

# NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF TURBOMACHINERY COMPONENTS

by

**Paul S. Gupton**

**Metallurgical Consultant**

**Technical Inspection Services, Incorporated**

**Houston, Texas**



*Paul S. Gupton is a Senior Monsanto Fellow, retired. Currently he is a Metallurgical Consultant with Technical Inspection Services, Incorporated in Houston. He has spent the last 28 years consulting on mechanical, welding and high temperature metallurgical problems in the petroleum and petrochemical industries.*

*Prior to his Monsanto employment, he was with the Texas Engineering Experiment Station, Texas A&M University, and Hughes Tool Company, where he was active in alloy development and welding research. Mr. Gupton holds a B.S. degree in Engineering from Lamar University, an M.S. degree in Metallurgy and Nuclear Engineering from Texas A&M University and is a Fellow of the American Society for Metals. An active member of ASM, AWS, ASTM and ASNT, Mr. Gupton has authored several technical publications and presented over 180 technical talks.*

## ABSTRACT

Nondestructive evaluation is becoming increasingly important in determining the quality of materials and fabrications used in the manufacture and maintenance of rotating machinery. NDE has long been used as a tool for failure analysis. However, more and more techniques are being incorporated as required steps in manufacturing to ensure defect-free parts.

NDE can be defined as a science that incorporates methods of detecting and measuring the major properties and performance capabilities of materials, parts, assemblies, equipment and structures using techniques that do not impair serviceability. NDE uses a variety of probing techniques to assist in the discovery of hidden faults and properties of materials and products.

Since most of the techniques used in nondestructive evaluation are based on well-established principles, the proper choice and application of the correct method or methods will determine the final usefulness of the examination. Each of these common classical "Big Five" NDE techniques are reviewed along with their capabilities and shortcomings. In addition, some of the more recent developments in evaluation methods are presented.

## INTRODUCTION

A wise and perceptive person once wrote "you cannot expect what you do not inspect." Personal experience with equipment builders has shown that many, as a normal manufacturing sequence, do not employ nondestructive evaluation (NDE) as extensively both in technique and quantity as they could and should. Therefore, it is up to the equipment user/operator to require these necessary techniques. The most cost effective way

to prevent premature failure and poor performance of machinery is to fabricate its component parts from properly engineered and *quality* materials.

NDE has become an increasingly important tool in determining/verifying the quality of both raw and finished materials. These techniques have long been used as a major input to failure analysis (after the fact QA). Today, more sophisticated methods are available to assist manufacturers in ensuring that defective or substandard component parts do not find their way into service. However, it must also be recognized that NDE is not an absolutely failsafe process. The process can also fail if the procedure is not properly performed, the equipment is not functioning properly or the expendable materials are faulty, as in magnetic particle and liquid penetrant examination. Therefore, it is most important to provide comprehensive procedures and specifications as part of the original equipment design and performance requirements.

How one looks for faults largely depends on what you are looking for and how definitive you wish to be. NDE is a science that employs many methods of detection and measurement of the significant properties and potential performance capabilities of materials, parts, assemblies, equipment and structures using tests that *do not* impair serviceability.

## THE BIG FIVE OF NONDESTRUCTIVE EVALUATION

NDE uses a variety of probing techniques to aid in the discovery materials and products. These include liquid penetrant, magnetic particle, eddy current, radiography and ultrasonic inspection, frequently referred to as the "big five" of NDE.

### *Liquid Penetrant Inspection*

By far the most widely used NDE method, liquid penetrant inspection is limited to the detection of *surface defects* in essentially nonporous materials. This method involves flooding the surface with a light oil like penetrant solution, which is drawn into the surface discontinuity by capillary action. After removal of excess liquid from the surface, a thin coating of absorbent material is applied to draw the traces of penetrant in the defects to the surface for observation. Colored dyes or fluorescent materials are added to the penetrant to make the traces more visible. The technique can be used to locate cracks from welding, grinding, shrinkage, fatigue, seams, pores, cold shuts, lack of bonding, pin holes in welds, and many others provided they are open to the surface.

### *Magnetic Particle Inspection*

Magnetic particle inspection is based on the principle that ferromagnetic materials, when magnetized, will have distorted magnetic fields where there are material flaws. These anomalies can be mapped with the application of magnetic particles. The magnetic field perturbations detected by the magnetic particle can be caused by surface flaws or by subsurface discontinuities like nonmetallic inclusions or differences in density of the mate-

rial. Magnetic particle inspection includes three basic steps: establish a suitable magnetic field in the part, apply magnetic particles to the surface, and examine the surface for accumulation of particles.

#### *Eddy Current Testing*

When an electrically conductive material is subjected to an alternating magnetic field, small circulating electric currents are generated in the material. These eddy currents are affected by variations in conductivity, magnetic permeability, mass and homogeneity of the primary material. These characteristics are detected by measuring the eddy current response of the part. Eddy currents are induced in the part by means of a coil carrying an alternating current. The eddy current generate their own magnetic field which interacts with the magnetic field of the exciting coil. This interaction affects the impedance of the exciting coil. Impedance variation is used to detect flaws such as cracks, voids, inclusions, seams and laps. Eddy current systems all use a source of magnetic field capable of inducing eddy currents in the part, a means of sensing the field changes and a method of measuring and interpreting the resulting impedance changes.

#### *Ultrasonic Inspection*

Ultrasonic inspection involves sending a high frequency vibration through a component and observing what happens when this beam hits a discontinuity or a change in density. By listening to the altered signal, ultrasonic inspection can be used to detect flaws within the material, measure thickness from one side and characterize metallurgical structure. The basic principle of ultrasonic inspection uses the transformation of electrical energy into mechanical vibrations and the subsequent conversion of those vibrations back into electrical impulses for analysis. Generally, test instruments consist of a pulsed oscillator, sending and receiving transducers, a receiver which amplifies the signal, a clock for timing the response and a display oscilloscope for observing the wave forms.

#### *Radiography*

One of the best ways to look inside a part for hidden faults without dissecting it is with the aid of radiography. A radiograph essentially is a shadow pattern created when certain types of radiation (x-ray, gamma rays) penetrate an object and are absorbed depending on variations in thickness, density and chemical composition of the part. The results are most frequently recorded by registering the attenuated radiation on a photographic film, fluoroscope or closed-circuit television scanning.

### INDICATION-DISCONTINUITY-DEFECT

The word *indication* as defined in the ASM Handbook means "response or evidence of a response, that requires interpretation to determine its significance." In relation to NDE, the term *indication* refers to a response (particle buildup, penetrant bleed-out, ultrasonic signal, change in density on a radiograph or noise signal on an AE test) that requires interpretation to determine its significance.

The word "discontinuity" is a broad term referring to a foreign condition of a normal structure of a material or an interruption in the normal configuration of a part. A *defect* is a discontinuity which makes the part or material unacceptable for use or which may cause premature failure of the part when it is placed in service. All indications are not caused by discontinuities. The three general types of indications are classified as follows:

#### *False Indication*

These occur most frequently in magnetic particle or penetrant inspection due primarily to particle buildup held mechanically or by gravity. A false indication can usually be removed by

air pressure since there is no magnetic attraction. Improper procedure is generally the cause of false indications in penetrant inspection. Such causes as inadequate cleaning, surface roughness or precleaning will result in this problem.

#### *Nonrelevant Indication*

Nonrelevant indications are caused by normal or known conditions in the part or material. Examples are sharp fillets, abrupt changes in section thickness and thread roots. During magnetic particle inspection, high amperage can cause a nonrelevant indication known as *flow lines*. Variations in part and section thickness cause density differences in a radiograph causing difficulty in interpretation. Ultrasonic beams may reflect from an interface between two mating parts just as readily as it will from a discontinuity. Edge effect can result in a nonrelevant indication during eddy current inspection.

#### *True Indications*

A true discontinuity may be acceptable or rejectable depending upon the *specification requirements*. A defect is a discontinuity that does not meet the acceptance standards specified for the material or part tested. It is conceivable that an acceptable discontinuity could increase in size during the stressing of service. All discontinuities resulting from the original melting, casting and solidification of the ingot of primary metal or alloy are called inherent discontinuities. The most common are non-metallic inclusions, cracks, seams, lamination and pipe. All inherent discontinuities can be detected and their extremes defined by both magnetic particle and ultrasonic examinations.

Discontinuities associated with primary processing are found during the rough shaping and forming of metals during such processes as forging, casting, rolling, and drawing. The most common forging defect is the lap. It is generally the result of improper handling of the displaced metal. Magnetic particle or liquid penetrants are normally used for detection since it is open to the surface. Burst and flaking are the most common internal defects. Radiography or ultrasonic are widely used for their detection.

Casting defects occur during the process of solidification of the molten metal. The most frequently encountered discontinuities are porosity, blow holes, sand/slag inclusions, cold shuts, and shrinkage cracks. Due to the large grain size of most castings, ultrasonic penetration is not practical. Radiography is the most widely used technique for locating these subsurface discontinuities. Surface defects, such as cold shuts, can be detected by either magnetic particle or liquid penetrant examination.

Secondary processing discontinuities are associated with the final finishing operation of the material. Secondary processing includes both mechanical operations such as machining and any thermal treatments. The most frequently occurring discontinuity caused by heat treatment is cracking due to thermal shock of uneven heating and cooling. These are commonly known as "quench cracks." Since they are most always open to the surface, magnetic particle, penetrant or eddy current inspections can be used effectively. Grinding cracks caused by localized heating of the surface from too heavy a cut or too rapid a feed are easily detected by magnetic particle or penetrant examinations. Plating cracks from high internal stresses may be both subsurface and surface. Therefore, their detection may require both techniques.

Since welding and brazing are the most widely used techniques for joining fabricated equipment, they also receive the most scrutiny. Since the welding operation is similar to the casting process (solidified weld metal is actually a localized casting) the discontinuities present in a weldment will be similar to those found in castings, discussed earlier. Both surface magnetic particle, eddy current and liquid penetrant and subsurface radiography and ultrasonic are required to fully examine welds. Braz-

ing, on the other hand, involves a single discontinuity of unbound between the brazing the other hand, involves a single discontinuity of unbound between the brazing material and substitute. Ultrasonic is most effective for the detection of unbound.

**NDE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS**

Specifications are generally either performance or design. A performance specification stipulates the performance required from an item while a design specification may detail size, shape and strength requirements. For test results to be meaningful, the method of test or test conditions must be specified. These items which are sometimes referred to as standards are: specifications, test methods and reference standards.

The most frequently used wording in NDE specifications is "The part shall be free of detrimental defects." This non specific approach is convenient for specification writers but in no way defines the needed requirements. Unfortunately, an x-ray machine is unable to determine if a discontinuity is detrimental or not. Nondestructive evaluation specifications must include a description of an acceptable quality level. This level must be defined in terms of or related to a recognized measurable reference standard which can be understood by both persons performing and interpreting test results.

Nondestructive evaluations are not tests but measurements since they offer an indication of a discontinuity size or another measured property. In reality, all engineering materials contain discontinuities which vary in size from the atomic lattice up to those that may be visually apparent. The specification requiring nondestructive evaluation must define the limits of acceptable quality. Failing to do so is like asking an inspector to measure a part to a drawing that does not have dimensional tolerance.

When engineering problems defy theoretical solutions, empirical methods are used. Correlation of service performance, fatigue life, etc., to nondestructive test results are desirable. It is extravagant to establish a quality acceptance level beyond that which has existed for similar parts that past experience has shown to have performed satisfactorily. A specification must include not only a specific description of the acceptable quality level, but also specify the method of test and the reference standards. Frequently, the specification document will not contain the detailed method of test but instead will be included by reference standard to other procedures.

There are three major sources of nondestructive evaluation specifications: military, technical societies, and industry. An extensive listing of these specifications and standards was published by ASNT in 1969. Most of these are still valid except for minor updates. This listing has been reproduced in Figure 1. A summary of nondestructive testing as discussed herein is presented in Table 1.

**Commonly Used Specifications and Standards**

**For Nondestructive Testing**

Compiled by the ASNT Technical Council

NDT Method Codes: LT—Leak Testing	GS—General MT—Magnetic	ET—Eddy Current PT—Penetrant	HT—Hardness RT—Radiographic	TT—Thickness UT—Ultrasonic
NDT Method	Issued by	Number	Date	Title/Explanation
GS	AEC	F 3-2	Feb. 1969	Calibration System Requirements, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
GS	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Examination, Supplementary Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
GS	AEC	E 4-1	Feb. 1969	Requirements for Design, Fabrication, Testing and Inspection of Steam Generators for Pressurized Water Reactors, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
GS	AEC	F 3-7	Feb. 1969	Inspection Requirements for Materials in Wear Applications, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
GS	AEC	F 6-1	Feb. 1969	Welding Examination & Testing for Water Cooled Reactor Systems and Liquid Metal Systems, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
GS	ASNT	SNT-TC-1A	1966	NDT Personnel Qualification Recommended Practice
GS	ASTM		Annually	Index to ASTM Standards
GS	AWS			Fourth Edition, AWS Handbook, 8.24 to 8.36
GS	AWS	A2.2:58	1958	Nondestructive Testing Symbols
GS	DOD	MIL-STD-278C	10/26/67	Military Standard: Welds and Allied Processes for Machinery for Ships of the U.S. Navy
GS	MSS	SP-55	April 1961	Quality Standard for Steel Castings for Valves, Fittings and Other Piping Components
GS	USASI	USAS-831.3	1966	Petroleum Refinery Piping
GS	USN	NAVSHIPS 230-6347		Standard Terminology and Definitions for Weld Conditions and Defects

NDT Method Codes: LT—Leak Testing	GS—General MT—Magnetic	ET—Eddy Current PT—Penetrant	HT—Hardness RT—Radiographic	TT—Thickness UT—Ultrasonic
NDT Method	Issued by	Number	Date	Title/Explanation
GS	USN	NAVSHIPS 0900-001-9000	May 1965	Glossary of Management and Shipbuilding
GS	USN	NAVSHIPS 0900-014-6010		Naval Terminology Manual
GS	USN	NAVSHIPS 0900-999-9000		Arc Cut Surfaces
ET	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Examination, Supplementary Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III and USASI 831.7, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
ET	ASTM	E215-66T	1966	Recommended Practice for Standardizing Equipment for Electromagnetic Testing of Seamless Aluminum-Alloy Tube
ET	ASTM	E216-63T	1963	Tentative Recommended Practice for Measuring Coating Thickness by Magnetic or Electromagnetic Methods
ET	ASTM	E268-65T	1965	Tentative Definitions of Terms Relating to Electromagnetic Testing
ET	ASTM	B342-63	1963	Method of Test for Electrical Conductivity by Use of Eddy Currents
ET	USASI	B31.7	Feb. 1968 Errata June 1968	Code for Pressure Piping Nuclear Power Piping
ET	SAE G-3	Proposed Aerospace Recommended Practice	11/10/64	Determination of Aluminum Alloy Temperatures Through Electrical Conductivity Measurement
ET	U.S. Gov't, Secy. of Air Force	TO-00-25224	10/12/64	Welding, High Pressure and Cryogenic Systems (Section 4—Nondestructive Inspection by Ultrasonic and Eddy Current Methods)
HT	ASTM	E10-66	1966	Brinell, Metallic Materials
HT	ASTM	E18-67	1967	Rockwell, and Rockwell Superficial, Metallic Materials
HT	ASTM	E92-67	1967	Test for Diamond Pyramid Hardness of Metallic Materials
HT	ASTM	E102-61	1961	Rapid Indentation Hardness Testing of Metallic Materials
HT	ASTM	E140-61	1961	Portable Hardness Testers
HT	ASTM	140-67	1967	Conversion Tables for Metals (Relationship Between Brinell Hardness, Diamond Pyramid Hardness, Rockwell Superficial Hardness)
LT	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Evaluation Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III and USASI 831.7, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
LT	ASME	Sec. III	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Nuclear Vessels
MT	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Examination, Supplementary Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III and USASI 831.7, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
MT	ASME	Sec. VIII	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Unfired Pressure Vessels
MT	ASME	Sec. VIII, Div. 2	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Alternative Rules for Pressure Vessels
MT	ASME	Sec. III	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Nuclear Vessels
MT	ASTM	A275-61T	1961	Steel Forgings, Heavy, Magnetic Particle Testing and Inspection of
MT	ASTM	A340-65	1965	Definitions of Terms, Symbols and Conversion Factors Relating to Magnetic Testing
MT	ASTM	A456-64	1964	Method and Specification for Magnetic Particle Inspection of Large Crankshaft Forgings
MT	ASTM	E109-63	1963	Standard Method for Dry Powder Magnetic Particle Inspection
MT	ASTM	E125-63	1963	Standard Reference Photographs for Magnetic Particle Indications on Ferrous Castings
MT	ASTM	E138-63	1963	Standard Method for Wet Magnetic Particle Inspection
MT	ASTM	E269-65T	1965	Tentative Definitions of Terms Relating to Magnetic Particle Inspection
MT	DOD	MIL-M-11473	9-24-51	Magnetic Particle Inspection, Soundness Requirements for Weldments
MT	DOD	MIL-M-88678	9-13-61	Military Standard: Magnetic Inspection Units
MT	DOD	MIL-STD-410A	8-13-62	Military Standard: Qualification of Inspection Personnel (Magnetic Particle and Penetrant)
MT	DOD	MIL-STD-271D	3-11-65	Military Standard: Nondestructive Testing Requirements for Metals
MT	DOD	MIL-1-6868C & Amend. #1	2-17-67	Military Standard: Inspection Process, Magnetic Particle
MT	Naval Sup. Depot, Phila., Pa.	MIL-14870B	2-25-65	Military Standard: Inspection Requirements, Nondestructive, for Aircraft Materials and Parts
MT	Navy Dept.	NAVSHIPS 250-637-3	1-2-62	Fabrication, Welding and Inspection of HY-80 Submarine Hulls
MT	Navy Dept.	NAVSHIPS 0900-003-8000	Sept. 1967	Surface Inspection Acceptance Standards for Metals
MT	SAE	AMS-2200A	7-15-61	Magnetic Particle Inspection, Premium Aircraft Quality Steel Cleanliness
MT	SAE	AMS-2301D	4-15-67	Magnetic Particle Inspection, Aircraft Quality Steel Cleanliness
MT	SAE	AMS 2640G	1-31-64	Magnetic Particle Inspection
MT	USASI	831.7	Feb. 1968 Errata June 1968	Code for Pressure Piping, Nuclear Power Piping
MT	U.S. Air Force	T.O.3382-1.1	4-1-63	Inspection of Material, Magnetic Particle Method
MT	U.S. Coast Guard	CG-115	3-1-66	Marine Engineering Regulations and Material Specifications Subchapter F
MT	U.S. Gov't	MIL-M-11472(2)	11-24-52	Magnetic Particle Inspection, Process, for Ferro-Magnetic Materials
MT	U.S. Gov't	MIL-M-23257	12-17-62	Magnetic Particle Inspection Unit, Lightweight
MT	U.S. Gov't	MS-17980A	5-14-63	Magnetic Particle Indications on Steel Nuts
MT	U.S. Navy	NAVAER 00-15PC-503	11-29-57	Technical Inspection Manual, Vol. 3, Sec. 4, Magnetic Particle Inspection
MT	U.S. Navy Bu-Ships	MIL-STD-288	8-16-65	Inspection Procedure for Determining the Magnetic Permeability of Wrought Austenitic Steels
MT	U.S. Navy	MIL-S-23284	8-16-65	NDT of Basic Electric Furnace Vacuum Degassed Forgings for Shifting Used on Naval Ships
MT	U.S. Navy	NAVSHIPS 0900-006-9010	June 1966	Fabrication, Welding and Inspection of HY-80 Submarine Hulls
MT	U.S. Navy	NAVSHIPS 0900-000-1000	3-1-67	Fabrication, Welding and Inspection of Ship Hulls
MT	U.S. Navy Bu-Ships	NAVSHIPS 250-1500-1 Rev. 4	Nov. 1967	Welding Standard: PWR & Assoc. Systems
PT	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Evaluation, Supplementary Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III and USASI 831.7, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
PT	ASME	Sec. III	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Nuclear Vessels
PT	ASME	Sec. VIII	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Unfired Pressure Vessels
PT	ASME	Sec. VIII, Div. 2	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Alternative Rules for Pressure Vessels
PT	ASME	Sec. IX	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Welding Qualifications)
PT	ASTM	A462-64	1964	Method for Liquid Penetrant Inspection of Steel Forgings
PT	ASTM	E165-65	1965	Standard Methods for Liquid Penetrant Inspection
PT	ASTM	E270-65T	1965	Tentative Definitions of Terms Relating to Liquid Penetrant Inspection
PT	Bu. of Ships	NAVSHIPS 0991-003-3000		Repairs to Bronze Propellers
PT	DOD	MIL-STD-410A	8-13-62	Military Standard: Qualification of Inspection Personnel (Magnetic Particle and Penetrant)
PT	DOD	MIL-T-23226	4-3-63	Military Pipe and Tube Specification for CRES Produced by Electric Furnace
PT	DOD	MIL-1-6868B & Amend. #1	8-10-64	Military Standard: Inspection, Penetrant Method of
PT	DOD	MIL-STD-271D	3-11-65	Military Standard: Nondestructive Testing Requirements for Metals
PT	DOD	MIL-F-38762	4-26-66	Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection Units
PT	NAVYAL Sup. Depot Phila., Pa.	MIL-14870B	2-25-65	Military Standard: Inspection Requirements, Nondestructive, for Aircraft Materials and Parts
PT	Navy Dept.	NAVSHIPS 250-637-3	1-2-62	Fabrication, Welding and Inspection of HY-80 Submarine Hulls
PT	Navy Dept.	NAVSHIPS 0900-003-8000	Sept. 1967	Surface Inspection Acceptance Standards for Metals
PT	SAE	AMS 2645F	1962	Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection
PT	SAE	AMS 2646A	1-31-64	Contrast Dye Penetrant Inspection
PT	SAE	AMS 3155A	6-30-64	Oil, Fluorescent Penetrant, Water Soluble
PT	SAE	AMS 3156A	5-30-64	Oil, Fluorescent Penetrant, Water Soluble
PT	SAE	AMS-3157	1-31-64	Oil, Fluorescent Penetrant, High Fluorescence, Solvent Soluble Solution, Fluorescent Penetrant, Water Base
PT	SAE	AMS-3158	6-30-64	Code for Pressure Piping, Nuclear Power Piping
PT	USASI	831.7	Feb. 1968 Errata June 1968	Code for Pressure Piping, Nuclear Power Piping
PT	U.S. Air Force	T.O.42-1.10	11-1-66	Inspection of Material Fluorescent and Dye Penetrant Methods
PT	U.S. Air Force	MIL-L-21325C & Amend. #3	6-1-64	Inspection Materials, Penetrant (AS CI)
PT	U.S. Navy	NAVSHIPS 0900-000-1000	3-1-67	Fabrication, Welding & Inspection of Ship Hulls
PT	U.S. Navy Bu-Ships	NAVSHIPS 250-1500-1 Rev. 4	Nov. 1967	Welding Standard: PWR & Assoc. Systems
PT	U.S. Navy	NAVSHIPS 0900-006-9010	June 1966	Fabrication, Welding & Inspection of HY-80 Submarine Hulls
RT	ABS		1967	American Bureau of Shipping
RT	AEC	Title 10, Chapter 1	5-12-59	Atomic Energy Title 10, Atomic Energy Chapter 1
RT	AEC	F 3-6	Feb. 1969	Nondestructive Evaluation, Criteria for Use of ASME Sec. III and USASI 831.7, Div., Reactor Dev. & Tech.
RT	Am. Petroleum Inst. Div. Trans.	APISTD 1104	March 1965	API Specification for Field Welding of Pipelines
RT	ASME	Sec. I	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Power Boilers
RT	ASME	Sec. III	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Nuclear Vessels
RT	ASME	Sec. VIII	1968	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Unfired Pressure Vessels

Table with 5 columns: NDT Method Codes, GS-General, ET-Eddy Current, HT-Hardness, TT-Thickness. Contains detailed entries for ASME, ASTM, AWS, etc., with columns for Method, Issued by, Number, Date, and Title/Explanation.

Table with 5 columns: NDT Method Codes, GS-General, ET-Eddy Current, HT-Hardness, TT-Thickness. Contains detailed entries for ASTM, AEC, AISI, etc., with columns for Method, Issued by, Number, Date, and Title/Explanation.

Explanations of Abbreviations under "Issued by":

- List of abbreviations and their corresponding organizations or departments, such as ABS-American Bureau of Shipping, AEC-US Atomic Energy Commission, etc.

Where to Obtain Specifications and Standards.

- List of organizations and departments where specifications and standards can be obtained, including USASI, ASM, etc., with addresses.

Figure 1. ASNT Specifications and Standards. Reprinted with permission from Materials Evaluation, Volume 28, July 1969. Copyright 1969, The American Society for Nondestructive Testing, Inc., Columbus, Ohio.

Table 1. Summary of Nondestructive Testing Methods.

Method	Measure or Defects	Applications	Advantages	Limitations
Acoustic emission	Crack initiation and growth rate; Internal cracking in welds during cooling; Boiling or cavitation; Friction or wear; Plastic deformation; Phase transformations	Pressure vessels; Stressed structures; Turbine or gear boxes; Fracture-mechanics research; Weldments; Sonic signature analysis	Remote and continuous surveillance; Permanent record; Dynamic (rather than static) detection of cracks; Portable; Triangulation techniques to locate flaws	Transducer must be placed on part surface; Highly ductile materials yield low amplitude emissions; Parts must be stressed or operating; Test system noise must be filtered out
Eddy current (200 Hz to 6 MHz)	Surface and sub-surface cracks and seams; Alloy content; Heat treatment variations; Wall thickness, coating thickness; Crack depth; Conductivity; Permeability	Tubing; Wire; Ball bearings; "Spot checks" on all types of surfaces; Proximity gage; Metal detector; Metal sorting; Measure conductivity in % IACS	No special operator skills required; High speed, low cost; Automation possible for symmetrical parts; Permanent record capability for symmetrical parts; No couplant or probe contact required	Conductive materials; Shallow depth of penetration (thin walls only); Masked or false indications caused by sensitivity to variations, such as part geometry, lift-off; Reference standards required; Permeability variations
Electric Current	Cracks; Crack depth; Resistivity; Wall thickness; Corrosion-induced wall-thinning	Metallic materials; Electrically conductive materials; Train rails; Nuclear fuel elements; Bars, plates, other shapes	Access to only one surface required; Battery or dc source; Portable	Edge effect; Surface contamination; Good surface contact required; Difficult to automate; Electrode spacing; Reference standards required
Filtered particle	Cracks; Porosity; Differential absorption	Porous materials such as clay, carbon, powdered metals, concrete; Grinding wheels; High-tension insulators; Sanitary ware	Colored or fluorescent particles; Leaves no residue after baking part over 400°F; Quickly and easily applied; Portable	Size and shape of particles must be selected before use; Penetrating power of suspension medium is critical; Particle concentration must be controlled; Skin irritation
Holography (Interferometry)	Strain; Plastic deformation; Cracks; Debonded areas; Voids and inclusions; Vibration	Bonded and composite structures; Automotive or aircraft tires; Three-dimensional imaging	Surface of test object can be uneven; No special surface preparations or coatings required; No physical contact with test specimen	Vibration-free environment is required; Heavy base to dampen vibrations; Difficult to identify type of flaw detected
Infrared (Radiometers)	Lack of bond; Hot spots; Heat transfer; Isotherms; Temperature ranges	Brazed joints; Adhesive-bonded joints; Metallic platings or coatings; debonded areas of thickness; Electrical assemblies; Temperature monitoring	Sensitive to 1.5 temperature variation; Permanent record of thermal picture; Quantitative; Remote sensing; need not contact part; Portable	Emissivity; Liquid nitrogen cooled detector; Critical time-temperature relationship; Poor resolution for thick specimens; Reference standards required
Leak Testing	Helium; Ammonia; Smoke; Water; Air bubbles; Radioactive gas; Halogens	Joints: Welded, Brazed, Adhesive-bonded; Sealed assemblies; Pressure or vacuum chambers; Fuel or gas tanks	High sensitivity to extremely small, tight separations not detectable by other NDT methods; Sensitivity related to method selected	Accessibility to both surfaces of part required; Smears metal or contaminants may prevent detection; Cost related to sensitivity
Magnetic particles	Surface and slightly subsurface defects; cracks, seams, porosity, inclusions; Permeability variations; Extremely sensitive for locating small, tight cracks	Ferromagnetic materials: bar, forgings, weldments, extrusions, etc.	Advantage over penetrant in that it indicates subsurface defects, particularly inclusions; Relatively fast and low cost; May be portable	Alignment of magnetic field is critical; Demagnetization of parts required after tests; Parts must be cleaned before and after inspection; Masking by surface coatings
Magnetic field	Cracks; Wall thickness; Hardness; Coercive force; Magnetic anisotropy; Magnetic field. Nonmagnetic coating thickness on steel	Ferromagnetic materials; Ship degaussing; Liquid level control; Treasure hunting; Wall thickness of nonmetallic materials; Material sorting	Measurement of magnetic material properties; May be automated; Easily detects magnetic objects in nonmagnetic material; Portable	Permeability; Reference standards required; Edge-effect; Probe lift-off
Penetrants	Defects open to surface of parts: cracks, porosity, seams, laps, etc.; Through-wall leaks	All parts with non-absorbing surfaces (forgings, weldments, castings, etc.) Note: Bleed-out from porous surfaces can mask indications of defects	Low cost; Portable; Indications may be further examined visually; Results easily interpreted	Surface films, such as coatings, scale, and smeared metal may prevent detection of defects; Parts must be cleaned before and after inspection; Defect must be open to surface
Radiography (Gamma rays); Cobalt-60; Iridium-192	Internal defects and variations: porosity, inclusions, cracks, lack of fusion, geometry variations, corrosion thinning; Density variations; Thickness, gap and position	Usually where X-ray machines are not suitable because source cannot be placed in part with small openings and/or power source not available; Panoramic imaging	Low initial cost; Permanent records; film; Small sources can be placed in parts with small openings; Portable; Low contrast	One energy level per source; Source decay; Radiation hazard; Trained operators needed; Lower image resolution; Cost related to source size
Radiography (X-rays—film)	Internal defects and variations: porosity; inclusions; cracks; lack of fusion; geometry variations; corrosion thinning; Density variations; Thickness, gap and position; Misassembly; Misalignment	Castings; Electrical assemblies; Weldments; Small, thin, complex wrought products; Nonmetallics; Solid propellant; rocket motors; Composites	Permanent records; film; Adjustable energy levels (5 kv-25 mev); High sensitivity to density changes; No couplant required; Geometry variations do not effect direction of X-ray beam	High initial costs; Orientation of linear defects in part may not be favorable; Radiation hazard; Depth of defect not indicated; Sensitivity decreases with increase in scattered radiation
Radiometry (X-ray, gamma-ray, beta-ray); (Transmission or backscatter)	Wall thickness; Plating thickness; Variations in density or composition; Fill level in cans or containers; Inclusions or voids	Sheet, plate, foil, strip, tubing; Nuclear reactor fuel rods; Cans or containers; Plated parts; Composites	Fully automatic; Fast; Extremely accurate; In-line process control; Portable	Radiation hazard; Beta ray useful for ultrathin coatings only; Source decay; Reference standards required
Sonic (Less than 0.1 MHz)	Debonded areas or delaminations in metal or nonmetal composites or laminates; Cohesive bond strength under controlled conditions; Crushed or fractured core; Bond integrity of metal insert fasteners	Metal or nonmetal composite or laminates brazed or adhesive-bonded; Plywood; Rocket motor nozzles; Honeycomb	Portable; Easy to operate; Locates far-side debonded areas; May be automated; Access to only one surface required	Surface geometry influences test results; Reference standards required; Adhesive or core thickness variations; influence results
Thermal (Thermochromic paint, liquid crystals)	Lack of bond; Hot spots; Heat transfer; Isotherms; Temperature ranges; Blockage in coolant passages	Brazed joints; Adhesive-bonded joints; Metallic platings or coatings; Electrical assemblies; Temp. monitoring	Very low initial cost; Can be readily applied to surfaces which may be difficult to inspect by other methods; No special operator skills	Thin-walled surfaces only; Critical time-temperature relationship; Image retentivity affected by humidity; Reference standards required
Thermoelectric probe	Thermoelectric potential; Coating thickness; Physical properties; Thompson effect; P-N junctions in semiconductors	Metal sorting; Ceramic coating thickness on metals; Semiconductors	Portable; Simple to operate; Access to only one surface required	Hot probe; Difficult to automate; Reference standards required; Surface contaminants; Conductive coatings
Ultrasonic (0.1-25 MHz)	Internal defects and variations: cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, inclusions, delaminations, lack of bond, texturing	Wrought metals; Welds; Brazed joints; Adhesive-bonded joints; Nonmetallics	Most sensitive to cracks; Test results known immediately; Automating and permanent record capability	Couplant required; Small, thin, complex parts may be difficult to check; Reference standards required
Ultrasonic (0.1-25 MHz) continued	Thickness or velocity; Poisson's ratio, elastic modulus	In-service parts	Portable; High penetration	Trained operators for manual inspection; Special probes

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Betz, C. E., "Principles of Penetrants," Magnaflux Corporation (1969).
- Betz, C. E., "Principles of Magnetic Particle Testing," Magnaflux Corporation.
- Krautkramer, J., and Krautkramer, H., "Ultrasonic Testing of Materials," ASNT (1983).
- McMaster, R. C., *Non-Destructive Testing Handbook*, ASNT, R. Pressco, Ed., New York (1959).
- Mester, Mike, *Electromagnetic Testing*, NDT Handbook, ASNT. *Non-destructive Inspection and Quality Control*, ASM Handbook, *II*, 8th Edition.
- Properties and Selection of Metals*, ASM Handbook, *I* 8th Edition.
- "Radiography in Modern Industry," Eastman Kodak Company (1980).