



Evidence for collective multi-particle correlations in pPb collisions

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

The second-order azimuthal anisotropy Fourier harmonics, v_2 , are obtained in pPb and PbPb collisions over a wide pseudorapidity (η) range based on correlations among six or more charged particles. The pPb data, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35 nb^{-1} , were collected during the 2013 LHC pPb run at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV by the CMS experiment. A sample of semi-peripheral PbPb collision data at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $2.5 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$ and covering a similar range of particle multiplicities as the pPb data, is also analyzed for comparison. The six- and eight-particle cumulant and the Lee-Yang zeros methods are used to extract the v_2 coefficients, extending previous studies of two- and four-particle correlations. For both the pPb and PbPb systems, the v_2 values obtained with correlations among more than four particles are consistent with previously published four-particle results. These data support the interpretation of a collective origin for the previously observed long-range (large $\Delta\eta$) correlations in both systems. The ratios of v_2 values corresponding to correlations including different numbers of particles are compared to theoretical predictions that assume a hydrodynamic behavior of a pPb system dominated by fluctuations in the positions of participant nucleons. These results provide new insights into the multi-particle dynamics of collision systems with a very small overlapping region.

Published in Physical Review Letters as doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.012301.

Measurements at the CERN LHC have led to the discovery of two-particle azimuthal correlation structures at large relative pseudorapidity (long-range) in proton-proton (pp) [1] and proton-lead (pPb) [2–5] collisions. Similar long-range structure has also been observed for $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV deuteron-gold (dAu) collisions at RHIC [6, 7]. The results extend previous studies of relativistic heavy ion collisions, such as for the copper-copper [8], gold-gold [8–12], and lead-lead (PbPb) [13–18] systems, where similar long-range, two-particle correlations at small relative azimuthal angle $|\Delta\phi| \approx 0$ were first observed. A fundamental question is whether the observed behavior results from correlations exclusively between particle pairs, or if it is a multi-particle, collective effect. It has been suggested that the hydrodynamic collective flow of a strongly interacting and expanding medium [19–21] is responsible for these long-range correlations in central and mid-central heavy ion collisions. The origin of the observed long-range correlations in collision systems with a small overlapping region, such as for pp and pPb collisions, is not clear since for these systems the formation of an extended hot medium is not necessarily expected. Various theoretical models have been proposed to interpret the pp [22, 23] and pPb results, including initial-state gluon saturation without any final state interactions [24, 25] and, similar to what is thought to occur in heavier systems, hydrodynamic behavior that develops in a conjectured high-density medium [26–28]. These models have been successful in describing different aspects of the previous experimental results.

To further investigate the multi-particle nature of the observed long-range correlation phenomena, in this Letter we present measurements of correlations among six or more charged particles for pPb collisions at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The azimuthal dependence of particle production is typically characterized by an expansion in Fourier harmonics (v_n) [29]. In hydrodynamic models, the second (v_2) and third (v_3) harmonics, called “elliptic” and “triangular” flow [30], respectively, directly reflect the response to the initial collision geometry and fluctuations [31–33], providing insight into the fundamental transport properties of the medium. First attempts to establish the multi-particle nature of the correlations observed in pPb collisions were presented in Refs. [34, 35] by directly measuring four-particle azimuthal correlations, where the elliptic flow signal was obtained using the four-particle cumulant method [36]. However, four-particle correlations can still be affected by contributions from non-collective effects such as fragmentation of back-to-back jets. By extending the studies to six- and eight-particle cumulants [36] and by also obtaining results using the Lee-Yang zeros (LYZ) method, which involves correlations among all detected particles [37, 38], it is possible to further explore the collective nature of the correlations. High-statistics data obtained by the CMS experiment during the 2013 pPb run at the LHC are used. With a sample of very high final state multiplicity pPb collisions, the correlation data have been studied in a regime that is comparable to the charged particle multiplicity of the 50% most peripheral (semi-peripheral) PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV.

The CMS detector comprises a number of subsystems [39]. The results in this Letter are mainly based on the silicon tracker information. The silicon tracker, located in the 3.8 T field of a superconducting solenoid, consists of 1 440 silicon pixel and 15 148 silicon strip detector modules. The silicon tracker measures charged particles within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$, and provides an impact parameter resolution of $\approx 15 \mu\text{m}$ and a transverse momentum (p_T) resolution better than 1.5% at $p_T \approx 100$ GeV/c. The electromagnetic (ECAL) and hadron (HCAL) calorimeters are also located inside the solenoid and cover the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 3.0$. The HCAL barrel and endcaps are sampling calorimeters composed of brass and scintillator plates. The ECAL consists of lead tungstate crystals arranged in a quasiprojective geometry. Iron/quartz-fiber Čerenkov hadron forward (HF) calorimeters cover the range $2.9 < |\eta| < 5.2$ on either side of the interaction region. These HF calorimeters are azimuthally subdivided into

20° modular wedges and further segmented to form 0.175×0.175 rad ($\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi$) “towers”. The detailed Monte Carlo (MC) simulation of the CMS detector response is based on GEANT4 [40].

The analysis is performed using data recorded by CMS during the LHC pPb run in 2013. The data set corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 35 nb^{-1} . The beam energies were 4 TeV for protons and 1.58 TeV per nucleon for lead nuclei, resulting in $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The beam directions were reversed during the run allowing a check of one potential source of systematic uncertainties. As a result of the energy difference between the colliding beams, the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass in the pPb collisions is not at rest with respect to the laboratory frame. Massless particles emitted at $\eta_{\text{cm}} = 0$ in the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass frame will be detected at $\eta = -0.465$ (clockwise proton beam) or 0.465 (counterclockwise proton beam) in the laboratory frame. A sample of $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV PbPb data collected during the 2011 LHC heavy-ion run, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $2.3 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$, is also analyzed for comparison purposes. The triggers and event selection, as well as track reconstruction and selection are summarized below and are identical to those used in Ref. [35].

Minimum bias (MB) pPb events were triggered by requiring at least one track with $p_{\text{T}} > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$ to be found in the pixel tracker for a pPb bunch crossing. Only a small fraction ($\sim 10^{-3}$) of all MB triggered events were recorded (i.e., the trigger was “prescaled”) because of hardware limits on the data acquisition rate. In order to select high-multiplicity pPb collisions, a dedicated high-multiplicity trigger was implemented using the CMS level-1 (L1) and high-level trigger (HLT) systems. At L1, three triggers requiring the total transverse energy summed over ECAL and HCAL to be greater than 20, 40, and 60 GeV were used since these cuts selected roughly the same events as the three HLT multiplicity selections discussed below. Online track reconstruction for the HLT was based on the three layers of pixel detectors, and required a track origin within a cylindrical region of length 30 cm along the beam and radius 0.2 cm perpendicular to the beam around the nominal interaction point. For each event, the vertex reconstructed with the highest number of pixel tracks was selected. The number of pixel tracks ($N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{online}}$) with $|\eta| < 2.4$, $p_{\text{T}} > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$, and a distance of closest approach to this vertex of 0.4 cm or less, was determined for each event. Several high-multiplicity ranges were defined with prescale factors that were progressively reduced until, for the highest multiplicity events, no prescaling was applied.

In the offline analysis, hadronic collisions are selected by requiring a coincidence of at least one HF calorimeter tower containing more than 3 GeV of total energy in each of the HF detectors. Only towers within $3 < |\eta| < 5$ are used to avoid the edges of the HF acceptance. Events are also required to contain at least one reconstructed primary vertex within 15 cm of the nominal interaction point along the beam axis and within 0.15 cm transverse to the beam trajectory. At least two reconstructed tracks are required to be associated with the primary vertex. Beam related background is suppressed by rejecting events for which less than 25% of all reconstructed tracks pass the track selection criteria of this analysis. The pPb instantaneous luminosity provided by the LHC in the 2013 run resulted in an approximately 3% probability of at least one additional interaction occurring in the same bunch crossing. Following the procedure developed in Ref. [35] for rejecting such “pileup” events, a 99.8% purity of single-interaction events is achieved for the pPb collisions belonging to the highest multiplicity class studied in this Letter. In pPb interactions simulated with the EPOS [41] and HIJING [42] event generators, requiring at least one primary particle with total energy $E > 3 \text{ GeV}$ in each of the η ranges $-5 < \eta < -3$ and $3 < \eta < 5$ is found to select 97–98% of the total inelastic hadronic cross section.

The CMS “high-quality” tracks, described in Ref. [43] are used in this analysis. Additionally, a reconstructed track is only considered as a candidate track from the primary vertex if the

significance of the separation along the beam axis (z) between the track and the best vertex, $d_z/\sigma(d_z)$, and the significance of the track-vertex impact parameter measured transverse to the beam, $d_T/\sigma(d_T)$, are each less than 3. The relative uncertainty in the transverse-momentum measurement, $\sigma(p_T)/p_T$, is required to be less than 10%. To ensure high tracking efficiency and to reduce the rate of incorrectly reconstructed tracks, only tracks within $|\eta| < 2.4$ and with $0.3 < p_T < 3.0$ GeV/ c are used in the analysis. A different p_T cutoff of 0.4 GeV/ c is used in the multiplicity determination because of constraints on the online processing time for the HLT.

The entire pPb data set is divided into classes of reconstructed track multiplicity, $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$. The multiplicity classification in this analysis is identical to that used in Ref. [35], where more details are provided including a table relating $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$ to the fraction of MB triggered events. A subset of semi-peripheral PbPb data collected during the 2011 LHC heavy-ion run with an MB trigger is also reanalyzed in order to directly compare the pPb and PbPb systems at the same track multiplicity. This PbPb sample is reprocessed using the same event selection and track reconstruction as for the present pPb analysis. A description of the 2011 PbPb data can be found in Ref. [44].

Extending the previous two- and four-particle azimuthal correlation measurements of Ref. [35], six- and eight-particle azimuthal correlations [36] are evaluated in this analysis as:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle &\equiv \langle\langle e^{in(\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3-\phi_4-\phi_5-\phi_6)} \rangle\rangle, \\ \langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle &\equiv \langle\langle e^{in(\phi_1+\phi_2+\phi_3+\phi_4-\phi_5-\phi_6-\phi_7-\phi_8)} \rangle\rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Here ϕ_i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) are the azimuthal angles of one unique combination of multiple particles in an event, n is the harmonic number, and $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ represents the average over all combinations from all events within a given multiplicity range. The corresponding cumulants, $c_n\{6\}$ and $c_n\{8\}$, are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}c_n\{6\} &= \langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle - 9 \cdot \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle + 12 \cdot \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^3, \\ c_n\{8\} &= \langle\langle 8 \rangle\rangle - 16 \cdot \langle\langle 6 \rangle\rangle \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle - 18 \cdot \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle^2 + 144 \cdot \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^2 - 144 \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle^4,\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

using the Q-cumulant method as formulated in Ref. [36], where $\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle$ are defined similarly as in Eq. (1). The Fourier harmonics v_n that characterize the global azimuthal behavior are related to the multi-particle correlations [45] using

$$\begin{aligned}v_n\{6\} &= \sqrt[6]{\frac{1}{4}c_n\{6\}}, \\ v_n\{8\} &= \sqrt[8]{-\frac{1}{33}c_n\{8\}}.\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

To account for detector effects, such as the tracking efficiency, the Q-cumulant method was extended in Ref. [45] to allow for particles having different weights. Each reconstructed track is weighted by a correction factor to account for the reconstruction efficiency, detector acceptance, and fraction of misreconstructed tracks. This factor is derived as a function of p_T and η , as described in Refs. [13, 14], based on MC simulations. The combined geometrical acceptance and efficiency for track reconstruction exceeds 60% for $p_T \approx 0.3$ GeV/ c and $|\eta| < 2.4$. The efficiency is greater than 90% in the $|\eta| < 1$ region for $p_T > 0.6$ GeV/ c . For the entire multiplicity range (up to $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}} \sim 350$) studied in this Letter, no dependence of the tracking efficiency on multiplicity is found and the rate of mis-reconstructed tracks remains at the 1–2% level. The software package provided by Ref. [45] is used to implement the weights of individual tracks in the cumulant calculations.

The LYZ method [37, 38] allows a direct study of the large-order behavior by using the asymptotic form of the cumulant expansion to relate locations of the zeros of a generating function to the azimuthal correlations. This method has been employed in previous CMS PbPb analyses [17, 46]. For each multiplicity bin, the v_2 harmonic averaged over $0.3 < p_T < 3.0$ GeV/c is found using an integral generating function [17]. Similar to the cumulant methods, a weight for each track is implemented to account for detector-related effects. In both methods, the statistical uncertainties are evaluated from data by dividing the data set into 20 subsets with roughly equal numbers of events and evaluating the standard deviation of the resulting distributions of the cumulant or $v_2\{\text{LYZ}\}$ values. In the case of low multiplicity or small flow signal, the LYZ method may overestimate the true collective flow. This effect was studied using MC pseudo-experiments for the event multiplicities covered in this analysis and a small correction is applied to the data. The correction is less than 3% in the lowest multiplicity bin and becomes much smaller in higher-multiplicity bins. This correction is also included in the quoted LYZ systematic uncertainties.

Systematic uncertainties are estimated by varying the track quality requirements, by comparing the results using efficiency correction tables from different MC event generators, and by exploring the sensitivity of the results to the vertex position and to the $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$ bin width. For the pPb data, potential HLT bias and pileup effects are also studied by requiring the presence of only a single reconstructed vertex. No evident $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$ or beam direction dependent systematic effects are observed. For pPb collisions, a 5% systematic uncertainty is obtained for $v_2\{6\}$ and a 6% uncertainty is found for both $v_2\{8\}$ and $v_2\{\text{LYZ}\}$. The corresponding uncertainties for PbPb collisions are 2% for $v_2\{6\}$ and $v_2\{8\}$, and 4% for $v_2\{\text{LYZ}\}$.

In Fig. 1, the six- and eight-particle cumulants, $c_2\{6\}$ and $c_2\{8\}$, for particle p_T of 0.3–3.0 GeV/c in 2.76 TeV PbPb and 5.02 TeV pPb collisions are shown as a function of event multiplicity. The cumulants shown are required to be at least two standard deviations away from their physics boundaries ($c_2\{6\}/\sigma_{c_2\{6\}} > 2$, $c_2\{8\}/\sigma_{c_2\{8\}} < -2$), so that the statistical uncertainties can be propagated as Gaussian fluctuations [47]. Non-zero multi-particle correlation signals are observed in both PbPb and pPb collisions. The pPb data exhibit larger statistical uncertainties than the PbPb results, mainly because of the smaller magnitudes of the correlation signals. Because of the limited sample size, the $c_2\{6\}$ and $c_2\{8\}$ values in pPb collisions are derived for a smaller range in $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$.

The second-order anisotropy Fourier harmonics, v_2 , averaged over the p_T range of 0.3–3.0 GeV/c, are shown in Fig. 2 based on six- and eight-particle cumulants (Eq. (3)) for 2.76 TeV PbPb (left) and 5.02 TeV pPb (right) collisions, as a function of event multiplicity. The open symbols are v_2 results extracted by CMS using two- and four-particle correlations [35]. The v_2 values derived using the LYZ method involving correlations among all particles are also shown. For each multiplicity bin, the values of $v_2\{4\}$, $v_2\{6\}$, $v_2\{8\}$, and $v_2\{\text{LYZ}\}$ for pPb collisions are found to be in agreement within 10%. For part of the multiplicity range, the values for $v_2\{4\}$ are larger than the others by a statistically significant amount, although still within 10%. The corresponding PbPb values are consistently higher than for pPb collisions, but within the PbPb system are found to be in agreement within 2% for most multiplicity ranges and within 10% for all multiplicities. This supports the collective nature of the observed correlations, i.e., involving all particles from each system, and is inconsistent with a jet-related origin involving correlations among only a few particles. The v_2 data from two-particle correlations are consistently above the multi-particle correlation data. This behavior can be understood in hydrodynamic models, where event-by-event participant geometry fluctuations of the v_2 coefficient are expected to affect the two- and multi-particle cumulants differently [48, 49]. Note that, to minimize jet-related non-flow effects, the $v_2\{2\}$ values are obtained with an η gap of 2 units between the




Figure 1: The cumulant $c_2\{6\}$ and $-c_2\{8\}$ results as a function of $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$ for PbPb and pPb reactions. Error bars and shaded areas denote statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

two particles. Possible residual non-flow effects resulting from back-to-back jet correlations are estimated using very low multiplicity events in Ref. [35]. Based on this analysis, such non-flow effects are expected to make a negligible contribution to $v_2\{2\}$ in very high multiplicity events. In PbPb collisions, the v_2 values from all methods show an increase with multiplicity, while little multiplicity dependence is seen for the pPb data. This difference might reflect the presence of a lenticular overlap geometry in PbPb collisions, which is not expected in pPb collisions, that gives rise to a large (and varying) initial elliptic asymmetry in the PbPb system.

The effect of fluctuation-driven initial-state eccentricities on multi-particle cumulants has recently been explored in the context of hydrodynamic behavior of the resulting medium [50, 51]. For fluctuation-driven initial-state conditions, ratios of v_2 values derived from various orders of multi-particle cumulants are predicted to follow a universal behavior [50]. In Fig. 3, ratios of $v_2\{6\}/v_2\{4\}$ (top) and $v_2\{8\}/v_2\{6\}$ (bottom) are calculated and plotted against $v_2\{4\}/v_2\{2\}$




Figure 2: The v_2 values as a function of $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{offline}}$. Open data points are published two- and four-particle v_2 results [35]. Solid data points are v_2 results obtained from six- and eight-particle cumulants, and LYZ methods, averaged over the particle p_T range of 0.3–3.0 GeV/ c , in PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV (left) and pPb at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV (right). Statistical and systematic uncertainties are indicated by the error bars and shaded regions, respectively.

in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. The $v_2\{2\}$ and $v_2\{4\}$ data are taken from previously published CMS results [35]. The solid curves correspond to theoretical predictions for both large and small systems based on hydrodynamics and the assumption that the initial-state geometry is purely driven by fluctuations [50]. The ratios from PbPb collisions are also shown for comparison. Note that the geometry of very central PbPb collisions might be dominated by fluctuations, but for these semi-peripheral PbPb collisions the lenticular shape of the overlap region should also strongly contribute to the v_2 values. The CMS pPb data are consistent with the predictions within statistical and systematic uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties in the ratios presented in Fig. 3 are estimated to be 2.4% for $v_2\{4\}/v_2\{2\}$ for both pPb and PbPb collisions, 1% for $v_2\{6\}/v_2\{4\}$ in pPb and PbPb collisions, and 3.6% and 1% for $v_2\{8\}/v_2\{6\}$ in pPb and PbPb collisions, respectively. Since they are all derived from the same data, the systematic uncertainties for the different cumulant orders are highly correlated and therefore partially cancel in the ratios.

Recently, other theoretical models based on quantum chromodynamics, and not involving hydrodynamics, have also been suggested to explain the observed multi-particle correlations in pPb collisions [52, 53]. Unlike the descriptions based on hydrodynamic behavior, these models do not require significant final-state interactions among quarks and gluons. They suggest similar values for $v_2\{4\}$, $v_2\{6\}$, $v_2\{8\}$, and $v_2\{\text{LYZ}\}$, without yet, however, providing quantitative predictions.

In summary, multi-particle azimuthal correlations among six, eight, and all particles have been measured in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV by the CMS experiment. The new measurements extend previous CMS two- and four-particle correlation analyses of pPb collisions and strongly constrain possible explanations for the observed correlations. A direct comparison of the correlation data for pPb and PbPb collisions is presented as a function of particle multi-




Figure 3: Cumulant ratios $v_2\{6\}/v_2\{4\}$ (top) and $v_2\{8\}/v_2\{6\}$ (bottom) as a function of $v_2\{4\}/v_2\{2\}$ in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV and PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. Error bars and shaded areas denote statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The solid curves show the expected behavior based on a hydrodynamics motivated study of the role of initial-state fluctuations [50].

plicity. Averaging over the particle p_T range of 0.3–3.0 GeV/c, multi-particle correlation signals are observed in both pPb and PbPb collisions. The second-order azimuthal anisotropy Fourier harmonic, v_2 , is extracted using six- and eight-particle cumulants and using the LYZ method which involves all particles. The v_2 values obtained using correlation methods including four or more particles are consistent within $\pm 2\%$ for the PbPb system, and within $\pm 10\%$ for the pPb system. This measurement supports the collective nature of the observed correlations. The ratios of v_2 values obtained using different numbers of particles are found to be consistent with hydrodynamic model calculations for pPb collisions.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully

acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of Long-Range Near-Side Angular Correlations in Proton-Proton Collisions at the LHC", *JHEP* **09** (2010) 091, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2010)091, arXiv:1009.4122.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-lead collisions at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 795, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.11.025, arXiv:1210.5482.
- [3] ALICE Collaboration, "Long-range angular correlations on the near and away side in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **719** (2013) 29, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.012, arXiv:1212.2001.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of Associated Near-side and Away-side Long-range Correlations in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV Proton-lead Collisions with the ATLAS Detector", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 182302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.182302, arXiv:1212.5198.
- [5] ALICE Collaboration, "Multiparticle azimuthal correlations in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider", *Phys. Rev. C* **90** (2014) 054901, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.90.054901, arXiv:1406.2474.
- [6] PHENIX Collaboration, "Quadrupole Anisotropy in Dihadron Azimuthal Correlations in Central $d+Au$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 212301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.212301, arXiv:1303.1794.
- [7] PHENIX Collaboration, "Measurement of long-range angular correlation and quadrupole anisotropy of pions and (anti)protons in central $d+Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 192301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.192301, arXiv:1404.7461.
- [8] PHOBOS Collaboration, "System size dependence of cluster properties from two-particle angular correlations in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **81** (2010) 024904, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.81.024904, arXiv:0812.1172.

- [9] STAR Collaboration, “Distributions of charged hadrons associated with high transverse momentum particles in pp and Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 152301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.152301, arXiv:nucl-ex/0501016.
- [10] STAR Collaboration, “Long range rapidity correlations and jet production in high energy nuclear collisions”, *Phys. Rev. C* **80** (2009) 064912, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.80.064912, arXiv:0909.0191.
- [11] PHOBOS Collaboration, “High transverse momentum triggered correlations over a large pseudorapidity acceptance in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 062301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.062301, arXiv:0903.2811.
- [12] STAR Collaboration, “Three-particle coincidence of the long range pseudorapidity correlation in high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 022301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.022301, arXiv:0912.3977.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, “Long-range and short-range dihadron angular correlations in central PbPb collisions at a nucleon-nucleon center of mass energy of 2.76 TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 076, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)076, arXiv:1105.2438.
- [14] CMS Collaboration, “Centrality dependence of dihadron correlations and azimuthal anisotropy harmonics in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2012, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2012-3, arXiv:1201.3158.
- [15] ALICE Collaboration, “Harmonic decomposition of two-particle angular correlations in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **708** (2012) 249, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.060, arXiv:1109.2501.
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. C* **86** (2012) 014907, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014907, arXiv:1203.3087.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the elliptic anisotropy of charged particles produced in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. C* **87** (2013) 014902, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.87.014902, arXiv:1204.1409.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Studies of azimuthal dihadron correlations in ultra-central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *JHEP* **02** (2014) 088, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)088, arXiv:1312.1845.
- [19] J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Anisotropy as a signature of transverse collective flow”, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 229, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.229.
- [20] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, “Collective flow and viscosity in relativistic heavy-ion collisions”, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63** (2013) 123, doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540, arXiv:1301.2826.
- [21] C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, “Hydrodynamic Modeling of Heavy-Ion Collisions”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28** (2013) 1340011, doi:10.1142/S0217751X13400113, arXiv:1301.5893.
- [22] W. Li, “Observation of a ‘Ridge’ correlation structure in high multiplicity proton-proton collisions: A brief review”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27** (2012) 1230018, doi:10.1142/S0217732312300182, arXiv:1206.0148.

- [23] J. D. Bjorken, S. J. Brodsky, and A. Scharff Goldhaber, "Possible multiparticle ridge-like correlations in very high multiplicity proton-proton collisions", *Phys. Lett. B* **726** (2013) 344, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.066, arXiv:1308.1435.
- [24] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, "Explanation of systematics of CMS p+Pb high multiplicity di-hadron data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 054014, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.054014, arXiv:1211.3701.
- [25] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, "Evidence for BFKL and saturation dynamics from dihadron spectra at the LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 051502, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.051502, arXiv:1210.3890.
- [26] B. Schenke and R. Venugopalan, "Eccentric protons? Sensitivity of flow to system size and shape in p+p, p+Pb and Pb+Pb collisions", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 102301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.102301, arXiv:1405.3605.
- [27] P. Bozek, "Collective flow in p-Pb and d-Pd collisions at TeV energies", *Phys. Rev. C* **85** (2012) 014911, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.85.014911, arXiv:1112.0915.
- [28] P. Bozek and W. Broniowski, "Correlations from hydrodynamic flow in pPb collisions", *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 1557, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.12.051, arXiv:1211.0845.
- [29] S. Voloshin and Y. Zhang, "Flow study in relativistic nuclear collisions by Fourier expansion of azimuthal particle distributions", *Z. Phys. C* **70** (1996) 665, doi:10.1007/s002880050141, arXiv:hep-ph/9407282.
- [30] B. Alver and G. Roland, "Collision geometry fluctuations and triangular flow in heavy-ion collisions", *Phys. Rev. C* **81** (2010) 054905, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.81.054905, arXiv:1003.0194. [Erratum: doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.82.039903].
- [31] B. H. Alver, C. Gombeaud, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, "Triangular flow in hydrodynamics and transport theory", *Phys. Rev. C* **82** (2010) 034913, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.82.034913, arXiv:1007.5469.
- [32] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, "Elliptic and triangular flow in event-by-event D=3+1 viscous hydrodynamics", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 042301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.042301, arXiv:1009.3244.
- [33] Z. Qiu, C. Shen, and U. Heinz, "Hydrodynamic elliptic and triangular flow in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76A$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 151, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.041, arXiv:1110.3033.
- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement with the ATLAS detector of multi-particle azimuthal correlations in p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **725** (2013) 60, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.057, arXiv:1303.2084.
- [35] CMS Collaboration, "Multiplicity and transverse momentum dependence of two- and four-particle correlations in pPb and PbPb collisions", *Phys. Lett. B* **724** (2013) 213, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.028, arXiv:1305.0609.
- [36] A. Bilandzic, R. Snellings, and S. Voloshin, "Flow analysis with cumulants: Direct calculations", *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 044913, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044913, arXiv:1010.0233.

- [37] R. S. Bhalerao, N. Borghini, and J. Y. Ollitrault, “Analysis of anisotropic flow with Lee-Yang zeroes”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **727** (2003) 373, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2003.08.007, arXiv:nucl-th/0310016.
- [38] N. Borghini, R. S. Bhalerao, and J. Y. Ollitrault, “Anisotropic flow from Lee-Yang zeroes: A practical guide”, *J. Phys. G* **30** (2004) S1213, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/30/8/092, arXiv:nucl-th/0402053.
- [39] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [40] Geant4 Collaboration, “Geant4: A simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [41] S. Porteboeuf, T. Pierog, and K. Werner, “Producing Hard Processes Regarding the Complete Event: The EPOS Event Generator”, (2010). arXiv:1006.2967.
- [42] M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, “HIJING 1.0: A Monte Carlo program for parton and particle production in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **83** (1994) 307, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(94)90057-4, arXiv:nucl-th/9502021.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, “Azimuthal anisotropy of charged particles at high transverse momenta in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 022301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.022301, arXiv:1204.1850.
- [45] A. Bilandzic et al., “Generic framework for anisotropic flow analyses with multi-particle azimuthal correlations”, *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 064904, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.064904, arXiv:1312.3572.
- [46] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of higher-order harmonic azimuthal anisotropy in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 044906, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044906, arXiv:1310.8651.
- [47] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, “Unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals”, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 3873, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.3873, arXiv:physics/9711021.
- [48] J.-Y. Ollitrault, A. M. Poskanzer, and S. A. Voloshin, “Effect of flow fluctuations and nonflow on elliptic flow methods”, *Phys. Rev. C* **80** (2009) 014904, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.80.014904, arXiv:0904.2315.
- [49] J.-Y. Ollitrault, A. M. Poskanzer, and S. A. Voloshin, “Effect of nonflow and flow fluctuations on elliptic flow methods”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **830** (2009) 279C, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2009.09.026, arXiv:0906.3463.
- [50] L. Yan and J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Universal fluctuation-driven eccentricities in proton-proton, proton-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 082301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.082301, arXiv:1312.6555.

-
- [51] A. Bzdak, P. Bozek, and L. McLerran, “Fluctuation induced equality of multi-particle eccentricities for four or more particles”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **927** (2014) 15, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2014.03.007, arXiv:1311.7325.
- [52] M. Gyulassy, P. Levai, I. Vitev, and T. S. Biró, “Non-Abelian Bremsstrahlung and Azimuthal Asymmetries in High Energy p+A Reactions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 054025, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054025, arXiv:1405.7825.
- [53] L. McLerran and V. V. Skokov, “The Eccentric Collective BFKL Pomeron”, (2014). arXiv:1407.2651.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabadý², B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, J. Lauwers, S. Luyckx, S. Ochesanu, R. Rougny, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haeveermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, N. Heracleous, J. Keaveney, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, D. Dobur, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, A. Grebenyuk, A. Léonard, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè², A. Randle-conde, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang, F. Zenoni

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Crucy, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Poyraz, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva Diblen, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi³, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silveira, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco⁴, J. Hollar, A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaître, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, L. Perrini, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov⁵, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Bely, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Dos Reis Martins, J. Molina, C. Mora Herrera, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁶, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, J. Santaolalla, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁶, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ^a, Universidade Federal do ABC ^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^b, S. Dogra^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, V. Genchev², R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, T. Cheng, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, R. Plestina⁷, F. Romeo, J. Tao, Z. Wang

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu, F. Zhang⁸, L. Zhang, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, L. Sudic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Bodlak, M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.⁹

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran¹⁰, A. Ellithi Kamel¹¹, M.A. Mahmoud¹², A. Radi^{13,14}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, E. Chapon, C. Charlot, T. Dahms, L. Dobrzynski, N. Filipovic, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, G. Ortona, P. Paganini, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁵, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁵, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁵, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, A.-C. Le Bihan, K. Skovpen, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, C. Bernet⁷, G. Boudoul², E. Bouvier, S. Brochet, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo², B. Courbon, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, A.L. Pequegnot, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, D. Sabes, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze⁹

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, A. Heister, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, A. Ostapchuk, M. Preuten, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, J.F. Schulte, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov⁵

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann², A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Gizhko, P. Gunnellini, J. Hauk, M. Hempel¹⁶, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, O. Karacheban¹⁶, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol,

D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann¹⁶, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin¹⁶, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, A. Nayak, E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, B. Roland, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, P. Saxena, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, A.D.R. Vargas Trevino, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, M. Görner, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, R.S. Höing, A. Junkes, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, D. Marconi, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, N. Pietsch, J. Poehlsen, T. Poehlsen, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Seidel, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen, A. Vanhoefer

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, F. Frensch, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, F. Hartmann², T. Hauth, U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁵, A. Kornmayer², P. Lobelle Pardo, M.U. Mozer, T. Müller, Th. Müller, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, R. Wolf

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris, E. Tziaferi

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas, J. Strologas

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath¹⁷, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi¹⁸, A.J. Zsigmond

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi¹⁹, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

A. Makovec, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Gupta, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, J.B. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik²⁰, R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait, A. Gurtu²¹, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity²⁰, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage²²

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami²³, A. Fahim²⁴, R. Goldouzian, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁵, M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b,2}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, A. Sharma^a, L. Silvestris^{a,2}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, CSFNSM ^c, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^a, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, F. Giordano^{a,2}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gozzi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,b}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova ^a, Università di Genova ^b, Genova, Italy

R. Ferretti^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^{a,2}, R. Gerosa^{a,b,2}, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M.T. Lucchini^{a,b,2}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b}, A. Martelli^{a,b}, B. Marzocchi^{a,b,2}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ^b, Università della Basilicata (Potenza) ^c, Università G. Marconi (Roma) ^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, S. Di Guida^{a,d,2}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,2}, M. Merola^a, P. Paolucci^{a,2}

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Università di Trento (Trento) ^c, Padova, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, M. Dall'Osso^{a,b}, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S. Lacaprara^a, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, M. Pegoraro^a, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, S. Vanini^{a,b}, S. Ventura^a, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

M. Gabusi^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b,2}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, A. Spiezia^{a,b,2}

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^{a,26}, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, G. Broccolo^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,26}, R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^{a,c,2}, G. Fedi, F. Fiori^{a,c}, L. Foà^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,26}, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, C.S. Moon^{a,27}, F. Palla^{a,2}, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,28}, A.T. Serban^a, P. Spagnolo^a, P. Squillacioti^{a,26}, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a, C. Vernieri^{a,c}

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma ^b, Roma, Italy

L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, G. D'imperio^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, C. Jorda^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, F. Margaroli^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, F. Micheli^{a,b,2}, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^{a,b}, P. Traczyk^{a,b,2}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^c, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Casasso^{a,b,2}, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, L. Finco^{a,b,2}, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,c}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, A. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, U. Tamponi^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b,2}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, C. La Licata^{a,b}, M. Marone^{a,b}, A. Schizzi^{a,b}, T. Umer^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S. Chang, A. Kropivnitskaya, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea

T.J. Kim, M.S. Ryu

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

J.Y. Kim, D.H. Moon, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

H.D. Yoo

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, I.C. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, D. Kim, E. Kwon, J. Lee, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

A. Juodagalvis

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali²⁹, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz, A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, S. Reucroft

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, L. Lloret Iglesias, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, D. Vadrucio, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev³⁰, P. Moiseenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³¹, E. Kuznetsova, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin³², I. Dremin³², M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov³², G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, A. Kaminskiy³³, O. Kodolova, V. Korotkikh, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev, I. Vardanyan

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic³⁴, M. Ekmedzic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, A. Graziano, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, S. Colafranceschi³⁵, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, E. Di Marco, M. Dobson,

M. Dordevic, B. Dorney, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, F. Glege, R. Guida, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, J. Marrouche, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, A. Racz, G. Rolandi³⁶, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³⁷, D. Spiga, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres¹⁸, N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, H. Wollny, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, C. Grab, D. Hits, J. Hoss, G. Kasieczka, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, M. Marionneau, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, D. Meister, N. Mohr, P. Musella, C. Nägeli³⁸, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, F. Pauss, L. Perrozzi, M. Peruzzi, M. Quitnat, L. Rebane, M. Rossini, A. Starodumov³⁹, M. Takahashi, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. AMSLER⁴⁰, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, J. Ngadiuba, D. Pinna, P. Robmann, F.J. Ronga, S. Taroni, Y. Yang

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, M. Miñano Moya, E. Petrakou, J.F. Tsai, Y.M. Tzeng, R. Wilken

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴¹, S. Cerci⁴², C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal⁴³, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut⁴⁴, K. Ozdemir⁴⁵, S. Ozturk⁴¹, A. Polatoz, D. Sunar Cerci⁴², B. Tali⁴², H. Topakli⁴¹, M. Vergili, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.V. Akin, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, H. Gamsizkan⁴⁶, B. Isildak⁴⁷, G. Karapinar⁴⁸, K. Ocalan⁴⁹, S. Sekmen, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

E.A. Albayrak⁵⁰, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁵¹, O. Kaya⁵², T. Yetkin⁵³

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

K. Cankocak, F.I. Vardarli

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold⁵⁴, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-storey, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A. Belyaev⁵⁵, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, A. Elwood, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas⁵⁴, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, B. Mathias, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko³⁹, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, N. Pastika, T. Scarborough, Z. Wu

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, P. Lawson, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, J. St. John, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA

J. Alimena, E. Berry, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, N. Dhingra, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, Z. Mao, M. Narain, S. Sagir, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, J. Swanson

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, G. Rakness, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, M. Ivova Rikova, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, M. Olmedo Negrete, A. Shrinivas, S. Sumowidagdo, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Klein, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, C. Welke, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Barge, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, C. Justus, N. Mccoll, S.D. Mullin, J. Richman, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West, J. Yoo

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, M. Pierini, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, M. Krohn, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, N. Eggert, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, L. Skinnari, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Kwan[†], J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, R. Lopes De Sá, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, E. Sexton-Kennedy, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, A. Whitbeck, J. Whitmore, F. Yang

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, H. Mei, P. Milenovic⁵⁶, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, A. Rinkevicius, L. Shchutska, M. Snowball, D. Sperka, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA

S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov,

L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, P. Kurt, C. O'Brien, I.D. Sandoval Gonzalez, C. Silkworth, P. Turner, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B. Bilki⁵⁷, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵⁸, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁵⁰, A. Penzo, R. Rahmat, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

I. Anderson, B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz, M. Xiao

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, C. Bruner, J. Gray, R.P. Kenny III, D. Majumder, M. Malek, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, J. Sekaric, R. Stringer, Q. Wang, J.S. Wood

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

C. Anelli, A. Baden, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, K. Bierwagen, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

B. Dahmes, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, S. Nourbakhsh, R. Rusack, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, F. Meier, F. Ratnikov, G.R. Snow, M. Zvada

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, A. Drozdetskiy, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, Y. Musienko³⁰, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, G. Smith, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, W. Luo, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe, H.W. Wulsin

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, H. Saka, D. Stickland², C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Brownson, S. Malik, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, D. Bortoletto, L. Gutay, Z. Hu, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, K. Jung, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, F. Primavera, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, J. Zablocki

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, S. Korjenevski, G. Petrillo, M. Verzetti, D. Vishnevskiy

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, C. Mesropian

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, D. Sheffield, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

K. Rose, S. Spanier, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁵⁹, A. Castaneda Hernandez, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁶⁰, V. Khotilovich, V. Krutelyov, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, R. Patel, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Rose, A. Safonov, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, K.A. Ulmer

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duderu, J. Faulkner, K. Kovitanggoon, S. Kunori, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, E. Wolfe, J. Wood

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

D.A. Belknap, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, E. Friis, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, A. Levine, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, C. Vuosalo, N. Woods

†: Deceased

1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

7: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

8: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

9: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

10: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt

11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

12: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

13: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

14: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

15: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

16: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

17: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

18: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

19: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

20: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

21: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

22: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka

23: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

24: Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran

25: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

26: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy

27: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France

28: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

29: Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

30: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

-
- 31: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
 - 32: Also at National Research Nuclear University "Moscow Engineering Physics Institute" (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
 - 33: Also at INFN Sezione di Padova; Università di Padova; Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy
 - 34: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 35: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 - 36: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
 - 37: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
 - 38: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
 - 39: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
 - 40: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
 - 41: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
 - 42: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
 - 43: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 44: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 45: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 46: Also at Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey
 - 47: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 48: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
 - 49: Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey
 - 50: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 51: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 52: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
 - 53: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 54: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
 - 55: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
 - 56: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 57: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
 - 58: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
 - 59: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
 - 60: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea