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ABSTRACT

The inherent intention and decision process of lane changes are complex and unobservable. Though the external environment and traffic conditions are changing along the traveling direction, the drivers’ characteristics and preferences may lead to persistence of preferable lane choices. Hidden Markov Model (HMM) method is used to model the system that involves unobservable factors, such as speech recognition and biological sequence problems. The hidden process are assumed to associate with observable outcomes.

In this study, HMM is integrated into a two-stage lane-changing model to better represent the mandatory lane-changing behaviors on arterials. The lane-changing decision process is separated into two steps: decision to target a lane as the desire lane and acceptance of available gaps in the chosen direction. The outcome of the first step is unobservable and treated as the latent state in HMM. The second step, gap acceptance model, relates the outcome of the first step to observed vehicle trajectories.

The proposed model is estimated and validated using detail Next Generation Simulation (NGSIM) vehicle trajectory data from Lankershim Boulevard. Comparison between generated lane position sequences and original trajectories validated the model’s capability of representing mandatory lane changes. There is an average 17% difference on predicted lane change locations compared to observed locations; while lane change locations to left turn lane and right turn lane show 10% and 13% difference respectively. The generated lane changes show a late tendency of movements among
through lanes. The results show that the model is fit for the purpose of representing mandatory lane change behaviors on arterials. The research highlights some future improvements of proposed lane-changing model on arterials.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Lane changing movement has been regarded as one of the primary driving behaviors. While the adverse impact of lane changing movements on traffic capacity and traffic safety has drawn a significant amount of research attention in recent past. Lane changing movement has been defined as a driving maneuver that moves a vehicle from one lane to another while both lanes have the same direction of travel. According to Lee et al. (1), the first lateral movement and final straight-ahead point are viewed as the start and end point of lane change maneuvers.

Lane changing models are developed to reflect vehicles’ lateral movements influenced by various external stimulants and individual driving experience; it establish a theoretical framework aim to estimate the probability of lane change movement under certain circumstance. Generally, lane changing models classified the lane change movements as mandatory lane change (MLC) or discretionary lane change (DLC) based on the stimuli of vehicle maneuvers (2). The discretionary lane changes are mostly made to bypass a slow vehicle and increase traveling speed while the mandatory lane changes usually occur when drivers want to maintain their desire path (3). While lane changing models have been widely used in driving behavior research as a vital component of microscopic traffic simulation, it is important to keep an accurate reproduction of lane changing behavior and its impact on surrounding traffic.
1.1 Problem Statement

Lane changes are broadly defined as a three-stage decision in most existing models: choice of target lane, decision to accept available gaps and execution of lane change (4). The first two stages in decision process is latent since target lane choice and gap acceptance is unobservable. Drivers make lane changes to keep comfortable driving environment or gain access to desired travel path. The underlying desire of lane changes is influenced by both external and internal factors, as well as the execution process of lane changes. Factors such as geometric condition, surrounding traffic condition, acceleration/deceleration capability of vehicle, and drivers’ experience and preference that involve in the decision process are considered in lane-changing model. These factors are organized and considered with certain lane changes logic, constituting the theoretical framework of the lane-changing models.

In most cases, the lane-changing model considers factors listed above in lane-changing simulation models with addressing the surrounding traffic condition. However, some factors such as drivers’ characteristics have not received adequate attention in existing models. Further, individual preference on lane choice and driving experience may lead to driver persistence of their previous choice. The tendency that adhere to previous choice on lane change decision is concluded as state dependence. Hence, the complexity and randomness of drivers’ decision process behind the observed lane change behaviors need to be investigate, especially for lane changes in congested area. Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is a widely used tool in speech recognition systems and various other
areas, which gives the flexibility to model the stochastic lane change behavior with vehicle travel trajectories.

On the other hand, the availability of detailed data of lane change movements is highly related to the accuracy of model. Most traffic simulations compute and update system and vehicle information every time step as well as the corresponding models. Detailed and disaggregate vehicle data are valuable in development and calibration of driver behavior model but not available for most early models. Thus, the complex inherent decision process and the lack of real world traffic data are two main causes for unstable performance of existing lane-changing models.

There is also a need to investigate the difference between freeway analysis and arterial analysis. Most related models were developed based on freeway lane changes. Urban arterial traffic differs from freeway traffic in many respects: signalized intersection, high proportion of turning movements and shorter headway results in a great amount of lane changes and vehicle interactions. Specific lane-changing model is needed for quality driving behavior simulation on urban arterials.

1.2 Research Objective

This research is aimed to propose a lane-changing model within Hidden Markov Model (HMM) framework for the analysis of lane-changing behavior on urban arterial. For this purpose, the research objectives are:

- To introduce the decision process of lane changes and specific factors on urban arterials.
• To develop an arterial lane-changing model with HMM that capture the influence of the real-time traffic and drivers’ personal traveling preference.

• To calibrate the model with real-world traffic data and compare the predicted lane changes with real-world lane change behaviors.

1.3 Thesis Organization

The outline of the thesis, following the introduction, is as follows: previous researches on lane changes model and HMMs method are presented in section 2. The framework of the proposed model and its detail structure are proposed in section 3. This is followed by a general present of arterial dataset used in this study. Then the results of model estimation and validation are listed and summarized in section 4 and 5 respectively. The last section concludes the major findings, limitations and provides suggested direction of further research.
2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section includes previous studies and findings regarding the lane change models, as well as the theory and application of HMM method. Firstly, various developments on lane-changing model especially microscopic models were introduced. Then it reviews the fundamental knowledge of HMM and some applications in driving behavior modeling.

2.1 Previous Development of Lane Changing Model

From the literature, a considerable amount of work has been present on driving behaviors and traffic simulation applications in latest decades. Several types of lane-changing decision model was presented.

Gipps (2) introduced the first rule-based lane-changing model in 1986, which constrained two intentions of lane change behaviors of vehicles: maintain a desire speed and being in the correct lane to following planned route. The lane-changing algorithm is rule-based: The lane-changing decision process is rule-based: the set of lanes were evaluate respectively and the model checks whether a series of related factors reach fixed conditions, lane changes only execute when all constrains meet the requirement. Factors considered includes safe gap existence, location of permanent obstructions, intended turning movement, presence of heavy vehicles and relative speed. Since the decision process follows predetermined sequence, this model did not consider driver randomness and the latent trade-offs among different factors. Many models have been
implemented following Gipps’ concept, including simulation package CORSIM (5), Zhang’s multi-regime model (3), Yang and Koutsopoulos (6) model in MITSIM. Lane changes are separated into MLC and DLC in these models. Concepts of mandatory (MLC) and discretionary lane change (DLC) was clearly classified. As defined in Zhang’s research, MLC occurs when drivers need to connect to next link to maintain their path, bypass a lane blockage downstream, avoid entering a restricted lane and respond to message signs; while DLC in order to increase travelling speed, bypass a slow or heavy vehicle and avoid the lane connected to a ramp. Yang’s model was implemented in MITSIM, which evaluated MLC and DLC respectively with different explanatory factors that helps captured the trade-offs between influential factors for lane changing decision.

A three-stage dynamic discrete choice model was developed for lane-changing maneuvers analysis (3, 7). As mentioned, the three stages of lane changes decision process are as follow: choice of target lane; decision to accept available gaps and execution of lane change. For each vehicle, each lane has its corresponding utilities that represent the attractiveness of keeping or shifting to this lane; relative speed of and desire speed affects lane utilities. Gap acceptance model measures the possibility of lane changes execution. The parameters of MLC and DLC model were calibrated respectively. Ahmed also pointed out that in heavily congested traffic, the courtesy yielding of lag vehicle enable mandatory lane changes when acceptable gaps are not available.
Besides, Toledo’s research (8) adopted similar structure of Ahmed’s model. It integrated MLC and DLC in one utility function that balance the trade-offs between mandatory and discretionary by introducing a variable to represent the relative importance of mandatory and discretionary. Explanatory variables include neighborhood variables, path plan variables, network experience and driving preference are considered. Figure 1 shows the framework of his discrete choice lane-changing model.

**Figure 1** Structure of Toledo’s Lane-changing Model

Moreover, cellular automaton (CA) models and Markov process have been applied in representing the lane changes behaviors in some researches (9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14). Lane changing behaviors are considered in multi-lane scenarios in cellular automata models, the incentive and safety criterion need to be satisfy for lane change
movements. The unnecessary complexity resulted from cell-structure and some unrealistic artifacts such as ping-pong phenomenon are two main challenges for CA lane changing models (9, 10). Applications of markov process on lane changes behavior is introduced in next section.

Most studies focused on lane changes behaviors on freeways. Some studies have investigated lane-changing maneuvers on urban arterials and the related characteristics within congested condition (15, 16). Wei et al (17) addressed the influence of path plan on the lane choice decision and the lane change duration in their two-lane arterials lane-changing model. Hidas (18) emphasized the interaction with surrounding vehicles and classified lane changes into three mode: free, forced and cooperative depending on traffic conditions. Sun et al. (19) proposed a model that classified drivers into four types with different background data and driving behaviors, which enhanced the ability to better replicate lane change behaviors and traffic steam in VISSIM.

While many of them facilitated traffic flow studies and simulation, these models were developed for different purposes. Some of them have not been calibrated with real vehicle trajectory records due to of the scarcity of such data; trade-offs among various factors and drivers’ characteristics also contributed to the unstable performance of some existing lane-changing models. Since the relative short duration a driver spends in the mainstream traffic and geometric limitation approaching intersection, the consideration of MLC are expected to rule over other considerations in decision process. Moreover, heavy interactions between vehicles and stochastic driver behavior present challenges for lane-changing modeling.
2.2 Hidden Markov Model

Hidden Markov model is a statistical model that models a system with discrete unobservable states as a Markov process (20). A Markov process is a sequence of stochastic state with a finite state set. It is assumed that the system at each time step has its corresponding transition probabilities from current state to next state.

Some studies on lane changes using Markov process were conducted. Pentland and Liu (11) developed a Markov dynamic model for driving behavior includes lane changes. Lane change movement was separated into a series states: starting from centering car position in the current lane; checking available gaps in adjacent lane; initiating lane change; the change itself; steering to complete lane change; and re-centering vehicle position in new lane. The results showed that acceleration and headway pattern defines the driving movement. Singh and Li (12) described the lane change behavior with a Markov chain. In their study, each lane was marked as a state of the process, the state transition probabilities reflected the vehicular movements between lanes.

HMM assumes the latent process that decides the behavior/movement can be measured by analyzing observable outcomes from associated system states. Every future decision is made conditionally dependent on the decision of every previous state; with assumption of initial state, the probability of an event at specific time can be calculated as a joint probability described in the next section. Hence, HMMs have been widely used in modeling sequence problem that involves unobservable factors, such as speech recognition and biological sequence problems. The observable outcome is associated
with a set of hidden states by corresponding probability distribution. The HMMs framework endows the model with the ability to handle a sequence of lane-changing behaviors comprised with various factors within finite time.

**Figure 2** Illustration of State Transition of Markov Process

![State Transition of Markov Process](image)

Figure 2 shows the state transitions of Markov process. The system can be described as being in one of a set of distinct states \( \{ X_i \} \) at any time. While the state of a HMM is unobservable, the change of states (or keep in particular state) is undergoes at every discrete time as Markov process. As shown, \( X_i \) is the latent state of modeled system, and matrix \( A \) represents the state transition probabilities that represents the probabilities of move from state \( i \) to \( j \) \( (A_{ij} = P(X_j|X_i)) \). Besides, a HMM is also defined by the following (20):

1. \( N \) represents the number of latent states in the model;
2. \( M \) is the number of distinct observation symbols correspond to the physical output of modeled system.
The initial state distribution $\pi = \{\pi_i\}$ gives starting state of observed system, where $\pi_i = P[q_1 = X_i], 1 \leq i \leq N$;

The observation symbol probability distribution in state $i$, $B = \{b_i(k)\}$, where $1 \leq k \leq M$.

For a HMM with specification of above components, specific observation sequence can be generate. Hence, $\lambda = (\pi, A, B)$ is generally used to indicate a complete HMM system.

There are several applications of HMMs in driving behavior modeling. Zou and Levinson (21) proposed a cluster HMM approach to model driving behavior in conflicts. The driving style in conflicts are recognized as clusters in this model; it is also capable of recognizing driving styles and predicting behaviors based on previous vehicular data. In Kuge et al. (13) research, HMM were used to capture the unobservable internal states of lane changes intentions by connecting latent association with observable variables such as vehicle maneuvers. Toledo and Katz (14) integrating HMM with utility lane-changing model they proposed in their previous work, developed a lane-changing model addressing drivers’ persistence in lane choice. The gap acceptance model connects hidden process and the observable outcome (e.g. vehicle maneuvers), which helps predict lane changes ahead and can be applied to traffic simulation. Not too much work of HMMs have been reported in the field of driving behavior modeling.

It needs to be noted that factors that affect individual lane change behaviors can be classified as geometric conditions, traffic conditions, driver’s driving experience and preference. These factors interact and vary among individuals, and make the prediction
of lane changes complex and unreliable. HMMs assume behaviors are series of
consecutive stochastic events, which helps deal with the uncertainty of latent decision
process.
3. MODELING METHODOLOGY

This section presents the methodology for modeling lane change behaviors with vehicle movement trajectory data. Same with some model discussed in previous section (8, 14 and 15), the concept of proposed model is to separate lane-changing movement into two decision steps: target lane choice and gap acceptance, the choice of each step determined the outcome of lane changes. During the decision process, the only observable action is the lateral movement of vehicle, other decision process such as target lane choice and available gap measure is unobservable. Consideration of this aspect, the proposed model introduces the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) which endows proposed model with ability to measure the latent consecutive decision of lane changes that largely dependent on individual characteristics.

A brief structure of the section is listed as follows: a general framework of proposed lane-changing model is presented. Details on two sub-model of lane-changing process and the Hidden Markov model framework is given. Next two section introduce the likelihood function and dataset used in parameters estimation. Rules and limitation of data processing is illustrated roughly. The dataset used in this study is introduced and processed.

3.1 Lane Changing Model

The general framework of proposed lane-changing models is shown in Figure 3. The model involves two sub-model: target lane choice model and gap acceptance model.
For the first one, individuals are intend to search all lanes available in the subsequent section and target the lane perceived to be the best in following path. However, the action of vehicle lateral change to target lane may not executed immediately due to the limitation of the road environment and the conflicts with other vehicles.

**Figure 3** Illustration of Lane-changing Process

For example, a driver traveling in lane 2 plans to turn right marks lane 5 as the target lane when approaching intersection; the vehicle needs make consecutive lane changes through lane 3, lane 4 then reaches the target lane. Besides, adjacent vehicles may delay driver’s action. The lateral movement of vehicle is the result from several
unobservable decision process, thus, the proposed model is developed on the immediate lane change actions and environment/driver variables related to the decision process.

HMMs are used widely in modeling involves unobservable factors. The observable outcome is associated with a set of hidden states by corresponding probability distribution. The HMMs framework endows the model with ability to handle a sequence of lane-changing behaviors comprised with various factors within finite time. Details specification of proposed model are presented in following section.

3.1.1 Target Lane Choice Model

Firstly, drivers need to target a lane among all available lanes as the most desirable lane. The attractiveness of each lane is computed by a utility function; many factors would affect the value of the utility function. Variables associated with the desired path, the dynamic traffic conditions, and the driver’s consistency of lane change behavior involve in the utility function.

The utility function for lane $i$ at time $t$ is given below:

$$ U_{i,t} = \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon_{i}, \quad i \in L_n, \ t \in N \tag{1} $$

Where,

$X_i$ = explanatory variables that affect the attractive utility of lane $i$

$\beta_i$ = corresponding vector of parameters

$\varepsilon_i$ = random term associate with lane utility for lane $i$

$L_n$ = choice set of available lane

$N$ = set of observation time
Besides, the mandatory lane changes are expected to dominate other considerations such as gaining speed due to the relative short duration that a driver travels in the mainstream. Hence, factors related to keeping desire travel path are considered as most important in the lane choice decision, which include distance to planned exit, the number of lane changes that needs to make to follow driver’s planned path and queue length to the back of queue of in each lane.

The target lane choice probabilities are given by concept of multinomial logit model:

\[
p(TL_{n,t} = i) = \frac{\exp(u_i)}{\sum_{j} \exp(u_j)}, \quad i, j \in L_n
\]  

(2)

Where \( TL_{n,t} \) is the target lane at time \( t \). The lane with highest utility value will be chosen as the target lane. As mentioned, the relative lateral location between chosen lane and current lane of the vehicle would determine the direction of intended lane change:

- If the chosen lane is the current lane, drivers would keep vehicle’s lateral location;
- If the chosen lane is on the right side of the current lane, drivers would make a right lateral movement (change right);
- If the chosen lane is on the left side of the current lane, drivers would make a left lateral movement (change left);
- When the chosen lane is two (or more) lanes away from the current lane, the immediate lane change movement is the same as if the chosen lane is on the right adjacent to the current lane.
3.1.2 Critical Gaps Model

The available gaps in this study is measured as the time difference between the preceding and following vehicles in the lane in which subject vehicle is traveling. The model compares the available gap with the critical gap; then, only gaps greater than or equal to the critical gap are accepted by the driver. The critical gap are computed as function of influential factors and individual-specific random term, the function is given as follow:

\[ G_{n,t}^{cr} | v_n = \beta_{n,t} X_{n,t} + \epsilon_g \]  

(3)

Where,

\( X_{n,t} \) = explanatory variables that affect the critical gap

\( \beta_{n,t} \) = corresponding vector of parameters

\( \epsilon_g \) = random term associate with critical gap, where \( \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_g^2) \)

Then the probability of accepting available gap is given:

\[ P(G_{n,t} > G_{n,t}^{cr}) = P(\ln(G_{n,t}) - \ln(G_{n,t}^{cr}) | v_n) = \Phi\left[\frac{\ln(G_{n,t})-(\beta_{n,t} X_{n,t}+\epsilon_g v_n)}{\sigma_g}\right] \]  

(4)

3.1.3 Hidden Markov Model

As stated above, the decision process of lane changes is assumed to have two steps: target lane choice and acceptance of available gap. Within HMMs framework, the result of first step: the chosen target lane, is treated as the latent state; and the second step, gap acceptance model relates the outcome of first step to observed vehicle trajectories. As demonstrated in Figure 4, the latent state \( X_i \) are mapped to one of three
observations \((O_i)\), matrix \(A\) is the state transition probabilities that represents the probability of move from latent state \(i\) to \(j\) \((a_{ij} = P(X_j|X_i))\), and \(B\) is the matrix that contains the probabilities of observing outcome \((O_1, O_2 \text{ or } O_3)\) when the hidden system state is \(i\), noted as \(b_{qi} = P(O_q|X_i), q \in \text{observation state set.}\) For lane-changing model, the matrix \(A\) representing outcome of first step and matrix \(B\) can be viewed as results of second step. The observation sequence is the lane position sequence extracted from vehicle trajectories.

**Figure 4** Hidden State and Observation of HMM

HMMs assume that the modeled system are consecutive stochastic events, which helps deal with the uncertainty of the latent decision process of lane changes. While, the probability of states at every moment is conditionally dependent on the decision of its previous state; with known initial state, the probability of an event at specific time can be calculated as a joint probability proving in next section.
3.2 Likelihood Function

The probability of available gaps accepted by vehicle n at time t conditional on individual specific characteristics is given by:

\[ P_n(l_n,t|v_n) = P(G_{n,t} > G_{n,t}^{cr}|TL_{n,t}v_n) \]  

(5)

The probability of individual vehicle n target i as chosen lane at time t conditional on individual specific characteristics is given:

\[ P_n(TL_{n,t} = i|v_n) = \sum_{j \in L_n} P(TL_{n,t} = i|TL_{n,t-1} = j,v_n)P(TL_{n,t-1} = j|v_n) \]  

(6)

The joint probability that observe individual vehicle n make lane change from lane j to lane i at time t conditional on individual specific characteristics can be calculated recursively:

\[ P(TL_{n,t} = i, TL_{n,t-1} = j|v_n) = P(TL_{n,t} = i|TL_{n,t-1} = j,v_n)P(TL_{n,t-1} = j|v_n) \]  

(7)

where \( i, j \in \text{lane set} \)

Given the initial target lane probabilities \( P(TL_{n,1}|v_n) \), the probabilities given above can be calculated recursively for any t. The lane changing movement of vehicle n are observed over consecutive time steps T. With the assumption that conditional on \( v_n \) these observations are independent, the joint probability of sequence of observations of individual n is given by formula:

\[ P(l_n|v_n) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} P(TL_{n,t} = i, TL_{n,t-1} = j, l_{n,t}|v_n) \]  

(8)

Where, \( l_n \) represents the sequence of lane change movement outcomes. Given the initial condition \( P(TL_{n,t-1}|v_n) \), the joint probability can be calculated recursively using equation 8.
With the assumption that observations of different drivers are independent, the log-likelihood function for all N drivers in estimation dataset can be summed up as:

\[ L = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln(\int P(l_n|v_n)) \]  

The parameters of the model would be estimated by maximizing aggregated likelihood function.

3.3 Data

3.3.1 Site Description

The proposed model is based on the assumption that state dependence and time persistence of consecutive lane choice and lane change behavior in urban area. For model estimation and calibration purposes, the dataset should include at least two sections of arterial that allows capturing the effect of desire travel path on lane-changing choice.
The dataset used to estimate and evaluate model was recorded at Lankershim Boulevard in Los Angeles, California. Figure 5 provides an aerial image of the study area. The data was collected and processed as part of the FHWA’s NGSIM (Next Generation Simulation) program (22). Lankershim Boulevard is running primarily north-south and selected segment is approximately 1600 feet in length, consists of five sections and four signalized intersections. The source data were collected over 32 minutes from 8:28 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. on June 16, 2005, using five cameras mounted on the roof of a 36-story building in the Universal City neighborhood. A schematic illustration of the arterial segment is shown in Figure 6. Details reference indices regards to each section and intersection also listed.
Figure 6 Schematic Presentation of Selected Arterial Section

Source: Lankershim Boulevard Dataset Fact Sheet (23)
The study area covers a typical urban arterials with three to four through lanes on mainline in each direction, and almost every section has exclusive left turning bay approaching the intersection. Turning vehicles make lane change before turning movements, which provide a great number of mandatory lane-changing movements for model development.

**Figure 7** Schematic Presentation of Sections and Lanes

3.3.2 Data Preprocessing

For recording entering and exiting information of each vehicles, only trajectories on section 2, 3 and 4 are used in this studies. Schematic presentation of the selected
segment is shown in Figure 7, the reference indices for sections, intersections and lanes are marked respectively.

The half-hour dataset was collected at a rate of 10 frame per second, containing detailed trajectory information such as the vehicle position, lane identification and speed of every vehicle on this section. The dataset have been divide into two periods: one 17-minute period (8:28 a.m. to 8:45 a.m.) for model estimation and one 15-minute period (8:45 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.) for model validation. The first one records 705294 observations of total 1211 vehicles while the second one containing 902025 observations of 1231 vehicles.

The raw vehicle trajectory data is detailed in Appendix. To extract vehicle information for modeling, the original data with given format were transformed to specified structure and more information was extracted using C++. Table 1 presents key information extracted from original dataset.
Table 1 Data Extracted from the Original file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name (Units)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local time (second)</td>
<td>Start timing at 8:27:34 a.m., count start at 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to exit (feet)</td>
<td>Distance between the front-center of subject vehicle and center point of exit intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to back of queue (feet)</td>
<td>Distance between the front-center of subject vehicle and the front-center of the last vehicle stopping in same section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available gap (second)</td>
<td>Available gap in adjacent lane (left and right) of the subject vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target lane (number)</td>
<td>The final target lane of vehicle (1-left turn lane; 5-right turn lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last section length (feet)</td>
<td>The length of the last section that subject vehicle traveling on mainline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining distance ratio (number)</td>
<td>The ratio of the distance to exit to last section length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front spacing ratio (number)</td>
<td>The ratio of the distance to back of queue to last section length</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a vehicle traveling north on the road and plans to make a left turn at intersection 3, the target lane of this vehicle would be 1 and the last section length would be the length of section 3 as 551 feet.

As mentioned above, the available gap of adjacent lane in lane-changing model usually represents by relative lead speed and spacing in front or behind the subject vehicle. Then, the available gap used here is calculated as:

\[
gap = \frac{(D_f + D_w)}{((v_s + 0.5 * a_s t_s + v_f t_s + 0.5 * a_f t_s + v_w + 0.5 * a_w t_s)/3)} \tag{10}
\]
where $D_f$ and $D_w$ are distance between subject vehicle and preceding/following vehicle of adjacent lane, $v_s$, $v_f$ and $v_w$ are velocity of the subject, preceding and following vehicles respectively, $a_s$, $a_f$ and $a_w$ are velocity of the subject, preceding and following vehicles respectively, $t_s$ is the 0.5 second time step used in computation of this study. It should be noted that surrounding vehicles are defined as the closest vehicle in adjacent lanes within current section. The distance would be negative if surrounding vehicles overlap with the subject vehicle. If the subject vehicle do not interact with any surrounding vehicle, the distance ($D_f$ and $D_w$) would extend to the boundaries of current section.

The remaining distance ratio and front spacing ratio is proposed to simply the computation in this study. The remaining distance ratio is defined as the ratio of remaining distance to the length of last section, and the front spacing ratio is defined as the ratio of distance to back of queue to the length of last section. The two ratios were computed using following equation:

$$r_{ex} = \frac{dis_{ex}}{l_{sec}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11) \\
$$r_q = \frac{dis_q}{l_{sec}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $dis_{ex}$ represents the distance to the exit point, $dis_q$ is the distance to the back of queue and $l_{sec}$ is the length of the last section.

Due to the large number of observation records, the raw dataset was filtered based on the following rules:

- Only turning vehicles traveled on section 2, 3 and 4 were kept.
• Sampling in the time dimension: the original 0.1 second resolution was converted to a 0.5 second resolution.

• Trajectory points outside the mainline section were excluded.

• Trajectory points with important missing information were removed.

Except for the 4 rules listed above, further filtering was applied using engineering judgment to remove erroneous trajectory points. From analyzing the coordinates and lane identification for selected sections in the study area, some outlier or erroneous trajectory points were discarded from the analysis.

In summary, this section develops an integrated model for mandatory lane changes on urban arterials within HMM framework. Within HMMs framework, the result of target lane choice model is treated as the latent state; the gap acceptance model relates the unobservable state to observed vehicle trajectories. The proposed model emphasize the desire path factors as well as the consistency of drivers’ lane change behaviors using HMM structure. A brief introduction of detailed vehicle trajectory data used in this study and the pre-processing procedure are given.
4. MODEL ESTIMATION

This section presents estimation process of proposed model. The method to maximizing aggregated log-likelihood function mentioned in previous section is described first. Then proposed lane-changing model is estimated using the processed estimation dataset. And the estimation results and its discussion is presented below.

4.1 Estimation Method

As illustrated in previous section, for each vehicle, there is a probability that its sequence of movement matched the sequence generated by proposed model. For data used for model estimation, the level of trajectory matches observation sequence can be aggregated into a likelihood function, as noted in section 3.2.

The parameters of proposed model can be estimated by maximizing the likelihood function used to model the trajectory of the drivers, as mention previously, the calculate equation is given by:

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln(\int P(I_n|v_n))$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Related calculating process is given in previous section. The initial hidden and observation states are unobservable, then the starting states of the sequence is also assumed to be dependent on individual specific terms.

In this study, the maximum likelihood estimation is implemented through optim() function provided in statistical software R. The optimization method BFGS algorithm is chosen for its local convergence ability. Due to the fact that the optimization likelihood
function is not globally concave, different starting points need to be tested during optimization in order to avoid a local optimal solution.

Hence, an important point noted is that the optimization likelihood function is not globally concave. Due to the individual specific term $v_n$ is assumed as a normal distribution, the optimal value of equation 13 may result from different sets of parameters. Different starting points need to be tested during optimization in order to avoid a local optimal solution.

4.2 Estimation Data

4.2.1 General Information

The first 17-minute dataset was used for estimation. Due to the compute limit of parameters estimation, the vehicle trajectory data was randomly sampled at the rate of 1 per every 5 vehicles. The reduced dataset contains 12837 observations of 115 vehicles. The trajectory data of the various drivers in selected segment of arterial and its speeds and accelerations were used to generate required variables.

4.2.2 Data Characteristics

Dataset used for estimation contains 115 vehicles, side street vehicles and vehicles traveling on mainline less than one section were previously excluded. The average trajectory observation duration is 55.81 seconds, with the maximum duration of observation being 133.50 seconds. Out of the 115 observation trajectories, 29 vehicles were (25.2%) traveling northbound and 86 (74.8%) vehicles were traveling southbound.
on the arterial. Observed vehicles are mostly automobiles (111 out of 115, 96.5%) with only 4 trucks (3.5%) present in dataset. The number of vehicles exited from left turn lane is 68 and the number of vehicles exited from right turn lane is 47.

The aggregate statistic of lane-specific data are given in Table 2.

Table 2 Aggregate Statistics on Different Lanes from Estimation Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Through lane</th>
<th>Through &amp; right-turn shared lane</th>
<th>Exclusive right-turn lane</th>
<th>Exclusive left-turn lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average speed (ft./sec)</td>
<td>27.72</td>
<td>26.91</td>
<td>27.46</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average queue ahead</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(number of vehicle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max queue</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(number of vehicle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presence of left turn bay and time conflict between left turn vehicles and opposing through vehicles provides reasonable explanation for the low average speed observed in exclusive left-turn lanes. While speed of right turn vehicles do not affected greatly by the presence of turning bay and its conflicts. The average queue length and maximum queue length values shows that vehicles traveling on right most lane encounter shorter queue at traffic signals compared to through vehicles and left-turn vehicles. The presence of the exclusive turn lanes and its influence on lane-changing behaviors of turning vehicles has been considered in proposed lane-changing model.

There are a total of 147 lane changes observed in trajectory dataset, and the average lane changes is 1.28 lane changes per vehicle in study area; of these, 87 lane
changes (59.18%) were made from current lane to left lane and the rest were made to right lane. It should be noted that most of lane changes (110 out of 147, 74.83%) were made after vehicle reaching the last section, while 33 lane changes (22.45%) happened more than one section ahead the exit point and only 8 out 147 (5.44%) occurred two section away; most of these are discretionary lane changes that heading to the opposite direction of vehicles’ target lane. The section distribution of lane changes is given in Figure 8.

**Figure 8 Distribution of Lane Changes Location over Sections**

![Distribution of Lane Changes Location over Sections](image)

4.3 Estimation Result

The calculating process and the whole set of parameters are described in previous section. Table 3 presents the summary of estimation results of the proposed model, while Table 4 presents the optimal set of parameters.
**Table 3** General Estimation Information of Lane-changing Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best log-likelihood</td>
<td>-16105.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial log-likelihood</td>
<td>-18326.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drivers</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>12837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of parameters</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Best Solution of Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Optimized value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sob-model 1: Target lane choice model</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dis_ex</td>
<td>Relative distance from exit point</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dis_q</td>
<td>Relative distance of queue</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l_w</td>
<td>Lanes away from target lane</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_tl</td>
<td>Target lane dummy</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_cl</td>
<td>Current lane dummy</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sob-model 2: Gap acceptance model</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_gap</td>
<td>Gap constant</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ct_gap</td>
<td>Available gaps (second)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α_gap</td>
<td>Critical gaps mean</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ_gap</td>
<td>Critical gaps standard deviation</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The definition of variable is given in Table 5.

**Table 5 Definition of Variables in Lane-changing Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative distance from exit point</td>
<td>The longitudinal distance remaining to reach the planned exit of vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanes away from target lane</td>
<td>Number of lane changes needs to make to reach planned target lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative distance of queue</td>
<td>The longitudinal distance to last front vehicle stops in lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target lane dummy</td>
<td>1 if the lane is the planned target lane of vehicle, 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current lane dummy</td>
<td>1 if the lane is the current lane of vehicle, 0 otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap constant</td>
<td>Constant in mean of lead critical gap function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available gaps (second) mean</td>
<td>Available time gaps between preceding and following vehicles in adjacent lane (left/ right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical gaps standard deviation</td>
<td>Standard deviation of critical gap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the optimized parameters, the proposed model can be expressed as follows:

\[
U_{i,t} = 0.37 \cdot dis_{ex}^{-1} l_w - 1.31 l_w + 1.14 \cdot dis_q + 8.71 d_{tl} + 4.30 d_{cl} + \varepsilon_{in}
\]  

(14)

where \( U_{i,t} \) is the utility of lane \( i \) at time \( t \) and \( \varepsilon_{in} \) represents the generic random term associated with lanes utility. For variables of other parameter terms in above equation, refer to Table 4.
And the gap acceptance model can be presented as follow:

\[ G_{n,t}^{cr} = \exp[0.21 + 0.79ct_{gap} - 0.82v_n + \epsilon_{g,nt}] \]  

(15)

where \( G_{n,t}^{cr} \) is the critical gaps for lane changes behaviors, \( ct_{gap} \) represents available gaps surrounding subject vehicles and \( \epsilon_{g,nt} \sim N(0, 0.93^2) \).

4.4 Discussion

The estimated parameters help predict the drivers’ desirable lane at every time interval during the entire period traveling on the mainline. As expected, the results indicate that drivers’ intention to travel in the target lane increases with the decrease of distance to the planned exit; the aggressiveness of lane change to the target lane is much larger when more than one lane change movement is needed. The current lane dummy and target lane dummy captures the driving tendency of keeping lateral location and following desire travel path respectively; the relative large value of these two variables reflect drivers’ strong preference to avoid unnecessary lateral movement on arterials (for example, a change to left while the target lane is on the right). The parameter of distance to back of queue captures the influence of intersections and signals. The result that lanes with short queue ahead having larger utility values indicates drivers’ tendency to avoid waiting in queue. The critical gap increases with the available gap. The critical gaps values fluctuated, with a lower limit value around 2 seconds.

The estimation method, data and results of proposed model are present in this section. With the maximization of likelihood function, parameters of a set of variables are optimized; the results of parameters are consistent with the original hypothesis on
lane selection process. Next section will presents the validation process set to attempt to evaluate the ability of proposed model to forecast lane change behaviors.
5. MODEL VALIDATION

In this section, the predicted lane changes movements from proposed model are compared against the real vehicle lane changes movements for validity. The general procedure of comparison includes:

- Extracting lane changes information such as location and direction from vehicle trajectories,
- Generating predicted lane position sequence using the proposed lane-changing model

In the validation process, the trajectory data from the 15-minute dataset collected from the same site was used for forecasting lane change behaviors. The reduced vehicle trajectory dataset for validation contains 55253 observations of 433 vehicles, with all vehicles traveling on mainline of selected segment of arterial. Information such as vehicle speed and acceleration is extracted and used to generate aggregate statistics of the original data. Same with the estimation process, the validation is implemented through scripts written in statistical software R. Comparisons were made between the outcome from the proposed model and lane change movements recorded in dataset. The dataset used, and the validation process and results are presents below.
5.1 Validation Data

5.1.1 General Information

The second 15-minute dataset is used for model validation. After randomly sampling, the reduced vehicle trajectory data contains 55253 observations of 433 vehicles, all vehicles traveling on mainline of selected segment of arterial. Information such as vehicle speeds and accelerations is extracted and used to generate aggregate statistics of original data.

5.1.2 Data Characteristics

The first 17-minute dataset was used for estimation. Due to the compute limit of parameters estimation, the vehicle trajectory data was randomly sampled at the rate of 1 per every 5 vehicles. The reduced dataset contains 12837 observations of 115 vehicles.

Dataset used for validation contains 433 vehicles, the average trajectory observation duration is 63.80 seconds, and the vehicle with longest observations during was recorded for 175.0 seconds. Out of the 433 observation trajectories, 172 vehicles (39.7%) traveling northbound and 261 (60.3%) vehicles traveling southbound on the arterial. Observed vehicles are mostly automobiles (427 out of 433, 98.6%) with only 6 trucks (1.4%) present in dataset. The number of vehicles exited from left turn lane is 241, reaches a higher proportion (55.7%) than vehicles exited from right turn lane (192 vehicles, 44.3%).

The aggregate statistic of lane-specific data are given in Table 6.
### Table 6 Aggregate Statistics on Different Lanes from Validation Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lane 1</th>
<th>Lane 2</th>
<th>Lane 3</th>
<th>Lane 4</th>
<th>Lane 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average speed (ft./sec)</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>26.11</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average queue ahead (number of vehicle)</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max queue (number of vehicle)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aggregate statistic shows a big difference among lanes: the slower speed and longer queue on the left/right most lane and its adjacent lane is result from high volume of turning vehicle, which account for 30.2% of total volume. Due to the geometric limitation of turning bay (lane 1 and lane 5), the accumulated queue for turning has been transfer to adjacent through lane, with an average queue longer than two vehicles. While vehicles traveling on lane 3 encountered much shorter queue.

There are a total of 683 lane changes observed in trajectory dataset, and the average number of lane changes is 1.58 per vehicle in this study area; of these, 301 lane changes (44.07%) were made from the current lane to left lanes and the rest were made to right lanes. It should be noted that most of lane changes (577 out of 683, 84.48%) were made after vehicle reaching the last section, out of these 95 lane changes occurred more than one section ahead the exit point and the rest two sections away.

The section distribution of lane changes is given in Table 7.
Table 7 Lane Changes Distribution among Sections in Validation Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
<th>All directions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of vehicles</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.2 Validation**

In order to test proposed model, scripts written in R is used to implement the prediction of lane change behavior among observation period, with vehicle information and environment data extracted from original validation dataset. The probability of vehicle lane position are calculated every 0.5 second. And the predicted lane change point are determined by the time that the lane with the largest probability changed from previous time point. The validation process of traffic model establishes its validity by testing and comparing lane changes statistics obtained from proposed model and from the summaries of trajectory data presented in previous section, discretionary lane changes in original dataset is excluded as its behavior have not been emphasized in proposed model.
5.2.1 Result

For every vehicle trajectory in the validation dataset, a corresponding predicted lane position sequence is generated. The following figure (Figure 9) shows the lane position outcome from the proposed lane change model for a single right turn vehicle (vehicle id: 77). As shown in figure, the horizontal axis indicates the distance to exit point, and the vertical axis presents the lateral position of lanes along the traveling direction. It presents lateral position of vehicle and location of its lane changes; the predict location from lane 3 to lane 4 is about 30 feet precede to the observed point while the location to the right most lane is a little behind.

**Figure 9** Lane Position of a Vehicle along the Traveling Direction
The generated lane position sequence is compared to its corresponding lane change location of trajectory data, which was extracted and presented in previous section. The locations of lane change simulated by proposed model and extracted from trajectories is compared in Figure 10. As presented, the distribution of predicted lane change location is accord with the trajectory data. The observed lane change locations are more concentrate to certain segment of the road, while the predict lane change points
show a close tendency to exit: no lane changes is made 500 feet away from vehicles exit point.

**Table 8** Lane Changes Distribution Comparison among Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>In section 2</th>
<th>In section 3</th>
<th>In section 4</th>
<th>Outside the section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory observed</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 presents the distribution of predicted mandatory lane changes and those from the observation from the trajectory data. Each vehicles makes an average of 1.58 lane changes while traveling the whole section in the data and the predicted value from the model is 1.38 lane changes. There are 554 predicted lane changes, comparing to the actual observed lane changes of 683. The slightly low number of lane changes is due to the fact that our model is a mandatory lane change model that heavily penalizes lance changes away from the target lane. Such discretionary lane changes do happen in in the real-world but is not accounted for because the focus of our model is mandatory lane changing. The results indicate that overall the predicted lane change locations (in terms of sections) match well with the observed locations. It needs to be noted that the predicted lane change point are determined by the probability that the subject vehicle travels in certain lane.

The ratio of observed lane change location to predicted lane change location $r_c$ is proposed as:
\[ r_c = \frac{r_{lc}}{r_{plc}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where \( r_{lc} \) is the distance ratio of the observed lane change point, and \( r_{plc} \) represents the distance ratio of the predict lane change point. The location ratio is used here to identify relative lane change locations simulated by proposed model to observation data. Table 9 presents the location ratio among 5 lane change scenarios.

**Table 9** Location Ratio among Lanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral movement direction</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Number of lane changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change to left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From lane 3 to lane 2</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From lane 2 to lane 1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change to right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From lane 2 to lane 3</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From lane 3 to lane 4</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From lane 4 to lane 5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Figure 11, the location ratio of predicted lane changes among all direction is 1.17, which indicates the predicted lane change location precedes to the observed location, especially for lane changes between through lanes (lane 2 through 4). However, the lane change location to turning lane (lane 1 and lane 5) only slightly behind the actual location observed in trajectory data.

Figure 12 presents the percentage of predicted lane changes occurred preceding to the observed location. Most of lane changes among through lanes are behind its observation location (for lane changes from lane 3 to lane 2, from lane 2 to lane 3 and from lane 3 to lane 4 is 13.3%, 15.0% and 20% respectively). Thus, the prediction of lane changes to turning lane is more reliable compared to lane changes between through lanes.
The distributions of lane change locations and its cumulative percentage of the three lane change movements are presented in Figure 13-17. Heavy lane change locations are identified if lane changes concentrate on a specific segments of the arterial. By comparing two distribution curves for lane changes from lane 2 to lane 1 (Figure 13), the observed and predicted cumulative curves match well, with more mandatory lane changes predicted closer to the exit point. Figure 14 presents the distribution from lane 3 to lane 2.
Figure 13 Distribution of Lane Change Location from Lane 2 to Lane 1

Figure 14 Distribution of Lane Change Location from Lane 3 to Lane 2
**Figure 15** Distribution of Lane Change Location from Lane 2 to Lane 3

**Figure 16** Distribution of Lane Change Location from Lane 3 to Lane 4
Moreover, aggregated location of lane changes can be observed in Figure 15 and 16 represents lane changes from lane 2 to lane 3 and lane 3 to lane 4 respectively. For lane changes from lane 3 to lane 4, the observed distribution shows two aggregate sections that located respectively at 180 and 400 feet precede to the intersection. However, 75% of predicted lane changes were made at a 150-feet section peak at 200 feet before exit. Lane changes among lane 2 and lane 3 distributed among traveling segment and tend not accumulative a certain section. Thus, the predicted outcomes are not in accord with observed lane changes.

For lane changes into the right-turning lane (from lane 4 to lane 5, presents in Figure 17), it can also be noted that the predicted mandatory lane changes tend to
concentrate towards the exit point. The results also shows that the predicted lane change point of right turn vehicle is not as precise as predictions for the left turn lane, which may be resulted from the geometric limitation of the exclusive turning bay. For lane changes from lane 4 to lane 5 in section 3 (exclusive turning bay available in both direction), the location ratio reaches 0.90, but this ratio is not as good when the exclusive right turning lane is not available. The interaction with through vehicles on shared through and right turn lanes likely have contributed to this inconsistency.

The validation of proposed lane-changing model is presented in this section. The comparison of the lane change location ratio and location distribution of different movement directions were discussed. The results suggest that the model is fit for the purpose of representing mandatory lane change behaviors on arterials.
6. CONCLUSION

This study develops a mandatory lane-changing model for urban arterials with HMM method. The decision process of lane changes is separated into two steps: lane choice and acceptance of available gaps. With the integration of the two steps into a HMM framework, the lane-changing model offers the prediction of lane identifications that emphasized the state dependence. The result of first step, target lane choice, is modeled as the latent state in HMM because the choice is unobservable. The second step, gap acceptance model relates the lane choice to observable vehicle trajectories. After identification of influential variables and parameters estimation, the proposed model is capable of generating lane position predictions and presenting lane changes locations.

With the integration of two steps, the decisions of lane changes is assumed to determine by outcomes of two sub-mode; in addition, the HMM method emphasizing the state dependence of previous choices. Thus, the integrated lane-changing model offers the prediction of lane changes behaviors. The result of first step, target lane choice, is modeled as the latent state in HMM because the choice is unobservable. The second step, gap acceptance model related the lane choice to observable vehicle trajectories. After identification of influential variables and parameters estimation, the predictions of lane changes behavior are presented.

The results of validation show an average 17% difference on location of lane changes when compared with real vehicle trajectory. And lane change locations to left
turn lane and right turn lane show 10% and 13% difference respectively. The generated lane changes show a late tendency of movements among through lanes. However, the location results should still be within a reasonable range of sections.

There is still work to be done on this topic in the future. Future improvements of this research may include: deploying the proposed model in microscopic traffic simulation and comparing MOEs obtained from simulated traffics, checking the applicability of proposed model to different traffic scenarios if other suitable datasets are available. Additionally, it would be interesting and challenging to consider more factors such as vehicle classes and its acceleration ability. With considering more variables and balancing trade-offs between discretionary lane changes and mandatory lane changes, the future model might be able to representing the lane change behaviors in various traffic scenarios.
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### Table Information Structure in Original Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle ID</td>
<td>Vehicle identification number</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frame ID</td>
<td>Frame Identification number</td>
<td>1/10 of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total Frames</td>
<td>Total number of frames in which the vehicle appears in this data set</td>
<td>1/10 of a second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Global Time</td>
<td>Elapsed time since Jan 1, 1970</td>
<td>Milliseconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Local X</td>
<td>Lateral coordinate of the front center of the vehicle</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal coordinate of the front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Local Y</td>
<td>center of the vehicle along the median of the Lankershim Boulevard</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vehicle Length</td>
<td>Length of vehicle</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vehicle Width</td>
<td>Width of vehicle</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Vehicle Velocity</td>
<td>Instantaneous velocity of vehicle</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Vehicle Acceleration</td>
<td>Instantaneous acceleration of vehicle</td>
<td>Feet/Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane Identification</td>
<td>Current lane position of vehicle</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Origin Zone</td>
<td>Origin zones of the vehicles</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Destination Zone</td>
<td>Destination zones of vehicles</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Intersection in which the vehicle is traveling</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Section in which the vehicle is traveling</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>Moving direction of vehicle</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>Movement of vehicle. 1 – through, 2 – left turn, 3 – right turn.</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Preceding vehicle</td>
<td>Vehicle ID of the lead vehicle in the same lane.</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Following vehicle</td>
<td>Vehicle ID of the following vehicle in the same lane.</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>Distance between front-center of the subject vehicle and front-center of its preceding vehicle</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Headway</td>
<td>Time to travel from subject vehicle to its preceding vehicle</td>
<td>Seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>