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ABSTRACT

An archaeological survey of a proposed 1.08 mile water line and a water treatment plant in east-central Sabine County, Texas was performed on May 11 and 12, 2009 by Brazos Valley Research Associates (BVRA) for the Beechwood Water Supply Corporation (WSC) under Antiquities Permit 5209. In all, the area comprises 1.96 acres. Twenty-one shovel tests dug through sandy loam, disturbed fill, and clay to depths of 10 to 100 cm were negative in terms of producing cultural materials. No artifacts were collected. It is, therefore, recommended that construction be allowed to proceed as planned. Copies of the report are on file at the Texas Historical Commission (THC); Texas Archeological Research Laboratory (TARL); the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), Beechwood WSC, and BVRA.
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INTRODUCTION

The Beechwood WSC proposes to improve its distribution system by adding approximately 1.08 miles of water line designed to serve approximately 500 existing customers in rural Sabine County (Figure 1). The current project area excludes a portion of the proposed water line project area because this area was deemed to be an unlikely setting for archaeological sites. The area examined includes a proposed pipeline that will be installed within the right-of-way of a private road in the proposed South Bend Estates Subdivision, a private road (formerly Farm-to-Market Road 3315), the current Farm-to-Market Road 3315, and a two acre tract where a water treatment plant will be constructed. The water line will be placed on the west side of the private road and the southeast side of the former Farm-to-Market Road 3315 until it approaches Mt. Sinai Cemetery. In order to avoid the cemetery, the water line will be placed on the north side of the road until it reaches the current Farm-to-Market Road 3315 where it runs along the north side of the road until it reaches the water treatment plant. The water line will be placed in a trench with three feet of cover, and the maximum width of the trench will be two feet. The construction easement is fifteen feet. Dry Creek is the nearest stream to the project area and is classified as a low-flow, intermittent stream.

All proposed improvements will be performed according to requirements of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development. All applicable environmental agencies have been contacted for their review and assessment of the project. According to a letter from Robert Baker (Natural Resource Specialist IV) at the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, no threatened or endangered species will be affected by the project as currently planned. The project area is located in close proximity to the Sabine National Forest, and this agency was contacted to see if they had any concerns. According to e-mail from David Purser (Environmental Coordinator, Region 8) of the National Forest Service dated October 16, 2008, “the proposal should not affect National Forest Lands.” The project area is depicted on the USGS 7.5' topographic quadrangle Fairmount, TX dated 1985 (3193-213) (Figure 2).
Figure 1. General Location
Figure 2. Project Area on USGS Topographic Quadrangle
Following a review of this project by S. Chris Caran at the TWDB and the staff archaeologists at the THC, an archeological survey of the proposed route of the water distribution line from the standpipe connection to the water treatment plant was recommended. The letter also asked that special care be exercised in the area of the Mt. Sinai Cemetery to document the limits of the cemetery’s graves in relation to the project’s Area of Potential Effect (APE). In order to comply with this request, the Beechwood WSC retained BVRA of Bryan, Texas to conduct this investigation.
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The project area is located within the West Gulf Coastal Plain physiographic province as defined by Fenneman (1946) and the Austroiriparian biotic province as defined by Blair (1950:98-100). The reader is referred to Volume I (Stratigraphy) of the Geology of Texas by Sellards et al. (1932) for a more in-depth discussion of the geology of this area. Data taken from the Texas Almanac for 1984-1985 (Kingston and Harris 1983) state that the county receives 49.94 inches of annual rainfall. When combined with a January minimum temperature of 38 degrees Fahrenheit and a July maximum temperature of 94 degrees Fahrenheit, a growing season of 240 days results. Fauna known to be present within the project area at various times of the year include fox and gray squirrel, armadillo, raccoon, opossum, cottontail rabbits, white-tailed deer, coyote, beaver, and feral hogs. Many birds utilize the site including dove, meadowlark, killdeers, various sparrows, great blue herons, bluebirds, mockingbirds, and cardinals.

According to soil data obtained online,* there are only two soil types within the path of the water line and in the footprint of the water treatment plant. These are Letney loamy sand, 1 to 5 percent slopes (LiB) and Moswell loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes (MsB). The LiB soils are present at the standpipe and continue to the water treatment plant where MsB soils are found. LiB soils are found on interfluves with slopes of 1 to 5 percent. These are well drained soils with the water table at more than 80 inches. Frequency of flooding is listed as none, and the available water capacity is moderate. A typical profile is loamy sand from 0 to 24 inches overlying sandy clay loam from 24 to 80 inches. MsB soils are found on interfluves with slopes of 1 to 5 percent. These are well drained soils with the water table at more than 80 inches. Frequency of flooding is listed as none, and the available water capacity is moderate. A typical profile is loam from 0 to 5 inches followed by clay from 5 to 80 inches.

* The recent soil data was obtained from an online publication at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/
ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Sabine County is located in the Northeast Texas Cultural-Geographical Region of Texas as defined by Biesaart et al. (1985) in a planning document published by the Texas Historical Commission. Sabine County (with 91 recorded sites in 1985) was 11th in the region that consists of 30 counties. Of the 91 recorded sites in 1985, 2 are Paleo-Indian, 2 are Early Archaic, 9 are Late Archaic, 10 are General Archaic, and 69 are Late Prehistoric. Disturbance to sites in the county is listed as erosion (n=89), construction (n=11), disturbed and artificially capped (n=38), deflated (n=1), dispersed (n=49), and vandalized (n=42). Investigation at sites in the county in 1985 consisted of excavated (n=1), tested by hand (n=17), and surface collected (n=81). Nineteen sites were documented as containing human remains. Sites with significant features included borrow areas (n=1), wattle and daub (n=3), hearths (n=2), burned rock (n=2), midden soil (n=3), pits (n=1), earthworks (n=5), stone quarries (n=1), stone tool manufacturing areas (n=5), and refuse areas for technology related debris (n=1). The planning document does not provide site numbers that can be associated with the above statements. The project area is also located in the Northeast Texas Archeological Study Region of the Eastern Planning Region as defined by the Department of Antiquities Protection in Archeology in the Eastern Planning Region, Texas: A Planning Document (Kenmotsu and Perttula 1993) (Figure 3). According to the planning document, there were 116 sites recorded in the county as of 1991 (Kenmotsu and Perttula 1993:Table 2.1.1). In the region, Sabine County was one of nineteen counties in terms of numbers of sites recorded with less than 037 sites per kilometer. Of the 116 recorded sites, 11 were considered not significant, 73 were of unknown significance, 31 were probably significant, and 1 was significant. At the time of this survey there were 349 recorded prehistoric and historic sites in the county. Many of the recently recorded sites are the result of work in the Sabine National Forest by staff archaeologists or by private contract firms in response to oil and gas exploration by energy companies in the forest. As of March 24, 2009, there were only two sites listed in the in the National Register of Historic Places. These are the Oliphant House seven miles east of Milam on State Highway 21 and the Toole Building on Main Street in Hemphill. There are no sites that have been documented as a State Archeological Landmark.

In 1990, William A. Martin of the Texas Historical Commission compiled a bibliography for the Northeastern Region of Texas (Martin 1990). Martin cites thirty-five references for Sabine County, an indication of the sparse amount of work in the area by professional archaeologists. The majority of work by professional archaeologists in the county has been associated with Toledo Bend Reservoir on the Texas-Louisiana border and within the Sabine National Forest.
Figure 3. Northeastern Region of Texas
There are four prehistoric sites located within 1.36 kilometers (0.84 mile) from the current project area. These are 41SB100, 41SB101, 41SB102, and 41SB103. The nearest site is 41SB100. This is a prehistoric site located approximately 1500 meters to the north of the proposed 8-inch water distribution line along the road that passes through the proposed South Bend Estates subdivision and approximately 1500 meters to the west of the proposed 8-inch raw water line along Beech Ridge Loop in the existing Beechwood subdivision. Site 41SB100 was recorded in 1967 during a survey for the proposed Toledo Bend Reservoir, and it is described on the site form as a semi-permanent cultivation site 40 meters in diameter with a possible associated “chipping area” 200 meters to the west. The site form also states that the chipped debitage is clustered around possible wattle and daub shelters, but it does not provide any evidence for this statement. The depth and character of fill is described as red clay underlying 20 to 30 cm of loose sand. According to the map on the Texas Archeological Sites Atlas (hereafter referred to as the Atlas), this site is located on a hill between two short tributaries of Dry Creek, and the site form states that these tributaries are spring-fed. The site form consists of one page, and it provides little information.

Sites 41SB101 – 41SB103 are located to the north, approximately 3.6 kilometers from the proposed water line. These sites, along with 41SB100, were recorded by Blake L. Benham during the Toledo Bend survey in 1967. The site forms are very brief, provide little useful information, and some of the statements do not seem to be correct. For example, site 41SB101 is described as a Late Archaic gathering-hunting site, but there are no diagnostic artifacts to support this statement. According to the site form, the only artifacts found were pink chalcedony, petrified wood, and pebble cherts. No artifacts are mentioned on the site form for 41SB103. Of the three recorded sites, only 41SB102 appears to be legitimate as it produced an unidentified amount of sherds. There are no statements as to the research potential of the four sites, but there is a recommendation as to the number of days for testing or excavation. Testing and/or excavation was recommended for sites 41SB100, 41SB102, and 41SB103.

Two linear surveys were conducted in 1998 by the TWDB along private roads in an existing subdivision. One of the areas investigated covers 400 feet of the area examined during this survey. According to the Atlas, these surveys found no sites. The Atlas does not indicate that the rest of the project area has been surveyed by a professional archaeologist. However, the four sites mentioned above were found during a survey for the proposed Toledo Bend Reservoir. Apparently, they examined the high probability areas along the creeks. If that is the case, then the surveyors for Toledo Bend may not have considered the current project area as a likely setting for one or more prehistoric sites, and this area was ignored. Since the area surveyed for Toledo Bend is not depicted on the Atlas it is not known if the project area was examined.
On January 26, 2009, a pre-survey assessment was conducted by the Principal Investigator in order to determine the potential for archaeological sites within the project area in order to provide a cost estimate to the client for conducting an archaeological survey (Moore 2009). This assessment concluded that the route of the new water line, as proposed at the time, passed through a low probability area for a significant prehistoric site. Therefore, survey was not recommended. The Mt. Sinai Cemetery was viewed as an important historic site that should be avoided to ensure that unmarked graves would not be disturbed.

Overviews of the area include works by Woodall (1972) and Story, et al. (1990). Prehistoric occupations in the region cover all time periods from Paleoindian through Historic Caddoan, circa 9500 B.C. - A.D. 1860 (Kenmotsu and Perttula 1993:44). The reader is referred to this comprehensive and well-organized document for additional information regarding the archaeological background for Sabine County and vicinity. In addition to the above-mentioned sources, information regarding past work in the county can be found in a now-defunct series published by the Texas Historical Commission entitled Abstracts in Texas Contract Archeology. These volumes number six and contain abstracts for all reports in the Texas Historical Commission library for the years 1987 – 1992. They were compiled by William E. Moore with assistance from William A. Martin, Janice Murray, and Stephanie Stoermer Strickland.
METHODS

Prior to entering the field, the Atlas and the site records at the Texas Archeological Research Laboratory were checked for previously recorded sites and past surveys in the area. Three important reports were reviewed during the planning stages of this project. These are a statistical overview by the Texas Historical Commission (Biesaart et al. 1985), a planning document by the Department of Antiquities Protection (Kenmotsu and Perttula 1993), and an archeological bibliography for the Northeastern Region of Texas (Martin 1990). The interested reader is referred to these sources for additional information regarding the prehistory of this area.

The area selected for survey by the TWDB was examined by a visual inspection of the entire surface and shovel tests in selected locations. The number of shovel tests surpassed the number required in the "Minimum Survey Standards" as defined by the THC. The tests were excavated to depths of 10 to 100 cm through disturbed fill and sandy soil. These tests were terminated when the APE was reached or clay was encountered. All earth excavated during shovel testing was screened using ¼ inch hardware cloth, and shovel test data were recorded on a data log, which appears as Appendix I to this report. The location of each test was plotted on a field map. Location data were collected and documented with Garmin GPS-aided computer topographic mapping programs, National Geographic Topo, and ESRI ArcMap. Photographs were taken with a Kodak digital camera, and certain photographs were enhanced using Adobe Photoshop software. Shovel test data were entered onto an Excel spreadsheet (Appendix I), and field notes were entered into a Microsoft Word document in the field.

The field investigation was conducted on May 11 and 12, 2009. Two areas were examined. These are the proposed route of the water distribution line along a private road in the area scheduled for development as the South Bend Estates subdivision, the old Farm-to-Market Road 3315 (now a private road), and the current Farm-to-Market Road 3315. This segment is Area A. The rest of the area examined was the footprint of the proposed water treatment plant (Area B). They are described below.

Area A

As stated above, this area is that segment where the water distribution line will be installed along the west side of a private road in the proposed South Bend Estates subdivision, along the southeast side of the old Farm-to-Market Road 3315 (now a private road), and in the northern right-of-way of Farm-to Market Road 3315 (Figure 4). This area begins at the proposed standpipe and parallels the private road for a distance of 850 feet (Figure 5).
At the time of this survey, vegetation at the standpipe location consisted of small pines and sassafras. The surface visibility was excellent. This area occupies an upland ridge with brown sandy loam over orange sandy loam, referred to as Letney loamy sand by the soil survey for Sabine County. Five shovel tests were dug along the private road. The first test was excavated at the standpipe location, and the remaining four tests were dug along the private road. Each test was terminated at a depth of 100 cm without encountering the orange clay that was observed in a nearby cutbank. Currently, there is an existing water line and underground gas line in the area. The segment along the old Farm-to-Market Road 3315 is 3400 feet in length. The right-of-way had been maintained through mowing and has a buried water line and overhead transmission lines. Nine shovel tests were excavated in this area (Figure 6), and the soils were observed to be tan sandy loam in the upper levels and orange sandy loam and/or orange clay in the lower levels. Much of the area had a disturbed fill at the surface to a depth of 40 cm.
According to Ben Powell, the disturbed fill was the result of a power mulcher machine that the landowner used to mix the upper vegetation layer with the soil and tilled it to 30 to 40 cm. In the area of the Fairdale Missionary Church, the proposed route consists of cement driveways and sidewalks (Figure 7). On the south side of this road is the Mt. Sinai Cemetery (Figure 8).

The final section of Area A is the northern right-of-way of Farm-to-Market Road 3315. Here, two shovel tests were excavated (Figure 9). This area consists of a clay hill that has been cut through by the road. The rest of this route is also clay and has been disturbed. According to the Atlas, 400 feet of the 1300 foot area has been previously surveyed by professional archaeologists. Soils in this area are described by the soil survey for Sabine County as Moswell loam overlying clay.
Figure 6. Shovel Tests in Area A
Figure 7. Fairdale Missionary Church (facing east)
Figure 8. Mt. Sinai Cemetery (facing south)
The proposed water treatment plant site consists of 2.49 acres and is on a hill adjacent to two existing water tank structures and a storage facility for the water supply corporation. The rest of the area is in cleared woods with scattered hardwoods and mowed grass (Figure 10). Much of the ground surface was exposed, and visibility in this area was excellent. Five shovel tests were excavated in this area (Figure 11), and the soil was observed to be sandy loam over clay at the top of the hill and predominantly shallow sandy loam over clay at the bottom of the hill.
Figure 10. Area B (facing east)
Figure 11. Location of Shovel Tests in Area B
RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The records check at TARL revealed that no professional investigations have been conducted in the project area, and no archaeological sites are known to exist. Soils in the project area were found to consist of sandy loam overlying firm orange clay in some areas. Parts of the area consisted of disturbed fill from mulching activities. The depth of sandy loam soils varied from 10 to 100 cm. This is in contrast to the soils reported at nearby site 41SB100 where the sandy mantle is described on the site form as only 20 to 30 cm deep. This study concludes that the route of the water line in the area examined was not considered to be a suitable location for a prehistoric site because it is 260 meters from an intermittent tributary of Dry Creek, the nearest source of water. Although the project area is on a sandy ridge overlooking Dry Creek, there are landforms along this ridge that are much closer to this stream. These landforms are similar to those where site 41SB100 is located. Therefore, they are viewed as high probability areas, and the route of the proposed water line is viewed as a low probability area. Mt. Sinai Cemetery is a historic cemetery with graves dating to the 19th and 20th centuries with the first internment dating to 1894. It was designated a Historic State Cemetery in 2006. This cemetery is still in use and is within 60 feet of the proposed water distribution line.
RECOMMENDATIONS

No archaeological sites were found during the surface inspection or shovel testing within the area recommended for survey by the THC and the TWDB. It is, therefore, recommended that construction be allowed to proceed as planned by the Beechwood WSC without further consultation with the THC and TWDB within the route of the proposed water line and within the footprint of the water treatment plant. At the recommendation of the THC that the water distribution line be placed at a distance from the Mt. Sinai Cemetery that will avoid disturbing unmarked graves, the route was relocated to the north side of the road. Should construction plans change to include additional water line in an area that can be viewed as a likely setting for a prehistoric site, the TWDB and the THC must be notified in case additional survey by a professional archaeologist is warranted. Also, if cultural materials are unearthed during construction, all work in the area of the find must stop until the TWDB and the THC can evaluate the situation. This study conformed to the Minimum Survey Standards as defined by the Archaeology Division of the THC.
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APPENDIX I: SHOVEL TEST LOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHOVEL TEST NUMBER</th>
<th>DEPTH IN CM</th>
<th>SOIL TYPE</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam (0-40 cm) over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam (0-40 cm) over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam (0-30 cm) over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam 0-30 cm over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam (0-40 cm) over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>brown sandy loam 0-40 cm over orange sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>disturbed fill 0-60 cm) over orange sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>disturbed fill over clay</td>
<td>disturbed fill 0-40 cm over orange clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>disturbed fill over sandy loam</td>
<td>disturbed fill 0-40 cm over tan sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>disturbed fill over sandy clay loam</td>
<td>disturbed fill (0-30 cm) over gray sandy clayey loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>disturbed fill over sandy clay loam over clay</td>
<td>disturbed fill (0-30 cm); gray sandy clay loam over orange clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>disturbed fill (0-30 cm); tan sandy loam over orange clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>disturbed fill over sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>disturbed fill (0-30 cm); tan sandy loam over orange clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>orange clay in ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>orange clay in FM 3315 road cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>orange clay in FM 3315 road cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>orange clay @ 90 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>orange clay @ 50 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>orange clay @ 20 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>sandy loam over clay</td>
<td>orange clay @ 30 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>