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This paper serves as an introduction to API 610 12th Edition, which should be published in 2016. It covers highlights of the final changes to the current ISO 13709/API 610 11th Edition and provides insights into the various topics discussed by the API 610 sub-committee.

ABSTRACT

API 610 Eleventh Edition, Centrifugal Pumps for Petroleum, Petrochemical and Natural Gas Industries, has been updated to the Twelfth Edition. The Eleventh Edition was identical to the ISO 13709 Second Edition; however, API and ISO have decided to no longer “co-brand” standards and ISO 13709 Second Edition is not being updated.

This tutorial describes the process of updating the document and cites the participating companies contributing to this work. The majority of this paper is focused on addressing the “significant” as well as “other” changes that are of particular interest to the reader in understanding revisions from the previous ISO/API editions. Included are the influences and reasons behind each change. Insight into subject matter for
One area of particular interest in the Eleventh Edition was the data sheet program which had been improved and was expected to support Electronic Data Exchange (EDE) for engineering contractors, end users and pump manufacturers. These expectations to save significant effort in accurately specifying equipment requirements have not been met. And so in the Twelfth Edition, a task force sub-group is trying to further enhance its use.

INTRODUCTION

The American Petroleum Institute (API) publication “Centrifugal Pumps for Petroleum, Petrochemical and Natural Gas Industries, 11th Edition, September 2010 is being updated to the 12th Edition. The 12th Edition first draft was issued for comment and then reviewed by the API Subcommittee on Mechanical Equipment (SOME) at the 2014 Fall Refining Meeting in November. The second draft was balloted in the first quarter of 2016 and published later in 2016.

A normal, required part of the update process is to compare the previous edition with the current edition of the “standard paragraphs”, i.e., an API document which applies to all API standards. This was accomplished by dividing the document into sections and tasking sub-team members to read two sections. No two sections were read by the same two team members. In this edition, the sub-team was also tasked with looking for sections that were illogically organized. These would be reorganized for improved reading in the Twelfth Edition. Several sections such as bearings and bearing housings have been substantially reorganized, so they may appear unfamiliar to users of the Eleventh Edition, even though there is very little change technically.

As one can see from the chronology in Table 1, publication of a standard takes considerable time. If the 610 schedule is met, the standard should be published very close to the target five-year interval between new editions. Historically, the revision process has often been very slow. It is believed that API 610 has never been reaffirmed to schedule. (Within the API process, reaffirmation grants a two-year delay before the next publication is due.) Yet, the interval between 610 editions is still average. Table 1 provides some interesting historical data on the various API 610 Editions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edition</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title (*)</th>
<th># Pages</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Price per Page</th>
<th>Years Between Editions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jan-55</td>
<td>Sup.1 Mech. Seals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jan-55</td>
<td>Sup. 2 Vertical Pumps</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jan-57</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$0.07</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jan-60</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$0.06</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jul-65</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>$1.50</td>
<td>$0.06</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mar-71</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Jan-81</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$0.32</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Feb-89</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$0.54</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dec-02</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>$0.91</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Oct-04</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>$0.95</td>
<td>9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sep-10</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>$249</td>
<td>$1.35</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Titles Legend:
1 = API Specifications for Pumps for General Refinery Services
2 = Centrifugal Pumps for General Refinery Services
3 = Centrifugal Pumps for Petroleum, Heavy Duty Chemical and Gas Industry Services
4 = Centrifugal Pumps for Petroleum, Petrochemical and Natural Gas Services
** based upon publication data of the 8th Edition

Table 1: API 610 documents historical data

TASK FORCE FORMATION AND OBJECTIVES

Numerous companies have provided experts in their fields to produce this updated document. Engineering contractors, end users and pump manufacturers alike comprised an international team to explore, discuss and debate a variety of topics. The following companies and a number of private consultants contributed to this work: Bechtel, Fluor, KBR, Shell, Petrobras, Aramco, Dow, Union, CPC, Flowserve, Floway, ITT-Goulds, Ruhrpumpen, Sulzer, Sunstrand, Weir, DuPont, Hydro, Nuovo Pignone, ABS Pumps, European Sealing and Intelliquip.

This API 610 task force is led by its chairman, Roger Jones; vice-chair Jeremy Cooper of Bechtel; and secretary, Paul Behnke of ITT Goulds.
THE UPDATE PROCESS

API standards are on a five-year review cycle. Thus, approximately three years after a standard has been published, a task force is reformed to review the current standard and determine:

- If it requires updating to conform with current technology and market practices; or
- Whether it can be reaffirmed

Presuming the decision is to revise the standard, the task force proceeds to: determine how best to accomplish the updating work; make committee assignments; and recommend proposed changes. All changes must meet with task force approval before they are included in the first draft of the revised standard.

During the review process, the task force must consider all standard paragraphs that are pertinent to the standard and either:

1. Change the standard to agree with the standard paragraph; or
2. Modify the standard paragraph to better suit the standard being reviewed; or
3. Justify that the standard paragraph does not apply to the equipment for which the standard being reviewed applies, thus and remove it

When the task force is satisfied that the revised standard is ready, the draft standard is submitted to API for distribution to member companies for comment. In the case of the 12th Edition, more than 500 comments were received. Comments may be technical or editorial. Once the comments are received, the chair, vice chair and secretary prepare proposed “resolutions” to each comment. At this point, the proposed resolutions are presented to the task force. They then discuss the resolutions and decide on the wording that will become the “presentation draft”.

The “presentation draft” is submitted to the Subcommittee on Mechanical Equipment (SOME). In the case of the 12th Edition draft, the submittal occurred at the Fall Refining Meeting. All comments to the standard were presented, explained and/or justified. At this time, the sub-committee may request changes be made to the draft or that the task force revisit certain subjects and present them again. For the 12th Edition, a number of changes were requested and most changes were addressed at the end of December 2014 with final resolution by December 2015. The document was then sent to API for editing, in first quarter 2016.

Once the API editing has been completed, the task force sub-team reviewed the entire standard until they are satisfied. The revised draft 12th Edition standard was then submitted to API headquarters for balloting by all voting members of the American Petroleum Institute. All negative ballots must be resolved before the revised standard can be published. The balloting process usually takes about one to six months, while the entire process usually takes between two and four years, depending on the magnitude of the changes.

SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONS AND CHANGES

The API 610 sub-committee started the process of reviewing about 30 items based on inputs from the SOME, industry leaders, updates from referenced specifications (such as Hydraulic Institute) and subcommittee members. The first draft resulted in the submissions of about 300 technical comments along with 200 required minor edits. The key additional or modified items recommended for the Twelfth Edition inclusion are as follows:

1. Addition of shaft guards for all pumps
2. New Informative Annex addressing “Special Purpose Centrifugal Pumps” which includes high-energy pumps
3. Inclusion of recommended practice API RP 691 “Risk Based Machinery Management”
4. Material Columns reduction and improvements to material designations, including non-metallics
5. Updated Annexes for Material class selection guidelines and Material columns
6. Energy density limits for pipeline pumps
7. Performance test points modification
8. Clarification of several definitions and images
9. Re-arrangement of certain sections
10. Addition of “data list”; data sheet update
11. Pressure ratings for OH, BB1 and BB2 pumps
12. VFD considerations
13. Vertical pumps: TIR on vertical motor mounting flange; can requirements; dynamics
14. Updated all paragraph numbers, tables

SHAFT GUARDS

The current API 610 11th Edition addresses only coupling guards. Inputs from multiple refineries indicated that safety organizations were pointing out that the area between the pump casing cover and the bearing housing has an exposed shaft area that should be covered. More specifically, this is the shaft area where the mechanical seal gland is located. Furthermore, the drive collar adjacent to the cartridge seal has set screws, which could be a concern if someone placed their hand in that area during pump operation. The sub-committee decided to mandate a shaft guard. A simple decision became complicated, however, as we started to define the guard requirements. Basically, the same requirements which apply to coupling guards pertain to
shaft guards, although with some differences. Unlike the coupling guard, woven wire is an acceptable approach, since this guard does not have the need to be sufficiently stiff (rigid) to withstand a 200 lb-f (900 N) static point load. The shaft guard, however, does require to be sufficiently vented to prevent accumulation of seal emissions, liquid or vapor. An opening of 0.50 inch (1.27 cm) in diameter has been specified to allow for a portable VOC emission probe 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) in diameter to measure emissions within 0.39 inch (1 cm) of the shaft-seal interface area. Further information was provided for pipeline pumps.

**HIGH-ENERGY “SPECIAL PURPOSE” PUMPS**

In the 11th Edition, high energy was defined as pumps with heads per stage greater than 650 ft (200 m) and power per stage greater than 300 hp (225 kW). Only a stipulation for percentage of radial clearances between the diffuser vane or casing water and the impeller blade in relation to their radii was addressed in the 11th Edition.

The sub-committee realized two things: first, “high energy” meant different things to different people, as evidenced by customers who have already written into their specifications what they consider high energy; and second, irrespective of “the definition” of high energy, the prescription of what exactly should be addressed for any high-energy pump was the more important issue. The decision was made to:

- Re-label these pumps as “Special Purpose”
- Add a “new” annex specifically dedicated to these pumps
- Annex to be “informative” instead of “normative”

The annex contains sections for definition; selection criteria for pressure boundary and rotor; design considerations for pressure boundary components, impellers, diffusers or volutes, shaft seals, bearings and bearing housings; materials; manufacturing; and testing guidelines.

Examples of special purpose pumps are: single-stage 5490 rpm high-speed hydrogen and oxygen F-1 turbopumps used for the Saturn V booster rocket engines; 7500 psi (500 bar) high-pressure, 6000 rpm high-speed, 1600 ft (500 m) per stage water injection pumps; high-pressure ethylene pipeline pumps; high-pressure boiler feed water pumps; and even possibly un-spared 3 to 4 MW refinery charge pumps. It is recognized that special purpose pumps constitute only about 1% of the entire pump population; however, they represent some of the greatest challenges for pump designers and thus the need for special design considerations. Figure 2 represents one approach in defining pump energy level in terms of stage pressure rise.

**INCLUSION OF API RP 691 “Risk Based Machinery Management”**

API 610 12th Edition now makes reference to API RP 691 by means of bulleted paragraphs whereby the purchaser needs to advise the vendor when this Recommended Practice document is invoked. API RP 691 addresses “High Risk Machinery” (whether compressors, pumps, engines, motors, gears, etc.), suggested criteria as a function of “fluid services limits” and “technical readiness levels (TRL)” (ranging from conceptual, prototype equipment to well established, field-proven machinery). When API RP 691 is invoked, the vendor is to advise whether equipment is “not” of the field qualified TRL level.
MATERIALS

Changes to the 11th Edition Annexes for Materials Class Selection Guidance and Materials & Material Specifications for Pump Parts are proposed. The key changes are:

- Delete the cast iron material columns I-1 and I-2, since API pump manufacturers no longer pour cast iron casings
- Re-defining boiling water and process water in terms of temperature limits while replacing I-1 and I-2 with C-6 materials
- For S-6 materials, use 12% chrome shafts
- Delete columns S-1 and S-3, as there is little usage of cast iron and ni-resist internals
- Remove pressure differential per wear part for non-metallic wear parts
- Remove CA15 for impellers; use CA6NM (as was already required for pump casings in 11th Edition) for improved castability, weldability and more resistance to cracking

Under auxiliary connections, for C-6 materials, 316L piping and fittings are to be used up to 500°F (260°C), and Inconel 625 material for higher temperatures.

BEARING SELECTION CRITERIA

Currently in the 11th Edition, hydrodynamic radial and thrust bearings are mandated when the energy density (i.e., pump rated power times the rated speed) is $5.4\times10^6$ hp/min (4.0x10^6 kW/min) or greater. For the 12th Edition, this requirement has been slightly changed from applying to “all” to “most” services. The document specifically explains that for less demanding services, such as pipeline, sleeve/sleeve-ball bearing arrangement instead of sleeve/sleeve tilting pad bearings may be used based upon the pump manufacturer’s successful field experience when exceeding the above energy density limits. Reported experiences for higher energy density levels have been in the area of $14.3x10^6$ hp/min (10.7x10^6 kW/min). Pipeline services are characteristic of pumping products with lower product temperatures compared to medium to hot temperature liquids found in refinery services.

BEARING OIL AND HOUSING TEMPERATURES

For non-pressurized bearing systems, such as ring-oiled or splash systems, oil and housing temperature limits have been properly stated as a function of temperature rise, since ambient temperature is an essential part of the criteria.

PERFORMANCE TEST POINTS

Slight changes from the 11th Edition are proposed. Additional test points (highlighted in blue in Table 2) are now required to help better verify pump performance in the region between rated flow and minimum continuous stable flow (MCSF). The new stipulation is no two points in the allowable operating range be apart by 35% or more in flow. This is particularly important on medium and higher energy pumps where it is recommended to obtain a vibration signature at the low flow end without damaging the pump. The 11th Edition currently requires taking a performance reading at shut-off; however, no vibration data is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11th Edition</th>
<th>12th Edition</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shut-off (no vibration)</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCSF (beginning of allowable range)</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>Approx. halfway between MCSF and MPOP</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>MPOP (min. preferred operating range point)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>Approx. halfway between MPOP and rated flow</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% to 99% of rated flow</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated flow to 105% rated</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. BEP (if rated flow is not within 5% BEP)</td>
<td>End of preferred operating region</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of allowable operating range</td>
<td>End of allowable operating range (if different than end of preferred region)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Performance test points comparison between 11th Edition and proposed 12th Edition; For very low-flow pumps with best efficiency point (BEP) less than 50 gpm (11.4 m^3/h), points 3 and 5 are not required.
Figure 3: Pictorial representation of Table 2 for the 12th Edition whereby points 3, 4, 5 are the three new test points, while the location of point 8 has changed. (Note: preferred range is defined as 70% to 120% of BEP; allowable is MCSF to either 120% BEP or end of curve [while not exceeding API 610 vibration levels].)

**BASEPLATES**

Wording improvements to the 11th Edition were made to more accurately describe the baseplate types. *Drain rim and drain pan* are removed and replaced with:

- Flat deck type with a sloped gutter drain (Figure 4)
- Sloped deck plate mounted between the side rails and extending beneath the pump and driver (Figure 5)
- Sloped deck plate mounted between the side rails and extending only under the pump and coupling (Figure 6)

Also, the following new baseplate types were added:

- Open deck of the above three basic designs with no deck/top plate (Figure 7)
- Non-grouted baseplate of the above designs with attention to the pedestal supports and tying into the side rails
- Non-grouted baseplate with: a gimbal mount; three-point mount; anti-vibration mount (AVM) spring mount; or other for minimizing deflections for nozzle loads or driver torque

Details on jackscrew requirements were added. They shall be a minimum of M12 (½–13 UNC), whether removable or permanently mounted.

A new requirement for preventing blocking of the area adjacent to the pump bearing housing, mechanical seal and coupling has been included. This is particularly important for OH2 process pumps with auxiliaries for Plan 52, 53 and gas panels, along with seal flush plans with coolers ([Plan 21, 23]: see Figure 8).

To facilitate this, non-standard dimensioned baseplates shall be used instead of the standard 0.5 to 12 sizes found in Annex D, and the auxiliaries can then be positioned on the baseplate in front of the pump suction nozzle area. This approach gives access to both sides of the pump back end with room to check the mechanical seal, bearing housing and coupling while the pump is operating, or to easily remove the back pull-out element for servicing. A similar approach is to be taken for between bearings pumps (see Figure 9). This resulted in Annex D being changed from “normative” to “informative”.
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Figure 8: Small and large OH2 pumps with auxiliaries mounted in front of the suction nozzle area

Figure 9: Between bearings pumps with auxiliaries mounted preferably on one side, for easy access

Figure 10: “Near-centerline” mounted BB1

Figure 11: “foot” mounted BB1

PUMP CLASSIFICATIONS AND DESIGNATIONS

Similarly, a better description of single-stage axial split between bearings BB1 pump orientations — “foot or near-centerline mounted” — was added to BB3 and BB4 pumps. “Centerline supported” was added to BB2 pumps. A further clarification was made so that figures shown generically represent the various pump types and do not reflect actual construction details or certain pump features. This wording was added to help both contractors and end users apply variations of the images without concern for being in compliance with the API 610 document. Figure 12 depicts two additional nozzle orientations for BB1 pumps. Figure 13 shows a typical “top/top” nozzle orientation for OH2 single-stage overhung process pumps. This combination was very common years ago, as it provided a “cleaner” field piping arrangement without typical end suction pump piping obstruction at the ground level, and for “modular” design systems where space is a premium.

Figure 12: Optional nozzle orientations for BB1 pumps

Figure 13: Top/Top nozzles OH2

BASIC DESIGN

Two main changes were made. The first was the deletion of the 20-year minimum service life, making it consistent with changes made to all other API standards, and required by the standards paragraphs API group. This eliminates any inferred warranty issues.

The second change involves the 11th Edition’s originating requirement for operating a pump for at least a three-year uninterrupted operation without shutting down the equipment.
for vendor-specified maintenance or inspection. This is easily achievable for pumps with either oil mist or force feed lubrication systems, however for standard OH and BB pumps with standard lubrication methods, general yearly oil changes make compliance difficult as the pump should be shut down to change oil. For these reasons, the 12th Edition has been updated to state that it is the purchaser who must define the period of uninterrupted continuous operation, and exception applies to routine oil changes for ring-oiled or splash lubrication systems.

NPSH DATUM POINT

The NPSH3 (NPSH required) datum elevation point for vertically suspended pumps has changed from “the top of foundation” to “the impeller suction eye”. For vertical inline pumps, a slight change has been made from “the centerline of pump suction nozzle” to “the impeller suction eye”. The reasoning for these changes was to establish consistency with horizontal pumps whose NPSH3 reference point is the shaft centerline, which translates essentially to the impeller suction eye. Similarly, this same datum elevation criteria now applies to NPSHA (NPSH available) which is given by the customer. It should be understood that often the NPSHA originally given by a customer for making a pump hydraulic selection is estimated. Once the installation design is finalized, NPSHA more accurately is determined with updates to the final data sheets.

PARALLEL PUMP OPERATION

Special attention always needs to be given when operating pumps in parallel. Without the proper hydraulic curve shape, one pump will push the second back to shut-off. In addition to existing requirements for a continuous rise to shut-off curve profile and a 10% rise from rated flow to shut-off, the 12th Edition added a third mandate: pumps with discharge nozzles larger than 3 inches (80 mm), within the “preferred” operating flow region, shall have head values within 3% of each other. (This criteria does not apply when each pump has its own VFD.)

PIPE GUSSETS

The 11th Edition introduced the subject of gussetting all pipes attached to the casing. Details were given to define how this is to be done, and since it was a bulleted paragraph, was required only when a customer imposed the requirement. With 12th Edition, however, gussetting is now mandatory for piping sizes NPS 1 and smaller, and is no longer a bulleted requirement.

THERMAL TRANSIENTS

Any time there is the possibility of a transient condition when a pump can be exposed to a high temperature within a short period of time, both the customer and pump manufacturer need to carefully address any special concerns and determine the proper pump design to handle thermal transients. To address this concern, API 12th Edition has introduced two additional guidelines to the three existing ones regarding conditions requiring the use of a radially split case pump. The existing three conditions are for pumping liquids: at 400°F (200°C) and greater; that have relative density of 0.70 or less; and rated discharge pressure exceeds 1450 psi (100 bar). The two new requirements are:

- When liquids change instantaneously by greater than 100°F (55°C)
- Any liquid temperature transients in excess of 100°F (55°C) with liquid change rate exceeding 5°F (3°C) per minute

DATA SHEETS AND “DATA LIST”

Revisions are being made to 11th Edition data sheets covering new changes within the API 610 document along with the update of the proper paragraph numbers. The layout of the Conditions of Service section is also revised to more easily identify the rated condition as well as alternate operating conditions.

A new item for the 12th Edition is the “data list template” included in Annex N after the data sheets. The data list contains all the information from the data sheets in a tabular form. The template compiles all of the data in a neutral file format that can be used to exchange conditions-of-service details for making pump selections. See Figure 14.

Figure 14: Example of 12th Edition “data list template”

The data list can be arranged using the naming conventions defined in Hydraulic Institute section 50.7, which lays out the format and nomenclature required to support electronic data exchange (EDE) effectively. This minimizes possible errors in transposing numbers from contractor to pump manufacturer, back to contractor and end user to complete the electronic loop.
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CASING NOZZLE FLANGES

For ASME B16.5 and B16.47 flanges, tolerances for the outside diameters have been added.

SPIRAL-WOUND GASKET SEALING SURFACE ROUGHNESS

Surface roughness requirements when sealing with O-rings have been well stated in previous editions of API 610. The 12th Edition now provides the minimum and maximum surface roughness average values, or Ra, when using spiral-wound gaskets.

HYDRAULIC BOLT TENSIONING

New to the 12th Edition is a bulleted paragraph addressing when pump main casing studs and nuts are designed for hydraulic bolt tensioning.

PRESSURE RATING FOR OH, BB1, BB2 PUMPS

The 11th Edition (as well as all previous API 610 editions) required that OH, BB1 and BB2 pumps be rated for 600 psi (41 bar). The 11th Edition had a special note stating that by the time the 12th Edition is issued, OH, BB1 and BB2 pumps would be required to have a pressure rating equal to that of a 300 lb (PN 40) flange, which is 740 psi (51 bar) at 38°C (100°F). Further discussions revealed that the majority of pump sizes generate heads that are relatively low. This translates to the current 600 psi (41 bar) pressure requirement to which most pump manufacturers comply. The final decision was made to revert back to the 600 psi (41 bar) rating for these pump types. It should be noted that most manufacturers do have, as an option, higher pressure pump designs, especially for high suction pressure applications which require 600 lb (PN 100), 900 lb (PN 160) and even 1500 lb (PN 250) flanges and heavier wall thickness casing designs.

BEARING-HOUSING RESONANCE TEST

Additional clarifications are being added to advise what should be done if resonance conditions cannot be detuned. A note has been added regarding VFD applications to explain that it may not be possible to achieve all the applicable frequency separation margin requirements, in which case the purchaser and pump manufacturer may want to take additional readings. With VFDs, certain operating speed ranges can be blocked out, and when operating at reduced speeds the resonance should be lower.

VIRTUAL SUSPENDED PUMP REQUIREMENTS

Three areas have been expanded and modified. The first concerns changing the tolerance required for the driver shaft and base from 0.001 in (25 µm) to 0.002 in/ft (0.17 mm/m). This is based on the logic that it is impossible to hold the same tolerance on a small motor flange as a very large motor.

Next are the casing details relative to type VS6 pumps. An explanation is given to outer barrel construction materials relative to having a pipe with weld cap design with butt welds and radiography (RT) vs. a pipe with a flat plate design with fillet welds inspected by either dye penetrant (PT), magnetic particle (MT) or ultrasonic (UT). The key with either design is for the outer barrel to meet the maximum allowable working pressure (MAWP). Suction barrels or cans can have either elliptical or flat bottom heads, again meeting the MAWP requirements, and use full-penetration welds. If elliptical bottom heads are specified, they will either be ellipsoidal or torispherical. Longitudinal welds of seam-welded pipe for casing walls of pump heads and suction barrels are to be 100% RT inspected.

The third area for improving vertical pump requirements is the dynamic section, which remains a bulleted paragraph. Clarification was added to describe that when a dynamic analysis is required by a customer, it means the complete pump, including the below ground components and the driver structure on either its foundation or support structure. Three new notes have been added to address the extent of detail required for the models, guidelines for verticals per Hydraulic Institute, and how to handle situations when separation margins are not achieved.

DISASSEMBLY AFTER TESTING

Further explanation is added that for BB3 and BB5 pump types, it may not be possible to drain all the water after testing, though it is important to do so. The optional approach of disassembling the pump may be invasive to a point of impacting its mechanical integrity.

STRUCTURAL/DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

The API 610 section on torsional analysis, along with the flow chart, is being updated to reflect minor improvements in wording. The definition for steady-state “forced” analysis (“damped” in the 11th Edition) has been more accurately re-written. Similarly, “transient torsional analysis” is now defined as “transient forced response analysis”. A clarification for performing an undamped natural frequency analysis when using VFDs and ASDs was added along with a note that certain designs, especially older vintage units may produce high torsional pulsations.
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UPDATED PARAGRAPH NUMBERS AND TABLES

Since the 12th Edition will not be co-branded with ISO dimensional units, throughout the document, including all tables and charts, the order of dimensional units has changed from metric (U.S. customary) to U.S. customary (metric). The decision was made to keep the ISO references in cases where there are no other equivalent references.

OTHER AREAS OF DISCUSSION AND INTEREST

The following items were discussed and evaluated by the API 610 sub-committee with a decision to either retain the 11th Edition wording or not include them at all in the 12th Edition. They are included in this paper as a means of representing information that may be beneficial to members of the oil and gas community.

NOZZLE LOADS

Discussions centered on whether the forces and moments shown in the nozzle load chart are still current or whether they should be changed. This was raised because more engineering contractors are requesting at least two times the API nozzle loads for the pump package (i.e., pump with baseplate). The decision was made to leave the values as is; however, we added design options under the baseplate section for three-point mount, spring loading (referred to as AVM, anti-vibration mount), which will provide higher nozzle load capability.

NPT GLAND CONNECTION

Much discussion and investigation determined whether it was feasible to change from the current default for an NPT connection at the mechanical seal gland to a higher integrity connection joint. The sub-committee presented a number of options to the SOME and addressed the pros and cons of each. The conclusion was to keep the 11th Edition wording for the NPT connection as a default. The SOME provided feedback that this joint has not been a problem when proper field installation practices are followed, and because the 11th Edition already contains a bulleted paragraph addressing a higher integrity joint for those customers who want it. However, the 12th Edition now stipulates that a lap joint flange butt-welded to the first nipple off of the mechanical seal gland threaded connection is required. Socket welded union in lieu of lap joint flange requires the purchaser’s approval. Another viable approach and solution for those who do not want an NPT connection at the mechanical seal gland is to provide a machined flange or socket welded connection off the casing cover for the primary seal flush line. Only gland auxiliary connections for Plan 52 and 53 handling non-process liquids would be NPT.

CONSTANT LEVEL OILERS

The sub-committee was asked to review whether oilers used on pump bearing housings should be removed. The various pros and cons were discussed. Some argued that operators may overfill the bearing housings when they do not see oil in the oiler. In response, they overfill the oiler, which leads to overheating the oil and leakage out of the bearing housing end covers. Use of bull’s-eye indicators seemed to be a solution. These small oil indicators, however, do coke up, making it hard to see from a distance. It was agreed to continue to require constant level oilers on the bearing housings, because they allow operators to quickly determine whether oil is needed, even from distance.

INCORPORATING API 685 SEALLESS PUMPS INTO API 610

Currently there are several paragraphs in API 685 that read almost exactly like API 610. However, because there are so many unique design elements characteristic of sealless pumps, it is recommended to keep these two documents separate.

WEAR RING RUNNING CLEARANCES

The question was posed as to whether there was a need to change the 11th Edition wear ring clearances, i.e., increase or possibly decrease them. Note that the 11th Edition clearances are exactly the same as those from API 610 5th Edition. Also, opening these API clearances by 0.005 inch (125 µm) applies to all services with liquid temperature above 260°C (500°F). However, considering today’s technology for improving wear surfaces and the utilization of non-metallic materials, it was a pertinent discussion. These improvements were promoted on the basis of improving product reliability and mean time between repairs (MTBR), and not necessarily to increase efficiency. On the basis that technically there is not enough field data to verify the impact of closing up metal wear ring clearances, the decision was not to change them for now. Regarding non-metallic rings, closing clearances is possible, especially when efficiency is extremely important on a given service. However, the parameters of liquid temperature and cleanliness of service should be considered along with the consideration that the clearances will open over time.

LUBE OIL SYSTEMS

While API 614 is in the process of being updated, it will not be released prior to API 610’s next edition. For this reason, the lube oil system diagram in the piping annex remains unchanged. Eventually this diagram will be removed in the next edition of API 610, and all lube oil system requirements for the default design and options will be addressed in API 614.
USE OF 317 STAINLESS STEEL

The task force attempted to address the use of 317SS for high temperature (600°F to 700°F) “High-TAN Crudes with Naphthenic Acid” applications associated with the heavier crudes being produced, especially from the Canadian Tar Sands. The results were mixed as to whether a new material column consisting virtually of all 317SS parts should be included. The issue of concern is the inherent movement of this highly corrosion-resistant stainless steel material due to its low yield strength at elevated temperatures, and the control of the pumping system to prevent thermal transients while minimizing nozzle loads. The task force recommendation is to obtain more field experience and study the use of possibly other materials before finalizing what should be placed in API 610, future edition.

CONCLUSIONS

This paper has highlighted most of the significant changes reflected in final publication of the 12th Edition of API 610. Furthermore, it has provided insights into the various other points of discussion that the API 610 task force addressed and the rationale to evaluate whether changes were actually necessary.

We welcome all comments and suggestions for topics both within and beyond what has been addressed in this paper for additional consideration.
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