous system of the parasite (Kohler, 2001). These drugs cause muscle contraction and paralysis in the helminth, resulting in the eventual expulsion of the parasite from the body (Craig, 1993; Mansour, 2002). Finally, macrocyclic lactones act on glutamate-gated chloride channels (GluCl). These drugs cause paralysis of the parasite neuromusculature, including the pharynx, preventing the worm from feeding (Kohler, 2001; Winterrowd et al., 2003). Benzimidazoles and macrocyclic lactones are effective against the adult and immature stages of the parasite, while the imidazothiazoles are effective against the adults and the later stages of immature larvae. These three classes of anthelmintics have proven to be successful in treating *H. contortus* infections.

Resistance to anthelmintics continues to be a growing concern in the treatment and control of *H. contortus* throughout the world. Anthelmintic resistance is defined as a genetically transmittable trait in which the sensitivity to a particular drug is lost in a population of worms over time (Kohler, 2001). Through the use of anthelmintics, susceptible populations are being removed from the gene pool allowing the resistant populations to pass on their genes to successive generations. By 1994, resistance to the majority of the anthelmintics including the benzimidazoles, levamisole, and ivermectin was reported (Barriga, 1997). In addition, *H. contortus* populations are showing resistance to multiple anthelmintics (Sangster et al., 1999). Extensive use of drug treatments, whether proper or improper, and the ability of *H. contortus* to adapt to and overcome the deleterious effects of the drugs, have led to the development of drug resistance and, therefore, to the success of the parasite.

The key to controlling anthelmintic resistance in *H. contortus* is to understand the many mechanisms that may be involved, since each class of anthelmintics has a known different target. There are three main groups of mechanisms: those that change the binding sites of drugs, those that detoxify, and those that involve the active efflux of drugs by membrane transporters (Kerboeuf et al., 2003). The mechanism involved in resistance to each class of anthelmintic may be different or there may be a common one amongst all three classes.

In 1995, Kwa et al. suggested that a mutation in the β -tubulin is most likely the cause of resistance to the class of benzimidazoles. This mutation results in a single amino acid substitution from Phe200 to Tyr200 in the β -tubulin isotype 1 allele of resistant *H. contortus* strains. This mutation causes a decrease in the high affinity binding of the anthelmintic allowing for microtubule formation to occur in the presence

of the drug (Prichard, 1994). Without the inhibitory action of the anthelmintic, the parasite is capable of acquiring nutrients that are essential to its survival.

Resistance to levamisole in *H. contortus* is believed to be associated with an alteration in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor found on the body muscles of nematodes. Responsible for the conduction of sodium, potassium and calcium through the muscle membranes, this receptor consists of five subunits (2α , 1β , 1γ and 1δ) arranged around a central ion-channel (Martin et al., 1997). Under normal conditions, the channel is closed, but in the presence of a ligand, such as levamisole, the channel may be opened. This opening of the channel allows ions to pass through, aiding in the muscle contraction and paralysis of the helminth (Martin and Robertson, 2000). Alterations in the acetylcholine receptor's sensitivity, which could prevent the channel from opening (Prichard, 1994). These alterations may also result in the shut down of the channel or in a blockage of the channel by the large levamisole molecule, interfering with the effectiveness of levamisole as an anthelmintic (Martin and Robertson, 2000).

The mechanisms involved with macrocyclic lactone resistance are not fully understood (Kohler, 2001). Blackhall et al. (1998a) correlated the selection of an altered GluCl gene with resistance to ivermectin. Glutamate-gated chloride channels are believed to be similar in structure to acetylcholine receptors in that they consist of five subunits (α and β) that come together to form a central ion-channel (Martin et al., 1997, 1998). The α -subunits contain the glutamate binding site and the β -subunits contain the ivermectin binding sites (Martin et al., 1997). The selection of a single allele of the α -subunit was found in increased frequency in resistant strains of *H. contortus* (Blackhall et al., 1998a). The mutation that results from this allelic selection may interfere with the conformational changes induced by the drug binding and may not actually inhibit the binding itself (Kerboeuf et al., 2003). The γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor gene has also been suggested as a mechanism for macrocyclic lactone resistance. Its function is similar to GluCl and so an alteration in its gene may also contribute to resistance (Blackhall et al., 2003).

The mechanism that is primarily considered to be involved in resistance to macrocyclic lactones is the detoxification process of P-glycoproteins. P-glycoproteins (Pgp) are efflux transporters that belong to the ATP binding cassette (ABC) superfamily which actively transport compounds, including drugs, across membranes (Sangster and Dobson, 2002). The hydrolysis of ATP is required for the efflux of xenobiotics (chemicals foreign to the organism) by Pgp to occur (Sharom, 1997). P-glycoproteins are predominately confined to the digestive tract and are highly expressed on the membranes of intestinal and pharyngeal cells (Smith and Prichard, 2002). The primary function of Pgp is to protect the organism by actively pumping toxic substances out of its cells (Sangster, 1994; Geick et al., 2001; Thompson and Geary, 2002).

P-glycoproteins are highly conserved transmembrane proteins (Sangster and Dobson, 2002). They are made up of two homologous halves, each with six transmembrane domains (TM) and one nucleotide binding domain (NBD) (Sangster, 1994; Sharom, 1997; Ambudker et al., 1999). The highly conserved NBDs are separated by an internucleotide binding domain (IBD) which allows both halves to interact and

14

work together as a single transporter (Ambudker et al., 1999; Sangster et al., 1999). Anthelmintic binding occurs within the TM while ATP binding and hydrolysis occur in the NBD (Sangster, 1994; Sharom, 1997; Ambudker et al., 1999; Sangster et al., 1999). The normal function of Pgp in nematodes is not fully understood, but due to its capability to bind a wide range of substrates including anthelmintics, the function of Pgp may be to protect the organism from toxic substances (Sharom, 1997; Ambudker et al., 1999).

P-glycoproteins have been identified in *H. contortus* and the full cDNA sequence has been obtained (Xu et al., 1998). At least 7 genes are known to be involved in encoding Pgp in *H. contortus*, allowing for numerous isoforms (Kerboeuf et al., 2003). In addition to the full cDNA sequence, numerous internucleotide binding domains (IBD) within Pgp have also been sequenced (Sangster, 1994; Sangster et al., 1999). Each IBD is sequentially different, and one IBD in particular, correlates with resistance to the macrocyclic lactone avermectin/milbemycin (Sangster et al., 1999). The combinations of the different genes and their variable IBDs allow for considerable variation in the Pgp of *H. contortus*. This may contribute to the binding of a wide variety of substrates and possibly to the development of anthelmintic resistance (Xu et al., 1998; Sangster et al., 1999).

In vertebrates, Pgp is encoded for by the multidrug resistance gene, MDR1. This gene is activated by a family of nuclear receptors that includes the human SXR (steroid and xenobiotic receptor) and its animal homolog PXR (pregnane X receptor) (Xie et al., 2000; Geick et al., 2001; Synold et al., 2001). These nuclear receptors are found in the

intestine and enhance the removal of xenobiotics by Pgp. This is accomplished through the regulation of the transcription of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) gene product CYP3A, which is involved in the oxidative metabolism of a variety of steroid hormones and xenobiotics (Xie et al., 2000; Synold et al., 2001, Ding and Staudinger, 2005). The receptors, SXR/PXR, must form a heterodimer with RXR (retinoic acid receptor) which enables the molecule to bind to specific DNA sequences, including those of CYP3A (Kliewer et al., 1998; Masuyama et al., 2001). The activation of SXR/PXR by a variety of agents may contribute to pharmaceutical resistance as well as regulate multidrug resistance (Synold et al., 2001).

Currently, a SXR/PXR homolog has not been identified in nematodes. The complete genome of *Caenorhabditis elegans*, a free-living nematode, has been sequenced and the RXR, or a homolog, is not present or has not yet been determined (Enmark and Gustafsson, 2000; verified by a protein-protein BLAST). However, numerous CYP genes have been identified in nematodes. Gotoh (1998) determined that *C. elegans* possesses at least 60 potentially active CYP genes. These genes are closely related to the CYP genes in vertebrates and may function in the catabolism of xenobiotics.

The activity of CYP in *H. contortus* may depend on the environment in which the parasite lives. Cytochrome P450 has the ability to catalyze substrates as a monooxygenase, which requires molecular oxygen, or as a peroxygenase, which does not. In 1997, Kotze found that the monooxygenase catalysis of certain substrates by CYP was readily detectable in the free-living stages of *H. contortus*, but considerably

lower or absent in the adult stages and attributed this to the level of oxygen present. In oxygen-poor environments, CYP may function as a peroxygenase, thereby utilizing hydroperoxide to catalyze substrate oxidations without requiring molecular oxygen (Kotze, 1999). The role of CYP in metabolizing xenobiotics in *H. contortus* is not yet fully understood, but may play a role in anthelmintic resistance.

Since *H. contortus* has developed resistance to each of the classes of anthelmintics, a common mechanism may be involved. The mechanism believed to be associated with anthelmintic resistance in *H. contortus* is the overexpression of Pgp. Benzimidazoles, levamisole and ivermectin possess characteristics that are common to Pgp substrates. These include a planar shape, at least one ring structure, hydrophobic properties and they are amphiphilic (a molecule possessing a polar, water-soluble group attached to a non-polar, water-insoluble hydrocarbon chain) (Ford and Hait, 1990; Ambudkar et al., 1999).

Both benzimidazole-resistant and ivermectin-resistant strains of *H. contortus* have been found to possess Pgp alleles in higher frequency than susceptible strains. For benzimidazoles, Pgp may modulate drug concentration at the target site (Kerboeuf et al., 2003). In humans, it has been determined that benzimidazoles bind to Pgp in multidrug-resistant (MDR) lymphoma cells (Nare et al., 1994). A relationship between Pgp and benzimidazole resistance was indirectly demonstrated through the use of the Pgp inhibitor verapamil (Beugnet et al., 1997). Verapamil is a calcium channel blocker, which actively inhibits the Pgp drug-binding domain. When given in conjunction with an anthelmintic, the efflux of the drug is reduced, resulting in its increased efficacy

(Molento and Prichard, 1999). The experiments conducted by Beugnet et al. (1997) showed that, in the presence of verapamil, the toxicity of the drug increased and that benzimidazole resistance could be partially reversed.

The role of Pgp in macrocyclic lactone resistance, especially ivermectin, is better understood. Ivermectin has been described as a possible substrate for Pgp in nematodes (Xu et al., 1998; Blackhall et al., 1998b). In 1998, Xu et al. found higher levels of Pgp in ivermectin-resistant *H. contortus* populations than in susceptible populations. They also found alterations in the structure and/or transcription of Pgp that resulted in its overexpression, which may modulate drug concentration at the target site. When verapamil was given to ivermectin-resistant strains of *H. contortus*, the efficacy of ivermectin was increased, similar to that seen in the benzimidazole resistant strains (Xu et al., 1998). The role of Pgp in resistance to levamisole is not known, however, levamisole may act as a substrate for Pgp much like ivermectin (Kerboeuf et al., 2003) Based on this information, Pgp transport may be an important mechanism in anthelmintic resistance.

Since Pgp appears to play a role in resistance to different drugs, the occurrence of multidrug-resistance (MDR) may be explained by this one mechanism. The overexpression of Pgp has been associated with MDR in tumor cells in humans and has been suggested as the mechanism of resistance in nematodes (Kerboeuf et al., 2002). Through the use of MDR-reversing agents, such as verapamil, reversal of resistance can be accomplished (Molento and Prichard, 1999).

18

There are several methods available to determine the presence of anthelmintic resistance in a population of *H. contortus*. These methods include in vivo as well as in vitro tests and there are advantages as well as disadvantages associated with each one. In vivo tests are time consuming, expensive and not very reliable. In vitro tests are more technically demanding, but are more accurate and may be used to detect resistance to multiple anthelmintics at one time (Craven et al., 1999).

The most commonly used in vivo method is the fecal egg count reduction test (FECRT) and is considered to be the gold standard. The FECRT utilizes the modified McMaster technique to compare egg counts before and after anthelmintic treatment to give an estimate of anthelmintic efficacy. This is a simple test and may be used to detect resistance to all classes of anthelmintics (Coles et al., 1992; Craven et al., 1999; Fleming et al., 2006). However, the FECRT may give false indications of levamisole resistance due to the development of immature larvae which are not affected by treatment (Grimshaw et al., 1996; Taylor et al., 2002; Coles et al., 2006). The results of the FECRT are subject to interpretation and the parasitic species present can not be directly determined; therefore, the sample must also be cultured in order to identify the species present (Vizard and Wallace, 1987; Coles et al., 2006).

The egg hatch test (EHT), the larval development assay (LDA), and the recently developed molecular testing are the prominent methods used for in vitro testing. The EHT is used for testing resistance to the benzimidazoles. First described by Le Jambre (1976), eggs are hatched in serial dilutions of anthelmintic and the level of resistance is determined. There are several factors which can influence the outcome of this test

including the age of the feces and the development level of the eggs at the start of testing (Taylor et al., 2002; Coles et al., 2006). One advantage to the EHT is that the larvae which hatch can be identified to determine the parasitic species which is resistant. However, similar to the FECRT, the results of the EHT are subject to interpretation (Taylor et al., 2002).

Larval development assays (LDA) are capable of determining the level of resistance to all three classes of anthelmintics simultaneously. One such test is the DrenchRite[®] Assay (CSIRO) in which eggs are loaded onto a 96-well microtiter plate containing agar with serial concentrations of each drug. Resistance is determined by analyzing the level of larval development after a period of incubation (Tandon and Kaplan, 2004). In 2001, Terrill et al. showed that results obtained from the DrenchRite[®] assay were consistent with FECRT, which is considered to be the gold standard. Larvae can be identified directly from the plate in order to determine the resistant species present (Coles et al., 2006).

In recent years, molecular diagnosis of anthelmintic resistance has become a focus of research. Several studies have shown polymerase chain reactions (PCR) to be highly accurate and sensitive in determining resistance. However, this type of testing is very expensive and must be conducted on an adequate sample size to provide significant results, which is not always possible. The development of a molecular test, which is capable of determining the level of resistance in a parasitic population from a pooled DNA sample, will be fundamental to the advancement of molecular testing of anthelmintic resistance (Coles et al., 2006; von Samson-Himmelstjerna, 2006).

Prevention of *H. contortus* infections is difficult. Since there are no vaccines available, the best preventative method is good pasture management. If a flock or herd has been diagnosed with *H. contortus* infections, then in addition to treatment with anthelmintics, the flock or herd should be moved to a non-infested pasture (Urquhart et al., 1987). The infested pasture should not be used until there is no longer evidence of the presence of *H. contortus*, which is impossible. Also, limiting the number of individuals and alternating the species grazing on a pasture may be helpful in controlling infections (Dunn, 1978). It is not recommended that the pasture be treated, since this will only increase the incidence of resistance by eliminating susceptible worms leaving behind only resistant worms to infect hosts and treatment of the pasture is difficult (Barriga, 1997).

Haemonchus contortus is a very problematic parasite. Found worldwide, this parasitic nematode can inflict a significant amount of damage to a population of ruminants in a short period of time. High parasitism levels are possible, due to the proficiency of the females as egg layers, the efficiency of the life cycle, and the high survivability of the larvae. Haemonchosis can often lead to the death of a significant number of individuals within a flock/herd if not properly diagnosed and treated. Many classes of anthelmintics are used as treatments with varying modes of action. Benzimidazoles, imidazothiazoles and macrocyclic lactones are the most commonly used due to their effectiveness. However, resistance to the majority of anthelmintics used today is developing rapidly in *H. contortus*. Several studies have been conducted

to determine the mechanisms responsible for drug resistance. Hopefully, these studies will aid in the development of new methods for the control of this devastating parasite.

The objectives of this study were to determine the anthelmintic resistance/susceptibility status of *H. contortus* strains using a larval development assay and to compare the levels of transcription of the transporter protein, P-glycoprotein, in these strains before and after exposure to anthelmintics. Our hypothesis was that P-glycoprotein will be expressed in higher levels upon exposure to anthelmintics and that higher levels will be seen in anthelmintic resistant populations of *H. contortus* compared to susceptible populations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Parasite strains

A total of seven strains of *H. contortus* were evaluated in this study (Table 1). Four strains suspected to be anthelmintic susceptible were obtained from mixed sheep/goat farms in Texas. These included *H. contortus* – Eldorado Sheep (Hc-ES), *H. contortus* – Eldorado Goat (Hc-EG), *H. contortus* – Ozona Sheep (Hc-OS) and *H. contortus* – Ozona Goat (Hc-OG). For all of these strains, L₃ larvae were inoculated into helminth-free sheep and goats and housed in a controlled environment at the Texas A&M University Research Farm.

Three of the seven strains were suspected to be anthelmintic resistant. Two of these were obtained from animals on the Texas A&M University Research Farm. The first, Hc-H992, was obtained approximately ten years ago and has been maintained in a controlled environment without exposure to anthelmintics. The second, Hc-RFR, was recently acquired from a mixed population of sheep and goats on the Research Farm, which had been continuously exposed to anthelmintics. For both strains, L₃ larvae were inoculated into helminth-free sheep and goats and housed in a controlled environment. The third suspected resistant strain (Hc-GRF) was submitted by a zoo in Florida to the Texas A&M University Diagnostic Parasitology Laboratory for diagnostic evaluation. The sample was from a giraffe housed at the zoo that had previously been diagnosed and treated for *H. contortus* and was currently not responding to anthelmintic treatment. The strain was inoculated into a helminth-free sheep housed at the Research Farm and housed in a controlled environment.

Table 1Haemonchus contortus strains

Strain	Host	Geographic Origin	Suspected Anthelmintic Status
Hc-ES	Sheep	Eldorado, Texas	Susceptible
Hc-EG	Goat	Eldorado, Texas	Susceptible
Hc-OS	Sheep	Ozona, Texas	Susceptible
Hc-OG	Goat	Ozona, Texas	Susceptible
Hc-RFR	Sheep/Goat	College Station, Texas	Resistant
Hc-H992	Sheep/Goat	College Station, Texas	Resistant
Hc-GRF	Giraffe	Loxahatchee, Florida	Resistant

2.2 Modified McMaster test

For each strain of *H. contortus*, feces were collected directly from the rectum (except for the Hc-GRF strain, which was collected from the ground). A modified McMaster test was conducted on the feces to determine the eggs per gram (EPG) using a McMaster slide. This provided an estimate of the quantity of eggs present in the fecal sample to determine whether sufficient eggs were present for conducting a larval development assay (DrenchRite[®], Horizon Technology Pty Limited, Roseville, NSW, Australia). A fecal solution was made in a vial by combining 28 ml of saturated sodium chloride (specific gravity 1.2) with 2 grams of feces. The feces were carefully broken apart and the solution was mixed by gentle inversion. Immediately following mixing, a sample of the fecal solution was pulled from the center of the vial and the two chambers

of the McMaster slide were filled with the solution. At 100X magnification, the number of eggs that fell within the grid of each chamber was counted and EPG was calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Grid } 1 + \text{Grid } 2}{2} \quad X \quad 100 = \text{EPG}$$

The EPG was then multiplied by the weight of the remaining feces to provide an estimate of the number of eggs in the fecal sample.

2.3 Copro culture, Baermann technique, and larval identification

Since worm identification cannot be determined based on the trichostrongyle egg, copro cultures of feces were performed to allow for the development of L_3 larvae, which can be identified to genus. For each strain, approximately 20 grams of feces were placed in the center of a piece of cheesecloth and the sides were tied together using cotton string to form a fecal packet. The bottom of a culture jar was filled with approximately 25-30 ml of tap water and the fecal sample was suspended 5-6 cm above the surface of the water by tightening the lid on the string (Fig. 1A). The jar was incubated at room temperature for 7 days to allow parasite development to the L_3 larval stage.

Upon completion of the incubation, the fecal sample was transferred to a Baermann apparatus for larval collection. The gauze containing the feces was placed into a funnel attached to a 15 ml conical tube by rubber tubing and the entire set-up was set in a graduated cylinder which served as a funnel stand (Fig. 1B). Warm tap water was added to the funnel until the fecal sample was adequately covered. The larvae were allowed to emerge from the feces and collect in the bottom of the conical tube for approximately 2-3 h.



Fig. 1. (A) Drawing of a copro culture set-up. (B) Drawing of a Baermann apparatus.

Larvae were transferred to a glass slide using a transfer pipette, cover-slipped, and immobilized with a dilute solution of Lugol's iodine for identification. The larvae were examined under 400X and 1000X magnification and identification was based on morphological characteristics using a L_3 identification key (from The Manual of Veterinary Parasitological Laboratory Techniques modified by C.G. Wade, Texas A&M University, Appendix A). The first step was to determine if the esophagus was rhabditiform or non-rhabditiform. For *H. contortus*, the esophagus is non-rhabditiform. Next, it was determined 1) whether there was a sheath present, 2) if the esophagus was ¹/₄
or $\frac{1}{2}$ the length of the body and 3) if the tail was notched or tapered. For *H. contortus*, there is a sheath present, the esophagus is $\frac{1}{4}$ the length of the body and the tail is tapered. The length of the tail of the sheath was then determined to be either short (< 50 µm), medium (> 50 µm < 100 µm), or long (> 100 µm). Finally, the anterior of the larvae was examined for the presence of refractive bodies or a bright transverse band between the buccal cavity and the esophagus. The tail of the sheath for *H. contortus* larvae is medium length, and is often kinked, and the larvae do not possess refractive bodies or a bright transverse band at the anterior end. The remaining larvae were dispensed in aliquots of 50 larvae, washed extensively, and stored at -80 °C for later use in molecular analysis.

2.4 Egg isolation

A modified protocol of the DrenchRite[®] User Manual was followed to isolate the eggs from the feces for each of the strains tested. The weight of the feces was determined in grams and combined with 1 ml of tap water per gram of feces in a plastic beaker and allowed to soak for 30 min. The feces were broken up using a wooden tongue depressor and at least 2 ml of tap water per gram of feces was added to make a fecal slurry.

The fecal slurry was first washed with tap water and passed through a 250 μ m sieve. The debris retained on the sieve was saved until it was determined that an adequate quantity of eggs had been isolated. The filtrate was collected and allowed to settle for 30 min, and then the top $\frac{2}{3}$ was decanted and discarded. The resulting

sediment was similarly washed through a 180 μ m sieve followed by a 75 μ m sieve with tap water and the filtrate was collected for each wash and allowed to settle for 30 min. The top $\frac{2}{3}$ of the filtrate was decanted and discarded as before and the debris on the sieves was saved for each step. Depending on the condition of the feces, additional washings through the 75 μ m sieve were conducted to remove as much debris as possible. The final sediment was filtered through a 37 μ m sieve and washed with tap water. The material containing the eggs that was collected on the 37 μ m sieve was back washed with a minimal volume of tap water into a clean beaker and allowed to settle for 30 min. Using a 30 cc syringe, excess water was carefully removed from the sediment.

A sugar gradient was used to separate the eggs from any residual debris. The gradient was prepared in a 50 ml conical tube using 10%, 25% and 40% sugar solutions. First, 10 ml of the 10% sugar solution (yellow solution in DrenchRite[®] protocol) was added to the tube using a large bore Luerlock needle and a 20 cc syringe. Next, 10 ml of the 25% sugar solution (blue) was added beneath the 10% solution. Then, 15 ml of the 40% sugar solution (red) was added beneath the 25% sugar solution. Finally, 10-15 ml of the egg slurry was carefully layered on top of the sugar gradient. The tube was placed in a bench top centrifuge and centrifuged for 7 min at 2450 X g. The eggs were collected from the sugar gradient using a 1 ml transfer pipette at the yellow/blue interface where a distinct white band could be seen in a green area of the gradient. The eggs were transferred to a plastic cup, and then washed on a 37 μ m sieve with distilled water to remove the sugar. The eggs were then back washed into a plastic cup with

distilled water, transferred to several 15 ml conical tubes, and placed in 4 °C overnight to allow the eggs to settle.

Once the eggs had settled to the bottom, excess water was removed from each of the 15 ml conical tubes and the eggs were pooled into a single tube. The eggs were allowed to settle for 30 minutes and excess water was removed until the final volume was 2 ml. The eggs were resuspended by vortexing and 20 μ l was transferred to a glass slide and cover-slipped. An egg count was conducted at 100X magnification to determine the concentration of eggs isolated. The egg concentration was then adjusted to ~ 50 eggs per 20 μ l and Fungizone (provided in kit) was added per the DrenchRite[®] protocol and the solution was mixed well.

2.5 DrenchRite[®] assay

The larval development assay, DrenchRite[®] (DR), is an in vitro assay to determine anthelmintic resistance in gastrointestinal parasitic nematodes of ruminants The DR assay consists of wells in a 96-well microtiter plate containing agar with increasing concentrations of benzimidazole (BZ), levamisole (LEV), avermectin/milbemycin (AVM) or benzimidazole/levamisole in combination (BZ/LEV) across the rows (Fig. 2). There are duplicate wells for each anthelmintic concentration, except for the avermectin/milbemycin group, and the plate is color-coded: clear for control wells (no anthelmintic), green for susceptible, yellow for weak resistant, and pink for resistant. Each DR plate was removed from the aluminum pouch and examined for dehydrated wells and varying agar amounts. For any dehydrated well, $10 \ \mu$ l of distilled water was added to the well. Based on observation, the perimeter wells were often dehydrated or would become dehydrated within the first 24 hours of the assay, so $10 \ \mu$ l of distilled water was automatically added to these wells for each plate.



Fig. 2. Diagram of the DrenchRite[®] assay plate. (Adapted from the DrenchRite[®] Manual).

For each strain, 20 µl of the egg suspension (~50 eggs) described above was added to each well of the plate. The suspension was vortexed after dispensing into every 4th well to keep the mixture homogeneous. The eggs in each well were counted under 10-45X magnification on a dissecting scope and the count was recorded on a log sheet (Appendix B). The plate was placed in a humidified 25 °C incubator. Any remaining egg solution was transferred to a 250 ml canted neck flask and placed in the incubator alongside the plate. Distilled water was added to the flask until the bottom was adequately covered.

The plate was checked for dehydration and larval development approximately 20 h after adding the eggs. If any of the wells were dehydrated, 10 μ l of distilled water was added to the well. After 24-48 h of incubation, 20 μ l of growth medium (included in kit) was added to each well of the plate and 1-2 ml was added to the flask. The plate was checked daily for dehydration and to monitor larval development. After 168 h (approximately 7 d) of incubation, the plate was ready for interpretation.

2.6 DrenchRite[®] larval counts and collection

Each well of the assay plate was inspected and the L_1/L_2 larvae and L_3 larvae were counted under 10-45X magnification on a dissecting scope. The DrenchRite[®] protocol recommended adding a dilute solution of Lugol's iodine to each well to kill the larvae. However, for the purpose of this study, the larvae were not killed so the larvae could be collected and used for molecular analysis. For each well, the L_1/L_2 larvae were counted in the well and the number was recorded on the log sheet (Appendix B).

Starting with the control wells (A1-H1), the L_3 larvae from each well were transferred to a 3-well depression slide (one well per depression) using a 100 µl pipette with a wide-bore tip. The L_3 were counted and the surviving L_3 count was recorded separate from the count of any L_3 larvae that had died. The surviving L_3 were then collected from the slide using a 100 µl pipette with a fine tip. Aliquots of 50 larvae were transferred to 2 ml tubes starting with the first well (A1) and pulling from the next well (B1) and so on down the control wells to complete the 50 until all surviving L_3 larvae had been transferred to a tube.

The L₃ larvae for the wells containing anthelmintic (wells 2-12) were treated in much the same way. Starting with the BZ larvae in wells A2 and B2, the larvae were counted, the number was recorded on the log sheet, and the surviving larvae were collected. If there were not 50 larvae between wells A2 and B2, then larvae from well A3 were added, then B3 and so on until there were 50 larvae in the tube. The larvae were pooled according to the groupings in the plate: wells 2-5 (susceptible), wells 6-8 (weak resistant) and wells 9-10 (resistant). If there were not 50 surviving larvae in a group, then the number in the tube was recorded and the next group started anew. Once all the BZ larvae were counted and collected, the LEV, BZ/LEV combination and AVM larvae were counted and collected in the same manner.

After all of the L₃ larvae were collected from the plate, then the tubes were labeled and the larvae were washed. Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 500 U/ml penicillin, 500 μ g/ml streptomycin, 1.25 μ g/ml amphotericin B (Antibiotic/Antimycotic, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) (PBS-AB/AM) was prepared and 500 μ l was added to each tube of larvae and mixed. The tubes were placed on ice for a few minutes to allow the active larvae to become immobilized and collect in the bottom of the tube so that the PBS-AB/AM could be removed without loss of larvae. The PBS-AB/AM was transferred to a 3-well depression slide and examined under 10-45X magnification to ensure that no larvae had been removed. Any larvae that had

32

been removed were then returned to the tube using a 100 μ l pipette. This was repeated with a second wash with PBS-AB/AM, followed by a third wash with PBS without antibiotics. After the final wash, as much PBS as possible was removed without losing any larvae. The tubes were centrifuged briefly to bring the contents to the bottom, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in -80 °C until RNA isolation.

2.7 Determination of resistance

The level of resistance to each anthelmintic in the DR assay was determined for each strain tested based on the counts obtained above (Appendix B). The percentage of L_1/L_2 larvae in each well was calculated as follows:

Controls, BZ (wells 2 - 4), LEV, AVM and BZ/LEV:

$$\frac{\# \text{ of } \underline{L}_1/\underline{L}_2 \text{ larvae}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of larvae}} \quad X \quad 100 = \underline{L}_1/\underline{L}_2$$

BZ (wells 5 – 12):

$$\frac{\# \text{ of } L_1/L_2 \text{ larvae} + \# \text{ of unhatched eggs}}{\text{Total } \# \text{ of eggs}} \quad X \quad 100 = L_1/L_2$$

The percentage of L₃ larvae for all wells was calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Total # of } L_3 \text{ larvae}}{\text{Total # of larvae}} \quad X \quad 100 = L_3$$

The critical well, in which 50% of larval development to the L_3 stage was blocked, was estimated to the nearest half-well.

Hc-OS, Hc-OG, Hc-EG, Hc-RFR, Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF were selected for molecular analysis based on the DrenchRite[®] assay results. For each strain, the anthelmintic exposure status of the tubes of larvae collected from the DR plate was designated as indicated in Figure 3 and several tubes containing 50 larvae each were selected for molecular analysis as shown in Table 2.



Fig. 3. The anthelmintic exposure designations for the tubes of larvae based upon the DrenchRite[®] assay plate. (SS = super susceptible (well 2), HS = highly susceptible (wells 2 and 3), MS = moderately susceptible (wells 3 and 4), LS = low susceptible (wells 4 and 5), WR = weakly resistant (wells 6, 7 and 8), MR = moderately resistant (wells 9 and 10), HR = highly resistant (wells 11 and 12).

Total RNA was isolated from the larvae samples selected for each strain of *H. contortus* using the TōTALLY RNATM kit (Ambion, Austin, Texas, USA). For each tube, the larvae were ground to a powder under liquid nitrogen using a plastic pestle powered by a cordless motor (Kontes Glass Company, Vineland, New Jersey, USA). Denaturation Solution (200 μ l) was added to the tube and the pestle was rinsed into the tube with an additional 200 μ l of Denaturation Solution. The volume of the resulting lysate was measured and this volume was referred to as the Starting Volume. The RNA was then isolated following the Ambion protocol. The final RNA pellet was resuspended in 10 μ l of DEPC Water/0.1mM EDTA (Ambion), placed in a 55 °C water bath for 15 min, and then stored at -80 °C.

2.9 cDNA synthesis

Reverse transcription to generate 3' cDNA was accomplished from the larvae total RNA preparations (above) using the SMARTTM RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA). Similarly, 3'-RACE-Ready cDNA was synthesized from total RNA isolated from adult *H. contortus* for use as a positive control. Following the kit protocol, 3 µl total RNA (approximately 125-225 ng) was used for each sample, the reactions were mixed gently by stirring after each step, and a hot-lid thermal cycler programmed to the appropriate temperatures was used for all incubations. In the final step, the reactions were diluted with 20 µl of Tricine-EDTA Buffer and incubated at 72 °C for 7 min. Once the reactions were complete, the first-strand cDNA was transferred to a 0.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and stored at -20 °C.

	Hc-EG	Hc-OS	Hc-OG	Hc-RFR	Нс-Н992	Hc-GRF	TOTAL
Controls	3	3	3	3	3	3	18
BZ							
SS						1	1
HS	1	2	2	1	1		7
WR	1		1	2	4		8
HR				1	1	1	3
LEV							
SS						1	1
HS		2	2		1		5
MS	1	2	2	2	2		9
HR						1	1
AVM							
HS	1	2	2	1	1	1	8
WR	1			1	1	1	4
TOTAL	8	11	12	11	14	9	65

Table 2 Tubes selected for molecular analysis of P-glycoprotein transcription

The cDNA concentration was determined for each sample using a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, USA). After all of the concentrations had been measured, dilutions of the cDNA were made to obtain a concentration of approximately 150 ng/µl, which had been previously

determined to be an appropriate concentration for molecular analysis. The concentration of the dilutions was then confirmed by NanoDrop spectrophotometry and the exact volume of cDNA suspension that would give 150 ng was calculated for molecular analysis (Appendix C).

2.10 P-glycoprotein molecular analysis

The cDNA samples for each strain were analyzed by quantitative polymerase chain reactions (PCR) to determine the level of Pgp transcription. To amplify the entire gene, primers Pgp003-20F and PgpAF003-HcR were designed from a complete H. contortus Pgp gene sequence in GenBank (accession no. AF003908) (Table 3). Primers Hc18S-620F and Hc18S-1010R were also designed from the H. contortus 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene (GenBank accession no. L04153) for use as a housekeeping gene internal standard (Table 3). Due to the different optimal conditions, reactions for the Pgp and 18S PCR were set up separately for each strain, and included a negative (sterile water) and positive control (cDNA from adult worms). The PCR reactions were performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Advantage 2 PCR Enzyme System, Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA), except using 150 ng cDNA in a 12.5 µl volume. The Pgp PCR cycling parameters were 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 3 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min and then held at 4 °C. The 18S PCR cycling parameters were 30 cycles of 96 °C for 10 s and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min and then held at 4 °C. The Pgp

Table 3Primers for P-glycoprotein transcription analysis

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	T _A	Expected length
Pgp003-20F	AGAGATCGTTCTCAAGCTGGT		
PgpAF003- HcR	TCATTGTGATTCAACGAGTCGT	60 °C	3852 bp
Pgp003-3250F	ATGGCGTTGTTGGAACGGTTT		141 bp
Pgp003-3400R	GGTACAGTCGAACAGCGTTGGTTCC	56 °C	
Hc18S-620F	GAGTTACATGCAGTGATTCGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGCTGTTG	72 °C	423 hn
Hc18S-1010R	GCTCCTCGACAAGGCAACTATACCCCATCGGAT	12 C	425 Up

and 18S PCR products were co-loaded and visualized on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and viewed under UV transillumination. The samples for each individual strain were amplified and evaluated under identical conditions.

Amplification of a smaller fragment of Pgp was also evaluated for some of the experimental samples. The primers Pgp003-3250F and Pgp003-3400R were designed from the *H. contortus* Pgp gene sequence in GenBank (accession no. AF003908) (Table 3). Reactions were set up as for the larger amplicon using 150ng per reaction. The PCR cycling parameters were 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min and then held at 4 °C. The products were

visualized on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and viewed under UV transillumination.

2.11 Molecular characterization of Hc-GRF strain

The 18S rRNA gene and the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS 1) and ITS 2 regions were analyzed from Hc-H992 and the *H. contortus* strain obtained from the giraffe (Hc-GRF).

2.11.1 Genomic DNA extraction

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF strain L_3 larvae using a standard phenol-chloroform extraction method facilitated by the use of the Phase-Lock Gel tubes (Eppendorf Scientific, Inc., Westbury, New York, USA). The larvae were ground to a powder as described above. A 100 µl volume of PBS was added to the sample and the pestle was rinsed with an additional 50 µl of PBS. An equal volume of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-chloride (pH 8.0), 0.1 M EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.5% SDS) was added to the tube and the lysate was transferred to a Light Phase-Lock Gel tube. RNAse A was added to the lysate at a final concentration of 50 µg/ml and the tube was incubated in a 37 °C water bath for 1 h. Then, Proteinase K was added to a final concentration of 100 µg/ml concentration and incubated in a 50 °C water bath for 3 h with occasional swirling. The sample was cooled to room temperature.

A volume of Tris-equilibrated phenol equal to the sample volume was added to the tube and mixed gently on a rocker for 30 min then centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 8 min. The volume of the top aqueous phase was estimated and an equal volume of Tris-equilibrated phenol was added. The tube was mixed gently on a rocker for 10 min then centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 8 min. The top aqueous phase was measured and transferred to a Heavy Phase-Lock Gel tube. An equal volume of 50:50 chloroform:phenol was added to the sample and mixed for 5 min. The tube was centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 8 min. The volume of the top aqueous phase was estimated and an equal volume of chloroform/iso-amyl alcohol was added. The sample was mixed for 5 min, and then centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 8 min. The tube, the volume was measured and sodium acetate to a final concentration of 0.3 M was added. The tube was mixed gently and 3 volumes of cold absolute ethyl alcohol (EtOH) were pipetted down the side of the tube and mixed. The sample was placed in -80 °C to precipitate overnight.

The sample was centrifuged for 30 min at 12,000 X g at 4 °C. The EtOH was carefully removed from the pellet and 500 μ l cold 70% EtOH was added, and then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12,000 X g at 4 °C. The EtOH was removed, the pellet was allowed to dry, and 20-50 μ l of Tricine-EDTA Buffer was added directly to the pellet for resuspension. To ensure that the pellet was fully resuspended, the tube was placed in a 50 °C water bath for 1 h. The concentration of the gDNA was measured on a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer.

2.11.2 18S rRNA standard PCR

Polymerase chain reactions were conducted for the 18S small subunit rRNA gene (18S) and the ITS region of the Hc-GRF and Hc-H992 gDNA. For the 18S PCR, primers AN and B were designed from a conserved region of the gene (Sogin, 1990; Schoelkopf et al., 2005) and used for amplification (Advantage 2 PCR Enzyme System, Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA) (Table 4). PCR reactions contained 10 ng Hc-GRF gDNA, 30 ng Hc-H992 gDNA, and water as a negative control. The thermal cycler program was as follows: 1 cycle of 96 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min and then held at 4 °C. The products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and viewed under UV transillumination.

2.11.3 ITS region standard PCR

For the ITS region, 1055F forward primer designed from a conserved region of the 18S rRNA gene (Sogin, 1990) and ITSR reverse primer designed from a conserved region of the 28S rRNA gene (Aktas et al., 2007) (Table 4) were used to amplify the genomic region spanning the ITS1-5.8S gene-ITS2 region. Primary PCR reactions were prepared using 10 ng Hc-GRF gDNA, 30 ng Hc-H992 gDNA, and water as a negative control. The PCR was conducted in a thermal cycler programmed with the following conditions: 1 cycle of 96 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min, and then held at 4 °C.

Table 4Primers for 18S rRNA gene and ITS genomic region amplification

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	T _A	Expected length
18S Primary PCR			
AN	GCTTGTCTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGC	60 °C	1727 ha
В	GATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC	60°C	1727 bp
ITS Primary PCR			
1055F	GGTGGTGCATGGCCG	EE OC	1001 ha
ITSR	GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG	55°C	1981 bp
ITS Nested PCR			
ITSF	GAGAAGTCGTAACAAGGTTTCCG	55.00	9 73 h
28SRN2	CGGGTAACCTCGCCTG	55 °C	872 Up

The products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel and viewed under UV transillumination.

A nested PCR was performed for the ITS reactions using primers ITSF (located in the 18S rRNA gene) (Aktas et al., 2007) and 28SRN2 (designed from the 28S rRNA gene) and 1 μ l of a 1:10 dilution of the primary PCR reactions as template (Table 4). The thermal cycler conditions were: 1 cycle of 96 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min and then held at 4 °C. The products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and viewed under UV transillumination.

2.11.4 Ligation and transformation

The Hc-GRF and Hc-H992 products from the 18S rRNA PCR and the nested ITS PCR were ligated using the TOPO[®] TA Cloning Kit and transformed into One Shot[®] competent *E. coli* cells (Invitrogen). Following the TOPO[®] protocol, 2-4 μ l (approximately 600-800 ng) of each PCR product was ligated into the TOPO[®] vector, and then transformed into the competent cells. The samples were plated on LB agar with 50 μ g/ml kanamycin and incubated in a 37 °C incubator overnight.

2.11.5 Colony PCR

For each transformation, colonies were analyzed by PCR to determine if the gene fragment of interest was successfully incorporated into the vector. The reactions were performed using M13 forward and M13 reverse primers (located in the vector) and the following thermal cycler conditions: 1 cycle of 94 °C for 10 min, then 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a cycle of 72 °C for 10 min, and then held at 4 °C. The products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel and viewed under UV transillumination. Colonies that possessed the appropriate sized insert for each transformation were inoculated into 6 ml LB broth containing 6 μ l ampicillin and incubated overnight in a 37 °C shaker incubator at 200 rpm.

2.11.6 Plasmid DNA preparation

For select colonies, plasmid DNA (pDNA) for each of the samples was prepared using the QIAprep Spin Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California, USA). The bacteria cells from the broth cultures were pelleted by centrifuging at 4 °C for 30 min at 720 X g. The pDNA was purified following the kit protocol and eluted in 50 μ l of sterile water. The pDNA was visualized on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and viewed under UV transillumination to verify the presence of the insert. The concentration of the pDNA was determined using the NanoDrop Spectrophotometer.

2.11.7 Sequencing and analysis

The cloned Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF 18S and ITS genes were sequenced by Davis Sequencing (Davis, California, USA). The sequences were analyzed using Sequencher 3.11 software (Gene Codes Corporation, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA). BLAST similarity searches (Altschul et al., 1990) were performed for all 18S rRNA gene and ITS region sequences obtained (GenBank database, National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA). The sequences were aligned and compared using the ClustalW 1.8 Program (EMBL-EBI, 2007).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Modified McMaster test and larval identification

For all of the *H. contortus* strains, the EPG determined that adequate quantities of eggs were present in the fecal sample for conducting the DR assay (Table 5). The larval identification determined that *H. contortus* was the only species of nematode present in all of the fecal samples.

Table 5 Results of the modified McMaster test and the larval identification for each strain of *H. contortus*

H. contortus Strain	EPG	Fecal Weight (grams)	Estimated egg count	Larval Identification
Hc-ES	4,266	26.80	114,329	100 % H. contortus
Hc-EG	300	5.02	1,506	100 % H. contortus
Hc-OS	900	13.40	12,060	100 % H. contortus
Hc-OG	4,200	8.90	37,380	100 % H. contortus
Hc-RFR	1,000	6.92	6,920	100 % H. contortus
Hc-H992	500	10.71	5,355	100 % H. contortus
Hc-GRF	16,700	224.70	3,752,490	100 % H. contortus

3.2 DrenchRite[®] assay

3.2.1 Hc-ES strain

The Hc-ES strain was susceptible to BZ/LEV combination and AVM with critical wells 4 and 2.5 respectively (Table 6; Fig. 2). The strain approached the weak resistance level to BZ with critical well 5. The critical well for LEV was well 7.5, which falls within the weakly resistant range. Due to the level of resistance to LEV, this strain was not included in the molecular analysis of the P-glycoprotein.

Table 6

The critical wells (Cw) and corresponding levels of resistance for the *H. contortus* strains as determined by the DrenchRite[®] assay. (S = susceptible, S / W = bordering on susceptible and weakly resistant, W = weakly resistant, W / R = bordering on weakly resistant and highly resistant, R = highly resistant)

	Suspected Susceptible				Suspected Resistant			
	Hc-ES	Hc-EG	Hc-OS	Hc-OG	Hc-RFR	Нс-Н992	Hc-GRF	
BZ	Cw = 5	Cw = 6	Cw = 4.5	Cw = 4.5	Cw = 7.5	Cw = 8.5	Cw = 11	
	S	W	S	S	W	W / R	R	
LEV	Cw = 7.5	Cw = 8.5	Cw = 5.5	Cw = 5	Cw = 5.5	Cw = 5	Cw = 10.5	
	W	W / R	S / W	S	S / W	S	R	
BZ /	Cw = 4	Cw = 5	Cw = 4.5	Cw = 5	Cw = 6	Cw = 5.5	Cw = 8.5	
LEV	S	S	S	S	W	S / W	W / R	
AVM	Cw = 2.5	Cw = 5.5	Cw = 3	Cw = 3.5	Cw = 6.5	Cw = 6.5	Cw = 6.5	
	S	S / W	S	S	W	W	W	

3.2.2 Hc-EG strain

The Hc-EG strain was susceptible to the BZ/LEV combination with a critical well of 5, which is nearing the weakly resistant range (Table 6; Fig. 2). The critical well for AVM was 5.5, which borders between the susceptible and weakly resistant ranges. For BZ, the critical well was determined to be well 6, which falls within the weakly resistant range and the LEV critical well was 8.5, which falls on the border between the weakly resistant and the highly resistant ranges. This strain was included in the Pgp molecular analysis because it demonstrated a higher level of resistance to LEV.

3.2.3 Hc-OS strain

The Hc-OS strain was susceptible to BZ, BZ/LEV combination and AVM, with critical wells 4.5, 4.5, and 3, respectively (Table 6; Fig. 2). The critical well for LEV was 5.5, which falls on the border between the susceptible and weakly resistant range. This strain was predominantly susceptible with the LEV bordering on weak resistance and was included in the molecular analysis.

3.2.4 Hc-OG strain

The Hc-OG strain was susceptible to all anthelmintics tested. The critical wells were as follows: well 4.5 for BZ, well 5 for LEV, well 5 for BZ/LEV combination, and well 3.5 for AVM (Table 6; Fig. 2). However, the levels of resistance to LEV, as well as the BZ/LEV combination, are approaching the weakly resistant level. This strain was

determined to be the most susceptible in this study and was included in the molecular analysis.

3.2.5 Hc-RFR strain

The Hc-RFR critical well for LEV was 5.5, which falls on the border between the susceptible and weakly resistant ranges (Table 6; Fig. 2). For the other anthelmintics tested, the critical wells were within the weakly resistant range and were as follows: well 7.5 for BZ, well 6 for BZ/LEV combination, and well 6.5 for AVM. This strain was determined to be predominantly weakly resistant, with LEV on the border between susceptible and weakly resistant and was included in the molecular analysis.

3.2.6 Hc-H992 strain

The Hc-H992 was susceptible for LEV with a critical well of 5, which is nearing the weakly resistant range (Table 6; Figure 2). The critical well was 5.5 for the BZ/LEV combination, which falls on the border between the susceptible and weakly resistant ranges. This strain was weakly resistant to AVM with a critical well of 6.5, but bordered on the weakly and highly resistant ranges for BZ with a critical well of 8.5. This strain was not as resistant as originally suspected, but still showed a level of resistance to BZ and AVM and was included in the molecular analysis.

3.2.7 Hc-GRF strain

The Hc-GRF strain was weakly resistant to AVM with a critical well of 6.5, but bordered on the weakly and highly resistant ranges for the BZ/LEV combination with a critical well of 8.5 (Table 6; Figure 2). This strain was highly resistant to BZ and LEV with critical wells of 11 and 10.5 respectively. This strain was determined to be the most resistant strain in the study, being either weakly or highly resistant to all of the anthelmintics tested and was included in the molecular analysis.

3.3 P-glycoprotein molecular analysis

None of the *H. contortus* strains showed transcription levels of Pgp at levels detectable in the PCR assay used in this study (represented by Fig. 4). Using the same amount of cDNA in the reactions, the housekeeping gene for each of the samples was amplified at detectable levels. The smaller amplicon of Pgp showed similar results.

3.4 Hc-GRF molecular characterization

3.4.1 18S rRNA

The 18S rRNA sequences obtained from isolates for Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF were compared to the sequences available in the GenBank database for *H. contortus* (accession no. L04153), *Haemonchus placei* (accession no. L04154) and *Haemonchus similis* (accession no. L04152) (Fig. 5). The three reference sequences were 100%



Fig. 4. A) Gel image of the P-glycoprotein analysis for the Hc-H992 strain. B) Gel image of the P-glycoprotein analysis for the Hc-OS strain. For both images: the Pgp positive (G079) and negative (water) are shown on the right of each gel at 3850bp and the housekeeping gene for each sample tested is shown at 450bp. There was not amplification of Pgp for the test samples. These gels are representative for all of the strains evaluated.

identical when aligned using a Lalign program (EMBNET.CH, 2007). The Hc-H992 consensus sequence showed an identity of 99.4% to *H. contortus, H. placei* and *H. similis* and 99.5% to the Hc-GRF. In comparison, the Hc-GRF showed a 99.9% identity to all three of the reference sequences. Several base differences (designated in bold below) occurred in the Hc-H992 isolates, however at position 1165 (\downarrow), there was a nucleotide inserted for both Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF. Based on the similarity of the Hc-GRF sequences to the other known *Haemonchus* spp, it was confirmed to be a species of *Haemonchus*.

L04153-Hc	GCTCAGTTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60
Hc-GRF-6	AGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	49
Hc-GRF-8	GCTTGTCTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60
Hc-H992-2	GCTTGTCTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60
Hc-H992-3	GCTTGTCTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60
L04154-Hp	GCTCAGTTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60
L04152-Hs	GCTCAGTTTAAAGATTAAGCCATGCATGTCGAGTTCATCTTTGAAGAGAAACTGCGAACG	60

L04153-Hc	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120
Hc-GRF-6	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	109
Hc-GRF-8	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120
Hc-H992-2	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120
Hc-H992-3	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACG A CCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120
L04154-Hp	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120
L04152-Hs	GCTCATTAGAGCAGATGTCATTTATTCGGAACGTCCTTTTGGATAACTGCGGTAATTCTG	120

L04153-Hc	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180
Hc-GRF-6	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	169
Hc-GRF-8	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180
Hc-H992-2	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180
Hc-H992-3	GAGCTAATACATGC G AATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180
L04154-Hp	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180
L04152-Hs	GAGCTAATACATGCAAATAAACCCTGACTTTTGAAAGGGTGCAATTATTAGAGCAAATCA	180

L04153-Hc	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
Hc-GRF-6	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	229
Hc-GRF-8	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
Hc-H992-2	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
Hc-H992-3	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCA T TTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
L04154-Hp	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
L04152-Hs	ATCACTTTCGGGTGCAGTTTGCTGACTCTGAATAACGCAGCATATCGGCGGCTTGTTCGC	240
L04153-Hc	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300
Hc-GRF-6	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	289
Hc-GRF-8	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300
Hc-H992-2	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300
Hc-H992-3	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300
L04154-Hp	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300
L04152-Hs	CGATATTCCGAAAAAGTGTCTGCCCTATCAACCTGATGGTAGTCTATTAGTCTACCATGG	300

L04153-Hc	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
Hc-GRF-6	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	349
Hc-GRF-8	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
Hc-H992-2	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
Hc-H992-3	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
L04154-Hp	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
LU4152-Hs	TTATTACGGGTAACGGAGAATAAGGGTTCGACTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTTAGAAACGGCTA	360
	~~~~~~~~ <b>~</b> ~~ <b>*</b> ***********************	

Fig. 5. The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF 18S rRNA gene sequence alignment with denotation of primer location. The reference sequences are designated by the GenBank accession numbers as follows: L04153-Hc for *H. contortus*, L04154-Hp for *H. placei* and L04152-Hs for *H. similis*. Single base substitutions are designated in bold type. A single base insertion at position 1165 ( $\downarrow$ ) occurred in both the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF sequences.

L04153-Hc Hc-GRF-6 Hc-GRF-8 Hc-H992-2 Hc-H992-3 L04154-Hp	CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGCAGGCGCGCAAACTTATCCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG	420 409 420 420 420 420
L04152-Hs	CCACATCCAAGGAAGGCAGCAGGCGCGAAACTTATCCAATCTTGAACAGATGAGATAGTG	420
L04153-Hc	ACTAAAAATAAAAAGACCATTCCTATGGAACGGTCATTTCAATGAGTTGATCATAAACCT	480
Hc-GRF-6	ACTAAAAATAAAAAGACCATTCCTATGGAACGGTCATTTCAATGAGTTGATCATAAACCT	469
HC-GRF-8	ACTAAAAATAAAAAGACCATTCCTATGGAACGGTCATTTCAATGAGTTGATCATAAACCT	480
HC-H992-2	ACTAAAAATAAAAAGACCATTCCTATGGAACGGTCATTTCAATGAGTTGATCATAAACCT	480
HC-H992-3		480
104154-пр		400
L04152-nS	**************************************	400
L04153-Hc	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	540
Hc-GRF-6	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	529
Hc-GRF-8	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	540
Hc-H992-2	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	540
Hc-H992-3	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	540
L04154-Hp	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC	540
L04152-Hs	TTTTTCGAGGATCAAGTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTCC **********************************	540
L04153-Hc	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	600
Hc-GRF-6	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	589
Hc-GRF-8	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	600
Hc-H992-2	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	600
Hc-H992-3	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	600
L04154-Hp	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC	600
L04152-Hs	ACTAGTGTAAATCGTCATTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTGAGTTACATGC ************************************	600
1.04153-Hc	<u>გ</u> Ⴚ <b>ͲႺ</b> გͲͲ <b>Ր</b> ႺՐՐͲͲͳႺႺՐႺͲͲ <b>ͽ გ</b> ͲՐႺՐͲ <b>ႺͲ</b> ϼϧϼϾͲϧϼͲͲϹϨϹͲϲϲͲͲͲϲϻ	660
HC-GRE-6	AGIGATICGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGCTGTTGTAACTATTGCTGGTTTTTCTATTGAGGGTT	649
HC-GRF-8	ΑĞͲĠĂͲͲĊĠĊĊͲͲͲĠĠĊĠŦͲĂĂͲĊĠĊͲĠŦŦĠŦ₽ĂĊŢĂŦŢŢĊĊŦĠĠŦŦŦŢĊŢĂŦŢĠĂĠĠŦŢ	660
Hc-H992-2	AGTGATTCGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGCTGTTGTAACTATTTGCTGGTTTTCT <b>G</b> TTGAGGTT	660
Нс-Н992-3	$AGTGATTCGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGC \mathbf{A}GTTGTAACTATTTGCTGGTTTTCT \mathbf{G}TTGAGGTT$	660
L04154-Hp	AGTGATTCGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGCTGTTGTAACTATTTGCTGGTTTTCTATTGAGGTT	660
L04152-Hs	AGTGATTCGCCTTTGGCGTTAATCGCTGTTGTAACTATTTGCTGGTTTTCTATTGAGGTT *****************************	660
1.04153-Hc	ͲϹϤϤϘͲͲϹͲͲͳϪϾͲϤϤϘͲϪϤϹϤϪϤͲͲͳϪϹͲͲͲϤϪϪͲϪϪϪͲͲϪϤϪϤϤϤϤϤϤϤϤϤ	720
Hc-GRF-6	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAACCA	709
HC-GRF-8	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAAGCG	720
Hc-H992-2	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAAGCG	720
Нс-Н992-3	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAAGCG	720
L04154-Hp	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAAGCG	720
L04152-Hs	TCGGCTTCTTTAGTGGCTAGCGAGTTTACTTTGAATAAATTAGAGTGCTCAGAACAAGCG ******	720
104152 11~		700
LU4133-HC Ha-CDE-6		760
HC-GRF-9		720 720
Hc-H992-2	ΤΙΤΟΟΙΙΟΛΑΙΟΟΙΟΛΙΟΛΙΟΔΑΙΑΛΙΑΛΙΑΛΑΟΛΟΟΛΟΙΙΟΟΟΙΙΟΙΑΙΙΙΑΙΙΟΟΙΙΟ ΤΤΤΟΟΙΙΟΛΑΙΟΟΙΟΔΙΟΛΙΟΔΙΟΛΙΑΛΙΑΛΑΟΛΟΟΛΟΙΙΟΟΟΙΙΟΙΑΙΙΙΑΙΙΟΟΙΙΟ	780
Hc-H992-3	TTTGCTTGAATGGTCGATCATGGAATAATAATAAAAGAGGACTTCGGTTCTATTTATT	780
L04154-Hp	TTTGCTTGAATGGTCGATCATGGAATAATAAAAGAGGACTTCGGTTCTATTTATT	780
L04152-Hs	TTTGCTTGAATGGTCGATCATGGAATAATAAAAGAGGACTTCGGTTCTATTTATT	780
	*********************	

Fig. 5. Continued.

L04153-HC Hc-GRF-6 Hc-GRF-8 Hc-H992-2 Hc-H992-3 L04154-Hp L04152-Hs	AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG AGGAACTGAAATAATGGTTAAGAGGGACAATTCGGGGGCATTCGTATCCCTGCGCGAGAG	840 829 840 840 840 840 840
L04153-Hc	GTGAAATTCGTGGACCGCAGGGGGGCGCCCTAAAGCGAAAGCATTTGCCAAGAATGTCTT	900
Hc-GRF-6	GTGAAATTCGTGGACCGCAGGGGGGCGCCCTAAAGCGAAAGCATTTGCCAAGAATGTCTT	889
Hc-GRF-8	GTGAAATTCGTGGACCGCAGGGGGGCGCCCTAAAGCGAAAGCATTTGCCAAGAATGTCTT	900
Hc-H992-2	GTGAAATTCGTGGACCGCAGGGGGGACGCCCTAAAGCGAAAGCATTTGCCAAGAATGTCTT	900
HC-H992-3	GTGAAATTCGTGGACCGCAGGGGGGGGCCCCTAAAGCGAAAGCATTTGCCCAAGAATGTCTT	900
LU4154-Hp		900
L04152-ns	**************************************	900
L04153-Hc	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
Hc-GRF-6	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	949
Hc-GRF-8	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
Hc-H992-2	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
Нс-Н992-3	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
L04154-Нр	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
L04152-Hs	CATTAATCAAGAACGAAAGTCAGAGGTTCGAAGGCGATTAGATACCGCCCTAGTTCTGAC	960
L04153-Hc	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
Hc-GRF-6	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1009
Hc-GRF-8	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
Hc-H992-2	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
Hc-H992-3	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
L04154-Hp	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
L04152-Hs	CGTAAACTATGCCATCTAGCGATCCGATGGGGTATAGTTGCCTTGTCGAGGAGCTTCCCG	1020
L04153-Hc	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
Hc-GRF-6	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTC C GTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1069
Hc-GRF-8	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
Hc-H992-2	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
Hc-H992-3	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
L04154-Hp	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
L04152-Hs	GAAACGAAAGTCTTTCGGTTCCTGGGGTAGTATGGTTGCAAAGCTGAAACTTAAAGAAAT	1080
L04153-Hc	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
Hc-GRF-6	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1129
Hc-GRF-8	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
Hc-H992-2	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
Hc-H992-3	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
L04154-Hp	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
L04152-Hs	TGACGGAATGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGCGGCTTAATTTGACTCAACACGGGAAAA	1140
	1	
L04153-Hc	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAG-ATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1199
Hc-GRF-6	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAGGATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1189
Hc-GRF-8	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAGGATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1200
Hc-H992-2	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAGGATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1200
Hc-H992-3	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAGGATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1200
L04154-Hp	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAG-ATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1199
LU4152-Hs	CTCACCCGGCCCGGACACCGTAAG-ATTGACAGATTGAAAGCTCTTTCTCGATTTGGTGG	1199

Fig. 5. Continued.

L04153-Hc Hc-GRF-6 Hc-GRF-8 Hc-H992-2 Hc-H992-3 L04154-Hp L04152-Hs	TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG TTGGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAGTTGGTGGAGCGATTTGTCTGGTTTATTCCGATAACG	1259 1249 1260 1260 1260 1259 1259
L04153-Hc Hc-GRF-6 Hc-GRF-8 Hc-H992-2	eq:aggactctagcctgctaaatagtggctggatttttgagtccagtctacttcttagagagag	1319 1309 1320 1320
Hc-H992-3 L04154-Hp L04152-Hs	AGCGAGACTCTAGCCTGCTAAATAGTGGCTGGATTTTTGAGTCCAGTCTACTTCTTAGAG AGCGAGACTCTAGCCTGCTAAATAGTGGCTGGATTTTTGAGTCCAGTCTACTTCTTAGAG AGCGAGACTCTAGCCTGCTAAATAGTGGCTGGATTTTTGAGTCCAGTCTACTTCTTAGAG ** ********************************	1320 1319 1319
L04153-Hc	${\tt GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCTTAGAT}$	1379
HC-GRF-6	GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCCTTAGAT	1369
HC-GRF-8	GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGACATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCCTTAGAT	1380
Hc-H992-2	GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCTTAGAT	1380
L04154-Hp	GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCTTAGAT	1379
L04152-Hs	GGATAAGCGGTGTTTAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCTTAGAT	1379
	***************************************	
L04153-Hc	GTCCGGGGCTGCACGCGCGCTACAATGGAAGAATCAGCTGGCCTATCCATTGCCGAAAGG	1439
Hc-GRF-6	GTCCGGGGCTGCACGCGCGCTACAATGGAAGAATCAGCTGGCCTATCCATTGCCGAAAGG	1429
Hc-GRF-8	GTCCGGGGCTGCACGCGCGCTACAATGGAAGAATCAGCTGGCCTATCCATTGCCGAAAGG	1440
HC-H992-2	GTCCGGGGCTGCACGCGCGCTACAATGGAAGAATCAGCTGGCCTATCCATTGCCGAAAGG	1440
HC-H992-3		1440
L04154-Hp	GICCGGGGCIGCACGCGCGCGCAAAGGAAGAAICAGCIGGCCIAICCAIIGCCGAAAGG	1439
104192-115	***************************************	1139
L04153-Hc Hc-GRF-6	CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT	1499 1489
Hc-GRF-8	CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT	1500
Нс-Н992-2 Нс-Н992-3	CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT	1500 1500
L04154-Hp	CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT	1499
L04152-Hs	CATTGGTAAACCGTTGAAACTCTTTCCGTGACCGGGATAGGGAATTGTAATTATTTCCCTT	1499
1.04153-на	ႺჾჾჇႺჾჾჾჾჾჿჇჾჾჾჿჇჾჾჿჾჇჇჇႦჇႦჇჇჇჇႦჇჿჇჇჇჇႦႦჇჿჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇႦႦჇჇჇႦႦჇჇ	1550
HC-GRF-6	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCCTGCCATT	1549
HC-GRF-8	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCTGCCATT	1560
Нс-Н992-2	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCTGCCATT	1560
Hc-H992-3	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCTGCCATT	1560
L04154-Hp	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCTGCCATT	1559
L04152-Hs	GAACGAGGAATTCCTAGTAAGTGTGAGTCATCAGCTCACGCTGATTACGTCCCTGCCATT	1559
L04153-Hc	TGTACACCCCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCGAGAGGACTGCGGACTGCTG	1619
Hc-GRF-6	TGTACACCGCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCCGAGAGGACTGCGGACTGCTG	1609
Hc-GRF-8	TGTACACACCGCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCCGAGAGGACTGCGGACTGCTG	1620
нс-нууд-2	IGIACACACCGCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCCGAGAGGACTGCGGACTGCTG	1620
	IGIACACACCGCCCGICGCIGICCGGGACIGAGGACTGCCGCGAGAGGACTGCGGACIGCGGACTGCGGGACTGCGGACAGAGGACGGACTGCGGACAGAGAGGACTGCGGACAGAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACTGCGGACAGGACGACAGAGGACGACAGAGGACGACGACGAC	1610
цонтон-нр 1.04152-да	TGTACACACCGCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCGAGAGGGCTGCGGGACTGCTGC TGTACACACCGCCCCGTCGCTGTCCCGGGACTGAGCTGTCTCGAGAGGGCCGCGCGGGCTGCCGG	1610 1610
T01177-U2	***************************************	TOTA

Fig. 5. Continued.

L04153-Hc	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1679
Hc-GRF-6	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1669
Hc-GRF-8	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1680
Hc-H992-2	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1680
Hc-H992-3	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTGTGGCGGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1680
L04154-Hp	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1679
L04152-Hs	TATCGAGGCCTTCGGGTCGCGGTATGGCGGGAAACAGTTCAATCGCAATGGCTTGAACCG	1679
	***************************************	
	← Primer B	
L04153-Hc	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGATGGATC 1726	
Hc-GRF-6	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGAAGGATC 1716	
Hc-GRF-8	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGAAGGATC 1727	
Hc-H992-2	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGAAGGATC 1727	
Hc-H992-3	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTG <b>G</b> AGGTGAACCTGCAGAAGGATC 1727	
L04154-Hp	GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGATGGATC 1726	

GGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTATCTGTAGGTGAACCTGCAGATGGATC 1726

Fig. 5. Continued.

L04152-Hs

3.4.2 ITS region

The ITS sequences obtained from isolates for Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF were compared to the sequences available in GenBank for *H. contortus* and *H. placei* ITS regions. There were no GenBank sequences available for *H. similis* to include in the comparisons.

The ITS 1 sequence in GenBank for *H. contortus* (accession no. AF044927) shows a 99.0% identity with *H. placei* (accession no. AF044929) with a total of 3 base substitution differences between the species. The Hc-H992 consensus sequence showed an identity of 97.5% to the Hc-GRF sequence, 100% to the *H. contortus* reference sequence and 99.0% to *H. placei*. In comparison, the Hc-GRF showed a 97.5% identity to *H. contortus* and a 97.0% identity to *H. placei*.

The sequences for the Hc-H992 and the Hc-GRF isolates contained a few minor differences (Fig. 6). Single base substitutions occurred in the Hc-H992 isolates as well

as in the Hc-GRF isolates (designated in bold type). There was a single base insertion at position 134 ( $\downarrow$ ) in only one of the Hc-H992 isolates and at position 270-273 ( $\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ ) there was a four base deletion in two of the Hc-GRF isolates and a single base deletion in one of the Hc-H992 isolates (position 270). All of the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF sequences matched *H. contortus* in two out of the three nucleotide differences between *H. contortus* and *H. placei*. At the third position, two of the Hc-H992 isolates and one of the Hc-GRF isolates matched with *H. contortus* while the other three isolates matched with *H. placei*. The Hc-H992 and the Hc-GRF are most likely *H. contortus* based on these results.

AF044927-Hc	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
6-GRF-ITS1	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
7-GRF-ITS1	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
10-GRF-ITS1	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
9-H992-ITS1	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
12-H992-ITS1	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCATTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAACTATGTTTTACGACT	60
14-H992-ITS1	$\texttt{TCGAAACCT}{\textbf{G}} AACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT$	60
AF044929-Hp	TCGAAACCTAAACACAAGGTTCCTTTGATCACGAGAAACCAACAGCTATGTTTTACGACT	60
	******* ************ ******************	
AF044927-Hc	TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
6-GRF-ITS1	${\tt TTGTCGTA} {\tt C} {\tt AAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT$	120
7-GRF-ITS1	TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
10-GRF-ITS1	TTGTCGTA <b>C</b> AAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
9-H992-ITS1	TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
12-H992-ITS1	TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGT <b>C</b> TCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
14-H992-ITS1	TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTACATGAGGTGTCTATGT	120
AF044929-Hp	${\tt TTGTCGTAAAAGTTGGGAGTATCACCCCCGTTAAAGCTCTATTAC{\tt M}{\tt TGAGGTGTCTATGT}$	120
	****** ********** ******	

Fig. 6. The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF ITS 1 alignment with single base substitutions designated in bold type. The reference sequences are designated by the GenBank accession numbers as follows: AF044927-Hc for *H. contortus* and AF044929-Hp for *H. placei*. A single base insertion at position 134 ( $\downarrow$ ) occurred in both the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates. In two of the Hc-GRF isolates, a four base deletion occurred at position 270-273 and a single base deletion occurred in one of the Hc-H992 isolates at position 270 ( $\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ ). The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates matched two out of three substitutions between *H. contortus* and *H. placei* ( $\bigtriangledown$ ).

	$\downarrow$ $\checkmark$	
AF044927-Hc	ATGACATGAGCCG-TTCGAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	179
6-GRF-ITS1	ATGACATGAGCCG-TTC <b>A</b> AGAGTGG <b>T</b> GGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	179
7-GRF-ITS1	ATGACATGAGCCG-TTCGAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	179
10-GRF-ITS1	${\tt ATGACATGAGCCG-TTC} {\tt A} {\tt AGAGTGG} {\tt T} {\tt GGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG}$	179
9-H992-ITS1	ATGACATGAGCCG-TTCGAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	179
12-H992-ITS1	ATGACACGAGCCGCTTCAAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	180
14-H992-ITS1	ATGACATGAGCCG-TTCGAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCATTG	179
AF044929-Hp	ΑΤGACATGAGCCG-TTCGAGAGTGGCGGCTGTGATTGTTCATGCGAAGTTCCTATCA <b>G</b> TG	179
	***** ****** *** ****** ***************	
	<b>▼ ▼</b>	
AF044927-Hc	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTCACCGTTTATTAATGG	239
6-GRF-ITS1	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTC <b>G</b> CCGTTTATTAATGG	239
7-GRF-ITS1	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTCACCGTTTATTAATGG	239
10-GRF-ITS1	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTC <b>G</b> CCGTTTATTAATGG	239
9-H992-ITS1	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTCACCGTTTATTAATGG	239
12-H992-ITS1	ATGGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTCGCCGCTTTATTGATGG	240
14-H992-TTS1	ຉຠຌຌຒຠຌຉຌຌຎຎ຺຺	239
AF044929-Hp	ΑΤΑGTTGAGCTTGAGACTTTAATAAGTATTGCTATAATACTGCCTCGCCTCGCCGTTTTATTAATGG	239
in or is is mp	** ************************************	200
AF044927-Hc	TGGTTAAGTACGAACCAAATTACTTCTTGAAGTATGTGGTGTACTGTACCCGATTATATC	299
6-GRE-ITS1	ΤĠĠŦͲĂĂĠŦĂĊĠĂĂĊĊĂĂĂŦŦĂĊŦŦĊŦŦĠĂ~~~~~ŦĠŦĠĠŦĠŦĂĊŦĠĊŎĊĠĂŦŦĂŦĂŦŎĊ	295
7-GRF-ITS1	TGGTTAAGTACGAACCAAATTACTTCTTGAAGTATGTGGTGGTGCTGCTACCCGATTATATC	299
10-GRF-ITS1	ΤζζΥΤΤΑΣζΤΑΛΟΥΑΣΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤΟΥΤ	295
9_H992_TTS1	ТССТТАЛСТАЛССААССААТТАСТОГГОГГОГГОГГОГГОГСОССТСТАССССАТТАТАССС	299
12-H992-TTS1	TGGTTAAGTACGAACCAAATTACTTCTTCA_GTAGTGGTGGTGCTGCTGCTACCCGATTATATC	299
14-H992-TTS1	TCCTTA ACTA CCA A ACTA CTTCTTCA ACTA TCTCCTCCTA CTCTA CCCCA TTATATC	299
λE044929_Up	TGGTTARGIACGAACCAAATIACTICTICTIGAAGTATGIGTGTGTACTGTAC	200
AF044929-lip	***************************************	277
AF044927-Hc	GGGGAACCTTAATGATCACGCGTAGACGCCATTATAAAACACAAACATTCATT	359
6-GRE-ITS1	CCCCA ACCTTA ATCATCATCCCCTACACCCCATTCA A A ACACATCCATTCATT	355
7-GRE-ITS1		359
10_CRF_ITS1	CCCC2 ACCTTA ATCATCATCATCCCCTACACCCCCATTCATA A A A	355
0_U002_TTC1	CCCCA ACCTTA ATCATCA CCCCTA CACCCCATTO TAMACACAACATTCATTTTTACAC	350
12_U002_TTC1	CCCCA ACCTTA ATCATCA TCCCTA CACCCCATTATA A A ACACA A ACATTCATT	350
14 H000 TTC1		250
14-H992-1151 AF044020 Up		250
Агоччэгэ-пр	GGGGAACCIIAAIGAICACGCGIAGACGCCAIIAIAAAACACAAACAIICAII	555
NE044927-Ha	$\pi\pi\pi\pi^{2}$	
AFU44927-AC		
7 CDF TTC1		
LU-GRE-LISL		
y-nyyZ-1151		
12-H992-1151		
14-H992-TIST		
аго44929-нр	TIIGUAGAAUTIAGTGTTUACATTUATTTGTGTUACAAATATUGA 404	
	^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	

Fig. 6. Continued.

The ITS 2 sequence in GenBank for *H. contortus* (accession no. AY647245) showed a 94.9% and 94.5% identity with *H. placei* (accession nos. X78812 and AJ577466 (99.6% identity)). The consensus sequence for Hc-H992 showed an identity of 96.5% to the Hc-GRF sequence, 97.0% to *H. contortus*, 97.8% to *H. placei* (X78812) and 97.4% to *H. placei* (AJ577466). The consensus sequence for the Hc-GRF showed an identity of 93.6% to *H. contortus*, 96.1% to *H. placei* (X78812) and 95.7% to *H. placei* (AJ577466).

Differences occurred in both the Hc-H992 isolates and the Hc-GRF isolates (Fig. 7). At position 32 ( $\downarrow$ ), there is a single base insertion in two of the Hc-GRF isolates and one of the Hc-H992 isolates. There was a six base deletion in the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates at position 95-100 ( $\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ ) compared to the *H. contortus* reference sequence, which is similar to *H. placei*. At positions 129 and 202 (†), some of the Hc-H992 and Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates matched with the *H. placei* sequence. These positions were variable within *H. placei* isolates with two bases, T/C and T/A substituted at these positions; therefore the differences were not significant (Stevenson et al., 1995). Stevenson et al. (1995) demonstrated that the ITS 2 sequence for *H. contortus* differed by only three bases ( $\bigtriangledown$ ) from *H. placei*. The sequences for all of the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates matched the sequence for *H. contortus* at these positions. Therefore, both isolates matched the sequence for *H. contortus*.

	$\downarrow$ $\checkmark$	
АҮ647245-Нс	AACCATATACTACAATGTGG-CTAATTTCAACATTGTTTGTCAAATGGC	48
6-GRF-ITS2	AACCATATACTACAATG <b>A</b> GG <b>G</b> C-AATTTCAACATTGTTTGTCAAATGGC	48
7-GRF-ITS2	AACCATATACTACAATGTGG-CTAATTTCAACATTGTTGTCAAAATGGC	48
10-GRE-TTS2	ΑΔ(CATATACTACAATGACCACATACAATGACCACACTACTACAACATTGTTCAAAATGCC	48
9_4992_1752		4.8
12_0002_TTC2		10
14 4002 7782		10
V70010 Um		10
лтооти-нр		40
А0577406-нр		59
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$	
AY647245-Hc	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATATACATGCAACGTG	108
6-GRF-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTT G AGA T AATTCCCATTTCAG C TCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	102
7-GRF-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	102
10-GRF-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTT G AGA T AATTCCCATTTCAG C TCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	102
9-H992-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	102
12-H992-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTT A AGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	103
14-H992-ITS2	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACG C G	102
Х78812-Нр	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACAATTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	102
АЈ577466-Нр	ATTTGTCTTTTAGACATTTCCCATTTCAGTTCAAGAACATATACATGCAACGTG	113
_	*****	
	+	
AY647245-Hc	ATGTTATGAAATTGTAACATTCCTGAATGATNTGAACATGTTGCCACTATTTGAGTGTAC	168
6-GRF-ITS2	ATGTTATGAAATTGTAACATCCCTGAATGATATGAACATGTTGCCACTATTTGAGTGTAC	162
7-GRF-ITS2	ΑΤΩΤΤΑΤΩΑΑΑΤΤΩΤΑΑΛΑΤΤΩΟΤΩΑΑΤΩΑΤΩΑΤΩΑΛΑΤΩΤΩΑΛΟΑΤΩΤΩΟΛΑΟΤΑΤΤΤΩΑΩ	162
10-GRF-ITS2	ΑΤΩΤΤΑΤΩΑΑΑΤΤΩΤΑΑΩΑΤΩΟΤΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙ	162
9-H992-ITS2	ϪͲ;;ͲϪͲ;;ϫϪϿϫͲͲ;;ϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫϫ	162
12-H992-ITS2	ΑΤΩΤΤΑΤΩΑΑΑΤΤΩΤΑΑΩΑΤΩΟΤΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙΟΙ	163
14_H992_TTS2		162
X78812-Hp	ΑΤΟΓΓΑΤΟΑΑΑΤΙΟΓΑΑCΑΤΙΕΕΓΙΟΑΑΤΟΑΤΑΤΟΑΑCΑΤΟΓΙΟΕCΑΕΤΑΤΙΓΟΑΟΤΟΓΑΕ ΔΤΩΤΤΆΤΩΑ ΔΑΤΤΩΤΑ ΔΩΤΤΩΤΟΑΟΤΟΓΙΟΕΙΟΑΑΤΟΑΤΟΑΤΟΑΤΟΙΟΙΟΕΙΟΕΙΑΕΙΑΙΙΟΑΟΤΟΓΙΟΕ	162
A TE 77466 Up	ΑΤΟΓΓΑΙΟΑΑΑΤΙΟΓΑΑCΑΤΟ COUNTIONAL CONTROL ACTOR COUNTING CONTROL COUNTING C	172
А0577400-пр		1/3
	· · · ·	
NXC 47245 11-		220
AI64/245-HC		228
6-GRF-1152		222
7-GRF-11S2	TCAGCGAATATTGAGATTGACTTAGATAGTGACTTGTATGGCGACGATGTTCTTTTTATCA	222
10-GRF-11S2		222
9-H992-ITS2	TCAGCGAATATTGAGATTGACTTAGATAGTGACTTGTATGGCGACGATGCTCTTTTATCA	222
12-H992-ITS2	TCAGCGAATAT A GAGAT-GAC C TAGATAGTGAC A TGTAT A GCGACGATGTTCTTTATCA	222
14-H992-ITS2	TCAGCGAATATTGAGATTGACTTAGATAGTGACTTGTATGGCGACGATGTTCTCTCTTATCA	222
X78812-Hp	TCAGCGAATATTGAGATTGACTTAGATAGTGAC A TGTATGGC A ACGATGTTCTTTT G TCA	222
АЈ577466-Нр	TCAGCGAATATTGAGATTGACTTAGATAGTGAC A TGTATGGC A ACGATGTTCTTTT G TCA	233
	********* ***** *** *******************	

Fig. 7. The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF ITS 2 alignment with single base substitutions designated in bold type. The reference sequences are designated by the GenBank accession numbers as follows: AY647245-Hc for *H. contortus* and X78812-Hp and AJ577466-Hp for *H. placei*. A single base insertion at position 32 (\downarrow) occurred in one of the Hc-H992 isolates and two of the Hc-GRF isolates. In all of the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates, a six base deletion occurred at position 95-100 ($\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$) which matches with *H. placei*. At positions 129 and 202 (†), the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates match with *H. placei*, however these are areas of variable bases. The Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates matched *H. contortus* at the three bases where *H. contortus* differs from *H. placei* (\bigtriangledown) as determined be Stevenson et al., 1995.

АҮ647245-Нс	ТТТСТАТАА	237
6-GRF-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
7-GRF-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
10-GRF-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGTAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
9-H992-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
12-H992-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
14-H992-ITS2	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGAGGTTACCC	259
Х78812-Нр	TTTGTATAA	231
АЈ577466-Нр	TTTGTATAATGCAACCTGAGCTCAGGCGTGATTACCC	270
	* * * * * * * *	

Fig. 7. Continued.

4. DISCUSSION

Seven isolates of *H. contortus* were evaluated for anthelmintic resistance at the outset of this study. The isolates were passaged through experimental sheep and/or goats, the eggs recovered and allowed to develop to larvae for worm identification. The results of the larval identification confirmed the recovery of the Texas strains of *H. contortus*. These were the expected results since the parasites had been administered to known helminth-free sheep/goats housed in a controlled environment and the feces had been collected directly from the rectum. Only *H. contortus* was identified in the giraffe sample, although it was anticipated that the giraffe would have a multiple infection based upon the condition of the feces and since the host was not housed in a controlled environment. It was also expected that free-living nematodes would be found in the giraffe fecal sample since the feces were collected from the ground, but none were present in the sample evaluated.

At the onset of this study, it was anticipated that resistant and susceptible strains of *H. contortus* would be acquired for molecular comparison. However, acquiring a completely susceptible strain of *H. contortus* proved to be a challenge in this study due to the extensive use of anthelmintics and the ensuing development of resistance. Of the suspected susceptible strains evaluated, only one, Hc-OG, was determined to be susceptible to all of the anthelmintics. The other strains were susceptible to at least one of the anthelmintics, but were bordering on or were weakly resistant to the others.

As for the resistant strains, the Hc-RFR was believed to be highly resistant to all of the anthelmintics and the Hc-H992 was believed to be highly resistant to benzimidazoles. However, the DrenchRite[®] assay determined both of these strains to be only moderately resistant to the anthelmintics evaluated. Fortunately, the submission of a giraffe fecal sample to the Texas A&M University Diagnostic Parasitology Laboratory for evaluation provided us with a highly resistant *Haemonchus* strain for inclusion in this study.

This sample was from a young, male giraffe that had recently been acquired by the Florida zoo from a zoo in New Jersey. Upon arriving in Florida, an initial fecal sample was submitted to the Texas A&M University diagnostic laboratory. The EPG was 850 and the larvae were identified as *H. contortus* and several free-living nematode species. A DrenchRite[®] assay was performed and the results were inconclusive, possibly due to residual anthelmintics given prior to sample collection.

The giraffe was then successfully treated with ivermectin in conjunction with fenbendazole by the zoo and eventually introduced into the resident giraffe population. Initially, the giraffe was placed in a pasture with a larger population, but was quickly relocated to a smaller pasture with a feeder group consisting of four giraffes (three castrated males and one intact female). Approximately 2 months later, the giraffe presented with diarrhea and a fecal sample was submitted to Texas A&M University for evaluation. The EPG at this time was 16,700; the larvae were identified as *H. contortus*, and the DrenchRite[®] assay demonstrated resistance to all of the anthelmintics.

The young giraffe most likely acquired the highly resistant *H. contortus* infection while in the feeder group. Other giraffes that were previously placed in this group have also shown signs of severe infection (two of which died due to a heavy parasitemia).
Unfortunately, due to the resistance to all of the anthelmintics, the options available to treat *H. contortus* infections are limited. However, the zoo was successful in treating the young giraffe with a topical dose of moxidectin in conjunction with fenbendazole, followed 15 d later with a dose of ivermectin and ending with a second dose of topical moxidectin 27 d later. The use of these drugs in combination most likely enabled the clearing of the infection.

Upon reviewing the deworming schedule implemented by the zoo, it is clear how the *H. contortus* developed resistance to all three classes of anthelmintics. For more than 5 years, pyrantel tartrate (levamisole-like in activity) was administered daily in the feed, while ivermectin, fenbendazole and albendazole were rotated on a monthly basis. This allowed for the elimination of the highly susceptible parasites but did not allow enough time for the removal of the more resistant parasites. Therefore, only highly resistant parasites were present to reproduce, which compounded the problem and contributed to even stronger resistance development.

Transcription of Pgp was not detected in any larval worms in this study, whether exposed or not to anthelmintics, and even the smaller Pgp amplicon, which was located at the 3' end of the gene sequence, was not detected. Unfortunately, the quality of the total RNA was not determined due to small sample volume and may have been a factor in the outcome of this study.

Our results would suggest that Pgp efflux is not involved in anthelmintic resistance in this stage of *H. contortus*. However, its importance in anthelmintic resistance should not be ruled out. P-glycoprotein is encoded for by at least seven

different genes which allows for a considerable amount of variability between the different isoforms. In this study, primers for the amplification of Pgp were designed from a sequence derived from adult *H. contortus* worms, which at the start of the study was the only complete sequence available in GenBank. However, this may not be the sequence of the Pgp isoform transcribed in the larval stage and not every Pgp isoform confers resistance (Sangster et al., 1999).

The mechanism of resistance to all three classes of anthelmintics may be explained by the overexpression of Pgp due to its ability to bind a wide range of substrates. The binding affinity of Pgp may be important in eliminating toxins from the parasites. However, the primary target of anthelmintics is the adult parasite and there may be stage-related differences in the expression of Pgp (Geary et al., 1999). There are also a number of other possible resistance mechanisms that may be employed by the larvae to combat the effects of anthelmintics.

Currently, there are three species of *Haemonchus* (*H. contortus, H. placei* and *H. similis*) that have been identified in North American ruminants (Lichtenfels et al., 1994). Both *H. placei* and *H. similis* are predominately found in cattle, while *H. contortus* is found in sheep, goats and many other domestic and wild ruminants. There is some controversy over whether these are indeed separate species. Morphologically, these species are slightly different, so molecular analysis has been conducted to determine species specific differences. Stevenson et al., (1995) identified only three single base differences between the ITS 2 region of *H. contortus* and *H. placei*.

The 18S rRNA gene sequence from the nematode acquired from the giraffe shared 99.9% identity with each of three *Haemonchus* species (GenBank accession nos. L04153, L04154 and L04152). This genus identity was supported by the 5.8S rRNA gene sequence analysis which matched the sequence for *H. contortus* (GenBank accession no. AY190133-5).

The analysis of the ITS 1 sequence showed that the parasite had a slightly higher identity (97.5%) to *H. contortus* than to *H. placei* (97.0%). There were three base differences identified in ITS 1 sequences previously reported from *H. contortus* (GenBank accession no. AF044927) and *H. placei* (GenBank accession no. AF044929) in this study. However, all three do not appear to be defining differences. Although all of the sequences for the Hc-H992 and Hc-GRF isolates matched two of these positions with *H. contortus*, the third position was variable with three of the isolates (one Hc-H992 and 2 Hc-GRF) matching *H. contortus* while the other three matched *H. placei*.

The ITS 2 sequence was more similar to *H. placei* (96.1% and 95.7%) than to *H. contortus* (93.6%). However, based on the 3 base differences between *H. contortus* and *H. placei* as described by Stevenson et al. (1995), the species from the giraffe is most likely *H. contortus* due to a 100% identity at these positions. Additional isolates should be evaluated to determine if there is truly a lack of variability in bases at these positions.

5. CONCLUSION

Haemonchus contortus continues to be a problematic parasite in the sheep and goat industry due to its increased resistance to anthelmintics. As is evidenced by the case of the giraffe, *H. contortus* is also becoming a problem in zoo settings where a number of ruminant species are at risk of acquiring this devastating parasite. Although Pgp transcription was not evident in the larvae in this study, the possible role of Pgp isoforms should not be ruled out in anthelmintic resistance in *H. contortus*.

REFERENCES

- Agar, N.S., Evans, J.V., Roberts, J., 1972. Red blood cell potassium and haemoglobin polymorphism in sheep. A review. An. Breed. Abs. 40, 407-36.
- Aktas, M., Bendele, K.G., Altay, K., Dumanli, N., Tsuji, M., Holman, P.J., 2007. Sequence polymorphism in the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers differs among *Theileria* species. Vet. Parasitol. 147, 221-230.
- Altaif, K.I., Dargie, J.D., 1978a. Genetic resistance to helminths. I. The influence of breed and haemoglobin type on the response of sheep to primary infections with *Haemonchus contortus*. Parasitology 77, 161-175.
- Altaif, K.I., Dargie, J.D., 1978b. Genetic resistance to helminths. II. The influence of breed and haemoglobin type on the response of sheep to re-infection with *Haemonchus contortus*. Parasitology 77, 177-187.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, W.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403-410.
- Amarante, A.F.T., Craig, T.M., Ramsey, W.S., Davis, S.K., Bazer, F.W., 1999a. Nematode burdens and cellular responses in the abomasal mucosa and blood of Florida Native, Rambouillet and crossbreed lambs. Vet. Parasitol. 80, 311-324.
- Amarante, A.F.T., Craig, T.M., Ramsey, W.S., El-Sayed, N.M., Desouki, A.Y., Bazer, F.W., 1999b. Comparison of naturally acquired parasite burdens among Florida Native, Rambouillet and crossbreed ewes. Vet. Parasitol. 85,61-69.
- Ambudker, S.V., Dey, S., Hrycyna, C.A., Ramachandra, M., Pastan, I., Gottesman, M.M., 1999. Biochemical, cellular, and pharmacological aspects of the multidrug transporter. Annual Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 39, 361-398.
- Anderson, R.C., 2000. Nematode Parasites of Vertebrates: Their Development and Transmission (2nd ed.). CABI Publishing, New York, pp. 109-112.
- Barriga, O.O., 1997. Veterinary Parasitology for Practitioners (2nd ed.). Burgess Publishing, Minneapolis, p. 30.3.
- Beugnet, F., Gauthey, M., Kerboeuf, D., 1997. Partial in vitro reversal of benzimidazole resistance by the free-living stages of *Haemonchus contortus* with verapamil. Vet. Rec. 141, 575-576.

- Blackhall, W.J., Pouliot, J.F., Prichard, R.K., Beech, R.N., 1998a. *Haemonchus contortus*: Selection at a glutamate-gated chloride channel gene in ivermectinand moxidectin-selected strains. Exp. Parasitol. 90, 42-48.
- Blackhall, W.J., Liu, H.Y., Xu, M., Prichard, R.K., Beech, R.N., 1998b. Selection at a P-glycoprotein gene in ivermectin- and moxidectin-selected strains of *Haemonchus contortus*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 95, 193-201.
- Blackhall, W.J., Prichard, R.K., Beech, R.N., 2003. Selection at a γ-aminobutyric acid receptor gene in *Haemonchus contortus* resistant to avermectins/milbemycins. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 131, 137-145.
- Blitz, N.M., Gibbs, H.C., 1971. An observation on the maturation of arrested *Haemonchus contortus* larvae in sheep. Can. J. Comp. Med. 35, 178-180.
- Blitz, N.M., Gibbs, H.C., 1972a. Studies on the arrested development of Haemonchus contortus in sheep. I. The induction of arrested development. Int. J. Parasitol. 2, 5-12.
- Blitz, N.M., Gibbs, H.C., 1972b. Studies on the arrested development of Haemonchus contortus in sheep. II. Termination of arrested development and the spring rise phenomenon. Int. J. Parasitol. 2, 13-22.
- Brunsdon, R.V., 1964. The seasonal variations in the nematode egg counts of sheep: a comparison of the spring rise phenomenon in breeding and unmated ewes. N.Z. Vet. J. 12, 75-80.
- Burke, J.M., Miller, J.E., 2006. Evaluation of multiple low doses of copper oxide wire particles compared with levamisole for control of *Haemonchus contortus* in lambs. Vet. Parasitol. 139, 145-149.
- Coles, G.C., Bauer, C., Borgsteede, F.H.M., Geerts, S., Klei, T.R., Taylor, M.A., Waller, P.J., 1992. World Association for the Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology (W.A.A.V.P.) methods for the detection of anthelmintic resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance. Vet. Parasitol. 44, 35-44.
- Coles, G.C., Jackson, F., Pomroy, W.E., Prichard, R.K., von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G., Silvestre, A., Taylor, M.A., Vercruysse, J., 2006. The detection of anthelmintic resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance. Vet. Parasitol. 136, 167-185.
- Connan, R.M., 1975. Inhibited development in *Haemonchus contortus*. Parasitology 71, 239-246.

- Courtney, C.H., Parker, C.F., McClure, K.E., Herd, R.P., 1985. Resistance of exotic and domestic lambs to experimental infection with *Haemonchus contortus*. Int. J. Parasitol. 15, 101-109.
- Craig, T.M., 1993. Anthelmintic resistance. Vet. Parasitol. 46, 121-131.
- Craven, J., Bjørn, H., Barnes, E.H., Henriksen, S.A., Nansen, P., 1999. A comparison of in vitro tests and a faecal egg count reduction test in detecting anthelmintic resistance in horse strongyles. Vet. Parasitol. 85, 49-59.
- Crofton, H.D., 1958. Nematode parasite populations in sheep on lowland farms. V. Further observations on the post-parturient rise and a discussion of its significance. Parasitology 48, 243-250.
- Ding, X., Staudinger, J.L., 2005. Repression of PXR-mediated induction of hepatic CYP3A gene expression by protein kinase C. Biochem. Pharmacol. 69, 867-873.
- Drudge, J.H., Szanto, J., Wyatt, Z.N., Elam, G., 1964. Field studies on parasite control in sheep: Comparison of the thiabendazole, ruelene, and phenothiazine. Am. J. Vet. Res. 25, 1512-1518.
- Dunn, A.M., 1978. Veterinary Helminthology (2nd ed.). William Heinemann Medical Books LTD, London, 323 pp.
- EMBL-EBI, 2007. ClustalW sequence analysis tool. Accessed on June 4, 2007. Available at: http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/
- EMBNET.CH, 2007. Lalign analysis tool. Accessed on June 11, 2007. Available at: http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/LALIGN_form.html.
- Enmark, E., Gustafsson, J-A., 2000. Nematode genome sequence dramatically extends the nuclear receptor superfamily. Trends in Pharmacol. Sci. 21, 85-87.
- Fleming, S.A., Craig, T., Kaplan, R.M., Miller, J.E., Navarre, C., Rings, M., 2006. Anthelmintic resistance of gastrointestinal parasites in small ruminants. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 20, 435-444.
- Ford, J.M., Hait, W.N., 1990. Pharmacology of drugs that alter multidrug resistance in cancer. Pharmacol. Rev. 42, 155-199.
- Geick, A., Eichelbaum, M., Burk, O., 2001. Nuclear receptor response elements mediate induction of intestinal MDR1 by rifampin. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 14581-14587.

- Geary, T.G., Sangster, N.C., Thompson, D.P., 1999. Frontiers in anthelmintic pharmacology. Vet. Parasitol. 84, 275-295.
- Gibbs, H.C., 1982. Mechanisms of survival of nematode parasites with emphasis on hypobiosis. Vet. Parasitol. 11, 25-48.
- Gibbs, H.C., 1986a. Hypobiosis in parasitic nematodes an update. Adv. Parasitol. 25, 129-174.
- Gibbs, H.C., 1986b. Hypobiosis and the periparturient rise in sheep. Vet. Clinics of N. Am.: Food An. Prac. 2, 345-353.
- Gibson, T.E., 1975. Veterinary Anthelmintic Medication (3rd ed.). Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Slough, Eng., pp. 108-184.
- Gotoh, O., 1998. Divergent structures of *Caenorhabditis elegans* cytochrome P450 genes suggest the frequent loss and gain of introns during the evolution of nematodes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15, 1447-1459.
- Grimshaw, W.T.R., Hong, C., Hunt, K.R., 1996. Potential for misinterpretation of the faecal egg count reduction test for levamisole resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep. Vet. Parasitol. 62, 267-273.
- Hoberg, E.P., Lichtenfels, J.R., Gibbons, L., 2004. Phylogeny for species of *Haemonchus* (Nematoda:Trichostrongyloidea): considerations of their evolutionary history and global biogeography among Camelidae and Pecora (Artiodactyla). J. Parasitol. 90, 1085-1102.
- Horak, I.G., 1981. The similarity between arrested development in parasitic nematodes and diapause in insects. J. South African Vet. Assoc. 52, 299-303.
- Jilek, A.F., Bradley, R.E., 1969. Haemoglobin types and resistance to *Haemonchus* contortus in sheep. Am. J. Vet. Res. 30, 1773-1778.
- Kassai, T., 1999. Veterinary Helminthology. Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, pp. 76-83.
- Kerboeuf, D., Guégnard, F., Le Vern, Y., 2002. Analysis and partial reversal of multidrug resistance to anthelmintics due to P-glycoprotein in *Haemonchus contortus* eggs using *Lens culinaris* lectin. Parasitol. Res. 88, 816-821.

- Kerboeuf, D., Blackhall, W., Kaminsky, R., von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G., 2003. P-glycoprotein in helminths: function and perspectives for anthelmintic treatment and reversal of resistance. Int. J. Antimic. Agents 22, 332-346.
- Kliewer, S.A., Moore, J.T., Wade, L., Staudinger, J.L., Watson, M.A., Jones, S.A., McKee, D.D., Oliver, B.B., Willson, T.M., Zetterström, R.H., Perlmann, T., Lehmann, J.M., 1998. An orphan nuclear receptor activated by pregnanes defines a novel steroid signaling pathway. Cell 92, 73-82.
- Kohler, P., 2001. The biochemical basis of anthelmintic action and resistance. Int. J. Parasitol. 31, 336-345.
- Kotze, A.C., 1997. Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase activity in *Haemonchus contortus* (Nematoda). Int. J. Parasitol. 27, 33-40.
- Kotze, A.C., 1999. Peroxide-supported in-vitro cytochrome P450 activities in *Haemonchus contortus*. Int. J. Parasitol. 29, 389-396.
- Kwa, M.S., Veenstra, J.G., Van Dijk, M.V., Roos, M.H., 1995. β-tubulin genes from the parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus* modulate drug resistance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Mol. Biol. 246, 500-510.
- Le Jambre, L.F., 1976. Egg hatch as an in vitro assay of thiabendazole resistance in nematodes. Vet. Parasitol. 2, 385-391.
- Lichtenfels, J.R., Pilitt, P.A., Hoberg, E.P., 1994. New morphological characters for identifying individual specimens of *Haemonchus* spp. (Nematoda: Trichostrongyloidea) and a key to species in ruminants of North America. J. Parasitol. 80, 107-119.
- Mansour, T.E., 2002. Chemotherapeutic Targets in Parasites: Contemporary Strategies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 156-188.
- Martin, R.J., Robertson, A.P., Bjorn, H., 1997. Target sites of anthelmintics. Parasitology 114, S111-S124.
- Martin, R.J., Murray, I., Robertson, A.P., Bjorn, H., Sangster, N., 1998. Anthelmintics and ion-channels: after a puncture, use a patch. Int. J. Parasitol. 28, 849-862.
- Martin, R.J., Robertson, A.P., 2000. Electrophysiological investigation of anthelmintic resistance. Parasitology 120, S87-S94.

- Masuyama, H., Hiramatsu, Y., Mizutani, Y., Inoshita, H., Kudo, T., 2001. The expression of pregnane X receptor and its target gene, cytochrome P450 3A1, in perinatal mouse. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 172, 47-56.
- McGhee, M.B., Nettles, V.F., Roller, E.A., Prestwood, A.K., Davidson, W.R., 1981. Studies on cross-transmission of *Haemonchus contortus* in white-tailed deer, domestic cattle and sheep. J. Wildlife Dis. 17, 353-364.
- Michel, J.F., 1974. Arrested development of nematodes and some related phenomena. Adv. Parasitol. 12, 279-366.
- Miller, J.E., Burke, J.M., Terrill, T.H., 2005. Effect of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 gram copper oxide wire particles on natural infection in lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 83 (Suppl. 2), 15 (Abstract).
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF), 1977. Manual of Veterinary Parasitological Laboratory Techniques. Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London, pp. 16-23.
- Molento, M.B., Prichard, R.K., 1999. Effects of the multidrug-resistance-reversing agents verapamil and CL 347,099 on the efficacy of ivermectin or moxidectin against unselected and drug-selected strains of *Haemonchus contortus* jirds (*Meriones unguiculatus*). Parasitol. Res. 85, 1007-1011.
- Morgan, B.B., 1949. Veterinary Helminthology. Burgess Publishing, Minneapolis, 400 pp.
- Nare, B., Liu, Z., Prichard, R.K., Georges, E., 1994. Benzimidazoles, potent anti-mitotic drugs: substrates for the P-glycoprotein transporter in multidrug-resistant cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 48, 2215-2222.
- Prichard, R., 1994. Anthelmintic resistance. Vet. Parasitol. 54, 259-268.
- Procter, B.G., Gibbs, H.C., 1968. Spring rise phenomenon in ovine helminthiasis. II. In stabled ewes experimentally infected with *Haemonchus contortus*. Exp. Parasitol. 23, 323-330.
- Sangster, N.C., 1994. P-glycoproteins in nematodes. Parasitol. Today 10, 319-322.
- Sangster, N.C., Bannan, S.C., Weiss, A.S., Nulf, S.C., Klein, R.D., Geary, T.G., 1999. *Haemonchus contortus*: Sequence heterogeneity of internucleotide binding domains from P-glycoproteins and an association with avermectin/milbemycin resistance. Exp. Parasitol. 91, 250-257.

- Sangster, N.C., Dobson, R.J., 2002. Anthelmintic resistance. In: Lee, D.L. (Ed.), The Biology of Nematodes. Taylor & Francis, London, pp. 531-567.
- Schoelkopf, L., Hutchinson, C.E., Bendele,K.G., Goff, W.L., Willette, M., Rasmussen, J.M., Holman, P.J., 2005. New ruminant hosts and wider geographic range identified for *Babesia odocoilei* (Emerson and Wright 1970). J. Wildlife Dis. 41, 683-690.
- Sharom, F.J., 1997. The P-glycoprotein efflux pump: how does it transport drugs? J. Membrane Biol. 160, 161-175.
- Smith, J.M., Prichard, R.K., 2002. Localization of P-glycoprotein mRNA in the tissues of *Haemonchus contortus* adult worms and its relative abundance in drug-selected and susceptible strains. J. Parasitol. 88, 612-620.
- Sogin, M.L., 1990. Amplification of ribosomal RNA genes for molecular evolution studies. In: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J., White, T.J. (Eds.), PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, New York, pp. 307-314.
- Sommerville, R.I., Davey, K.G., 2002. Diapause in parasitic nematodes: a review. Can. J. Zool. 80, 1817-1840.
- Soulsby, E.J.L., 1982. Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals (7th ed). Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, pp. 136-355.
- Stevenson, L.A., Chilton, N.B., Gasser, R.B., 1995. Differentiation of *Haemonchus* placei from *H. contortus* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) by the ribosomal DNA second internal transcribed spacer. Int. J. Parasitol. 25, 483-488.
- Synold, T.W., Dussault, I., Forman, B.M., 2001. The orphan nuclear receptor SXR coordinately regulates drug metabolism and efflux. Nature Med. 7, 584-590.
- Tandon, R., Kaplan, R.M., 2004. Evaluation of a larval development assay (DrenchRite[®]) for the detection of anthelmintic resistance in cyathostomin nematodes of horses. Vet. Parasitol. 121, 125-142.
- Taylor, M.A., Hunt, K.R., Goodyear, K.L., 2002. Anthelmintic resistance detection methods: a review. Vet. Parasitol. 103, 183-194.

- Terrill, T.H., Kaplan, R.M., Larsen, M., Samples, O.M., Miller, J.E., Gelaye, S., 2001. Anthelmintic resistance on goat farms in Georgia: efficacy of anthelmintics against gastrointestinal nematodes in two selected goatherds. Vet. Parasitol. 97, 261-268.
- Thompson, D.P., Geary, T.G., 2002. Anthelmintic resistance. In: Lee, D.L. (Ed.), The Biology of Nematodes. Taylor & Francis, London, pp. 291-320.
- Urquhart, G.M., Armour, J., Duncan, J.L., Dunn, A.M., Jennings, F.W., 1987. Veterinary Parasitology. Churchill Livingston Inc., New York, pp. 18-21.
- Veglia, F., 1916. The anatomy and life history of *Haemonchus (Strongylus) contortus* (Rud.). J. Comp. Path. & Therap. 29, 265-277.
- Vizard, A.L., Wallace, R.J., 1987. A simplified faecal egg count reduction test. Aust. Vet. J. 64, 109-111.
- von Samson-Himmelstjerna, G., 2006. Molecular diagnosis of anthelmintic resistance. Vet. Parasitol. 136, 99-107.
- Winterrowd, C.A., Pomroy, W.E., Sangster, N.C., Johnson, S.S., Geary, T.G., 2003. Benzimidazole-resistant β-tubulin alleles in a population of parasitic nematodes (*Cooperia oncophora*) of cattle. Vet. Parasitol. 117,161-172.
- Xie, W., Barwick, J.L., Downes, M., Blumberg, B., Simon, C.M., Nelson, M.C., Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A., Brunt, E.M., Guzellan, P.S., Evans, R.M., 2000. Humanized xenobiotic response in mice expressing nuclear receptor SXR. Nature 406, 435-439.
- Xu, M., Molento, M., Blackhall, W., Ribeiro, P., Beech, R., Prichard, R., 1998. Ivermectin resistance in nematodes may be caused by alteration of Pglycoprotein homolog. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 91, 327-335.

APPENDIX A

KEY FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE 3RD STAGE LARVAE OF SOME COMMON GASTRO-INTESTINAL NEMATODES OF SHEEP









Fig. A.1 The L_3 larval identification key modified from The Manual of Veterinary Parasitological Laboratory Techniques, 1977.

cgw updated 5/02



Fig. A.1 Continued.

7.	a.	Very large larva, 8 gut cells, tail notched, bilobed or trilobed	
	b.	Larva of medium size, 32 pentagonal gut cells,	
		lumen of gut wavy	Oesophagostomum
	c.	Larva medium size 32 square gut cells, lumen	
		of gut straight	Chabertia
	d.	Very small larva with 16 gut cells	Bunostomum



Fig. A.1 Continued.

APPENDIX B

Hc-ES						We	ells					
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	20	21	13	0	21	27	25	15	17	26	20	30
L_{1} / L_{2}	4	3	2	0	11	15	14	5	4	2	0	0
L_3 Dead	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	10	10	6	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	15	14	8	0	16	19	16	5	4	2	0	0
Final egg	5	7	5	0	5	8	9	10	13	24	20	30
% L ₁ /L ₂	27	21	25	N/A	76	85	92	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	73	79	75	N/A	24	15	8	0	0	0	0	0
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	14	26	22	18	24	20	23	27	21	22	29	32
L_{1} / L_{2}	0	2	2	3	10	10	7	0	5	2	0	0
L_3 Dead	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	9	16	12	9	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	11	18	14	12	19	16	11	2	5	2	0	0
Final egg	3	8	8	6	5	4	12	25	16	20	29	32
% L ₁ /L ₂	0	11	14	25	63	70	83	93	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	100	89	86	75	37	30	17	7	0	0	0	0
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	23	17	25	15	27	19	18	21	19	16	22	23
L_{1} / L_{2}	5	5	6	2	1	3	3	6	7	7	17	16
L_3 Dead	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
L ₃ Alive	14	4	14	7	14	8	7	4	9	4	1	2
Total Larvae	19	10	21	9	16	11	11	11	16	12	18	19
Final egg	4	7	4	6	11	8	7	10	3	4	4	4
% L ₁ /L ₂	26	50	29	22	6	27	27	55	44	58	94	84
% L ₃	74	50	71	78	94	73	73	45	56	42	6	16
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	23	19	15	18	10	25	18	17	21	19	17	20
L_{1} / L_{2}	2	1	2	8	1	11	7	8	8	6	7	8
L_3 Dead	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	2
L ₃ Alive	13	8	8	4	6	7	4	3	3	2	4	3
Total Larvae	15	9	11	12	8	19	12	11	12	10	11	13
Final egg	8	10	4	6	2	6	6	6	9	9	6	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	13	11	18	67	12	58	58	73	67	60	64	62
% L ₃	87	89	82	33	88	42	42	27	33	40	36	38

Table B.1 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-ES. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table B.1 Continued

Hc-ES						We	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	19	15	23	25	17	21	24	20	21	22	26	20
L_{1}/L_{2}	4	2	3	10	10	13	18	15	14	14	18	10
L_3 Dead	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	7	8	13	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	11	10	17	20	12	15	18	15	14	14	18	10
Final egg	8	5	6	5	5	6	6	5	7	8	8	10
% L ₁ /L ₂	36	20	18	50	83	87	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	64	80	82	50	17	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	19	18	20	14	20	20	17	24	24	14	18	18
L_{1} / L_{2}	0	1	3	4	8	14	11	16	15	9	13	10
L_3 Dead	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	11	8	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	12	9	10	9	12	14	11	16	15	9	13	10
Final egg	7	9	10	5	8	6	6	8	9	5	5	8
% L ₁ /L ₂	0	11	30	44	67	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	100	89	70	56	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	23	17	15	19	13	20	15	22	26	22	18	25
L_1 / L_2	4	3	7	7	6	14	9	13	21	19	12	18
L_3 Dead	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	13	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	18	10	11	8	6	14	9	13	21	19	12	18
Final egg	5	7	4	11	7	6	6	9	5	3	6	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	22	30	64	88	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	78	70	36	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	27	17	15	21	22	21	24	20	15	20	32	20
L ₁ / L ₂	10	5	4	15	17	18	19	15	11	14	27	13
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	11	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	22	10	8	18	17	18	19	15	11	14	27	13
Final egg	5	7	7	3	5	3	5	5	4	6	5	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	45	50	50	83	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	55	50	50	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Hc-ES						We	ells					
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	34	47	35	18	45	47	48	42	38	48	49	62
L_{1} / L_{2}	4	5	4	3	21	25	21	5	9	4	0	0
L ₃ Dead	3	1	0	0	3	4	3	2	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	19	26	18	9	11	6	3	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	26	32	22	12	35	35	27	7	9	4	0	0
Final egg	8	15	13	6	10	12	21	35	29	44	49	62
% L ₁ /L ₂	15	16	18	25	69	79	88	95	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	85	84	82	75	31	21	12	5	0	0	0	0
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	46	36	40	33	37	44	36	38	40	35	39	43
L_{1} / L_{2}	7	6	8	10	2	14	10	14	15	13	24	24
L_3 Dead	0	1	2	0	2	1	2	1	1	3	0	3
L ₃ Alive	27	12	22	11	20	15	11	7	12	6	5	5
Total Larvae	34	19	32	21	24	30	23	22	28	22	29	32
Final egg	12	17	8	12	13	14	13	16	12	13	10	11
% L ₁ /L ₂	21	32	25	48	8	47	43	64	54	59	83	75
% L ₃	79	68	75	52	92	53	57	36	46	41	17	25
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	38	33	43	39	37	41	41	44	45	36	44	38
L_1 / L_2	4	3	6	14	18	27	29	31	29	23	31	20
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	18	16	20	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	23	19	27	29	24	29	29	31	29	23	31	20
Final egg	15	14	16	10	13	12	12	13	16	13	13	18
% L ₁ /L ₂	17	16	22	48	75	93	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	83	84	78	52	25	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	50	34	30	40	35	41	39	42	41	42	50	45
L_1 / L_2	14	8	11	22	23	32	28	28	32	33	39	31
L_3 Dead	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	24	11	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	40	20	19	26	23	32	28	28	32	33	39	31
Final egg	10	14	11	14	12	9	11	14	9	9	11	14
% L ₁ /L ₂	35	40	58	85	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	65	60	42	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table B.2 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-ES. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Hc-EG						We	ells					
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	34	32	32	44	30	26	42	35	36	41	35	47
L ₁ / L ₂	1	3	5	8	3	12	20	14	14	8	11	3
L ₃ Dead	1	1	1	1	3	2	4	4	1	2	2	0
L ₃ Alive	29	26	19	25	16	9	10	5	6	8	1	0
Total Larvae	31	30	25	34	22	23	34	23	21	18	14	3
Final egg	3	2	7	10	8	3	8	12	15	23	21	44
% L ₁ / L ₂	3	10	20	24	37	58	67	74	81	76	91	100
% L ₃	97	90	80	76	63	42	33	26	19	24	9	0
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	28	22	39	34	21	37	42	36	38	42	24	32
L ₁ / L ₂	5	2	8	6	5	16	16	15	12	13	2	0
L_3 Dead	2	0	2	0	1	3	6	5	4	4	1	1
L ₃ Alive	14	12	23	16	14	13	14	8	3	6	2	0
Total Larvae	21	14	33	22	20	32	36	28	19	23	5	1
Final egg	7	8	6	12	1	5	6	8	19	19	19	31
% L ₁ / L ₂	24	14	24	27	29	57	52	64	82	76	88	97
% L ₃	76	86	76	73	71	43	48	36	18	24	12	3
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	31	37	36	27	30	26	49	34	43	32	52	35
L_{1} / L_{2}	4	1	3	2	4	3	8	12	20	18	35	28
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	1	2	2	3	2
L ₃ Alive	20	32	24	19	17	17	28	12	10	7	7	4
Total Larvae	25	33	28	21	23	22	40	25	32	27	45	34
Final egg	6	4	8	6	7	4	9	9	11	5	7	1
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	3	11	10	17	14	20	48	63	67	78	82
% L ₃	84	97	89	90	83	86	80	52	73	33	22	18
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	18	31	24	47	43	34	37	34	46	38	42	31
L_{1}/L_{2}	1	0	5	2	2	2	7	11	28	24	23	22
L_3 Dead	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	1	1	0
L ₃ Alive	13	26	15	31	32	27	23	16	4	6	5	3
Total Larvae	14	27	20	34	34	29	32	29	35	31	29	25
Final egg	4	4	4	13	9	5	5	5	11	7	13	6
% L ₁ /L ₂	7	0	25	6	6	7	22	38	80	77	79	88
% L ₃	93	100	75	94	94	93	78	62	20	23	21	12

Table B.3 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-EG. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table B.3 Continued

Hc-EG						W	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	37	19	37	38	38	34	46	49	55	45	44	34
L_{1} / L_{2}	1	0	4	11	17	20	34	43	45	32	35	24
L_3 Dead	0	2	0	2	2	2	4	1	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	27	14	28	20	12	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	28	16	32	33	31	24	39	45	45	32	35	24
Final egg	9	3	5	5	7	10	7	4	10	13	9	10
% L ₁ / L ₂	4	0	13	33	55	83	87	96	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	96	100	87	67	45	17	13	4	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	30	40	39	24	36	35	30	84	35	33	42	43
L_{1} / L_{2}	2	2	9	9	16	18	23	76	25	27	28	33
L_3 Dead	0	0	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	15	33	28	12	10	5	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	17	35	38	21	29	25	26	76	25	27	28	33
Final egg	13	5	1	3	7	10	4	8	10	6	14	10
% L ₁ /L ₂	12	6	24	43	55	72	88	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	88	94	76	57	45	28	12	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	27	38	37	36	37	39	31	73	43	30	35	40
L ₁ / L ₂	3	3	9	6	17	15	21	50	35	28	27	32
L_3 Dead	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	15	26	21	23	15	14	2	9	1	0	0	0
Total Larvae	20	29	30	29	33	30	23	61	36	28	27	32
Final egg	7	9	7	7	4	9	8	12	7	2	8	8
% L ₁ /L ₂	15	10	30	21	52	50	91	82	97	100	100	100
% L ₃	85	90	70	79	48	50	9	18	3	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	32	30	41	29	32	46	46	74	35	33	34	36
L ₁ / L ₂	1	7	6	5	10	21	29	47	27	25	26	29
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	25	16	23	13	12	14	9	7	2	1	1	0
Total Larvae	27	23	30	18	22	35	38	57	30	26	27	29
Final egg	5	7	11	11	10	11	8	17	5	7	7	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	4	30	20	28	45	60	76	82	90	96	96	100
% L ₃	96	70	80	72	55	40	24	18	10	4	4	0

Hc-EG						W	ells					
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	62	54	71	78	51	63	84	71	74	83	59	79
L_{1} / L_{2}	6	5	13	14	8	28	36	29	26	21	13	3
L_3 Dead	3	1	3	1	4	5	10	9	5	6	3	1
L_3 Alive	43	38	42	41	30	22	24	13	9	14	3	0
Total Larvae	52	44	58	56	42	55	70	51	40	41	19	4
Final egg	10	10	13	22	9	8	14	20	34	42	40	75
% L ₁ /L ₂	12	11	22	25	33	57	60	69	81	76	90	99
% L ₃	88	89	78	75	67	43	40	31	19	24	10	1
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	49	68	60	74	73	60	86	68	89	70	94	66
L_{1} / L_{2}	5	1	8	4	6	5	15	23	48	42	58	50
L_3 Dead	1	1	1	1	2	2	6	3	5	3	4	2
L ₃ Alive	33	58	39	50	49	44	51	28	14	13	12	7
Total Larvae	39	60	48	55	57	51	72	54	67	58	74	59
Final egg	10	8	12	19	16	9	14	14	22	12	20	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	13	2	17	7	11	10	21	43	72	72	78	85
% L ₃	87	98	83	93	89	90	79	57	28	28	22	15
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	67	59	76	62	74	69	76	133	90	78	86	77
L_1 / L_2	3	2	13	20	33	38	57	119	70	59	63	57
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	2	5	4	5	1	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	42	47	56	32	22	7	3	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	45	51	70	54	60	49	65	121	70	59	63	57
Final egg	22	8	6	8	14	20	11	12	20	19	23	20
% L ₁ /L ₂	7	4	19	37	55	78	88	98	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	93	96	81	63	45	22	12	2	0	0	0	0
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	59	68	78	65	69	85	77	147	78	63	69	76
L_1 / L_2	4	10	15	11	27	36	50	97	62	53	53	61
L_3 Dead	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	5	1	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	40	42	44	36	27	28	11	16	3	1	1	0
Total Larvae	47	52	60	47	55	65	61	118	66	54	54	61
Final egg	12	16	18	18	14	20	16	29	12	9	15	15
% L ₁ /L ₂	9	19	25	23	49	55	82	82	94	98	98	100
% L ₃	91	81	75	77	51	45	18	18	6	2	2	0

Table B.4 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-EG. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Hc-OS						We	ells					
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	45	20	29	25	26	20	30	25	65	27	36	18
L_1 / L_2	3	1	3	6	11	14	18	5	10	4	1	1
L_3 Dead	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
L ₃ Alive	30	15	15	16	9	4	4	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	34	18	19	22	21	19	22	6	10	4	2	2
Final egg	11	2	10	3	5	1	8	19	55	23	34	16
% L ₁ /L ₂	9	6	16	27	62	75	87	96	100	100	97	94
% L ₃	91	94	84	73	38	25	13	4	0	0	3	6
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	30	29	32	33	42	46	36	37	48	44	32	45
L_{1}/L_{2}	4	2	3	4	23	25	21	14	9	3	1	3
L_3 Dead	1	1	0	2	3	2	0	2	2	1	0	2
L ₃ Alive	20	18	24	16	13	7	3	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	25	21	27	22	39	34	24	16	11	4	1	5
Final egg	5	8	5	11	3	12	12	21	37	40	31	40
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	10	11	18	62	80	92	95	96	98	100	96
% L ₃	84	90	89	82	38	20	8	5	4	2	0	4
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	54	34	39	26	88	37	48	41	38	39	37	41
L_1 / L_2	6	6	8	6	29	16	27	29	37	27	28	32
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	4	2	0
L ₃ Alive	31	21	17	16	36	5	10	6	0	0	1	1
Total Larvae	37	27	25	23	70	24	37	35	37	31	31	33
Final egg	17	7	14	3	18	13	11	6	1	8	6	8
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	22	32	26	41	67	73	83	100	87	90	97
% L ₃	84	78	68	74	59	33	27	17	0	13	10	3
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	34	31	36	24	37	46	54	31	26	34	36	49
L_1 / L_2	2	2	3	3	12	26	33	20	24	32	27	37
L_3 Dead	0	1	1	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1
L ₃ Alive	23	22	28	19	22	5	4	3	0	0	1	1
Total Larvae	25	25	32	23	35	34	38	23	24	32	28	39
Final egg	9	6	4	1	2	12	16	8	2	2	8	10
% L ₁ /L ₂	8	8	9	13	34	76	87	87	100	100	96	95
% L ₃	92	92	91	87	66	24	13	13	0	0	4	5

Table B.5 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-OS. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table B.5 Continued

Hc-OS						W	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	46	47	36	51	38	32	43	39	44	45	46	35
L ₁ / L ₂	6	4	4	16	24	25	41	37	36	38	44	28
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	29	32	22	19	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	35	36	26	35	34	28	41	37	36	38	44	28
Final egg	11	11	10	16	4	4	2	2	8	7	2	7
% L ₁ /L ₂	17	11	15	46	71	89	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	83	89	85	54	29	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	50	76	47	46	44	52	41	47	58	57	61	49
L_{1} / L_{2}	5	5	3	11	30	43	39	45	53	43	60	46
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	34	47	35	24	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	39	54	39	38	38	45	39	45	53	43	60	46
Final egg	11	22	8	8	6	7	2	2	5	14	1	3
% L ₁ /L ₂	13	9	8	29	79	96	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	87	91	92	71	21	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	51	62	64	42	73	63	46	37	54	59	56	69
L_{1}/L_{2}	4	8	22	29	56	46	40	33	48	46	54	55
L_3 Dead	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	31	39	22	9	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	35	48	46	39	63	52	41	33	48	46	54	55
Final egg	16	14	18	3	10	11	5	4	6	13	2	14
% L ₁ /L ₂	11	17	48	74	89	88	98	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	89	83	52	26	11	12	2	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	38	36	84	23	78	63	42	38	67	57	48	41
L_{1}/L_{2}	5	4	38	13	53	49	41	33	62	51	47	37
L_3 Dead	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	15	17	25	8	10	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	20	22	65	21	63	51	42	35	63	51	47	37
Final egg	18	14	19	2	15	12	0	3	4	6	1	4
% L ₁ /L ₂	25	18	58	62	84	96	98	94	98	100	100	100
% L ₃	75	82	42	38	16	4	2	6	2	0	0	0

Hc-OS						W	ells					
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	75	49	61	58	68	66	66	62	113	71	68	63
L_1 / L_2	7	3	6	10	34	39	39	19	19	7	2	4
L_3 Dead	2	3	1	2	4	3	0	3	2	1	1	3
L ₃ Alive	50	33	39	32	22	11	7	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	59	39	46	44	60	53	46	22	21	8	3	7
Final egg	16	10	15	14	8	13	20	40	92	63	65	56
% L ₁ /L ₂	12	8	13	23	62	79	89	95	98	99	99	95
% L ₃	88	92	87	77	38	21	11	5	2	1	1	5
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	88	65	75	50	125	83	102	72	64	73	73	90
L_{1} / L_{2}	8	8	11	9	41	42	60	49	61	59	55	69
L_3 Dead	0	1	1	2	6	6	1	0	0	4	2	1
L ₃ Alive	54	43	45	35	58	10	14	9	0	0	2	2
Total Larvae	62	52	57	46	105	58	75	58	61	63	59	72
Final egg	26	13	18	4	20	25	27	14	3	10	14	18
% L ₁ /L ₂	13	15	19	20	39	72	80	84	100	94	93	96
% L ₃	87	85	81	80	61	28	20	16	0	6	7	4
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	96	123	83	97	82	84	84	86	102	102	107	84
L_{1}/L_{2}	11	9	7	27	54	68	80	82	89	81	104	74
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	63	79	57	43	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	74	90	65	73	72	73	80	82	89	81	104	74
Final egg	22	33	18	24	10	11	4	4	13	21	3	10
% L ₁ /L ₂	15	10	11	37	75	93	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	85	90	89	63	25	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	89	98	148	65	151	126	88	75	121	116	104	110
L_1 / L_2	9	12	60	42	109	95	81	66	110	97	101	92
L_3 Dead	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	46	56	47	17	17	8	2	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	55	70	111	60	126	103	83	68	111	97	101	92
Final egg	34	28	37	5	25	23	5	7	10	19	3	18
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	17	54	70	87	92	98	97	99	100	100	100
% L ₃	84	83	46	30	13	8	2	3	1	0	0	0

Table B.6 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-OS. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Hc-OG						We	ells					
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	59	44	32	37	41	46	37	51	41	46	43	32
L_1 / L_2	7	7	2	4	2	12	12	11	10	3	2	1
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	2	0	0
L_3 Alive	13	14	15	12	8	7	3	9	3	0	0	0
Total Larvae	20	21	17	16	10	21	16	22	18	5	2	1
Final egg	39	23	15	21	31	25	21	29	23	41	41	31
% L ₁ /L ₂	35	33	12	25	80	80	89	78	80	96	100	100
% L ₃	65	67	88	75	20	20	11	22	20	4	0	0
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	62	59	45	36	45	49	55	48	50	64	48	38
L_{1} / L_{2}	12	4	8	5	7	15	14	22	13	17	3	2
L_3 Dead	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	2	1	0
L ₃ Alive	21	23	13	10	13	12	10	2	3	0	1	0
Total Larvae	33	30	21	15	21	29	26	27	19	19	5	2
Final egg	29	29	24	21	24	20	29	21	31	45	43	36
% L ₁ /L ₂	36	13	38	33	69	71	78	90	88	97	96	100
% L ₃	64	87	62	67	31	29	22	10	12	3	4	0
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	57	66	67	23	32	53	77	49	42	61	59	45
L ₁ / L ₂	6	7	3	14	11	21	34	23	14	28	31	20
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	3	3	2	1	1
L ₃ Alive	16	22	27	7	6	2	2	2	1	0	0	1
Total Larvae	22	29	30	21	18	26	38	28	18	30	32	22
Final egg	35	37	37	2	14	27	39	21	24	31	27	23
% L ₁ /L ₂	27	24	10	67	61	81	89	82	78	93	97	91
% L ₃	73	76	90	33	39	19	11	18	22	7	3	9
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	69	40	49	44	55	63	48	53	41	63	52	49
L_1 / L_2	5	6	7	8	10	20	24	22	19	19	27	15
L_3 Dead	2	2	0	0	3	5	2	1	1	2	1	1
L ₃ Alive	9	9	14	16	11	4	1	0	2	1	0	0
Total Larvae	16	17	21	24	24	29	27	23	22	22	28	16
Final egg	53	23	28	20	31	34	21	30	19	41	24	33
% L ₁ /L ₂	31	35	33	33	42	69	89	96	86	86	96	94
% L ₃	69	65	67	67	58	31	11	4	14	14	4	6

Table B.7 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-OG. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table B.7 Continued

Hc-OG						We	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	65	61	47	60	55	59	50	63	49	57	46	51
L_{1} / L_{2}	8	7	8	7	10	27	23	29	21	26	26	21
L_3 Dead	2	0	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	28	24	11	18	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	38	31	21	27	23	30	23	29	21	26	26	21
Final egg	27	30	26	33	32	29	27	34	28	31	20	30
% L ₁ /L ₂	21	23	38	26	43	90	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	79	77	62	74	57	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	61	75	60	57	64	52	45	53	53	44	57	53
L_{1} / L_{2}	6	6	9	10	20	19	26	26	15	11	23	28
L_3 Dead	1	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	29	18	16	14	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	36	24	27	26	35	22	26	26	15	11	23	28
Final egg	25	51	33	31	29	30	19	27	38	33	34	25
% L ₁ /L ₂	17	25	33	38	57	86	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	83	75	67	62	43	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	54	70	57	50	51	62	57	54	45	58	49	52
L ₁ / L ₂	2	12	13	22	25	31	31	32	24	28	27	28
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	18	21	13	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	20	33	26	32	27	34	32	32	24	28	27	28
Final egg	34	37	31	18	24	28	25	22	21	30	22	24
% L ₁ /L ₂	10	36	50	69	93	91	97	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	90	64	50	31	7	9	3	0	0	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	42	49	60	53	34	61	50	44	48	42	72	72
L_1 / L_2	6	11	10	22	17	34	24	13	17	14	31	31
L_3 Dead	0	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
L_3 Alive	12	15	22	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total Larvae	18	28	34	27	21	34	25	13	18	14	31	31
Final egg	24	21	26	26	13	27	25	31	30	28	41	41
% L ₁ /L ₂	33	39	29	81	81	100	96	100	94	100	100	100
% L ₃	67	61	71	19	19	0	4	0	6	0	0	0

Hc-OG						W	ells					
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	121	103	77	73	86	95	92	99	91	110	91	70
L_1 / L_2	19	11	10	9	9	27	26	33	23	20	5	3
L_3 Dead	0	3	0	0	1	4	3	5	8	4	1	0
L ₃ Alive	34	37	28	22	21	19	13	11	6	0	1	0
Total Larvae	53	51	38	31	31	50	42	49	37	24	7	3
Final egg	68	52	39	42	55	45	50	50	54	86	84	67
% L ₁ /L ₂	36	22	26	29	74	76	83	84	85	96	98	100
% L ₃	64	78	74	71	26	24	17	16	15	4	2	0
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	126	106	116	67	87	116	125	102	83	124	111	94
L_{1} / L_{2}	11	13	10	22	21	41	58	45	33	47	58	35
L_3 Dead	2	2	0	0	4	8	4	4	4	4	2	2
L ₃ Alive	25	31	41	23	17	6	3	2	3	1	0	1
Total Larvae	38	46	51	45	42	55	65	51	40	52	60	38
Final egg	88	60	65	22	45	61	60	51	43	72	51	56
% L ₁ /L ₂	29	28	20	49	50	75	89	88	83	90	97	92
% L ₃	71	72	80	51	50	25	11	12	17	10	3	8
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	126	136	107	117	119	111	95	116	102	101	103	104
L_1 / L_2	14	13	17	17	30	46	49	55	36	37	49	49
L_3 Dead	3	0	4	4	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	57	42	27	32	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	74	55	48	53	58	52	49	55	36	37	49	49
Final egg	52	81	59	64	61	59	46	61	66	64	54	55
% L ₁ /L ₂	19	24	35	32	52	88	100	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	81	76	65	68	48	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	96	119	117	103	85	123	107	98	93	100	121	124
L_1 / L_2	8	23	23	44	42	65	55	45	41	42	58	59
L_3 Dead	0	2	2	4	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	30	36	35	11	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total Larvae	38	61	60	59	48	68	57	45	42	42	58	59
Final egg	58	58	57	44	37	55	50	53	51	58	63	65
% L ₁ /L ₂	21	38	38	75	88	96	96	100	98	100	100	100
% L ₃	79	62	62	25	12	4	4	0	2	0	0	0

Table B.8 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-OG. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Hc-RFR	FR Wells												
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**	
Starting Egg	54	36	33	50	53	47	35	53	47	44	37	34	
L_1 / L_2	9	3	2	5	6	2	3	6	2	10	7	5	
L_3 Dead	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	2	
L ₃ Alive	21	19	21	29	27	30	13	26	28	12	8	0	
Total Larvae	32	23	23	34	35	32	17	34	31	23	17	7	
Final egg	22	13	10	16	18	15	18	19	16	21	20	27	
% L ₁ /L ₂	28	13	9	15	45	36	60	47	38	70	73	94	
% L ₃	72	87	91	85	55	64	40	53	62	30	27	6	
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**	
Starting Egg	61	42	47	42	40	46	56	68	58	47	56	43	
L_{1} / L_{2}	6	3	0	1	4	2	3	7	4	15	17	8	
L_3 Dead	6	2	0	3	1	1	3	4	0	2	2	1	
L ₃ Alive	25	24	29	24	19	22	29	27	28	18	9	2	
Total Larvae	37	29	29	28	24	25	35	38	32	35	28	11	
Final egg	24	13	18	14	16	21	21	30	26	12	28	32	
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	10	0	4	50	50	43	54	52	57	80	93	
% L ₃	84	90	100	96	50	50	57	46	48	43	20	7	
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	45	49	38	56	54	44	37	42	51	47	45	43	
L_1 / L_2	3	1	2	12	22	16	17	29	29	40	28	31	
L_3 Dead	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	
L ₃ Alive	27	29	26	23	17	5	3	1	0	0	1	1	
Total Larvae	30	30	29	36	41	22	21	33	30	40	30	32	
Final egg	15	19	9	20	13	22	16	9	21	7	15	11	
% L ₁ /L ₂	10	3	7	33	54	73	81	88	97	100	93	97	
% L ₃	90	97	93	67	46	27	19	12	3	0	7	3	
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	53	35	42	45	43	44	44	37	42	46	41	37	
L_1 / L_2	4	0	2	8	11	19	27	28	21	31	22	29	
L_3 Dead	1	2	1	1	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
L ₃ Alive	29	21	28	23	16	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	
Total Larvae	34	23	31	32	31	21	29	31	22	31	22	29	
Final egg	19	12	11	13	12	23	15	6	20	15	19	8	
% L ₁ /L ₂	12	0	6	25	35	90	93	90	95	100	100	100	
% L ₃	88	100	94	75	65	10	7	10	5	0	0	0	

Table B.9 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-RFR. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table B.9 Continued

Hc-RFR						We	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	64	47	55	46	46	44	35	40	58	53	35	40
L_{1} / L_{2}	6	7	5	4	6	10	23	22	40	23	22	24
L_3 Dead	2	3	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	32	24	33	26	22	10	2	2	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	40	34	39	31	29	22	25	26	40	23	22	24
Final egg	24	13	16	15	17	22	10	14	18	30	13	16
% L ₁ /L ₂	15	21	13	13	21	45	92	85	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	85	79	87	87	79	55	8	15	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	51	54	46	53	36	51	52	38	53	41	46	44
L ₁ / L ₂	4	4	4	3	5	20	36	23	37	25	30	29
L_3 Dead	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	33	37	29	24	17	9	4	3	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	37	42	34	30	23	30	41	27	37	25	30	29
Final egg	14	12	12	23	13	21	11	11	16	16	16	15
% L ₁ /L ₂	11	10	12	10	22	67	88	85	100	100	100	100
% L3	89	90	88	90	78	33	12	15	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	71	81	59	38	49	57	56	37	88	46	73	53
L_{1} / L_{2}	3	9	6	7	7	6	33	19	39	25	38	27
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
L ₃ Alive	39	44	40	22	24	22	1	4	1	1	0	0
Total Larvae	42	53	46	29	31	29	36	23	40	27	38	27
Final egg	29	28	13	9	18	28	20	14	48	19	35	26
% L ₁ /L ₂	7	17	13	24	23	21	92	83	98	93	100	100
% L ₃	93	83	87	76	77	79	8	17	3	7	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	66	41	40	39	49	49	53	37	45	55	52	52
L_1 / L_2	3	7	4	3	6	13	24	23	29	33	29	33
L_3 Dead	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	37	25	23	21	23	14	7	5	1	0	0	0
Total Larvae	43	33	28	24	30	27	31	28	30	33	29	33
Final egg	23	8	12	15	19	22	22	9	15	22	23	19
% L ₁ /L ₂	7	21	14	13	20	48	77	82	97	100	100	100
% L ₃	93	79	86	87	80	52	23	18	3	0	0	0

Hc-RFR	Wells												
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**	
Starting Egg	115	78	80	92	93	93	91	121	105	91	93	77	
L_1 / L_2	15	6	2	6	10	4	6	13	6	25	24	13	
L_3 Dead	8	3	0	3	3	1	4	6	1	3	4	3	
L ₃ Alive	46	43	50	53	46	52	42	53	56	30	17	2	
Total Larvae	69	52	52	62	59	57	52	72	63	58	45	18	
Final egg	46	26	28	30	34	36	39	49	42	33	48	59	
% L ₁ /L ₂	22	12	4	10	47	43	49	51	46	64	77	94	
% L ₃	78	88	96	90	53	57	51	49	54	36	23	6	
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	98	84	80	101	97	88	81	79	93	93	86	80	
L ₁ / L ₂	7	1	4	20	33	35	44	57	50	71	50	60	
L_3 Dead	1	2	2	2	6	1	2	4	1	0	1	0	
L ₃ Alive	56	50	54	46	33	7	4	3	1	0	1	1	
Total Larvae	64	53	60	68	72	43	50	64	52	71	52	61	
Final egg	34	31	20	33	25	45	31	15	41	22	34	19	
% L ₁ /L ₂	11	2	7	29	46	81	88	89	96	100	96	98	
% L ₃	89	98	93	71	54	19	12	11	4	0	4	2	
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	115	101	101	99	82	95	87	78	111	94	81	84	
L_1 / L_2	10	11	9	7	11	30	59	45	77	48	52	53	
L_3 Dead	2	4	2	4	2	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	
L_3 Alive	65	61	62	50	39	19	6	5	0	0	0	0	
Total Larvae	77	76	73	61	52	52	66	53	77	48	52	53	
Final egg	38	25	28	38	30	43	21	25	34	46	29	31	
% L ₁ /L ₂	13	14	12	11	21	58	89	85	100	100	100	100	
% L ₃	87	86	88	89	79	42	11	15	0	0	0	0	
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	137	122	99	77	98	106	109	74	133	101	125	105	
L_1 / L_2	6	16	10	10	13	19	57	42	68	58	67	60	
L_3 Dead	3	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	
L_3 Alive	76	69	63	43	47	36	8	9	2	1	0	0	
Total Larvae	85	86	74	53	61	56	67	51	70	60	67	60	
Final egg	52	36	25	24	37	50	42	23	63	41	58	45	
% L ₁ /L ₂	7	19	14	19	21	34	85	82	97	97	100	100	
% L ₃	93	81	86	81	79	66	15	18	3	3	0	0	

Table B.10 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-RFR. ** Final egg count included in $%L_1/L_2$.

Hc-H992	Wells												
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**	
Starting Egg	58	55	49	66	69	65	80	75	77	57	75	79	
L_1 / L_2	6	3	2	8	10	8	12	11	16	26	44	10	
L_3 Dead	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	3	3	4	1	1	
L ₃ Alive	36	29	39	37	42	34	38	41	25	7	8	2	
Total Larvae	43	33	43	45	54	43	52	55	44	37	53	13	
Final egg	15	22	6	21	15	22	28	20	33	20	22	66	
% L ₁ / L ₂	14	9	5	18	36	46	50	41	64	81	88	96	
% L ₃	86	91	95	82	64	54	50	59	36	19	12	4	
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**	
Starting Egg	59	52	65	58	83	67	85	83	76	75	58	59	
L_{1} / L_{2}	1	3	4	8	8	6	13	20	21	19	26	21	
L_3 Dead	0	1	3	1	2	4	2	2	4	6	1	2	
L ₃ Alive	37	32	43	35	64	44	47	36	32	24	4	0	
Total Larvae	38	36	50	44	74	54	62	58	57	49	31	23	
Final egg	21	16	15	14	9	13	23	25	19	26	27	36	
% L ₁ / L ₂	3	8	8	18	20	28	42	54	53	60	91	97	
% L ₃	97	92	92	82	80	72	58	46	47	40	9	3	
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	58	73	49	43	42	51	62	57	61	48	57	46	
L ₁ / L ₂	2	8	2	7	20	29	36	39	44	39	40	41	
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
L ₃ Alive	37	53	38	26	10	4	1	3	0	0	1	1	
Total Larvae	40	61	41	34	31	33	38	42	44	40	41	42	
Final egg	18	12	8	9	11	18	24	15	17	8	16	4	
% L ₁ /L ₂	5	13	5	21	65	88	95	93	100	98	98	98	
% L ₃	95	87	95	79	35	12	5	7	0	2	2	2	
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Starting Egg	56	49	42	43	50	47	36	53	55	46	50	47	
L_{1} / L_{2}	2	6	8	5	12	23	23	34	38	36	38	42	
L_3 Dead	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
L ₃ Alive	39	31	31	27	9	7	5	4	1	1	0	0	
Total Larvae	42	38	40	32	23	30	28	38	39	37	38	42	
Final egg	14	11	2	11	27	17	8	15	16	9	12	5	
% L ₁ /L ₂	5	16	20	16	52	77	82	89	97	97	100	100	
% L ₃	95	84	80	84	48	23	18	11	3	3	0	0	

Table B.11 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-H992. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Table	e B.11	Continued
-------	--------	-----------

Hc-H992						W	ells					
BZ/LEV - E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	50	61	55	52	67	55	53	64	66	59	60	44
L_{1} / L_{2}	4	4	4	5	16	27	39	49	49	39	45	30
L_3 Dead	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	35	45	35	38	33	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	39	49	40	44	51	35	40	49	49	39	45	30
Final egg	11	12	15	8	16	20	13	15	17	20	15	14
% L ₁ /L ₂	10	8	10	11	31	77	98	100	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	90	92	90	89	69	23	2	0	0	0	0	0
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	48	60	61	70	66	39	54	44	49	56	58	60
L_1 / L_2	9	3	4	6	14	25	39	35	38	37	46	45
L_3 Dead	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	30	28	43	50	31	7	0	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	40	31	47	58	48	32	39	36	38	37	46	45
Final egg	8	29	14	12	18	7	15	8	11	19	12	15
% L ₁ /L ₂	23	10	9	10	29	78	100	97	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	77	90	91	90	71	22	0	3	0	0	0	0
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	61	58	54	52	77	55	62	51	49	57	70	42
L_1 / L_2	7	1	4	3	15	10	33	31	32	45	55	28
L_3 Dead	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	40	45	38	33	44	27	11	5	5	0	0	0
Total Larvae	48	47	43	36	59	37	44	36	37	45	55	28
Final egg	13	11	11	16	18	18	18	15	12	12	15	14
% L ₁ / L ₂	15	2	9	8	25	27	75	86	86	100	100	100
% L ₃	85	98	91	92	75	73	25	14	14	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	47	50	67	50	60	68	67	63	55	80	60	40
L_1 / L_2	2	4	8	3	14	15	33	48	38	62	47	29
L_3 Dead	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	32	36	43	38	41	37	10	3	1	1	0	0
Total Larvae	35	41	52	42	55	53	43	51	39	63	47	29
Final egg	12	9	15	8	5	15	24	12	16	17	13	11
% L ₁ /L ₂	6	10	15	7	25	28	77	94	97	98	100	100
% L ₃	94	90	85	93	75	72	23	6	3	2	0	0

Нс-Н992						We	ells					
BZ AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	117	107	114	124	152	132	165	158	153	132	133	138
L_1 / L_2	7	6	6	16	18	14	25	31	37	45	70	31
L_3 Dead	1	2	5	1	4	5	4	5	7	10	2	3
L ₃ Alive	73	61	82	72	106	78	85	77	57	31	12	2
Total Larvae	81	69	93	89	128	97	114	113	101	86	84	36
Final egg	36	38	21	35	24	35	51	45	52	46	49	102
% L ₁ /L ₂	9	9	6	18	28	37	46	48	58	69	89	96
% L ₃	91	91	94	82	72	63	54	52	42	31	11	4
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	114	122	91	86	92	98	98	110	116	94	107	93
L_{1} / L_{2}	4	14	10	12	32	52	59	73	82	75	78	83
L_3 Dead	2	1	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
L ₃ Alive	76	84	69	53	19	11	6	7	1	1	1	1
Total Larvae	82	99	81	66	54	63	66	80	83	77	79	84
Final egg	32	23	10	20	38	35	32	30	33	17	28	9
% L ₁ /L ₂	5	14	12	18	59	83	89	91	99	97	99	99
% L ₃	95	86	88	82	41	17	11	9	1	3	1	1
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	98	121	116	122	133	94	107	108	115	115	118	104
L_{1} / L_{2}	13	7	8	11	30	52	78	84	87	76	91	75
L_3 Dead	1	0	1	3	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	65	73	78	88	64	13	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	79	80	87	102	99	67	79	85	87	76	91	75
Final egg	19	41	29	20	34	27	28	23	28	39	27	29
% L ₁ /L ₂	16	9	9	11	30	78	99	99	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	84	91	91	89	70	22	1	1	0	0	0	0
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	108	108	121	102	137	123	129	114	104	137	130	82
L_1 / L_2	9	5	12	6	29	25	66	79	70	107	102	57
L_3 Dead	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	72	81	81	71	85	64	21	8	6	1	0	0
Total Larvae	83	88	95	78	114	90	87	87	76	108	102	57
Final egg	25	20	26	24	23	33	42	27	28	29	28	25
% L ₁ /L ₂	11	6	13	8	25	28	76	91	92	99	100	100
% L ₃	89	94	87	92	75	72	24	9	8	1	0	0

Table B.12 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-H992. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

Hc-GRF Wells												
BZ - A	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	36	46	26	59	46	73	47	41	58	54	44	37
L_1 / L_2	6	4	3	8	5	6	5	7	11	12	11	9
L_3 Dead	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	0
L ₃ Alive	16	27	15	33	25	41	28	24	30	24	19	4
Total Larvae	23	31	18	41	30	47	34	31	41	38	33	13
Final egg	13	15	8	18	16	26	13	10	17	16	11	24
% L ₁ /L ₂	26	13	17	20	46	44	38	41	48	52	50	89
% L ₃	74	87	83	80	54	56	62	59	52	48	50	11
BZ - B	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	52	69	61	47	47	72	48	42	56	59	56	54
L_1 / L_2	7	5	7	7	9	9	6	5	10	16	7	16
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0
L ₃ Alive	25	39	35	33	23	39	32	18	33	30	17	5
Total Larvae	32	46	43	41	32	48	38	23	43	49	28	21
Final egg	20	23	18	6	15	24	10	19	13	10	28	33
% L ₁ /L ₂	22	11	16	17	51	46	33	57	41	44	63	91
% L ₃	78	89	84	83	49	54	67	43	59	56	37	9
LEV - C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	55	78	65	46	45	66	57	50	58	74	52	52
L_1 / L_2	8	11	13	8	8	17	12	15	24	28	21	26
L_3 Dead	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	4
L ₃ Alive	24	42	31	31	26	23	18	19	12	27	14	12
Total Larvae	32	54	44	39	35	42	32	35	38	57	37	42
Final egg	23	24	21	7	10	24	25	15	20	17	15	10
% L ₁ /L ₂	25	20	30	21	23	40	38	43	63	49	57	62
% L ₃	75	80	70	79	77	60	63	57	37	51	43	38
LEV - D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	69	50	45	42	51	71	75	51	73	46	61	45
L ₁ / L ₂	10	6	8	7	11	15	16	12	29	13	25	23
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	2	0	2	3
L_3 Alive	44	33	28	22	25	33	37	20	21	17	11	8
Total Larvae	54	39	36	31	39	49	53	32	52	30	38	34
Final egg	15	11	9	11	12	22	22	19	21	16	23	11
% L ₁ / L ₂	19	15	22	23	28	31	30	37	56	43	66	68
% L ₃	81	85	78	77	72	69	70	63	44	57	34	32

Table B.13 DrenchRite[®] assay counts for Hc-GRF. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .
Table B.13 Continued

Hc-GRF						W	ells					
BZ/LEV – E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	101	66	58	77	34	65	59	46	53	65	51	61
L_{1} / L_{2}	12	10	13	11	9	12	7	7	20	42	32	36
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	2	1	2	4	3	3	0	1	2
L_3 Alive	61	39	30	49	20	24	24	9	1	0	3	2
Total Larvae	73	51	44	62	30	38	35	19	24	42	36	40
Final egg	28	15	14	15	4	27	24	27	29	23	15	21
% L ₁ / L ₂	16	20	30	18	30	32	20	37	83	100	89	90
% L ₃	84	80	70	82	70	68	80	63	17	0	11	10
BZ/LEV - F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	54	66	46	45	55	59	76	54	71	48	47	64
L_1 / L_2	14	9	9	6	8	6	12	16	19	30	32	39
L_3 Dead	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	2	0	2	1
L ₃ Alive	32	34	23	25	25	31	30	15	10	0	2	5
Total Larvae	46	43	32	31	33	39	45	36	31	30	36	45
Final egg	8	23	14	14	22	20	31	18	40	18	11	19
% L ₁ /L ₂	30	21	28	19	24	15	27	44	61	100	89	87
% L ₃	70	79	72	81	76	85	73	56	39	0	11	13
AVM - G	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	67	53	32	53	44	45	53	55	47	45	68	59
L ₁ / L ₂	7	10	5	15	11	13	34	41	36	33	38	37
L_3 Dead	1	2	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	31	25	20	22	16	18	5	1	0	0	0	0
Total Larvae	39	37	25	38	28	31	41	43	36	33	38	37
Final egg	28	16	7	15	16	14	12	12	11	12	30	22
% L ₁ /L ₂	18	27	20	39	39	42	83	95	100	100	100	100
% L ₃	82	73	80	61	61	58	17	5	0	0	0	0
AVM - H	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	45	46	62	50	51	51	64	40	69	88	64	58
L_{1} / L_{2}	7	10	9	5	14	13	30	29	39	56	41	38
L_3 Dead	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	23	22	38	23	29	20	11	0	2	0	0	0
Total Larvae	30	33	47	28	44	34	43	29	41	56	41	38
Final egg	15	13	15	22	7	17	21	11	28	32	23	20
% L ₁ /L ₂	23	30	19	18	32	38	70	100	95	100	100	100
% L ₃	77	70	81	82	68	62	30	0	5	0	0	0

Hc-GRF						W	ells					
BZ-AVG	1	2	3	4	5**	6**	7**	8**	9**	10**	11**	12**
Starting Egg	88	115	87	106	93	145	95	83	114	113	100	91
L_1 / L_2	13	9	10	15	14	15	11	12	21	28	18	25
L_3 Dead	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	5	7	0
L ₃ Alive	41	66	50	66	48	80	60	42	63	54	36	9
Total Larvae	55	77	61	82	62	95	72	54	84	87	61	34
Final egg	33	38	26	24	31	50	23	29	30	26	39	57
% L ₁ / L ₂	24	12	16	18	48	45	36	49	45	48	57	90
% L ₃	76	88	84	82	52	55	64	51	55	52	43	10
LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	124	128	110	88	96	137	132	101	131	120	113	97
L_{1} / L_{2}	18	17	21	15	19	32	28	27	53	41	46	49
L_3 Dead	0	1	0	2	4	3	2	1	4	2	4	7
L ₃ Alive	68	75	59	53	51	56	55	39	33	44	25	20
Total Larvae	86	93	80	70	74	91	85	67	90	87	75	76
Final egg	38	35	30	18	22	46	47	34	41	33	38	21
% L ₁ /L ₂	21	18	26	21	26	35	33	40	59	47	61	64
% L ₃	79	82	74	79	74	65	67	60	41	53	39	36
BZ/LEV AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	155	132	104	122	89	124	135	100	124	113	98	125
L_{1} / L_{2}	26	19	22	17	17	18	19	23	39	72	64	75
L_3 Dead	0	2	1	2	1	4	7	8	5	0	3	3
L ₃ Alive	93	73	53	74	45	55	54	24	11	0	5	7
Total Larvae	119	94	76	93	63	77	80	55	55	72	72	85
Final egg	36	38	28	29	26	47	55	45	69	41	26	40
% L ₁ /L ₂	22	20	29	18	27	23	24	42	71	100	89	88
% L ₃	78	80	71	82	73	77	76	58	29	0	11	12
AVM AVG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Starting Egg	112	99	94	103	95	96	117	95	116	133	132	117
L_{1}/L_{2}	14	20	14	20	25	26	64	70	75	89	79	75
L_3 Dead	1	3	0	1	2	1	4	1	0	0	0	0
L ₃ Alive	54	47	58	45	45	38	16	1	2	0	0	0
Total Larvae	69	70	72	66	72	65	84	72	77	89	79	75
Final egg	43	29	22	37	23	31	33	23	39	44	53	42
% L ₁ / L ₂	20	29	19	30	35	40	76	97	97	100	100	100
% L ₃	80	71	81	70	65	60	24	3	3	0	0	0

Table B.14 DrenchRite[®] assay averages for Hc-GRF. ** Final egg count included in % L_1/L_2 .

APPENDIX C

Table C.1

The cDNA concentrations (original and dilutions) from NanoDrop Spectrophotometer. The dilution factor is the dilution that was made on the sample to obtain 150 ng per reaction. The volume per reaction is the adjusted volume to obtain 150 ng per reaction.

Sample ID	cDNA concentration (ng/ul)	÷ 150	Dilution Factor (1:X)	Dilution concentration (ng/ul)	Volume per reaction (µl)
Hc-OS					
Control 1	652.15	4.35	4.5	131.25	1.14
Control 2	461.53	3.08	3.0	154.46	0.97
Control 3	528.43	3.52	3.5	144.05	1.04
BZ HS 1	570.70	3.80	4.0	107.45	1.40
BZ HS 2	469.15	3.13	3.0	152.61	0.98
LEV HS 1	537.08	3.58	3.5	126.97	1.18
LEV HS 2	543.63	3.62	3.5	128.22	1.17
LEV MS 1	544.45	3.63	3.5	142.17	1.06
LEV MS 2	587.38	3.92	4.0	127.96	1.17
AVM HS 1	573.86	3.83	4.0	112.68	1.33
AVM HS 2	560.14	3.73	4.0	126.98	1.18
Hc-OG					
Control 1	755.74	5.04	5.0	167.03	0.90
Control 2	694.90	4.63	5.0	126.74	1.18
Control 3	576.75	3.85	4.0	135.78	1.10
BZ HS 1	483.13	3.22	3.0	155.76	0.96
BZ HS 2	493.02	3.29	3.5	132.93	1.13
BZ WR 1	552.84	3.69	3.5	137.70	1.09
LEV HS 1	593.26	3.96	4.0	121.54	1.23
LEV HS 2	538.20	3.59	3.5	133.09	1.13
LEV MS 1	515.94	3.44	3.5	134.90	1.11
LEV MS 2	478.72	3.19	3.0	166.28	0.90
AVM HS 1	568.85	3.79	4.0	110.99	1.35
AVM HS 2	540.63	3.60	3.5	113.90	1.32

Table C.1 Continued

Sample ID	cDNA concentration (ng/ul)	÷ 150	Dilution Factor (1:X)	Dilution concentration (ng/ul)	Volume per reaction (µl)
Hc-EG					
Control 1	556.36	3.71	4.0	129.37	1.16
Control 2	637.51	4.25	4.5	123.27	1.22
Control 3	541.05	3.61	3.5	140.54	1.07
BZ HS 1	506.29	3.38	3.5	128.84	1.16
BZ WR 1	477.91	3.19	3.0	154.82	0.97
LEV MS 1	524.48	3.50	3.5	140.11	1.07
AVM HS 1	535.91	3.57	3.5	139.96	1.07
AVM WR 1	523.81	3.49	3.5	142.81	1.05
Hc-RFR					
Control 1	486.22	3.24	3.5	137.08	1.09
Control 2	697.67	4.65	4.5	134.14	1.12
Control 3	527.50	3.52	3.5	129.91	1.15
BZ HS 1	518.68	3.46	3.5	137.05	1.09
BZ WR 1	425.40	2.84	3.0	141.91	1.06
BZ WR 2	476.19	3.17	3.0	159.87	0.94
BZ HR 1	564.28	3.76	4.0	120.39	1.25
LEV MS 1	481.46	3.21	3.5	137.52	1.09
LEV MS 2	549.14	3.66	3.5	148.41	1.01
AVM HS 1	461.65	3.08	3.0	150.58	1.00
AVM WR 1	506.89	3.38	3.5	144.98	1.03
Hc-H992					
Control 1	613.91	4.09	4.0	132.79	1.13
Control 2	607.36	4.05	4.0	130.38	1.15
Control 3	488.74	3.26	3.5	125.74	1.19
BZ HS 1	616.21	4.11	4.0	128.74	1.17
BZ WR 1	483.58	3.22	3.5	137.64	1.09
BZ WR 2	548.08	3.65	3.5	144.34	1.04
BZ WR 3	524.58	3.50	3.5	126.55	1.19

Table C-1 Continued

Sample ID	cDNA concentration (ng/ul)	÷ 150	Dilution Factor (1:X)	Dilution concentration (ng/ul)	Volume per reaction (µl)
Hc-H992					
BZ WR 4	480.52	3.20	3.5	129.30	1.16
BZ HR 1	504.86	3.37	3.5	136.36	1.10
LEV HS 1	547.53	3.65	3.5	128.12	1.17
LEV MS 1	572.96	3.82	4.0	123.97	1.21
LEV MS 2	574.43	3.83	4.0	127.22	1.18
AVM HS 1	519.82	3.47	3.5	136.49	1.10
AVM WR 1	500.04	3.33	3.5	142.26	1.05
Hc-GRF					
Con 1	426.03	2.84	3.0	151.92	0.99
Con 2	468.40	3.12	3.0	164.98	0.91
Con 3	431.70	2.88	3.0	151.21	0.99
BZ SS	448.72	2.99	3.0	159.84	0.94
BZ HR	445.48	2.97	3.0	154.60	0.97
LEV SS	451.38	3.01	3.0	155.95	0.96
LEV HR	445.17	2.97	3.0	161.92	0.93
AVM HS	402.68	2.68	2.5	170.09	0.88
AVM WR	412.15	2.75	3.0	142.14	1.06
Positive control	143.19	0.95	N/A	N/A	1.05

VITA

Name:	Pamela Donn Garretson
Address:	102 North 17 th Street, Dolores, CO 81323
Email Address:	pamgarretson@gmail.com
Education:	B.S., Zoology, Colorado State University, 1997
	M.S., Veterinary Parasitology, Texas A&M University, 2007