EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS INDUCED BY GENOTOXIC OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HUMAN CHEMICAL CARCINOGENS: AN UPDATE OF A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

A Thesis

by

SAMANTHA JOYCE GOODMAN

Submitted to the Graduate and Professional School of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Chair of Committee,	Ivan Rusyn
Committee Members,	David Threadgill
	Tommy McDonald
	Natalie Johnson
Interdisciplinary Faculty	
Chair,	Ivan Rusyn

December 2021

Major Subject: Toxicology

Copyright 2021 Samantha Goodman

ABSTRACT

Epigenetic alterations, such as changes in DNA methylation, histones/chromatin structure, nucleosome positioning, and expression of non-coding RNAs, are recognized among key characteristics of carcinogens; they may occur independently or concomitantly with genotoxic effects. While data on genotoxicity are collected through standardized guideline tests, data collected on epigenetic effects is far less systematic. In 2016, we conducted a systematic review of published studies of genotoxic carcinogens that reported epigenetic endpoints to better understand the evidence for epigenetic alterations of human carcinogens, and the potential association with genotoxic endpoints. Since then, the number of studies of epigenetic effects of chemicals has nearly doubled. This project stands as an update on epigenetic alterations induced by genotoxic occupational and environmental human chemical carcinogens that were previously and recently classified as Group 1 by the International Agency for Research on Cancer. We found that the evidence of epigenetic effects remains uneven across agents. Studies of DNA methylation are most abundant, while reports concerning effects on non-coding RNA have increased over the past 5 years. By contrast, mechanistic toxicology studies of histone modifications and chromatin state alterations remain few. We found that most publications of epigenetic effects of genotoxic carcinogens were studies in exposed humans or human cells. Studies in rodents represent the second most common species used for epigenetic studies in toxicology; in vivo exposures being the most predominant. Future studies should incorporate dose- and time-dependent study designs and also investigate the persistence of effects following cessation of exposure, considering the dynamic nature of most epigenetic alterations.

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my parents, Ivey and Pam Goodman. For me to pursue my passions, you have both sacrificed an immeasurable amount. I am grateful every day to have been raised by loving parents who never stopped pushing me towards my dreams.

I love you to the moon and back, Mom and Dad.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my advisor, Dr. Ivan Rusyn. Thank you for molding me into a better student, researcher, and advocate. You have challenged me in every avenue of graduate school to breakdown and push beyond my self-built boundaries. I look forward to using what you have taught me in the next adventure of my life.

Thank you to my committee members for your endless support and guidance over the past two years. Dr. Threadgill, thank you for furthering my passion for animal research and being supportive of my scientific journey. Dr. Johnson, thank you for always encouraging others to explore their passions and for helping me rediscover mine. Dr. McDonald, thank you for taking me under your wing first semester and creating an environment that fosters discovery and growth.

I would also like to thank all of my peers within the Rusyn lab, both past and present. You have been a constant source of laughter and friendship. Being surrounded by such passionate and bright scientists has been a source of inspiration these past two years. I look forward to watching you all excel in the paths you choose next.

To Dr. Zunwei Chen, thank you for being my friend and for filling my time at Texas A&M with good music and great laughter. To Dr. Drew Hillhouse, thank you for having endless patience in teaching me; your insight on life is unmatched and I am grateful for what I have learned. To Dr. Danila Cuomo, thank you for always serving as a safe house and encouraging me to be true to myself in all things.

v

I would also like to thank my mentor and dear friend, Dr. Lauren Lewis. From the moment we met, I knew that you were a strong and positive force for women within the science community. Over the past two years, you have offered me a listening ear, honest advice, and unconditional support. I thank you for being my rock. I look forward to many more Thanksgiving dinners.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my family. Brianna, Papa, Granny, Uncle Buddy, Aunt Tammy, and Uncle Clevie—I am indebted to you for this incredible life I have lived. From Athens, to Costa Rica, to Texas, and (soon) to Lyon, you have remained the pillars that have kept me aloft as I travel this crazy journey.

To all those mentioned above and to the countless others who have served as friends, teachers, and sources of guidance—thank you eternally.

CONTRIBUTORS AND FUNDING SOURCES

Contributors

This work was supervised by a thesis committee consisting of Dr. Ivan Rusyn [primary advisor and committee chair—Department of Veterinary Medicine], Dr. David Threadgill [committee member—Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics], Dr. Tommy McDonald [committee member—School of Public Health], and Dr. Natalie Johnson [committee member—School of Public Health].

Previous literature review referenced within this document was completed by Dr. Grace Chappell with the assistance of Drs. Igor Pogribny and Kate Guyton and published in 2016. This work, including study categorization, summarization, and statistical analysis, was completed by the student independently.

Funding Sources

This graduate study was supported by grants (T32 ES026568 and RO1 ES029911) from National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS).

This work's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies, Texas A&M University Interdisciplinary Toxicology Program and College of Veterinary Medicine.

NOMENCLATURE

1-OHP	1-hydroxypyrene
5caC	5-carboxycytosine
5fC	5-formylcytosine
5hmC	5-hydroxymethylcytosine
5mC	5-methylcytosine
ACLAM	Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule
AFB1	Aflatoxin B1
AFB2	Aflatoxin B2
AhRR	Aryl-hydrocarbon receptor repressor
BER	Base excision repair
C/EBPa	CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha promoter
CBMN	Cytokinesis-block micronucleus
CC	Collaborative Cross
CH3	Methyl group
ChIP	Chromatin immunoprecipitation
COX-2	Cyclooxygenase-2
CpG	Cytosine-guanine dinucleotides
CREB	cAMP-response element-binding protein
CTPE	Coal tar pitch extract
CYPs	Cytochrome P450s

DNA	Deoxyribose nucleic acid
DNMT	DNA methyltransferases
EGCG	Epigallocatechin-3-gallate
HAWC	Health Assessment Workspace Collaborative
HBEC	Human bronchial endothelial cells
НСС	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HDAC	Histone deacetylase
HUVECS	Human umbilical vein endothelial cells
IARC	International Agency for the Research on Cancer
IL-6	Interleukin 6
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
JMJD2b	Jumonji domain-contain protein 2b
LINE-1	Long interspersed nuclear element-1
lncRNA	Long non-coding RNA
MGMT	O6-methylguanine-DNA methyl-transferase
miRNA	MicroRNA
MOCA	4,4'-Methylenebis(2-chloroaniline)
MRL	Murphy Roths Large
mRNA	Messenger RNA
NF-kB	Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
nt	Nucleotides
PAHs	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PARG	Poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase
PARP-1	Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1
PCBDs	Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
REST	Repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor
RNA	Ribose nucleic acid
ROS	Reactive oxidative species
SAEG	Small human airway epithelial cells
SAHH	S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase
SINE B1	Short Interspersed Nuclear Element B1
SMAD	Fusion of Sma genes and the Drosophila Mad, Mothers against decapentaplegic
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
SVOCs	Semi-volatile organic compounds
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TDG	Thymine DNA glycosylase
TET	Ten eleven translocation enzymes
Τορο ΙΙ α	Topoisomerase II α
VOCs	Volatile organic solvents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
CONTRIBUTORS AND FUNDING SOURCES	vii
NOMENCLATURE	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	XV
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. METHODOLOGY	3
3. CATEGORIES OF EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS INDUCED BY THE CHEMICALS AND ASSOCIATED OCCUPATIONS INCLUDED IN THE SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW	13
3.1. DNA Methylation	13
3.2. Histone Modifications 3.3. Non-Coding RNAs	14 16
4. EPIGENETIC EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH IARC GROUP 1 HUMAN CARCINOGENS INCLUDED IN IARC MONOGRAPH 100F	19
4.1. Benzo[a]pyrene	19
4.1.1. DNA Methylation	
4.1.2. HISTORE MODIFICATIONS.	20
4.2. Benzene	
4.2.1. DNA Methylation	23
4.2.2. Histone Modifications.	24
4.2.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	25

4.3. Aflatoxins	27
4.3.1. DNA Methylation	
4.3.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.3.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.4. Coke production	
4.4.1. DNA Methylation	
4.4.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.4.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.5. Sulfur mustard	
4.5.1. DNA Methylation	
4.5.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.5.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.6. Formaldehyde	
4.6.1. DNA Methylation	
4.6.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.6.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.7. 1,3-Butadiene	
4.7.1. DNA Methylation	
4.7.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.7.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.8. Vinyl Chloride	
4.8.1. DNA Methylation	
4.8.2. Histone Modifications.	
4.8.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	
4.9. Occupational exposure as a painter	40
4.9.1. DNA Methylation.	40
4.9.2. Histone Modifications.	40
4.9.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	40
4.10. Coal tar pitch	41
4.10.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	41
4.10.2. DNA Methylation.	41
4.10.3. Histone Modifications.	41
4.10.4. Non-Coding RNAs.	41
4.11. 4-Aminobiphenyl	42
4.11.1. DNA Methylation	42
4.11.2. Histone Modifications.	42
4.11.3. Non-Coding RNAs.	42
5. EPIGENETIC EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH IARC GROUP 1 HUMAN	
CARCINOGENS AND ASSOCIATED OCCUPATIONS INCLUDED IN	
MONOGRAPHS 105-118	43
5.1 Outdoor Air Dollution	10
5.1. Dullator of exposure appointed concerns and constanticity.	
5.1.1. Roules of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	43

5.1.2. Epigenetic Effects	44
5.2. Engine exhaust, diesel	45
5.2.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	45
5.2.2. DNA Methylation.	46
5.2.3. Histone Modifications.	48
5.2.4. Non-Coding RNAs.	48
5.3. Lindane	49
5.3.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	49
5.3.2. Epigenetic Effects.	49
5.4. Pentachlorophenol	50
5.4.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	50
5.4.2. Epigenetic Effects	50
5.5. Polychlorinated bi-phenyls	51
5.5.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	51
5.5.2. Non-Dioxin Like PCBs.	
5.5.3. Dioxin Like	55
5.6. Trichlorethylene	57
5.6.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	57
5.6.2. DNA Methylation.	57
5.6.3. Histone Modifications.	59
5.6.4. Non-Coding RNAs.	60
5.7. Welding Fumes	61
5.7.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity	61
5.7.2. DNA Methylation.	61
5.7.3. Histone Modifications.	62
5.7.4. Non-Coding RNAs.	62
6. SUMMARY	63
7. FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS	
REFERENCES	69
APPENDIX A SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES	90

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2-1. HAWC literature tree for Monograph 100F carcinogens	11
Figure 2-2. HAWC literature tree for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens.	12
Figure 3-1. Graphic of epigenetic endpoints.	18
Figure 7-1. Volume of publications per epigenetic endpoints for all review carcinogens.	67
Figure 7-2. Comparative analysis of study characteristics of included publications	68

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1. Carcinogens classified in Group 1 in IARC Monographs Volume 100F-118
that were included in the systematic literature review
·
Table 2. Number of publications with data related to epigenetic alterations for each
Group 1 carcinogen included in the systematic literature review

1. INTRODUCTION

Evaluation of the causative linkages between exposures to environmental agents and cancer includes considerations of the mechanistic evidence; the process of cancer hazard evaluation is now increasingly relying on the evidence from studies other than epidemiology or rodent cancer bioassays [1]. The practice of cancer hazard assessments and incorporation of mechanistic evidence has been streamlined and systematized through the introduction of the key characteristics of carcinogens organizational approach [2, 3]. The key characteristics approach provides guidance and structure for the consistent utilization of the mechanistic evidence and has been developed for many environmental agent-associated toxicities beyond cancer [4-8]. Included among the ten key characteristics of carcinogens is "induces epigenetic effects" and "is genotoxic." These two key characteristics represent one of the least and one of the most data-rich key characteristics across the peer-reviewed literature related to human carcinogens, respectively, and are the focus of the project presented herein.

While many known carcinogens are genotoxic, others cause epigenetic alterations [9]; however, most studies consider one or the other even though the linkages between these key characteristics are many [10]. Epigenetic modifications are heritable non-mutational events. They can operate independently or interdependently with genetic alterations to cause dysregulation of gene expression and subsequent molecular changes [11, 12]. Epigenetic events include stable changes in gene expression that are not attributed to the modification of the genomic sequence. These include changes in DNA

methylation patterns, histone modifications, alteration of chromatin structure, and dysregulation of non-coding RNA expression. Environmental factors can impact these epigenetic marks, causing phenotypic variation and may potentiate human disease including cancer [13-15].

Studies of environmental agents suggest that epigenetic alterations play an important role in chemically-induced carcinogenesis [15, 16]. As compared to the decades of studies of genotoxicity of chemical agents and exposure-related gene expression responses, studies of epigenetics have been more recently enabled by the advances in sequencing technology. The evidence for epigenetic alterations caused by carcinogens, and the potential association with genotoxic endpoints, is rapidly growing [10]. As recently as five years ago, a systematic literature review of epigenetic alterations induced by genotoxic occupational and environmental human chemical carcinogens showed that the database was relatively sparse for environmental chemicals [10]. Only three known human chemical carcinogens (aflatoxins, benzene and benzo[a]pyrene), had ten or more studies with reported epigenetic effects. Other chemical carcinogens had very few studies of this key characteristic. As epigenetics continues to be an area of focus for mechanistic studies in toxicology, the evidentiary basis for the role of these non-genetic changes to DNA and transcription accumulates. This project summarizes the current status of the evidence on the role epigenetic alterations play in the induction of carcinogenesis following exposure to DNA damaging chemical agents (and related occupations) to enable a better understanding of the interplay of epigenetics and DNA damage in chemical carcinogenesis.

2. METHODOLOGY

A multi-step, systematic literature review was conducted to analyze published scientific evidence concerning epigenetic mechanisms of action of human carcinogens that are genotoxic. An update of the recent (since 2016) publications on environmental or occupational hazards classified as Group 1 ("carcinogenic to humans") by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) in Monograph Volume 100F was conducted. In addition, carcinogens classified as Group 1 in IARC Monograph Volumes 105-118 were evaluated. The list of substances included in this analysis and their associated IARC monograph reference is provided in **Table 1**. In summary, substances included in this analysis were (i) classified as IARC Group 1 "human carcinogen", (ii) identified by IARC Monographs working groups having strong evidence for a genotoxic mechanism of carcinogenesis, and (iii) had published reports of epigenetic alterations.

The systematic literature review was conducted using the Health Assessment Workspace Collaborative (HAWC) web-based tool [17] and was based exclusively on the publications indexed in PubMed. The search terms published in the previous literature review of epigenetic alterations induced by genotoxic occupational and environmental human chemical carcinogens [10] were used; a list of the search terms used for this assessment is listed in **Supplementary Table 1** (Appendix A). Inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarized in **Supplementary Table 2** (Appendix A). Included studies were classified by, in order: chemical, species (human, mouse, rat, or other), and study type (*in* *vitro* or *in vivo*). The final organization of included studies was based on the type of epigenetic alterations in DNA methylation, histone modifications, or non-coding RNA.

Studies that were excluded from the final analyses were grouped by topics or areas of knowledge that were not related to the focus of this project, or not clearly defined. *In silico* studies were excluded even if they reported epigenetic effects of a chemical of interest. Studies were classified as "not chemical of interest" if the studied agents or substances were not listed in **Table 1**. Publications that were not available in full text, or were a review/commentary, were excluded. Publications that did report on a chemical of interest but did not report epigenomic alterations were placed within the "No epigenetics" category. A sub-category within this category included " γ -H2AX", which is an initial cellular response to DNA double-strand break induction that is technically an epigenetic alteration (histone phosphorylation), if this endpoint was used solely as an indicator of DNA damage and the study did not otherwise report on epigenetic alterations.

Dates of the literature search for the IARC Monograph 100F carcinogens ranged from 12/2015 to 12/2020, to capture literature published since the previous literature review of epigenetic alterations related to genotoxic Group 1 agents [10]. Of the 526 articles identified in the search, 391 (74%) were excluded because they did not report epigenetic effects. Other reasons for exclusion included, but were not limited to, the following: research did not pertain to the chemicals of interest, unavailability of full text in English, or no reporting of the primary data. The assessment concerning the Group 1 genotoxic carcinogens included in IARC Monographs 105-118 identified studies published from 01/1968 to 12/2020. A total of 903 scientific reports were identified in the search, with 300 meeting inclusion standards (603 reports were excluded for prior stated reasons).

Visualizations of the literature tree demonstrating the previously described inclusion and exclusion criteria for Monograph 100F and Monographs 105-118 Group 1 carcinogens with evidence of genotoxicity are shown in **Figures 1** and **2**, respectively. The systematic literature review information is public and freely accessible. The assessment for the update to Monograph 100F carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/. The assessment for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/. The assessment for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/. The assessment for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/. The assessment for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/. The assessment for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens is available at: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1063/. While this project aimed to cite most of the studies identified in the systematic literature review, not all of them are cited and the reader is welcome to explore the literature trees at the links above. The categorization of all studies is shown within **Table 2**.

Group 1 Carcinogen	Last Monograph	Evidence of Genotoxicity:	Evidence of Genotoxicity:	Epigenetic data in last	Epigenetic data in
or our rounder	Number	Animals	Humans	monograph	this review
1,3-Butadiene	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4,4'-Methylenebis (2- Chlorobensenamine)	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4-Aminobiphenyl	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Aflatoxins (naturally occurring mixtures)	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Benzene	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Benzidine	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Benzo[a]pyrene	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coal tar pitch	100F	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Coke production, occupational exposure	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Engine exhaust, diesel	105	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Formaldehyde	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lindane	113	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Occupational exposure as a painter	100F	No	Yes	No	Yes
Outdoor air pollution	109	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	117	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Polychlorinated biphenyls	107	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxin- like	107	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sulfur mustard	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Trichloroethylene	106	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vinyl chloride	100F	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Welding fumes	118	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1,2-Dichloropropane	110	Yes	Yes	No	No
2-Napthylamine	100F	Yes	Yes	No	No
Bis(chloromethyl)ether and chloromethyl methyl ether	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Coal gasification	100F	Yes	No	No	No
Ethylene oxide	100F	Yes	Yes	No	No
Isopropyl alcohol manufacture by the strong-acid process	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Mineral oils, untreated or mildly treated	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Mists from strong inorganic acids	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Occupation exposures during coal- tar distillation	100F	Yes	Yes	No	No

Table 1. Carcinogens classified in Group 1 in IARC Monographs Volume 100F-118 that were included in the systematic literature review.

Table 1 Continued

Group 1 Carcinogen	Last Monograph Number	Evidence of Genotoxicity: Animals	Evidence of Genotoxicity: Humans	Epigenetic data in last monograph	Epigenetic data in this review
Occupational exposure during aluminum production	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Occupational exposures during iron and steel founding	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Occupational exposures in the rubber manufacturing industry	100F	No	Yes	No	No
Ortho-toluidine	100F	Yes	Yes	No	No
Shale oils	100F	Yes	No	No	No
Soot, as found in the occupation exposure of chimney-sweeps	100F	No	Yes	No	No

Group 1	Last		DNA Methylation Histone Modifications Non-Coding RNAs			RNAs						
carcinogen	Monogr. Listed		Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Total
1,3-Butadiene	100F	in vitro										0
		in vivo		5			5			1		11
4-Aminobiphenyl	100F	in vitro				1			2			3
		in vivo										0
Aflatoxins	100F	in vitro	8		1 ⁿ , 2 ^o	1		1°	8	1		22
(naturally occurring mixtures)		in vivo	11	4	1 ^r		1	1 ^r	4	8	1°,1 ^p	32
Benzene	100F	in vitro	11	2		3	1		10	1		28
		in vivo	23	2		5	1		12	2		45
Benzidine	100F	in vitro										0
		in vivo		1								1
Benzo[a]pyrene	100F	in vitro	18	6	3 ⁿ , 2 ⁱ	15	2	1 ^h	26	4		77
		in vivo	11	12	6 ^w , 2 ^m , 1 ^g , 1 ^r ,1 ^s		3		2	13	$1^{g}, 1^{j}, 1^{t}, 1^{k}, 1^{f}$	57
Coal-tar pitch	100F	in vitro	1						2			3
		in vivo										0
Coke production,	100F	in vitro	1									1
occupational exposure		in vivo	10			3			4			14
1,2-Dichloro-	110	in vitro										0
propane		in vivo										0

Table 2. Number of publications with data related to epigenetic alterations for each Group 1 carcinogen included in the systematic literature review.

Table 2 Continued

Group 1 I carcinogen Mo L	Last		DNA Methylation			Histone Modifications			Non-Coding RNAs			
	Monogr. Listed		Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Total
Engine exhaust, diesel	105	in vitro	2			2			5			9
		in vivo	6	5					3			14
Formaldehyde	100F	in vitro	1			3		1 ^v	1			7
		in vivo	1							3	1^{d}	5
Lindane	113	in vitro										0
		in vivo		1								1
4-4'-Methylenebis (2-chloro- benzenamine)	100F	in vitro					1					1
		in vivo										0
Occupational	100F	in vitro										0
exposure as a painter		in vivo	1						1			2
Outdoor air pollution	109	in vitro	7			3			16			26
		in vivo	83	12	1 ^b , 1 ^e	4	3		26	12	1^{w}	143
Pentachlorophenol	117	in vitro										0
		in vivo								1		1
Polychlorinated biphenyls (non-dioxin-like)	107	in vitro	3	1	1 ^u	1	1		2	1	2°	12
		in vivo	19	11	$1^{1}, 1^{q}, 1^{a}, 1^{m}$		1		2	3	2 ^w	42
Polychlorinated biphenyls (dioxin-like)	107	in vitro	1			2	1		1			6
		in vivo	3	3	1 ^w				2			8

Table 2 Continued

Group 1	Last Monogr. Listed		DNA Methylation			Histone Modifications			Non-Coding RNAs			
carcinogen			Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Humans	Rodents (mouse or rat)	Other (See footnotes)	Total
Sulfur mustard	100F	in vitro	2			1			2			5
		in vivo							6	2		8
Trichloroethylene	106	in vitro	8	2		4			2	2	2 ^e	20
		in vivo	1	18			2		1	4	1 ^w	27
Vinyl chloride	100F	in vitro										0
		in vivo	3	1					1			5
Welding fumes	118	in vitro	1	1								2
		in vivo	3	2					1			6

- a Alaska blackfish (Dallia pectoralis)
- b Barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*)
- b Chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus)
- d Cynomulgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*)
- e Dog (*Canis lupis familiaris*)
- f Firefly (*Coleoptera lampyridea*)
- g Fish (*Oeyzias melastigma*)
- h Frog (Xenopus borealis)
- i Hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*)
- j Japanese Rice Fish (*Oryzias latipes*)
- k Lancelet (*Brachiostoma belcheri*)
- 1 Loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*)

- m Mumichog fish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*)
- n Oligodeozynucleotides
- o Pig (Sus domesticus)
- p Pig (Sus scrofa domesticus)
- q Pond Slider (*Trachemys scripta*)
- r Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
- s Rock dove (*Columba livia*)
- t Roundworm (*Caenorhabditis elegans*)
- u Sheep (*Ovis aries*)
- v Synthetic peptide
- w Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)



Figure 2-1. HAWC literature tree for Monograph 100F carcinogens.

Literature tree of the 526 studies returned from the PubMed search concerning epigenetic endpoints for the human carcinogens included in IARC Monograph 100F (all classified as "Group 1"). The studies were categorized based on inclusion or exclusion criteria as shown. Included studies were further sorted, in order, by cancer hazard, species studied (human, rat, mouse, or other), study design (in vivo or in vitro), and epigenetic endpoint. The numbers within each circle denote how many studies were allocated to that specific category. Blue circles denote that they contain additional sub-categories. White circles indicate a terminal category. The literature tree branches for included studies for carcinogens "Benzene" and "Formaldehyde" are expanded for illustration purposes. The branch for "No Epigenetics" category is shown as an example of an exclusion category. The searches covered literature published between 12/2015 and 12/2020

Figure 2-2. HAWC literature tree for Monographs 105-118 carcinogens.



Literature tree of the 903 studies returned from the PubMed search concerning the human genotoxic carcinogens included in IARC Monographs 105-118 (all classified as "Group 1") with evaluation of epigenetic alterations. The studies were categorized based on inclusion or exclusion criteria as shown. See Figure 1 legend for further explanation of the figure structure. The searches covered literature published before 12/2020.

3. CATEGORIES OF EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS INDUCED BY THE CHEMICALS AND ASSOCIATED OCCUPATIONS INCLUDED IN THE SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1. DNA Methylation

DNA methylation (**Figure 3**) is the covalent addition of a methyl group (CH₃) at the carbon-5 position of cytosine, resulting in 5-methylcytosine (5mC). DNA methylation is typically found at cytosine-guanine dinucleotides (CpG sites) and is catalyzed by *de novo* DNA methyltransferases (DNMT3A and DNMT3B) which transfer a methyl group from *S*-adenyl methionine (SAM) to the carbon-5 location of the cytosine [18]. DNMT1, a maintenance DNMT, is responsible for maintaining the DNA methylation patterns following replication. DNA methylation is a dynamic, multi-step process that is counterbalanced by DNA demethylation. DNA demethylation, or the removal of methyl groups from cytosines, can occur through passive (spontaneous de-methylation) or active mechanisms. The latter involves the oxidation of 5mC by ten-eleven-translocation (TET) proteins, creating 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5-formylcytosine (5fC), and 5carboxycytosine (5caC) through hydroxylase activity [19]. Thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) via the base excision repair (BER) pathway can excise 5hmC, 5fC, and 5caC which will be replaced with an unaltered cytosine base [20].

DNA methylation is a major epigenetic factor and has been implicated in the modulation of cell biology [21]; gene expression regulation, genomic stability, and overall chromosomal inactivation [22, 23]; and silencing of imprinted genes [24]. Aberrant DNA

methylation results in malignant tumors through DNA hyper- or hypo-methylation [25], with hypermethylation indicating increased methylation with subsequent transcriptional suppression and decreased gene expression [26, 27] and hypomethylation indicating decreased methylation with influence over chromosomal stability [28]. Global DNA hypomethylation is normally utilized as a biomarker of cancer induction as it causes genomic instability [25], a hallmark of carcinogens [2].

Previous research concerning genomic DNA methylation and identification of DNA-methylation-based biomarkers for disease diagnosis has focused on hypermethylation of promoter CpG islands within tumor-suppressor genes [29, 30]. CpG islands represent clusters of CpG sites; when hypermethylated, expression is repressed. Recent studies focus on identifying the CpG loci as biomarkers. For example, methylation of specific locations within a promoter has been found to control transcriptional silencing, in contrast to indiscriminate methylation throughout the entire promoter region [31-35]. Quantification of DNA methylation can be achieved through various techniques, most recent techniques are based on advancements in nucleotide sequencing [36]. Nonsequencing methods include mass spectrometry for global DNA methylation levels or combined bisulfite restriction analysis for gene-specific DNA methylation, warrant consideration [37-39].

3.2. Histone Modifications

Post-translational histone modifications (**Figure 3**) comprise several epigenetic marks that have been associated with transcription, DNA repair, and the maintenance of chromatin structure [40]. Nucleosomes, the fundamental building blocks of chromatin, are

composed of octamers of the four core histone proteins (H3, H4, H2A, and H2B) around which is wrapped with a 147 base-pair segment of DNA. Each histone protein possesses an N-terminal tail (a side chain) populated with cationic lysine and arginine residues; these side chains may be post-translationally modified by acetylation, citrullination, methylation, phosphorylation, or ubiquitination. Histone modification "writers" (e.g. histone acetyltransferases) enzymatically add alterations while "erasers" (e.g. histone deacetylases) enzymatically remove alterations [41], representing a dynamic system modulating these alterations on the histone side chains. These histone modifications are then recognized by "reader" proteins (e.g. bromodomains and chromodomains) which affect transcription.

Most studies of histone modifications covered in this project focus on acetylation and methylation of lysine resides. Histone acetylation modifies the ε-amino group of lysine residues, neutralizing the positive charge. This alteration has been suggested to disrupt the interaction between the histone side chain and DNA nucleosome, resulting in increased chromatin availability and the promotion of active transcription. Acetylated lysine further promotes chromatin accessibility by attaching to bromodomain-containing transcription factors within chromatin remodeling complexes [42, 43]. Histone methylation has been identified as a key mechanism in development and differentiation [44, 45]. Histone methylation occurs on both lysine and arginine residues with preferential occurrence on histone H3 and H4 [46]. Various methylation states exist (mono-, di-, and trimethylation) and all can elicit different transcriptional outcomes. Recent studies investigate histone modifications through ADP-ribosylation, butyrylation, citrullination, crotonylation, deamination, formylation, O-GlcNAcylation, propionylation, and proline isomerization [40]. Dysregulation of histone modifications can alter gene expression and therefore has been associated with carcinogenesis [47, 48]. Histone modifications may be involved in carcinogenesis through transcriptional [49] and DNA repair mechanisms [50, 51]. Alterations of histone post-translational modification patterns are most frequently studied either globally or at specific loci using ChIP (chromatin immunoprecipitation) followed by sequencing [40].

3.3. Non-Coding RNAs

Non-coding RNAs (**Figure 3**) are a class of RNA molecules that are not translated into a protein. Non-coding RNAs that possess a regulatory role in transcription are typically sub-divided into two categories: short-chain non-coding RNAs (miRNA) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNA). These non-encoding RNAs have been shown to have significant roles in epigenetic modifications and regulation on both the gene and chromosomal level [52-54]. Quantitative RT-PCR, RNA sequencing, and microarrays are the most commonly utilized methods for quantitation of non-coding RNAs.

miRNA are short, single-stranded RNA (~19-25 nt) that originate from the DNA regions prone to structural changes ("fragile sites"); these loci are frequently amplified or deleted in tumor cells [55, 56]. miRNA play important roles in cell proliferation and apoptosis by controlling the expression of tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes. This regulation is achieved primarily through the binding of miRNA to the 3' untranslated region of mRNA inducing their degradation or blocking translation [57, 58]. Biogenesis of miRNA is a complex process [59]; mutations in proteins involved in miRNA synthesis

may result in alterations of miRNA processing, stability, and targeting, causing subsequent biological insults, including carcinogenesis [56].

Long non-coding RNA are transcripts longer than 200 nt and represent one of the largest and most diverse RNA families [60]. LncRNA regulate gene expression through a variety of mechanisms, including somatic mutation and altered transcriptional regulation [61, 62], and aberrant expression has been significantly linked to cancer carcinogenesis and progression [63, 64]. LncRNA may act as scaffolds for various epigenetic endpoints, inducing a cascade of events. For example, lncRNA may induce histone modifications by linking proteins together to form ribonucleoprotein complexes [62] and interact with DNA methyltransferase enzymes to affect DNA methylation [65].



Figure 3-1. Graphic of epigenetic endpoints.

A general graphic summary of the epigenetic alterations that were searched for in this review. Abbreviations: Ac – acetylation; Ph – phosphorylation; Me – methylation; Ub – ubiquitination; Su – sumoylation; mRNA – messenger RNA; miRNA – micro RNA; RISC – RNA induced silencing complex; lncRNA – long non-coding RNA.

4. EPIGENETIC EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH IARC GROUP 1 HUMAN CARCINOGENS INCLUDED IN IARC MONOGRAPH 100F

A total of 11 human genotoxic carcinogens that met inclusion criteria for this systematic literature review are discussed herein. A description of the common routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity of each of these, except for coal tar pitch (see section 4.10), was also evaluated previously [10].

4.1. Benzo[a]pyrene

4.1.1. DNA Methylation.

As detailed in Chappell et al [10], changes in DNA methylation induced by benzo[a]pyrene exposure were primarily studied using human and rodent in vitro models. Modifications of the methylome were found to be gene-specific (e.g. CDH13 and p16). Since 2016, most studies concerning benzo[a]pyrene exposure and DNA methylation focused on human in vitro and in vivo models. Recent studies provide additional evidence for gene-specific methylation (e.g. tumor suppressor genes) and identified biomarkers to aid in diagnosis and prognosis of benzo[a]pyrene-associated cancer. For example, exposure to benzo[a]pyrene via cigarette smoke was significantly associated with increased promoter hypermethylation of p16 and DAPK (genes involved in carcinogenesis pathways), as well as with DNA damage [66]. Furthermore, benzo[a]pyrene increased DNA methyltransferase 1 (an enzyme essential for methylated metabolite accumulation) in smokers diagnosed with bladder cancer; in vitro validation of these results showed dysregulated metabolite levels may be used as potential predictive biomarkers for benzo[a]pyrene associated carcinogenesis [67]. Several studies have proposed additional methylated genes as biomarkers of benzo[a]pyrene-associated cancer [68, 69] with changes to the global methylome being associated with the development and progression of cancer [68, 70]. Benzo[a]pyrene exposure in human lymphocytes induced aberrant expression of DNMT1 and hypomethylation of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA, initiating histone methylation and modifying chromatin structure; this cascade of epigenetic events may provide insight into the mechanisms of benzo[a]pyrene associated toxicity [71].

4.1.2. Histone Modifications.

In publications reviewed by Chappell et al [10], most studies of histone modifications by benzo[a]pyrene were conducted in human cell lines. The most frequent type of the reported effects was changes in global post-translational modifications of various histones (e.g., H3K4me3 and H3K9ac) as a result of treatment. Since 2016, most of the studies of benzo[a]pyrene and histone modifications also used human cells as a model of choice; however, the emphasis is more on the mechanisms of the benzo[a]pyrene effects on the histones and chromatin, and the utility of histone modifications as biomarkers of exposure to benzo[a]pyrene. For example, hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4 and upregulation of histone deacetylases (HDAC2 and HDAC3) were found to be associated with global loss of DNA methylation following benzo[a]pyrene-exposure of human bronchial epithelial (16HBE) cells; these effects were concentration-dependent [70]. The effect of benzo[a]pyrene on the chromatin states indicative of reactivation of long interspersed nuclear element-1 (LINE-1) retrotransposon was shown to involve functional modulation of nucleosomal and remodeling deacetylase NuRD, leading to oncogenic transformation of human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) [72]. Several studies showed that poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase (PARG) may also play an important role in benzo[a]pyrene-associated changes in histone H2 expression; these studies were conducted in both human lung cancer cell lines and a mouse model of benzo[a]pyrene-induced carcinogenesis [73, 74]. Downregulation of *PARG* and histone modifications similar to those in human lung cancers were found in benzo[a]pyrene-treated human lung cancer cell lines suggesting that *PARG* silencing may be a biomarker for benzo[a]pyrene exposure-related lung carcinogenesis [74].

4.1.3. Non-Coding RNAs

Formerly reviewed [10] publications that investigated effects of benzo[a]pyrene on the expression of non-coding RNAs utilized human *in vitro* models; these studies demonstrated the role of aberrant miRNA expression in the dysregulation of DNA repair mechanisms and tumor suppressor genes. Since 2016, the majority of studies also used human cells, with focus on the role of miRNAs in non-genotoxic mechanisms of benzo[a]pyrene-associated carcinogenesis, such as inflammation. For example, benzo[a]pyrene-treated human bronchial epithelial cells (HBECs) demonstrated differential expression of specific miRNAs was significantly associated with the upregulation of activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule (ACLAM) [75]. *In vitro* and *in vivo* (tumor model within nude mice) studies using *ALCAM*-knockout HBECs showed
inhibition of colony formation and increased cell migration and decreased tumor growth and enhanced metastasis, respectively. Benzo[a]pyrene exposure within human mammary cells resulted in the upregulation of inflammation pathways and dysregulation of tumorigenic miRNAs, progressing benzo[a]pyrene-induced tumorigenesis through non-mutagenic pathways [76]. The influence of benzo[a]pyrene-induced inflammation was further explored within human adenocarcinoma mammary cells cotreated with benzo[a]pyrene and a pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 [77]; genotoxicity was enhanced due to the downregulation of miRNA-27b, allowing increased CYP1B1 metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene to reactive intermediates. Several studies have shown *in vitro* benzo[a]pyrene exposure caused prominent changes in the expression of miRNAs and their respective target genes, providing mechanistic insight into benzo[a]pyreneassociated toxicity [71, 78].

In addition to the focus on miRNA, recent studies investigated the role of IncRNA in mediating benzo[a]pyrene-associated effects. For example, the significant upregulation of linc00673 following benzo[a]pyrene exposure within human non-small cell lung cancer cells (A549) increased cell migration, invasion, and epithelialmesenchymal transition [79]. Human lung-derived cell lines treated with benzo[a]pyrene showed increased lncRNA H19 expression, initiating the downregulation of the Sadenosylhomocysteine hydrolase (SAHH) pathway, and overall mediation of LINE-1 methylation [80].

22

4.2. Benzene

4.2.1. DNA Methylation.

As detailed in Chappell et al. [10], earlier studies of DNA methylation effects of benzene exposure used human *in vivo* and *in vitro* models. The research focused on gene-specific aberrant methylation, explicitly genes associated with tumor suppression and apoptosis inhibition, following benzene treatment. Since 2016, evidence concerning benzeneinduced DNA methylation has been gathered from human in vivo and in vitro studies. The majority of new human *in vivo* studies focus on gene-specific methylation, the identification of potential biomarkers for early detection of benzene toxicity, and the influence of benzene on DNA methyltransferase expression. For example, peripheral blood cells derived from benzene-exposed workers demonstrated hypomethylation of STAT3, which was significantly correlated with oxidative stress variables [81], and MT-COI a gene associated with oxidative phosphorylation [82]; these epigenetic modifications represent potential predictive marks for benzene exposures. Also, it was found that low-level occupational benzene exposure was associated with hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes *p15^{INK4b}* [83], *p14ARF*, and *p16^{INK4A}* [84]; aberrant methylation of $p16^{INK4A}$ was significantly correlated with genomic instability caused by benzene-induced chromosomal abnormalities. Furthermore, occupational benzene exposure led to aberrant promoter methylation of CSF3R that is essential for neutrophil production [85] and ERCC3 that is involved in nucleotide excision repair [86]; these effects were significantly associated with a reduction in neutrophils and CpG methylation-induced hematotoxicity, respectively. Prolonged benzene exposure in

workers was associated with chromosomal damage and increased frequency of micronuclei; *in vitro* validation of these results showed even low-level benzene concentrations cause hypermethylation of DNA repair genes and hypomethylation of LINE-1 within acute myeloid leukemia (AML-5) cells [87].

Specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for DNA methyltransferase 3A and 3B (DNMT3A/3B; *de novo* DNMTs) were positively associated with hypomethylation following benzene exposure within shoe factory workers; this effect was hypothesized by the authors to have influenced the induction of DNA damage (e.g. micronuclei frequency) [88]. Increased expression of DNMT3b expression following benzene exposure within human *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies was correlated with increased promoter hypermethylation, silencing HOXA transcript antisense RNA myeloid-specific 1 (HOTAIRM1) expression, a putative tumor suppressor gene [89]. The DNA methylation status within erythroleukemic cells (K562) exposed to various benzene metabolites determined erythroid gene expression and cell differentiation [90-92]; for example, phenol exposure modulated the methylome to suppress erythroid-specific genes [92] while catechol upregulated gene expression [91].

4.2.2. Histone Modifications.

Previously summarized reports [10] investigating the effect of benzene exposure on histones and/or chromatin structure were conducted within human and mouse *in vivo* and rat *in vitro* models. These studies focused on species specificity of benzene-induced histone modifications and the role histone alterations play in topoisomerase II α (Topo II α) activity, expression, and mRNA levels. Since 2016, reports of histone modifications have utilized human *in vivo* and *in vitro* models to investigate gene-specific modifications due to aberrant histone expression and the effects of histone alterations on Topo IIα. For example, human bone marrow mononuclear cells treated with benzene increased histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity and decreased Topo IIα activity, subsequently inducing apoptosis [93]. A follow-up full-body inhalation exposure within mice confirmed decreased Topo IIα expression by impaired acetylation of histone H4 and H3. Human bone marrow samples from chronic benzene poisoning cases also showed significant decreases in histone H4 and H3 acetylation levels lowered mRNA expression of TOPOIIα promoter regulation factors [93]. Recent studies of benzene exposure in workers showed amplified trimethylation of histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4me3) was positively correlated with increased DNA damage [94]. A follow-up study within primary human lymphocytes revealed enrichment of H3K4me3 occurred within DNA damage responsive genes, potentially highlighting the mechanism in which histone modifications mediate benzene-induced hematotoxicity.

4.2.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

Studies originally summarized in Chappell et al. [10] concerning the aberrant expression of non-coding RNAs following benzene exposure used human and mouse *in vivo* models. The most common endpoints covered within these reports included differentially expressed miRNA and lncRNA-associated immune response pathways, the effects of *in utero* exposure on immune regulation, and aberrant miRNA expressionassociated hematotoxicity. Since 2016, perturbed non-coding RNA expression induced by benzene exposure has been studied within human biological samples and cells. For example, levels of circulating miRNA-221 following benzene exposure can be utilized as a reliable, minimally invasive biomarker of benzene-induced disease [95]; this finding was corroborated by several recent human *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies [96-98]. Downregulation of miRNA-451a and miRNA-486-5p was identified as being responsible for the regulation of erythroid differentiation in human cells [99, 100]. Alteration of miRNA-486-5p expression effected expression of MAGI1 (protein scaffolding gene) and RASSF5 (tumor suppressor gene), suggesting miRNA-486-5p may be involved in Ras-associated protein-1 signaling pathway-associated genes to inhibit benzene-induced erythroid differentiation. Aberrant expression of miRNAs induced by poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-1 (PARP-1) expression has been investigated using TK6 lymphoblastoid [101] and TK6 with miR-7-5p mimic (TK6- miR-7-5p) lines [102]. PARP-1 was found to decrease miRNA-155 expression through acetylation by modulating MBD2 protein levels [101]. An increase in miR-7-5p expression, following hydroquinone exposure, further aggravated PARP-1 and BRCA1 downregulation in TK6-miR-7-5p cells, subsequently enhancing cell apoptosis and inhibiting proliferation [102]. Investigation into the benzene metabolite 1,4-benzoquinone showed exposureinduced genotoxicity and significant upregulation of miR-222 within human lymphoblast cells [103]; this epigenetic modification inhibited MDMR-p53 expression and subsequently caused inactivation of p53 and abnormal DNA repair capacity.

Expression of lncRNAVNN3 was upregulated within exposed workers and treated human lymphocytes; a positive correlation was noted between this lncRNA and serum autophagy- and apoptosis-associated proteins [104]. Furthermore, LncRNAVNN3 was found to mediate benzene-induced autophagy and apoptosis through the mediation of beclin1 and Bcl-2 phosphorylation. Overexpression of lncRNA-OBFC2A decreased cell proliferation by interacting with Smad3 (a key protein in TGF-B signaling cascade) to control the cell cycle through altered Cyclin D1 expression [105, 106]. A human *in vivo* and *in vitro* study demonstrated crosstalk between lncRNA FAS-AS1 and DNMT3b with both being dynamically expressed via a mutual inhibition loop; the expression of FAS was downregulated through histone acetylation [107].

4.3. Aflatoxins

4.3.1. DNA Methylation.

Studies previously reviewed elsewhere [10] predominately focused on modification of the methylome following aflatoxin exposure within human *in vivo* models. The endpoints most frequently investigated included gene-specific methylation and resulting expression alterations (e.g., RASSF1A), the effect of DNA methylation on telomere length, and global methylation alterations. Since 2016, extensive research has been conducted concerning gene-specific methylation following aflatoxin exposure using human cell lines. For example, chronic aflatoxin B1 exposure produced persistent DNA hypomethylation within six identified cancer-related genes: *TXNRD1, PCNA, CCNK, DIAPH3, RAB27A*, and *HIST1H2BF* [108]. This study is the first to report persistent epigenetic effects induced following AFB1 exposure within the transcriptome and may provide key insight into potential biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development (a disease strongly associated with AFB1 exposure). Minimally cytotoxic *in vitro* exposures of aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and aflatoxin B2 (AFB2) (a structurally-related non-carcinogenic analog) produced distinct gene-expression profiles with significant DNA methylation alterations [109]; however, no correlation between gene-specific DNA methylation and gene expression changes was noted. In contrast, non-toxic, low-dose aflatoxin B2 exposure identified a large number of differentially expressed genes but few effects on the global DNA methylome, implying a transcriptomic response rather than significant DNA methylation modifications [110].

Additional human *in vitro* studies have shown the interplay of multiple epigenetic mechanisms pivotal to the aflatoxin-induced carcinogenesis pathway. S phase-arrested L02 cells exhibited aflatoxin-induced apoptosis, decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, increased reactive oxygen species generation, and upregulation of global DNA methylation at all exposure concentrations [111]. Results concluded DNA methylation induced by AFB1 exposure played a key role in regulating multiple hormonal and cell fate pathways. Aflatoxin B1 treatment within immortalized human cells caused p21 promoter (a tumor suppressor gene) hypermethylation via increased DNMT3a expression, a pathway initiated by the upregulation of H3K26me3 and H2AK119Ub [112]; this cascade of epigenetic events resulted in the deregulation of cell cycle regulatory molecules. A secondary study of aflatoxin B1 treatment within human epithelial cells showed the enhancement of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT1, DNMT3A, and 3B), histone modifiers (HDAC 1, 2, 4, and 6), and histone marks (H2K27me3 and H2AK119Ub) [113]. Together these studies provide strong mechanistic evidence for epigenetic regulation of aflatoxin B1 effects.

4.3.2. Histone Modifications.

Previously reviewed publications [10] investigating aflatoxin exposure-associated histone modifications use mouse and alternative animal models. The studies investigated the correlation between histone repression and DNA hypermethylation within oocytes. Since 2016, the effects of benzene on histone marks have only been investigated within human cell lines. As summarized above, crosstalk was identified between histone modifications and genome methylation following AFB1 exposure, specifically the association between repressive histone marks (H3K27me3 and H2AK119Ub) and DNMTs [112, 113]. Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis showed increased enrichment of H3K27me3 following AFB1 exposure upregulated DNMT3a, causing promoter demethylation (*Cyclin D1*) and hypermethylation (*p21*). These epigenetic modifications ultimately caused the deregulation of cell cycle regulatory molecules.

4.3.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

As summarized in Chappell et al. [10], previously reviewed studies investigated the effects of aflatoxin on non-coding RNA expression in human and rodent models. These studies focused on upregulated miRNA within liver tumors, identification of sensitive biomarkers of aflatoxin exposure, and miRNA-mRNA interactions associated with repression of DNA damage repair. Since 2016, human, rodent, and alternative animal models continue to be used and focused on the identification of potential biomarkers of aflatoxin exposure, differentially expressed miRNA associated with carcinogenesis pathways, and non-coding pathways enhancing immune response pathways. For example, two miRNAs have been identified as biomarkers for the early detection of aflatoxin-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. MiRNA-4651 was identified as a potential biomarker due to its increased accuracy and sensitivity when compared to α -fetoprotein (a serum marker to identify patients at high risk of HCC) [114]. Upregulation of miRNA-182 was detectable months before clinical HCC symptoms were present and represent a non-invasive, serum biomarker for disease detection [115]. Utilization of miRNA-4651 and -182 in identifying small-size and early-stage HCC may improve AFB1-induced HCC disease diagnosis and prognosis.

MiRNA-155 expression in isolated spleen T cells from ABF1-exposed mice was found to be significantly reduced [116]. In the same tissue, significant upregulation of phosphatidylinositol-3, 4, 5-trisphosphate 5-phosphatase 1 (Ship1), and suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (Socs1) was observed within exposed T cells. These outcomes suggest miR-155 and targeted proteins (Ship1 and Socs1) are involved within AFM1induced immunotoxicity. Following AFB1 exposure, differentially expressed miRNAs were identified, all being significantly associated with cancer development [117]. Subsequent bioinformatic analyses established miRNA-mRNA regulatory networks related to the onset of AFB1-induced hepatocellular carcinoma.

A long-term exposure study in Roman hens fed AFB1-contaminated food indicated the expression levels of protein-coding genes, miRNAs, and lncRNAs within the liver were altered [118]; there was a strong association between these epigenetic effects and upregulation of *PPARG* (fatty acid storage regulator) and downregulation of *Bcl-6* (autoimmune response regulator).

4.4. Coke production

4.4.1. DNA Methylation.

As summarized in Chappell et al. [10], DNA methylation following coke production exposure was only investigated within human in vivo models. The endpoints studied within these publications were global and gene-specific promoter methylation, chromosomal abnormalities, and identification of urinary biomarkers for coke production exposure. Since 2016, studies have continued to primarily use human in vivo models, reporting retrotransposon (e.g. LINE-1) and gene-specific methylation (e.g. CYP1A1 and FLT1). For example, coke oven workers with reported heavy smoking habits showed significant associations between CYP1A1 hypomethylation and increased levels of 8-OHdG (a biomarker of oxidative DNA damage) [119]; these results indicate the co-exposure of smoking and coke oven work increases the risk for oxidative DNA damage, a key player in disease pathogenesis, through epigenetic alterations of CYP1A1. Urinary samples collected from coke oven workers demonstrated high levels of urinary 1-hydroxypyrene (1-OHP; a PAH metabolite) that were significantly associated with LINE-1 hypomethylation [120]; the additional exposure of tobacco use was found to increase aryl-hydrocarbon receptor repressor (AhRR) hypomethylation. Peripheral blood lymphocytes collected from coke oven workers possessed hypermethylation of fms related tyrosine kinase 1 (*FLT1*), a gene previously found to be hypermethylated within several human cancers [121]. The aberrant *FLTI* methylation status was positively correlated with 1-OHP urinary levels and DNA damage, indicating FLT1 may act as a tumor suppressor and its anomalous modulation may contribute to coke-productionassociated carcinogenesis. Coke oven emission-transformed HBE cells demonstrated *TRIM36* hypermethylation and overall gene suppression [69]; this gene-specific methylation was significantly associated with 1-OHP levels and DNA damage, indicating aberrant methylation of *TRIM36* may contribute to coke oven-associated malignancy. Human *in vivo* (peripheral blood lymphocytes) and *in vitro* [human bronchial epithelial (HBE) cells] models highlighted potential crosstalk of several epigenetic endpoints following coke oven exposure [122]. Hypomethylation of *LINE-1* and *O*⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (*MGMT*) were significantly correlated with enhanced H3K36me3 modifications in a dose-dependent manner, indicating co-interaction of DNA methylation and histone modifications in the induction of coke production-associated DNA damage.

4.4.2. Histone Modifications.

Trimethylation levels of H3K27me3 and H3K36me3 were elevated in peripheral blood lymphocytes collected from coke oven workers [123]. Notably, a positive correlation was identified between H3K36me3 expression and the level of internal PAH-exposure in all subjects (exposed and control), indicating PAH-induced DNA damage may be mediated by H3K36me3 modifications and could be an indicator of PAH exposure. Additionally, PAH exposure was found to lower global H3K79me2 presence, a histone mark that plays a critical role in DNA damage regulation [124].

4.4.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

Studies previously reviewed elsewhere [10] that investigated the effects of coke oven emission exposure on non-coding RNA expression used human *in vivo* models.

These reports focused on miRNA expression-mediation of coke production-associated oxidative DNA damage and micronuclei frequency. Since 2016, studies have continued to use human *in vivo* models for both miRNA and lncRNA investigations. For example, several plasma miRNAs with significant dysregulation were inversely associated with heart rate variability, representing potential biomarkers for coke production-associated cardiovascular insults [125]. Peripheral blood lymphocytes of coke oven workers demonstrated upregulation of HOTAIR and MALAT1 lncRNA expression; these epigenetic modifications were correlated to DNA damage in an exposure level-dependent manner [126]. Furthermore, elevated HOTAIR expression was significantly associated with H3K27me3 modifications (a biomarker of DNA damage), indicating lncRNA expression may regulate DNA damage through interaction with histone modifications.

4.5. Sulfur mustard

4.5.1. DNA Methylation.

Studies previously reviewed elsewhere [10] that investigated the effects of coke oven emission exposure on non-coding RNA expression used human *in vivo* models. These reports focused on miRNA expression-mediation of coke production-associated oxidative DNA damage and micronuclei frequency. Since 2016, studies have continued to use human *in vivo* models for both miRNA and lncRNA investigations. For example, several plasma miRNAs with significant dysregulation were inversely associated with heart rate variability, representing potential biomarkers for coke production-associated cardiovascular insults [125]. Peripheral blood lymphocytes of coke oven workers demonstrated upregulation of HOTAIR and MALAT1 lncRNA expression; these epigenetic modifications were correlated to DNA damage in an exposure leveldependent manner [126]. Furthermore, elevated HOTAIR expression was significantly associated with H3K27me3 modifications (a biomarker of DNA damage), indicating lncRNA expression may regulate DNA damage through interaction with histone modifications.

4.5.2. Histone Modifications.

Human early endothelial cells exposed to low sulfur mustard concentrations [127] were tested for histone acetylation (H3-K9, H3-K27, H4-K8) or di-methylation (H3-K9, H3-K27, H3-K36) at three passage timepoints. No clear generational variation or dose-dependent effects could be discerned within the cells, indicating posttranslational histone alterations do not play a key role in sulfur-mustard-induced carcinogenesis.

4.5.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

Previously summarized reports [10] predominately investigated the modulation of miRNA expression following sulfur mustard exposure within human *in vivo* models; additional studies occurred in human and murine cells. The most common endpoints reported within these studies include diagnostic methods and therapeutic intervention discoveries for sulfur mustard exposure, mechanisms of sulfur mustard-associated cellular function impairment, and identification of miRNA involved in exposure-induced pathogenesis. Since 2016, modulation of miRNA expression following sulfur mustard exposure has continued to be conducted within human *in vivo* and *in vitro* and rodent *in* vivo models. These studies report proposed miRNA-mediated pathways of carcinogenesis and potential biomarkers for sulfur mustard exposure. For example, comparative evaluation of miRNA-143 and -9 (miRNA associated with key regulatory pathways such as NF-kB signaling) within urine samples collected from occupationally exposed patients showed significantly decreased expression levels [128]. An additional study reported four significantly upregulated miRNA within serum samples collected from sulfur mustard-exposed veterans [129]. These studies identify specific miRNAs that may represent non-invasive biomarkers of sulfur mustard exposure. MiRNA and SMAD7 expression were upregulated within sulfur mustard exposed lung tissue samples, identifying a potential mechanism by which sulfur mustard exposure regulates inflammatory/fibrotic modifications within the lung [130]. Human keratinocyte cells that were made resistant to sulfur mustard (HaCat/SM) displayed significant differences in miRNA expression patterns when compared to controls [131]. The authors postulated that miRNA-125 and miRNA-181 upregulation was the mechanism for transcriptional effects and sulfur-mustard resistance in HaCat/SM cells.

Male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to sulfur mustard by inhalation and immortalized rat lung-derived airway epithelial cells (CRL-10354) identified miRNA-140 expression as a mediator of sulfur mustard effects [132]. Additionally, it was proposed levels of miRNA-140 can be used as a predictor of the severity of sulfur mustard-induced health insults.

35

4.6. Formaldehyde

4.6.1. DNA Methylation.

A study previously reviewed within Chappell et al. [10] showed long-term, lowdose formaldehyde treatment within human bronchial epidermal cells (16HBE) induced global DNA hypomethylation. Since 2016, one publication concerning global methylome modifications following occupational formaldehyde exposure has been published. Beauty salon workers exposed to low levels (up to 0.24 ppm) of formaldehyde demonstrated DNA hypermethylation in whole blood samples [133].

4.6.2. Histone Modifications.

Studies published before 2016 [10] investigating histone modifications following formaldehyde exposure were conducted within human cell lines and histone 4 isolated from calf thymus. The publications focused on the phosphorylation of H3 through JNK and PI3K/Akt pathways (responsible for cellular process regulation) and the inhibition of post-translational modifications by formaldehyde-induced Schiff bases. Since 2016, one publication investigating the epigenetic effects of formaldehyde exposure within human cells has been published. Human bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B) demonstrated the inhibition of covalent modifications of histones H3 and H4 following formaldehyde treatment [134]. This inhibition resulted in aberrant chromatin assembly, altering the expression of multiple cancer-related genes (e.g. JUN, JUNB, CDKN1A, and SERPINB5).

4.6.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

Previously summarized studies [10] concerning the dysregulation of non-coding RNA expression due to formaldehyde exposure utilized human *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* models (rodent and alternative species). These publications focused on the identification of potential miRNAs biomarkers for formaldehyde exposure and the role of inflammatory response pathways in formaldehyde-associated carcinogenesis. No studies concerning the effect of formaldehyde on non-coding RNAs were published between 2016 and 2020.

4.7. 1,3-Butadiene

4.7.1. DNA Methylation.

Previously summarized studies in Chappell et al. [10] reported modification of the methylome following 1,3-butadiene exposure utilized mouse in vivo models; these reports focused on strain- and tissue- specificity of DNA methylation levels. Since 2016, mouse in vivo studies have continued to be the model of choice; scientific interest has focused on variation within damage responses (e.g. sex-specificity) as well as the influence of genetic variation on epigenomic modifications. For example, sex-specific variation in cytosine DNA hypomethylation patterns within the target (liver and lung) and non-target (kidney) tissues was identified in a mouse population-wide model [135]. Furthermore, previous findings of strain-specific effects on DNA methylation were corroborated with respect to the levels of methylation of LINE-1 and short interspersed nuclear element-B1 (SINE B1) retrotransposons detected following an in vivo exposure within a murine model [136]. The liver showed a significant correlation between 1,3-

butadiene-induced DNA damage and DNA methylation at the population level; a negative correlation between DNA adduct levels and the extent of SINE B1 methylation was reported. Inter-strain variability in 1,3-butadiene-associated global DNA methylation, as measured by methylation of LINE-1, was far greater than that for DNA adducts or histone methylation.

4.7.2. Histone Modifications.

As stated above, all prior publications concerning histone modifications following 1,3butadiene exposure were reported within mouse *in vivo* models. Since 2016, all new research has been collected via mouse *in vivo* inhalation studies to highlight how population variability may influence susceptibility to epigenetic modifications following 1,3-butadiene exposure. For example, inter-strain variability of global histone modifications following 1,3-butadiene inhalation exposure was demonstrated within 20 Collaborative Cross strains [136]. In the lung, trimethylation of H3K9 and acetylation of H3K27 were significantly decreased after exposure in all 20 strains. Conversely, trimethylation of H4K20 in the liver and H3K6 in the kidney showed significant increases. Interestingly, butadiene-associated effects on variability in chromatin accessibility across tissues in exposed mouse strains only partially explained the observed variability in gene transcription effects, indicating that effects on histones and chromatin are not exclusive regulators of the gene expression effects of butadiene [137].

4.7.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

In a study of two inbred mouse strains (C57BL/6J and CAST/EiJ), exposed to 1,3butadiene via inhalation, strain-specific differences in miRNA expression in response to treatment were observed [138]. No effects were observed in CAST/EiJ mice; however, in C57BL/6J mice, exposure resulted in changes in the expression of 109 miRNAs. Notably, miR-326-2p, a key mitigator of cell proliferation and migration within lung carcinogenesis, and miR-150-5p, a regulatory miRNA for glucuronosyltransferases *Ugt1a1, Ugt1a2,* and *Ugt1a5*, were affected. These results suggest that butadieneassociated altered miRNA expression change are strain-specific.

4.8. Vinyl Chloride

4.8.1. DNA Methylation.

As shown within Chappell et al [10], publications concerning epigenetic modifications induced by vinyl chloride exposure exclusively reported DNA methylation within human *in vivo* models. Specifically, these studies focused on the role genetic mutations (e.g. p53 abnormalities) play within vinyl chloride-associated carcinogenesis and the specific methylation of DNA repair genes (e.g. MGMT). Since 2016, one additional report concerning vinyl chloride exposure causing alterations to the methylome within rodents has been published. Hepatocytes collected from rats intraperitoneally injected with vinyl chloride showed a positive correlation between DNA damage and promoter methylation of RASSF1A (gene associated with cell cycle regulation) and MGMT [139].

4.8.2. Histone Modifications.

No studies of histone modifications were identified.

4.8.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

A recent study within human peripheral blood lymphocytes collected from vinyl chloride-exposed workers possessed four significantly dysregulated miRNAs (MiRNA - 222-3p, MiRNA -146a-5p, MiRNA -151a-5p, MiRNA -22-3p). MiRNA-22-3p upregulation was positively correlated with high micronuclei frequency, a sign of genotoxicity [140]. These sites of modified expression could act as potential biomarkers of vinyl chloride monomer exposure and resulting carcinogenesis.

4.9. Occupational exposure as a painter

4.9.1. DNA Methylation.

No studies of DNA methylation were identified.

4.9.2. Histone Modifications.

No studies of histone modifications were identified.

4.9.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

A recent study of four professional painters within the naval industry aimed to better understand the role of blood miRNAs as biomarkers of exposure to common volatile organic solvents (VOCs) (ethylbenzene, toluene, xylene) used within shipyard painting [141]. Significant upregulation of miRNA-6819-5p and miRNA-6778-5p was noted within exposed workers when compared to controls. Evaluated urinary metabolites of exposed workers showed significant associations between miRNAs and organic solvents' absorbed dose. The authors concluded a more robust study could provide key insight into specific miRNAs that may be used as biomarkers of exposure to match with specific VOCs at defined concentrations.

4.10. Coal tar pitch

4.10.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Coal tar pitch is predominately an occupational exposure as it is used in electrode manufacturing and construction (paving and roofing). Limited data is available for coal tar pitch but an association between exposure and cancer of the bladder has been noted within human studies. Genotoxicity investigations identified sister chromatid exchanges and DNA strain breaks induced by coal tar pitch exposure. Further exposure information and mechanistic data are available at: <u>https://monographs.iarc.who.int/wp-</u>

content/uploads/2018/06/mono100F-17.pdf.

4.10.2. DNA Methylation.

Coal-tar pitch extract (CTPE) induced down-regulation of genomic DNA methylation in BEAS-2B cells (lung epithelial cells) [142]. CTPE-induced BEAS-2B cells in culture possessed upregulated DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b, HDAC1, let-7a, and miR-21 levels within early passages, representing potential biomarkers for coal tar pitch-induced carcinogenesis.

4.10.3. Histone Modifications.

No studies of histone modifications were identified.

4.10.4. Non-Coding RNAs.

Coal tar pitch extract (CTPE)-induced BEAS-2B cells, when compared to controls, showed 707 differentially expressed lncRNA [143]. Specifically, lncRNA ENST00000501520 expression increased in malignant-transformed cells exposed to coal tar pitch [143, 144]. When ENST00000501520 was knocked down, cell arrested in G1 phase and increased apoptosis was observed.

4.11. 4-Aminobiphenyl

4.11.1. DNA Methylation.

No studies of DNA methylation were identified.

4.11.2. Histone Modifications.

No studies of histone modifications were identified.

4.11.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

As summarized elsewhere [10], previous studies reporting epigenetic alterations due to 4-aminobiphenyl exposure utilized human cells. The reports focused on histone modifications and the role of miRNAs in DNA damage response following exposure. Since 2016, one additional study has been published further investigating the role of miRNA in 4-aminobiphenyl genotoxicity. Human liver carcinoma cells (HepG2) exposed to 4-aminobiphenyl demonstrated increased reactive oxidative species (ROS) generation, leading to the increased expression of cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB; a transcription factor) [145]. The upregulation of CREB increased the expression of miRNA-630 which modulates RAD18 and MCM8 (genes associated with homologous recombination repair pathway) expression. These findings may increase understanding of molecular mechanisms concerning the role of miRNA-630 in reducing DNA damage repair within 4-aminobiphenyl-treated hepatic cells

5. EPIGENETIC EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH IARC GROUP 1 HUMAN CARCINOGENS AND ASSOCIATED OCCUPATIONS INCLUDED IN MONOGRAPHS 105-118

Eight additional human genotoxic carcinogens that were evaluated by IARC after Monograph Volume 100 also met inclusion criteria for this systematic literature review. A description of the scientific evidence concerning DNA methylation (global, genespecific, and DNMT associated), histone modifications (global, gene-specific, histone enzyme associated, or chromatin structure), and non-coding RNAs (miRNA and lncRNA targeted and untargeted testing) for each carcinogen is summarized herein. Additionally, a brief description of the common routes of exposure, associated cancers, and mechanism of genotoxicity is provided. Further background information for each carcinogen is available in the corresponding IARC monograph (**Table 1**).

5.1. Outdoor Air Pollution

5.1.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Outdoor air pollution is a multi-source, complex exposure of one or more pollutants that occurs continuously. As summarized within IARC Monograph 109, the main exposure source categories include vehicle emissions; stationary power generation; agricultural and manufacturing emissions; residential sources; terrestrial and aquatic re-emissions; chemical production, distribution, and use; and natural processes. Outdoor air pollution includes photochemical oxidants, particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide, toxic and/or volatile metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organic compounds (VOCs, SVOCs, and particulate organic matter), mineral dust/fibers, and bioaerosols. Target organs for carcinogenesis following outdoor air pollution exposure include the lung, bladder, and breast; hematological malignancies have also been associated with these exposures. Human *in vitro* and *in vivo* data significantly indicates outdoor air pollution induces pulmonary cancers through genotoxic mechanisms and promotes tumorigenesis through oxidative stress, responses to oxidative stress, and persistent inflammation. Further exposure and other information are detailed elsewhere [146].

5.1.2. Epigenetic Effects.

Scientific evidence of outdoor air pollution-associated epigenetic modifications is voluminous. A total of 163 studies were included in this review; of these, 140 publications reported effects *in vivo*. DNA methylation is the most studied epigenetic effect of outdoor air pollution (64%), followed by non-coding RNAs (34%) and histone modifications (7%). A total of 135 publications (83% of all included) reported data from studies in humans (112 *in vivo* and 24 *in vitro* studies). As most outdoor air pollution exposure publications concern human *in vivo* investigations into epigenetic modifications, the most recently published studies per endpoint (DNA Methylation, Histone Modifications, and Non-Coding RNAs) have been identified below to provide insight into current research areas. All other included studies can be reviewed within the HAWC assessment.

5.1.2.1. DNA Methylation.

Recent studies have focused on mitochondrial DNA methylation [147, 148],

identification of mechanistic pathways involved in outdoor air pollution-induced toxicity [149, 150], and *in utero* or childhood exposures [151-153]. Additional studies have investigated gene-specific methylation, specifically "clock genes" associated with the circadian rhythm [154], and methylation of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines [155].

5.1.2.2. Histone Modifications.

A recent report showed air pollution exposure impairs brain chromatin silencing by decreasing histone posttranslational modifications, reducing DNA integrity overall [156]. Additionally, early-life ambient air pollution exposure was associated with cord plasma histone H3 modifications [157].

5.1.2.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

No human *in vivo* studies of epigenetic effects of outdoor air pollution investigated long non-coding RNAs; all non-coding RNA publications focus on miRNA. The latest miRNA research has centered on the identification of miRNA biomarkers for air pollution health risk assessment [158], prenatal exposure inducing inflammatory responses and carcinogenesis [159, 160], and miRNA-mediated mechanisms of air pollution biological insults [161].

5.2. Engine exhaust, diesel

5.2.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Diesel and gasoline represent major energy sources used to power motor vehicles. Most human exposures occur in occupational (e.g. mining, construction, manufacturing) and traffic settings. Significant positive correlations exist between diesel engine emission exposure and the occurrence of lung and/or bladder cancer within humans. Engineexhaust-associated carcinogenesis is induced through genotoxic mechanisms including DNA damage, mutations and chromosomal-level events, gene expression modulation, and production of reactive oxygen species (increased inflammatory response). Lung carcinogenicity following diesel engine emission exposure is suspected to be amplified by the other known and suspected human carcinogens within diesel engine exhaust. Further exposure and other information are detailed elsewhere [162].

5.2.2. DNA Methylation.

Workers chronically exposed to diesel engine exhaust exhibited significant hypomethylation of *p16*, *RASSF1A*, and *MGMT* (DNA damage response-related genes) and increased cytokinesis-block micronucleus (CBMN) cytome index (a biomarker of carcinogenesis) [163]. A second human *in vivo* study, evaluating peripheral blood mononuclear cell DNA methylation levels, demonstrated significant global hypomethylation of CpG sites involved in inflammation responses, repetitive elements, and miRNA [164]. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) exhibited increased reactive oxygen species generation and DNA damage following diesel exhaust particulate matter exposure [165]. Furthermore, aberrant gene methylation was reported.

Several human *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have analyzed diesel exhaust and allergen co-exposures. For example, human bronchial epithelial cells exposed to diesel

exhaust particles and house dust mite allergens resulted in increased TET1 and DNMT1 expression, indicating novel 5mC and 5hmC changes in inflammation and epithelial repair response genes [166]. In contrast, human *in vivo* exposure to diesel exhaust particles, allergens, or co-exposure only demonstrated minor DNA methylation changes [167]. Only when allergens and diesel exhaust were experienced in sequential insults were significant CpG site methylation levels noted, with the order of exposure determining unique epigenetic changes. An additional study identified airway hyperresponsive patients with naturally high TET enzyme expression and global hypermethylation as a susceptible population to diesel exhaust-associated exposures [168].

Several rodent studies *in vivo* investigated the epigenetic effects of diesel exhaust exposure, specifically *in utero* exposures. For example, pregnant C57BL/6J mice were exposed to diesel exhaust; their offspring were subsequently necropsied for brain tissue at either day 1 or day 21 [169]. The genome-wide DNA methylation status was disrupted at both time points investigated, suggesting potential future health insults and disease induction due to altered developmental pathways. Additional studies demonstrated *in utero* exposure within murine models caused altered methylation within cardiomyocytes, modifying cardiac transcriptional responses [170] and metabolic capability of the cells [171]. Aberrant CpG island methylation of $p16^{INK4A}$ was noted within diesel exhaustassociated tumors, indicating tumorigenesis may involve p16 suppression [172]. Furthermore, the inactivation of p16 supports a possible role for oxidative stress and inflammation in the initiation of lung cancer. Lung inflammation following diesel exhaust exposure was further explored in Sprague-Dawley rats [172]. Diesel exhaust particle exposure suppressed Clara cell secretory protein (CC16) levels through aberrant methylation of the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (C/EBPa) promoter.

5.2.3. Histone Modifications.

Human bronchial epithelial cells exposed to diesel exhaust particles showed enhanced expression of COX-2, a key mediator of stress-induced inflammatory responses; this effect was attributed to chromatin modifications [173]. Specifically, increased acetylation of H4 occurred through the degradation of HDAC1 (COX-2 transcription regulator).

5.2.4. Non-Coding RNAs.

Several studies utilizing human cells have demonstrated diesel exhaust exposure altered miRNA and gene expression [174-176]. For example, diesel exhaust exposure increased miRNA 21 expression and subsequently activated the PTEN/P13K/AKT pathway, a potential mechanism for diesel exhaust-associated carcinogenesis [177]. A second study demonstrated diesel exhaust exposure repressed miRNA 382 expression, increasing CXCL12/MMP9 and triggering pulmonary inflammation [178].

Human *in vivo* studies investigating diesel exposure have shown dysregulated miRNA and associated genes induce bronchial immune responses [179] and oxidative stress [180]. Additionally, peripheral blood mononuclear cells collected from diesel exhaust-exposed finishing workers showed significant DNA adduct formation and miRNA dysregulation [181]; aberrantly expressed miRNAs were associated with carcinogenesis apoptosis and antioxidant effects.

5.3. Lindane

5.3.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) common name of "lindane" refers to any material containing > 99% γ -hexachlorocyclohexane (γ -HCH), a stable isomer of hexachlorocyclohexane. Lindane is primarily used as an insecticide within forestry and agricultural settings but is used in some niche pharmaceutical scenarios to treat head lice and scabies (mites). Adverse effects from lindane exposure have been noted within the liver, kidney, and hematopoietic systems along with testicular toxicity. Lindane is suggested to be immunosuppressive by increasing oxidative stress and genotoxic by inducing chromosomal and micronuclei frequency alterations. Information on exposure is detailed elsewhere [182].

5.3.2. Epigenetic Effects.

One study reported epigenetic effects following lindane exposure, analyzing both DNA methylation and histone modifications. Pregnant female albino Wistar rats were exposed to lindane (0.25 mg/kg) and corn oil [183]. The resulting male offspring were further divided into three subgroups based on age (9, 18, or 27 weeks). These three subgroups were separated into subsequent treatment cohorts: lindane (5 mg/kg by weight, orally, 5 consecutive days) or corn oil (5 mg/kg by weight, orally, 5 consecutive days) or corn oil (5 mg/kg by weight, orally, 5 consecutive days). Results from this study revealed upregulation in histone H3 acetylation and CpG methylation of promoter regions of cerebral cytochrome P450s (CYPs). The authors concluded the specific CpG alterations following lindane exposure may suggest the

occurrence of imprinting of cerebral CYPs, which may account for enhanced responsiveness of these indicated CYPs. More robust rat model studies were recommended to validate these hypotheses.

5.4. Pentachlorophenol

5.4.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Pentachlorophenol is produced through (i.) stepwise chlorination of phenols in the presence of ferric chloride or anhydrous aluminum chloride (catalysts) or (ii.) alkaline hydrolysis of hexachlorobenzene. While the initial production of the chemical was for wood preservation, the compound is now used as an herbicide, fungicide, germicide, and algicide; in tannery; in production of paints and adhesives; and in general construction such as insulation and brickwork. Due to its primary uses, human exposure commonly occurs in occupational settings and has been linked to non-Hodgkin lymphoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and acute lymphocytic leukemia. Human *in vitro* data has indicated pentachlorophenol induces oxidative stress and genotoxicity; nonmammalian models report increased oxidative stress markers and DNA adduct levels. Information on exposure is detailed elsewhere [146].

5.4.2. Epigenetic Effects.

One study reported epigenetic effects following pentachlorophenol, specifically modifications of non-coding RNAs. Pregnant ICR mice were exposed to pentachlorophenol (0.02, 0.2, or 2 mg/kg by weight) during gestation day (GD) 0.5 to GD 8.5 and then compared to controls [184]. Autophagy was increased within exposed

mouse placentas as indicated by upregulated mRNA expression of P62, LC3-II/LC3-I, and Beclin1. To further investigate the increased autophagy found in pentachlorophenolexposed placenta samples, the expression of miR-30a-5p (an expression inhibitor of Beclin1) was evaluated. Increased pentachlorophenol exposure was significantly correlated with the downregulation of miR-30a-5p expression and induction of autophagy through Beclin1 upregulation. These results characterize the developmental toxicity of pentachlorophenol, completed through autophagy.

5.5. Polychlorinated bi-phenyls

References for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) have been separated into the nondioxin-like ortho-substituted PCB category, in which their two rings are not aligned in the same plane, and the more toxic dioxin-like category, with meta and para-substituents whose co-planar aromatic rings are aligned like those of the highly toxic polychlorinated dibenzodioxins (PCBDs). Dioxin-like PCB include PCBs 77, 81, 105, 114, 118, 123, 126, 156, 157, 167, 169, and 189, as listed within IARC Monograph 107 [185].

5.5.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

PCBs are ubiquitous environmental contaminants found in all elements (earth, water, air), wildlife, and in most humans. The carcinogens are produced both naturally and during industrial processes. Exposures may occur via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal absorption. Occupational sources include fires, recycling processes, and waste incineration. Exposure to PCB in human populations has been significantly associated

with malignant melanoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and cancer within the breast tissue. Multiple modes of actions have been identified for PCB and their metabolites, causing both genotoxic and nongenotoxic effects associated with tumor initiation and progression as well as cancer induction. Less chlorinated congeners induce oxidative stress and genotoxicity following oxidative metabolism. Highly chlorinated congeners interact with receptors associated with xenobiotic and androgen metabolism. PCB also affect plasma membrane-associated proteins, causing dysregulation of cell processes, and promotion of tumorigenesis. Information on exposure is detailed elsewhere [185].

5.5.2. Non-Dioxin Like PCBs.

5.5.2.1. DNA Methylation.

A large number of studies (39 publications) were identified for non-dioxin-like PCB effects on DNA methylation; therefore, only human *in vivo* data are summarized below. Studies investigating DNA methylation following PCB exposure in human cells and rodent/alternative species models can be reviewed within the HAWC assessment: https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1063/.

Several studies have reported an inverse relationship between global DNA methylation and PCB blood plasma levels collected from human subjects [186, 187]. A cross-sectional analysis identified global DNA hypermethylation within elderly individuals following PCB exposure, potentially identifying a susceptible population [188]. A study of genome-wide methylation following exposure to non-dioxin-like PCB

reported a positive correlation between increased aberrant methylation and intake of fish that likely served as a source of non-dioxin-like PCB [189].

Other studies have implicated potential sex-specific effects of epigenomic responses induced by PCB exposure. For example, global DNA hypomethylation was denoted within an Alu assay for men and DNA hypermethylation within a LINE-1 assay for women [190]. Additionally, significant enrichment was noted within specific X-chromosome sites within prenatally PCB-exposed males [191]. Global hypermethylation was noted following PCB exposure within sperm DNA [192].

Several reports have investigated maternal PCB exposure and resulting prenatal toxicity [193-195]. Aberrant methylation of several genes was was detected in blood of newborns perinatally exposed to high concentrations of PCB [196]; this study is the first to indicate PCB exposure persistence in an *in utero* model and may provide further insight into the underlying epigenetic mechanisms. One study, utilizing placental samples collected from PCB-exposed women, highlighted a positive association between total PCB levels and *H19* expression [197].

Differentially methylated genes following PCB exposure in humans may provide further mechanistic evidence to better understand resulting carcinogenesis [198, 199]. Additionally, the methylation of specific genes may offer epigenetic biomarkers for early disease or adverse health effect detection [200, 201]. For example, hypermethylation of MGMT displayed an inverted U-shaped association following PCB exposure, indicating a potential non-monotonic dose-response [202].

5.5.2.2. Histone Modifications.

Prolonged exposure to Aroclor 1254 within human neuroblastoma cells (SH-Sy5Y) demonstrated dose-dependent cytotoxicity; cell death was proposed to occur via repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor (REST) decreasing synapsin1 and H3 and H4 acetylation [203]. Early-life PCB exposure within a rat model decreased H4K16ac and H3K4me3 levels via the induction of *SirtT1* and *Jarid1b* (chromatin-modifying enzymes) and downregulation of androgen receptors [204].

5.5.2.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

PCB exposure was shown to affect the implantation function of human endometrial cells by impairing expression of miRNAs that are involved in the epithelialmesenchymal transition processes [205]. The authors hypothesized that miRNAs may play a role in PCB-associated endometrial toxicity. As novel prenatal biomarkers, placental miRNA profiles were suggested as predictors of *in utero* PCB exposure [206], providing insight into the role of the placental epigenome in human reproduction and development.

Additional studies identified miRNAs and target genes with modified expression following PCB exposure were associated with various types of cancer [207] and provide key insights into mechanisms of PCB toxicity [208] within humans. Rodent *in vitro* and *in vivo* models implicated dysregulated miRNA levels following PCB exposure in the induction of several biological outcomes, including neurodevelopmental disorders [209, 210], atherosclerosis [211], and cardiac development [212].

5.5.3. Dioxin Like.

5.5.3.1. DNA Methylation.

Global methylation levels were significantly decreased following dioxin-like PCB exposure within several cross-sectional human *in vivo* studies, demonstrating that exposure to carcinogenic environmental pollutants induces change in the methylome [187, 213]. Further investigation into the epigenetic modifications associated with exposures to dioxin-like PCBs in human *in vivo* models showed significant changes in CpG methylation [214]. In contrast to human *in vivo* results, human carcinoma cell lines (HepG2 and HeLa) treated with PCB 126 showed no apparent differences within methylation levels of specific CpG locations following exposure [215]. The study further investigated the expression of *CYP1A1* following PCB 126 treatment. It was shown that cell-specific variation in treatment-induced chromatin accessibility of *CYP1A1* promoter may be an important epigenetic regulatory pathway for cell-specific *CYP1A1* expression. PCB 126 exposure within a zebrafish model showed significantly altered global methylation, with most modifications occurring within genes associated with development, metabolism, and regeneration pathways [216].

PCB118 (2,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl) is the most individually studied dioxinlike polychlorinated biphenyl due to its high toxicity rate and rapid bioaccumulation capability. Three *in vivo* studies utilizing pregnant mice orally dosed with PCB118 identified altered methylation rates of imprinted genes and modified expression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3l, Uhrf1, and Tet3 following exposure [217]. Specifically, methylation patterns within genes H19 and Gtl2 of male offspring from the exposed dams were altered, identifying sex-variation in response to PCB118 exposure. Within the exposed dams, PCB118 dosage caused dysregulated oocyte maturation [217], defective uterine morphology, and decreased implantation rates [218].

5.5.3.2. Histone Modifications.

Human vascular endothelial cells treated with PCBs 77 or 126 showed increased expression of jumonji domain-contain protein 2b (JMJD2b). These affects were correlated with decreased H3K9me3 repression marks and significantly increased H3K4me3 activation marks in the promoter of this gene; these histone modifications were also associated with increased expression of inflammatory genes following dioxinlike PCB treatment [219]. Human endothelial cells exposed to relevant levels of PCB 126 and/or epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG-an anti-inflammatory polyphenol) were evaluated for epigenetic modifications. Co-exposure of PCB 126 and EGCC decreased PCB-induced NF-kB activation through chromatin binding and histone H3 hypoacetylation [220]. Mouse Hepatoma-1c1c7 cells treated with various dioxin-like PCBs (77, 169, and 153) demonstrated PCB-induced histone modifications depend upon the activation of AHR by ligand before chromatin modifications critical for active transcription can occur [221].

5.5.3.3. Non-Coding RNAs.

Human *in vivo* studies have shown PCB exposure induces significantly modulated miRNA and target gene expression, with the specified miRNA locations may serve as potential biomarkers for diagnosis of subsequent biological effects [207, 222]. Human liver cells (L-02) exposed to PCB 156, a compound associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, was found to modulate the expression of mRNAs and lncRNAs associated with metabolic and inflammatory processes [223]. These identified effects may be used as potential biomarkers for early detection of the PCB-induced lipid metabolism disorder.

5.6. Trichlorethylene

5.6.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Trichloroethylene, a solvent used in medical, industrial, and consumer markets, is widely distributed in the environment (soil, air, water). The main source of exposure is during degreasing processes (an occupational exposure). Additional information on exposure is detailed elsewhere [224].

5.6.2. DNA Methylation.

The vast majority of publications concerning modifications to the methylome following trichloroethylene treatment utilized mouse *in vivo* models. Many of these studies focused on the trichloroethylene exposure-associated CD4+ T cell-mediated autoimmunity. For example, MRL mice were exposed to trichloroethylene via drinking water until adulthood; continuous exposure throughout development showed significant differentially methylated binding sites of PcG proteins, potentially representing a mechanism by which trichloroethylene alters the function of effector/memory CD4+ cells and induced immunotoxicity [225-227]. Further investigation into this mechanism demonstrated hypermethylation of CpG sites within the *IFN-* γ promoter of effector/memory CD4+ T cells, representing especially sensitive sites to chronic
trichloroethylene exposure [228]. DNA methylation-induced activation, silencing, and reactivation of proinflammatory genes may represent a mechanism by which autoimmune pathology occurs following trichloroethylene exposure.

Additionally, reports in mouse *in vivo* studies focused on trichloroethyleneinduced DNA methylation, and resulting gene expression changes, within the liver. For example, B6C3F1 mice orally dosed with trichloroethylene for five days demonstrated dose-dependent gene expression dysregulation, specifically of Utrf1, Tet2, DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b, potentially leading to tumorigenesis [229]. Trichloroethylene was found to decrease global methylation levels and hypomethylated the promoter regions of protooncogenes, c-jun, and c-myc; these mechanisms are associated with increased cell proliferation and tumor promotion [230]. Several studies utilized trichloroethylene metabolites, dichloroacetic acid, and trichloroacetic acid. These studies concluded that trichloroethylene metabolite exposure induced DNA hypomethylation [231, 232] and increased expression of c-jun and c-myc genes [233] within liver tumors. Dichloroacetic acid-associated hypomethylation was prevented by methionine coadministration [234]. Additionally, methionine, an amino acid, may represent a potential treatment following accidental trichloroethylene exposure.

Human *in vitro* studies of the epigenetic modifications induced by trichloroethylene treatment have predominately focused on the identification of biomarkers of exposure and global DNA methylation changes. For example, human lymphoblastoid (TK6) cells demonstrated global DNA methylation as an early event in the genotoxic pathway of trichloroethylene [235]. Additionally, trichloroethylene exposure induced significant hypomethylation, subsequently inhibiting DNMT activity [236, 237] while enhancing SET levels [238] within L-02 cells. Utilizing singlemolecular monitoring, it was uncovered trichloroethylene-associated DNA hypomethylation could be partially explained by disrupted DNMT3A-DNA associations [197]; in a dose-dependent manner, DNMT3A oligomers dissociated from heterochromatin, resulting in significant loss of 5-methylcytosine (5mC) and enhancement of TET enzymes. In total, these results present a mechanistic understanding of trichloroethylene toxicology and may provide the framework for comparable investigation of other environment toxicants.

5.6.3. Histone Modifications.

Multiple studies used L-02 cells to demonstrate histone modifications following trichloroethylene exposure. For example, trichloroethylene exposure increased the histone chaperone SET, enhancing H2AK9ac through HDAC1 inhibition, a mechanism associated with SET-mediated hepatic cytotoxicity [239]. Further investigation into trichloroethylene-induced hepatotoxicity suggested relative expression level changes of H3K79me2 and H3K79me3 were induced through DNA damage [240].

Naturally high levels of SET can induce significant modifications of histone deacetylases, suggesting SET is involved in trichloroethylene-induced cytotoxicity and epigenetic alterations [241]. An in-depth investigation into the mediation of trichloroethylene-induced hepatic cytotoxicity observed SET promoting acetylation of H2AK9 by suppressing HDAC1 levels [239]. This type of investigation could be utilized to further examine underlying mechanisms in which SET modulates histone acetylation following trichloroethylene exposure.

An additional study in human hepatocytes demonstrated a negative correlation between existing DNA hypomethylation and histone expression of tumor-related genes following trichloroethylene exposure; specifically, trichloroethylene increased histone H3 Lysine 9 acetylation levels [242]. Histone three lysine four dimethylation (H3K4me2), a mark in sperm conserved across species and linked to transgenerational epigenetic inheritance, was significantly decreased in the regulatory protein kinase A signaling pathway genes following trichloroethylene exposure [243]. This epigenetic medication may account for TCE-induced spermatozoal toxicity with H3K4me2 being a potential target for trans-generational effects.

5.6.4. Non-Coding RNAs.

Trichloroethylene was found to modulate miRNA expression within multiple species; the identified locations may represent potential biomarkers for toxicity prediction [244-246]. Occupational exposure to trichloroethylene resulted in decreased serum levels of miRNAs involved in the B-cell receptor pathways and the innate immune response [247]; these results may increase understanding of the etiologic relevance of miRNAs in trichloroethylene-associated Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma. Human hepatocytes treated with trichloroethylene showed miRNA-199b suppression [248]. Expression of miRNA-182-5p was upregulated following trichloroethylene exposure and was associated with increased cell proliferation [249, 250]. Alterative animal models identified miRNA locations that mediate trichloroethylene-induced reactive oxygen species generation [246] and dysregulation of cell proliferation [245, 246, 251].

5.7. Welding Fumes

5.7.1. Routes of exposure, associated cancers, and genotoxicity.

Welding fumes are generated when metals reach temperatures above their melting points, vaporize, and then condense into fumes primarily consisting of fine, solid particles (diameter > 1 um). Welders represent the highest risk population, as they experience contemporaneous exposures of welding fumes, gases, radiation (ionizing and non-ionizing), and co-exposures of solvents and asbestos. Exposure has been positively associated with cancer of the lung and kidney, as well as correlated to other biological effects such as ocular melanoma, leukemia, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Evidence of welding fumes-induced genotoxicity included chromosomal aberrations, dysregulated sister-chromatid exchange rates, DNA damage, and anomalous micronuclei frequency. Additional information on exposure is detailed elsewhere [252].

5.7.2. DNA Methylation.

Several studies reported findings from the same study population. Li et al. [253] reported DNA methylation in 101 welders and 127 control workers (all non-smoking males) from southern Sweden [253-255]. Blood sampling showed increased concentration of measurable respirable dust was positively associated with hypomethylation of *F2RL3*, a marker for cardiovascular disease progression and mortality [254]. A second study [255] reported decreased methylation within the

mitochondrial regulatory region D-loop and MT-TF (a tRNA encoding gene) when compared to controls, indicating welding fume exposure may be associated with oxidative stress. Human THP-1 and small human airway epithelial (SAEC) cells and murine (RAW254.7) cells exposed to steel welding fumes showed aberrant methylation of LINE-1, SINE, and Alu elements (transposable elements) and modification of their transcription [256]. Furthermore, the expression of DNA methyltransferases and TET genes were differentially regulated in a cell-, chemical-, and dose-dependent manner. Male Fischer-344 exposed to welding fumes by inhalation showed hypermethylation, increased telomere length, and expression of neurodegeneration markers within brain tissue [257]. By contrast, similarly exposed Sprague-Dawley rats demonstrated no significant differences in DNA methylation patterns between welding fume and control groups, but still reported increased telomere length [258]; these two studies highlight inter-strain variance in the epigenetic effects of welding fume inhalation.

5.7.3. Histone Modifications.

No studies of histone modifications were identified.

5.7.4. Non-Coding RNAs.

A cross-sectional study of 30 male welders was conducted to understand the association between metal particles from welding fumes exposure and circulating miRNA concentrations [259]. The presence of certain metals, specifically chromium and nickel, within blood and urine samples were significantly associated with the downregulation of expression of miRNAs -21, -146a, and -155 (biomarkers of renal function injury).

6. SUMMARY

This systematic literature review updates the 2016 review of the published evidence on epigenetic effects related to Group 1 human carcinogens that are genotoxic and were included in IARC Monograph volume 100F [10]. Since 2015, the number of epigenetic studies on the same chemicals nearly doubled, indicating a very brisk pace of research on epigenetics as a key mechanism in environmental exposure-associated cancer (Figure 4A). Benzo[a]pyrene, benzene, and aflatoxins remain the most studied of the genotoxic carcinogens included in IARC Monograph volume 100F, with 49, 40, and 21 publications, respectively, of epigenetic effects published in the last five years. In 2015, no studies of epigenetic effects of coal tar pitch were available, but several recent publications reported such effects. By contrast, no additional research has been published concerning epigenetic modifications following exposure to benzidine or 4,4'methylenebis-(2-chloroaniline) (MOCA). Of the agents with evidence of genotoxicity classified as Group 1 carcinogens by IARC in Monograph volumes 105-118 (Figure 4B), the evidence of epigenetic effects is similarly uneven across agents. Outdoor air pollution is by far the most studied, with respect to epigenetics as a key characteristic of human carcinogens. Over 160 publications reported epigenetic effects of exposure to outdoor air pollution. Notably, most of these newly classified carcinogens had more than 10 published reports of epigenetic alterations.

Of note is also the distribution of the types of epigenetic effects that were the subject of publications. This systematic literature review provides data to better

understand the distribution of the endpoints, models and study types investigated within the included scientific reports (**Figure 5**). Interestingly, the relative proportion of the epigenetic endpoints remains relatively consistent for the comparison of the exposures classified in the Monograph volume 100F. Studies of DNA methylation remain of great interest to the scientific community, and non-coding RNA is an increased focus in the last 5 years. Investigation of histone modifications and chromatin state alterations in toxicology are far fewer in number, as compared to the other two epigenetic modifications. This disparity is likely because of the variety and technical demands for the assays of histones or chromatin [260], as compared to more straightforward sequencing-based assays for DNA methylation and non-coding RNAs. A similar disparity is evident for the agents identified as human carcinogens in Monograph volumes 105-118; almost twothirds of studies focused on DNA methylation.

It is also noteworthy that most studies of epigenetic effects of human genotoxic carcinogens have been performed in human models and about half or more of those were studies in exposed humans. Studies in rodents represent the second most common type of epigenetic investigations in toxicology. Most of the studies of epigenetics were conducted *in vivo*, both in humans and rodents. Indeed, across all species, one half-to-three quarters of all studies identified in this systematic literature review have been conducted *in vivo*. This observation is important because it shows that the mechanistic evidence for epigenetic effects of environmental exposures is highly relevant and useful to human cancer hazard evaluation [1]. The fraction of studies in humans, and especially studies *in vivo* in humans is increasing in recent years. Even among *in vitro* studies, human cells are

the most common model. The availability of the data in human cells provides an opportunity to fill gaps in knowledge when samples from human exposures are unavailable.

7. FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

Overall, this project highlights the considerable progress in epigenetic research and its relevance to elucidating carcinogen mechanisms. Even though the evidence for associations between chemicals and epigenetic effects were not deemed to be "strong" in the recent IARC monographs that utilized the key characteristics approach in the evaluation of the mechanistic evidence [261], the information provided in this review demonstrates that epigenetic effects observed in studies *in vitro* are concordant with those from *in vivo* studies.

Additionally, several important future research needs were identified. In particular, increased dose- and time-dependent testing in studies of epigenetic endpoints would improve interpretation. Dose-response data, derived from both animal and human studies, may improve risk characterization, and increase understanding of an agent's mode of action. Further study on the persistence of effects following cessation of exposure is another important data gap, especially considering the dynamic nature of most epigenetic alterations. Finally, exploration of more diverse study populations, using both *in vivo* and *in vitro* models, will facilitate greater understanding of the variability in toxic response in exposed populations. In all, these results lay important groundwork for the application of epigenetic data in hazard and risk assessment exercises.





The number of publications reporting epigenetic effects of exposures to the human genotoxic substances, related occupations, or types of exposure included in IARC Monographs 100F (**A**) and 105-118 (**B**). Entries are sorted in a descending order. Colors refer to the type of the epigenetic endpoints: DNA methylation, histone modifications, and non-coding RNA are colored in gold, green, and purple, respectively (see color legend inset). In (A), studies published before December 2015 (and were included in Chappell et al [10] systematic literature review) are denoted by hashed segments. Studies published from December 2015 to December 2020 are denoted by solid segments. In (B), all studies published before December 2020 are included.



Figure 7-2. Comparative analysis of study characteristics of included publications.

A relative comparison of epigenetic endpoints, model types (human, rodent, other), and study types (*in vitro* or *in vivo*) for the human genotoxic substances, related occupations, or types of exposure included in IARC Monographs 100F (left) and 105-118 (right). The pie charts for each type of comparison are color coded as shown in the legends.

REFERENCES

[1] J.M. Samet, W.A. Chiu, V. Cogliano, J. Jinot, D. Kriebel, R.M. Lunn, F.A. Beland, L. Bero, P. Browne, L. Fritschi, J. Kanno, D.W. Lachenmeier, Q. Lan, G. Lasfargues, F. Le Curieux, S. Peters, P. Shubat, H. Sone, M.C. White, J. Williamson, M.

Yakubovskaya, J. Siemiatycki, P.A. White, K.Z. Guyton, M.K. Schubauer-Berigan, A.L. Hall, Y. Grosse, V. Bouvard, L. Benbrahim-Tallaa, F. El Ghissassi, B. Lauby-Secretan, B. Armstrong, R. Saracci, J. Zavadil, K. Straif, C.P. Wild, The IARC Monographs: Updated Procedures for Modern and Transparent Evidence Synthesis in Cancer Hazard Identification, J Natl Cancer Inst, 112 (2020) 30-37.

[2] M.T. Smith, K.Z. Guyton, C.F. Gibbons, J.M. Fritz, C.J. Portier, I. Rusyn, D.M. DeMarini, J.C. Caldwell, R.J. Kavlock, P.F. Lambert, S.S. Hecht, J.R. Bucher, B.W. Stewart, R.A. Baan, V.J. Cogliano, K. Straif, Key Characteristics of Carcinogens as a Basis for Organizing Data on Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis, Environ Health Perspect, 124 (2016) 713-721.

[3] W.A. Chiu, K.Z. Guyton, M.T. Martin, D.M. Reif, I. Rusyn, Use of high-throughput in vitro toxicity screening data in cancer hazard evaluations by IARC Monograph Working Groups, ALTEX, 35 (2018) 51-64.

[4] M.T. Smith, K.Z. Guyton, N. Kleinstreuer, A. Borrel, A. Cardenas, W.A. Chiu, D.W.
Felsher, C.F. Gibbons, W.H. Goodson, 3rd, K.A. Houck, A.B. Kane, M.A. La Merrill,
H. Lebrec, L. Lowe, C.M. McHale, S. Minocherhomji, L. Rieswijk, M.S. Sandy, H.
Sone, A. Wang, L. Zhang, L. Zeise, M. Fielden, The Key Characteristics of
Carcinogens: Relationship to the Hallmarks of Cancer, Relevant Biomarkers, and Assays
to Measure Them, Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the
American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of
Preventive Oncology, 29 (2020) 1887-1903.

[5] M.A. La Merrill, L.N. Vandenberg, M.T. Smith, W. Goodson, P. Browne, H.B. Patisaul, K.Z. Guyton, A. Kortenkamp, V.J. Cogliano, T.J. Woodruff, L. Rieswijk, H. Sone, K.S. Korach, A.C. Gore, L. Zeise, R.T. Zoeller, Consensus on the key characteristics of endocrine-disrupting chemicals as a basis for hazard identification, Nat Rev Endocrinol, 16 (2020) 45-57.

[6] X. Arzuaga, M.T. Smith, C.F. Gibbons, N.E. Skakkebaek, E.E. Yost, B.E.J. Beverly, A.K. Hotchkiss, R. Hauser, R.L. Pagani, S.M. Schrader, L. Zeise, G.S. Prins, Proposed Key Characteristics of Male Reproductive Toxicants as an Approach for Organizing and Evaluating Mechanistic Evidence in Human Health Hazard Assessments, Environ Health Perspect, 127 (2019) 65001.

[7] U. Luderer, B. Eskenazi, R. Hauser, K.S. Korach, C.M. McHale, F. Moran, L. Rieswijk, G. Solomon, O. Udagawa, L. Zhang, M. Zlatnik, L. Zeise, M.T. Smith, Proposed Key Characteristics of Female Reproductive Toxicants as an Approach for Organizing and Evaluating Mechanistic Data in Hazard Assessment, Environ Health Perspect, 127 (2019) 75001.

[8] I. Rusyn, X. Arzuaga, R.C. Cattley, J.C. Corton, S.S. Ferguson, P. Godoy, K.Z. Guyton, N. Kaplowitz, S.R. Khetani, R. Roberts, R.A. Roth, M.T. Smith, Key Characteristics of Human Hepatotoxicants as a Basis for Identification and Characterization of the Causes of Liver Toxicity, Hepatology, (2021).

[9] P.A. Jones, S.B. Baylin, The epigenomics of cancer, Cell, 128 (2007) 683-692.

[10] G. Chappell, I.P. Pogribny, K.Z. Guyton, I. Rusyn, Epigenetic alterations induced by genotoxic occupational and environmental human chemical carcinogens: A

systematic literature review, Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res, 768 (2016) 27-45.

[11] P.A. Jones, S.B. Baylin, The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer, Nat.Rev.Genet., 3 (2002) 415-428.

[12] A.P. Feinberg, R. Ohlsson, S. Henikoff, The epigenetic progenitor origin of human cancer, Nat Rev Genet, 7 (2006) 21-33.

[13] E.M. Martin, R.C. Fry, Environmental Influences on the Epigenome: Exposure-Associated DNA Methylation in Human Populations, Annu Rev Public Health, 39 (2018) 309-333.

[14] M. Inbar-Feigenberg, S. Choufani, D.T. Butcher, M. Roifman, R. Weksberg, Basic concepts of epigenetics, Fertil Steril, 99 (2013) 607-615.

[15] I.P. Pogribny, I. Rusyn, Environmental toxicants, epigenetics, and cancer, Advances in experimental medicine and biology, 754 (2013) 215-232.

[16] Z. Herceg, M.P. Lambert, K. van Veldhoven, C. Demetriou, P. Vineis, M.T. Smith, K. Straif, C.P. Wild, Towards incorporating epigenetic mechanisms into carcinogen identification and evaluation, Carcinogenesis, 34 (2013) 1955-1967.

[17] A.J. Shapiro, S. Antoni, K.Z. Guyton, R.M. Lunn, D. Loomis, I. Rusyn, G.D. Jahnke, P.J. Schwingl, S.S. Mehta, J. Addington, N. Guha, Software Tools to Facilitate Systematic Review Used for Cancer Hazard Identification, Environ Health Perspect, 126 (2018) 104501.

[18] T. Chen, E. Li, Establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation patterns in mammals, Curr Top Microbiol Immunol, 301 (2006) 179-201.

[19] X. Wu, Y. Zhang, TET-mediated active DNA demethylation: mechanism, function and beyond, Nat Rev Genet, 18 (2017) 517-534.

[20] S. Ito, I. Kuraoka, Epigenetic modifications in DNA could mimic oxidative DNA damage: A double-edged sword, DNA repair, 32 (2015) 52-57.

[21] A.P. Bird, CpG-rich islands and the function of DNA methylation, Nature, 321 (1986) 209-213.

[22] T. Mohandas, R.S. Sparkes, L.J. Shapiro, Reactivation of an inactive human X chromosome: evidence for X inactivation by DNA methylation, Science, 211 (1981) 393-396.

[23] S.M. Gartler, A.D. Riggs, Mammalian X-chromosome inactivation, Annu Rev Genet, 17 (1983) 155-190.

[24] W. Reik, A. Collick, M.L. Norris, S.C. Barton, M.A. Surani, Genomic imprinting determines methylation of parental alleles in transgenic mice, Nature, 328 (1987) 248-251.

[25] Y. Pan, G. Liu, F. Zhou, B. Su, Y. Li, DNA methylation profiles in cancer diagnosis and therapeutics, Clin Exp Med, 18 (2018) 1-14.

[26] C.H. Man, T.K. Fung, H. Wan, C.Y. Cher, A. Fan, N. Ng, C. Ho, T.S. Wan, T. Tanaka, C.W. So, Y.L. Kwong, A.Y. Leung, Suppression of SOX7 by DNA methylation and its tumor suppressor function in acute myeloid leukemia, Blood, 125 (2015) 3928-3936.

[27] H.L. Min, J. Kim, W.H. Kim, B.G. Jang, M.A. Kim, Epigenetic Silencing of the Putative Tumor Suppressor Gene GLDC (Glycine Dehydrogenase) in Gastric Carcinoma, Anticancer Res, 36 (2016) 179-187.

[28] F. Gaudet, J.G. Hodgson, A. Eden, L. Jackson-Grusby, J. Dausman, J.W. Gray, H. Leonhardt, R. Jaenisch, Induction of tumors in mice by genomic hypomethylation, Science, 300 (2003) 489-492.

[29] S.B. Baylin, J.G. Herman, J.R. Graff, P.M. Vertino, J.P. Issa, Alterations in DNA methylation: a fundamental aspect of neoplasia, Adv Cancer Res, 72 (1998) 141-196.
[30] A. Koch, S.C. Joosten, Z. Feng, T.C. de Ruijter, M.X. Draht, V. Melotte, K.M. Smits, J. Veeck, J.G. Herman, L. Van Neste, W. Van Criekinge, T. De Meyer, M. van Engeland, Analysis of DNA methylation in cancer: location revisited, Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 15 (2018) 459-466.

[31] M.L. Gonzalgo, T. Hayashida, C.M. Bender, M.M. Pao, Y.C. Tsai, F.A. Gonzales, H.D. Nguyen, T.T. Nguyen, P.A. Jones, The role of DNA methylation in expression of the p19/p16 locus in human bladder cancer cell lines, Cancer research, 58 (1998) 1245-1252.

[32] N. Homma, G. Tamura, T. Honda, Y. Matsumoto, S. Nishizuka, S. Kawata, T. Motoyama, Spreading of methylation within RUNX3 CpG island in gastric cancer, Cancer science, 97 (2006) 51-56.

[33] G. Deng, A. Chen, J. Hong, H.S. Chae, Y.S. Kim, Methylation of CpG in a small region of the hMLH1 promoter invariably correlates with the absence of gene expression, Cancer research, 59 (1999) 2029-2033.

[34] J.D. Licchesi, L. Van Neste, V.K. Tiwari, L. Cope, X. Lin, S.B. Baylin, J.G. Herman, Transcriptional regulation of Wnt inhibitory factor-1 by Miz-1/c-Myc, Oncogene, 29 (2010) 5923-5934.

[35] H. Yoshikawa, K. Matsubara, G.S. Qian, P. Jackson, J.D. Groopman, J.E. Manning, C.C. Harris, J.G. Herman, SOCS-1, a negative regulator of the JAK/STAT pathway, is silenced by methylation in human hepatocellular carcinoma and shows growth-suppression activity, Nat Genet, 28 (2001) 29-35.

[36] Q. Gouil, A. Keniry, Latest techniques to study DNA methylation, Essays Biochem, 63 (2019) 639-648.

[37] S. Kurdyukov, M. Bullock, DNA Methylation Analysis: Choosing the Right Method, Biology (Basel), 5 (2016).

[38] E. Olkhov-Mitsel, B. Bapat, Strategies for discovery and validation of methylated and hydroxymethylated DNA biomarkers, Cancer Med, 1 (2012) 237-260.

[39] W.S. Yong, F.M. Hsu, P.Y. Chen, Profiling genome-wide DNA methylation, Epigenetics Chromatin, 9 (2016) 26.

[40] J.E. Audia, R.M. Campbell, Histone Modifications and Cancer, Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 8 (2016) a019521.

[41] S. Saha, Histone Modifications and Other Facets of Epigenetic Regulation in Trypanosomatids: Leaving Their Mark, mBio, 11 (2020).

[42] T. Fujisawa, P. Filippakopoulos, Functions of bromodomain-containing proteins and their roles in homeostasis and cancer, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 18 (2017) 246-262.

[43] G.A. Josling, S.A. Selvarajah, M. Petter, M.F. Duffy, The role of bromodomain proteins in regulating gene expression, Genes (Basel), 3 (2012) 320-343.

[44] J.C. Eissenberg, A. Shilatifard, Histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methylation in development and differentiation, Dev Biol, 339 (2010) 240-249.

[45] T. Kouzarides, Chromatin modifications and their function, Cell, 128 (2007) 693-705.

[46] H.M. Herz, A. Garruss, A. Shilatifard, SET for life: biochemical activities and biological functions of SET domain-containing proteins, Trends Biochem Sci, 38 (2013) 621-639.

[47] D.B. Seligson, S. Horvath, T. Shi, H. Yu, S. Tze, M. Grunstein, S.K. Kurdistani, Global histone modification patterns predict risk of prostate cancer recurrence, Nature, 435 (2005) 1262-1266.

[48] A.J. Bannister, T. Kouzarides, Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications, Cell Res, 21 (2011) 381-395.

[49] S. Venkatesh, J.L. Workman, Histone exchange, chromatin structure and the regulation of transcription, Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 16 (2015) 178-189.

[50] R. Waters, P. van Eijk, S. Reed, Histone modification and chromatin remodeling during NER, DNA repair, 36 (2015) 105-113.

[51] H.M. O'Hagan, Chromatin modifications during repair of environmental exposureinduced DNA damage: a potential mechanism for stable epigenetic alterations,

Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, 55 (2014) 278-291.

[52] F.F. Costa, Non-coding RNAs, epigenetics and complexity, Gene, 410 (2008) 9-17.[53] P.P. Amaral, M.E. Dinger, T.R. Mercer, J.S. Mattick, The eukaryotic genome as an RNA machine, Science, 319 (2008) 1787-1789.

[54] M. Ghildiyal, P.D. Zamore, Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe, Nat Rev Genet, 10 (2009) 94-108.

[55] G. Ruvkun, Molecular biology. Glimpses of a tiny RNA world, Science, 294 (2001) 797-799.

[56] S. Mishra, T. Yadav, V. Rani, Exploring miRNA based approaches in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics, Crit Rev Oncol Hematol, 98 (2016) 12-23.

[57] Y.S. Lee, A. Dutta, MicroRNAs in cancer, Annu Rev Pathol, 4 (2009) 199-227.

[58] R. Garzon, M. Fabbri, A. Cimmino, G.A. Calin, C.M. Croce, MicroRNA expression and function in cancer, Trends Mol Med, 12 (2006) 580-587.

[59] S. Jonas, E. Izaurralde, Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-mediated gene silencing, Nat Rev Genet, 16 (2015) 421-433.

[60] M.D. Paraskevopoulou, A.G. Hatzigeorgiou, Analyzing MiRNA-LncRNA Interactions, Methods Mol Biol, 1402 (2016) 271-286.

[61] M. Huarte, The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer, Nat Med, 21 (2015) 1253-1261.

[62] K.C. Wang, H.Y. Chang, Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs, Mol Cell, 43 (2011) 904-914.

[63] Y. Zhang, L. Tang, The Application of lncRNAs in Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, Recent Pat Anticancer Drug Discov, 13 (2018) 292-301.

[64] B. Rathinasamy, B.K. Velmurugan, Role of lncRNAs in the cancer development and progression and their regulation by various phytochemicals, Biomed Pharmacother, 102 (2018) 242-248.

[65] Y. Zhao, H. Sun, H. Wang, Long noncoding RNAs in DNA methylation: new players stepping into the old game, Cell Biosci, 6 (2016) 45.

[66] Y. Jin, P. Xu, X. Liu, C. Zhang, C. Tan, C. Chen, X. Sun, Y. Xu, Cigarette Smoking, BPDE-DNA Adducts, and Aberrant Promoter Methylations of Tumor Suppressor Genes (TSGs) in NSCLC from Chinese Population, Cancer Invest, 34 (2016) 173-180.

[67] F. Jin, J. Thaiparambil, S.R. Donepudi, V. Vantaku, D.W.B. Piyarathna, S. Maity, R. Krishnapuram, V. Putluri, F. Gu, P. Purwaha, S.K. Bhowmik, C.R. Ambati, F.C. von Rundstedt, F. Roghmann, S. Berg, J. Noldus, K. Rajapakshe, D. Godde, S. Roth, S. Storkel, S. Degener, G. Michailidis, B.A. Kaipparettu, B. Karanam, M.K. Terris, S.M. Kavuri, S.P. Lerner, F. Kheradmand, C. Coarfa, A. Sreekumar, Y. Lotan, R. El-Zein, N. Putluri, Tobacco-Specific Carcinogens Induce Hypermethylation, DNA Adducts, and DNA Damage in Bladder Cancer, Cancer Prev Res (Phila), 10 (2017) 588-597.
[68] C.L. Jiang, S.W. He, Y.D. Zhang, H.X. Duan, T. Huang, Y.C. Huang, G.F. Li, P. Wang, L.J. Ma, G.B. Zhou, Y. Cao, Air pollution and DNA methylation alterations in lung cancer: A systematic and comparative study, Oncotarget, 8 (2017) 1369-1391.

[69] Z. He, D. Li, J. Ma, L. Chen, H. Duan, B. Zhang, C. Gao, J. Li, X. Xing, J. Zhao, S. Wang, F. Wang, H. Zhang, H. Li, S. Chen, X. Zeng, Q. Wang, Y. Xiao, Y. Zheng, W. Chen, (TRIM36 hypermethylation is involved in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbonsinduced cell transformation), Environ Pollut, 225 (2017) 93-103.

[70] B. Xia, L.Q. Yang, H.Y. Huang, L. Pang, X.F. Yang, Y.J. Yi, X.H. Ren, J. Li, Z.X. Zhuang, J.J. Liu, Repression of Biotin-Related Proteins by Benzo[a]Pyrene-Induced Epigenetic Modifications in Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells, Int J Toxicol, 35 (2016) 336-343.

[71] A. Bhargava, R. Kumari, S. Khare, R. Shandilya, P.K. Gupta, R. Tiwari, A. Rahman, K. Chaudhury, I.Y. Goryacheva, P.K. Mishra, Mapping the Mitochondrial Regulation of Epigenetic Modifications in Association With Carcinogenic and Noncarcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Exposure, Int J Toxicol, 39 (2020) 465-476.

[72] P. Bojang, Jr., K.S. Ramos, Epigenetic reactivation of LINE-1 retrotransposon disrupts NuRD corepressor functions and induces oncogenic transformation in human bronchial epithelial cells, Mol Oncol, 12 (2018) 1342-1357.

[73] Z. Zeng, J. Lu, D. Wu, R. Zuo, Y. Li, H. Huang, J. Yuan, Z. Hu, Poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase silencing-mediated H2B expression inhibits benzo(a)pyrene-induced carcinogenesis, Environ Toxicol, 36 (2021) 291-297.

[74] Z. Zeng, H. Liu, J. Yuan, X. Ren, Y. Deng, W. Dai, Y. Wu, Y. Huang, R. Huang, J. Liu, H. Huang, J. Hu, Poly (ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase silencing-mediated

maintenance of H2A and downregulation of H2AK9me protect human bronchial epithelial cells from benzo(a)pyrene-induced carcinogenesis, Toxicology letters, 295 (2018) 270-276.

[75] L. Li, J. Chen, Y. Wang, C. Zhou, X. Ma, J. Fu, B. Yao, P. Zhao, MicroRNA expression profiling and the role of ALCAM modulating tumor growth and metastasis in benzo[a]pyrene-transformed 16HBE cells, Toxicology, 442 (2020) 152539.

[76] D.E. Malik, R.M. David, N.J. Gooderham, Mechanistic evidence that benzo[a]pyrene promotes an inflammatory microenvironment that drives the metastatic potential of human mammary cells, Arch Toxicol, 92 (2018) 3223-3239.

[77] D.E. Malik, R.M. David, N.J. Gooderham, Interleukin-6 selectively induces drug metabolism to potentiate the genotoxicity of dietary carcinogens in mammary cells, Arch Toxicol, 93 (2019) 3005-3020.

[78] M.D. Chanyshev, O.A. Koval, A.A. Nushtaeva, L.F. Gulyaeva, Effect of benzo[a]pyrene on the expression of miR-126, miR-190a and their target genes EGFL7, TP53INP1 and PHLPP1 in primary endometrial cells, J Biochem Mol Toxicol, 33 (2019) e22314.

[79] Y. Wu, Y. Niu, J. Leng, J. Xu, H. Chen, H. Li, L. Wang, J. Hu, D. Xia, Y. Wu, Benzo(a)pyrene regulated A549 cell migration, invasion and epithelial-mesenchymal transition by up-regulating long non-coding RNA linc00673, Toxicology letters, 320 (2020) 37-45.

[80] Y. Fu, W. Wang, X. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Niu, B. Zhang, J. Nie, B. Pan, R. Wang, J. Yang, LncRNA H19 interacts with S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase to regulate LINE-1 Methylation in human lung-derived cells exposed to Benzo[a]pyrene, Chemosphere, 207 (2018) 84-90.

[81] D. Liu, Y. Chen, P. Sun, W. Bai, A. Gao, STAT3 methylation in white blood cells as a novel sensitive biomarker for the toxic effect of low-dose benzene exposure, Toxicology research, 5 (2016) 800-807.

[82] D.P. Wang, D.Y. Cai, X.L. Yang, X. Lu, D.F. Lin, P.M. Li, Z.M. Zhang, Y.F. Zhang, W. Zhang, [Study of methylation of mitochondrial MT-COI of benzene poisoning], Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi, 38 (2020) 664-668.
[83] I. Jamebozorgi, T. Majidizadeh, G. Pouryagoub, F. Mahjoubi, Aberrant DNA Methylation of Two Tumor Suppressor Genes, p14(ARF) and p15(INK4b), after Chronic Occupational Exposure to Low Level of Benzene, Int J Occup Environ Med, 9 (2018) 145-151.

[84] R.T. Silvestre, M. Bravo, F. Santiago, L. Delmonico, L. Scherrer, U.B. Otero, T. Liehr, G. Alves, M. Chantre-Justino, M.H. Ornellas, Hypermethylation in Gene Promoters Are Induced by Chronic Exposure to Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes, Pak J Biol Sci, 23 (2020) 518-525.

[85] J.C. Ren, T. Wang, H. Wu, G.H. Zhang, D. Sun, K. Guo, H. Li, F. Zhang, W. Wu, Z.L. Xia, Promoter hypermethylation in CSF3R induces peripheral neutrophil reduction in benzene-exposure poisoning, Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, 61 (2020) 786-796.

[86] M. Zheng, F. Lin, F. Hou, G. Li, C. Zhu, P. Xu, C. Xing, Q. Wang, Association between Promoter Methylation of Gene ERCC3 and Benzene Hematotoxicity, Int J Environ Res Public Health, 14 (2017).

[87] J. Ren, J.P. Cui, M. Luo, H. Liu, P. Hao, X. Wang, G.H. Zhang, The prevalence and persistence of aberrant promoter DNA methylation in benzene-exposed Chinese workers, PloS one, 14 (2019) e0220500.

[88] G.H. Zhang, Y. Lu, B.Q. Ji, J.C. Ren, P. Sun, S. Ding, X. Liao, K. Liao, J. Liu, J. Cao, Q. Lan, N. Rothman, Z.L. Xia, Do mutations in DNMT3A/3B affect global DNA hypomethylation among benzene-exposed workers in Southeast China?: Effects of mutations in DNMT3A/3B on global DNA hypomethylation, Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, 58 (2017) 678-687.

[89] H. Zhang, Q. Yuan, Z. Pan, X. Ling, Q. Tan, M. Wu, D. Zheng, P. Xie, D. Xie, L. Liu, Up-regulation of DNMT3b contributes to HOTAIRM1 silencing via DNA hypermethylation in cells transformed by long-term exposure to hydroquinone and workers exposed to benzene, Toxicology letters, 322 (2020) 12-19.

[90] C.H. Yu, Y. Li, X. Zhao, S.Q. Yang, L. Li, N.X. Cui, L. Rong, Z.C. Yi, Benzene metabolite 1,2,4-benzenetriol changes DNA methylation and histone acetylation of erythroid-specific genes in K562 cells, Arch Toxicol, 93 (2019) 137-147.

[91] C.H. Yu, N.X. Cui, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, W.J. Liu, M. Gong, X. Zhao, L. Rong, Z.C. Yi, Changes in DNA methylation of erythroid-specific genes in K562 cells exposed to catechol in long term, Toxicol In Vitro, 43 (2017) 21-28.

[92] K.Y. Tang, C.H. Yu, L. Jiang, M. Gong, W.J. Liu, Y. Wang, N.X. Cui, W. Song, Y. Sun, Z.C. Yi, Long-term exposure of K562 cells to benzene metabolites inhibited erythroid differentiation and elevated methylation in erythroid specific genes, Toxicology research, 5 (2016) 1284-1297.

[93] J. Chen, Z. Zheng, Y. Chen, J. Li, S. Qian, Y. Shi, L. Sun, Y. Han, S. Zhang, K. Yu, Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors Trichostatin A and MCP30 Relieve Benzene-Induced Hematotoxicity via Restoring Topoisomerase IIalpha, PloS one, 11 (2016) e0153330.
[94] J. Li, X. Xing, X. Zhang, B. Liang, Z. He, C. Gao, S. Wang, F. Wang, H. Zhang, S. Zeng, J. Fan, L. Chen, Z. Zhang, B. Zhang, C. Liu, Q. Wang, W. Lin, G. Dong, H. Tang, W. Chen, Y. Xiao, D. Li, Enhanced H3K4me3 modifications are involved in the transactivation of DNA damage responsive genes in workers exposed to low-level benzene, Environ Pollut, 234 (2018) 127-135.

[95] M.K. Song, J.C. Ryu, Blood miRNAs as sensitive and specific biological indicators of environmental and occupational exposure to volatile organic compound (VOC), Int J Hyg Environ Health, 218 (2015) 590-602.

[96] D. Hu, X. Peng, Y. Liu, W. Zhang, X. Peng, H. Tang, J. Yuan, Z. Zhu, J. Yang, Overexpression of miR-221 in peripheral blood lymphocytes in petrol station attendants: A population based cross-sectional study in southern China, Chemosphere, 149 (2016) 8-13.

[97] Y. Liu, X. Chen, Q. Bian, Y. Shi, Q. Liu, L. Ding, H. Zhang, B. Zhu, Analysis of plasma microRNA expression profiles in a Chinese population occupationally exposed to benzene and in a population with chronic benzene poisoning, J Thorac Dis, 8 (2016) 403-414.

[98] R. Jiang, H. Huang, Z. Lian, Z. Hu, R.S. Lloyd, D. Fang, Y. Li, H. Xian, J. Yuan, Y. Sha, S. Wang, D. Hu, Exosomal miR-221 derived from hydroquinone-transformed malignant human bronchial epithelial cells is involved in cell viability of recipient cells, J Appl Toxicol, 40 (2020) 224-233.

[99] B. Liang, Y. Chen, W. Yuan, F. Qin, Q. Zhang, N. Deng, X. Liu, X. Ma, X. Zhang, B. Zhang, Q. Deng, M. Huang, H. Tang, L. Liu, W. Chen, Y. Xiao, Down-regulation of miRNA-451a and miRNA-486-5p involved in benzene-induced inhibition on erythroid cell differentiation in vitro and in vivo, Arch Toxicol, 92 (2018) 259-272.

[100] X. Ma, X. Zhang, J. Luo, B. Liang, J. Peng, C. Chen, H. Guo, Q. Wang, X. Xing, Q. Deng, H. Huang, Q. Liao, W. Chen, Q. Hu, D. Yu, Y. Xiao, MiR-486-5p-directed MAGI1/Rap1/RASSF5 signaling pathway contributes to hydroquinone-induced inhibition of erythroid differentiation in K562 cells, Toxicol In Vitro, 66 (2020) 104830.
[101] Z. Gui, H. Zhang, Q. Tan, X. Ling, Z. Liu, J. Peng, J. Shao, M. Wu, Q. Yuan, J. Li, Z. Pan, B. Zhong, L. Liu, Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 promotes expression of miR-155 by the up-regulation of methyl-CpG binding domain protein 2 in TK6 cells exposed to hydroquinone, Toxicol In Vitro, 55 (2019) 51-57.

[102] H. Luo, H. Liang, Y. Chen, S. Chen, Y. Xu, L. Xu, J. Liu, K. Zhou, J. Peng, G. Guo, B. Lai, L. Song, H. Yang, L. Liu, J. Peng, Z. Liu, L. Tang, W. Chen, H. Tang, miR-7-5p overexpression suppresses cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis through inhibiting the ability of DNA damage repair of PARP-1 and BRCA1 in TK6 cells exposed to hydroquinone, Chem Biol Interact, 283 (2018) 84-90.

[103] T.S. Wang, W. Tian, Y. Fang, K.R. Guo, A.Q. Li, Y. Sun, H.T. Wu, G.Q. Zheng, N.N. Feng, C.H. Xing, W.W. Au, D.Y. Sun, Z.L. Xia, Changes in miR-222 expression, DNA repair capacity, and MDM2-p53 axis in association with low-dose benzene genotoxicity and hematotoxicity, Sci Total Environ, (2020) 142740.

[104] Y. Chen, W. Zhang, X. Guo, J. Ren, A. Gao, lncRNAVNN3 mediated benzeneinduced hematotoxicity through promoting autophagy and apoptosis, Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 185 (2019) 109672.

[105] P. Sun, J. Wang, X. Guo, Y. Chen, C. Xing, A. Gao, Benzene and its metabolite decreases cell proliferation via LncRNA-OBFC2A-mediated anti-proliferation effect involving NOTCH1 and KLF15, Oncotarget, 8 (2017) 40857-40871.

[106] X. Guo, W. Zhang, J. Ren, Y. Chen, J. Wang, C. Zhu, Y. Zhang, A. Gao, LncRNA-OBFC2A targeted to Smad3 regulated Cyclin D1 influences cell cycle arrest induced by 1,4-benzoquinone, Toxicology letters, 332 (2020) 74-81.

[107] Q. Yuan, H. Zhang, Z. Pan, X. Ling, M. Wu, Z. Gui, J. Chen, J. Peng, Z. Liu, Q. Tan, D. Huang, L. Xiu, W. Chen, Z. Shi, L. Liu, Regulatory loop between lncRNA FAS-AS1 and DNMT3b controls FAS expression in hydroquinone-treated TK6 cells and benzene-exposed workers, Environ Pollut, 261 (2020) 114147.

[108] L. Rieswijk, S.M. Claessen, O. Bekers, M. van Herwijnen, D.H. Theunissen, D.G. Jennen, T.M. de Kok, J.C. Kleinjans, S.G. van Breda, Aflatoxin B1 induces persistent epigenomic effects in primary human hepatocytes associated with hepatocellular carcinoma, Toxicology, 350-352 (2016) 31-39.

[109] V. Tryndyak, I. Kindrat, K. Dreval, M.I. Churchwell, F.A. Beland, I.P. Pogribny, Effect of aflatoxin B1, benzo[a]pyrene, and methapyrilene on transcriptomic and

epigenetic alterations in human liver HepaRG cells, Food Chem Toxicol, 121 (2018) 214-223.

[110] V. Tryndyak, B. Borowa-Mazgaj, F.A. Beland, I.P. Pogribny, Gene expression and cytosine DNA methylation alterations in induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived human hepatocytes treated with low doses of chemical carcinogens, Arch Toxicol, 93 (2019) 3335-3344.

[111] B. Zhang, Y. Dai, L. Zhu, X. He, K. Huang, W. Xu, Single-cell sequencing reveals novel mechanisms of Aflatoxin B1-induced hepatotoxicity in S phase-arrested L02 cells, Cell Biol Toxicol, 36 (2020) 603-608.

[112] P. Soni, M.S. Ghufran, S. Olakkaran, G.H. Puttaswamygowda, G.R. Duddukuri, S.R. Kanade, Epigenetic alterations induced by aflatoxin B1: An in vitro and in vivo approach with emphasis on enhancer of zeste homologue-2/p21 axis, Sci Total Environ, 762 (2021) 143175.

[113] P. Soni, M.S. Ghufran, S.R. Kanade, Aflatoxin B1 induced multiple epigenetic modulators in human epithelial cell lines, Toxicon, 151 (2018) 119-128.

[114] X.M. Wu, Z.F. Xi, P. Liao, H.D. Huang, X.Y. Huang, C. Wang, Y. Ma, Q. Xia, J.G. Yao, X.D. Long, Diagnostic and prognostic potential of serum microRNA-4651 for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma related to aflatoxin B1, Oncotarget, 8 (2017) 81235-81249.

[115] M.C. Livingstone, N.M. Johnson, B.D. Roebuck, T.W. Kensler, J.D. Groopman, Serum miR-182 is a predictive biomarker for dichotomization of risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in rats, Mol Carcinog, 58 (2019) 2017-2025.

[116] K. Shirani, B. Riahi Zanjani, S. Mehri, K. Razavi-Azarkhiavi, A. Badiee, A.W. Hayes, J.P. Giesy, G. Karimi, miR-155 influences cell-mediated immunity in Balb/c mice treated with aflatoxin M1, Drug Chem Toxicol, 44 (2021) 39-46.

[117] Z. Zhang, D. Tang, B. Wang, Z. Wang, M. Liu, Analysis of miRNA-mRNA regulatory network revealed key genes induced by aflatoxin B1 exposure in primary human hepatocytes, Mol Genet Genomic Med, 7 (2019) e971.

[118] X. Liu, S. Kumar Mishra, T. Wang, Z. Xu, X. Zhao, Y. Wang, H. Yin, X. Fan, B. Zeng, M. Yang, D. Yang, Q. Ni, Y. Li, M. Zhang, Q. Zhu, F. Chen, D. Li, AFB1 Induced Transcriptional Regulation Related to Apoptosis and Lipid Metabolism in Liver of Chicken, Toxins (Basel), 12 (2020).

[119] Y. Liu, X. Li, B. Zhang, Y. Fu, A. Yang, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Niu, J. Nie, J. Yang, CYP1A1 methylation mediates the effect of smoking and occupational polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons co-exposure on oxidative DNA damage among Chinese cokeoven workers, Environ Health, 18 (2019) 69.

[120] J. Yang, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, W. Wang, Y. Fan, Urinary 1-hydroxypyrene and smoking are determinants of LINE-1 and AhRR promoter methylation in coke oven workers, Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen, 826 (2018) 33-40.

[121] Z. He, R. Zhang, S. Chen, L. Chen, H. Li, L. Ye, Q. Li, Z. Wang, Q. Wang, H. Duan, Y. Niu, Y. Xiao, G. Dong, D. Li, D. Yu, Y. Zheng, X. Xing, W. Chen, FLT1 hypermethylation is involved in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons-induced cell transformation, Environ Pollut, 252 (2019) 607-615.

[122] X. Xing, Z. He, Z. Wang, Z. Mo, L. Chen, B. Yang, Z. Zhang, S. Chen, L. Ye, R. Zhang, Y. Zheng, W. Chen, D. Li, Association between H3K36me3 modification and methylation of LINE-1 and MGMT in peripheral blood lymphocytes of PAH-exposed workers, Toxicology research, 9 (2020) 661-668.

[123] Z. Zhang, L. Chen, X. Xing, D. Li, C. Gao, Z. He, J. Li, X. Zhu, X. Xiao, S. Wang, F. Wang, Z. Ren, Y. Xiao, S.C. Dharmage, G. Dong, Y. Zheng, W. Chen, Specific histone modifications were associated with the PAH-induced DNA damage response in coke oven workers, Toxicology research, 5 (2016) 1193-1201.

[124] Z. Zhang, X. Xing, S. Jiang, C. Qiu, Z. Mo, S. Chen, L. Chen, Q. Wang, Y. Xiao, G. Dong, Y. Zheng, W. Chen, D. Li, Global H3K79 di-methylation mediates DNA damage response to PAH exposure in Chinese coke oven workers, Environ Pollut, 268 (2021) 115956.

[125] S. Huang, Q. Deng, J. Feng, X. Zhang, X. Dai, L. Li, B. Yang, T. Wu, J. Cheng, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons-Associated MicroRNAs and Heart Rate Variability in Coke Oven Workers, J Occup Environ Med, 58 (2016) e24-31.

[126] C. Gao, Z. He, J. Li, X. Li, Q. Bai, Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, S. Wang, X. Xiao, F. Wang, Y. Yan, D. Li, L. Chen, X. Zeng, Y. Xiao, G. Dong, Y. Zheng, Q. Wang, W. Chen, Specific long non-coding RNAs response to occupational PAHs exposure in coke oven workers, Toxicol Rep, 3 (2016) 160-166.

[127] T. Simons, D. Steinritz, B. Bolck, A. Schmidt, T. Popp, H. Thiermann, T. Gudermann, W. Bloch, K. Kehe, Sulfur mustard-induced epigenetic modifications over time - a pilot study, Toxicology letters, 293 (2018) 45-50.

[128] M. Khafaei, S. Samie, S.J. Mowla, A.G. Alvanegh, B. Mirzaei, S. Chavoshei, G.S. Dorraj, M. Esmailnejad, M. Tavallaie, M. Nourani, Evaluation of miR-9 and miR-143 expression in urine specimens of sulfur mustard exposed patients, Interdiscip Toxicol, 8 (2015) 169-174.

[129] S. Gharbi, S. Khateri, M.R. Soroush, M. Shamsara, P. Naeli, A. Najafi, E. Korsching, S.J. Mowla, MicroRNA expression in serum samples of sulfur mustard veterans as a diagnostic gateway to improve care, PloS one, 13 (2018) e0194530.

[130] S. Salimi, F. Noorbakhsh, S. Faghihzadeh, S. Ghaffarpour, T. Ghazanfari, Expression of miR-15b-5p, miR-21-5p, and SMAD7 in Lung Tissue of Sulfur Mustardexposed Individuals with Long-term Pulmonary Complications, Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol, 18 (2019) 332-339.

[131] S. Rothmiller, M. Wolf, F. Worek, D. Steinritz, H. Thiermann, A. Schmidt, Alteration of miRNA expression in a sulfur mustard resistant cell line, Toxicology letters, 293 (2018) 38-44.

[132] T. Rana, A. Ahmad, I. Zafar, N. Mariappan, D.S. Chandrashekar, T. Hamid, M. Husain, S. Varambally, S. Ahmad, A. Ahmad, MicroRNA-mediated inflammation and coagulation effects in rats exposed to an inhaled analog of sulfur mustard, Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1479 (2020) 148-158.

[133] E. Barbosa, A.L.A. Dos Santos, G.P. Peteffi, A. Schneider, D. Muller, D. Rovaris, C.H.D. Bau, R. Linden, M.V. Antunes, M.F. Charao, Increase of global DNA methylation patterns in beauty salon workers exposed to low levels of formaldehyde, Environ Sci Pollut Res Int, 26 (2019) 1304-1314.

[134] D. Chen, L. Fang, S. Mei, H. Li, X. Xu, T.L. Des Marais, K. Lu, X.S. Liu, C. Jin, Regulation of Chromatin Assembly and Cell Transformation by Formaldehyde Exposure in Human Cells, Environ Health Perspect, 125 (2017) 097019.

[135] L. Lewis, G.A. Chappell, T. Kobets, B.E. O'Brian, D. Sangaraju, O. Kosyk, W. Bodnar, N.Y. Tretyakova, I.P. Pogribny, I. Rusyn, Sex-specific differences in genotoxic and epigenetic effects of 1,3-butadiene among mouse tissues, Arch Toxicol, 93 (2019) 791-800.

[136] L. Lewis, B. Borowa-Mazgaj, A. de Conti, G.A. Chappell, Y.S. Luo, W. Bodnar, K. Konganti, F.A. Wright, D.W. Threadgill, W.A. Chiu, I.P. Pogribny, I. Rusyn,

Population-Based Analysis of DNA Damage and Epigenetic Effects of 1,3-Butadiene in the Mouse, Chemical research in toxicology, 32 (2019) 887-898.

[137] J.W. Israel, G.A. Chappell, J.M. Simon, S. Pott, A. Safi, L. Lewis, P. Cotney, H.S. Boulos, W. Bodnar, J.D. Lieb, G.E. Crawford, T.S. Furey, I. Rusyn, Tissue- and strain-specific effects of a genotoxic carcinogen 1,3-butadiene on chromatin and transcription, Mammalian genome : official journal of the International Mammalian Genome Society, 29 (2018) 153-167.

[138] G.A. Chappell, J.W. Israel, J.M. Simon, S. Pott, A. Safi, K. Eklund, K.G. Sexton, W. Bodnar, J.D. Lieb, G.E. Crawford, I. Rusyn, T.S. Furey, Variation in DNA-Damage Responses to an Inhalational Carcinogen (1,3-Butadiene) in Relation to Strain-Specific Differences in Chromatin Accessibility and Gene Transcription Profiles in C57BL/6J and CAST/EiJ Mice, Environ Health Perspect, 125 (2017) 107006.

[139] Y.L. Qiu, Z.B. Xu, Q. Wang, J.Y. Hu, L. Zhang, S.Q. Chen, Y. Lyu, C.L. Wei, X.Y. Yan, T. Wang, Association between methylation of DNA damage response-related genes and DNA damage in hepatocytes of rats following subchronic exposure to vinyl chloride, Chemosphere, 227 (2019) 323-328.

[140] N.N. Feng, Y. Fang, Y.N. Zhang, X.W. Xu, Y. Li, J.W. Wang, Y.L. Li, P. Brandt-Rauf, Z.L. Xia, Analysis of microRNA expression and micronuclei frequency in workers exposed to vinyl chloride monomer in China, Epigenomics, 9 (2017) 1093-1104.

[141] R. Sisto, P. Capone, L. Cerini, F. Sanjust, E. Paci, D. Pigini, M.P. Gatto, M. Gherardi, A. Gordiani, N. L'Episcopo, G. Tranfo, P. Chiarella, Circulating microRNAs as potential biomarkers of occupational exposure to low dose organic solvents, Toxicol Rep, 6 (2019) 126-135.

[142] S. Duan, H. Yuan, S. Yu, X. Wei, X. Zhou, W. Wang, F. Feng, L. Qu, Y. Wu, Epigenetic-Based Biomarkers in the Malignant Transformation of BEAS-2B Cells Induced by Coal Tar Pitch Extract, Medicina (Kaunas), 57 (2020).

[143] Z. Li, W. Wang, L. Meng, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, C. Li, Y. Wu, F. Feng, Q. Zhang, Identification and analysis of key lncRNAs in malignant-transformed BEAS-2B cells induced with coal tar pitch by microarray analysis, Environ Toxicol Pharmacol, 79 (2020) 103376.

[144] Z. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Meng, S. Yang, P. Zhang, J. Zhang, C. Li, F. Feng, Q. Zhang, LncRNA-ENST00000501520 promotes the proliferation of malignant-transformed BEAS-2B cells induced with coal tar pitch mediated by target genes, Environ Toxicol, 34 (2019) 869-877.

[145] H.D. Lin, F.Z. Wang, C.Y. Lee, C.Y. Nien, Y.K. Tseng, C.L. Yao, S.C. Chen, 4-Aminobiphenyl inhibits the DNA homologous recombination repair in human liver cells: The role of miR-630 in downregulating RAD18 and MCM8, Toxicology, 440 (2020) 152441.

[146] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans,
Outdoor Air Pollution, IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 109 (2016) 9-444.
[147] S. Vos, T.S. Nawrot, D.S. Martens, H.M. Byun, B.G. Janssen, Mitochondrial DNA methylation in placental tissue: a proof of concept study by means of prenatal environmental stressors, Epigenetics, 16 (2021) 121-131.

[148] M. Wang, J. Zhao, Y. Wang, Y. Mao, X. Zhao, P. Huang, Q. Liu, Y. Ma, Y. Yao, Z. Yang, W. Yuan, W. Cui, T.J. Payne, M.D. Li, Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis reveals significant impact of long-term ambient air pollution exposure on biological functions related to mitochondria and immune response, Environ Pollut, 264 (2020) 114707.

[149] I.C. Eze, A. Jeong, E. Schaffner, F.I. Rezwan, A. Ghantous, M. Foraster, D. Vienneau, F. Kronenberg, Z. Herceg, P. Vineis, M. Brink, J.M. Wunderli, C. Schindler, C. Cajochen, M. Roosli, J.W. Holloway, M. Imboden, N. Probst-Hensch, Genome-Wide DNA Methylation in Peripheral Blood and Long-Term Exposure to Source-Specific Transportation Noise and Air Pollution: The SAPALDIA Study, Environ Health Perspect, 128 (2020) 67003.

[150] C. Wang, K.M. O'Brien, Z. Xu, D.P. Sandler, J.A. Taylor, C.R. Weinberg, Long-term ambient fine particulate matter and DNA methylation in inflammation pathways: results from the Sister Study, Epigenetics, 15 (2020) 524-535.

[151] C. Wang, M. Plusquin, A. Ghantous, Z. Herceg, R. Alfano, B. Cox, T.S. Nawrot, DNA methylation of insulin-like growth factor 2 and H19 cluster in cord blood and prenatal air pollution exposure to fine particulate matter, Environ Health, 19 (2020) 129.
[152] S.K. Merid, M. Bustamante, M. Standl, J. Sunyer, J. Heinrich, N. Lemonnier, D. Aguilar, J.M. Anto, J. Bousquet, L. Santa-Marina, A. Lertxundi, A. Bergstrom, I. Kull, A.M. Wheelock, G.H. Koppelman, E. Melen, O. Gruzieva, Integration of gene expression and DNA methylation identifies epigenetically controlled modules related to PM2.5 exposure, Environ Int, 146 (2021) 106248.

[153] F. Feng, L. Huang, G. Zhou, J. Wang, R. Zhang, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Ba, GPR61 methylation in cord blood: a potential target of prenatal exposure to air pollutants, Int J Environ Health Res, (2020) 1-10.

[154] L. Cantone, E. Tobaldini, C. Favero, B. Albetti, R.M. Sacco, G. Torgano, L. Ferrari, N. Montano, V. Bollati, Particulate Air Pollution, Clock Gene Methylation, and Stroke: Effects on Stroke Severity and Disability, Int J Mol Sci, 21 (2020).

[155] Y. Sun, J. Huang, Y. Zhao, L. Xue, H. Li, Q. Liu, H. Cao, W. Peng, C. Guo, Y. Xie, X. Liu, B. Li, K. Liu, S. Wu, L. Zhang, Inflammatory cytokines and DNA methylation in healthy young adults exposure to fine particulate matter: A randomized, double-blind crossover trial of air filtration, J Hazard Mater, 398 (2020) 122817.

[156] L. Calderon-Garciduenas, A. Herrera-Soto, N. Jury, B.A. Maher, A. Gonzalez-

Maciel, R. Reynoso-Robles, P. Ruiz-Rudolph, B. van Zundert, L. Varela-Nallar, Reduced repressive epigenetic marks, increased DNA damage and Alzheimer's disease hallmarks in the brain of humans and mice exposed to particulate urban air pollution, Environ Res, 183 (2020) 109226.

[157] K. Vrijens, A.J. Trippas, W. Lefebvre, C. Vanpoucke, J. Penders, B.G. Janssen, T.S. Nawrot, Association of Prenatal Exposure to Ambient Air Pollution With Circulating Histone Levels in Maternal Cord Blood, JAMA Netw Open, 3 (2020) e205156.

[158] F.R. Mancini, J.E. Laine, S. Tarallo, J. Vlaanderen, R. Vermeulen, E. van Nunen, G. Hoek, N. Probst-Hensch, M. Imboden, A. Jeong, J. Gulliver, M. Chadeau-Hyam, M. Nieuwenhuijsen, T.M. de Kok, J. Piepers, J. Krauskopf, J.C.S. Kleinjans, P. Vineis, A. Naccarati, microRNA expression profiles and personal monitoring of exposure to particulate matter, Environ Pollut, 263 (2020) 114392.

[159] M. Tsamou, T.S. Nawrot, R.M. Carollo, A.J. Trippas, W. Lefebvre, C. Vanpoucke, K. Vrijens, Prenatal particulate air pollution exposure and expression of the miR-17/92 cluster in cord blood: Findings from the ENVIRONAGE birth cohort, Environ Int, 142 (2020) 105860.

[160] J. Li, T. Wang, Y. Wang, M. Xu, L. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Liu, S. Gao, Q. Jia, Y. Fan, Z. Wang, N. Wu, X. Zhang, Y. Dai, F. Kong, W. Wang, H. Duan, Particulate matter air pollution and the expression of microRNAs and pro-inflammatory genes: Association and mediation among children in Jinan, China, J Hazard Mater, 389 (2020) 121843.

[161] H. Chen, Y. Xu, A. Rappold, D. Diaz-Sanchez, H. Tong, Effects of ambient ozone exposure on circulating extracellular vehicle microRNA levels in coronary artery disease patients, J Toxicol Environ Health A, 83 (2020) 351-362.

[162] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Diesel and Gasoline Engine Exhausts and Some Nitroarenes. Iarc Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 105 (2014) 9-699.

[163] X. Zhang, J. Li, Z. He, H. Duan, W. Gao, H. Wang, S. Yu, W. Chen, Y. Zheng, Associations between DNA methylation in DNA damage response-related genes and cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome index in diesel engine exhaust-exposed workers, Arch Toxicol, 90 (2016) 1997-2008.

[164] R. Jiang, M.J. Jones, F. Sava, M.S. Kobor, C. Carlsten, Short-term diesel exhaust inhalation in a controlled human crossover study is associated with changes in DNA methylation of circulating mononuclear cells in asthmatics, Part Fibre Toxicol, 11 (2014) 71.

[165] X. Lei, J.E. Muscat, B. Zhang, X. Sha, G. Xiu, Differentially DNA methylation changes induced in vitro by traffic-derived nanoparticulate matter, Toxicology, 395 (2018) 54-62.

[166] X. Zhang, X. Chen, M.T. Weirauch, X. Zhang, J.D. Burleson, E.B. Brandt, H. Ji, Diesel exhaust and house dust mite allergen lead to common changes in the airway methylome and hydroxymethylome, Environ Epigenet, 4 (2018) dvy020.

[167] R.L. Clifford, M.J. Jones, J.L. MacIsaac, L.M. McEwen, S.J. Goodman, S. Mostafavi, M.S. Kobor, C. Carlsten, Inhalation of diesel exhaust and allergen alters human bronchial epithelium DNA methylation, J Allergy Clin Immunol, 139 (2017) 112-121.

[168] H. Li, M.H. Ryu, C.F. Rider, W. Tse, R.L. Clifford, M.J. Aristizabal, W. Wen, C. Carlsten, Predominant DNMT and TET mediate effects of allergen on the human bronchial epithelium in a controlled air pollution exposure study, J Allergy Clin Immunol, (2020).

[169] K. Tachibana, K. Takayanagi, A. Akimoto, K. Ueda, Y. Shinkai, M. Umezawa, K. Takeda, Prenatal diesel exhaust exposure disrupts the DNA methylation profile in the brain of mouse offspring, J Toxicol Sci, 40 (2015) 1-11.

[170] J.M. Goodson, C.S. Weldy, J.W. MacDonald, Y. Liu, T.K. Bammler, W.M. Chien, M.T. Chin, In utero exposure to diesel exhaust particulates is associated with an altered cardiac transcriptional response to transverse aortic constriction and altered DNA methylation, FASEB J, 31 (2017) 4935-4945.

[171] J.M. Goodson, J.W. MacDonald, T.K. Bammler, W.M. Chien, M.T. Chin, In utero exposure to diesel exhaust is associated with alterations in neonatal cardiomyocyte transcription, DNA methylation and metabolic perturbation, Part Fibre Toxicol, 16 (2019) 17.

[172] S.A. Belinsky, S.S. Snow, K.J. Nikula, G.L. Finch, C.S. Tellez, W.A. Palmisano, Aberrant CpG island methylation of the p16(INK4a) and estrogen receptor genes in rat lung tumors induced by particulate carcinogens, Carcinogenesis, 23 (2002) 335-339.
[173] D. Cao, P.A. Bromberg, J.M. Samet, COX-2 expression induced by diesel

particles involves chromatin modification and degradation of HDAC1, Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol, 37 (2007) 232-239.

[174] M.J. Jardim, R.C. Fry, I. Jaspers, L. Dailey, D. Diaz-Sanchez, Disruption of microRNA expression in human airway cells by diesel exhaust particles is linked to tumorigenesis-associated pathways, Environ Health Perspect., 117 (2009) 1745-1751.
[175] S. Yadav, N. Singh, P.P. Shah, D.A. Rowbotham, D. Malik, A. Srivastav, J.

Shankar, W.L. Lam, W.W. Lockwood, L.J. Beverly, MIR155 Regulation of Ubiquilin1 and Ubiquilin2: Implications in Cellular Protection and Tumorigenesis, Neoplasia, 19 (2017) 321-332.

[176] B. Bleck, G. Grunig, A. Chiu, M. Liu, T. Gordon, A. Kazeros, J. Reibman, MicroRNA-375 regulation of thymic stromal lymphopoietin by diesel exhaust particles and ambient particulate matter in human bronchial epithelial cells, J Immunol, 190 (2013) 3757-3763.

[177] F. Zhou, S. Li, W. Jia, G. Lv, C. Song, C. Kang, Q. Zhang, Effects of diesel exhaust particles on microRNA-21 in human bronchial epithelial cells and potential carcinogenic mechanisms, Mol Med Rep, 12 (2015) 2329-2335.

[178] X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Q. Meng, H. Sun, S. Wu, J. Xu, J. Yun, X. Yang, B. Li, H. Zhu, L. Xue, X. Li, R. Chen, MicroRNA-382-5p is involved in pulmonary inflammation induced by fine particulate matter exposure, Environ Pollut, 262 (2020) 114278.

[179] C.F. Rider, M. Yamamoto, O.P. Gunther, J.A. Hirota, A. Singh, S.J. Tebbutt, C. Carlsten, Controlled diesel exhaust and allergen coexposure modulates microRNA and gene expression in humans: Effects on inflammatory lung markers, J Allergy Clin Immunol, 138 (2016) 1690-1700.

[180] M. Yamamoto, A. Singh, F. Sava, M. Pui, S.J. Tebbutt, C. Carlsten, MicroRNA expression in response to controlled exposure to diesel exhaust: attenuation by the

antioxidant N-acetylcysteine in a randomized crossover study, Environ Health Perspect, 121 (2013) 670-675.

[181] I. Rynning, V.M. Arlt, K. Vrbova, J. Neca, P. Rossner, Jr., J. Klema, B. Ulvestad, E. Petersen, O. Skare, A. Haugen, D.H. Phillips, M. Machala, J. Topinka, S. Mollerup, Bulky DNA adducts, microRNA profiles, and lipid biomarkers in Norwegian tunnel finishing workers occupationally exposed to diesel exhaust, Occup Environ Med, 76 (2019) 10-16.

[182] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, DDT, Lindane, and 2,4-D, Lyon (FR), 2018.

[183] A. Agrahari, A. Singh, A. Srivastava, R.R. Jha, D.K. Patel, S. Yadav, V. Srivastava, D. Parmar, Overexpression of cerebral cytochrome P450s in prenatally exposed offspring modify the toxicity of lindane in rechallenged offspring, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 371 (2019) 20-37.

[184] X. Huang, X. Han, Z. Huang, M. Yu, Y. Zhang, Y. Fan, B. Xu, K. Zhou, L. Song, X. Wang, C. Lu, Y. Xia, Maternal pentachlorophenol exposure induces developmental toxicity mediated by autophagy on pregnancy mice, Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 169 (2019) 829-836.

[185] IARC working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Polybrominated Biphenyls, IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 107 (2016) 9-500.

[186] J.A. Rusiecki, A. Baccarelli, V. Bollati, L. Tarantini, L.E. Moore, E.C. Bonefeld-Jorgensen, Global DNA hypomethylation is associated with high serum-persistent organic pollutants in Greenlandic Inuit, Environ Health Perspect, 116 (2008) 1547-1552.

[187] H. Itoh, M. Iwasaki, Y. Kasuga, S. Yokoyama, H. Onuma, H. Nishimura, R. Kusama, T. Yoshida, K. Yokoyama, S. Tsugane, Association between serum organochlorines and global methylation level of leukocyte DNA among Japanese women: a cross-sectional study, Sci Total Environ, 490 (2014) 603-609.

[188] L. Lind, J. Penell, K. Luttropp, L. Nordfors, A.C. Syvanen, T. Axelsson, S. Salihovic, B. van Bavel, T. Fall, E. Ingelsson, P.M. Lind, Global DNA hypermethylation is associated with high serum levels of persistent organic pollutants in an elderly population, Environ Int, 59 (2013) 456-461.

[189] M.W. van den Dungen, A.J. Murk, E. Kampman, W.T. Steegenga, D.E. Kok, Association between DNA methylation profiles in leukocytes and serum levels of persistent organic pollutants in Dutch men, Environ Epigenet, 3 (2017) dvx001.

[190] M.H. Lee, E.R. Cho, J.E. Lim, S.H. Jee, Association between serum persistent organic pollutants and DNA methylation in Korean adults, Environ Res, 158 (2017) 333-341.

[191] Y.K. Leung, B. Ouyang, L. Niu, C. Xie, J. Ying, M. Medvedovic, A. Chen, P. Weihe, D. Valvi, P. Grandjean, S.M. Ho, Identification of sex-specific DNA methylation changes driven by specific chemicals in cord blood in a Faroese birth cohort, Epigenetics, 13 (2018) 290-300.

[192] C. Consales, G. Toft, G. Leter, J.P. Bonde, R. Uccelli, F. Pacchierotti, P. Eleuteri, B.A. Jonsson, A. Giwercman, H.S. Pedersen, P. Strucinski, K. Goralczyk, V. Zviezdai, M. Spano, Exposure to persistent organic pollutants and sperm DNA methylation

changes in Arctic and European populations, Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, 57 (2016) 200-209.

[193] M. Ouidir, P. Mendola, G.M. Buck Louis, K. Kannan, C. Zhang, F. Tekola-Ayele, Concentrations of persistent organic pollutants in maternal plasma and epigenome-wide placental DNA methylation, Clin Epigenetics, 12 (2020) 103.

[194] S. Kobayashi, F. Sata, C. Miyashita, R. Miura, K. Azumi, S. Kobayashi, H. Goudarzi, A. Araki, M. Ishizuka, T. Todaka, J. Kajiwara, T. Hori, R. Kishi, Gender-specific association of exposure to non-dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls during pregnancy with methylation levels of H19 and long interspersed nuclear element-1 in cord blood in the Hokkaido study, Toxicology, 390 (2017) 135-145.

[195] S. Kim, Y.H. Cho, I. Lee, W. Kim, S. Won, J.L. Ku, H.B. Moon, J. Park, S. Kim, G. Choi, K. Choi, Prenatal exposure to persistent organic pollutants and methylation of LINE-1 and imprinted genes in placenta: A CHECK cohort study, Environ Int, 119 (2018) 398-406.

[196] K.Y. Su, M.C. Li, N.W. Lee, B.C. Ho, C.L. Cheng, Y.C. Chuang, S.L. Yu, Y.L. Guo, Perinatal polychlorinated biphenyls and polychlorinated dibenzofurans exposure are associated with DNA methylation changes lasting to early adulthood: Findings from Yucheng second generation, Environ Res, 170 (2019) 481-486.

[197] Y. Cui, S.R. Choudhury, J. Irudayaraj, Epigenetic Toxicity of Trichloroethylene: A Single-Molecule Perspective, Toxicology research, 5 (2016) 641-650.

[198] P. Georgiadis, M. Gavriil, P. Rantakokko, E. Ladoukakis, M. Botsivali, R.S. Kelly, I.A. Bergdahl, H. Kiviranta, R.C.H. Vermeulen, F. Spaeth, D. Hebbels, J.C.S. Kleinjans, T. de Kok, D. Palli, P. Vineis, S.A. Kyrtopoulos, c. EnviroGenomarkers, DNA methylation profiling implicates exposure to PCBs in the pathogenesis of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia, Environ Int, 126 (2019) 24-36.

[199] J.H. Wang, Y. Wang, L.Q. Du, C. Xu, Q. Liu, [Study on the exposure of polychlorinated biphenyl contamination and DNA methylation in male employees in an e-waste dismantling area in Tianjin], Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi, 53 (2019) 376-381.

[200] J.Y. Lee, K.M. Lee, D.H. Lee, D.S. Kim, Association of low-dose exposure to persistent organic pollutants with E-cadherin promoter methylation in healthy Koreans, Biomarkers, 23 (2018) 293-298.

[201] A. Eguchi, S. Nishizawa-Jotaki, H. Tanabe, B. Rahmutulla, M. Watanabe, H. Miyaso, E. Todaka, K. Sakurai, A. Kaneda, C. Mori, An Altered DNA Methylation Status in the Human Umbilical Cord Is Correlated with Maternal Exposure to Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Int J Environ Res Public Health, 16 (2019).

[202] S.Y. Park, K.S. Kim, Y.M. Lee, M.J. Kim, D.R. Jacobs, Jr., M. Porta, D.S. Kim, D.H. Lee, Persistent organic pollutants and promoter hypermethylation of the O(6)-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene, Biomarkers, 20 (2015) 136-142.

[203] L. Formisano, N. Guida, S. Cocco, A. Secondo, R. Sirabella, L. Ulianich, F. Paturzo, G. Di Renzo, L.M. Canzoniero, The repressor element 1-silencing transcription

factor is a novel molecular target for the neurotoxic effect of the polychlorinated biphenyl mixture aroclor 1254 in neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, J Pharmacol Exp Ther, 338 (2011) 997-1003. [204] L. Casati, R. Sendra, A. Colciago, P. Negri-Cesi, M. Berdasco, M. Esteller, F. Celotti, Polychlorinated biphenyls affect histone modification pattern in early development of rats: a role for androgen receptor-dependent modulation?, Epigenomics, 4 (2012) 101-112.

[205] J.L. Cai, L.L. Liu, Y. Hu, X.M. Jiang, H.L. Qiu, A.G. Sha, C.G. Wang, Z.H. Zuo, J.Z. Ren, Polychlorinated biphenyls impair endometrial receptivity in vitro via regulating mir-30d expression and epithelial mesenchymal transition, Toxicology, 365 (2016) 25-34.

[206] Q. Li, M.A. Kappil, A. Li, P.S. Dassanayake, T.H. Darrah, A.E. Friedman, M. Friedman, L. Lambertini, P. Landrigan, C.J. Stodgell, Y. Xia, J.A. Nanes, K.M. Aagaard, E.E. Schadt, J.C. Murray, E.B. Clark, N. Dole, J. Culhane, J. Swanson, M. Varner, J. Moye, C. Kasten, R.K. Miller, J. Chen, Exploring the associations between microRNA expression profiles and environmental pollutants in human placenta from the National Children's Study (NCS), Epigenetics, 10 (2015) 793-802.

[207] J. Krauskopf, T.M. de Kok, D.G. Hebels, I.A. Bergdahl, A. Johansson, F. Spaeth, H. Kiviranta, P. Rantakokko, S.A. Kyrtopoulos, J.C. Kleinjans, MicroRNA profile for health risk assessment: Environmental exposure to persistent organic pollutants strongly affects the human blood microRNA machinery, Sci Rep, 7 (2017) 9262.

[208] B. Wahlang, M.C. Petriello, J.T. Perkins, S. Shen, B. Hennig, Polychlorinated biphenyl exposure alters the expression profile of microRNAs associated with vascular diseases, Toxicol In Vitro, 35 (2016) 180-187.

[209] A. Lesiak, M. Zhu, H. Chen, S.M. Appleyard, S. Impey, P.J. Lein, G.A. Wayman, The environmental neurotoxicant PCB 95 promotes synaptogenesis via ryanodine receptor-dependent miR132 upregulation, J Neurosci, 34 (2014) 717-725.

[210] V.Y. Topper, D.M. Walker, A.C. Gore, Sexually dimorphic effects of gestational endocrine-disrupting chemicals on microRNA expression in the developing rat hypothalamus, Mol Cell Endocrinol, 414 (2015) 42-52.

[211] Q. Shan, F. Qu, N. Chen, 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and Polychlorinated Biphenyl Coexposure Alters the Expression Profile of MicroRNAs in the Liver Associated with Atherosclerosis, BioMed research international, 2020 (2020) 2652756.

[212] C. Zhu, Z.B. Yu, J.G. Zhu, X.S. Hu, Y.L. Chen, Y.F. Qiu, Z.F. Xu, L.M. Qian, S.P. Han, Differential expression profile of MicroRNAs during differentiation of cardiomyocytes exposed to polychlorinated biphenyls, Int J Mol Sci, 13 (2012) 15955-15966.

[213] K.Y. Kim, D.S. Kim, S.K. Lee, I.K. Lee, J.H. Kang, Y.S. Chang, D.R. Jacobs, M. Steffes, D.H. Lee, Association of low-dose exposure to persistent organic pollutants with global DNA hypomethylation in healthy Koreans, Environ Health Perspect, 118 (2010) 370-374.

[214] G.S. Pittman, X. Wang, M.R. Campbell, S.J. Coulter, J.R. Olson, M. Pavuk, L.S. Birnbaum, D.A. Bell, Dioxin-like compound exposures and DNA methylation in the Anniston Community Health Survey Phase II, Sci Total Environ, 742 (2020) 140424.

[215] S.U. Vorrink, D.R. Hudachek, F.E. Domann, Epigenetic determinants of CYP1A1 induction by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor agonist 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126), Int J Mol Sci, 15 (2014) 13916-13931.

[216] N. Aluru, S.I. Karchner, K.S. Krick, W. Zhu, J. Liu, Role of DNA methylation in altered gene expression patterns in adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) exposed to 3, 3', 4, 4', 5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126), Environ Epigenet, 4 (2018) dvy005.

[217] Q.L. He, X.Y. Wei, X.Y. Han, Q. Zhou, H.Q. Wang, N.Z. Ding, X.Q. Meng, H. Schatten, Q.Y. Sun, S.Z. Liu, Effects of 2,3',4,4'5-pentachlorobiphenyl exposure during pregnancy on epigenetic imprinting and maturation of offspring's oocytes in mice, Arch Toxicol, 93 (2019) 2575-2592.

[218] X.L. Qu, Z. Ming, F. Yuan, H. Wang, Y.Z. Zhang, Effect of 2,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl Exposure on Endometrial Receptivity and the Methylation of HOXA10, Reprod Sci, 25 (2018) 256-268.

[219] D. Liu, J.T. Perkins, M.C. Petriello, B. Hennig, Exposure to coplanar PCBs induces endothelial cell inflammation through epigenetic regulation of NF-kappaB subunit p65, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 289 (2015) 457-465.

[220] D. Liu, J.T. Perkins, B. Hennig, EGCG prevents PCB-126-induced endothelial cell inflammation via epigenetic modifications of NF-kappaB target genes in human endothelial cells, J Nutr Biochem, 28 (2016) 164-170.

[221] J.L. Ovesen, M. Schnekenburger, A. Puga, Aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands of widely different toxic equivalency factors induce similar histone marks in target gene chromatin, Toxicol Sci, 121 (2011) 123-131.

[222] M. Guida, M.L. Marra, F. Zullo, M. Guida, M. Trifuoggi, E. Biffali, M. Borra, G. De Mieri, R. D'Alessandro, B. De Felice, Association between exposure to dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls and miR-191 expression in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, Mutation research, 753 (2013) 36-41.

[223] N. Chen, Q. Shan, Y. Qi, W. Liu, X. Tan, J. Gu, Transcriptome analysis in normal human liver cells exposed to 2, 3, 3', 4, 4', 5 - Hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 156), Chemosphere, 239 (2020) 124747.

[224] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Trichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene, and Some Other Chlorinated Agents, IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum, 106 (2014) 1-512.

[225] S.D. Byrum, C.L. Washam, J.D. Patterson, K.K. Vyas, K.M. Gilbert, S.J. Blossom, Continuous Developmental and Early Life Trichloroethylene Exposure Promoted DNA Methylation Alterations in Polycomb Protein Binding Sites in Effector/Memory CD4(+) T Cells, Front Immunol, 10 (2019) 2016.

[226] K.M. Gilbert, S.J. Blossom, B. Reisfeld, S.W. Erickson, K. Vyas, M. Maher, B. Broadfoot, K. West, S. Bai, C.A. Cooney, S. Bhattacharyya, Trichloroethylene-induced alterations in DNA methylation were enriched in polycomb protein binding sites in effector/memory CD4(+) T cells, Environ Epigenet, 3 (2017).

[227] K.M. Gilbert, S.J. Blossom, S.W. Erickson, B. Reisfeld, T.J. Zurlinden, B. Broadfoot, K. West, S. Bai, C.A. Cooney, Chronic exposure to water pollutant trichloroethylene increased epigenetic drift in CD4(+) T cells, Epigenomics, 8 (2016) 633-649.

[228] K.M. Gilbert, S.J. Blossom, S.W. Erickson, B. Broadfoot, K. West, S. Bai, J. Li, C.A. Cooney, Chronic exposure to trichloroethylene increases DNA methylation of the Ifng promoter in CD4(+) T cells, Toxicology letters, 260 (2016) 1-7.

[229] Y. Jiang, J. Chen, J. Tong, T. Chen, Trichloroethylene-induced gene expression and DNA methylation changes in B6C3F1 mouse liver, PloS one, 9 (2014) e116179.
[230] L. Tao, R. Ge, M. Xie, P.M. Kramer, M.A. Pereira, Effect of trichloroethylene on DNA methylation and expression of early-intermediate protooncogenes in the liver of B6C3F1 mice, J Biochem Mol Toxicol, 13 (1999) 231-237.

[231] L. Tao, P.M. Kramer, R. Ge, M.A. Pereira, Effect of dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid on DNA methylation in liver and tumors of female B6C3F1 mice, Toxicol Sci, 43 (1998) 139-144.

[232] L. Tao, Y. Li, P.M. Kramer, W. Wang, M.A. Pereira, Hypomethylation of DNA and the insulin-like growth factor-II gene in dichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acid-promoted mouse liver tumors, Toxicology, 196 (2004) 127-136.

[233] L. Tao, S. Yang, M. Xie, P.M. Kramer, M.A. Pereira, Hypomethylation and overexpression of c-jun and c-myc protooncogenes and increased DNA methyltransferase activity in dichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acid-promoted mouse liver tumors, Cancer letters, 158 (2000) 185-193.

[234] M.A. Pereira, W. Wang, P.M. Kramer, L. Tao, Prevention by methionine of dichloroacetic acid-induced liver cancer and DNA hypomethylation in mice, Toxicol Sci, 77 (2004) 243-248.

[235] A.M. Tabish, K. Poels, P. Hoet, L. Godderis, Epigenetic factors in cancer risk: effect of chemical carcinogens on global DNA methylation pattern in human TK6 cells, PloS one, 7 (2012) e34674.

[236] H. Zhang, W.X. Hong, J. Ye, X. Yang, X. Ren, A. Huang, L. Yang, L. Zhou, H. Huang, D. Wu, X. Huang, Z. Zhuang, J. Liu, Analysis of trichloroethylene-induced global DNA hypomethylation in hepatic L-02 cells by liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry, Biochemical and biophysical research communications, 446 (2014) 590-595.

[237] C. Lai, J. Gao, Z. Zhu, J. Yuan, W. Zhang, J. Yang, DNA methyltransferase expression and DNA hypomethylation status in human hepatocytes following trichloroacetic acid exposure, Biochemical and biophysical research communications, 511 (2019) 266-273.

[238] J.W. Ruan, Z.H. Chen, W.X. Lu, H. Zhang, X.H. Ren, X.F. Huang, J.H. Yuan, Y.G. Liu, J.J. Liu, [Trichloroethylene-induced abnormal methylation on promoter region of SET in hepatic L-02 cells], Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi, 36 (2018) 165-168.

[239] W. Lu, Z. Chen, X. Ren, W. Liu, R. Deng, J. Yuan, X. Huang, W. Zhu, J. Liu, SET promotes H2Ak9 acetylation by suppressing HDAC1 in trichloroethylene-induced hepatic cytotoxicity, Environ Toxicol Pharmacol, 59 (2018) 125-131.

[240] R.X. Deng, X.H. Ren, J.W. Ruan, J. Zheng, J.C. Zhong, W.X. Lu, X.Q. Zou, J.J. Liu, [An investigation of trichloroethylene-induced effects on histone methylation in L-02 hepatic cells], Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi, 51 (2017) 347-352.

[241] G.S. Xie, J.J. Liu, W.X. Hong, H. Zhang, Y. Sun, W.G. Zhu, [Effect of SET deficiency on the trichloroethylene-induced alteration of cell proliferation and cell apoptosis and DNA methylation in human hepatic L-02 cells], Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi, 34 (2016) 161-165.

[242] C. Lai, F. Wu, Y. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Li, G. Zhang, J. Gao, Z. Zhu, J. Yuan, J. Yang, W. Zhang, Specific epigenetic microenvironment and the regulation of tumorrelated gene expression by trichloroethylene in human hepatocytes, Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 208 (2021) 111453.

[243] A.R. Stermer, S.K. Wilson, D. Klein, S.J. Hall, K. Boekelheide, Trichloroethylene exposure alters dimethylated histone three lysine four in protein kinase A signaling pathway chromatin of rat spermdagger, Biol Reprod, 101 (2019) 875-877.

[244] H. Aoki, H. Tani, K. Nakamura, H. Sato, M. Torimura, T. Nakazato, MicroRNA biomarkers for chemical hazard screening identified by RNA deep sequencing analysis in mouse embryonic stem cells, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 392 (2020) 114929.

[245] T. Harting, M. Stubbendorff, S. Willenbrock, S. Wagner, P. Schadzek, A. Ngezahayo, H.M. Murua Escobar, I. Nolte, The effect of dichloroacetate in canine prostate adenocarcinomas and transitional cell carcinomas in vitro, Int J Oncol, 49 (2016) 2341-2350.

[246] Y. Huang, B. Jiang, Y. Xia, J. Wang, C. Ji, J. Tong, T. Chen, Y. Jiang, Downregulation of miR-133a contributes to the cardiac developmental toxicity of trichloroethylene in zebrafish, Chemosphere, 251 (2020) 126610.

[247] K.M. Lee, B.A. Bassig, L. Zhang, R.C. Vermeulen, W. Hu, J.Y.Y. Wong, C. Qiu, C. Wen, Y. Huang, M.P. Purdue, B.T. Ji, L. Li, X. Tang, N. Rothman, M.T. Smith, Q. Lan, Association between occupational exposure to trichloroethylene and serum levels of microRNAs: a cross-sectional molecular epidemiology study in China, Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 92 (2019) 1077-1085.

[248] X. Ren, Z. Chen, J. Ruan, J. Zhong, R. Deng, W. Liu, X. Huang, X. Yang, Y. Liu, J. Liu, Trichloroethylene-induced downregulation of miR-199b-5p contributes to SETmediated apoptosis in hepatocytes, Cell Biol Toxicol, 35 (2019) 565-572.

[249] Y. Jiang, J. Chen, C. Yue, H. Zhang, J. Tong, J. Li, T. Chen, The Role of miR-182-5p in Hepatocarcinogenesis of Trichloroethylene in Mice, Toxicol Sci, 156 (2017) 208-216.

[250] Y. Jiang, Z. Zhou, R. Fei, X. Zhou, J. Wang, Y. Tao, J. Li, T. Chen, Role of miR-182-5p overexpression in trichloroethylene-induced abnormal cell cycle functions in human HepG2 cells, J Toxicol Environ Health A, 82 (2019) 920-927.

[251] T.P. Harting, M. Stubbendorff, S.C. Hammer, P. Schadzek, A. Ngezahayo, H. Murua Escobar, I. Nolte, Dichloroacetate affects proliferation but not apoptosis in canine mammary cell lines, PloS one, 12 (2017) e0178744.

[252] IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Welding, molybdenum trioxide, and indium tin oxide, Lyon (FR), 2018.

[253] H. Li, M. Hedmer, T. Wojdacz, M.B. Hossain, C.H. Lindh, H. Tinnerberg, M. Albin, K. Broberg, Oxidative stress, telomere shortening, and DNA methylation in relation to low-to-moderate occupational exposure to welding fumes, Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, 56 (2015) 684-693.

[254] M.B. Hossain, H. Li, M. Hedmer, H. Tinnerberg, M. Albin, K. Broberg, Exposure to welding fumes is associated with hypomethylation of the F2RL3 gene: a cardiovascular disease marker, Occup Environ Med, 72 (2015) 845-851.

[255] Y. Xu, H. Li, M. Hedmer, M.B. Hossain, H. Tinnerberg, K. Broberg, M. Albin, Occupational exposure to particles and mitochondrial DNA - relevance for blood pressure, Environ Health, 16 (2017) 22.

[256] X. Lu, I.R. Miousse, S.V. Pirela, S. Melnyk, I. Koturbash, P. Demokritou, Shortterm exposure to engineered nanomaterials affects cellular epigenome, Nanotoxicology, 10 (2016) 140-150.

[257] M. Shoeb, G.M. Mustafa, V.K. Kodali, K. Smith, K.A. Roach, G. Boyce, T. Meighan, J.R. Roberts, A. Erdely, J.M. Antonini, A possible relationship between telomere length and markers of neurodegeneration in rat brain after welding fume inhalation exposure, Environ Res, 180 (2020) 108900.

[258] M. Shoeb, V.K. Kodali, B.Y. Farris, L.M. Bishop, T.G. Meighan, R. Salmen, T. Eye, S. Friend, D. Schwegler-Berry, J.R. Roberts, P.C. Zeidler-Erdely, A. Erdely, J.M. Antonini, Oxidative Stress, DNA Methylation, and Telomere Length Changes in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells after Pulmonary Exposure to Metal-Rich Welding Nanoparticles, NanoImpact, 5 (2017) 61-69.

[259] I. Amrani, N. Haddam, A. Garat, D. Allorge, F. Zerimech, S. Schraen, A. Taleb, H. Merzouk, J.L. Edme, J.M. Lo-Guidice, Exposure to metal fumes and circulating miRNAs in Algerian welders, Int Arch Occup Environ Health, 93 (2020) 553-561.
[260] Z. Zhao, A. Shilatifard, Epigenetic modifications of histones in cancer, Genome Biol, 20 (2019) 245.

[261] K.Z. Guyton, I. Rusyn, W.A. Chiu, D.E. Corpet, M. van den Berg, M.K. Ross, D.C. Christiani, F.A. Beland, M.T. Smith, Application of the key characteristics of carcinogens in cancer hazard identification, Carcinogenesis, 39 (2018) 614-622.

APPENDIX A

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1. HAWC Project literature search terms.

Search Term Category	Search Terms
Epigenetic Alterations https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/ https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1063/	("epigenomics"[MeSH Terms] OR "epigenomics"[All Fields] OR "epigenetic"[All Fields] OR "microrna"[All Fields] OR "micrornas"[All Fields] OR "miRNAs"[All Fields] OR "miRNA"[All Fields] OR "lncRNA"[All Fields] OR "rna, long noncoding"[MeSH Terms] OR "non-coding RNA"[All Fields] OR "non coding RNA"[All Fields] OR "ncRNA"[All Fields] OR "non coding RNA"[All Fields] OR "smallRNA"[All Fields] OR "small RNA"[All Fields] OR "smallRNA"[All Fields] OR "dna methylation"[All Fields] OR "promoter methylation"[All Fields] OR "methylated DNA"[All Fields] OR "chromatin modification"[All Fields] OR "open chromatin"[All Fields] OR "histones"[MeSH Terms] OR "histones"[All Fields] OR
Monograph 100F Carcinogens https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1046/	("benzidine" [All Fields] OR "92-87-5" [All Fields] OR "4- aminobiphenyl" [All Fields] OR "92-67-1" [All Fields] OR "MOCA" [All Fields] OR "4,4'-methylenebis(2- chlorobenzenamine)" [All Fields] OR "4,4'-methylenebis(2- chloroaniline)" [All Fields] OR "101-14-4" OR "2- naphthylamine" [All Fields] OR "91-59-8" [All Fields] OR "ortho-toluidine" [All Fields] OR "95-53-4" [All Fields] OR "benzo[a]pyrene" [All Fields] OR "benzo-a-pyrene" [All Fields] OR "50-32-8" [All Fields] OR "coal-tar "[All Fields] OR "8007-45-2" [All Fields] OR "coal-tar pitch" [All Fields] OR "65996-93-2" [All Fields] OR "coal gasification" [All Fields] OR "coke production" [All Fields] OR "coke oven" [All Fields] OR "aflatoxin" [All Fields] OR "1402-68- 2" OR "mineral oil" [All Fields] OR "benzene" [All Fields] OR "71-43-2" [All Fields] OR "1,3-butatiene" [All Fields] OR "106-99-0" OR "ethylene oxide" [All Fields] OR "75- 21-8" [All Fields] OR "formaldehyde" [All Fields] OR "50- 00-0" [All Fields] OR "sulfur mustard" [All Fields] OR "50- 00-0" [All Fields] OR "sulfur mustard" [All Fields] OR "50- 00-0" [All Fields] OR "sulfur mustard" [All Fields] OR "75- 01-4" [All Fields] OR "rubber manufacture" [All Fields] OR "rubber manufacturing" [All Fields] OR "chimney sweep" [All Fields] OR "steel founding" [All Fields] OR "107-30-2" [All Fields] OR "steen founding" [All Fields] OR "107-30-2" [All Fields

Supplementary Table 1 Continued

Search Term Category	Search Terms
Search Term Category Monograph 105-118 Carcinogens https://hawcproject.org/assessment/1063/	Search Terms ("welding fumes"[All Fields] OR "pentachlorophenol"[All Fields] OR "Chlorophenasic acid"[All Fields] OR "Chlorophenol"[All Fields] OR "penta"[All Fields] OR "pentachlorophenate"[All Fields] OR "penta"[All Fields] OR "pentachlorophenate"[All Fields] OR "2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorophenol"[All Fields] OR "1-hydroxy- 2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorophenol"[All Fields] OR "4,7-8-6.5"[All Fields] OR "107-1048] OR "γ-hexachlorocyclohexane"[All Fields] OR "58-89-9"[All Fields] OR "γ-hexachlorocyclohexane"[All Fields] OR "58-89-9"[All Fields] OR "1,2-dichloropropane"[All Fields] OR "58-89-9"[All Fields] OR "70-1,2 propane"[All Fields] OR "78-87-5"[All Fields] OR "dichloro-1,2 propane"[All Fields] OR "78-87-5"[All Fields] OR "dichloro-1,2 propane"[All Fields] OR "78-87-5"[All Fields] OR "dichloro-1,2 propane"[All Fields] OR "70-1048] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl"[All Fields] OR "3,3',4,4'-PCB"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl,"[All Fields] OR "3,3',4,4'-5CB"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 3,4,4',5-tetrachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4'-pentachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "2,3,4,4',5- pentachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,4,4',5- Pentachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5- Pentachl
	Biphenyl, 2,3',4,4',5'-pentachloro-"[All Fields] OR "2,3',4,4',5'- Pentachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "65510-44-3"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 3,3',4,4',5-pentachloro-"[All Fields] OR "3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "57465-28- 8"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5-hexachloro-"[All Fields] OR "2,3,3',4,4',5-Hexachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "38380-08-4"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5'- hexachloro-"[All Fields] OR "2,3,3',4,4',5'- hexachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "69782-90-7"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachloro-"[All Fields] OR "2,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "2,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 3,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachloro-"[All Fields] OR "3,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "32774-16-6"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5,5'- heptachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5,5'- heptachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5,5'- heptachloro-"[All Fields] OR "1,1'-Biphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5,5'- heptachloro-"[All Fields] OR "2,3,3',4,4',5,5'- Heptachlorobiphenyl"[All Fields] OR "39635-31-9"[All Fields] OR "chlorinated biphenyls"[All Fields] OR "1336-36-3"[All Fields] OR "polychlorobiphenyls"[All Fields] OR "1336-36-3"[All Fields] OR "Engine exhaust"[All Fields] OR "1336-36-3"[All Fields] OR "trichloroethylene"[All Fields] OR "thinyl trichloride"[All Fields] OR "4,1,2-trichloroethylene"[All Fields] OR "79-01- 6"[All Fields])

Supplementary Table 2. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
The study investigated a carcinogen that:	The study investigated a chemical of
• Was categorized as Group 1	interest but did not report any epigenetic
(carcinogenic to humans)	alteration testing
Has one or more demonstrated genotoxic mechanism(s) of carcinogenesis	The study did not investigate a chemical of interest
Publication evaluated epigenetic alterations that occurred following independent exposure of a chemical of interest	Access to the full scientific report was impeded (e.g. private journal, alternative language)
Publication included original data	The study was a review or commentary that did not provide primary data
Study was published in English	