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## ABSTRACT

## Improvements of User Control in <br> QUASI

A Computer Program (April 1984)<br>Kennie E. Garlington, Jr.<br>Faculty Advisor: Dr. Bart Childs


#### Abstract

In early April of 1984, a project to add computer graphics to the QUASI computer simulation system was completed and implemented at Texas $A$ \& $M$ University. QUASI is a system that can be used in several engineering fields to compute various aspects of a mathematical model of a real-world process, then compare the model with data taken from observation of the process. This program provides quick access to a comprehensive analysis of a design, and can be used to great advantage early in the design process. It was decided to add graphics to the system to provide a better format for the representation of large groups of data. The system was implemented using the Data General Dasher G300 terminal as a display unit. The advantages of the system are its ability to alert the user to errors in the model, provide fast, flexible methods for comparing models, and in general make the system more "userfriendly." The major conclusion of this project is that the enhancements greatly increase the user's productivity and interest in the system, and it is recommended that QUASI, with the graphics


enhancements, be considered by industry to be a standard tool for design and testing work.
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## Introduction

The QUASI computer modelling system was developed by Dr. Bart Childs and others at the University of Houston in the late 1960's. The system has excellent computing power and flexibility, and can be used to solve problems in several areas of product design. The system has undergone several revisions, and currently a organized review and update of the entire system is in effect. One of the initial by-products of this review was a goal to make graphics available to improve user access to the system and control of its operation.
As a result, I was asked to implement a graphics subsystem as a part of the QUASI program. This was done as a one-year project under the auspices of the University Undergraduate Fellows Program.
This project was divided into two parts:

1. Determine the feasibility of using various devices as part of the system.
2. Implement the best of these alternatives.
Final testing for the new graphics modules was completed on April 10, 1984, and the documentation was finished at approximately the same time. This document describes the QUASI system, the design criteria used for the enhancements, the process of building the
This document follows the style of Communications of the ACM
system, and methods of use of the graphics subsystem.

## The Graphics Subsystem

The QUASI System: How it works


#### Abstract

QUASI is a computer simulation system that performs a mathematical analysis of collected field data to estimate a requested parameter value. To put it simply, the user constructs a mathematical "modiel" (set of equations) that describes some process in which he is interested. He will supply these equations to QUASI, along with a set of data collected during field tests on the process. At this point, QUASI does two things.

First, QUASI will take the set of equations from the user, and an initial estimate of the final value, and perform a numerical integration using the Runge-Kutta or stepwise techniques. The result will, hopefully, be a better approximation of the model solution. Taking the results of the first integration and feeding them back into the integration routine will continue to increase the accuracy of the solution to some user-specified bound.

Next, the system compares the solution with the field data to determine if the model was a succesful predictor of some aspects of the process's performance. If there was not a reasonably good fit, QUASI will alert the user to a possible error in his model. The user then can refine his model to increase its power.

QUASI provides the engineer with an invaluable tool for quick estimation of critical design parameters as well. This can be used to its full potential early in the design stage to single out the most promising approach to a design problem from among several


```
alternatives.
```

Alternatives to Printed Output

One disadvantage of the original QUASI package was the method in which it presented its results. First, the production of large amounts of computer printouts, involving complex, dense iists of numbers and statistical summaries that often proved to be difficult to use by the inexperienced user, made engineers avoid the use of QUASI unless they could not solve their problem any other way. Also, the printed output approach made quick comparisons of differing models difficult. The users found it impossible to compare two lists of numbers and come up with a general description of the models' differences.

To overcome this problem, the decision was made to add graphics to the system, facilitating easier comparisons of models and allowing quick subjective decisions concerning the accurazy and overall "look" of the process's behavior. Three types of plotting devices were considered in implementing this new system (see also Table 1 for a summary of each unit's attributes):

1. The use of the traditional paper line printer to produce a rough graph of the results.
2. The use of a Versatec hardcopy plotter to produce paper line drawings.
3. The Data General G300 graphics terminal to produce single-screen graphs.

The Line Printer

The line printer was already being used to some extent in the old system to produce simple graphs. Although this device would be the most available of any of the devices considered, it was decided that the printer was just too limited to produce the complex graph types necessary under the proposed subsystem. problems with this device include:

1. Its inability to be used as part of an interactive system. All plots using the line printer would be produced at the end of the job, and the user could not stop the system before its conclusion since he could not see the graphics data.
2. The resolution of the printer. At only 55 X 100 elements per page, little in the way of detailed information could be presented.
3. The low speed available. Especially with multiple users on the same printer, fifteen minute wait times for output are not uncommon.

The Versatec Plotter

The Versatec line plotter nas several advantages over the other two systems. It can produce graphs of much higher resolution than the line printer, and could provide a permanent record of the data, unlike the graphics terminal. Unfortunately, the versatec is not a
high-speed plotter. This, along with the remote location of the device and the fact that there is only one such plotter attached to the Texas A \& M computer, ruled out use of this device as a primary medium. However, there is a chance that a versatec connection will be added in the near future to give the user a choice of systems.

The Data General G300 Terminal

The Data General Dasher $G 300$ graphics terminal was the device of choice for the implementation of the plotting subsystem, for five reasons:

1. There were three of these units attached to the main computer, easily accessable by the user. This meant that testing of the system could be readily carried out, and that the use of the graphing routine would not be hampered by unavailable equipment.
2. The resolution of the terminal was good, with a screen of 240 X 640 pixels.
3. The unit was easily programmed, since a graphics command interpreter was downloaded into the device, making Englishlike drawing commands possible.
4. The G300 has a response time well within any user's requirements. In fact, when the system is lightly loaded, an entire graph can be drawn in less than a second.
5. Since the system can request guidance from the user at the same

# terminal where the plots are being drawn, interaction with the user is highly supported. 

Therefore, the graphics subsystem was implemented with the Dasher unit as the medium for the graphs.

Table 1. Comparison of Characteristics of Primary Plotting Media The following table provides a summary of the major points considered in selecting the Data General Dasher G300 terminal over use of the line printer or Versatec line plotter.

|  | Interactive? | High <br> Resolution? | High <br> Speed? | Many <br> Available? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Printer | No | No | No | Yes |
| Versatec | No | Yes | No | No |
| Terminal | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Programming Methodology

The QUASI system is designed as a set of related program segments, written in the Data General FORTRAN 77 lanuuguage. For this project, it was necessary to make modifications to four routines as well as write a new routine, $G 300$, which does the actual plotting. See Appendix A for a listing of the code segments.

Modifications to module QUPSI
QUASI is the main-line routine which coordinates the execution of all other routines. The largest of all the system modules, this program actually required the least amount of modification. Primarily, a new variable array (IPLOT2) was added to contain the user's choices of state variables to be plotted, and two temporary files were created from this routine which were used to hold previous values of the plotting routines for the iterative plots (UNIT20 and UNIT21). The reason why the changes were so small is due to the original design of the system, which had the necessary code segments to support the addition of a graphics unit. However, in the conversion from the IBM architecture on which it was originally developed to the Data General MV/8000, the plot segments were not converted. Thus, the major part of the time spent on this routine was on the conversion process. Of course, the parameter lists for the other routines modified were changed as well.
Modifications to module ININT
The ININT routine reads in the integer parameters. Two of those parameters (see Figure 1) are used to select plotting. The IPLOT2 variable described above needed to be set in this routine, and so code was added to read in those values.

```
Modifications to module BUILD
The BUILD routine was set up for use with a line printer routine.
The modifications to be made here were the inclusion of a title to
be sent to the graphics routine, and the necessary file
synchronization to store each plot matrix for later use. Also,
since this routine is the one which produces the iterative plots,
the maximum iterative value (XMAX) was passed to BUILD to define the
size of the graph. Also, a segment of code to number the points by
iteration cycle was added.
Modifications to module PLOT
    The modifications to PLOT (which produces the final plot) were
almost idertical. The titles were, of course, different. Also, the
XMAX variable was not needed, so it was not included in this
routine.
Module G300 Description
G300 is a new module that does the conversion of a matrix of }
versus Y values to positions on a Data General Dasher G300 terminal,
and outputs them to the terminal (see Appendix A) with supporting
titles, labels, etc. Briefly, the module algorithm is as follows:
```

- Compute maximum and minimum $X$ and $Y$ values in the input
matrix.
- Compute units/pixel for conversion to pixel number on screen.
Print a message to the user to allow him time to see printed output before the plot begins. An undocumented option at this point is to respond with the number 'l' to the message "Enter (New Line) when ready to see plot..." This will create a dump of important program parameters, useful when debugging the module after modifications.
- Set the terminal to graph mode, set up the eight line styles (so that different state variable lines can be distinguished), and print the top headings. Part of the set-up prozedure is to place the terminal in "mnemonic mode," which allows English-like commands to be sent to the terminal [2].
- Draw lines for each state variable in sequence.
- Print X label and legend for lines.
- Put terminal back into text mode and print a similar message to the opening print above to give the user time to see the graph.

Plotting Subsystem Characteristics

The user, before executing QUASI, must first provide two lines of input data describing what action the system should take regarding graphics output (see Figure 1). The integer paraneter "l9" selects plotting to occur after every iteration. The user can select a plotting device (currently the old line printer package or the
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Dasher unit) and which elements of the system (1-5) he wants to see. The line labelled "2l" provides similar information about plots to be generated at th end of the computations.

An example of how the graph might look on the Data General terminal is shown in Figure 2. Each variable plotted is identified by a unique line type (dotted line, dashed line, etc.) which is listed on the "Legend" line. For iterative plots, the subsystem will continually re-draw previous values to give the user a point of reference for determining model errors. All X-axis and Y-axis scaling is automatic, and all values are plotted (no variable can exceed the limits of the graph scale). The user is given the option at the end of each plot of pressing "Enter" to continue processing, or he can interrupt the program from the console if an error is indicated.


| 19 | 2 | ITER PLOT FROM TERM | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 2 | FINL PLOT FROM | TERM | 1 | 2 | 3 |

```
These lines would be entered to select plots from QUASI. In this example, the Data General graphics terminal (device "2") was chosen to plot variables 1,2 , and 3.
```

Figure 1. Input Example For Plotting Subsystem


## Conclusion

QUASI is a computer package designed to aid engineers in developing and testing mathematical models of processes of interest. It provides quick, reliable, and comprenensive answers to the major items of interest concerning the model. However, it suffered in the past from a tenaency to print too much information in tabular form, decreasing the ability of the user to make value judgements concerning the results. Graphics were added to the system to overcome this disadvatage.

The graphics subsystem, implemented for use with a Data General Dasher $G 300$ graphics terminal, provides timely, accurate summaries of the important information resident within QUASI. It allows better interaction with the engineer, giving him a better look at the data and allowing him to spot more errors in his model earlier in the process.

With the addition of the graphics package, the user has a great deal of flexibility in choosing how much information he wants to see plotted. He can select plotting to occur at the end of each iteration step, at the end of all iterations (the final solution), both times, or neither time (no plotting). Up to eight different system parameters can be selected for display. The user can, upon detecting a solution that is not reasonable, stop the system at any point and start over. He can also obtain a table of the data to be plotted immediately before the plot is performed in case more accurate values are required.
Overall, the system in practice has demonstrated the following characteristics:

1. Total response time of slightly less than one second under optimal conditions. Included in this time is the interval needed to format the data, clear the screen, and produce the new graph.
2. A greater emphasis on involving the user in the computational process, by giving him more information faster. Thus, the user feels more confidence in the final results.
3. As mentioned before, more fiexibility in operation than under the old package.
This enhancement of QUASI, providing powerful, easy-to-use graphics to the program user, has resulted in a system that is more "user-friendly," more accurate, less costly when errors do occur, and better able to express qualitative points about a model. The costs involved are practically non-existant, and the additional system load is so negligible, that no reasonable complaints can be made about the new system as compared to the old one. Therefore, the use of this system, along with the overall QUASI package, is highly recommended to engineers involved with design or testing of new processes and products.
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APPENDIX A

CODE LISTINGS



| C |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | IF ( IENDFL .NE. 1 ) THEN |
| C |  |
| C | INPUT THE REAL PARAMETERS. |
|  | CALL INREAL ( IOUT, NAUX,RBIG,AUX ) |
| C |  |
| C | INPUT THE BOUNDARY CONDITION INFORMATION. |
|  | CALL INBOUN( IOUT, NEQ, TBC, BV, QBC, XACTBC ) |
| C |  |
| C | INPUT INITIAL VALUE INFORMATION. |
|  | CALL INIV ( IOUT, ZERO, PIV, IIV,PTRB, XACTIV,UPPER,LOWER, SPTRB ) |
| C |  |
| C | IF THERE ARE NO BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, THERE ARE NOT ANY |
| C | TO SORT OR TEST, SO ADVANCE TO STATEMENT 30. |
|  | IF ( NBC .GT. 0 ) THEN |
| C |  |
| C | SORT THE BOUNDARY CONDITION INFORMATION IN ORDER OF INCREASING |
| C | TBC VALUES. |
|  | CALL SORTBC ( NBC, TBC, BV, QBC, XACTBC, TSTOP, TSTART ) |
| C |  |
| C | IF THE PROBLEM BEING SOLVED IS NONLINEAR AND NUMERICAL |
| C | DIFFERENTIATION IS NOT DESIRED, CHECK TO BE SURE THE USER |
| C | HAS REMEMBERED TO PROGRAM THE LJNEARIZED VERSION OF THE NON- |
| C | LINEAR PROBLEM. IF THE USER HAS NOT DONE THIS, NUMERICAL |
| C | DIFFERENTIATION IS FORCED. |
|  | IF ( LIN . EQ . 0 . AND. NDDE .NE 0 ) |
|  | CALL CKPROG ( NEQ, TBC, IAST, NDDE ) |
|  | INITIALIZE IV AND OTHER VARIABLES |
| C |  |
| C |  |
| C |  |
| C | THE FOLLOWING VARIABLES ARE INITIALIZED IN THIS SECTION OF CODE: |
| C |  |
| C | NVECT: $=$ NEQ + NCN, WHERE NEQ IS THE NUMBER OF EQUATIONS |
| C | IN THE SYSTEM WITH NONTRIVIAL RIGHT HAND SIDES AND |
| C | NCN IS THE NUMBER OF EQUATIONS WITH TRIVIAL RIGHT |
| C | HAND SIDES. BOTH WERE INTEGER INPUTS. |
| C | IV: INITIAL VALUES VECTOR; INITIALIZED TO I IV. |
| C | XACTIV: INITIALIZED IN SUBROUTINE INIV--DOUBLE |
| C | CHECKED HERE |
| C | TNORM: INITIALIZED TO 1 HERE; TNORM IS THE NORM FOR THE MOST |
| C | RECENT CHANGES IN IV. |
| C | SNORM: INITIALIZED TO PNORM, A REAL INPUT GIVING THE MAXIMLM |
| C | LENGTH OF CHANGE IN IV ALLONED; IF ZERO, UNRESTRICTED |
| C | CHANGE IS ALLONED; SNORM IS USED IN SUBROUTINE MODIFY. |
| C | ITER: ITERATION STEP COUNTER; INITIALIZED TO ZERO |
| C | IDONE: INITIALIZED TO ZERO; A FLAG WHICH, IF SET TO 1, |
| C | INDICATES THAT THE LAST ITERATION IS BEING PERFORMED. |
| C |  |
| C | IF TIME-SHARING IS BEING USED, IOUT, ISWITC AND IAP2 ARE |
| C | ASSIGNED NEW VALUES AND ISWIT2 IS SET TO ZERO. |
| C |  |
|  | END IF |
| C | IF LITER $=0$ OR 1, THIS IMPLIES THAT THE PROBLEM IS A SIMPLE |
| C | INITIAL VALUES ONE; FOR PROGRAMMING EFFICIENCY, DON'T ALLOW |
| C | NEEDLESS NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION. |
|  | IF ( LITER .LE. 1 ) $\mathrm{NDDE}=1$ |
|  | NVECT $=$ NEQ + NCN |
| C |  |
|  | DO $40 \mathrm{I}=1$, NVECT |
|  | $\operatorname{IV}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{IIV}(\mathrm{I})$ |
| C | CHECK FOR INPUT ERRORS FOR XACTIV. |
|  | IF ( NBC .LE. 0 . AND. XACTIV(I) .GE. 0 ) XACTIV( I ) $=-1$ |
|  | THIS IS TO NEGATE THE 4 POSSIBLY SUBTRACTED IN THE MAIN LOOP. |
|  | IF ( XACTIV(I) .LT. -1 ) XACTIV(I) $=$ XACTIV(I) +4 |

TNORM $=1$.
SNORM $=$ PNORM
ITER $=0$
IDONE $=0$

```
    IF TIME-SHARING IS USED SET, RE-SET, OR CHECK THE FOLLONING
```

    VAR IABLES.
    IF ( IOUT .GE. 10 ) THEN
        IOUT \(=\) IOUT -10
        ISWITC \(=1\)
        \(\mathrm{IAP2}=10\)
    ISWIT2 IS A FLAG WHICH LIMITS OUTPUT ON THE TERMINAL IN
    C SUBROUTINE TIMESH.
ISWIT2 $=0$
END IF
C--1
C
C
C THE NLMBER OF INEXACT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, AND MMEXCT, THE
NLMBER OF EXACT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS PLUS 1, AND INITIALIZE
YCALC AND PB, ARRAYS USED IN SUBROUTINE STANAL.
CALL ECHO( I SWITC, MMEXCT,NMLSTQ,NVECT,NAUX )
C
C
C
C
C
**** THIS IS THE START OF THE 'MIDDLE' LOOP ****
DO 315 ITER $=1$, LITER
DO
IF ISWITC $=1$, INDICATING TIMESHARING IS DESIRED, CALL
SUBROUTINE TIMESH.
IF ( I SWITC .EQ. 1 )
X CALL TIMESH(IENDFL, I SWIT2,NVECT, IV, PTRB,DELT, TSTOP, LITER, IOUT)
IENDFL $=1$ MEANS THE USER HAS INDICATED IN SUBROUTINE TIMESH
THAT EXECUTION SHOULD BE ENDED.
IF ( IENDFL .NE. 1) THEN
BUMP THE ITERATION COUNTER
NROWS, NRW, SUMBV, AND NEQOUT ARE INITIALIZED:
NROWS: NLMBER OF RONS IN THE S MATRIX
NRW: VARIABLE USED IN OTHER SUBROUTINES--COUNTS THE
NUMBER OF RONS OF INEXACT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS THAT
HAVE BEEN PLACED IN MATRIX S.
SUMBV: SUM OF THE BOUNDARY VALUE DISSATISFACTIONS
(SUM OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CALCULATED
BOUNDARY VALUES AND THE REAL ONES )
NEQOUT: VARIABLE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH MOUT TO DETERMINE
WHICH STATE VECTOR ELEMENTS ARE TO BE PRINTED OUT
AT CERTAIN TIMES
NROWS $=\mathrm{NBC}+1$
$\mathrm{NRW}=0$
SUMBV $=0$.
NEQOUT $=$ NEQ
IF ( MDUT .GT. O ) NEQOUT = MDUT
PDOIT IS A SUBROUTINE DESIGNED FOR THE PROFICIENT USER OF
THIS PROGRAM (SEE USER'S MANUAL). IDOIT IS AN INTEGER INPUT
WHICH, WHEN NONZERO, INDICATES PDOIT IS TO BE CALLED.
IF ( IDOIT .NE. O ) CALL PDOIT ( SNORM )
IF PDOIT WAS JUST CALLED OR THIS IS THE FIRST ITERATION,
CALL SUBROUTINE INIT WHICH DOES THE FOLLOWING:
IF THERE ARE ANY BOUNDARY CONDITIONS THAT SPECIFY INITIAL
VALUES, INIT TRANSFERS THE BOUNDARY CONDITION INFORMATION
TO IV AND XACTIV, CHANGES XACTBC TO INDICATE THE CHANGES,


```
C KOUNT, DIV, PTRB, IPTR, NRANKC, AND NCOL ARE INITIALIZED,
C AND TWO ERROR CHECKS ARE PERFORMED ON THE SYSTEM.
```

X C
C

70
FORMAT (1H1)
IF THIS IS THE FINAL ITERATION, A TITLE EXISTS, AND ISWITC $=0$, WRITE THE TITLE.
IF ( IDONE .EQ. 1 .AND. INDX .GT. 0 .AND. ISWITC .EQ. 0 )
CALL TITLE ( RTITLE, INDX, IAST, IAP2 )
WRITE OUT THE ITERATION NUMBER.
WRITE ( 12,110 ) ITER,LITER

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline C & INTKEY IS A FLAG WHICH INDICATES WHETHER A BOUNDARY CONDITION \\
\hline C & HAS BEEN REACHED OR NOT. \\
\hline C & IN1 IS USED IN SUBROUTINE RKFOUR. \\
\hline C & IF THIS IS THE LAST ITERATION, SET IN1 = 1; OTHERWI SE \\
\hline C & SET IN1 = NRANKC. \\
\hline C & K POINTS TO THE NEXT BOUNDARY CONDITION TO BE REACHED. \\
\hline C & TBC (K) POINTS TO THE VALUE OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE AT \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{C} & THE NEXT BOUNDARY CONDITION TO BE REACHED. \\
\hline & INTKEY \(=0\) \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{IN} 1=\) NRANKC \\
\hline & IF ( IDONE .EQ. 1 ) \(\mathrm{IN} 1=1\) \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{K}=1\) \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{TBCK}=\mathrm{TBC}(\mathrm{K})\) \\
\hline C & **** THIS IS THE START OF THE , INNER, LOOP **** \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C/ / / & BRANCHES TO THIS POINT CAN BE MADE FROM THE END OF EITHER \\
\hline C & THE 'NORMAL INTEGRATION ROUTE' OR THE 'BOUNDARY CONDITION \\
\hline C & ROUTE'. \\
\hline 120 & CONTINUE \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C & CHECK FOR THE END OF INTEGRATION FOR THIS LOOP. \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C & TEST FOR THE 'NORMAL INTEGRATION ROUTE' OR THE 'BOUNDARY \\
\hline C & CONDITION ROUTE' BY TESTING WHETHER INTKEY \(=0\) OR 1 , \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{C} & RESPECTIVELY. \\
\hline & IF ( INTKEY .NE. 1 ) THEN \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C & **** NORMAL INTEGRATION ROUTE **** \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C & IF TL \(>=\) TOUTIM AND EITHER KOUT \(=1\) OR WE'RE AT AN \\
\hline C & INITIAL CONDITION, THEN CALL SUBROUTINE INTOUT, FOR \\
\hline C & INTEGRATION INFORMATION OUTPUT, AND UPDATE TOUTIM. \\
\hline C & THE INITIAL CONDITION CONDITION IS INCLUDED IN CASE \\
\hline C & TSTART < TBC( 1), IN WHICH CASE THE PRINTING OF THIS \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\(\begin{aligned} \text { C } & \\ & \\ & \text { X }\end{aligned}\)} & INFORMATION IS DESIRED ON THE FIRST RUN THROUGH THIS CODE. \\
\hline & IF ( TL. GE. TOUTIM . AND. ( KOUT .NE. 0 . OR. \\
\hline & ABS (TL-TSTART) .LE. ZERO ) ) THEN \\
\hline & CALL INTOUT( NEQOUT, ZERO, TSTART, TL ) \\
\hline & TOUTIM \(=\) TL + TOUT \\
\hline & END IF \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline C & TL I S THE LAST VALUE OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE TO \\
\hline C & WHICH INTEGRATION HAS TAKEN PLACE. LET H BE EQUAL TO \\
\hline C & DELT, A REAL INPUT, UNLESS THE NEXT BOUNDARY CONDITION, \\
\hline C & AT TBCK, IS WITHIN THE NEXT INTERVAL OF LENGTH DELT, \\
\hline C & IN WHICH CASE SET H TO BE TBCK - TL. IN THIS LATTER \\
\hline C & CASE, ALSO SET INTKEY = 1 TO FLAG THE BOUNDARY CONDITION \\
\hline C & AND SET SVNEXT TO TL + DELT, SO THAT, AT A LATER \\
\hline C & INTEGRATION STEP, THE ORIGINAL INDEPENDENT VARIABLE \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{C} & GRID MAY BE RECOVERED. \\
\hline & ```
IF((TBCK .GT. TL+DELT) .OR. (NBC .EQ. O )) THEN
    H = DELT
    ELSE
``` \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{TBCK}-\mathrm{TL}\) \\
\hline & INTKEY \(=1\) \\
\hline & SVNEXT \(=\) TL + DELT \\
\hline & END IF \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

C
C-
ASSIGN IQ THE QBC VALUE FOR THE KTH BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR USE BY SUBROUTINE ROMOFS IN COMPUTING THE NEXT ROW OF S TO BE FILLED.
IF THE BOUNDARY CONDITION IS AN EXACT ONE, BLMP IPEXCT \& ASSIGN THIS BUMPED VALUE TO NR, THE POINTER TO THE NEXT RON OF S TO BE FILLED. IF THE BOUNDARY CONDITION IS INEXACT, BLMP IPLSTQ AND ASSIGN THIS VALUE TO NR, REMEMBERING TO BUMP NRW, A COUNTER OF THE NLMBER OF INEXACT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR USE IN OTHER SUBROUTINES. $\mathrm{IQ}=\mathrm{QBC}(\mathrm{K})$

```
```

    IF( XACTBC(K) .NE. O ) THEN
    ```
    IF( XACTBC(K) .NE. O ) THEN
        IPLSTQ = IPLSTQ + 1
        IPLSTQ = IPLSTQ + 1
        NRW = NRW + 1
        NRW = NRW + 1
        NR = IPLSTQ
        NR = IPLSTQ
        ELSE
        ELSE
        IPEXCT = IPEXCT + 1
        IPEXCT = IPEXCT + 1
        NR = IPEXCT
        NR = IPEXCT
    END IF
    END IF
    FILL IN THE NR'TH RON OF S WITH THE K'TH BOUNDARY
    CONDITION INFORMATION.
    CALL ROMOFS( NR,TBCK )
    BUMP K. CALCULATE SUMBV AND PRINT IT IF IT IS COMPLETED.
    K = K + 1
    IF( K-1 .LE. NBC )
        SUMBV = SUMBV + ABS( S(NR,1) - S(NR,NCOL) )
            IF( K .GT. NBC ) WRITE(12,200) SLMBV
    FORMAT(///,' SLM OF BV DISSATISFACTIONS IS',G15.7,///)
    IF ALL THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN CALCULATED AND THIS
    IS NOT THE FINAL ITERATION, DON'T INTEGRATE OUT TO
    TSTOP > TBC(NBC), BUT GO PREPARE FOR THE NEXT ITERATION.
    IF( K .LE. NBC .OR. IDONE .NE. O ) THEN
    IF K > NBC AND THIS IS THE FINAL ITERATION, SET TBCK
    TO A FAKE VALUE OF TSTOP + 2 * DELT SO THAT INTEGRATION
    WILL CONTINUE UNTIL TSTOP IS REACHED. OTHERWISE, SET
    TBCK TO BE TBC(K).
    TBCK = TSTOP + 2 * DELT
    IF( K .LE. NBC ) TBCK = TBC(K)
    IF WE'RE AT A MULTIPLE BOUNDARY CONDITION, GO TO 170 TO
    BUILD ANOTHER ROW OF S.
    IF( ABS( TBCK-TBC(K-1) ) .LE. ZERO ) GO TO 170
    IF TBCK, THE VALUE OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE AT THE
    NEXT BOUNDARY CONDITION, IS ALSO IN THE SAME INTERVAL
```




```
C***** SUBROUTINE ININT
    SUBROUTINE ININT( IOUT,IBIG,IP,IPLOT,IPLOT2,GRID,CQBC,IENDFL )
C
```

50 FORMAT (10G8.0)

```
60 CONTINUE
C
    GO TO 10
70 IENDFL \(=1\)
    END IF
    RETURN
    END
```

C C THIS IS THE ROUTINE WHICH BUILDS THE MATRIX OF INITIAL VALUES
C WHICH ARE PLOTTED BY THE CONVERGENCE PLOT REQUEST
C (INTEGER PARAMETER 21)

```

```

    CHARACTER*40 TITLE
    COMMDN/JBLK/ID1 ( 23), ITER,ID2 ( 10) ,MITER
    COMMDN/IBLK/IOUT,LIN,NDDE,LITER
    DATA TITLE/'ITERATION CYCLES WITHIN ITERATION LOOP '/
        I = 1
        K = 1
        XMAX = LITER
        REWIND 20
    C Set matrix size
DO 3 IQ=1,8
3 IF (IPLOT2(IQ) .NE. O) I = I+1
C Read input values and set in array
5 READ( 20, END=200) ID,T,(A(J ), J=1,NEQ)
TL}(\textrm{K},1)=\textrm{T
DO 6 IQ=1,8
IND = IPLOT2(IQ)
6 IF (IND .GT. 0) TL(K,IQ+1) = A(IND)
K=K+1
IF(K.LE.300.AND.K.LE.KK) GO TO 5
200 KK=K-1
C Set file for later appends by INTOUT
BACKSPACE 20
WRITE(12, 310)IPT
310 FORMAT(//, 10X,'CONVERGENCE PLOT OF Y(', I 2,',)')
IF (IPT .LE. 1) THEN
CALL Y8VSX(TL,KK,I,O.0)
ELSEIF (IPT. EQ. 2) THEN
CALL G300(TL,KK, I , XMAX,TITLE)
ELSEIF (IPT. EQ. 3) THEN
CALL VPLT(TL,KK, I, XMAX,TITLE)
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```

SUBROUTINE PLOT(NEQ, I PLOT, I P, I PLT, GRID)
```

C THIS ROUTINE DOES ALL THE SET UP WORK FOR PLOTTING
C CALLED AT END OF INTEGRATION
C------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
DIMENSION IDUM( 300),TL( 300,9),A(50),IPLOT(50,8),GRID(50)
CHARACTER*40 TITLE
DATA TITLE/'INDEPENDENT VARIABLE-END OF INTEGRATION '/
C SET XMAX TO O FOR AUTO SCALING
XMAX = 0.0
I P1 = IP-1
REWIND }2
DO 190 I=1,IP1
DO 100 J = 1,300
READ(21, END=140) IDUM( J ),T,(A(K),K=1,NEQ)
TL (J , 1) =T
DO 110 I J=1,8
IND=I PLOT( I , I J )
IF(IND.GT.0) THEN
TL}(J,IJ+1)=A(IND
ELSE
GOTO 100
END IF
110 CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
CALL ERRORS (-6)
140 NDPS= J - 1
C Set file for later appends by INTOUT
BACKSPACE 21
N=I J
NN=N-1
NGR ID=0
IF(IPLT.LE.1) THEN
WRITE (6, 150)(IPLOT(I, IK), IK=1,NN)
150 FORMAT(///, 25X,'++++++ T I M E (DOMN) VS Y ( , ,8(I2,',',))
WRITE (6,160)
160 FORMAT (1H+, 85X,') ++++++',)
CALL Y8VSX(TL,NDPS,N,GRID(I ))
ELSEIF(IPLT.EQ.2) THEN
CALL G300(TL,NDPS,N, XMAX,TITLE)
ELSEIF(IPLT.EQ.3) THEN
CALL VPLT(TL,NDPS,N, XMAX,TITLE)
END IF
190 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

```

SUBROUTINE G300(TL,NDPS,N,XMAX, TITLE)

Set up device-dependent parameters
XPST, XPEN - Start \& end points for \(x\) points
YPST, YPEN - Start \& end points for y points
CHT - Height of a text char in pixels
CLEN - Length of a text character in pixels
XOFF - offset from X axis in pixels
YOFF - offset from Y axis in pixels
PARAMETER (XPST=100, XPEN=635, YPST=50, YPEN=438)
PARAMETER \((C H T=16, \mathrm{CLEN}=16, \mathrm{XOFF}=2, \mathrm{YOFF}=4)\)

Compute units/pixel for X \& Y axes.
XPDS \(=(\) XMAX - XMIN \() /(\) XPEN-XPST \()\)
YPDS \(=(\) YMAX -YMIN\() /(\) YPEN-YPST \()\)
IF (XPDS .EQ. 0) XPDS \(=1\)
IF (YPDS .EQ. 0) \(\mathrm{YPDS}=1\)
C Allow user time to read screen before plot
PRINT *, 'Enter (New Line) when ready to see plot:,
READ ( \(10,2, \mathrm{END}=20\) ) DEBUG
C If DEBUG requested, print TL matrix
IF (DEBUG .GT. 0) THEN
PRINT *, \({ }^{*} * * *\) Debug requested***,
```

            PRINT *,'
            PRINT *,'Indep. State variable(s),
            PRINT *,' var.,
            PRINT *,'------
            PRINT *
                    DO 15 I = 1,NDPS
                                PRINT *,(TL(I, J ), J=1,N)
                            PRINT *,'XMIN, XMAX, YMIN, YMAX = , XMIN, XMAX, YMIN, YMAX
                            PRINT *,'XPDS,YPDS = , XPDS,YPDS
                    PRINT *,'---------------------------------
                    PRINT *,'Press (New Line) to continue...'
                            READ(10,2, END=20) I
    ENDIF
    C PRINT , ERASE
C Set drawing color to green
PRINT *,'COLOR 1'
C Reset text attributes
PRINT *,'TEXT RESET'
C Put y-title
PRINT *,'TEXT EXTENT -90 CELL 90'
PRINT *,'TEXT , ,0,', ,YPEN-CHT,' STATE VARIABLES । *, ,N-1,'*,
Put top and bottom points
PRINT *,'TEXT RESET'
PRINT *,'TEXT ',CLEN+2,', ,YPEN-CHT, , , YMAX
PRINT *,'TEXT ,,CLEN+2,',',YPST,', ,YMIN
C Define the line styles for the eight line types
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 0 10000000100000001,
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 1 10001000100010001'
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 2 11111000111110001'
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 3 10101010101010101'
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 4 11110000111100001'
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 5 11100000111000001,
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 6 11001100110011001,
PRINT *,'LSTYLE DEFINE 7 11000000110000001'
Draw chart axes
PRINT *,'LINE ',XPST,' ,,YPST,', ,XPST,' ',YPEN
PRINT *,'LINE ',XPST,' ,,YPST,' ',XPEN,' ',YPST
C Draw N-1 lines.
IF (DEBUG .NE. O) THEN
PRINT *,'---PLOT DEBUG:
PRINT *,' X RANGE = , XMIN, XMAX
PRINT *,' Y RANGE = , ,MMIN, MMAX
PRINT *,, X START, INC = , XPST,XPDS
PRINT *,, Y START, INC = ,,YPST,YPDS
ELSE
CONTINUE
ENDIF
DO 200 J = 2,N
Plot initial point for this col
XPNT = INT(XPST + (TL (1,1)-XMIN ) / XPDS ) +XOFF
YPNT = INT(YPST + (TL( 1, J ) -YMIN ) /YPDS ) +YOFF
PRINT *,'POINT ',XPNT,',',YPNT
Plot rest of points if necessary
IF (NDPS .GT. 1) THEN
PRINT *,'LSTYLE ', J-2,' 1 0'
DO 100 I = 2,NDPS
IF (DEBUG .NE. O) THEN
PRINT *,' *** X=, ,TL(I, 1),', Y=',TL(I , J )
ENDIF
XPNT = INT (XPST+ (TL (I , 1) -XMIN ) /XPDS ) +XOFF

```
                PRINT *,'LSLINE LAST ,,XPNT,', ,YPNT
                        CONTINUE
        ELSE
            CONTINUE
        ENDIF
C Print x labels
    PRINT *,'TEXT ',XPST,', ,YPST-CHT,' ,,XMIN
    PRINT *,'TEXT ',XPEN-2*CLEN,' , ,YPST-CHT,' , ,XMAX
C Print line types
    PRINT *,'TEXT , XPST,',,YPST-2*CHT,', ,TITLE
    PRINT *,'TEXT 0 ,,YPST-3*CHT,' LINE LEGEND:
    PRINT *,'LAST TEXT'
    DO 300 I = 1,(N-1)
    PRINT *,'TEXT LAST " Y',I,' ",
    PRINT *,'LSTYLE ',I-1,' 1 0'
300 PRINT *,'LSLINE TEXT ,, I*50+XPST,, ,,YPST-3*CHT
C Return to normal mode
    PRINT *,'< 36>G<42>0'
C Wait for user to see graph before continuing.
    PRINT *,'Graph finished...Press (New Line) to continue'
    READ (10,1,END=999,ERR=999) I
    FORMAT( I 1 )
    END
```

