#### IBM and Energy Efficiency Improving the environment and supporting our competitiveness

October, 2013 Yves Veilleux Manager Energy and Environmental programs





- IBM energy program, an ISO 50001 system
- Corporate monitoring and reporting tools
  - -Utility Accountant (UA)
  - -Enterprise Energy Monitoring System (EEMS)
  - -Business Intelligence @ IBM (BI @IBM)
  - -Corporate energy management dashboard
- Corporate energy management checklists
- Tririga solution
  - -Smarter Building monitoring system
- Corporate results summary



# IBM environmental policy summary

IBM is committed to environmental affairs leadership in all its business activities....the following are examples of corporate policy objectives:

- Provide a safe and healthful workplace
- Be an environmentally responsible neighbor.
- Conserve natural resource by reusing, recycling, purchase recycled materials, and using recyclable packaging and other materials
- Use development and manufacturing processes that do not adversely affect the environment, prevent air, water, and other pollution
- Ensure responsible use of energy
- Assist with worldwide solutions to environmental problems.
- Meet or exceed all applicable government requirements
- Conduct rigorous audits and self assessments
- Strive to continually improve IBM's EMS and performance.

EVERY EMPLOYEE AND EVERY CONTRACTOR on IBM premises is expected to follow this policy and report EH&S concern to IBM Management. Managers are expected to take PROMPT action.

### **Environmental Management System**





## Scope of IBM's Global Environmental Management System

 Covers all aspects of IBM's business operations that intersect with environmental sustainability, including energy and chemical management, encompassing:

✓ Research	✓ Procurement
✓ Product development	✓ Logistics
✓ Manufacturing	✓ Services
✓ Real Estate Management	✓ Software

 Defines roles & responsibilities, establishes framework for establishing voluntary goals, measurement, reporting and disclosure requirements.

- Defines IBM's global requirements and practices regarding, but not limited to:
  - $\checkmark$  Air emissions
  - ✓ Water management and discharges
  - ✓ Waste management
  - ✓ Secondary containment
  - ✓ Soil and groundwater protection
  - Energy management and conservation
  - ✓ Environmental due diligence

- ✓ Product stewardship and design-for-environment
- ✓ Process environmental impact assessment
- ✓ Chemical management
- Environmental requirements involving procurement
- ✓ Supplier requirements
- ✓ Environmental incident reporting





- Obtain ISO 50001 certification of IBM's energy management program at the corporate level
- Obtain ISO 50001 certification of energy management programs at ISO 14001 registered locations that are Top 100 energy consuming locations
  - Location energy management program will be audited by BVC during ISO 14001 surveillance audits in 2013 and 2014



Plan	Plan	<ul> <li>Usage</li> <li>Budget</li> <li>Energy Management Program</li> </ul>
	Deploy Objecti∨es	<ul> <li>Stake Holders</li> <li>Employees</li> </ul>
	Promote Energy Efficiency	At Home     At Work
Evente	Contract Energy Supply	Secured Sources     Predictable Cost
Execute	Identify Optimization Opportunities	<ul> <li>Standards and Best Practices</li> <li>Energy Reviews</li> <li>Design Reviews</li> <li>New Technologies</li> <li>Behaviours</li> </ul>
Verify	E∨aluate	Costs     Benefits     Risks     Resource Allocation     Engineering Studies
	Record, Analyze & Forecast	Consumption     Cost     Savings
Impro∨e	Implement Improvements	<ul> <li>Behavioural Changes</li> <li>Operational Efficiencies</li> <li>Technical Enhancements</li> </ul>

#### Corporate Energy Management tools: Energy Accounting System



- Four energy accounting system categories
- Natural progression of capabilities

Level	Accounting Category	Energy Accounting	IBM's Energy Accounting Systems
4	Engineering Accounting	<ol> <li>Energy consumption compared to accurate engineering models</li> <li>PUE, EUI and ECI benchmarking</li> </ol>	Energy Management Dashboard (3Q 2013)
3	Historical Accounting	<ol> <li>Effective cost center management</li> <li>Comparison to historical data</li> <li>Complete variance reports and reasons for variations</li> </ol>	BI@IBM Portal
2	Cost Accounting	<ol> <li>Calculation of energy flows</li> <li>Requires substantial energy metering</li> <li>Efficient use of cost centers</li> </ol>	Enterprise Energy Monitoring System (EEMS) Measures Application
1	General Accounting	<ol> <li>Basic site energy metering</li> <li>Development of reports</li> <li>Calculation of energy KPIs</li> </ol>	Utility Accountant (UA) Bill Entry

## General Accounting (Level 1)

Bill Entry and Utility Accountant (UA) provide our core capabilities

🁩 Utility A	ccountant	t - Bil	ll Entry							_ 🗆 ×
File Bill Items	Help									
Bill ID	155652	_	Invoice #		Serv	vice Address 🕞	iuadalaiara			
Custome	r IBM			1			iuadalajara			
Billing Account 4	1000131601		-	Ī			IEXICO			
Search By Meter \$	GUADALA-EI	2	-	Bill Details	25 Ut	ility Provider [	omision Fea	leral de	Electricida	d ?
Period Star	t 2/28/2010	•		ccount Details	E Rem	it to Address				
Davs	31	_	Bill Received Date 🚺	/1/2010 👻	1					
Pariad Env	2/21/2010		Dillanua Data 🚺	/1/2010 -	ſ					
Fellou End	075172010	<u> </u>		•	r					
Accounting Yea	r 2010 Period	3	Due Date 4	/13/2010 -	F	Route Bills to				
Bill Items X + +										
Meter #	Cmdty Type	Commo	odity	Qty	Unit	Cost M\$	Excl	Est	Expense A	ccouni P 🔺
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity	Commo Credito	odity o Aplicable	Qty 0.00	Unit MWh	Cost M\$	0.00 N	Est N	Expense A 69904	Account P 🔺
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor	odity o Aplicable · de Potencia	Qty 0.00 96.14	Unit MWh %	Cost M\$ -45,27	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N	Est N N	Expense A 69904 69904	Account P 401002 401002
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar	odity o Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00	Unit MWh % kW	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 96.26 N	Est N N N	Expense A 69904 69904	Accouni P ▲ 101002 101002 101002
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos	odity o Aplicable o de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00	Unit MWh % kW kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 06.26 N 04.69 N	Est N N N N	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904	Accouni P ▲ 401002 401002 401002 401002
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu	odity o Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00 684,125.00	Unit MWh % kW kWh kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 86.26 N 94.69 N 0.00 Y	Est N N N N N	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	Accouni P ▲ 401002 ↓ 401002 ↓ 401002 ↓ 401002 ↓ 401002 ↓
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu	odity o Aplicable o de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00 684,125.00 1,335,856.00	Unit MWh % kW kWh kWh kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 66.26 N 04.69 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y	Est N N N N N N	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	Instant         P           401002
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu Consu	odity o Aplicable ode Potencia da Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte	Qty 0.00 96.14 3.519.00 2,214.346.00 684,125.00 1,335,856.00	Unit MWh % kW kWh kWh kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 06.26 N 04.69 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y	Est N N N N N	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	Image: score with the score with
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu Consu	odity o Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte Bill History	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00 684,125.00 1,335,856.00 ✓ Refresh Bill	Unit MWh % kW kWh kWh kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 9.86 N 6.26 N 4.69 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y 0.00 Y	Est N N N N	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	kccouni         P           k01002         ↓           x01002         ↓           x01002         ↓
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 CUrrent Charge	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu Consu Consu	odity o Aplicable de Potencia da Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte Bill History AcctPeriod Fro	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00 684,125.00 1,335,856.00 Refresh Bill m To	Unit MWh % kW kWh kWh kWh	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 96.26 N 94.69 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y de Bill History M\$ Avg M\$	Est N N N N N Day Bil	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	Account P 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 ↓ 101002 ↓ 13 Periods Delete
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 MII Totals M\$ Current Charge Prev B-lance	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consu Consu Consu	odity o Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte Bill History AcetPeriod Fro 2010/3 2/28/	Qty 0.00 96.14 3.519.00 684.125.00 1.335,856.00 V Refresh Bill m To 20 3/31/20	Unit MWh % kWh kWh kWh fistory Days 31	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29 ✓ Show/Hii Total Due M 3,230,264.	Excl 0.00 N 79.86 N 16.26 N 14.63 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y de Bill History M\$ Avg M\$, 87 104,20	Est N N N N Day Bil 209	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904	Account         P           101002         ↓           101002         ↓           101002         ↓           101002         ↓           101002         ↓           ✓         13 Periods           Delete         ↓
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 Variet Charge Prev Balance	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consul Consul Consul	adity a Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Inte Bill History AcctPeriod Fro 2010/3 2/28/ 2010/2 1/31/	Qty 0.00 96.14 3.519.00 2.214.346.00 684.125.00 1.335.856.00 ▼ Refresh Bill m To 20 3/31/20 2/28/20	Unit MWh % kWh kWh kWh fistory Days 31 28 21	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29 ✓ Show/Hit Total Due N 3,230,264 2,846,017 2,255,446,017	Excl 0.00 N 9.86 N 9.626 N 14.69 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y ide Bill History 101,64 87 104,20 66 101,64 101,64 7 27	Est N N N N Day Bil 2.09 3.49	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 ârid Lines	Account P 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 ↓ 13 Periods Delete New
Meter # GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 GUADALA-EL-2 V W Bill Totals M\$ Current Charge Prev Balance Payment Received	Cmdty Type Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity Electricity	Commo Credito Factor Demar Cargos Consul Consul Consul 4.87 .00	adity a Aplicable de Potencia nda Facturable s por Energía Con mo en Horario Bas mo en Horario Inte Bill History AcctPeriod Fro 2010/3 2/28/ 2010/1 12/31 2009/12 11/30	Qty 0.00 96.14 3,519.00 2,214,346.00 684,125.00 1,335,856.00  ▼ Refresh Bill m To 20 2/28/20 22 2/28/20 22 2/28/20 22 2/28/20 24 1/31/20 25	Unit MWh % kWh kWh fistory Days 31 28 31 28 31 31	Cost M\$ -45,27 547,69 2,282,29 2,282,29 ✓ Show/Hit 3,230,264, 2,846,017, 2,255,428, 2,55,428, 2,55,1315	Excl 0.00 N 9.86 N 9.626 N 0.00 Y 0.00 Y 0.00 Y de Bill History M\$ Avg M\$. 87 104,20 66 101,64 47 72,75 12 82,30	Est N N N N Day Bil 2.09 3.49 5.76 0.49	Expense A 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 69904 8706 870 870 870 870 870 870 870 870 870 870	Account P 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 101002 13 Periods Delete New

- 250 worldwide users
  - 9 energy commodities
  - Over 40 currencies
  - Over 25 energy units of measure
- Seamless conversion to any currency or units of measure for reporting purposes

Multi-level Bill History								
Matti-level Bill History		Si Ci Pr	te/Campus: Guadalajara, M ty: EL SALTO ovider: All providers	X Report date: 5/14 Start period: 2013 End period: 2013	/2013 9:25:12 AM 3-1 (Jan-13) 3-3 (Mar-13)			
Site/Campus	Commodity	Unit	2013-1 (Jan-13)	2013-2 (Feb-13)	2013-3 (Mar-13)	Totals		
Guadalajara, MX	Diesel	s	477.83	5,763.37	0.00	6,241.20		
Guadalajara, MX	Diesel	MMBtu	21.13	253.61	0.00	274.74		
Guadalajara, MX	Electricity	S	231,700.70	203,743.77	254,100.99	689,545.46		
Guadalajara, MX	Electricity	MWh	2,055.40	1,783.44	2,350.35	6,189.19		
Guadalajara, MX	LPG	S	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Guadalajara, MX	LPG	MMBtu	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Guadalajara, MX	Natural Gas	S	2,952.61	2,955.87	3,189.98	9,098.46		
Guadalajara, MX	Natural Gas	MMBtu	470.32	481.10	471.97	1,423.40		
Note: Data is prorated to fiscal periods								

© 2013 IBM Corporation

## Pareto Analysis (Level 1)

Utility Accountant gathers data from 64 countries and 903 active sites

Dorcont

- Top 20 sites consume 55% of IBM's energy
- Top 100 sites consume 85% of IBM's energy
  - WW EnMP's Significant Energy Consumption

			FEIL				
	Sites					9	Montpellier, FR
			Consur	nption		10	TJ Watson Research, NY
Тор 20			55	%		11	Hortolandia, BR
Top 100			85	%		12	Tucson, AZ
Top 100 pl	us Tier 2 Site	es	95	%		13	Ehningen, DE
All Sites			10	ገ%		14	Portsmouth, UK
All Sites			10	570		15	Sterling Forest, NY
						16	Southbury, CT
						17	Gaithersburg, MD
						18	Warwick, UK
						19	Makuhari, JP
						20	Embassy Manyata, Banga
101 201	301	401	501	601	701	801	901



East Fishkill, NY

Burlington Plant, VT

Poughkeepsie, NY

Raleigh, NC

Boulder, CO

Rochester, MN

Bromont, QC

Austin, TX

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

10

## Tabular Analysis (Level 1)



- Segment energy use by building cluster and energy system
  - WW EnMP's Significant Energy Use (globally)
  - Site specific Significant Energy Use (similar type of analysis)

		Building Clusters					
Energy Systems	Manufacturing & Research	Data Centers	Office Spaces	Hardware Development & Labs	Total Use		
Data Center Load	10%	55 %		25 %	30 %		
HVAC	30 %	15 %	50 %	30 %	28 %		
Central Utility Plant (CUP)	25 %	25 %		20 %	18 %		
Lighting	5 %	5 %	25 %	10 %	10 %		
Plug Load			25 %	15 %	7 %		
Manufacturing Processes and Tools	30 %				7 %		
Total Use	22 %	47 %	25 %	6 %	100%		

Million Square Feet (MSF)	10.2	5.1	72.1	8.4	95.8
MSF %	10.6%	5.3%	75.3%	8.8%	100%

## Corporate monitoring tools: Cost Accounting (Level 2)

- Systems allow data deep dives and top level analysis
  - Selectable time periods
  - Powerful data conversions and aggregation \_\_\_\_
- Data can be segmented in a variety of ways which allows for:
  - Energy system analysis

Natural Gas

Electricity

Natural Gas

644.96

53,035.64

7,957.28

63,873.22

12,425.39



12

NETHERLANDS

NETHERLANDS

Coanos Weert

Woerden, Wyrda, NL

Woerden, Wyrda, NL

© 2013 IBM Corporation

55,047.01

7,769.14

171.955.87

28,151.81

## Advanced Energy Metering Solution (Level 2)



• Visualize and measure daily before and after impact of the project



## Corporate monitoring tools: Historical Accounting (Level 3)

- Basic Year to Date (YTD) Variance Report
  - Supplementary comments assist in understanding the situation

	YTD T Consum	otal Energy	Previous Year YTD Usage (MWh)	Current Year Usage MWH (MWh)	Year to Year % Change	
1	East Fishkill, NY	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	220,568	227,969	3.4%
2	Burlington Plant, VT	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	175,998	182,510	3.7%
3	Poughkeepsie, NY	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	93,343	91,207	-2.3%
4	Raleigh, NC	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	53,485	56,116	4.9%
5	Boulder, CO	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	56,809	51,021	-10.2%
6	Rochester, MN	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	42,732	44, 191	3.4%
8	Austin, TX	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	34,031	31,800	-6.6%
12	Tucson, AZ	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	18,013	16,350	-9.2%
93	Costa Mesa, CA - Filenet	NORTH AMERICA IOT	UNITED STATES	2,859	2,812	-1.7%

- 260 worldwide users
- Top 100 Sites monitoring and reporting capabilities support the WW EnMP

		Comments
1	East Fishkill, NY	
2	Burlington Plant, VT	Fuel oil #6 increase due to prolonged curtailments of natural gas and boiler compliance testing.
3	Poughkeepsie, NY	Fuel oil #2 increase due to change in reporting policy. 1Q13 fuel oil #6 consumption was zero.
4	Raleigh, NC	Natural gas increased due to 40% increase in Heating Degree Days and fifth coldest March on record.
5	Boulder, CO	
6	Rochester, MN	Natural gas increased due to 15% increase in Heating Degree Days.
8	Austin, TX	
12	Tucson, AZ	
93	Costa Mesa, CA - Filenet	

## Data Quality (Completeness & Accuracy) (Level 3)



- Data quality is a pillar of our energy accounting system
- Leverage a variety of embedded tools and processes to ensure reporting integrity
- Comprehensive
- Easy to use

Commodity	Geography/IOT	Country	Location Name	Number of Months Missing Data
Chilled Water	CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE GMT	BULGARIA	Sofia, Business Park Sofia	2
Diesel	GROWTH MARKETS UNIT	INDIA	Netsol, Bangalore, Grape Garden	2
Electricity	CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE GMT	BULGARIA	Sofia, Business Park Sofia	2
			Sofia, Porsche Center	1
		HUNGARY	Budapest Duna Tower	2
			Budapest Koeztelek	2
N/	iccing Data Ban	ort	Budapest/Infopark	1
	issing Data Rep		Szekesfehervar Aszalvoelgyi	1
			Szekesfehervar Berenyi	1

Range High: 300

	-					2013	
		Rate Diagno	stic Repor	t	3 (MAR)	2 (FEB)	1 (JAN)
	L	<b>U</b>	•		\$/MWh	\$/MWh	\$/MWh
EUROPE IOT	UNITED KING	DOM AND IRELAND IMT	UNITED KINGDOM S	Sampson House, UK	\$111.83	\$120.45	\$126.89
				Sheffield	\$341.26	\$379.49	\$362.76
				South Quay Plaza	\$7.23	\$149.54	\$149.51
				Southbank, UK	\$103.34	\$127.71	\$135.33

			2013					
			March					
			Electric Use Rate (\$/MWh)	Electric Savings Rate (\$/MWh)	Electric Rate Difference (%)	Fossil Fuel Use Rate (\$/MMBtu)	Fossil Fuel Savings Rate (\$/MMBtu)	Fossil Fuel Rate Difference (%)
	1	East Fishkill, NY	\$82.54	\$81.91	-0.8%	\$6.03	\$5.50	-8.9%
	7	Bromont, QC	\$46.10	\$46.02	-0.2%	\$9.90	\$9.06	-8.5%
Data Quality Report	13	Ehningen, DE	\$189.95	\$190.12	0.1%	\$21.81	\$31.15	42.8%
	19	Makuhari, JP	\$160.44	\$160.54	0.1%	\$66.46	\$92.95	39.9%
	21	Boeblingen Laboratory, DE	\$190.63	\$190.76	0.1%	\$27.17	\$36.90	35.8%
	57	Global Switch, Madrid, ES	\$149.32	\$172.05	15.2%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
	75	Atlanta, GA - Barfield Rd	\$60.62	\$65.09	7.4%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%

- Worldwide coverage
- Easy access and comparison data
- Energy consumption comparisons and calculations
  - Energy »Cost Index (ECI)
  - Energy Use Intensity (EUI)
  - Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE)

## Energy Cost Index (ECI) Benchmarking (Level 4)

- Not an indicator of efficiency since it does not normalize for energy rates
- High ECI values may point to key issues:
  - High consumption during peak hours
  - Power factor charges indicates reactive power usage



## Energy Use Intensity (EUI) Benchmarking (Level 4)



- Based on engineering models and statistical methods
  - Normalizes for construction era, climatic group and building use
- Abnormally high EUI values is an indicator of <u>uncompetitive</u> energy use



© 2013 IBM Corporation

## Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) Benchmarking (Level 4)

- PUE is the industry standard benchmark for data center operations
- IBM's PUE performance measure is 1.65 or less



#### **Corporate Energy Management Tools:** The Energy Checklists

- Coverage : Cooling systems, Heating systems, Data centers, HVAC, Lighting, Cafeterias, Compressed air, Labs & Manufacturing, Office equipments and Misc.
- Best practices for each listed in guestion format with guidelines and help available for each question

Com

> Open E Loca

Arch

- Document action plans, energy savings and funding requirements Energy Checklists 🕐 Close 🔄 Return to Main Navigator \Upsilon New Checklist 🔻 🛃 Go to Archive 📀 Help
- All sites with major energy usage
- have to complete

Close 🔏 Technical Feedback 📀 Help

- Tier 1 sites (Top 100) Every two years
- Tier 2 sites Every three years
- Other sites Every 5 years
- Cooling System Questionnaire Example

14 Ja a Variable Eregueneu Drive (VED) abillar (ar a Van	Chilled water optimization project completed.
reduce loads?	Chiller 805 performing modulation with VFDs on compressor and evaporator pump. Other chillers are operated in their most effective range of operation. Chiller 803 and 808/9 has also VFD on evap pumps
15. Is free cooling optimized to extend the season? Yes	Chilled water optimization project completed to extend free cooling period - Chilled water pump speed reduced to maintain supply temp and extend free cooling period
16. Is continuous chiller performance with alarming Yes enabled either in the stand alone chiller panel or in a Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) for the chilled water plant?	Efficiency dashboards in place to follow chiller efficienc as part of Energy Management Program.
17. Has the system been assessed for the use of Yes variable speed chillers with a primary variable pumping?	Chilled water optimization project completed Chiller 805, 803 and 808/9 have VFD on evap pumps Evaluate Chilled Water Demand Flow solution for additionnal chiller plant efficiency opportunities
18. Have all pumps been assessed for Variable Yes Frequency Drives (VFD's) potential?	Chilled water optimization project completed Chiller 805, 803 and 808/9 have VFD on evap pumps Evaluate Chilled Water Demand Flow solution for additional chiller plant efficiency opportunities
19. If pumps do not have Variable Frequency Drives No (not (VFD's), is there a method other than control valves to vary the differential pressure created?	feasible) Evaluation completed based on Hydro site survey. No acceptable payback for VFDs on these pumps

erav Mamt & Checklists	Kechercher dans la vue 'All Complete	d Gnecials	ts.				U III GOM	,	ΥX
Completed Checklists	Rechercher Recherche					Conseils de recherche		Plus	
All     Cafeterias	Checklist Surve	Number of Gap	Number Green	Number Yellow	Number Red	Number N/A	Number of Questions	Number Yes	Number No (In Progress
Compressed Air	▶ AP	2 315	1 262	202	126	725	11 663	5 871	170
Cooling Systems	► EMEA	4 073	1 949	445	525	1 154	18 546	10 248	473
United Sections	► LA	691	386	47	61	197	3 341	2 002	83
HVAC	▼NA	4 570	3 084	389	254	843	21 840	14 299	663
Labs & Manufacturing	▼Canada	520	284	81	53	102	2 506	1 406	108
I Lighting	▶ MB	31	4	5	8	14	131	36	8
Contraction of the second seco	▶ NB	17	6	5	2	4	86	25	2
Open & In Progress Checklists	▼ON	174	108	28	12	26	856	549	53
Location Profiles	Barrie - 505 Bayview Dr	31	21	6	1	3	131	75	11
Archived Checklists (ELT 1.0)	Markham - 100 Gough Road	31	15	6	4	6	131	57	11
	Markham - 3500 Steeles Ave East	# 31	23	3	0	5	153	105	4
	Markham - 3600 Steeles Ave East	it 31	19	6	1	5	153	113	8
o la	Markham - 8200 Warden Ave	17	11	2	1	3	113	86	7
	North York - 245 Consumers Rd	2	0	2	0	0	17	9	4
	Ottawa - 3755 Riverside Drive	31	19	3	5	4	158	104	8
ALCONG AND N	▼QC	267	149	40	30	48	1 302	731	43
	Bromont - 23 Boulevard De L'aer	o 226	131	33	22	40	1 135	647	26
	Bromont - 45 Boul De L'aeroport	10	4	0	5	1	36	19	3
	h Manager 1, 1006 Dece Manager East						101		

#### Cooling System - Work Plan Example Commitment to Action and Work Plan

Nr	Project, Action or Activity (Current Year plus one) *	Estimated Cost (\$K)	Estimated MWH Savings	Estimated Completion *	
1	Modify the sequence of operation to reduce the capacity of the free cooling when the load is lower than the free cooling capacity			15	
2	Conduct client assessment to ensure highest return temperature as possible and verify possibility to supply at higher temperature			16	
3	Implement Smarter Building new rules for Chiller plant as part of Corporate deployment plan			16	
4	Evaluate Chilled Water Demand Flow solution for additionnal chiller plant efficiency opportunities			16	



### IBM TRIRIGA ENERGY OPTIMIZATION (ITEO)' Smarter building





#### ITEO ANALYTIC RULES

## – EXAMPLES

## Business rules alert when equipment is operating sub-optimally

- Simultaneous Heating & Cooling
- Hot Call / Cold Call High / Low Building Temperature
- Temperature Sensor Drift
- Operating in Override Mode
- Operating Outside of Regular Schedule
- VFD Excessive Loading Supply or Return Fan
- Cooling or Heating Valve Passing Leakage Detection
- Heating when Warm Outside / Cooling when Cold Outside
- Not in Optimal Mechanical Cooling Mode, with CO2 Sensing
- Perimeter Heater Detected Operational when Warm Outside
- Simultaneous Humidification and Dehumidification
- Humidifying when Humid Outside / Dehumidifying when Dry Outside
- Chiller Low Supply Temperature
- Chiller Efficiency
- Chiller Free Cooling not being Utilized





- Analytics
   Detect an Issue
- Email Notification is Sent
- Maximo Service Request is Created
- Triage by HVAC or Control System Technician, including Analysis of Supporting Data
- Create Maximo Work Order for Repair

- Field Technician Makes Repair
- Feeds Back Problem Resolution via Maximo

- Energy Coordinator Determines Savings
- Results Recorded in Maximo

### SMARTER BUILDINGS SAVINGS MODEL



#### SAVINGS RESULTS BY RULE





## Corporate Energy Program results



<ul> <li>Annual conservation target 3.5%</li> <li>Between 1990 and 2012, IBM</li> </ul>	Year	Annual Energy conservation		
<ul> <li>– saved 6.1 billion kWh of electricity consumption</li> <li>– Avoided 3.9 million metric tons of</li> </ul>	2008	6.1%		
CO2 emissions (equal to 57 percent of the company's 1990 global CO2 emissions)	2009	5.4%		
<ul> <li>Saved \$477 million through its annual energy conservation actions.</li> </ul>	2010	4.9%		
	2011	7.4%		
	2012	6.5%		

## Conclusions



- IBM has a comprehensive energy accounting system
  - Enables monitoring, measurement and benchmarking capabilities
- Excellent results for energy conservation and benefits for the corporation
- ISO registered system both in ISO 14001 and ISO 50001
- Future developments with the dashboard and will enable continual improvements of our management system and our performance results