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#### Abstract

Three-dimensional binary represenations of continuous objects are analyzed for shape properties. Theoretical results are descibed that suggest a method for developing threedimensional shape measures. New shape measures are introduced, and a method for approximating them over the boundary of a low resolution binary object is presented. Statistical results are shown that yield high differentiation between binary shapes. A method for displaying the shaded planar image of a three-dimensional binary image is described.


## I. Introduction

All around us, shapes are repeated at a relatively rapid rate. Rectangular solids, for instance, can be found disguised as books, sponges and buildings; spheres can be see in door knobs, gems, and bubbles. What do we mean when we say that two objects "have the same shape"?

From a theoretical view, we would like to define rigorously the intuitive concept of shape. From a practical view, we would like to teach a computer to recognize the shape of an arbitrary object held in its memory. There has been much work done on the two-dimensional problem [1,2,3,4,5], but much less work done in three-dimensions [6,7]. Our work is hard to compare with earlier work because the shapes we are dealing with have their only existence as three-dimensional binary objects. Reynolds et al. [8] use such data, obtained from computed tomography (CT) or nuclear magnetic resonance (MRI) measurements, to produce shaded displays on a flat screen. Levoy [9] uses 8-bit 3-D discrete CT data to make displays (using ray tracing and a model for reflectancetransmission) very similar to ours, but again there is no mention of recognition of shape from the discrete 3-D "image." Many authors (literally in the thousands) have dealt with various aspects of shape perception and modelling starting with parametric representations, contours in 2-D or on the surface itself, from surface approximation using Béier-Bernstein splines, from edges or webs, from range data, stereo views, shading, polygons, etc. Azriel Rosenfeld's bibliographic review and classification "Image Analysis and Computer Vision" which appears yearly in Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing would be an excellent place to start searching for references in other directions. The contribution covering 1988 contains over 1,600 references.

One problem with attempting to analyze three-dimensional binary shapes is that they are hard to visualize intuitively. For instance, if the computer reported that two binary objects were the same, how could the result be verified? This question led us to develop a display procedure. The program uses elementary regression techniques to display a shaded representation of a three-dimensional binary object on a two-dimensional computer screen. This development was based on recent research by psychologists $[10,11,12,13]$ that has revealed that shading and occlusion of objects in two-dimensional pictures produce a compelling perception of three-dimensional shape.

## II. Theoretical Background

## A. Shapes

We will define the intuitive concept of "shape" by saying that two objects have the same shape if one of them can be obtained from the other by a finite number of translations, rotations, and magnifications. Therefore, our concern is with the identification of objects in space independently of their spatial position, orientation, and size. We wish to do this without decomposing the objects into more primitive, understood objects. In fact, no supposition that these random objects are composed of parts is made; we are therefore making no attempt to understand the objects, only to classify them as one of a number of previously identified objects, or to indicate that no such classification is probable. To this end, we seek to define a "distance" between two objects: one which will be small when the objects seem like one another and large when they are clearly different. The distance should be independent of the orientation, position, and size of the objects being compared.

A clear analogy can be drawn between this situation and the Euclidean distance between points in space. If we can associate each object with a list of real numbers, and regard this list as the coordinates of a point in space, then classical statistical techniques can be used to deal with the objects as points (in a probably high dimensional space). Thus, the difficulty transfers to that of discovering enough different measures to distinguish between a set of objects. If we are to approach our goal, then the associations of objects to numbers should have the same invariance properties we are seeking in object recognition.

## B. Measures

Definition. An object measure is a mapping from the set of objects to the set of real numbers.

Diameter $\delta(O)$, surface area $\sigma(O)$, and volume $\rho(O)$ are examples of natural object measures. Yet these measures are clearly fundamentally different. To illustrate this, imagine that an object-say a cube-under study has random changes in size (magnifications) which we wish to model. Then the variations in the three measurements will be different, and this cannot be corrected by scaling. For example, if each measurement is normalized by dividing by the sample mean, then the three variations will be in the
ratio 1:2:3 although the expected measurements are all 1 . One way to minimize this tendency is to insist the measurements be dimensionally the same.
Definition. An object measure $\mu$ is said to be homogeneous (or dimensionally linear) if $\mu(\alpha O)=\alpha \mu(O)$ for each positive magnification $\alpha$. If $O$ is an object and $\alpha$ is a positive real number, let

$$
\alpha O=\{\alpha x: x \in O\}
$$

be the magnification of $O$ by $\alpha$.
For example, $\delta(O), \sigma(O)^{1 / 2}$, and $\rho(O)^{1 / 3}$ are natural homogeneous object measures. Each is also a congruence measure.
Definition. A measure is said to be a congruence measure if it is rotation, translation, and reflection-invariant.
Definition. A shape measure is a magnification invariant congruence measure.
Shape measures are dimensionless. In particular, the ratio of two homogeneous congruence measures is a shape measure. Because homogeneous congruence measures are relatively easy for a computer to calculate, these ratios will be the only shape measures of interest to us. A natural denominator measure is one of the three just mentioned above, for they are never zero for a real object and are easily estimated. In discrete applications, the normalized volume estimate is the most stable because of its low ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) exponent.

Let $S$ be the surface of an object with area $|S|$, centroid $r_{0}$, normal to the surface $\mathbf{n}$, and element of surface area $d \sigma$. The measures

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|^{p}\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|^{q} d \sigma\right)^{1 /(p+q)}
$$

are homogeneous congruence measures if $p+q>0$ (see Appendix A); we have tested them on discrete shapes and found the methods by which the continuous integrals were approximated to be very reliable. However, some confusion remained between objects we felt were sufficiently different. One way to generate more measures (calculable by the same general method) is to relax the rigid demand for strict homogeneity.

Definition. A congruence measure is said to be near homogeneous if it is homogeneous when restricted to spheres. A congruence measure which is constant on spheres is called a near shape measure.

One way to get near shape measures is to take the ratio of a near homogeneous congruence measure to one of the three natural homogeneous congruence measures
above.
The measures

$$
\log \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \exp \left(\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|\right) d \sigma\right)
$$

and

$$
\exp \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \log ^{+}\left(\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|\right) d \sigma\right)
$$

are very different near congruence measures. They have the form

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \phi\left(\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|\right) d \sigma\right)
$$

where $\phi$ is one to one and increasing on $R^{+}$.
It is easy to see how to fit $\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|$ and $\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|$ into similar expressions. In analogy with the homogeneous case,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\log \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \exp \left(\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|^{p}\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|^{q}\right) d \sigma\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}}} \\
\frac{1}{p+q} \exp \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \log ^{+}\left(p\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|+q\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|\right) d \sigma\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left[\log \left(-\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \exp \left(-\left|\left|r-r_{0} \|^{p}\right|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|^{q}\right) d \sigma\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}}
$$

are at least candidates for trial. These formulae exploit the functional equations of the logarithm and exponential functions in addition to the inverse relationship.


Fig. 1. Two Rotations of a Two-Dimensional Binary Square
III. Data

Three-dimensional binary data are not too plentiful. Unlike two-dimensional data, which can be obtained with only a simple robotic vision system [5], three-dimensional physical data must be obtained through sophisticated techniques such as x-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance. To study discrete shape measures, we needed a great deal of permutable data; we decided to generate it by computer.

We wanted the data to be, if possible, easy to rotate. Raw binary data, in general, is very hard to rotate accurately because the number of "on" binary cells depends on the orientation of the object relative to the cells [5] (see Fig. 1). To eliminate the problem of rotating binary data, we decided to use a less primitive data form, one that can be easily rotated, and develop a method of generating binary data from this high-level form.

Perhaps the easiest shape to rotate is the sphere. For instance, a sphere centered at $(1,0,0)$ can be rotated counterclockwise about the $z$-axis by deleting it and constructing a new one with the same radius centered at ( $0,1,0$ ). Compare this to the problem of rotating a square, which is not symmetric about its center. To take advantage of the sphere's simple rotational property, we chose to represent almost all of our test data as sets of intersecting spheres. That is, in a high-level form, most of our data was a finite set of center coordinates and sphere radii. This is evident in the objects of plates 1 and 2, which are pictures of a few data sets. Notice how shapes in the first three rows and in the first two columns of the fourth row are constructed of atom-like spheres (the shapes in the third and fourth columns of the fourth row are constructed of ellipsoids, which are also very easy to rotate). The figures exhibit the rotational properties of the data: Each object in Plate 2 is a rotation (and/or magnification) of the corresponding object in Plate 1.

Generating binary data from the sphere files was a straightforward but slow process.


Plate 1


Plate 2

Each object was imbedded in a $128 \times 128 \times 128$ binary array, and so there were $2^{21}$ binary cells that had to be set for each object. The larger data sets-i.e., the ones constructed of many spheres-took more than two hours of VAX 8800 CPU time to generate. Fortunately, this project was a part of the 1988-1989 Cornell National Supercomputer Facility Research Experience for Undergraduates program, and we had access to the two IBM 3090-600E's in Ithaca, New York. Generating one particular shape that took two hours and 28 minutes of VAX 8800 CPU time took only four minutes and fifteen seconds of IBM 3090-600E CPU time. Another shape, which took a little less than twelve minutes to generate on the supercomputer would not have been created on the VAX.

We used several methods to generate the high-level sphere data files. One means involved laying spheres along a three-dimensional parametric curve. The program we wrote to do this, DISPF.FOR, is included in Appendix C. The program that rotated the sphere files, ROTCALL5.FOR, and the program that generated the binary data from these files, DISPIT2.FOR, are also in this Appendix.

Note that neither the recognition nor the display procedure took advantage of the fact that the binary data was generated from spheres, but worked directly with the binary data. This makes them very flexible and applicable to data that was not computer generated.

## IV. Binary Object Recognition

In the discrete case, part of the problem in calculating shape measures is the need to calculate integrals of the form

$$
\int_{S} F(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r}) d \sigma
$$

where $F$ is a function of $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ on the surface $S, \mathbf{r}$ is a boundary element, $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward unit normal, and $d \sigma$ is the element of surface area. The estimation of such an integral is difficult because the object is not continuous even though the underlying shape being represented may be $C^{\infty}$. Two related questions must be answered before the integral can be estimated numerically:

- How can one estimate the unit normal or, equivalently, the tangent plane?
- Even a continuous closed surface is often difficult to parameterize so as to compute $d \sigma$; what can one do to get the discrete element of surface area?

In the plane, the perimeter of the shape plays a crucial rôle in the shape recognition problem. Improvements on the methods given by Bryant and Bryant [5] have led to real-time recognition of shapes in $128 \times 512$ binary images using quickly computed functionals of the chain-coded boundary. The methods are fast because the boundary is linearly ordered and very small compared to the object; furthermore, the boundary can (and should) be sampled to produce both an accurate estimate of the length and partial magnification invariance. None of these advantages is present in space. The boundary is large, not linearly ordered in a natural way, and is difficult (or impossible) to sample consistently.

## A. Discrete Boundaries in Space

The boundary of a discrete 3-D object is the set of points in the object with one of their six nearest neighbors not in the object. The shape is imbedded in a three dimensional array; down one of the axes the binary pattern is assumed packed in computer words. The boundary is found using logical operations on the bit pattern representing the shape (rather than looking at neighbors) [14]; it is present as a 3-D array and as a list of coordinates. For each point in the boundary list, one needs an estimate of the unit normal and of the differential of surface area. The general idea is to find an approximate tangent plane at each boundary point, to estimate the element of surface
area by looking at the direction cosines of the unit normal, and to establish a consistent outward direction (if required).

Before we begin, let us review briefly how surface integrals are computed by hand. One is given a surface, say $z=f(x, y)$, with parameters $x$ and $y$ lying in a plane. The integral of a scalar function $g$ over the surface might be computed as the plane integral of

$$
g(x, y, f(x, y)) d \sigma=g(x, y, f(x, y)) \frac{d x d y}{|\cos \gamma|}
$$

with $\gamma$ being the angle from the unit normal to the $z$-axis. That is, $d \sigma=d x d y /|\cos \gamma|$.
It is intuitively clear that a discrete realization of this technique will be best when $|\cos \gamma|$ is large-close to 1 . That requires we allow the parameterization to depend on the surface point. We select for the parameterization direction the one with largest direction cosine. Levoy [9] uses a similar technique, but instead estimates the gradient of the density not available in this binary setting. Under the assumption that a tangent plane approximation of the form $a x+b y+c z=d$ exists near the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$, our first idea was to solve the constrained least squares problem

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad \sum\left[a x_{i}+b y_{i}+c z_{i}-d\right]^{2} \quad \text { subject to } \quad a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1
$$

where the sum is extended over the "training" points collected. The normal equations of the resulting Lagrange multipliers problem are only mildly nonlinear, but we have not found a computationally efficient solution to the problem taking this approach (considering the hundreds of thousands of times the procedure must be invoked). The use of modified steepest descent methods is satisfactory except for the computer time taken; in fact, if one uses as a starting point the solution at a neighboring point, then standard iterative methods converge rapidly. However, another approach, described now, produces better approximations immediately. In the discrete case, and with a surface which is the boundary of an entire solid and thus not routinely parameterized by a single plane, our idea is to allow the parameters to be dependent on the point: we select as parameters the best of the three coordinate planes, which will vary from point to point.

## B. Method

A preliminary step has found the boundary of the discrete shape. The array which contains the boundary points is now searched. We show how to approximate the tangent
plane to the surface and the discrete version $\mu_{0}$ of $d \sigma$ at each point $\mathbf{r}_{0}=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ in the boundary. The idea is to solve three unconstrained linear least squares problems looking down each of the three coordinate directions and determine which direction gives the best fitting plane. One can predict which solution is best without carrying out all the calculations. An example of one of the problems (the $x$-axis look problem) is:

Let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$ be the $k$ neighbors of this point. We are looking for a plane of the form $\left(x-x_{0}\right)=c_{2}\left(y-y_{0}\right)+c_{3}\left(z-z_{0}\right)$, and we wish to

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad f\left(c_{2}, c_{3}\right)=\sum\left[\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)-c_{2}\left(y_{i}-y_{0}\right)-c_{3}\left(z_{i}-z_{0}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

which represents the sum of the square differences from the set of neighbor points to any potential tangent plane. If we take the partial derivatives of $f$ with respect to $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{2}}=2 \sum x_{i} z_{i}-2 b \sum y_{i} z_{i}-2 c \sum z_{i}^{2} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{3}}=2 \sum x_{i} y_{i}-2 b \sum y_{i}^{2}-2 c \sum y_{i} z_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can set these to zero and apply Kramer's rule to obtain a quick solution.
The element of area is estimated by taking the parametric representation of the surface to be the coordinate plane which best fits the points collected as viewed normal to that plane. As will be seen, few computations are required.

## C. Details

- Step 1. Search the 26 points in the $3 \times 3$ discrete cube centered at $\mathbf{r}_{0}$ for boundary points; let the coordinates be $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$. (If $k<6$ it is unlikely that a tangent plane exists, possibly because the sampling density is too low, but proceed anyway. Such points are bound to be rare if the shape is adequately sampled, and they are not missed in the application to surface integral approximation or display. After all, we are sampling the surface at the 27 points in the cube centered at the point (it counts too), and one would expect 9 points. In the tests presented later the case $k<8$ was never observed.)
- Step 2. Form the following six sums (all over 1 to $k$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a & =\sum\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)^{2} & d & =\sum\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)\left(y_{i}-y_{0}\right) \\
b & =\sum\left(y_{i}-y_{0}\right)^{2} & & e=\sum\left(x_{i}-x_{0}\right)\left(z_{i}-z_{0}\right) \\
c & =\sum\left(z_{i}-z_{0}\right)^{2} & & f=\sum\left(y_{i}-y_{0}\right)\left(z_{i}-z_{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Step 3. Evaluate the three $2 \times 2$ determinants

$$
A=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
b & f \\
f & c
\end{array}\right| \quad B=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a & e \\
e & c
\end{array}\right| \quad C=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a & d \\
d & b
\end{array}\right| .
$$

- Step 4. Select the largest of these three integers. By Schwartz's inequality each is non-negative. If all three are zero no tangent plane or normal line exists, and the differential of surface area $\mu$ is taken to be zero. (This event has never been observed.)
- 4.a $A$ is largest. In this case the best fitting (least squares) tangent plane will be obtained by looking down the $x$-axis. (The proof of this assertion is found in the Appendix B.) Let

$$
c_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
d & f \\
e & c
\end{array}\right| / A \quad c_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
b & d \\
f & e
\end{array}\right| / A .
$$

Then the direction cosines $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of the unit normal are

$$
\left(1 / \sqrt{1+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}},-c_{2} / \sqrt{1+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}},-c_{3} / \sqrt{1+c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}}\right) .
$$

Let $\mu=1 / \alpha$.

- 4.b $B$ is largest. Then the best fitting tangent plane will be obtained by looking down the $y$-axis. Let

$$
c_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
d & e \\
f & c
\end{array}\right| / B \quad c_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a & d \\
e & f
\end{array}\right| / B .
$$

The direction cosines ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) of the unit normal are

$$
\left(-c_{1} / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}}, 1 / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}},-c_{3} / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}}\right) .
$$

Let $\mu=1 / \beta$.

- 4.c $C$ is largest. The best fitting tangent plane will be obtained by looking down the $z$-axis. Let

$$
c_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
e & d \\
f & b
\end{array}\right| / C \quad c_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a & e \\
d & f
\end{array}\right| / C .
$$

The direction cosines $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of the unit normal are

$$
\left(-c_{1} / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}},-c_{2} / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}}, 1 / \sqrt{1+c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}}\right) .
$$

Let $\mu=1 / \gamma$.

## D. Examples

## Surface Area

One rather sharp test of the method is the estimate of surface area given by $\sum \mu_{m}$. If the discrete shape is derived from a $C^{\infty}$ continuous shape, then the analytic surface area should be approximated by this sum, and the approximation should improve as the mesh becomes finer (or, equivalently, the relative error should decrease as the size of the sphere increases). We generated four spheres of radii 16 through 62 as described in Table 1. We estimate the surface area (labelled "Area" in Table 1) using the formula

$$
S=4 \pi\left(\frac{3 V}{4 \pi}\right)^{2 / 3}
$$

where $V$ is the estimate of the volume obtained by counting the number of points in the shape and subtracting half the number of boundary points. This is an extremely stable estimate of the volume which is still quickly computed. In the table one finds the nominal radius, the surface area as estimated, the count of 1-boundary points, the estimate $\sum \mu_{m}$, and the number $4 \pi r^{2}$ using the nominal radius. Percentage deviations from the calculated volume are indicated. The discrete spheres, imbedded in a $128 \times 128 \times 128$ array, are given by

$$
\left\{(i, j, k):(i-64)^{2}+(j-64)^{2}+(k-64)^{2}<r^{2}\right\} .
$$

The count of internal 1-boundary points is, as expected, low. However, even if the number of points in the external 1-boundary were used, this estimate would remain low. The 2-boundary (not shown in the table) is a gross overestimate of the surface area.

Table I. Estimates of surface area for four spheres.

|  |  | Estimate from: |  |  | Percent errors: |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Radius | Area | count | $\sum \mu_{m}$ | radius | count | $\sum \mu_{m}$ | radius |
| 16 | 3044 | 2546 | 2998 | 3217 | -16.4 | -1.5 | 5.6 |
| 31 | 11726 | 9774 | 11642 | 12076 | -16.6 | -0.7 | 2.9 |
| 47 | 27246 | 22730 | 27051 | 27759 | -16.5 | -0.7 | 1.8 |
| 62 | 47641 | 39702 | 47320 | 48305 | -16.6 | -0.6 | 1.3 |

Shape Measures
In Appendix A we have shown that

$$
\frac{1}{V^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}| | r-\left.r_{0}\right|^{p}\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|^{q} d \sigma\right)^{1 /(p+q)}
$$

is a continuous shape measure. In the discrete case, we merely approximate the various parts of the formula. The integrals are approximated by the sums

$$
\frac{1}{V^{1 / 3}}\left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum\left\|\mathbf{r}_{m}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right\|^{q}\left|\left(\mathbf{r}_{m}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{m}\right|^{p} \mu_{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+q}}
$$

where all the estimates of the quantities involved are described above. We have tested the measures for the spheres of nominal radius 64: remarkable agreement with the theoretical value $\left(\frac{4}{3} \pi\right)^{1 / 3}$ ) is obtained. For values of $p$ and $q$ with $1 \leq p+q \leq 4, p>0$, and $q>-4$, the agreement is within $1 \%$ (and often $0.1 \%$ ).

Similarly, we approximated the continuous near shape measures introduced in Section II of this paper, and genereated 36 different measures of our discrete data. In Fig. 2, there is a plot of measure 1 ,

$$
\frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{V^{\frac{1}{3}}}
$$

vs. measure 21,

$$
\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|^{4}\left(\left|r-r_{0}\right| \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)^{-3} d \sigma
$$

Each character in the graph represents a different binary object; objects representing the same shape are denoted with the same letter. In Fig. 3, there is a similar plot of

## Figure 2. Measure 1 vs. Measure 21 for 61 shapes



Figure 3. Measure 2 vs. Measure 31 for 61 shapes

measure 2 ,

$$
\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}\left(\left|r-r_{0}\right| \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) d \sigma
$$

vs. measure 31,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\{\exp \left[\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S} \log \left(2\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|\right) d \sigma\right]\right\}
$$

This plot seems to suggest some form of correlation in the measures, and brings up the question of statistical independence. We have used some of the recently studied dimensionality reduction (feature selection) techniques investigated and developed by Siedlecki et al. $[15,16]$ and by Bryant and Guseman [17] to estimate the dimensionality of a particular set of shapes. Results using the principal components approach show that the dimensionality of the set of measures of the shapes we have tested is about three. Figure 4 is a plot of the dimensionally reduced data for band 1 vs. band 2, and Fig. 5 displays band 1 vs. band 3. Fig. 6 is a spatial plot of all three significant bands. These plots show excellent separation among our test shapes; the only objects that seem confused are those denoted by the letters I and J. Referring to plates 1 and 2, I denotes the shape in row 3 and column 3 , and $J$ denotes the shape in row 2 and column 4. These two shapes are intuitively very similar. In fact, the two shapes differ in only one direction; in that direction, $I$ is stretched relative to J by a factor of $\frac{3}{2}$.

Finally, one might at first consider our work in connection with using time as the third dimension to view a moving 2-D shape as a $3-\mathrm{D}$ object. However, it is clear that a rotated view of such a $3-\mathrm{D}$ shape, even if a reasonable scaling of the time dimension could be found, would not be like the unrotated 3-D patterns in a real sense. For example, a stationary disc over a finite time period would be a cylinder. When rotated normal to its (time) axis, it becomes void (in time), then a line which grows to a rectangle and back to vanish. Magnifications would not be impossible, but it is hard to see a slowly moving small object as similar to a rapidly moving large (similar) object. Only translations are valid, and translation-invariant measures are easily obtained. Yet the idea is interesting.

Figure 4. Reduced Dimensionality Data Band 1 vs. Band 2 for 61 Shapes


## Figure 5．Reduced Dimensionality Data Band 1 vs．Band 3 for 61 Shapes
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## Figure 6. Reduced Dimensionality Data Bands 1, 2 and 3 for 61 Shapes



## V. Three-Dimensional Binary Object Display

## A. Preliminaries

While analyzing shape properties of three-dimensinal binary objects, we found that it was necessary to gain an intuitive feeling for our data; we needed to see what we were working with. However, the display of 3-D discrete objects is difficult because the objects are not continuous. "Wire cage" displays of even moderately complex shapes are hard to visualize and the solution of the hidden line problem is time consuming. The wire cage outline of a complex low resolution discrete shape fails to convey an adequate feeling of the presumed underlying real world shape being modelled.

Ray-tracing produces interesting displays in which one often sees the reflection of one part of the image in another. However, the technique is computationally intensive and current techniques require parametric descriptions of the objects: It is not at all clear how it could be applied to a random three-dimensional binary scene. Levoy [9] has developed a method which uses 3-D volume data in which each volume element is a number (such as might be obtained using computed tomography), producing a shaded image. He uses a combination of ray-tracing and interpolation and thereby succeeds in displaying weak or fuzzy surfaces. Our interest is in producing comparable products but from low resolution binary data.

Recent research $[10,11,12,13]$ by psychologists has revealed that shading and occlusion of objects in a two-dimensional picture can produce a compelling perception of three-dimensional shape. While this has been understood in a qualitative way since the middle ages, these studies seek to isolate the source of the perception. Illumination from the sun, although scattered by the atmosphere and reflected by nearby objects, is generally overhead. An animal in such an environment able to use subtle variations in shading to enhance perception must have an evolutionary advantage. For this reason, to convey an impression of three-dimensionality by a shaded planar image, the best direction of illumination is from the top of the object. We are dealing with the problem of presenting the shape to natural vision, a system which has evolved to deal with natural scenes. The trick is therefore to give the vision system a picture it would see were the shape illuminated from overhead relative to the viewer.

In producing the display it is not necessary to trace rays of light; in particular, if part of the shape would cast a shadow in another, then the absense of this shadow
does not significantly hinder visualization of the shape in three dimensions, and often assists visualization if the object would have large shadows. The psychologists also learned that perspective plays a much less important rôle than might be imagined, so that viewing can be modeled along parallel rays-that is, down a fixed direction which can be one of the three coordinate lines. These considerations can reduce computation time.

The experimental work carried out by psychologists used simple piecewise $C^{\infty}$ shapes such as spheres on various backgrounds. The shapes we are investigating are binary and are not described parametrically. However, we thought it might be possible to use elementary approximation theoretic techniques to estimate parameters sufficient to determine the reflectance a discrete surface might have if it were continuous. Coupled with a simple reflectance model, we were able to display essentially arbitrary binary objects. The results exceeded our expectations.

## B. Method

The shape is imbedded in a three-dimensional binary array; a cell is "in" the shape if its array value is 1 . Down one of the axes the binary pattern is assumed packed in computer words. Think of this as the $x$-axis in a rectangular coordinate system; it is this axis which is taken to be the viewing direction. That is, the observer is at ( $\infty, 0, \pi / 2$ ) in spherical coordinates looking parallel to the $x$-axis. The illumination direction is user-selectable, from $(\infty, \theta, \phi)$ in spherical coordinates; it is directly overhead (i.e., $\theta=0, \phi=0$ ) in the examples shown here. The general idea is to find the boundary (see [14]), find an approximate tangent plane at each boundary point which is visible from the view direction, and, using a reflectance model, calculate the shading observed.

The boundary of a discrete 3-D object is the set of points in the object with one of their six nearest neighbors not in the object. Call a boundary point visible if it is the first one encountered along the viewing line. Proceeding from a visible boundary point, collect points in the boundary near the point being observed. Near means one of the 36 nearest neighbors of the point which are not on the viewing line but which are boundary points. More precisely, suppose the point has (integer) coordinates (i,j,k), with the first being the viewing direction coordinate. The points are the 24 nearest neighbors not on the viewing line with coordinates differing by at most one, plus the 10 points $(i, j \pm 2, k),(i, j, k \pm 2)$, and $(i \pm 1, j \pm 2, k),(i \pm 1, j, k \pm 2)$. In the shapes
studied here, eight to fourteen nearby boundary points were found for each boundary point.

We seek the best approximation of the form $x=a y+b z+d$ near the point $(x, y, z)$ (with the viewing direction being the $x$-axis). That is, find the best (least squares) values of $a$ and $b$ which fit the "training" points collected. Let the points nearby be $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. The error in the approximation at a point is given by $e_{i}=x_{i}-\left(a y_{i}+b z_{i}+d\right)$. We seek to minimize the 2 -norm

$$
E\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{m}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is a function of the three parameters $a, b$, and $d$. It is, of course, necessary that the gradient $\nabla E$ vanish when $E$ has a local minimum (see [18]). These equations are called the normal equations for geometrical reasons; they are linear. The solution of the normal equations amounts the following linear algebra problem: let (all sums extend from 1 to $n$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\sum y_{i}^{2} & \sum y_{i} z_{i} & \sum y_{i} \\
\sum y_{i} z_{i} & \sum z_{i}^{2} & \sum z_{i} \\
\sum y_{i} & \sum z_{i} & n
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{v}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum x_{i} y_{i} \\
\sum x_{i} z_{i} \\
\sum x_{i}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

All arithmetic in accumulating the sums is exact.
We solve the equation $A \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}$ using Gaussian elimination $\left(\mathbf{u}=(a, b, d)^{T}\right)$. As is typical of naïvely posed least squares problems, the matrix $A$ tends to be ill-conditioned, but a highly accurate solution is not required. If the linear algebra portion of the least squares process indicates that no tangent plane of the requested form exists, mark the point for later processing during the filtering procedure (in the examples presented here this was never observed).

From the tangent plane the unit normal $(\cos \alpha, \cos \beta, \cos \gamma)$ is easily determined; it is the vector $(1,-a,-b)^{T} /\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Refer to Fig. 7: the $x$-axis in this figure is the look direction. The relevant angles are the angle from the observer and from the illumination to the unit normal to the surface: The cosine of the angle $\lambda$ from the normal to the illumination is now easily determined:

$$
\cos \lambda=\cos \alpha \sin \phi \cos \theta+\cos \beta \sin \phi \sin \theta+\cos \gamma \cos \theta
$$



Two reflectance models are illustrated here: one, which we call diffuse, is $(1+\cos \lambda) \cos \alpha$; another (glossy) is $[(1+\cos \lambda) \cos \alpha]^{3}$. While these models are arbitrary, the $(1+\cos \lambda)$ factor might be thought of as diffuse illumination from the source to the tangent plane ( $\lambda$ is then the zenith angle), and $\cos \alpha$ represents the energy intercepted by the observer. Raising these quantities to a power greater than 1 effectively simulates glossy surfaces, for large values are then relatively larger than small values. After further processing, the reflectences are scaled to fill the range $0-255$ before being sent to the display device.

In addition to the two-dimensional map of reflectances, we keep a map of the $x$ values on the surface. This will be used in the following two steps.

An optional step allows the low resolution grey scale image to be filtered. While the least squares approximation is fast and never fails, and the neighborhood searched is large, the low resolution is bound to induce problems of inadequate sampling for highly irregular objects. While it seems strange at first, extremely smooth objects also lead to misleading displays. Filtering helps (as will be seen in the examples). A $5 \times 5$ filter is used; the weights are given by the following matrix, derived from the function

$$
\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\left[\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

which has its first zeros at $\left(y_{0} \pm 3, z_{0}\right),\left(y_{0}, z_{0} \pm 3\right)$. The matrix used is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0.090 & 0.389 & 0.500 & 0.389 & 0.090 \\
0.389 & 0.738 & 0.866 & 0.738 & 0.389 \\
0.500 & 0.866 & 1.000 & 0.866 & 0.500 \\
0.389 & 0.738 & 0.866 & 0.738 & 0.389 \\
0.090 & 0.389 & 0.500 & 0.389 & 0.090
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The user selects the number of times this filter is applied to the array of reflectances. In the examples given here, the array is filtered zero, one, two, and three times in the illustrations (proceeding left to right). The filter is only applied to points which are visible boundary points in planes close to the point at which it is being applied. (In effect all boundary points in the $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cube centered at the point are allowed.) While the filter smoothes the image, it can remove fine detail which may be of importance. In any case it is optional.

As a final step, we slightly filter and scale the array of reflectances. A simple $3 \times 3$ filter is used, with weights 1.0 (the center), 0.50 (the four nearest neighbors), and 0.20 for the next nearest four. This filter is relatively insensitive to ringing in spite of its
small size. Only those illuminations belonging to the same part of the surface (as determined by the saved $x$-values) are used. Use the value 0 for missing neighbors; this causes slight (and desirable) edge darkening, helping to reinforce the illusion that one part of the shape is occluding another (or the background). One purpose of the filter is to induce this edge darkening; in addition, the least squares procedure is least reliable on the edges where the actual tangent plane of the continuous shape is nearly parallel to the $x$-axis. Edge darkening is not induced by the $5 \times 5$ filter.

## C. Examples and Technical Details

The example shapes are $128 \times 128 \times 128$ binary arrays. Recall that the shapes are constructed of spheres so that they can be easily rotated and magnified by rotating and magnifying the parameters (i.e., the centers and radii) exactly and regenerating the shapes.

One needs to be aware that this method does not produce the same effect as actually rotating the discrete shape and somehow resampling. For instance, one distracting feature of the images are the strange "circles" that appear along the viewing angle on the spheres. It is important to realize that these circles are actually present in the discrete data; that is, they are not a side effect of the display program. All discrete points in any given ring (and the central disk) lie in a plane parallel to the $y$ - $z$ plane; they are a consequence of the discretization process. Rotating a ball and regenerating it would not rotate these ringed flat spots, for they would remain fixed along the viewing line. Contrast-involved side effects are even more distracting: the disk appears to be concave even though the shape is convex. While the illumination in the disk is really constant, the illuminated sphere gets darker as one looks down past the bright spot. In fact, if the flat spot is large enough to be easily resolved, then the vision system will interpret it to be a concave dimple. Smoothing does not correct this; smoothing often enhances the perception of concavity.

As an example, consider a discrete sphere of radius 50 centered at the origin (the set of lattice points $\left.\left\{(i, j, k): i^{2}+j^{2}+k^{2}<2500\right\}\right)$, and examine the point $(49,0,0)$. It is a boundary point because it is in the object and the neighboring point $(50,0,0)$ is not in the object. The plane circular area $\left\{(49, j, k): j^{2}+k^{2}<99\right\}$ containing this point is in the boundary of this sphere; it is a relatively large flat spot consisting of 305 points with diameter about 19. Surrounding it is a circular annulus of 316 boundary
points $\left\{(48, j, k): 97 \leq j^{2}+k^{2}<196\right\}$, which appears to be a band of width slightly over 4. Two more bands are just barely visible, of 324 points with width about 4 and 316 points with width about 3 . In the circle, and down the center of the first ring, the tangent plane found by our procedure will have parameters $a$ and $b$ equal to zero, so that $\cos \alpha=1, \cos \lambda=0$ (assuming directly overhead illumination). A filter designed to remove the bands will necessarily be at least $5 \times 5$. Not much can be done about the center disk, but the first band can be removed by filtering. On the other hand, smaller balls suffer much less from this visual artifact.

This is an instance of a problem one encounters in the process of taking a continuous object, sampling to produce a discrete object, and then viewing a reconstruction as a continuous object: Viewing resolution can be too fine, given a fixed sampling resolution. There are conflicting views on how to handle this problem. The concave spots and rings perceived on the larger spheres are diverting. However, the underlying data which produce the artifact are present in the discrete shape, and it should be remembered that discretization is not a one-to-one process; many continuous shapes may be represented by the same discrete model. One view would have the shading reflect only the data that is present without adding any assumptions on the smoothness of the "real world" shape. Alternatively, the crinkly, hammered, appearances of the unfiltered shapes may or may not distract from the interpretation of the artificial image, depending entirely on the point of view of the user: one interested in global structure might prefer the filtered image.

## D. Plates

The shaded versions of the shapes are shown in plates 3 and 4 ; a $512 \times 512$ grey scale image was made from the shaded versions using eight bit (0-255) grey levels. Since the underlying binary objects are $128 \times 128 \times 128$, sixteen $128 \times 128$ shaded "images" of the binary shape fit in the plate. The plates were photographed directly from the video monitor.

All computations were performed on a low-end VAXstation 2000 without floatingpoint hardware; a little over a minute was required to make the grey scale image of one $128 \times 128 \times 128$ binary image. The grey scale images, produced by mosaicing sixteen $128 \times 128$ displays, were displayed on a Silicon Graphics, Inc. IRIS graphics workstation. In addition to the high quality display, a quick look at the shaded shape


Plate 3


Plate 4
is displayed on the workstation console using a width of 132 characters and length of 64. A useful view of the shape is obtained by printing every-other-line with the sixteen characters (including blank) "нпев\%ссл\I!;,: ", the first characters corresponding to the lower reflectance values. The background is represented by the texture made of alternating lines of " $\{$ " and " $\}$ ". A screen photograph of that display is included as plate 5.


## Summary

Theoretical results have been presented that quantify the intuitive concept of shape and provide a method for developing new shape measures. These measures can be used by a' computer to compare the shapes of objects in its memory. An arbitrary method for representing objects as binary arrays has been used, and a method for the approximation of surface integrals over the boundary of a low-resolution binary object has been presented. These integrals, an approximation to the unit normal, and an approximation to the the differential of surface area make it possible to compute several shape measures and near shape measures of binary objects. These measures were tested and analyzed for independence and significance. A method for producing a shaded twodimensional representation of a three-dimensional binary object was discussed.
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## A Rationalization of a Homogeneous Congruence Measure

We show here that

$$
\left[\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|^{p}\left|\left(r-r_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right|^{q} d \sigma\right]^{1 /(p+q)}
$$

is a homogeneous congruence measure.
Consider the top illustration in Fig. 8. Here, $S$ is a piece of a continuous shape, and $r_{0}$ is its centroid. Let $P$ be some point on the surface of $S$, and $\mathbf{r}$ be the vector from $r_{0}$ to $P$. Let n be the unit normal of $S$ at $P$. Now, consider the bottom illustration in Fig. 8. $S$ has not changed shape, but has rotated clockwise 60 degrees. Notice that $\|\mathbf{r}\|$ and $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ do not change as $S$ rotates. Since this holds for arbitrary $P$ on the surface of $S$,

$$
\int_{S}\|\mathbf{r}|\| \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}| d \sigma
$$

is a congruence measure (we take $|\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}|$ for computational convenience only. The result holds if the absolute value marks are removed). Notice that, if $f$ and $g$ are arbitrary functions defined on the positive real numbers,

$$
\int_{S} f(\|\mathbf{r}\|) \cdot g(|\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}|) d \sigma
$$

is a congruence measure. Thus

$$
\int_{S}(\|\mathbf{r}\|)^{p}(|\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}|)^{q} d \sigma
$$

is a congruence measure; simple unit analysis shows that

$$
\left[\frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S}(\|\mathbf{r}\|)^{p}(|\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}|)^{q} d \sigma\right]^{1 /(p+q)}
$$

has linear dimension and is, therefore, a homogeneous congruence measure (of course, using different notation, $\left.\|\mathbf{r}\|=\left\|r-r_{0}\right\|\right)$.

Figure 1. Two Rotations of a Shape


## B Best Fitting Tangent Plane

We prove here that the best fitting tangent plane is found looking in the direction with maximum $2 \times 2$ determinant. It is enough to suppose the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ is the origin. We accordingly consider the problem of approximating $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ with one of the planes of the form $x=b y+c z, y=a x+c z$ or $z=a x+b y$. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, and $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. The three determinants described above in Step 3 become (where $\left.\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\sum x_{i}^{2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=\sum x_{i} y_{i}\right)$

$$
A=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2} & \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} & \|\mathbf{z}\|^{2}
\end{array}\right| \quad B=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} & \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} & \|\mathbf{z}\|^{2}
\end{array}\right| \quad C=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} & \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} & \|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Consider, for example, the approximation $x=b y+c z$. The least squares problem is easily seen to be

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2} & \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} & \|\mathbf{z}\|^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b \\
c
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

After solving for $b$ and $c$ and evaluating the error we obtain

$$
\text { Error }^{2}=\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}\|\mathbf{z}\|^{2}+2 \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z}-\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{2}-\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{2}-\|\mathbf{z}\|^{2} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}^{2}}{A} .
$$

Notice that the numerator is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$, and $\mathbf{z}$; accordingly, the minimal error is obtained when the non-negative determinant in the denominator is largest.

## Remark:

The result is easily generalized to approximation in $n$-dimensions. Let $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}: i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, m\}$ be a set of vectors in $R^{n}$. For each $j \leq m$ let $A_{j}$ be the $j \times j$ matrix

$$
A_{j}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\nu}\right)_{j \times j}
$$

Consider the approximation problem (for $2 \leq j \leq m$ )

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \quad\left\|\mathbf{x}_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right\|^{2} .
$$

A tedious but straightforward calculation leads to the error equation (provided det $A_{j-1}>$ $0)$

$$
\text { Error }^{2}=\operatorname{det} A_{j} / \operatorname{det} A_{j-1}
$$

The matrix $A_{j}$ is clearly positive definite, for if $\mathbf{u} \in R^{n}$ then

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} A_{j} \mathbf{u}=\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{det} A_{j} \geq 0$. It is enough to assume $\operatorname{det} A_{j}>0$, for if $\operatorname{det} A_{j}=0$ then the set $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}: i=1, \ldots, j\right\}$ is linearly dependent and we could omit some of the $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ without changing the error in linear approximations.

## C Programs

These are the programs we developed for this project. The first, DISPF.FOR, makes a sphere file that maps out a parametric three-dimensional curve. The second, RotCALL5.FOR, rotates an existant sphere file through user-supplied angles. The third, DISPIT2.FOR, generates binary data from sphere files. The last, EXTR.FOR, produces a displayable image from the binary data.

PROGRAM DISPF

This program is supposed to $\boldsymbol{\text { write a data file containing }}$ enough data to allov a dispit version of any given three dimensional parametric function．

IMPLICIT HONE
REAL Overlap
IrTEGER PointCount
REAL OldX，OldY，OldZ
REAL Radius
REAL T，Inc
REAL TMax
CHARACTER＊20 OutputFile
REAL X，Y，Z
REAL Dist
$\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y, Z)=\operatorname{SQRT}(X * * 2+Y * * 2+Z * * 2)$
IHCLUDE＇THEFUNCTIOMS．DISPF＇
URITE（＊，＊）＇Put the parametric functions in THEFUNCTIONS．DISPF，
URITE（＊，＊）＇and compile DISPF．FOR；then＇
URITE（＊，＊）＇input TO，TMax，inc0，the radius，＇
URITE（＊，＊）＇and the Overlap Factor（ $0=$ Hone， $1=$ All）＇
READ（＊，＊）T，TMax，Inc，Radius，Overlap
URITE（＊，＊）＇And ซhere do you want these points $\begin{aligned} & \text {（itten？＇}\end{aligned}$
READ（＊，1）OutputFile
FORMAT（A20）
OPEI（UHIT＝12，FILE＝OutputFile，STATUS＝＇MEH＇）
PointCount $=0$
OldX $=X(T)$
OldY $=Y(T)$
oldZ $=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{T})$
continue
IF（T ．LT．TMax）THEI
PointCount $=$ PointCount +1
URITE（ $12, *$ ）01dX，OldY，OldZ，Radius
COHTIUUE
IF（Dist（（01dX－X（T）），（01dY－Y（T）），（01dZ－Z（T）））
2
．LT．（1．－Overlap）＊Radius）THEI
$\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{Inc}$
GO TO 20
EIDIF
OldX $=X(T)$
OldY $=Y(T)$
OldZ $=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{T})$
GO TO 10
EIDIF
URITE（＊，＊）＇I $⿴ 囗 十 ⺝ 丶)^{\prime}$, PointCount，＇points．＇
STOP
EID

```
    PROGRAM RotCall5
C This puppy tries to read the data from a disk.
C And tries to implement psi.
C And immediately calls orientate and scaleit.
    And allors tro sets of rotations and a specified final ball radius.
    IMPLICIT [ORE
    real X,Y,Z,X1,Y1, Z1, X2,Y2,Z2,Radius
    real Theta1, Phi1, Psi1, Theta2, Phi2, Psi2
    REAL SpecRad
    CHARACTER*50 DataFile
    REAL DegToRad
    DegToRad (Theta1) = 0.017453293*Theta1
    mrite (*,*) 'Yo. Enter the name of the data file nov.'
    read (*,1) DataFile
    1 FORMAT (A20)
    OPEI (UIIT = 11, FILE = DataFile, STATUS = 'OLD')
    OPEL (UHIT = 12, FILE = 'ROTATE.OUT', STATUS = 'MEW')
    mrite (*,*) 'Joko, and what are the first Theta, Phi, and Psi?'
    READ (*,*) Theta1, Phi1, Psi1
    URITE (*,*) 'Uh huh. And the second Theta, Phi, and Psi?'
    READ (*,*) Theta2, Phi2, Psi2
    URITE (%,*) 'Hmmm. Specified Radius (zero if apathetic)?'
    READ (*,*) SpecRad
    Theta1 = DegToRad (Theta1)
    Phi1 = DegToRad (Phi1)
    Psi1 = DegToRad (Psi1)
    Theta2 = DegToRad (Theta2)
    Phi2 = DegToRad (Phi2)
    Psi2 = DegToRad (Psi2)
5 0 0 0 ~ C O I T I I U E ~
    READ (11, *, EHD = 10000) X, Y, Z, Radius
    CALL Rotate (X, Y, Z, Theta1, Phi1, Psi1, X1, Y1, Z1)
    CALL Rotate (X1, Y1, Z1, Theta2, Phi2, Psi2, X2, Y2, Z2)
    mrite (12 ,*) X2, Y2, Z2, Radius
    GO TO 5000
10000 COHTIMUE
    CLOSE (11)
CLOSE (12)
CALL ORIEITATE
CALL SCACALL4(SpecRad)
STOP
EID
SUBROUTIME Rotate (X0,Y0,Z0,Theta,Phi,Psi, X1, Y1,Z1)
C Another attempt at Theta, Phi, Psi rotation.
IMPLICIT IONE
REAL XO,YO,ZO, X1,Y1,Z1
REAL Theta, Phi, Psi
REAL Pi
PARAMETER (Pi = 3.141592654)
REAL Rho,R1,Phi1,Theta1
REAL ThetaO,PhiO,PsiO
Theta0 = 0
PhiO = 0
Psi0 = 0
IF (XO .HE. O .OR. YO .ME. O)
& ThetaO = ATAN2 (YO,XO) + Theta
```

```
    Rho = SQRT (XO**2 + YO**2)
    X1 = Rho * COS (ThetaO)
    Y1 = Rho * SII (Theta0)
    IF (X1 .ME. O .OR. ZO .HE. O)
    & PhiO = ATAM2 (ZO,X1) + Phi
    Rho = SQRT (X1**2 + ZO**2)
    X1 = Rho * COS (PhiO)
    Z1 = Rho * SII (Phi0)
    IF (Y1 . IE. O .OR. Z1 .IE. O)
        PsiO = ATAl2 (Z1,Y1) + Psi
    Rho = SQRT (Y1**2 + Z1**2)
    Y1 = Rho * COS (PsiO)
    Z1 = Rho * SII (PsiO)
    RETURI
    ERD
    SUBROUTIME Standardize (Theta, Phi)
    IMPLICIT NOHE
    REAL Theta, Phi
    REAL Pi
    PARAMETER (Pi = 3.141592654)
1000
    IF (Phi .GT. Pi) THEE
        Phi = Phi - 2 * Pi
        GOTO 1000
    ELSE IF (Phi .LT. -Pi) THEI
        Phi = Phi + 2 * Pi
        GOTO 1000
EIDIF
IF (Phi .GT. Pi/2) THER
    Phi = Pi - Phi
    Theta = Theta - Pi
ELSE IF (Phi .LT. -Pi/2) THET
    Phi = -Pi - Phi
    Theta = Theta - Pi
EIDIF
RETURI
EMD
SUBROUTIIE ScaCall4(SpecRad)
This one implements a specified radius
IMPLICIT HONE
I#TEGER MaxSpheres
PARAMETER (MaxSpheres = 1000)
REAL Centers(MaxSpheres,3), Radii(MaxSpheres)
REAL TevC(MaxSpheres,3), IevR(MaxSpheres)
IMTEGER i, j, IumSpheres
REAL SpecRad
OPER (unit=13,file='Orient.out',status='old')
OPE耳 (unit=14,file='Scaleit.out',status='ner')
I = 1
COHTIIUE
READ (13,*, ETD = 10000) (Centers(I,J), J=1,3), Radii(I)
I=I+1
GO TO 5
1 0 0 0 0 ~ C O H T I N U E ~
    IumSpheres = I - 1
    URITE (*,*) 'SCALEIT: Read ', NumSpheres, ' spheres.'
    call ScaleIt2 (Centers, Radii, NumSpheres, SpecRad)
    COHTIIUE
    call CenterIt (Centers, Radii, MumSpheres, НeпC, #eпR)
    DO 20 i = 1, MumSpheres
        URITE (14,*) (NeqC(i,j),j=1,3), HeqR(i)
COITIIUE
```

```
write (14,*) 0,0,0,0
CLOSE (13)
CLOSE (14)
STOP
EID
SUBROUTIME ScaleIt2 (Centers,Radii,IumSpheres,SpecRad)
IMPLICIT INNE
IMTEGER MaxSpheres
PARAMETER (HaxSpheres = 1000)
IMTEGER IumSpheres
REAL Centers(MaxSpheres,3), Radii(MaxSpheres)
REAL SpecRad
IMTEGER I, J
REAL MinRatio
IMTEGER ERovs, ICols,MP1as
PARAMETER (HROFs = 128)
PARAMETER (ICols = 128)
PARAMETER (IPlas = 128)
REAL Maxs(3),Mins(3)
IF (HumSpheres .GT. 0) THEI
    IF (SpecRad .EQ. 0) THEI
        DO 10 I = 1,3
            Mins(i) = Centers(1,I) - Radii(1)
            Maxs(i) = Centers(1,I) + Radii(1)
            DO 15 J = 2, HumSpheres
                    Mins(I) = MII (Mins(I), Centers(J,I) - Radii(J))
                    Maxs(I) = MaX (Maxs(I), Centers(J,I) + Radii(J))
                COITITUE
            COMTIIUE
            MinRatio = MII (REAL(MRons-4)/(Maxs(1)-Mins(1)),
                REAL(耳Cols-4)/(Maxs(2)-Mins(2)),
                                    REAL(IPlas-4)/(Maxs(3)-Mins(3)))
        ELSE
            MinRatio = SpecRad/Radii(1)
    EIDIF
    DO 20 J = 1, IumSpheres
        Radii(J) = Radii(J)*MinRatio
        DO 20 I = 1,3
            Centers(J,I) = (Centers(J,I)-Mins(I))*MinRatio+2.0
    COITIIUE
ERDIF
RETURI
END
SUBROUTINE Orientate
IMPLICIT MONE
IMTEGER MaxSpheres
PARAMETER (MaxSpheres = 1000)
CHARACTER*20 DataFile
ITTEGER IumSpheres
REAL Centers(MaxSpheres,3), Radii(MaxSpheres)
IHTEGER I,J
OPER (UNIT = 11, FILE = 'Rotate.out', STATUS = 'Old')
OPEI (UMIT = 12, FILE = 'Orient.out', STATUS = 'Mer')
I = 1
COITIIUE
    READ (11,*, EID = 10000) (Centers(I, J) , J=1,3), Radii(I)
    I=I + 1
    GO TO 10
10000 COMTINUE
lumSpheres = I - 1
mrite (*,*) 'ORIEHTATE: Read ',HumSpheres,' spheres.'
DO 20 I = 1,IumSpheres
```

```
    Centers(I,1) = -Centers(I,1)
    Centers(I,3) = -Centers(I,3)
    qrite (12,*) (Centers(I, J), J=1,3), Radii(I)
```

COITIIUE
CLOSE (11)
CLOSE (12)
RETURI
EID
SUBROUTIME CenterIt (Centers, Radii, HumSpheres, HeøC, HeaR)
This routine doesn't षork properly; it must be fixed.
IMPLICIT HOEE
IITEGER MaxSpheres
PARAMETER (MaxSpheres $=1000$ )
IITEGER IumSpheres
REAL Centers(MaxSpheres, 3), Radii(MaxSpheres)
REAL HeaC(MaxSpheres, 3), HeaR(MaxSpheres)
IITEGER KRoms, 耳Cols, HPlas
PARAMETER (IROEs $=128$ )
PARAMETER ( $\mathrm{ICols}=128$ )
PARAMETER (PPlas = 128)
IHTEGER $I, J$
REAL Mins (3), Maxs (3), FreeSpace (3)
IF (IumSpheres .GT. 0) THEI
DO $30 \mathrm{I}=1,3$
Mins (i) $=$ Centers(1,I) - Radii(1)
Maxs $(i)=$ Centers(1, $I)+\operatorname{Radii}(1)$
DO $25 \mathrm{~J}=2$, 耳umSpheres
Mins $(I)=$ MII (Mins (I), Centers(J, I) $-\operatorname{Radii}(J))$
$\operatorname{Maxs}(I)=\operatorname{MaX}(\operatorname{Maxs}(I)$, Centers $(J, I)+\operatorname{Radii}(J))$
COHTIIUE
COHTIIUE

DO $40 \mathrm{I}=1$, 耳umSpheres
$\operatorname{HevR}(I)=\operatorname{Radii}(I)$
DO $40 \mathrm{~J}=1$, 3
耳evC(I, J) =.5*FreeSpace (J) - Mins (J)
colitive
EIDIF
RETURI
EID

```
PROGRAM DISPIT2
IMPLICIT 耳OIE
```

```
C Program to display a shape on a gray-scale display
```

C Program to display a shape on a gray-scale display
C SCALE is the number of levels of gray available
C SCALE is the number of levels of gray available
IMTEGER SCALE
IMTEGER SCALE
PARAMETER (SCALE=255)
PARAMETER (SCALE=255)
C PI is pi
C PI is pi
REAL PI
REAL PI
PARAMETER (PI=3.14159)
PARAMETER (PI=3.14159)
C THETA is the polar coordinate offset
C THETA is the polar coordinate offset
REAL THETA
REAL THETA
C PHI IS THE AIGLE FROM AZIMUTH OF ILLUM
C PHI IS THE AIGLE FROM AZIMUTH OF ILLUM
REAL PHI
REAL PHI
c U1,U2,U3 is a unit vector in the direction of the illum angle
c U1,U2,U3 is a unit vector in the direction of the illum angle
REAL U1,U2,U3
REAL U1,U2,U3
C HBITS -- number of bits in an integer = number of data bus bits
C HBITS -- number of bits in an integer = number of data bus bits
C NROW -- number of roms
C NROW -- number of roms
C \#ROUB -- HROW/HBITS
C \#ROUB -- HROW/HBITS
C NCOL -- number of columns
C NCOL -- number of columns
C MPLA -- number of planes
C MPLA -- number of planes
C EDGES -- a binary 3-D image of the boundary
C EDGES -- a binary 3-D image of the boundary
C IMAGE -- the binary set of objects
C IMAGE -- the binary set of objects
IMTEGER IBITS, HROH, HROWB, ICOL, MPLA, EDGES,IMAGE,BIGBIT
IMTEGER IBITS, HROH, HROWB, ICOL, MPLA, EDGES,IMAGE,BIGBIT
PARAMETER (HBITS=32, IROW=128, IROWB=\ROW/ IBITS)
PARAMETER (HBITS=32, IROW=128, IROWB=\ROW/ IBITS)
PARAMETER(ICOL=128, IPLA=128)
PARAMETER(ICOL=128, IPLA=128)
C BIGBIT - an integer vith the first bit on and the rest off
C BIGBIT - an integer vith the first bit on and the rest off
PARAMETER (BIGBIT=-2**(\#BITS-2)-2**(\#BITS-2))
PARAMETER (BIGBIT=-2**(\#BITS-2)-2**(\#BITS-2))
C D,FLAG,SHFLAG are used to extract the boundary using fast logical operations
C D,FLAG,SHFLAG are used to extract the boundary using fast logical operations
C I,J,K,L are DO indexes
C I,J,K,L are DO indexes
IITEGER D,FLAG,SHFLAG,I,J,R,L
IITEGER D,FLAG,SHFLAG,I,J,R,L
C DISP is the grey scale image to make; it is real until scale factors
C DISP is the grey scale image to make; it is real until scale factors
C and filtering are complete.
C and filtering are complete.
REAL DISP
REAL DISP
C PLANE -- this array contains the plane a point is in; used to avoid filtering
C PLANE -- this array contains the plane a point is in; used to avoid filtering
C using reflectances from actually different objects vith close
C using reflectances from actually different objects vith close
C projections.
C projections.
IITEGER*2 PLAIE
IITEGER*2 PLAIE
C FILTER -- the number of times to 5x5 filter the reflectances
C FILTER -- the number of times to 5x5 filter the reflectances
IITEGER FILTER
IITEGER FILTER
COMMOM/GSCALE/ DISP(MCOL, IPLA), PLANE(HCOL, HPLA)
COMMOM/GSCALE/ DISP(MCOL, IPLA), PLANE(HCOL, HPLA)
COMMOM/ARRAY/ EDGES(0:HROWB-1, HCOL , NPLA),
COMMOM/ARRAY/ EDGES(0:HROWB-1, HCOL , NPLA),
+ IMAGE(0:MRONB-1, HCOL , IPLA)
+ IMAGE(0:MRONB-1, HCOL , IPLA)
C DTAFLG -- determines thether one reads the image from a file or
C DTAFLG -- determines thether one reads the image from a file or
C
C
derives it internally from parameters which are input at run time.
derives it internally from parameters which are input at run time.
LOGICAL DTAFLG
LOGICAL DTAFLG
CHARACTER*40 IHHAME
CHARACTER*40 IHHAME
C SHINFL is the reflectance parameter
C SHINFL is the reflectance parameter
IMTEGER SHIMFL
IMTEGER SHIMFL
PRIMT*,' THETA, PHI (II DEGREES)'
PRIMT*,' THETA, PHI (II DEGREES)'
READ*,THETA,PHI
READ*,THETA,PHI
THETA = THETA*PI/180

```
    THETA = THETA*PI/180
```

```
    PHI = PHI*PI/180
    PRIHT*,' GEIERATE (T) OR READ (F) DATA'
    READ*,DTAFLG
    PRIIT*,' IIPUT FILE HAME'
    READ15,ITMAME
    OPEI (10,FILE=IMHAME,DEFAULTFILE='FOR010.DIS',
    &
    FORMAT(A)
    PRIIT*,' TYPE OF REFLECTAICE: (1) EGG SHELL TO (4) GLOSSY'
    READ*,SHIMFL
    PRIHT*,' HOY MAYY TIMES TO FILTER THE 3-D IMAGE?'
    READ*,FILTER
C This is the unit vector in the direction of the illumination angle
```

```
U1 = SII (PHI)*COS(THETA)
```

U1 = SII (PHI)*COS(THETA)
U2 = SII(PHI)*SII(THETA)
U2 = SII(PHI)*SII(THETA)
U3 = COS(PHI)
U3 = COS(PHI)
C Get the image
CALL HAREIT（DTAFLG，IHMAME）
C Process it－－that is，find the edges
C First the image shifted up one plane
DO $30 \mathrm{~K}=2$ ，MPLA
DO $30 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ， HCOL
DO 30 I $=0$, IROWB－1
$\operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})=\operatorname{IAND}(\operatorname{IMAGE}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}-1), \operatorname{IMAGE}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}))$
C Iext AID $\begin{aligned} & \text { ith the image shifted down one plane }\end{aligned}$
DO $60 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ，IPLA -1
DO $60 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ，耳COL
DO $60 \mathrm{I}=0$, RROUB－1
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IARD}(\operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J, K+1), \operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K))$
C 耳ext the image shifted up one column
DO $90 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ，耳PLA DO $90 \mathrm{~J}=2,1 \mathrm{ICOL}$ DO 90 I＝0，HROWB－1
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IAID}(\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K), \operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J-1, K))$
90
C Hext ARD with the image shifted down one column
DO $120 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ，IPLA
DO $120 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ，耳COL－1
DO 120 I＝0，IROWB－1
120
$\operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})=\operatorname{IAND}(\operatorname{IMAGE}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}+1, \mathrm{~K}), \operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}))$
C Iov All with the image shifted right one in the rov direction．
DO $150 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ，MPLA
DO $150 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ，耳COL
FLAG $=0$
DO $150 \mathrm{I}=0, \mathrm{IROWB}-1$
$D=\operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J, K)$
SHFLAG $=\operatorname{IAID}(\mathrm{D}, 1)$
$\mathrm{D}=\operatorname{ISHFT}(\mathrm{D},-1)$
IF（FLAG．GT．0）$D=\operatorname{IOR}(D, B I G B I T)$
FLAG $=$ SHFLAG
150
$\operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})=\operatorname{IAND}(\mathrm{D}, \operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}))$
C Finally AND with the image shifted left one．
DO $180 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ，MPLA
DO $180 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ， $\mathbf{H C O L}$
FLAG $=0$
DO $180 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{MROWB}-1,0,-1$
$\mathrm{D}=\operatorname{IMAGE}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})$
SHFLAG $=$ ISHFT $(D,-$ HBITS +1$)$
$D=\operatorname{ISHFT}(\mathrm{D}, 1)+$ FLAG
FLAG $=$ SHFLAG
180
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IARD}(D, \operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K))$
C IIov EOR with the original，leaving an internal 2－connected boundary DO $210 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{MPLA}$ DO $210 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ，HCOL
DO $210 \mathrm{I}=0$ ， $\mathrm{HROWB}-1$
EDGES(I, J, K) = IEOR(IMAGE(I , J, K), EDGES(I, J, K))

```

C The boundary is marked, and it is time to go to work. process
\(C\) the image of boundaries; then a pixel is found, find the reflectance
C implied by the model. (These are determined in subroutines.)
PRIMT*,' BOUIDARY FORMED, LETS GO'
DO \(310 \mathrm{~J}=1\), 耳COL
DO \(310 \mathrm{~K}=1\), IPLA
DO \(305 \mathrm{I}=0\), IROWB-1
IF (EDGES ( \(I, J, K\) ). HE.0) THEI
CALL PAIIT (I, J , \(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{U} 1, \mathrm{U} 2, \mathrm{U} 3, \mathrm{SHI} F \mathrm{FL})\) GO TO 310
EID IF
305
COHTIIUE
\(\operatorname{DISP}(J, K)=-2.0\)
310 COITITUE
C Filter it to smooth only
DO \(1000 \mathrm{I}=1\),FILTER PRIIT*,' FILTERIIG', I, FILTER CALL FLTR
1000 COTTIIUE
C Scale and filter it to enhance edges
PRIHT*, ' SCALIHG,
CALL SFLTR(SCALE)
C Display and store it
CALL SHOWME
EID
SUBROUTIIE PAIIT (I , J , K , U1 , U2 , U3 , SHIMFL)
IMPLICIT HORE
IITEGER SHIMFL
C Direction numbers of unit normal and illumination amount
REAL U1,U2,U3
REAL CALPHA, CBETA, CGAMMA, VIEH
C Search pattern: (This is moderately tricky)
C up is the \(y\)-direction, right in a plane the \(x\)-dir, plane the \(z\)-dir


ITTEGER OFFSX (36)
DATA OFFSX \(/ 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\),
\(+\quad 1,-1,-1,1,-1,1,1,-1\),
\(+\quad-1,1,-1,1,-1,1,1,-1\),
\(+\quad 2,2,-2,-2,2,2,-2,-2,0,0,0,0 /\)
ITTEGER OFFSY(36)
DATA OFFSY/ \(1,-1,0,0,1,-1,-1,1\),
\(0,0,0,0,1,1,-1,-1\),
\(1,1,-1,-1,1,1,-1,-1\),
\(1,-1,-1,1,-1,1,1,-1,-2,2,0,0 /\)
IITEGER OFFSZ(36)
DATA 0 FFSZ \(/ 0,0,1,-1,-1,-1,1,1\),
\(1,1,-1,-1,0,0,0,0\),
+
+
+
\(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1,1\)
\(0,0,0,0, \quad-1,-1,1,1, \quad 0,0,-2,2 /\)
\(C\) NUMBBR is the number of points found that are near the target point
\(C\) and in the same plane.
\(\mathrm{C} I, \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{JJ}, \mathrm{KR}, \mathrm{IT}, \mathrm{JT}, \mathrm{KT}\) are all indexes into the boundary pattern

C FBR is the pointer to offsets which generate ner neighbors.
IITEGER IUHBBR, I, J, K, II , JJ, KK , IT , JT, KT , IBR
\(C\) Arrays \(X, Y, Z\) collect the coordinates of the point. The remaining
C variables are adequately described in the main program.
IITEGER X(32), Y(32), Z(32)
IITEGER IBITS, MROW, HROWB, ICOL, IPLA, EDGES , IMAGE

PARAMETER (ICOL \(=128\), IPLA \(=128\) )
real disp
IITEGER*2 PLANE
COMHOI/GSCALE/DISP(ICOL, HPLA) , PLAIE (ICOL, HPLA)
C FLAG is set by LSFIT if the linear algebra problem is not solvable.
logical flag
C This is for the VAX: vill have to test \(\begin{gathered}\text { here to grab least signif } 8\end{gathered}\)
\(C\) bits on IBM and FPS if this is to be transported. It is a
C non-problem, but annoying anyway.
I HTEGER IHTEQ
LOGICAL*1 STUFF
EQUIVALEICE (IHTEQ,STUFF)
COMMOI/ARRAY/ EDGES (0: YROWB-1, YCOL, HPLA), + IMAGE ( \(0:\) HROUB-1, ICCOL , MPLA)

IITEGER IX,IY,IZ
C POINT is a statement function that works like the corresponding
\(C\) function in BASIC, except in 3-D
LOGICAL POIET
POIIT(IX,IY,IZ) = BTEST(EDGES(IX/XBITS,IY,IZ),
\(+\)
HBITS-1-MOD (IX, HBITS))
C Find out where the bit is in the \(\quad\) ord. Also make local copies of the
C subroutine parameters.
II \(=\mathrm{I} *\) 耳BITS
\(\mathrm{JJ}=\mathrm{J}\)
\(\mathbf{K K}=\mathbf{K}\)
10 IF (POIIT(II,JJ,KK)) GO TO 20
\(I I=I I+1\)
GO TO 10
C Hov we're started, having found the bit causing the problem.
20 HUMBBR \(=1\)
\(\mathbf{X}(\) IUMBBR \()=I I\)
\(\mathrm{Y}(\) IUMBBR \()=\mathrm{JJ}\)
\(Z(\) HUMBBR \()=\mathbf{K K}\)
C Look around, trying to collect enough. the first 24 neighbors are
\(C\) guaranteed to not be off the array because of the border of zeroes.
DO 25 TBR \(=1,24\)
IT \(=\mathrm{II}+0 \mathrm{FFSX}(\mathrm{IBR})\)
\(\mathrm{JT}=\mathrm{JJ}+\) OFFSY (IBR)
\(\mathrm{KT}=\mathrm{KK}+0 \mathrm{FFSZ}(\mathrm{KBR})\)
IF (POIHT (IT, JT, KT)) THEI
LUMBBR \(=\) IUMBBR +1
\(X(\) IUMBBR \()=I T\)
\(Y(\) IUMBBR \()=\mathrm{JT}\)
\(\mathrm{Z}(\) MUMBBR \()=\mathrm{KT}\)
END IF
25 COHTIHUE
C If we have accumulated 9 or more then we have an adequate sample.
IF (IIUMBBR.GT.8) GO TO 31
IBR \(=25\)
C From now on \(\quad\) e have to vatch whether off the array since we are looking
C 2 aषay. Io big deal--only 12 critters involved.
30 IF (NBR.LE.36) THEI
```

    IT = II+0FFSX(IBR)
        IF (IT.GT.EROU-2.OR.IT.LT.1) THEM
            BR = IBR+1
            GO TO 30
        EID IF
    JT = JJ+OFFSY(MBR)
    IF (JT.GT.耳COL-1.OR.JT.LT.2) THEI
        IBR = MBR+1
        GO TO 30
    END IF
    KT = KK+0FFSZ(IBR)
    IF (KT.GT.MPLA-1.OR.KT.LT.2) THEI
    IBR = MBR+1
            GO TO 30
    EMD IF
IF (POIIT(IT,JT,KT)) THEI
IUMBBR = WUMBBR+1
X(IUMBBR) = IT
Y(IUMBBR) = JT
Z(IUMBBR) = KT
IBR = 倓+1
GO TO 30
EID IF
EID IF

```

C Watch out for tiny shapes and other weird stuff．
```

IF.(MUHBBR.LT.5) THER
DISP(J,R) = -2.0
PLAME(J,K) = II
RETURI
EID IF

```

C Find the direction cosines of the normal to the best fitting（least
C squares）tangent plane．Logical variable FLAG is set when the
C linear algebra problem is deemed impossible．
31 CALL LSFIT（ \(X, Y, Z\) ，耳UMBBR ，CALPHA ，CBETA ，CGAMHA ，FLAG）
IF（FLAG）THEI
\(\operatorname{DISP}(J, K)=-12.0\)
\(\operatorname{PLAIE}(J, R)=I I\)
RETURI
EID IF
C Hor calculate the radiation viered：this is for diffuse lighting and C a matte finish surface．

VIEW＝CALPHA＊（1．0＋U1＊CALPHA＋U2＊CBETA＋U3＊CGAMMA）
C This is for a glossy finish．
```

IF (SHINFL.GT.1) VIEW = VIEW**SHIEFL
DISP(J,K) = VIEH

```

C Remember the plane for filtering to follot．
```

PLAIE(J,K) = II
RETURI
END

```

SUBROUTIRE LSFIT（ \(X, Y, Z\), HUMBBR ，C1 ，C2 ，C3，FLAG）
C Find the best fitting tangent plane to the binary data under the
\(C\) assumption that the \(X-Y-Z\) coordinates collected are good representatives
\(C\) of the boundary surface．The mathematics of the problem is trivial；
C the program simply computes parameters needed to solve the normal
C equations and calls an appropriate program．One minor change：weight
C the＂center＂point trice that of any other．
```

IMPLICIT INOLE
LOGICAL FLAG
REAL C1, C2, C3, A, B
IHTEGER X(*),Y(*),Z(*), MUMBBR,I
REAL MATRIX $(4,3)$
IHTEGER SSY,SSZ, SYX,SYZ,SZX,SX,SY,SZ
SSY $=\mathrm{Y}(1) * * 2$
$S S Z=Z(1) * * 2$

```
```

        SYX = Y(1)*X(1)
        SYZ = Y(1)*Z(1)
        SZX = Z(1)*X(1)
        SY = Y(1)
        SZ = Z(1)
        SX = X(1)
    DO 10 I = 1, IUMBBR
        SSY = SSY+Y(I)**2
        SSZ = SSZ+Z(I)**2
        SYX = SYX+Y(I)*X(I)
        SYZ = SYZ+Y(I)*Z(I)
        SZX = SZX +Z(I)*X(I)
        SY = SY+Y(I)
        SZ = SZ+Z(I)
        SX = SX+X(I)
    COITIIUE
    C Hop float the sums and do the linear alrebra.

```
```

MATRIX(1,1) = SSY

```
MATRIX(1,1) = SSY
MATRIX (2,1) = SYZ
MATRIX (2,1) = SYZ
MATRIX (3,1) = SY
MATRIX (3,1) = SY
MATRIX (1,2) = SYZ
MATRIX (1,2) = SYZ
MATRIX (2,2)=SSZ
MATRIX (2,2)=SSZ
MATRIX (3,2) = SZ
MATRIX (3,2) = SZ
MATRIX (1,3) = SY
MATRIX (1,3) = SY
MATRIX (2,3) = SZ
MATRIX (2,3) = SZ
MATRIX (3,3) = MUMBBR+1
MATRIX (3,3) = MUMBBR+1
MATRIX(4,1)= SYX
MATRIX(4,1)= SYX
MATRIX (4,2) = SZX
MATRIX (4,2) = SZX
MATRIX (4,3) = SX
MATRIX (4,3) = SX
CALL SOLVE(MATRIX,A,B,FLAG)
CALL SOLVE(MATRIX,A,B,FLAG)
C FLAG is set if SOLVE had trouble.
IF (FLAG) RETURI
C This solves the least squares problem giving X = AY+BZ+<Don't care>
    C1 = SQRT(1./(1.+A**2+B**2))
    C2 = -A*C1
    C3 = - B*C1
    RETURI
    EID
    SUBROUTIIE SOLVE(M,XA,XB,FLAG)
C Simple Gaussian elimination...I doubt if you can beat it for a 3x3
C problem, but I'd be glad to talk about it if you think differently.
C for example, one could write out the entire loop 70 and vrite M as
C a 1-D array, but I mon't do that for the 1 or 2 seconds a run it vill save.
    IMPLICIT HONE
    LOGICAL FLAG
    REAL M(4,3), XA, XB ,XC
    IHTEGER I,J,K
    DO 70 K = 1,2
        DO 70 I = K+1,3
            XA = M(K,I)/M(K,K)
            M(R,I) = XA
            DO 70 J = K+1,4
                M(J,I) = M(J,I)-XA*M(J,K)
    70 COITIIUE
    FLAG = ABS(M(3,3)).LT.1.E-7.OR.ABS(M(2,2)).LT.1.E-6
    IF (FLAG) RETURI
    XC = M(4,3)/H(3,3)
    XB = (M(4,2)-M(3,2)*XC)/M(2,2)
    XA}=(M(4,1)-M(2,1)*XB-M(3,1)*XC)/M(1,1
    RETURI
    ERD
    SUBROUTIIE FLTR
    IMPLICIT MONE
C This preliminary filter applies a \(5 \times 5\) filter inside the rav reflectances
C vithout trying to induce edge effects. This is an attempt to filter the
C shape, but clearly isn't manted all the time.
```

```
    IMTEGER MBITS,MROW, MROUB, MCOL, IPLA, EDGES, IMAGE
    PARAMETER ( FBITS=32, IROW=128, IROWB=\ROW/IBITS)
    PARAMETER (HCOL=128,IPLA=128)
    REAL DISP,THREER(HCOL,5)
    LOGICAL*1 LIIE(HCOL)
    IITEGER*2 CPLAME, PLAME(ICOL,5),IP
    COMHOI/SAVMEH/PLAIE,THREER
    COHHOT/GSCALE/ DISP(MCOL, MPLA), CPLAME(HCOL, HPLA)
    COMMOL/ARRAY/ EDGES (0: IROWB-1, ICOL, IPLA),
    + IMAGE(0:IROUB-1,ICOL, IPLA)
    ITTEGER I1,I2,I3,I4,I5,IT,I,J,L
    REAL W1,W2,W3,W4,W5,SUH,WEIGHT
    PARAMETER ( }\textrm{H}1=0.866,\textrm{W}2=0.5, H3=.738, W4=.389,W5=.09
C PATTERI:
```

    IHTEGER*2 P,Q
    ```
    IHTEGER*2 P,Q
    LOGICAL TEST
    TEST(P,Q) = IABS (P-Q).LE. }
C Circular buffer pointers
    I1 = 1
    I2 = 2
    I3 = 3
    I4 =4
    I5 = 5
C Read five lines of the image
    DO 10 I = 1,5
        DO 10 J = 1, ICOL
            THREER(J,I) = DISP(J,I)
            PLAEE(J,I) = CPLAME(J,I)
    10
    COMTIIUE
C This is the big loop
    DO 30 L = 6,耳PLA
C Filter the current line. Be sure to test:
C If the point is in the boundary of the shape
C If the point is in the same part of the shape
            DO 40 J = 3, MCOL-2
            IF (THREER(J,I3).EQ.-2.0) THEI
                    G0 T0 35
            ELSE IF (THREER(J,I3).LT.-10.0) THEK
C These points were too hard on the equation solver, but are valid,
C so try to fix them up.
    SUM = 0.
    WEIGHT = 0.
    ELSE
C Here 日e have a solid citizan point, so count it.
    SUM = THREER(J,I3)
    UEIGHT = 1.
    ERD IF
    IP = PLARE (J,I3)
    IF (THREER(J-2,I1).GT.O.ATD.TEST(PLANE(J-2,I1),IP))THER
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J-2,I1)*WS
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W5
            END IF
    IF (THREER(J-2,I5).GT.0.ARD.TEST(PLAEE (J-2,I5),IP))THEN
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J-2,I5)*WS
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W5
            END IF
        IF (THREER(J+2,I1).GT.O.AID.TEST(PLANE (J+2,I1),IP))THEN
                        SUM = SUM+THREER(J+2,I1)*W5
        WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W5
            END IF
    IF (THREER(J+2,I5).GT.0.AMD.TEST(PLANE(J+2,I5),IP))THEN
```

```
            SUM = SUM+THREER(J+2,I5)*W5
            UEIGHT = WEIGHT +W5
            EMD IF
    IF (THREER(J+1,I1).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLAIE (J+1,I1),IP))THEI
        SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I1)*W4
        WEIGHT = UEIGHT+W4
    EDD IF
    IF (THREER(J+1,I5).GT.0.AHD.TEST(PLAME (J+1,I5),IP))THEK
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I5)*W4
                WEIGHT = HEIGHT+W4
    ERD IF
    IF (THREER(J-1,I1).GT.O.AID.TEST(PLAME(J-1,I1),IP))THEI
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I1)*W4
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    EMD IF
    IF (THREER(J-2,I2).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLAHE(J-2,I2),IP))THER
                SUM = SUH+THREER(J-2,I2)*W4
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    ERD IF
    IF (THREER(J-2,I4).GT.O.AID.TEST(PLANE(J-2,I4),IP))THEI
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J-2,I4)*W4
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    END IF
    IF (THREER(J+2,I2).GT.0.AMD.TEST(PLAHE (J+2,I2),IP))THEI
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J+2,I2)*H4
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    EHD IF
    IF.(THREER(J+2,I4).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J+2,I4),IP))THER
                SUM = SUM+THREER(J+2,I4)*W4
                WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    EID IF
    IF (THREER(J-1,I1).GT.0.AMD.TEST(PLAME(J-1,I1),IP))THEH
                SUM = SUM+THREER (J-1,I1)*W4
                UEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    EMD IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I1).GT.O.AID.TEST(PLANE (J+1,I1),IP)) THEL
    SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I1)*W4
UEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
            EMD IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I5).GT.O.AND.TEST(PLAAE(J-1,I5),IP))THER
    SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I5)*W4
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W4
    END IF
IF (THREER(J-2,I3).GT.O.AND.TEST(PLAHE(J-2,I3),IP))THEI
SUM = SUMY THREER(J-2,I3)*W2
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+U2
    ETD IF
IF (THREER(J,I1).GT.O.AND.TEST(PLANE (J,I1),IP)) THEI
SUM = SUM+THREER(J,I1)*W2
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W2
    END IF
IF (THREER(J,I5).GT.O.ATD.TEST(PLAIE(J,I5),IP))THEI
SUM = SUNH+THREER(J,I5)*W2
WEIGHT = WEIGHT + W2
    EID IF
IF (THREER(J+2,I3).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J+2,I3),IP))THEH
SUM = SUM+THREER (J+2,I3)*W2
    HEIGHT = WEIGHT+W2
    ERD IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I2).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J-1,I2),IP))THEN
SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I2)*W3
WEIGHT = UEIGHT+H3
    END IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I2).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J+1,I2),IP))THER
SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I2)*W3
WEIGHT = WEIGHT + W3
    END IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I4).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J+1,I4),IP))THEI
SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I4)*H3
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W3
    END IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I4).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J-1,I4),IP))THEN
SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I4)*W3
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W3
    EID IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I3).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J-1,I3),IP))THEN
SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I3)*W1
```

```
WEIGHT = WEIGHT+W1
    EMD IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I3).GT.O.AID.TEST(PLAIE(J+1,I3),IP))THER
SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I3)*W1
HEIGHT = WEIGHT+W1
    EDD IF
IF (THREER(J,I2).GT.O.AHD.TEST(PLARE(J,I2),IP))THEI
SUM = SUN+THREER(J,I2)*W1
UEIGHT = UEIGHT+W1
    EMD IF
IF (THREER(J,I4).GT.O.AMD.TEST(PLAIE(J,I4),IP)) THEI
SUM = SUM+THREER(J,I4)*W1
UEIGHT = UEIGHT+H1
    EHD IF
    DISP(J,L-3) = SUR/HEIGHT
    35 COITIHUE
    40 COITIIUE
C Read another line
    DO 50 J = 1, ICOL
    THREER(J,I1) = DISP(J,L)
            PLAME(J,I1) = CPLANE(J,L)
                    COITIIUE
C Rotate circular buffer
    IT = I1
    I1 = I2
    I2 = I3
    I3 = I4
    I4 = I5
    I5 = IT
    30 COITIIUE
RETURE
END
SUBROUTIIE SFLTR(SCALE)
IMPLICIT MOIE
    IMTEGER IBITS, MROY, HRONB, MCOL, IPLA, EDGES, IMAGE
    PARAMETER (IMITS=32, IROW=128, IROWB=NROW/HBITS)
    PARAMETER (ICOL=128,IPLA=128)
C DISP is the grey scale image to make. DISP and CPLANE could be disk
C files with no loss in speed. Everything in the filtering part is arranged
C that way (for sequential files).
REAL DISP,MAX,MIT,THREER(HCOL ,3)
LOGICAL*1 LIME(HCOL)
I#TEGER*2 CPLAME, PLAIE(ICOL,3),IP
COMMON/SAVHEM/PLAIE,THREER
COMMOY/GSCALE/ DISP(ICOL, MPLA), CPLAIE(IMCOL, HPLA)
C This is for the VAX: will have to test ⿴here to grab least signif 8
C bits on IBM and FPS if this is to be transported.
IHTEGER IITEQ,I,J,L,SCALE
LOGICAL*1 STUFF
EQUIVALEICE (ITTEQ,STUFF)
COMHOR/ARRAY/ EDGES(0:HROUB-1, MCOL,MPLA),
    + IMAGE(0: IROUB-1, ICOL , IPLA)
IITEGER I1,I2,I3,IT
REAL M,B
REAL H1,H2,SUM, WEIGHT
PARAMETER ( }\textrm{H}1=0.50,\textrm{H}2=0.20
PARAMETER (HEIGHT=1.0+4*(H1+W2))
IHTEGER*2 P,Q
LOGICAL TEST
TEST(P,Q) = IABS (P-Q).LE. }
C First find the MAX and MII observed after initial filtering.
MAX = -2.0
MIH = 2.0
DO 1 I = 1,MPLA,2
    DO 1 J = I, HCOL, 2
M = DISP(I,J)
IF (M.GT.-2.) THEI
```

```
        IF (MAX.LT.M) THER
MAX = M
GO TO 1
    ELSE IF (MIM.GT.M) THEX
MIM = M
    ETD IF
EID IF
    1 COITIMUE
C Linear conversion factors
M = REAL(SCALE)/(MAX-MIH)
B = -MIH*M
C Circular buffer pointers
I1 = 1
I2 = 2
I3 = 3
C Read three lines of the image
DO 10 I = 1,3
    DO 10 J = 1, 耳COL
IF (DISP(J,I).ME.-2.0) THET
    IF (DISP(J,I).GT.-10.0) THER
            THREER}(J,I)=M*\operatorname{DISP}(J,I)+
    ELSE
THREER(J,I) = -12.0
    END IF
ELSE
    THREER(J,I) = -2.0
END IF
PLANE(J,I) = CPLANE(J,I)
    10 COITIIUE
C Copy the first line vithout filtering (should be all -2.0)
DO 20 J = 1, ICOL
    IITEQ = THREER(J,I1)
    IF (IMTEQ.LE.0) THEI
IHTEQ = 0
    EID IF
    LIIE(J) = STUFF
    20 COITIMUE
C Urite the eldest line
URITE(10) LIHE
C This is the big loop
DO 30 L = 4, IPLA
C Filter the current line. Be sure to test:
C If the point is in the boundary of the shape
C If the point is in the same part of the shape
    DO 40 J = 1, 耳COL
IF (THREER(J,I2).EQ.-2.0) THEI
    IITEQ = 0
    GO TO 35
ELSE IF (THREER(J,I2).LT.-10.0) THEI
C These points שere too hard on the equation solver, but are valid,
C so try to fix them up.
    SUM = 0.
    ELSE
C Here ve have a solid citizan point, so count it.
    SUM = THREER (J,I2)
END IF
    IP = PLAIE (J,I2)
IF (THREER(J,I1).GT.O.AND.TEST(PLANE(J,I1),IP))THEN
SUM = SUM+THREER(J,I1)*W1
    EID IF
IF (THREER(J,I3).GT.O.AND.TEST(PLANE(J,I3),IP))THER
SUM = SUM+THREER(J,I3)*W1
    EID IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I2).GT.0.AND.TEST(PLANE(J-1,I2),IP))THEN
SUM = SUM+THREER(J-1,I2)*W1
```

```
    EMD IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I2).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLAME(J+1,I2),IP))THE\
SUM = SUH+THREER(J+1,I2)*W1
    EHD IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I1).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLAIE(J-1,I1),IP))THEI
SUN = SUH+THREER(J-1,I1)*W2
    EDD IF
IF (THREER(J-1,I3).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLANE(J-1,I3),IP))THEL
SUH = SUM+THREER(J-1,I3)*W2
    EHD IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I1).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLAIE (J+1,I1),IP))THEI
SUH = SUM+THREER(J+1,I1)*W2
    EKD IF
IF (THREER(J+1,I3).GT.0.AID.TEST(PLAIE(J+1,I3),IP))THEH
SUM = SUM+THREER(J+1,I3)*W2
    EMD IF
SUM = SUM/WEIGHT
IITEQ = SUH
IF (INTEQ.LT.1) IHTEQ = 1
IF (IHTEQ.GT.SCALE) IMTEQ = SGALE
    35 LIHE(J) = STUFF
    40 COITIHUE
    URITE(10) LIIE
C Read another line
    DO 50 J = 1, MCOL
IF (DISP(J,L).ME.-2.0) THER
    THREER(J,I1) = M*DISP(J,L)+B
ELSE
    THREER(J,I1) = -2.0
EID IF
PLAME(J,I1) = CPLAIE(J,L)
    50 COITIIUE
C Rotate circular buffer
    IT = I1
    I1 = I2
    I2 = I3
    I3 = IT
    30 COHTIMUE
C Finally, write the last critter (unfiltered)
DO 60 J = 1, ICOL
    IMTEQ = THREER (J,I3)
    IF (IITEQ.LE.0) THED
I#TEQ = 0
ELSE IF (INTEQ.GT.SCALE) THEI
IMTEQ = SCALE
    EHD IF
    LITE(J) = STUFF
    60 COITIIUE
RETURI
EID
SUBROUTIIE MAREIT(DTAFLG,IHIAME)
IMPLICIT ROME
IMTEGER IS,I,J,R,IBSET,IX,IY,IZ,L,IC,LL
    IMTEGER IBITS, IROU, IROUB, ICOL, IPLA, EDGES,IMAGE
    PARAMETER (NBITS=32, MROW=128,NROWB=NROW/HBITS)
    PARAMETER (HCOL=128, HPLA=128)
REAL C(300,3),R(300),II, JJ, KK
    IITEGER MIDX,MIDY ,MIDZ , IPSET
CHARACTER*40 INIAME
LOGICAL DTAFLG
COMMOI/ARRAY/ EDGES (0: IRONB-1, HCOL ,HPLA),
    + IHAGE(0: EROHB-1, ICOL, HPLA)
IPSET(IX,IY,IZ) = IBSET(IMAGE(IX/HBITS,IY,IZ),
        + HBITS-1-MOD(IX, HBITS))
IF (DTAFLG) THEI
    CALL GETPAR(C,R,IC)
    PRIHT*,' READ',IC,' BALLS'
    DO 3 I = 1,IC
        3 R(I) = R(I)**2
    DO 10 J = 2, HCOL-1
        DO 10 K = 2,IPLA-1
            DO 10 I = 1,NROU-2
```

```
        DO 5 L = 1,IC
        JJ=(\operatorname{REAL}(J)-C(L,2))**2
IF (JJ.GE.R(L)) GO TO 5
KK=(REAL(K)-C(L,3))**2
IF (JJ+KK.GE.R(L)) GO TO 5
    II = (REAL(I)-C(L,1))**2
IF (JJ+KK+II.GE.R(L)) GO TO 5
IMAGE(I/IBITS,J,K)= IPSET(I,J,K)
GO TO 10
    5 COITIIUE
    10 COITIMUE
    PRITT*,' MADE IT'
    OPEH(11,FILE=IMHAME,STATUS='HEW',FORM= U#FORMATTED')
        CALL WRITEDATA (11, IMAGE)
    PRIIT*,' STASHED IT OR DISK, UHIT 11'
ELSE
    OPEI(11,FILE=IHIAME,STATUS='OLD',FORM=,UHFORMATTED')
            CALL READDATA (11,IMAGE)
    PRIIT*,' READ IT FROM DISK, UHIT 11'
        ETD IF
        RETURI
END
SUBROUTIIE GETPAR(C,R,IC)
IMPLICIT 傗E
IMTEGER IC,I,J
REAL C(300,3),R(300)
IC = 1
DO 10 I = 1,300
    PRIHT*,' CEITER, RADIUS ,
    READ*, (C(I, J), J=1,3),R(I)
    IF (C(I,1).EQ.0) RETURI
    IC = IC+1
    10 COITIIUE
RETURI
END
SUBROUTIIE SHOUNE
C For a VT200-level terminal--quick and dirty look program.
CHARACTER*32 TC/'\MH@H8%GC]|\>;,:. '/
CHARACTER*1 P(0:31),POP
IITEGER I, J, IPOP , MAX
EQUIVALEICE (POP,IPOP)
CHARACTER*1 LIIE(128),DISP(128)
LOGICAL IFODD
IFODD = .TRUE
MAX = 0
REWIMD(10)
DO 10 I = 1,32
    P(I-1) = TC(I:I)
    10 COITIMUE
    1 READ (10, EMD=9) LIHE
DO 2. J = 1,128
    POP = LIIE(J)
    MAX = MAXO(MAX,IPOP)
    2. COITIIUE
GO TO 1
    9 REWIID(10)
IF (MAX.EQ.O) STOP' NO DATA II FILE'
    20 READ(10, EMD=99) LIHE
    READ(10,END=99) LIME
DO 30 J = 1,128
    POP = LIME (J)
IF (IPOP.EQ.0) THEI
    IF (IFODD) THEI
        DISP(J) = '{,
    ELSE
        DISP(J) = '},
    END IF
ELSE
    DISP(J) = P(MOD(IPOP*17/MAX ,32)+1)
END IF
    30 COHTIIUE
PRIIT40,(DISP(J),J=1,128)
    40 FORMAT (3X,128A1)
IFODD = .HOT.IFODD
GO TO 20
```

SUBROUTIIE READDATA (UIITHO, IMAGE)
Reads data in its compressed form
IMPLICIT HOHE
IITEGER IROWB, HCOL, HBITS
PARAMETER ( ${ }^{2} R O=4$ )
PARAMETER ( $\mathrm{ICOL}=128$ )
PARAMETER ( (BITS $=32$ )
ITIEGER UIITITO
IITEGER IMAGE ( $\mathbb{R}$ ROWB, ICOL, $\overline{\text { ICOL }}$ )
IITEGER I, J, X,L
IHTEGER COUIT1
IITEGER MAP (IROWB* ${ }^{(1)} C O L * I C O L /$ HBITS)
IITEGER IOIZERO(MROWB*ICOL*HCOL)
IITEGER HOHZEROCOUIT
Character*20 FILEHAME
read (UIITMO) COUNT1
URITE (*,*) 'READIHG ZERO MAP...,
READ (UIITHO) (MAP (I) , $\mathrm{I}=1$, ICCOL*HCOL*HROWB/HBITS)
URITE (*,*) 'READIIG HOHZERO EETRIES...'
READ (UIITIO) (HOHZERO (I), I=1, COUHT1)
HRITE (*,*) 'REBULDIIG THE DATA...'
MOZZEROCOUIT $=0$
DO $70 \mathrm{~L}=1$, $\mathbb{C C O L} * \mathbb{C O L} *$ HROWB
IF ( $\operatorname{BTEST}(\operatorname{MAP}((L-1) /$ HBITS +1$)$ ) MOD ( ( $L-1$ ), HBITS) )) THEI
$\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{L}-1) /(\mathrm{ICOL} * \mathrm{HCOL})$
$\mathrm{J}=(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I} * \mathrm{HCOL} * \mathrm{ICOL}-1) / \mathrm{ICOL}$
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I} * \mathrm{HCOL} * \mathrm{HCOL}-\mathrm{J} * \mathrm{I} C O L$
$I=I+1$
$\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}+1$
IOHZEROCOUIT $=$ HOMZEROCOUIT +1

EIDIF
comtilue
URITE (*,*) 'DECOMPRESSIOI COMPLETE.'
RETURI
EIID
SUBROUTIIE WRITEDATA (UIITHO, ImagE)
Writes data in its compressed form
IMPLICIT HOIE
IITEGER HROUB, ICOL, IBITS
PARAMETER ( ${ }^{\text {RRONB }}=4$ )
PARAMETER ( $\mathbb{I C O L}=128$ )
PARAMETER (HBITS = 32)
ITTEGER UIITTIO
IITEGER IMAGE ( 0 : HROWB- 1 , HCOL , HCOL )
IHTEGER I,J, K
IITEGER COUHT1
IHTEGER MAP ( $\operatorname{HROWB} * \mathbb{H} C O L * \mathbb{H C O L} /$ HBITS $)$
IITEGER HOHZERO (NROWB*HCOL*HCOL)
CHARACTER*2O FILEHAME
COUIT1 $=0$
WRITE (*,*) 'COMPUTIIG ZERO MAP...'
DO $50 \mathrm{I}=0$, $\mathrm{IROWB}-1$
DO $50 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{HCOL}$ DO $50 \mathrm{~K}=1$, $\mathbb{H C O L}$

If ( $\operatorname{mage}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})$. he. 0) thei
A non-zero entry

Count it
COURT1 = COUNT1 +1
Set map entry

$+\operatorname{IIT}((\mathrm{K}-1) / 32)+1)$
$=$ IBSET(MAP (I*HCOL*HCOL/ $+\operatorname{IIT}((\mathbb{K}-1) /$ HBITS $)+1), \mathrm{MOD}($ ICOL* $(\mathrm{J}-1)+\mathrm{K}-1$, HBITS $))$
HOHZERO (COUIT1) $=$ IMAGE $(I, J, K)$
EIDIF
50 COETIIUE
URITE (*,*) 'WRITIIG ZERO MAP...'
WRITE (UIITIO) COURT1
WRITE (UHITIO) (HAP ( $I$ ), $I=1$, YCOL* $\mathcal{H C O L * H R O W B / H B I T S ) ~}$
60 COITIIUE
URITE (*,*) 'URITITG YOUZERO DATA...,
URITE (UIITIO) (HOIZERO (I) , $\mathrm{I}=1$, COUIT1)
URITE (*,*) 'COMPRESSIOI COMPLETE.'
RETURI
ERD

PROGRAM EXTR

## IMPLICIT 耳OME

IITEGER IBITS，耳ROW，IROWB，耳COL，HPLA，EDGES，IMAGE，BIGBIT，HOREE
PARAMETER（MBITS＝32，IROW＝128，IROWB＝HROW／IBITS）
PARAMETER（ 1 COL＝128，IPLA＝128）
PARAMETER（BIGBIT $=-2$＊＊（IBITS－2）－2＊＊（IBITS－2））
ITTEGER D，FLAG，SHFLAG，I，J，K，ITIME，IDUMMY，L，LIB\＄IHIT＿TIMER
COHMOI／ARRAY／EDGES（0：IRONB－1，ICOL，IPLA），
＋IMAGE（ 0 ：耳RONB－1，ICOL，HPLA），MOREE（ 0 ：HROUB－1，HCOL，HPLA）
IITEGER MIDX，MIDY，MIDZ，IX ，IY，IZ，HPOIIT，LIB\＄SHOW＿TIMER，IT
LOGICAL FLAGE，IPOITT
$\operatorname{IPOIIT}(I X, I Y, I Z)=$ BTEST（IMAGE（IX／IXBITS ，IY，IZ），
$+{ }^{+}=0$
IDUMHY $=$ LIB\＄IMIT＿TIMER（ITIME）
OPEI（ 20, file $=$＇［SHAPES ．DAT］STATS＇，$S T A T U S=$＇OLD＇，$E R R=99$ ）
5 READ（20，＊，EMD＝10）
GO TO 5
99 OPEH（20，FILE＝＇［SHAPES．DAT］STATS＇，STATUS＝＇胃EU＇）
10 COITIIUE
IDUMMY $=$ LIB\＄SHOW＿TIMER（ITIME）
ITIME $=0$
IDUNHY $=$ LIB\＄IIIT＿TIMER（ITIME）
PRIIT＊，＇MAKE（T）OR READ（F）DATA＇
READ＊，FLAGE
CALL MAKEIT（FLAGE）
PRIHT＊，＇MADE AI IMAGE＇
IDUMMY＝LIB\＄SHOH＿TIMER（ITIME）
ITIME $=0$
IDUMMY $=$ LIB\＄IIIT＿TIMER（ITIME）

| C | HBITS |  | Humber of bits in an integer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 耳ROU | － | Yumber of rows in the discrete image |
| C | HROWB | － | lumber of integers per row |
| C | HCOL | － | Tumber of columns |
| C | HPLA | － | Yumber of planes |
| C | IMAGE | － | The discrete image |
| C | EDGES | － | The boundary points in IMAGE |
| C |  |  |  |
|  | d the |  | logical operations． |

CALL ZEROIT（EDGES，IROWB＊HCOL）
C First the image shifted up one plane．HPOIRT is the number of points $C$ in the shape．
HPOIHT $=0$
DO $30 \mathrm{~K}=2$ ，IPLA -1
DO $30 \mathrm{~J}=2$ ，耳COL－1
DO $30 \mathrm{I}=0$ ， $\mathrm{HROWB}-1$
$I T=\operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J, K)$
IF（IT．IE．O）THE耳
IF（IT．EQ．－1）THEI
TPOIIT $=$ IPOINT + HBITS
ELSE
DO $25 \mathrm{~L}=0$, HBITS－1
IF（BTEST（IT，L））IPOIHT＝IPOIHT +1
25 COITIIUE
EID IF
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IAND}(\operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J, K-1), I T)$
ELSE
$\operatorname{EDGES}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})=0$
EHD IF
30 COITIIUE
C Iext AID qith the image shifted down one plane
DO $60 \mathrm{~K}=2$ ，MPLA－1
DO $60 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ， HCOL
DO $60 \mathrm{I}=0$ ， $\mathrm{EROWB}-1$
60
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IAND}(\operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J, K+1), \operatorname{EdGES}(I, J, K))$
C Mext the image shifted up one DO $90 \mathrm{~K}=2$ ，MPLA－1

DO $90 \mathrm{~J}=2$ ，ICOL -1
DO 90 I $=0$ ，MROWB－1
90
$\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K)=\operatorname{IAID}(\operatorname{EDGES}(I, J, K), \operatorname{IMAGE}(I, J-1, K))$
C Eext AND with the image shifted down one plane

```
        DO 120 K = 2,MPLA-1
            DO 120 J = 2,耳COL-1
                DO 120 I = 0, IRONB-1
            EDGES(I, J,K)= IAMD(IMAGE(I, J+1,K), EDGES (I , J , K))
C 耳ov AlD with the image shifted right one.
    DO 150 K = 2,MPLA-1
            DO 150 J = 2,耳COL-1
                FLAG = 0
                DO 150 I = 0,IRONB-1
                    D = IMAGE(I, J,K)
                        SHFLAG = IAID(D,1)
                        D = ISHFT(D,-1)
                        IF (FLAG.GT.0) D = IOR(D,BIGBIT)
                        FLAG = SHFLAG
                        EDGES (I,J,K) = IAMD (D, EDGES (I, J, K))
150
    COMTIMUE
C Finally AID with the image shifted left one.
    DO 180 K = 2, 耳PLA-1
        DO 180 J = 2,HCOL-1
                        FLAG = 0
                        DO 180 I = IROWB-1,0,-1
                    D = IMAGE ( I , J,K)
                    SHFLAG = ISHFT(D,-MBITS+1)
    D = ISHFT(D,1)+FLAG
                        FLAG = SHFLAG
                        EDGES (I,J,K) = IAMD (D, EDGES (I,J,K))
180
    COMTIIUE
C Iov EOR mith the original, leaving an internal 2-connected boundary
        DO 210 K = 2,MPLA-1
            DO 210 J = 2, HCOL-1
                        DO 210 I = 0,NROWB-1
        IT = IEOR(IMAGE(I,J,K), EDGES(I,J,K))
        MOREE(I,J,K) = IT
    210
                            EDGES(I,J,K) = IT
C
C lov all the boundaries have been found. Proceed to find,
C collect, and analyze the boundaries collected.
PRIHT*,' BOUIDARIES MARKED AID POIHTS COUHTED'
IDUNMY = LIB$SHOW_TIMER(ITIME)
            DO 240 K = 1, 耳PLA
            DO 240 J = 1, 耳COL
                        DO 240 I = 0, IROWB-1
            IF (EDGES ( I,J,K).ME.0) THEI
        ITIME = 0
IDUMMY = LIB$IMIT_TIMER(ITIME)
CALL EXTRACT3(I,J,K,HPOIHT)
PRIHT*,' GOT OHE'
IDUMMY = LIB$SHOW_TIMER(ITIME)
GO TO 7
    EMD IF
240 CONTIIUE
CLOSE(20,DISP='KEEP')
                                    EID
SUBROUTIIE EXTRACT3(I,J,K, IPOIIT)
C Purpose：To search a three dimensional 2－neighbor connected set for C a list of all members．Of course，the list is in no particular
C order. If you like, you can think of the set as being the boundary
C of a 1-neighbor connected discrete object. The list is not kept
C as an array, but as three separate arrays X(*), Y(*), Z(*).
C Revision: they are packed in one integer nov.
C Method: Tro pointers are maintained: CURREIT and LAST. CURRENT points
C to the point mhose neighbors are being examined. LAST points to
C the last one stored. As long as LAST.GE.CURRENT, more points remain
C bhich require their 18 neighbors to be checked. On termination,
C LAST points to the last one collected.
C Arguments: I The coordinate of the word containing the packed
C first bit.
C J,K Coordinates of column and plane in the array containing
```

C the word.
C IITR calls: POIIT A LOGICAL function which is .TRUE. iff the point
C ( $I, J, K$ ) is a point in the set (on).
C
PRESET Resets (turns off) the point at ( $I, J, K$ ).
C Special considerations: The actual array is packed by bits into a 3
C dimensional IETEGER array. To find the absolute
C starting point, LOGICAL operations are performed.
$C$ The necessary information is passed to PRESET and
C POIIT in COMMOI BLOCK /ARRAYS/. It is assumed that
C any necessary bounds checking is performed by the C external modules. Alternatively, the image can
$C$ be strictly inside the 3 -d rectangle.
IMPLICIT 耳OEE
C Search pattern:
C Lover plane Ground plane Upper plane

| C | 19 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 23 | 13 | 24 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 15 | 16 | 17 | 1 | $*$ | 2 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $-->$ | Storage order |
| C | 22 | 18 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 26 | 9 | 25 |  |

C Offsets for search of the 2-boundary (rith 18 neighbors differing by
$C$ at most 1 in at most tro coordinates). $X X, Y Y, Z Z$ are the offsets to boundary
C points for the least squares part. The first 18 are neighbors; the next
C 8 are also used in the least squares tangent fitting problem.
IITEGER OFFSET_X(26), $X X(26)$
DATA OFFSET_X/-1, 1, -1, $0,1,-1,0,1$,
$+\quad 0,-1,0,1,0$,
$+\quad 0,-1,0,1,0$,
$+\quad-1,1,1,-1,-1,1,1,-1 /$
IHTEGER OFFSET_Y(26),YY(26)
DATA OFFSET_Y/ $0,0,1,1,1,-1,-1,-1$,
$+1,0,0,0,-1$,
$+\quad-1,0,0,0,1$,
$+\quad 1,1,-1,-1,1,1,-1,-1 /$
IHTEGER OFFSET_Z(26), ZZ(26)
DATA OFFSET_Z/ $0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$,
$+\quad 1,1,1,1,1$,
$+\quad-1,-1,-1,-1,-1$,
$+\quad-1,-1,-1,-1, \quad 1,1,1,1 /$
LOGICAL POIHT, MOIHT
IHTEGER CURREMT,LAST,I,J,K,II,JJ, KK,IT,JT,KT, IBR
IHTEGER MAXSIZE,STASH (18), IPTR, PTR , MIDX , MIDY, MIDZ
PARAMETER (MAXSIZE $=80000$ )
IITEGER X (MAXSIZE)
REAL U1, U2 , U3 , MHU , DOT , DIST , AREA , VMT , SII , TI , SU , SIII , TII
I ITEGER MFACT
PARAMETER (IFACT=35)
REAL OLAST, HORMS ( 0 : IFACT), SIIII

DATA FUDGE/4550.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,
$+\quad 16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0$,
$+\quad 16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0$,
$+16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,16120.0,15 * 16120.0 /$
DATA PPQ/1.,2.,3.,4.,2.,3.,4.,3.,4.,4.,1.,2.,3.,4.,1.,1.,
$+2 ., 3 ., 2 ., 1 . /$
REAL ALPHA, BETA, GAMMA, MU
COMMOH/TARGET/ALPHA (MAXSIZE), BETA (MAXSIZE), GAMMA (MAXSIZE) , + HU(MAXSIZE)

IHTEGER HBITS, HROW, HROUB, YCOL, HPLA, EDGES, IMAGE , MOREE
PARAMETER ( IBITS $=32, ~ M R O W=128, ~ M R O W B=\# R O W / H B I T S$ )
PARAMETER ( ICOL $=128$, HPLA $=128$ )
COMMOI/ARRAY/ EDGES ( $0:$ IROUB-1, ICOL , MPLA) ,

+ IMAGE ( 0 : MROUB-1, MCOL , MPLA) , MOREE ( 0 : NROUB-1, HCOL , HPLA)
INTEGER IX,IY,IZ, PRESET, PACK, UNPX, UNPY, UNPZ, MRESET
IHTEGER BITS13, BITSO3, MASKO, HPOINT, HAST,L
PARAMETER (BITS03=NBITS $/ 3$, BITS13=BITS03*2)
PARAMETER (MASK0=2**BITS03-1)

IITEGER XBAR，YBAR，ZBAR，IACTOR，IUM，ISQUA
REAL FACTOR，RI，SQUA，SI，SUMM，SUHHS，S128，R128
C Statement functions：
POI耳T（IX，IY，IZ）$=$ BTEST（EDGES（IX／IBITS ，IY，IZ），
＋$\quad$ BBITS－1－MOD（IX，HBITS））
MOITT（IX，IY，IZ）$=\operatorname{BTEST}(\operatorname{MOREE}(I X /$ IBITS $, I Y, I Z)$ ， + BBITS－1－HOD（IX，MBITS））
$\operatorname{PRESET}(I X, I Y, I Z)=$ IBCLR（EDGES（IX／YBITS，IY，IZ），
$+\quad$ HBITS－1－MOD（IX，耳BITS））
$\operatorname{PACK}(I X, I Y, I Z)=\operatorname{IOR}(I S H F T(I Y, B I T S 03), I S H F T(I Z, B I T S 13))+I X$
UZPX（IX）$=$ IAMD（IX，MASKO）
URPY（IX）$=$ UYPX（ISHFT（IX，－BITS03））
$\operatorname{UNPZ}(I X)=\operatorname{ISHFT}(I X,-B I T S 13)$
$\operatorname{DIST}(I X, I Y, I Z)=\operatorname{SQRT}(\operatorname{REAL}(I X * * 2+I Y * * 2+I Z * * 2))$
C Pointer to the point currently being examined：
CURRERT $=1$
C Pointer to the last ner point found and stored：
LAST $=1$
$C$ Find out $\quad$ bhere the bit is in the $\begin{gathered}\text { bord．Also make local copies of the }\end{gathered}$
C subroutine parameters．
II $=\mathrm{I} * \mathrm{HBITS}$
$\mathrm{JJ}=\mathrm{J}$
$\mathbf{K K}=\mathbf{K}$
10 IF（POIIT（II，JJ，KK））GO TO 20
$I I=I I+1$
GO TO 10
20 EDGES（II／HBITS ，JJ，KK）$=\operatorname{PRESET}(I I, J J, K K)$
$X($ LAST $)=\operatorname{PACK}(I I, J J, K K)$
$X B A R=I I$
YBAR $=\mathrm{JJ}$
ZBAR $=\mathbf{K R}$
30 IF（LAST．GE．CURREHT）THE耳
YAST $=0$
C Look for a neighbor that is＇on＇．When it is，store it and turn it off． DO 40 MBR $=1,18$
$I T=I I+O F F S E T \_X$（IBR）
$\mathrm{JT}=\mathrm{JJ}+$ OFFSET＿Y（HBR）
KT $=$ KK＋OFFSET＿Z（EBR）
IF（POI耳T（IT，JT，RT））THET
LAST $=$ LAST +1
IF（LAST．GT．MAXSIZE）STOP＇TOO BIG，
$\mathbf{X}($ LAST $)=\operatorname{PACK}(I T, J T, R T)$
EDGES（IT／IBITS，JT，KT）$=\operatorname{PRESET}(I T, J T, K T)$
$X B A R=X B A R+I T$
YBAR $=$ YBAR $+J T$ $\mathrm{ZBAR}=\mathrm{ZBAR}+\mathrm{KT}$
EID IF
40 COHTITUE
DO $45 \mathrm{MBR}=1,26$
$I T=I I+O F F S E T \_X($ IRR $)$
JT＝JJ＋OFFSET＿Y（MBR）
$K T=K K+0 F F S E T \_Z(M B R)$
IF（MOIHT（IT，JT，KT））THE耳
HAST $=$ HAST＋1
$X X($ IAST $)=$ OFFSET＿X（HBR）
YY（IAST）$=$ OFFSET＿Y（IBR）
ZZ（IAST）$=$ OFFSET＿Z（HBR）
E耳D IF
45 COTTIHUE
CALL LSFIT（XX，YY，ZZ，HAST，CURREIT）
CURREIT＝CURREIT +1
II $=$ URPX（X（CURRENT））
JJ $=$ UIPY（X（CURREIT））
KR $=$ UIIPZ $(X$（CURREIT $))$
GO TO 30
END IF
XBAR $=$ XBAR／LAST
YBAR $=$ YBAR／LAST
ZBAR $=$ ZBAR／LAST
$C$ The estimate of the volume should be modified to account for boundary not C all there
EPOI\＃T $=$ IPOINT－LAST／2
VMT $=$ FLOAT（HPOINT）＊＊$(-1 . / 3$.
C Compute the area．This should be done in floating point for giant shapes． AREA $=0$ ．
$C$ Iot three surface integrals．
FACTOR $=0$ ．
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SUM = 0.
SQUA = 0.
MAX = 0.
DO 5210 L = 1, HFACT
    IORMS(L) = 0.0
5 2 1 0 ~ C O H T I M U E ~
DO 520 L = 1,LAST
    IX = UIPX(X(L))-XBAR
    IY = UIPYY(X(L))-YBAR
    IZ = UIPZ(X(L))-ZBAR
                SUHM = DIST(IX,IY,IZ)
    S128 = SUMH/128
    AREA = AREA +MU(L)
    RI = ABS(IX *ALPHA(L)+
                    IY*BETA(L)+
                    IZ*GAMMA (L))
    R128 = RI/128
    SI = RI*HU(L)
    HORMS(1) = HORMS(1)+SI
    IORMS(21) = IORMS(21)+EXP(S128)*MU(L)
    IORMS (22) = HORMS (22)+EXP(R128)*MU(L)
    IORMS(23) = IORMS (23)+EXP(S128**2*R128**2)*MU(L)
    HORMS (24) = HORMS(24)+EXP(S128**2)*HU(L)
    IORMS(25) = HORMS (25)+EXP(R128**2)*HU(L)
    IORMS (31) = HORMS (31)+EXP (-S128)*HU(L)
    IORMS(32) = HORMS(32)+EXP(-R128)*MU(L)
    IORMS(33) = IORMS(33)+EXP(-S128**2*R128**2)*MU(L)
    HORMS (34) = HORMS (34)+EXP(-S128**2)*MU(L)
    HORMS(35) = IORMS(35)+EXP(-R128**2)*HU(L)
    SII = SI*RI
    IORMS (2) = HORMS (2)+SII
    SIII = SII*RI
    MORMS(3) = IORMS (3)+SIII
    SIIII = SIII*RI
    IORMS(4) = IORMS (4)+SIIII
    IF (SURA.ME.0.) THEI
HORMS (26) = TORMS (26)+LOG (SUMM)*HU(L)
IORMS (28) = IORMS(28)+LOG(2*(RI+SUMH))*HU(L)
IORMS (29) = HORMS (29)+LOG(2*SUMA)*HU(L)
        IF (RI.GT.1.) THEI
IORMS(27) = IORMS(27)+LOG(RI)*MU(L)
IORMS (30) = HORMS (30)+LOG(2*RI)*MU(L)
        EID IF
        SUNAS = SUMH**2
        TI = SI*SUMR
        MORMS (5) = HORMS (5)+TI
        TII = TI*SUMR
        HORMS (6) = HORMS(6)+TII
        HORMS(7) = IORMS(7)+TII *SUNM
        IORMS (8) = IORMS (8)+SUMH*SII
        IORMS (9) = HORMS(9)+SUMH*SIII
        IORMS (10) = HORMS (10)+SII*SUNMS
        SU = SUMH*MU(L)
        IORMS(11) = IORMS(11)+SU
        SU = SU*SUMF
        IORMS (12) = MORMS (12) +SU
        SU = SU*SUMM
        IORMS (13) = HORMS (13)+SU
        HORMS (14) = IORMS (14)+SU*SUNA
IORMS(15) = HORMS(15)+SII/SUMM
            MORMS (16) = MORMS (16)+SIII/SUMMS
HORMS(17) = HORMS (17) +SIII/SUMM
HORMS(18) = HORMS(18)+SIIII/SUMM
MORMS (19) = IORMS (19)+SIIII/SUMMS
TORMS(20) = IORMS (20)+SIIII/SUMHS/SUMH
    EID IF
    5 2 0 ~ C O H T I I U E ~
HORMS (31) = -LOG(HORMS (31)/AREA)*128.
HORMS (32) = -LOG(HORMS(32)/AREA)*128.
HORMS (33) = (-LOG(IORMS (33)/AREA))**(1/4.)*128.
HORMS(34) = (-LOG(HORMS(34)/AREA))**.5*128.
HORMS(35) = (-LOG(HORMS(35)/AREA))**.5*128
DO 5220 L = 1, MFACT-15
    HORMS (L) = FUDGE(L)*(HORMS(L)/AREA)**(1./PPQ(L))*VMT
    5 2 2 0 ~ C O I T I I N U E
            MORMS (21) = LOG(MORMS (21)/AREA)*128
HORMS(22) = LOG(HORMS(22)/AREA)*128
```

```
IORMS (23) = (LOG(IORMS (23)/AREA))**(1/4.)*128
HORMS (24) = (LOG(IORMS (24)/AREA))**.5*128
IORMS (25) = (LOG(IORMS (25)/AREA))**.5*128
    IORMS(26) = IORMS(26)/AREA
HORMS (27) = HORMS (27)/AREA
HORMS (28) = 耳ORMS (28)/AREA
HORMS (29) = _ORMS (29)/AREA
IORMS (30) = IORMS (30)/AREA
DO 5222 L = FFACT-9, #FACT-5
    HORMS(L) = EXP(HORMS(L))
5222 END DO
IORMS (28) = IORMS (28)/4
IORMS (29) = IORMS (29)/2
IORMS (30) = IORMS (30)/2
DO 5221 L = ■FACT-14,#FACT
    IORMS (L) = FUDGE(L)*IORMS(L)*VHT
5221 EHD DO
SU = FUDGE (0)*SQRT (AREA)*VHT
TORMS (0) = SU
PRIIT*, IORMS
    MRITE(20,*),(IFIX(MORMS (L)), L=0, 耳FACT)
PRIIT*,' COUIT',LAST,' SUM MU_M',AREA
RETURI
EHD
SUBROUTIIE COPY(A,B,且)
IHTEGER A(I),B(I),I,I
DO 10 I = 1,I
    10 B(I) = A(I)
RETURI
EHD
    SUBROUTIIE ZEROIT(A,M)
IMPLICIT HONE
    IHTEGER [,\(1),I
    DO 10I = 1,I
        A(I) =0
    COITIITUE
    RETURI
    EID
SUBROUTITE MAREIT(FLAG)
IMPLICIT HOIE
LOGICAL FLAG
IMTEGER IS,I,J,I
CHARACTER*40 FILEIAME
            IHTEGER MBITS, MROW, HROWB, ICOL, IPLA , EDGES, IMAGE, MOREE
            PARAMETER (IBITS=32,NROW=128, MROWB=NROW/HBITS)
            PARAMETER (ICOL=128,IPLA=128)
COMMON/ARRAY/ EDGES(0:IROUB-1, ICOL, IPLA),
    + IMAGE(0: HROWB-1, MCOL, IPLA),MOREE(0: IROUB-1, MCOL, HPLA)
C IHTEGER IIS(IRONB)
IF (FLAG) THEI
C DATA IIS/'7FFFFFFF'X,'FFFFFFFFE'X/
DO 10I = 1, IROWB
    DO 10 J = 2,ICOL-1
DO 10 K = 2, HPLA-1
    IF (IABS(J-ICOL/2).LE.1.AMD.K.LE.TPLA/2) GOTO }1
    IF (IABS (K-IPLA/3).LE.1) GOTO 10
                            IF ((J-ICOL/2)**2+(K-IPLA/2)**2.GE.HCOL**2/4)GOTO 10
    10 COITIIUE
ELSE
    PRIHT*,' FILERAME COMTAIHING DATA'
    READ1,FILEMAME
    URITE (20,11) FILERAME
    URITE (6,11) FILEMAME
        1 FORMAT(A)
        11 FORMAT (5X,A)
    OPER(11,FILE=FILERAME ,STATUS='OLD',FORM= 'UNFORMATTED')
CaLL READDATA(11, IMAGE)
END IF
PRIHT*,' DATA SET UP'
RETURI
EHD
SUBROUTIIE LSFIT(XX,YY,ZZ,I,LAST)
IMPLICIT HONE
IITEGER XX(*),YY(*),ZZ(*),I,LAST
```

```
IMTEGER MAXSIZE
PARAMETER (MAXSIZE = 80000)
IMTEGER X(MAXSIZE)
REAL ALPHA, BETA,GAMMA, MU
COMMOI/TAFGMT/ALPHA(MAXSIZE), BETA (HAXSIZE), GAMMA (MAXSIZE),
    + MU(MAXSIZE)
IHTEGER A,B,C,D,E,F,I,CA,CB,CC,IFF
REAL C1,C2,C3,AALPHA,BBETA,GGAMMA, RMMU
SAVE IFF
A=0
B}=
C=0
D=0
E = 0
F=0
DO 10 I = 1,耳
    A=A+XX(I)**2
    B=B+YY(I)**2
    C=C+ZZ(I)**2
    D = D+XX(I)*YY(I)
    E = E+XX(I)*ZZ(I)
    F=F+YY(I)*ZZ(I)
    10 COITIIUE
CA = B*C-F**2
CB=A*C-E**2
CC = A*B-D**2
            IF (CA.GT.CB) THEI
    IF (CA.GT.CC) GO TO 2O
ELSE
    IF (CB.GT.CC) GO TO 40
EMD IF
C Here CC is the largest...case 4.C of the paper---check for 0 first
IF (CC.EQ.0) GO TO 100
C3 = 1./FLOAT(CC)
C1 = C3* (E*B-F*D)
C2 = C3* (A*F-D*E)
RMHUU = SQRT(1.+C1**2+C2**2)
GGAMMA = -1./RMMN
AALPHA = - C % *GGAMMA
BBETA = -C2*GGAMMA
GO TO 50
C Here CA is biggest...case 4.a of the paper (it can't be 0)
    20 COTTITUE
        C1 = 1./FLOAT (CA)
C2 = C1*(D*C-F*E)
C3 = C1*(B*E-D*F)
RMMU = SQRT(1.+C2**2+C3**2)
AALPHA = -1./RMMU
BBETA = -C2*AALPHA
GGAMMA = -C3*AALPHA
GO TO 50
C Here CB is biggest...case 4.b (it can't be 0)
    40 COITIIUE
C2 = 1./FLOAT(CB)
C1 = C2*(D*C-E*F)
C3 = C2*(A*F-E*D)
RMMU =SQRT(1.+C1**2+C3**2)
BBETA = -1./RMIMU
AALPHA = -C1*BBETA
GGAMMA = -C3*BBETA
    5O COITIIUE
IF (RMMU.GT.2.) THER
    IFF = IFF+1
    PRIHT*,' FUNHY ONE', RMIN,IFF
    RMMU = 2.
END IF
ALPHA(LAST) = AALPHA
BETA(LAST) = BBETA
GAMMA (LAST) = GGAMMA
MU(LAST) = RMMU
GO TO 200
C This is the impossible case of course!
    100 MU(LAST) = 0.
PRIIT*,' ZERO',H,LAST,CA,CB,CC
    200 RETURI
E\D
```


## SUBROUTIIE READDATA（UIITTHO，IMAGE）

Reads the data in its ner compressed form
IMPLICIT MOME．
IHTEGER HROWB，ICOL，耳BITS
PARAMETER（IROUB $=4$ ）
PARAMETER（ICOL $=128$ ）
PARAMETER（IBITS＝32）
IITEGER UIITTIO
IHTEGER IMAGE（IRONB，ICOL，ICOL）
IITEGER I，J， $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{L}$
I 1 TEGER COUIT1
IITEGER MAP（IROWB＊ICOL＊ICOL／HBITS）
IHTEGER HOIZERO（HROWB＊HCOL＊
I ITEGER HOIZEROCOUIT
CHARACTER＊20 FILEIAME
READ（UIITTHO）COUYT1
WRITE（＊，\％）＇READIHG ZERO MAP．．．＇
READ（UNITHO）（MAP（I），I＝1，HCOL＊HCOL＊NROWB／HBITS）
URITE（＊，＊）＇READIIG YOMZERO EMTRIES．．．＇
READ（ULITMO）（HORZERO（I），$I=1$ ，COUNT1）
WRITE（＊，＊）＇REBULDIIG THE DATA．．．＇
HOZZEROCOURT $=0$

IF（BTEST（MAP（ $(\mathrm{L}-1) /$ MBITS +1$)$ ，MOD（ $(\mathrm{L}-1)$ ，MBITS $))$ ）THE耳
$\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{L}-1) /(\mathrm{HCOL} * \mathrm{HCOL})$
$\mathrm{J}=(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I} * \mathrm{HCOL} * \mathbb{1} \mathrm{COL}-1) / \mathbb{1} \mathrm{COL}$
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I} * \mathbb{I} \mathrm{COL} * \mathrm{I} \mathrm{COL}-\mathrm{J} *$ HCOL
$I=I+1$
$\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}+1$
HOEZEROCOURT $=$ HOIZEROCOUYT +1
IMAGE $(I, J, K)=$ HOYZERO（HOMZEROCOUNT）
EIDIF
CORTIIUE
URITE（＊，＊）＇DECOMPRESSIO耳 COMPLETE．＇
RETURI
EID
SUBROUTIIE WRITEDATA（UNITTO，IMAGE）
Writes the data in its ner compressed form
IMPLICIT HOIE
IITEGER IROWB，HCOL，HBITS
PARAMETER（ $\operatorname{IROWB}=4$ ）
PARAMETER（ ${ }^{\text {PCOL }}=128$ ）
PARAMETER（IBITS $=32$ ）
IITEGER UMITHO
IITEGER IMAGE（ $0:$ HROWB－1，HCOL ，HCOL）
IITEGER I，J，K
IITEGER COUMT1
IITEGER MAP（NROWB＊HCOL＊NCOL／HBITS）
IHTEGER TOHZERO（NROWB＊HCOL＊HCOL）
CHARACTER＊20 FILEIAME
COUHT1 $=0$
WRITE（＊，＊）＇COMPUTING ZERO MAP．．．，
DO $50 \mathrm{I}=0$ ，IROWB－1
DO $50 \mathrm{~J}=1$ ， HCOL
DO $50 \mathrm{~K}=1$ ， HCOL
IF（IMAGE（ $I, J, K$ ）．IE．0）THEN
A non－zero entry
Count it
COUNT1 $=$ COUNT1 +1

## Set map entry

 MAP（I＊＊$C O L *$ HCOL／HBITS $+(\mathrm{J}-1) *$ HCOL／HBITS $+\operatorname{IKT}((\mathbb{K}-1) / 32)+1)$$=$ IBSET（HAP（I＊HCOL＊HCOL／HBITS＋（J－1）＊HCOL／HBITS $+\operatorname{IHT}((\mathrm{K}-1) /$ MBITS $)+1)$ ， $\mathrm{MOD}($ HCOL＊$(\mathrm{J}-1)+\mathrm{K}-1$, IBITS $))$ IOHZERO（COURT1）$=\operatorname{IMAGE}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K})$
EIDIF
50 COITIIUE
URITE（＊，＊）＇WRITI耳G ZERO MAP．．．＇
WRITE（UIITIM）COUIT1
URITE（UKITIO）（HAP（I），I＝1，耳COL＊HCOL＊HROWB／HBITS）
60 COITIMUE
URITE（＊，＊）＇WRITIIG FOMZERO DATA．．．＇
URITE（URITHO）（HOHZERO（I），I＝1，COURT1）
WRITE（＊，＊）＇COMPRESSIOI COMPLETE．＇
RETURI
E耳D

## PROGRAM MARES

```
IHTEGER 且DR ! Iumber of header records if not IIS files
IITEGER IS ! Iumber of samples
```



```
IHTEGER 隹! 隹ber of bands
INTEGER I ! DO loop index
CHARACTER*40 IMAGHAME(48) ! Allow 48 bands if type 1
CHARACTER*40 OUTIAME ! Output VMS file name for cluster map
CHARACTER*16 IISHAME ! IIS name of cluster map
CHARACTER*40 OUT3BND ! Output VMS file name for 3 band output
CHARACTER*16 IIS3BID ! IIS name of 3 band image
IHTEGER SUITCH ! Used to tell mhether to reduce
IITEGER IV,IR ! Band index of VIS & IR data
LOGICAL MASK ! if T, data in band 1 =0 means mask of image
IITEGER CLASMETH ! classification method
IITEGER USRCDC ! Error type I veight
IHTEGER WDRCSC ! Error type II veight
IITEGER IITER ! Iumber of iterations
IHTEGER MAXCLUS ! Maximum number of clusters
IHTEGER IALIKE ! Hov many must match if AHOEBA classification
IHTEGER PCTHFLD ! Percent estimated to be in fields
BYTE BLIHE(512), BUFFER(128)
IITEGER*2 LLIHE(512)
PRIIT*,' OUTPUT FILE HAME?'
    READ1,OUTIIAME
    1 FORMAT(A)
DO I = 1,16
    4 PRIHT*,' IMPUT FILE HAME',I
    READ1, IMAGIAME(I)
    OPER(I+10,FILE=IMAGHAME(I),ERR=2,STATUS='OLD')
                    CLOSE(I+10)
    GO TO 3
        PRIIT*,' SOMETHIIG UROIG!'
        GO TO 4
    3 EID DO
|S = 512
LL = 512
DO 10 K = 1,512
10 LLIHE(K) = 0
LLIIE(1) = IS ! Iumber samples request
LLIPE(2) = \L ! Iumber lines request
LLIIE(3) = 1 : Mumber of bands request
LLIME(4) = 1 ! SS
LLIHE(5) = 1 ! SL
LLIIE (6) = IS ! IS
LLI|E(7) = 耳L ! ML
LLIHE(33) = 1S ! Total HS
LLIME(34) = ML ! ML
LLIME(35) = 1 |B
LLIHE(8) = 1 ! SINC
LLIME(9) = 1 ! LIMC
LLIME(16) = 1 ! Band list length
LLIME(17) = 1 ! Band list
LLIHE(80) = 512! Block size
LLIHE(74) = 1 ! Data type
LLIHE(77) = 1 ! Open flag (=0PEN)
LLINE(78) = 1 ! I/O Flag (=IMPUT)
LLINE(84) = ML*((ISS-1)/512+1) ! Total number of records
LLINE(83) = (HS-1)/512+1 ! Iumber of records per line
LLIIE(81) = 511/NS+1 ! Humber of header records
LLIME(85) = IS
IF (NS.GT.512) LLIME(85) = 512 ! Samples per record
LLIHE(86) = IS
IF (HS.GT.512) LLINE(86) = MOD(ISS,512)
IF (LLIHE(86).EQ.0) LLIHE(86) = 512
BLIME(175) = '0'
BLINE(176) = 'R'
HSIZE = MIHO(IS,512)
OPEI(UIIT=99,FILE=OUTNAME,STATUS='HEU',BLOCKSIZE=512,
    + RECL=1SIZE,RECORDTYPE='FIXED',FORM='FORMATTED')
DO I = 1,16
BLIME(112+I) = ICHAR(OUTHAME(I:I))
END DO
IH = 0
DO I = 1,512, ISIZE
JJ = MIHO(512,I+MSIZE-1)
```

```
HH= = H+1
URITE(99,199)(BLI#E(J),J=I, JJ)
    199 FORMAT(512A1)
ELD DO
IF (IH.GT.1) THEI
TYPE*,IH,' header records घritten.'
ELSE
TYPE*,' One header record aritten.'
EED IF
C
C------- Hov take care of all the others
C
DO I = 10+1,10+16
    OPEI(I,STATUS='OLD',FORM= 'UHFORMATTED',
EID DO
DO I = 0,3
    DO J = 1,127
        DO L = 0,3
        READ (11+4*I+L,EHD=6) BUFFER
        DO JJ = L*128+1,L*128+128
BLIIE(JJ) = BUFFER(JJ-128*L)
        E#D DO
            EID DO
                WRITE(99, 199)(BLIEE(JJ) , JJ=1,512)
    ETD DO
    CD M = J,128
    URITE(99,199)(BLIIE(JJ), JJ=1,512)
ETD DO
EID DO
STOP '--- Mormal Termination ---,
ETD
```

