



Search for neutral Higgs bosons decaying to tau pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A search for neutral Higgs bosons decaying to tau pairs at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV is performed using a dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.6 fb^{-1} recorded by the CMS experiment at the LHC. The search is sensitive to both the standard model Higgs boson and to the neutral Higgs bosons predicted by the minimal supersymmetric extension of the standard model (MSSM). No excess of events is observed in the tau-pair invariant-mass spectrum. For a standard model Higgs boson in the mass range of 110–145 GeV upper limits at 95% confidence level (CL) on the production cross section are determined. We exclude a Higgs boson with $m_H = 115 \text{ GeV}$ with a production cross section 3.2 times of that predicted by the standard model. In the MSSM, upper limits on the neutral Higgs boson production cross section times branching fraction to tau pairs, as a function of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass, m_A , sets stringent new bounds in the parameter space, excluding at 95% CL values of $\tan \beta$ as low as 7.1 at $m_A = 160 \text{ GeV}$ in the m_h^{max} benchmark scenario.

Published in Physics Letters B as doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.028.

1 Introduction

An important goal of the LHC physics program is to ascertain the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking, through which the W and Z bosons attain mass, while the photon remains massless. In the standard model (SM) [1–3], this is achieved via the Higgs mechanism [4–9], which also predicts the existence of a scalar Higgs boson. However, this particle has not yet been observed by experiments. Moreover, the mass of the Higgs boson is quadratically divergent at high energies [10]. Supersymmetry [11] is a well known extension to the SM which allows the cancellation of this divergence.

The minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) contains two Higgs doublets, giving rise to five physical states: a light neutral CP-even state (h), a heavy neutral CP-even state (H), a neutral CP-odd state (A), and a pair of charged states (H^\pm) [12–15]. The mass relations between these particles depend on the MSSM parameter $\tan\beta$, the ratio of the Higgs fields vacuum expectation values. We focus on the m_h^{\max} [16, 17] benchmark scenario in which $M_{\text{SUSY}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$; $X_t = 2M_{\text{SUSY}}$; $\mu = 200 \text{ GeV}$; $M_{\tilde{g}} = 800 \text{ GeV}$; $M_2 = 200 \text{ GeV}$; and $A_b = A_t$. Here, M_{SUSY} denotes the common soft-SUSY-breaking squark mass of the third generation; $X_t = A_t - \mu/\tan\beta$ is the stop mixing parameter; A_t and A_b are the stop and sbottom trilinear couplings, respectively; μ the Higgsino mass parameter; $M_{\tilde{g}}$ the gluino mass; and M_2 is the SU(2)-gaugino mass parameter. The value of M_1 is fixed via the unification relation $M_1 = (5/3)M_2 \sin\theta_W/\cos\theta_W$. In this scenario for values of $\tan\beta \gtrsim 15$, if $m_A \lesssim 130 \text{ GeV}$ the masses of the h and A are almost degenerate, while the mass of the H is around 130 GeV. Conversely, if $m_A \gtrsim 130 \text{ GeV}$, the masses of the A and H are almost degenerate, while the mass of the h remains near 130 GeV. This will thus always lead to one neutral Higgs boson at 130 GeV and two neutral Higgs bosons with almost degenerate mass of m_A .

Direct searches for the SM Higgs boson at the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) set a limit on the mass $m_H > 114.4 \text{ GeV}$ at 95% confidence level (CL) [18]. The Tevatron collider experiments exclude the SM Higgs boson in the mass range 162–166 GeV [19], and the ATLAS experiment in the mass ranges 112.9–115.5, 131–238, and 251–466 GeV [20]. Precision electroweak data constrain the mass of the SM Higgs boson to be less than 158 GeV [21]. Direct searches for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons have been reported by LEP, the Tevatron, and both LHC experiments, and set limits on the MSSM parameter space in the $\tan\beta$ – m_A plane [22–26].

This Letter reports a search for the SM and the neutral MSSM Higgs bosons using final states with tau pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ at the LHC. We use a data sample collected in 2011 corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.6 fb^{-1} recorded by the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) [27] experiment. Three independent tau pair final states where one or both taus decay leptonically are studied: $e\tau_h+X$, $\mu\tau_h+X$, and $e\mu+X$, where we use the symbol τ_h to indicate a reconstructed hadronic decay of a tau.

In the case of the SM Higgs boson, the gluon-fusion production mechanism has the largest cross section. However, in the mass region of interest, background from Drell–Yan production of tau pairs overwhelms the expected Higgs boson signal. This search therefore relies upon the signature of Higgs bosons produced via vector boson fusion (VBF) or in association with a high- p_T jet. In the former case, the distinct topology of two jets with a large rapidity separation greatly reduces the background. In the latter, requiring a high- p_T jet both suppresses background, and improves the measurement of the tau-pair invariant mass.

In the MSSM case, two main production processes contribute to $pp \rightarrow \phi+X$, where $\phi = h, H$, or A: gluon fusion through a b-quark loop and direct $b\bar{b}$ annihilation from the b-quark content of the beam protons. In the latter case, there is a significant probability that a b-quark jet is

produced centrally in association with the Higgs boson due to the enhanced $b\bar{b}\phi$ coupling. Requiring a b-quark jet increases the sensitivity of the search by reducing the Z+jets background.

2 CMS detector

The CMS detector is described in detail elsewhere [27]. The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid are the silicon pixel and strip tracker, which cover a pseudorapidity region of $|\eta| < 2.5$. Here, the pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln(\tan\theta/2)$, where θ is the polar angle of the trajectory of the particle with respect to the direction of the counterclockwise beam. The lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter and the brass-scintillator hadron calorimeter surround the tracking volume and cover $|\eta| < 3$. In addition to the barrel and endcap detectors, CMS has extensive forward calorimetry which extends the coverage to $|\eta| < 5$. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel return yoke, with a coverage of $|\eta| < 2.4$.

3 Trigger and event selection

The analysis makes use of the three independent tau-pair final states, $e\tau_h+X$, $\mu\tau_h+X$, and $e\mu+X$. In all three channels, there is substantial background, both from processes with similar experimental signatures, and from unrelated hadronic activity in the detector.

The trigger selection required a combination of electron, muon and tau trigger objects [28–30]. The identification criteria and p_T thresholds of these objects were progressively tightened as the LHC instantaneous luminosity increased over the data-taking period.

A particle-flow algorithm [31–33] is used to combine information from all CMS subdetectors to identify and reconstruct individual particles in the event, namely muons, electrons, photons, and charged and neutral hadrons. From the resulting particle list jets, hadronically-decaying taus, and missing transverse energy (E_T^{miss}), defined as the negative of the vector sum of the transverse momenta, are reconstructed. The jets are identified using the anti- k_T jet algorithm [34, 35] with a distance parameter of $R = 0.5$. Hadronically-decaying taus are reconstructed using the hadron plus strips (HPS) algorithm, which considers candidates with one or three charged pions and up to two neutral pions [36].

For the $e\tau_h+X$ and $\mu\tau_h+X$ final states, in the region $|\eta| < 2.1$, we select events with an electron of $p_T > 20$ GeV or a muon of $p_T > 17$ GeV, together with an oppositely charged τ_h of $p_T > 20$ GeV within the range $|\eta| < 2.3$. For the $e\mu+X$ final state, we select events with an electron of $|\eta| < 2.3$ and an oppositely charged muon of $|\eta| < 2.1$, requiring $p_T > 20$ GeV for the highest- p_T lepton and $p_T > 10$ GeV for the next-to-highest- p_T lepton. For the $e\tau_h+X$ and $\mu\tau_h+X$ final states, we reject events with more than one electron or more than one muon of $p_T > 15$ GeV.

Taus from Higgs boson decays are typically isolated from the rest of the event activity, in contrast to background from jets, which are typically immersed in considerable hadronic activity. For each lepton candidate (e , μ , or τ_h), a cone is constructed around the lepton direction at the event vertex. An isolation variable is constructed from the scalar sum of the transverse energy of all reconstructed particles contained within the cone, excluding the contribution from the lepton candidate itself.

In 2011, an average of ten proton-proton interactions occurred per LHC bunch crossing, making the assignment of the vertex of the hard-scattering process non-trivial. For each reconstructed

collision vertex, the sum of the p_T^2 of all tracks associated to the vertex is computed. The vertex for which this quantity is the largest is assumed to correspond to the hard-scattering process, and is referred to as the primary vertex. A correction is applied to the isolation variable to account for effects of additional interactions. For charged particles, only those associated with the primary vertex are considered in the isolation variable. For neutral particles, a correction is applied by subtracting the energy deposited in the isolation cone by charged particles not associated with the primary vertex, multiplied by a factor of 0.5. This factor corresponds approximately to the ratio of neutral to charged hadron production in the hadronization process of pile-up interactions. An η , p_T , and lepton-flavor dependent threshold on the isolation variable of less than roughly 10% of the candidate p_T is applied.

To correct for the contribution to the jet energy due to pile-up, a median energy density (ρ) is determined event by event. The pile-up contribution to the jet energy is estimated as the product of ρ and the area of the jet and subsequently subtracted from the jet transverse energy [37]. In the fiducial region for jets of $|\eta| < 4.7$, jet energy corrections are also applied as a function of the jet E_T and η [38].

In this analysis, due to the small mass of the tau and the large transverse momentum, the neutrinos produced in the decay tend to be produced nearly collinear with the visible products. Conversely, in W +jets events, one of the main backgrounds, the high mass of the W results in a neutrino approximately opposite to the lepton in the transverse plane, while a jet is misidentified as a tau. In the $e\tau_h+X$ and $\mu\tau_h+X$ channels of the SM Higgs boson search, which focuses on lower masses (less than 145 GeV), we therefore require the transverse mass

$$m_T = \sqrt{2p_T E_T^{\text{miss}}(1 - \cos(\Delta\phi))} \quad (1)$$

to be less than 40 GeV, where p_T is the lepton transverse momentum, and $\Delta\phi$ is the difference in ϕ of the lepton and E_T^{miss} vector.

In the MSSM search channels and in the $e\mu+X$ SM search channel, we use a discriminator formed by considering the bisector of the directions of the visible tau decay products transverse to the beam direction, denoted as the ζ axis [39]. From the projections of the visible decay product momenta and the E_T^{miss} vector onto the ζ axis, two values are calculated:

$$P_\zeta = p_{T,1} \cdot \zeta + p_{T,2} \cdot \zeta + E_T^{\text{miss}} \cdot \zeta, \quad (2)$$

$$P_\zeta^{\text{vis}} = p_{T,1} \cdot \zeta + p_{T,2} \cdot \zeta. \quad (3)$$

where the indices $p_{T,1}$ and $p_{T,2}$ indicate the transverse momentum of two reconstructed leptons. For the $e\tau_h+X$ and $\mu\tau_h+X$ channels in the MSSM search we require $P_\zeta - 0.5 \cdot P_\zeta^{\text{vis}} > -20$ GeV and for the $e\mu+X$ channel we require $P_\zeta - 0.85 \cdot P_\zeta^{\text{vis}} > -25$ GeV.

To further enhance the sensitivity of the search for Higgs bosons both in the MSSM and in the SM, we split the sample of selected events into several mutually exclusive categories based on the jet multiplicity and b-jet content.

In the MSSM case, there is a large probability for having a b-tagged jet in the central region. We use an algorithm based on the impact parameter of the tracks associated to the event vertex to identify b-tagged jets [40]. The MSSM search has two categories:

- ***b-Tag category:*** We require at most one jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV and at least one b-tagged jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV.
- ***Non b-Tag category:*** We require at most one jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV and no b-tagged jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV.

The SM search has three categories:

- **VBF category:** We require at least two jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV, $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| > 4.0$, $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 < 0$, and a dijet invariant mass $m_{jj} > 400$ GeV, with no other jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV in the rapidity region between the two jets.
- **Boosted category:** We require one jet with $p_T > 150$ GeV, and, in the $e\mu$ channel, no b-tagged jet with $p_T > 20$ GeV.
- **0/1 Jet category:** We require no more than one jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV, and if such a jet is present, it must have $p_T < 150$ GeV.

The observed number of events for each category, as well as the expected number of events from various background processes are shown in Tables 1–3 together with expected signal yields and efficiencies. The largest source of events selected with these requirements is $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ decays. We estimate the contribution from this process using an observed sample of $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events, where the reconstructed muons are replaced by the reconstructed particles from simulated tau decays, a procedure called ‘embedding’. The normalization for this process is determined from the measurement of the $Z \rightarrow ee$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ cross section [41].

Another significant source of background is multijet events in which there is one jet misidentified as an isolated electron or muon, and a second jet misidentified as τ_h . W+jets events in which there is a jet misidentified as a τ_h are also a source of background. The rates for these processes are estimated using the number of observed same-charge tau pair events, and from events with large transverse mass, respectively. Other background processes include $t\bar{t}$ production and $Z \rightarrow ee/\mu\mu$ events, particularly in the $e\tau_h+X$ channel due to the 2–3% probability for electrons to be misidentified as τ_h [36]. The small background from W+jets and multijet events for the $e\mu$ channel where jets are misidentified as isolated leptons is derived by measuring the number of events with one good lepton and a second which passes relaxed selection criteria, but fails the nominal lepton selection. This sample is extrapolated to the signal region using the efficiencies for such loose lepton candidates to pass the nominal lepton selection. These efficiencies are measured in data using multijet events. Backgrounds from $t\bar{t}$ and di-boson production are estimated from simulation using the MADGRAPH [42] event generator to simulate the shapes for $t\bar{t}$ events and PYTHIA 6.424 [43] to simulate the shapes for di-boson events. The event yields are normalized to the inclusive cross sections: $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 164.4 \pm 14.3$ pb and $\sigma_{WW} = 55.3 \pm 8.3$ pb as measured with an analysis similar to that described in [44, 45] using a larger data sample.

To model the MSSM and SM Higgs boson signals the event generators PYTHIA and POWHEG [46] are used, respectively. The TAUOLA [47] package is used for tau decays in all cases. Additional next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) K-factors from FEHIPRO [48, 49] are applied to the Higgs boson p_T spectrum from Higgs boson events produced via gluon fusion.

The presence of pile-up is incorporated by simulating additional interactions and then reweighting the simulated events to match the distribution of additional interactions observed in data. The events in the embedded $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ sample and in other background samples obtained from data contain the correct distribution of pile-up interactions. The missing transverse energy response from simulation is corrected using a prescription, based on data, developed for inclusive W and Z cross section measurements [41], where Z bosons are reconstructed in the dimuon channel, and the missing transverse energy scale and resolution calibrated as a function of the Z boson transverse momentum.

Table 1: Numbers of expected and observed events in the event categories as described in the text for the $e\tau_h+X$ channel. Also given are the expected signal yields and efficiencies for a MSSM Higgs boson with $m_A = 120$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 10$, and for a SM Higgs boson with $m_H = 120$ GeV. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties on each estimate are reported. For the yield estimates for the Higgs signal the production cross sections for h and A, which have almost degenerate masses, are taken into account. The quoted efficiencies do not include the branching fraction into $\tau\tau$.

Process	SM			MSSM	
	<i>0/1-Jet</i>	<i>Boosted</i>	<i>VBF</i>	<i>Non b-Tag</i>	<i>b-Tag</i>
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	13438 ± 977	190 ± 14	19 ± 1	14259 ± 1037	135 ± 9
Multijets	6365 ± 299	27 ± 3	15 ± 2	6404 ± 301	100 ± 7
W+jets	2983 ± 216	62 ± 4	4.2 ± 0.4	5432 ± 377	39 ± 3
$Z \rightarrow ll$	5170 ± 464	28 ± 4	5 ± 1	6146 ± 502	28 ± 4
$t\bar{t}$	63 ± 7	42 ± 6	2 ± 1	47 ± 7	75 ± 11
Dibosons	68 ± 21	5 ± 2	0.1 ± 0.1	105 ± 22	1 ± 1
Total Background	28087 ± 1142	354 ± 17	45 ± 2.9	32392 ± 1249	378 ± 17
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$	53 ± 9	2.7 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.2	279 ± 29	26 ± 4
Data	27727	318	43	32051	391

Signal Efficiency

$gg \rightarrow \phi$	-	-	-	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$gg \rightarrow bb\phi$	-	-	-	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$gg \rightarrow H$	$9.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow qqH$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow Ht\bar{t}$ or VH	$7.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	-	-

Table 2: Numbers of expected and observed events in the event categories as described in the text for the $\mu\tau_h+X$ channel. Also given are the expected signal yields and efficiencies for a MSSM Higgs boson with $m_A = 120\text{ GeV}$ and $\tan\beta = 10$, and for a SM Higgs boson with $m_H = 120\text{ GeV}$. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties on each estimate are reported. For the yield estimates for the Higgs signal the production cross sections for h and A, which have almost degenerate masses, are taken into account. The quoted efficiencies do not include the branching fraction into $\tau\tau$.

Process	SM			MSSM	
	<i>0/1-Jet</i>	<i>Boosted</i>	<i>VBF</i>	<i>Non b-Tag</i>	<i>b-Tag</i>
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	28955 ± 2054	295 ± 22	36 ± 2	29795 ± 2114	259 ± 18
Multijets	7841 ± 141	36 ± 2	23 ± 2	6387 ± 115	160 ± 9
W+jets	5827 ± 392	65 ± 4	9 ± 1	9563 ± 628	110 ± 9
$Z \rightarrow ll$	777 ± 70	5 ± 1	1.0 ± 0.2	924 ± 115	3 ± 1
$t\bar{t}$	147 ± 15	94 ± 12	4 ± 1	101 ± 15	145 ± 20
Dibosons	178 ± 55	9 ± 4	0.4 ± 0.4	217 ± 46	5 ± 2
Total Background	43725 ± 2097	504 ± 26	73 ± 3.9	46987 ± 2211	681 ± 30
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$	96 ± 17	3.9 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 0.5	502 ± 52	45 ± 6
Data	43612	500	76	47178	680

Signal Efficiency

$gg \rightarrow \phi$	-	-	-	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$gg \rightarrow bb\phi$	-	-	-	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$gg \rightarrow H$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow qqH$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow Ht\bar{t}$ or VH	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	-	-

Table 3: Numbers of expected and observed events in the event categories as described in the text for the $e\mu+X$ channel. Also given are the expected signal yields and efficiencies for a MSSM Higgs boson with $m_A = 120$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 10$, and for a SM Higgs boson with $m_H = 120$ GeV. Combined statistical and systematic uncertainties on each estimate are reported. For the yield estimates for the Higgs signal the production cross sections for h and A, which have almost degenerate masses, are taken into account. The quoted efficiencies do not include the branching fraction into $\tau\tau$.

Process	SM			MSSM	
	<i>0/1-Jet</i>	<i>Boosted</i>	<i>VBF</i>	<i>Non b-Tag</i>	<i>b-Tag</i>
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	11787 ± 790	98 ± 11	16 ± 4	11718 ± 797	112 ± 11
Multijet and W+jets	483 ± 145	9 ± 3	2 ± 1	474 ± 147	15 ± 5
$t\bar{t}$	427 ± 41	70 ± 8	14 ± 3	161 ± 15	289 ± 35
Dibosons	570 ± 91	21 ± 4	2.0 ± 0.6	527 ± 84	55 ± 10
Total Background	13267 ± 809	197 ± 14	34 ± 5	12881 ± 815	471 ± 38
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$	36 ± 6	1.0 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.2	161 ± 10	17 ± 1.6
Data	13152	189	26	12761	468

Signal Efficiency

$gg \rightarrow \phi$	-	-	-	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$bb \rightarrow bb\phi$	-	-	-	$5.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$gg \rightarrow H$	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow qqH$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-	-
$qq \rightarrow Ht\bar{t}$ or VH	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	-	-

4 Tau-pair invariant mass reconstruction

To distinguish the Higgs boson signal from the background, we reconstruct the tau-pair mass using a maximum likelihood technique [26]. The algorithm estimates the original momentum components of the two taus by maximizing a likelihood with respect to free parameters corresponding to the missing neutrino momenta, subject to kinematic constraints. Other terms in the likelihood take into account the tau-decay phase space and the probability density in the tau transverse momentum, parametrized as a function of the tau-pair mass. This algorithm yields a tau-pair mass with a mean consistent with the true value, and a distribution with a nearly Gaussian shape. The standard deviation of the mass resolution is estimated to be 21% at a Higgs boson mass of 130 GeV, compared with 24% for the (non-Gaussian) distribution of the invariant mass spectrum reconstructed from the visible tau-decay products in the inclusive selection. The resolution improves to 15% in the b-Tag category in the MSSM analysis and in the boosted and VBF categories in the SM analysis where the Higgs boson is produced with significant transverse momentum.

5 Systematic uncertainties

Various imperfectly known or simulated effects can alter the shape and normalization of the invariant mass spectrum. The main contributions to the normalization uncertainty include the uncertainty in the total integrated luminosity (4.5%) [50], jet energy scale (2–5% depending on η and p_T), background normalization (Tables 1– 3), Z boson production cross section (2.5%) [41], lepton identification and isolation efficiency (1.0%), and trigger efficiency (1.0%). The tau-identification efficiency uncertainty is estimated to be 6% from an independent study using a tag-and-probe technique [41]. The lepton identification and isolation efficiencies are stable as a function of the number of additional interactions in the bunch crossing in data and in Monte Carlo simulation. The b-tagging efficiency carries an uncertainty of 10%, and the b-mistag rate is accurate to 30% [51]. Uncertainties that contribute to mass spectrum shape variations include the tau (3%), muon (1%), and electron (1% in the barrel region, 2.5% in the endcap region) energy scales. The effect of the uncertainty on the E_T^{miss} scale, mainly due to pile-up effects, is incorporated by varying the mass spectrum shape as described in the next section.

The various production cross sections and branching fractions for SM and MSSM Higgs bosons and corresponding uncertainties are taken from [52–77]. Theoretical uncertainties on the Higgs production cross section are included in the SM and the MSSM search. For the SM signal, these uncertainties range from 12–30% for gluon fusion, depending on the event category, and 10% for VBF production. The uncertainty for the MSSM signal depends on $\tan \beta$ and m_A and ranges from 20–25%.

6 Maximum likelihood fit

To search for the presence of a Higgs boson signal in the selected events, we perform a binned maximum likelihood fit to the tau-pair invariant-mass spectrum, $m_{\tau\tau}$. The fit is performed jointly across the three SM and two MSSM event categories, but independently in the two cases.

Systematic uncertainties are represented by nuisance parameters in the fitting process. We assume log-normal priors for normalization parameters, and Gaussian priors for mass-spectrum shape uncertainties. The uncertainties that affect the shape of the mass spectrum, mainly those

corresponding to the energy scales, are represented by nuisance parameters whose variation results in a continuous perturbation of the spectrum shape [78].

7 Results

Figures 1 and 2 show for the SM and MSSM, respectively, the distributions of the tau-pair mass $m_{\tau\tau}$ summed over the three search channels, for each category, compared with the background prediction. The background mass distributions show the results of the fit using the background-only hypothesis.




Figure 1: Distribution of the tau-pair invariant mass, $m_{\tau\tau}$, in the MSSM Higgs boson search categories: Non b-Tag category (left), b-Tag category (right). The background labelled ‘electroweak’ combines the contribution from W +jets, $Z \rightarrow ll$, and diboson processes.

The invariant mass spectra for both the MSSM and SM categories show no evidence for the presence of a Higgs boson signal, and we therefore set 95% CL upper bounds on the Higgs boson cross section times the branching fraction into a tau pair. For calculations of exclusion limits, we use the modified frequentist construction CL_s [79–81]. Theoretical uncertainties on the Higgs boson production cross sections are taken into account as systematic uncertainties in the limit calculations.

7.1 Limits on MSSM Higgs boson production

For the m_h^{\max} benchmark scenario as described above we set a 95% CL upper limit on $\tan\beta$ as a function of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass m_A from the observed di-tau mass distributions in the b-Tag and non b-Tag event categories. Signal contributions from h , H and A production are considered. The mass values of h and H , as well as the ratio between the gluon fusion process and the associated production with b quarks, depend on the value of $\tan\beta$. To account for this, we perform a scan of $\tan\beta$ for each mass hypothesis, using the Higgs boson cross sections as a function of $\tan\beta$ as reported by the LHC Cross Section Working Group [52]. For the gluon-fusion process these cross sections have been obtained from the GGH@NNLO [56, 82, 83] and HIGLU [84] programs. For the $b\bar{b} \rightarrow \phi$ process, the four-flavor calculation [85, 86] and the five-flavor calculation as implemented in the BBH@NNLO [87] program have been




Figure 2: Distribution of the tau-pair invariant mass, $m_{\tau\tau}$, in the SM Higgs boson search categories: 0/1 Jet (top row, linear and log vertical scale), VBF (lower left), and Boosted (lower right). The background labelled 'electroweak' combines the contribution from W +jets, $Z \rightarrow ll$, and diboson processes.

combined using the Santander scheme [88]. Rescaling of the corresponding Yukawa couplings by the MSSM factors calculated with FEYNHIGGS [89–91] has been applied.

Figure 3 also shows the region excluded by the LEP experiments [22]. The results reported in this Letter considerably extend the exclusion region of the MSSM parameter space and supersede limits reported by CMS using a smaller data sample collected in 2010 [26].

Table 4: Expected range and observed 95% CL upper limits for $\tan\beta$ as a function of m_A , for the MSSM search.

MSSM Higgs m_A [GeV]	Expected $\tan\beta$ limit					Obs. $\tan\beta$ limit
	-2σ	-1σ	Median	$+1\sigma$	$+2\sigma$	
90	5.19	7.01	8.37	10.6	12.8	12.2
100	6.49	7.45	8.78	10.8	13.4	11.8
120	4.50	6.47	8.09	9.89	12.0	9.84
130	5.37	6.71	7.85	9.69	11.5	9.03
140	5.62	6.63	7.90	9.69	11.6	8.03
160	5.57	6.99	8.51	10.4	12.5	7.11
180	6.75	8.14	9.53	11.3	13.8	7.50
200	7.84	9.12	10.5	12.8	15.0	8.46
250	10.3	12.3	13.9	16.8	19.4	13.8
300	13.5	15.7	18.4	21.4	24.5	20.9
350	17.7	20.1	23.0	26.9	31.1	29.1
400	21.9	24.3	27.9	32.4	37.3	37.3
450	25.0	29.2	33.3	38.8	44.7	45.2
500	30.3	35.7	40.5	47.1	55.0	51.9

7.2 Limits on SM Higgs boson production

The 0/1 Jet, VBF and Boosted categories are used to set a 95% CL upper limit on the product of the Higgs boson production cross section and the $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ branching fraction, $\sigma_H \times \text{BR}(H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$, with respect to the SM Higgs expectation, $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$. Figure 4 shows the observed and the mean expected 95% CL upper limits for Higgs boson mass hypotheses ranging from 110 to 145 GeV. The bands represent the one- and two-standard-deviation probability intervals around the expected limit. Table 5 shows the results for selected mass values. We set a 95% upper limit on $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ in the range of 3–7.

8 Summary

We have reported a search for SM and neutral MSSM Higgs bosons, using a sample of CMS data from proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.6 fb^{-1} . The tau-pair decay mode in final states with one e or μ plus a hadronic decay of a tau, and the $e\mu$ final state are used. The observed tau-pair mass spectra reveal no evidence for neutral Higgs boson production. In the SM case we determine a 95% CL upper limit in the mass range of 110–145 GeV on the Higgs boson production cross section. We exclude a Higgs boson with $m_H = 115 \text{ GeV}$ with a production cross section 3.2 times of that predicted by the standard model. In the MSSM case, we determine a 95% CL upper bound on the value of $\tan\beta$ as a function of m_A , for the m_h^{max} scenario. This search excludes a previously unexplored region reaching as low as $\tan\beta = 7.1$ at $m_A = 160 \text{ GeV}$.




Figure 3: Region in the parameter space of $\tan\beta$ versus m_A excluded at 95% CL in the context of the MSSM m_h^{\max} scenario. The expected one- and two-standard-deviation ranges and the observed 95% CL upper limits are shown together with the observed excluded region.

Table 5: Expected range and observed 95% CL upper limits on the cross section, divided by the expected SM Higgs cross section as a function of m_H , for the SM search.

SM Higgs m_H [GeV]	Expected limit					Obs. limit
	-2σ	-1σ	Median	$+1\sigma$	$+2\sigma$	
110	1.83	2.36	3.30	4.76	6.63	3.20
115	1.61	2.13	2.97	4.23	5.86	3.19
120	1.65	2.17	3.03	4.33	6.07	3.62
125	1.75	2.19	3.05	4.38	6.01	4.27
130	1.82	2.37	3.31	4.72	6.43	5.08
135	2.25	2.96	4.06	5.77	7.87	5.39
140	2.39	2.99	4.17	5.85	7.99	5.46
145	3.06	3.97	5.45	7.65	10.7	7.00




Figure 4: The expected one- and two-standard-deviation ranges are shown together with the observed 95% CL upper limits on the cross section, normalized to the SM expectation for Higgs boson production, as a function of m_H .

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC machine. We thank the technical and administrative staff at CERN and other CMS institutes, and acknowledge support from: FMSR (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MSI (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MSTD (Serbia); MICINN and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; and the HOMING PLUS program of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund.

References

- [1] S. L. Glashow, "Partial Symmetries of Weak Interactions", *Nucl. Phys.* **22** (1961) 579–588, doi:10.1016/0029-5582(61)90469-2.
- [2] S. Weinberg, "A Model of Leptons", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 1264–1266, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1264.
- [3] A. Salam, "Weak and electromagnetic interactions", in *Elementary particle physics: relativistic groups and analyticity*, N. Svartholm, ed., p. 367. Almqvist & Wiskell, Stockholm, 1968. Proceedings of the eighth Nobel symposium.
- [4] F. Englert and R. Brout, "Broken symmetry and the mass of gauge vector mesons", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 321, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.321.
- [5] P. W. Higgs, "Broken symmetries, massless particles and gauge fields", *Phys. Lett.* **12** (1964) 132, doi:10.1016/0031-9163(64)91136-9.
- [6] P. W. Higgs, "Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 508, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.508.
- [7] G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble, "Global conservation laws and massless particles", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964) 585, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.585.
- [8] P. W. Higgs, "Spontaneous symmetry breakdown without massless bosons", *Phys. Rev.* **145** (1966) 1156, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.145.1156.
- [9] T. W. B. Kibble, "Symmetry breaking in non-Abelian gauge theories", *Phys. Rev.* **155** (1967) 1554, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.155.1554.

- [10] E. Witten, “Mass Hierarchies in Supersymmetric Theories”, *Phys. Lett. B* **105** (1981) 267, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90885-6.
- [11] S. P. Martin, “A Supersymmetry Primer”, (1997). arXiv:hep-ph/9709356. See also references therein.
- [12] P. Fayet, “Supergauge invariant extension of the Higgs mechanism and a model for the electron and its neutrino”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **90** (1975) 104, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(75)90636-7.
- [13] P. Fayet, “Spontaneously broken supersymmetric theories of weak, electromagnetic and strong interactions”, *Phys. Lett. B* **69** (1977) 489, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(77)90852-8.
- [14] S. L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, “Natural conservation laws for neutral currents”, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 1958, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.1958.
- [15] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, “Pattern of symmetry breaking with two Higgs doublets”, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 2574, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.2574.
- [16] M. S. Carena et al., “Suggestions for benchmark scenarios for MSSM Higgs boson searches at hadron colliders”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **26** (2003) 601–607, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2002-01084-3.
- [17] M. S. Carena et al., “MSSM Higgs boson searches at the Tevatron and the LHC: Impact of different benchmark scenarios”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **45** (2006) 797–814, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2005-02470-y.
- [18] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL Collaborations, and the LEP Working Group for Higgs Boson Searches Collaboration, “Search for the standard model Higgs boson at LEP”, *Phys. Lett. B* **565** (2003) 61, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00614-2, arXiv:hep-ex/0306033.
- [19] CDF and D0 Collaboration, “Combination of Tevatron Searches for the standard model Higgs Boson in the W^+W^- Decay Mode”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 061802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.061802. A more recent, unpublished, limit is given in preprint arXiv:1103.3233.
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, “Combined search for the Standard Model Higgs boson using up to 4.9 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **710** (2012) 49–66, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.044.
- [21] ALEPH, CDF, D0, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD Collaborations, the LEP Working Group, the Tevatron Electroweak Working Group, and the SLD Electroweak and Heavy flavor Group, “Precision electroweak measurements and constraints on the standard model”, (2010). arXiv:hep-ex/1012.2367.
- [22] LEP Collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL Collaborations and the LEP Working Group for Higgs Boson Searches Collaboration, “Search for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons at LEP”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **47** (2006) 547–587, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2006-02569-7.
- [23] CDF Collaboration, “Search for Higgs Bosons Predicted in Two-Higgs-Doublet Models via Decays to Tau Lepton Pairs in 1.96 TeV $p\bar{p}$ Collisions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 201801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.201801.

- [24] D0 Collaboration, “Search for Higgs bosons decaying to $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 323–329, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.050.
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons decaying to $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Lett. B* **705** (2011) 174–192, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.10.001.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, “Search for neutral MSSM Higgs bosons decaying to tau pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 231801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.231801.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, “Electron Reconstruction and Identification at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EGM-10-004, 2010.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of muon identification in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-MUO-10-002, 2010.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Inclusive Z Cross Section via Decays to Tau Pairs in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **8** (2011) 117, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2011)117.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jets, Taus, and E_T^{miss} ”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, “Commissioning of the Particle-Flow Reconstruction in Minimum-Bias and Jet Events from pp Collisions at 7 TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-002, 2010.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Commissioning of the particle-flow event reconstruction with leptons from J/Ψ and W decays at 7 TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-003, 2010.
- [34] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet user manual”, arXiv:hep-ph/1111.6097v1.
- [35] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, “Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder”, *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 57, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.037, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of tau-lepton reconstruction and identification in CMS”, *JINST* **7** (2012) P01001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/01/P01001.
- [37] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, “Pileup subtraction using jet areas”, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 119, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077, arXiv:hep-ph/0707.1378.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of Jet Energy Calibration and Transverse Momentum Resolution in CMS”, *JINST* **6** (2011) 11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002.
- [39] C. C. Almenar, “Search for the neutral MSSM Higgs bosons in the $\tau\tau$ decay channels at CDF Run II”. PhD thesis, Universitat de València. FERMILAB-THESIS-2008-86. doi:10.2172/953708.

- [40] CMS Collaboration, “Commissioning of b-jet identification with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-10-001, 2010.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Inclusive W and Z Cross Sections in pp Collisions $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 132, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)080.
- [42] J. Alwall et al., “MadGraph/MadEvent v4: the new web generation”, *JHEP* **09** (2007) 028, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/028, arXiv:hep-ph/0706.2334.
- [43] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at 7 TeV in lepton+jets events using b-quark jet identification”, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.092004.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of W^+W^- production and search for the Higgs boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **699** (2011) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.03.056.
- [46] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070.
- [47] Z. Was, “TAUOLA the library for tau lepton decay, and KKMC/KORALB/KORALZ... status report”, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **98** (2001) 96, doi:10.1016/S0920-5632(01)01200-2.
- [48] C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, and F. Petriello, “Fully differential Higgs boson production and the di-photon signal through next-to-next-to-leading order”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **724** (2005) 197–246, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.06.036.
- [49] C. Anastasiou, S. Bucherer, and Z. Kunszt, “HPro: A NLO Monte-Carlo for Higgs production via gluon fusion with finite heavy quark masses”, *JHEP* **10** (2009) 068, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/068.
- [50] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of CMS Luminosity”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EWK-10-004, 2010.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of b-jet identification in CMS”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-BTV-11-001, 2011.
- [52] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, “Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 1. Inclusive Observables”, CERN Report CERN-2011-002, 2011. arXiv:1101.0593.
- [53] A. Djouadi, M. Spira, and P. M. Zerwas, “Production of Higgs bosons in proton colliders: QCD corrections”, *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991) 440–446, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)90375-Z.
- [54] S. Dawson, “Radiative corrections to Higgs boson production”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **359** (1991) 283–300, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(91)90061-2.
- [55] M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz, and P. M. Zerwas, “Higgs boson production at the LHC”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **453** (1995) 17–82, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00379-7.

- [56] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, “Next-to-next-to-leading order Higgs production at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 201801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.201801, arXiv:hep-ph/0201206.
- [57] C. Anastasiou and M. Charalampos, “Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **646** (2002) 220–256, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00837-4, arXiv:hep-ph/0207004.
- [58] V. Ravindran, J. Smith, and W. L. van Neerven, “NNLO corrections to the total cross section for Higgs boson production in hadron hadron collisions”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **665** (2003) 325–366, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00457-7, arXiv:hep-ph/0302135.
- [59] S. Catani et al., “Soft-gluon resummation for Higgs boson production at hadron colliders”, (2003). arXiv:hep-ph/0306211.
- [60] U. Aglietti, R. Bonciani, G. Degrossi, and A. Vicini, “Two-loop light fermion contribution to Higgs production and decays”, *Phys. Lett.* **B595** (2004) 432–441, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.063, arXiv:hep-ph/0404071.
- [61] G. Degrossi and F. Maltoni, “Two-loop electroweak corrections to Higgs production at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Lett.* **B600** (2004) 255–260, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.09.008, arXiv:hep-ph/0407249.
- [62] S. Actis et al., “NLO Electroweak Corrections to Higgs Boson Production at Hadron Colliders”, *Phys. Lett. B* **670** (2008) 12–17, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.10.018, arXiv:hep-ph/0809.1301.
- [63] C. Anastasiou, R. Boughezal, and F. Petriello, “Mixed QCD-electroweak corrections to Higgs boson production in gluon fusion”, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 003, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/003, arXiv:hep-ph/0811.3458.
- [64] D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, “Higgs production through gluon fusion: updated cross sections at the Tevatron and the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **674** (2009) 291–294, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2009.03.033, arXiv:hep-ph/0901.2427.
- [65] J. Baglio and A. Djouadi, “Higgs production at the LHC”, *JHEP* **03** (2011) 055, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2011)055, arXiv:1012.0530.
- [66] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, and S. Dittmaier, “Strong and electroweak corrections to the production of Higgs + 2-jets via weak interactions at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 161803, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.161803, arXiv:hep-ph/0707.0381.
- [67] M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, and S. Dittmaier, “Electroweak and QCD corrections to Higgs production via vector-boson fusion at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 013002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.013002, arXiv:hep-ph/0710.4749.
- [68] K. Arnold et al., “VBFNLO: A parton level Monte Carlo for processes with electroweak bosons”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1661–1670, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.03.006, arXiv:hep-ph/0811.4559.
- [69] O. Brein, A. Djouadi, R. Harlander, “NNLO QCD corrections to the Higgs-strahlung processes at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Lett. B* **579** (2004) 149–156, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2003.10.112, arXiv:hep-ph/0307206.

- [70] M. L. Ciccolini, S. Dittmaier, and M. Krämer, “Electroweak radiative corrections to associated WH and ZH production at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 073003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.073003, arXiv:hep-ph/0306234.
- [71] A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Muhlleitner, and M. Spira, “An update of the program HDECAY”, in *The Les Houches 2009 workshop on TeV colliders: The tools and Monte Carlo working group summary report*. 2010. arXiv:hep-ph/1003.1643.
- [72] A. Denner et al., “Standard Model Higgs-Boson Branching Ratios with Uncertainties”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1753, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1753-8, arXiv:hep-ph/1107.5909.
- [73] M. Botje et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Recommendations”, arXiv:hep-ph/1101.0538.
- [74] S. Alekhin et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Report”, arXiv:hep-ph/1101.0536.
- [75] H.-L. Lai et al., “New parton distributions for collider physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074024, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024.
- [76] A. Martin, W. Stirling, R. Thorne, and G. Watt, “Parton distributions for the LHC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63** (2009) 189–285, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5.
- [77] NNPDF Collaboration, “Impact of Heavy Quark Masses on Parton Distributions and LHC Phenomenology”, arXiv:hep-ph/1101.1300.
- [78] J. S. Conway, “Nuisance Parameters in Likelihoods for Multisource Spectra”, in *Proceedings of PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding*, H. Propser and L. Lyons, eds., number CERN-2011-006, pp. 115–120. CERN, 2011.
- [79] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435–443, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2.
- [80] A. L. Read, “Modified frequentist analysis of search results (the CLs method)”, CERN Report CERN-OPEN-2000-005, 2000.
- [81] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, LHC Higgs Combination Group, “Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011”, ATL-PHYS-PUB/CMS NOTE 2011-11, 2011/005, 2011.
- [82] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, “Production of a pseudo-scalar Higgs boson at hadron colliders at next-to-next-to leading order”, *JHEP* **10** (2002) 017, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/10/017.
- [83] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, “Pseudoscalar Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD”, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 037501, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.037501, arXiv:hep-ph/0208115.
- [84] M. Spira, “HIGLU: A Program for the Calculation of the Total Higgs Production Cross Section at Hadron Colliders via Gluon Fusion including QCD Corrections”, arXiv:hep-ph/9510347.

- [85] S. Dittmaier, M. Kramer, and M. Spira, “Higgs radiation off bottom quarks at the Tevatron and the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 074010, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.074010.
- [86] S. Dawson, C. Jackson, L. Reina, and D. Wackerth, “Exclusive Higgs boson production with bottom quarks at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 074027, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.074027, arXiv:hep-ph/0311067.
- [87] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, “Higgs boson production in bottom quark fusion at next-to-next-to-leading order”, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 013001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.013001.
- [88] R. Harlander, M. Kramer, and M. Schumacher, “Bottom-quark associated Higgs-boson production: reconciling the four- and five-flavour scheme approach”, arXiv:hep-ph/1112.3478.
- [89] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, “FeynHiggs: a program for the calculation of the masses of the neutral CP-even Higgs bosons in the MSSM”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **124** (2000) 76–89, doi:10.1016/S0010-4655(99)00364-1.
- [90] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, “The Masses of the neutral CP-even Higgs bosons in the MSSM: Accurate analysis at the two loop level”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **9** (1999) 343–366, doi:10.1007/s100529900006.
- [91] G. Degrandi et al., “Towards high-precision predictions for the MSSM Higgs sector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **28** (2003) 133–143, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01152-2.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth, V.M. Ghete, J. Hammer¹, M. Hoch, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler, W. Kiesenhofer, M. Krammer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, M. Pernicka[†], B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöffbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, F. Teischinger, P. Wagner, W. Waltenberger, G. Walzel, E. Widl, C.-E. Wulz

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Bansal, L. Benucci, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, S. Luyckx, T. Maes, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, M. Selvaggi, H. Van Haeevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, R. Gonzalez Suarez, A. Kalogeropoulos, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

O. Charaf, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, V. Dero, A.P.R. Gay, G.H. Hammad, T. Hreus, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wickens

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Bernaert, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, G. Garcia, M. Grunewald, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. McCartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, L. Vanelderden, P. Verwilligen, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, G. Bruno, L. Ceard, J. De Favereau De Jeneret, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco², G. Grégoire, J. Hollar, V. Lemaître, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, N. Schul

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Bely, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, D. De Jesus Damiao, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, V. Oguri, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, S.M. Silva Do Amaral, L. Soares Jorge, A. Sznajder

Instituto de Fisica Teorica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Sao Paulo, Brazil

T.S. Anjos³, C.A. Bernardes³, F.A. Dias⁴, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E. M. Gregores³, C. Lagana, F. Marinho, P.G. Mercadante³, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

V. Genchev¹, P. Iaydjiev¹, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, R. Trayanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, A. Karadzhinova, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, J. Wang, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xiao, M. Xu, J. Zang, Z. Zhang

State Key Lab. of Nucl. Phys. and Tech., Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, S. Guo, Y. Guo, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, S. Wang, B. Zhu, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

A. Cabrera, B. Gomez Moreno, A.F. Osorio Oliveros, J.C. Sanabria

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina⁵, D. Polic, I. Puljak¹

University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Dzelalija, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Morovic

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, M. Galanti, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

Y. Assran⁶, A. Ellithi Kamel⁷, S. Khalil⁸, M.A. Mahmoud⁹, A. Radi^{8,10}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

A. Hektor, M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

V. Azzolini, P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

S. Czellar, J. Härkönen, A. Heikkinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, D. Ungaro, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

K. Banzuzi, A. Korpela, T. Tuuva

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

D. Sillou

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, S. Choudhury, M. Dejjardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, I. Shreyber, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, L. Bianchini, M. Bluj¹¹, C. Broutin, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, L. Dobrzynski, S. Elgammal, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenaer, P. Miné, C. Mironov, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Thiebaut, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹², J. Andrea, D. Bloch, D. Bodin, J.-M. Brom, M. Cardaci, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹², F. Drouhin¹², C. Ferro, J.-C. Fontaine¹², D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, P. Juillot, M. Karim¹², A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

F. Fassi, D. Mercier

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

C. Baty, S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, M. Bedjidian, O. Bondu, G. Boudoul, D. Boumediene, H. Brun, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici¹, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, A. Falkiewicz, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, T. Le Grand, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, S. Tosi, Y. Tschudi, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

D. Lomidze

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

G. Anagnostou, S. Beranek, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, R. Jussen, K. Klein, J. Merz, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov¹³

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, M. Erdmann, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, T. Klimkovich, D. Klingebiel, P. Kreuzer, D. Lanske[†], J. Lingemann, C. Magass, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teyssier, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Bontenackels, V. Cherepanov, M. Davids, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Linn, A. Nowack, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, J. Rennefeld, P. Sauerland, A. Stahl, M.H. Zoeller

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, M. Bergholz¹⁴, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, E. Castro, D. Dammann, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, A. Flossdorf, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, J. Hauk, H. Jung¹, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, A. Knutsson, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, W. Lohmann¹⁴, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, M. Marienfeld, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, J. Olzem, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, M. Rosin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt¹⁴, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, A. Spiridonov, M. Stein, J. Tomaszewska, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Autermann, V. Blobel, S. Bobrovskiy, J. Draeger, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, T. Hermanns, R.S. Höing, K. Kaschube, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, J. Lange, B. Mura, F. Nowak, N. Pietsch, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

C. Barth, J. Berger, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, G. Dirkes, M. Feindt, J. Gruschke, M. Guthoff¹, C. Hackstein, F. Hartmann, M. Heinrich, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, S. Honc, I. Katkov¹³, J.R. Komaragiri, T. Kuhr, D. Martschei, S. Mueller, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, A. Oehler, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, N. Ratnikova, M. Renz, S. Röcker, C. Saout, A. Scheurer, P. Schieferdecker, F.-P. Schilling, M. Schmanau, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, D. Troendle, J. Wagner-Kuhr, T. Weiler, M. Zeise, E.B. Ziebarth

Institute of Nuclear Physics "Demokritos", Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Daskalakis, T. Gerasis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Manolakos, A. Markou, C. Markou, C. Mavrommatis, E. Ntomari

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

L. Gouskos, T.J. Mertzimekis, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas¹, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, V. Patras, F.A. Triantis

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

A. Aranyi, G. Bencze, L. Boldizsar, C. Hajdu¹, P. Hidas, D. Horvath¹⁵, A. Kapusi, K. Krajczar¹⁶, F. Sikler¹, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi¹⁶

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

J. Karancsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Jindal, M. Kaur, J.M. Kohli, M.Z. Mehta, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, A.P. Singh, J. Singh, S.P. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Ahuja, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, S. Jain, S. Jain, R. Khurana, S. Sarkar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

R.K. Choudhury, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty¹, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait¹⁷, A. Gurtu¹⁸, M. Maity¹⁹, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, A. Saha, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad, N.K. Mondal

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi²⁰, S.M. Etesami²¹, A. Fahim²⁰, M. Hashemi, H. Hesari, A. Jafari²⁰, M. Khakzad, A. Mohammadi²², M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh²³, M. Zeinali²¹

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c,1}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, L. Lusito^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, N. Manna^{a,b}, B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, F. Romano^{a,c}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, G. Singh^{a,b}, S. Tupputi^{a,b}, G. Zito^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^a, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^a, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,1}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^a, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G. Siroli^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, S. Frosali^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,1}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, S. Colafranceschi²⁴, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

P. Fabbri, R. Musenich

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^{a,b,1}, F. De Guio^{a,b}, L. Di Matteo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^{a,1}, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b}, A. Martelli^{a,b}, A. Massironi^{a,b,1}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, S. Sala^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli "Federico II" ^b, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, C.A. Carrillo Montoya^{a,1}, N. Cavallo^{a,25}, A. De Cosa^{a,b}, O. Dogangun^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,25}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,1}, L. Lista^a, M. Merola^{a,b}, P. Paolucci^a

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Università di Trento (Trento) ^c, Padova, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^{a,1}, P. Bellan^{a,b}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^a, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Fanzago^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, K. Kanishchev, S. Lacaprara^{a,26}, I. Lazzizzera^{a,c}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, M. Mazzucato^a, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, M. Nespolo^{a,1}, L. Perrozzi^a, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Tosi^{a,b,1}, S. Vanini^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

U. Berzano^a, M. Gabusi^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Torre^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, B. Caponeri^{a,b}, L. Fano^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, A. Lucaroni^{a,b,1}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Nappi^{a,b}, F. Romeo^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, S. Taroni^{a,b,1}, M. Valdata^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

P. Azzurri^{a,c}, G. Bagliesi^a, T. Boccali^a, G. Broccolo^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^a, R.T. D'Agnolo^{a,c}, R. Dell'Orso^a, F. Fiori^{a,b}, L. Foà^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, A. Kraan^a, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,27}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, F. Palmonari^a, A. Rizzi, A.T. Serban^a, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b,1}, A. Venturi^{a,1}, P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma "La Sapienza" ^b, Roma, Italy

L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, D. Del Re^{a,b,1}, M. Diemoz^a, C. Fanelli, M. Grassi^{a,1}, E. Longo^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, F. Micheli, S. Nourbakhsh^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, M. Sigamani^a, L. Soffi

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^c, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, C. Biino^a, C. Botta^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia^a, R. Castello^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, A. Graziano^{a,b}, C. Mariotti^{a,1}, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,c}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, A. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspà^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, V. Sola^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, A. Vilela Pereira^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, M. Marone^{a,b}, D. Montanino^{a,b,1}, A. Penzo^a

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S.G. Heo, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Chang, J. Chung, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, D.J. Kong, H. Park, S.R. Ro, D.C. Son

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Konkuk University, Seoul, Korea

H.Y. Jo

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, D.H. Moon, S.K. Park, E. Seo, K.S. Sim

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, S. Kang, H. Kim, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Cho, Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M.J. Bilinskas, I. Grigelionis, M. Janulis

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sánchez-Hernández, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A.J. Bell, P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

G. Brona, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, R. Gokieli, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, PortugalN. Almeida, P. Bargassa, A. David, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, P. Musella, A. Nayak, J. Pela¹, P.Q. Ribeiro, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia**Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**

S. Afanasiev, I. Belotelov, P. Bunin, I. Golutvin, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, V. Smirnov, A. Volodko, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St Petersburg), Russia

S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, V. Matveev, A. Pashenkov, A. Toropin, S. Troitsky

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, RussiaV. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrilov, M. Kossov¹, A. Krokhotin, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin**Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia**A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, M. Perfilov, S. Petrushanko, L. Sarycheva[†], V. Savrin, A. Snigirev**P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia**

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, RussiaI. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Grishin¹, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, A. Korablev,

V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic²⁸, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic²⁸, J. Milosevic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, P. Arce, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, G. Codispoti, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez²⁹, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Felcini³⁰, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, C. Jorda, P. Lobelle Pardo, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, M. Sobron Sanudo, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, C. Bernet⁵, W. Bialas, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, H. Breuker, K. Bunkowski, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, B. Curé, D. D'Enterria, A. De Roeck, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, B. Frisch, W. Funk, A. Gaddi, G. Georgiou, H. Gerwig, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, P. Govoni, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, L. Guiducci, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, J. Harvey, B. Hegner, A. Hinzmann, H.F. Hoffmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kaadze, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, P. Lecoq, P. Lenzi, C. Lourenço, T. Mäki, M. Malberti, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, G. Mavromanolakis, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M.U. Mozer, M. Mulders, E. Nesvold, M. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, G. Polese, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, J. Rodrigues Antunes, G. Rolandi³¹, T. Rommerskirchen, C. Rovelli³², M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³³, D. Spiga, M. Spiropulu⁴, M. Stoye, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres¹⁶, P. Vichoudis, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm³⁴, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, F. Meier, D. Renker, T. Rohe, J. Sibille³⁵

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

L. Bäni, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, Z. Chen, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Dünser, J. Eugster, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann,

P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli³⁶, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, M.-C. Sawley, A. Starodumov³⁷, B. Stieger, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber, L. Wehrli, J. Weng

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

E. Aguilo, C. Amsler, V. Chiochia, S. De Visscher, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Millan Mejias, P. Otiougova, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, M. Verzetti

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

Y.H. Chang, K.H. Chen, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Z.K. Liu, Y.J. Lu, D. Mekterovic, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci³⁸, S. Cerci³⁹, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, G. Karapinar, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴⁰, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴¹, D. Sunar Cerci³⁹, B. Tali³⁹, H. Topakli³⁸, D. Uzun, L.N. Vergili, M. Vergili

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, E. Yildirim, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

M. Deliomeroglu, E. Gülmez, B. Isildak, M. Kaya⁴², O. Kaya⁴², S. Ozkorucuklu⁴³, N. Sonmez⁴⁴

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

F. Bostock, J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold³⁴, K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

L. Basso⁴⁵, K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁴⁵, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Jackson, B.W. Kennedy, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, G. Ball, R. Beuselinck, O. Buchmuller, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko³⁷, A. Papageorgiou, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi⁴⁶, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, N. Rompotis, A. Rose, M.J. Ryan, C. Seez, A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, S. Tourneur, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle, D. Wardrope, T. Whyntie

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

M. Barrett, M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA

K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

C. Henderson

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, E. Carrera Jarrin, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, J. St. John, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA

S. Bhattacharya, D. Cutts, A. Ferapontov, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, D. Nguyen, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, K.V. Tsang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Caulfield, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, J. Dolen, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, O. Mall, T. Miceli, R. Nelson, D. Pellett, J. Robles, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, R. Vasquez Sierra

University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

V. Andreev, K. Arisaka, D. Cline, R. Cousins, J. Duris, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, C. Plager, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], J. Tucker, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, F. Giordano, G. Hanson, G.Y. Jeng, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, S. Paramesvaran, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, F. Golf, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, B. Mangano, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, H. Pi, M. Pieri, R. Ranieri, M. Sani, I. Sfiligoi, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁴⁷, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Barge, R. Bellan, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, J. Incandela, C. Justus, P. Kalavase, S.A. Koay, D. Kovalskyi¹, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, F. Rebassoo, J. Ribnik, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, J.R. Vlimant, C. West

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, M. Gataullin, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, V. Timciuc, P. Traczyk, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, Y. Yang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

B. Akgun, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, S.Y. Jun, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, M.E. Dinardo, B.R. Drell, C.J. Edelmaier, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, B. Heyburn, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner, S.L. Zang

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

L. Agostino, J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, B. Heltsley, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Vaughan, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

A. Biselli, D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, M. Atac, J.A. Bakken, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, I. Bloch, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, W. Cooper, D.P. Eartly, V.D. Elvira, S. Esen, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, D. Green, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, H. Jensen, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, C. Leonidopoulos, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, T. Miao, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko⁴⁸, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, J. Pivarski, R. Pordes, O. Prokofyev, T. Schwarz, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, P. Tan, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, F. Yumiceva, J.C. Yun

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Gartner, S. Goldberg, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁴⁹, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, M. Schmitt, B. Scurlock, P. Sellers, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, D. Wang, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA

V. Gaultney, L.M. Lebolo, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, M. Jenkins, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, S. Sekmen, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, I. Vodopyanov

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, I.M. Anghel, L. Apanasevich, Y. Bai, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, C. Dragoiu, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, G.J. Kunde⁵⁰, F. Lacroix, M. Malek, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, C. Silvestre, D. Strom, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak, B. Bilki⁵¹, W. Clarida, F. Duru, S. Griffiths, C.K. Lae, E. McCliment, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵², A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, E. Norbeck, J. Olson, Y. Onel, F. Ozok, S. Sen, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, A. Bonato, D. Fehling, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, Z.J. Guo, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, S. Rappoccio, M. Swartz, N.V. Tran, A. Whitbeck

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, O. Grachov, R.P. Kenny Iii, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, G. Tinti, J.S. Wood, V. Zhukova

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

A.F. Barfuss, T. Bolton, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, M. Boutemur, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, M. Kirn, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, A. Peterman, K. Rossato, P. Rumerio, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar, E. Twedt

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

B. Alver, G. Bauer, J. Bendavid, W. Busza, E. Butz, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, K.A. Hahn, Y. Kim, M. Klute, Y.-J. Lee, W. Li, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, M. Rudolph, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, K. Sung, D. Velicanu, E.A. Wenger, R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, S. Xie, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

S.I. Cooper, P. Cushman, B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, G. Franzoni, A. Gude, J. Haupt, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, V. Rekovic, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, University, USA

L.M. Cremaldi, R. Godang, R. Kroeger, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, J. Butt, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, P. Jindal, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, H. Malbouisson, S. Malik, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

U. Baur, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S.P. Shipkowski, K. Smith, Z. Wan

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

A. Anastassov, A. Kubik, N. Mucia, N. Odell, R.A. Ofierzynski, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

L. Antonelli, D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, J. Ziegler

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, C. Hill, P. Killewald, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, C. Vuosalo, G. Williams

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

N. Adam, E. Berry, P. Elmer, D. Gerbaudo, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, E. Laird, D. Lopes Pegna, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

J.G. Acosta, X.T. Huang, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, S. Oliveros, J.E. Ramirez Vargas, A. Zatserklyaniy

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

E. Alagoz, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, L. Gutay, Z. Hu, M. Jones, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, A.T. Laasanen, N. Leonardo, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, M. Vidal Marono, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

S. Guragain, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Adair, C. Boulahouache, V. Cuplov, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, Y.S. Chung, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, Y. Gotra, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, W. Sakumoto, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulios, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

S. Arora, O. Atramentov, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, D. Hits, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, A. Richards, K. Rose, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁵³, V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, S. Sengupta, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, P.R. Duderov, C. Jeong, K. Kovitangoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, Y. Roh, A. Sill, I. Volobouev, R. Wigmans

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

E. Appelt, E. Brownson, D. Engh, C. Florez, W. Gabella, A. Gurrola, M. Issah, W. Johns, P. Kurt, C. Maguire, A. Melo, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, M. Balazs, S. Boutle, S. Conetti, B. Cox, B. Francis, S. Goadhouse, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood, R. Yohay

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, M. Mattson, C. Milstène, A. Sakharov

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

M. Anderson, M. Bachtis, D. Belknap, J.N. Bellinger, J. Bernardini, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, J. Efron, E. Friis, L. Gray, K.S. Grogg, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, J. Leonard, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, G.A. Pierro, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 2: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 3: Also at Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil
- 4: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 5: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 6: Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt
- 7: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 8: Also at British University, Cairo, Egypt
- 9: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 10: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 11: Also at Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
- 12: Also at Université de Haute-Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 13: Also at Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 14: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 15: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 16: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 17: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
- 18: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 19: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 20: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- 21: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 22: Also at Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran
- 23: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Teheran, Iran
- 24: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- 25: Also at Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy
- 26: Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell' INFN, Legnaro, Italy
- 27: Also at Università degli studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 28: Also at Faculty of Physics of University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 29: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 30: Also at University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
- 31: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell' INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 32: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy
- 33: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 34: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- 35: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
- 36: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
- 37: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- 38: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey

- 39: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 40: Also at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA
- 41: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 42: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 43: Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey
- 44: Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey
- 45: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 46: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- 47: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
- 48: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 49: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
- 50: Also at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, USA
- 51: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
- 52: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 53: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea