Landau-Zener transitions in a linear chain
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We present an exact asymptotic solution for electron transition amplitudes in an infinite linear chain driven by an external time-dependent electric field. This solution extends the Landau-Zener theory for the case of an infinite number of states in the discrete spectrum. In addition to the transition amplitudes we calculate the effective diffusion constant.
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Landau-Zener (LZ) theory1,2 treats a quantum system placed in a slowly varying external field. If such a system was prepared in a state of its discrete spectrum, it adiabatically follows this state until its time-dependent energy level crosses another one. Near the crossing point the adiabaticity can be violated and the system can escape from the state it occupied initially to another one. Landau and Zener found the transition probability for two-level crossing. The crossing of more than two levels at the same time is generally an unlikely coincidence. However, in some systems such a multilevel crossing may occur systematically, due to the high symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian. The transition matrix for special cases of multilevel crossing was studied in Refs. 3–8. Presently only a few exact results for multilevel crossing were obtained. A particularly interesting model is the bow-tie model5 whose physical interpretation is not obvious.

Since its creation in 1932, LZ theory has had numerous applications. They include molecular predissociation,10 slow atomic and molecular collisions,11 and electron transfer in biomolecules.12 Recently Wernsdorfer et al.13,14 employed the LZ theory to describe consistently the steplike shape of the hysteresis loop in special molecules with large magnetic moments called nanomagnets. Using the LZ probability formula these authors were able to explain the extremely small hysteresis loop in special molecules with large magnetic moments. A similar experiment, together with its clever treatment, is a triumph of quantum mechanics and, in particular, LZ theory.

The problem considered in this article is closely related to another application of LZ theory: electronic transfer in donor-acceptor complexes.9 In this process of biological and chemical importance, an electron tunnels between initial and final positions through a long chain of identical sites. There are two limiting cases for such a process. In the first case there is no coherence between two sequential tunneling processes connecting nearest-neighbor sites. In this case the probability of tunneling through several sites is very small in comparison to that for one-site tunneling. This limiting case was studied earlier.9 We consider the opposite limiting case in which the sequential tunneling processes are highly coherent and tunneling through many sites becomes available.

If the coherence between LZ transitions is lost, the problem is reduced to the multiplication and addition of probabilities, each described by a proper LZ expression. The price we must pay for incorporating the coherence between different transitions is a strong reduction of the class of quantum systems considered. The number of crossing levels in such systems must be infinite. The hopping amplitudes from a site to its neighbors must be all identical. Physically it describes the quantum electron transfer between a donor and acceptor separated by a long polymer strand (molecular bridge). The bridge can be considered as a linear array of identical sites. Such one-dimensional atomic-scale wires were intensely studied, both experimentally and theoretically.15–17 Our results can be also applied to transitions among electron states in semiconductor superlattices.18,19

We study the tunneling of a particle in such systems driven by a time-dependent homogeneous external field. An important assumption is that all molecular fragments in the chain are identical. An electric field splits the energy levels at different sites of the chain and suppresses the transitions, which occur within a narrow interval. When the electric field becomes zero. Since the tunneling is a fast process, we disregard the oscillatory relaxation originating from phonons and other elementary excitations.

Let denote |n⟩ a state located at the nth site of the chain. We assume that these states form a complete orthonormal set (Wannier basis). In terms of this set the electron Hamiltonian reads

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\gamma |n⟩⟨n+1| + c.c.) + F(t) |n⟩⟨n|, \]  

(1)

\[ F(t) = e E(t) a, \]

where \( E(t) \) is the electric field, \( e \) is the electron charge, \( a \) is the distance between sites, and \( \gamma \) is the coupling constant (hopping amplitude). A series of exact solutions for the time-dependent Shrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian (1) for \( N = \infty \), known as drifting plane waves, was found long
It depends on only one dimensionless number \( g = \gamma \sqrt{F(0)} \), which is the Landau-Zener parameter. Let the time-dependent state vector be \( |\alpha, t\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t) |n\rangle \). Then the system of equations for the amplitudes \( c_n(t) \) reads

\[
i c_n = n c_n + g(c_{n-1} + c_{n+1}).
\]  

(5)

The transition matrix element \( T_{n,n'} \) should be identified with the \( t \to +\infty \) asymptote of an amplitude \( c_n(t) \) for a solution obeying the initial condition \( |c_n(t)|^2 = \delta_{m,n} \) at \( t \to -\infty \). Since all \( c_m(t) \) except of \( c'_m(t) \) are zero at \( t \to -\infty \), the initial condition can be more explicitly written as

\[
c_n(t \to -\infty) = \delta_{m,n'} \exp(-in't^2/2).
\]  

(6)

We multiply the asymptotic values of \( c_n(t) \) by \( \exp(\text{int}^2/2) \) to remove strongly oscillating phase factors from \( T_{n,n'} \).

Now introduce an auxiliary function \( u(\varphi,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_m(t)e^{in\varphi} \). The system (5) is equivalent to the following equation in partial derivatives for \( u(\varphi,t) \):

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} + 2i g u \cos \varphi = 0.
\]  

(7)

The initial condition (6) is equivalent to the initial condition \( u(\varphi,t \to -\infty) \to \exp(\text{int}^2/2 + \varphi) \). Given the solution \( u(\varphi,t) \), the amplitudes \( c_n(t) \) can be found by the inverse Fourier transform \( c_n(t) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} u(\varphi,t)e^{-in\varphi} d\varphi \). The solution of Eq. (7) that obeys proper boundary conditions is

\[
u(\varphi,t) = \exp\left\{ -i \int_{-\infty}^{t} \cos(\varphi - \frac{\varphi'}{2} + \frac{\varphi'^2}{2}) d\varphi' + n(\varphi - \frac{\varphi'}{2}) \right\}.
\]  

(8)

Putting \( t = +\infty \) in the solution (8) and taking the inverse Fourier transform, we arrive at following asymptotic values:

\[
c_n(t) \approx (1/\sqrt{2\pi g}) \exp\left( -int^2/2 + i \frac{(n^2 - n)n\pi}{4} \right) J_{[n-n']'(2\sqrt{2\pi g})} .
\]  

(9)

Thus, the scattering amplitudes in terms of modified states, with the fast phase factor \( \exp(-\text{int}^2/2) \) incorporated, are

\[
T_{n,n'} \approx \langle n| T |n' \rangle = e^{i(n^2 - n)n\pi/4} J_{[n-n']'(2\sqrt{2\pi g})} ,
\]  

(10)

where the operator \( T \) is expressed in terms of the evolution operator \( U(t,t') \) for the Hamiltonian (4) in the interaction representation:

\[
T = \lim_{t \to +\infty, t' \to -\infty} \exp\left( \int_0^t H_0(\tau) d\tau \right) U(t,t') \times\exp\left( \int_0^{t'} H_0(\tau) d\tau \right) .
\]  

(11)
|n − n'| the oscillations start with g > |n − n'|. These oscillations
can be observed experimentally by varying the field
sweep rate 6(0). For small values of g the amplitudes are
small and quickly decrease with growing |n − n'|. In Fig. 2
we depict transition probabilities for several levels n closest
to the initial one n' versus the Landau-Zener parameter g.
Figure 3 shows the dependence of the transition amplitude
on |n − n'| at a fixed value of g.

For large g ≫ |n − n'| the asymptotic values of the amplitudes (10) are

\[ \langle n | T | n' \rangle \sim \frac{e^{i(n'-n)\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} e^{-(n-n')^2/2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right). \]

(12)

It is instructive to compare this result with other exactly
solvable generalized Landau-Zener models. Most of them
refer to systems with a finite number of states N. In the limit
\( g \gg N \) the transition probabilities behave like an exponent
\( \exp \{ -C(n,n')^2g \} \), where the \( C(n,n') \) do not depend on g. In
contrast, the result (12) displays a power law with oscillations
instead of an exponential dependence on g for large g.

This is the manifestation of quantum interference of different
Feynman trajectories, which are discrete in the chain. A step
in a trajectory has average length g (see below). Such a step
cannot be realized in a system with a finite number of states
if \( g \gg N \).

The mean square displacement at one crossing event is

\[ \langle (n-n')^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle (n-n')^2 \rangle \left| J_{|n-n'|}(2\sqrt{2\pi g}) \right|^2 = 4\pi g^2. \]

(13)

If the external field is periodic in time and the coherence
between crossing events is lost, the electron performs a
random walk; i.e., it diffuses. Assume the field to oscillate
harmonically as \( F(t) = F_0 \sin(\omega t) \). At the nodes \( t_k = \pi k/\omega \)
(\( k \) is an integer) all diabatic levels cross together. The
squared Landau-Zener parameter is \( g^2 = \gamma^2/F_0 \omega \). The diffusion
coefficient is \( D = 2a^2(\langle (n-n')^2 \rangle)/T \), where \( T = 2\pi/\omega \) is
the period of oscillations and the factor of 2 accounts for two
crossing events per period. Collecting these results and Eq.
(13), we find

\[ D = \frac{4a^2}{F_0}. \]

(14)

This result does not depend on the frequency of the external
field.

The theory can be extended to a more general Hamiltonian incorporating hopping between any two sites, but
conserving translational invariance:

\[ H = \sum_{m,n} H_{m,n} \langle m | n \rangle. \]

\[ H_{m,n} = nt \delta_{mn} + g_{|m-n|}, \quad g_k = g_k^\theta. \]

(15)

For simplicity we present below the result for real hopping
amplitudes \( g_k = -\kappa \):

\[ \langle n | T | n' \rangle = \frac{e^{i(n'-n)\pi/4}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[-i2\sqrt{2\pi f(\varphi,g)]} \]

\[ + i(n'-n)\varphi \, d\varphi, \]

(16)

where \( f(\varphi,g) = \Sigma_k (g_k l\sqrt{k}) \cos k\varphi. \)

The model (1) can be generalized also to incorporate internal
degrees of freedom of identical chain fragments. In this
case the local states are described by amplitudes \( a_{n,\alpha} \)
with two indices. The first index \( n \) denotes the position and
the second index \( \alpha \) labels the inner states. The Schrödinger
equation for the amplitudes then reads

\[ i \dot{a}_{n,\alpha} = (nt + \epsilon_n + \delta_{nt})a_{n,\alpha} + \sum_\beta g_{\alpha,\beta}(a_{n+1,\beta} + a_{n-1,\beta}), \]

(17)

where the indexes \( \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N_{int} \) run over the internal
states of the molecular wire segment. Changing to variables
\( a_{n,\alpha} = b_{n,\alpha} e^{i(n+n')/2} \), we eliminate the term proportional to \( t \) in
Eq. (17). Introducing a new function \( u_\alpha(\varphi) = \Sigma_{n,-\infty} b_{n,\alpha} e^{in\varphi} \), we reduce the infinite system (17) to a
finite set of \( N_{int} \) ordinary differential equations:
in which \( \varphi \) plays the role of a parameter. The initial conditions are
\( b_{n,\varphi}(t=-\infty) = \exp(-i\delta_\varphi^2/2) \delta_{n,n'} \) and
\( u_{a,\varphi}(t=-\infty) = \exp((-i\delta_\varphi^2/2) + i'n'\varphi) \). Thus, the variable (parameter) \( \varphi \) enters not only in the system (18), but also in the initial conditions. This system must be solved for all values of parameter \( \varphi \) in the interval \((0,2\pi)\). The inverse Fourier transformation yields the evolution operator just as for the case \( N_{\text{int}} = 1 \).

Our solution demonstrates a phenomenon that is probably common for most systems with multilevel crossing: oscillations of the transition probabilities as a function of the LZ parameter and site position (distance between diabatic levels). However, their asymptotic values for large values of the LZ parameter differ from those for other solvable multistate LZ models with a finite number of states. We expect that in a general situation with \( N \gg 1 \) crossing levels, the transition probabilities will behave similarly to those found in this work for \( 1 \ll g \ll N \), provided that the initially occupied states are far enough from the diabatic spectrum boundaries.

Finally, we discuss the relationship between our problem and a typical problem for semiconductor superlattices.\(^{18,19}\) The latter is associated with Anderson localization. The diabatic levels at sites are randomly distributed. In one and two dimensions all sites are localized. If the width of the energy distribution \( \Delta \) is much less than the tunneling amplitude \( \gamma \), the localization length in one dimension is \( a \gamma/\Delta \). To enhance the tunneling through a chain it is reasonable to apply a time-dependent electric field. The electric field is substantial if \( F/\gamma/\Delta \gg 1 \) where \( F \) is a typical value of \( F(t) \). Our approximation is valid if the inequality is strong: \( F/\gamma/\Delta \gg 1 \). Tunneling transitions in the field proceed during an interval of time \( \tau \) defined by relation \( F(\tau) \sim \gamma \). The value \( F(\tau) \) can be accepted for \( F \). We see that the strong inequality \( \gamma/\Delta \gg 1 \) guarantees the existence of the strong-field limit in which the randomness of levels can be ignored. This requirement does not impose any limitations on the LZ parameter \( g \).
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\( i\dot{u}_a = (\varepsilon_a + \delta_a^2)u_a + 2\cos(\varphi + \varphi) \sum_\beta g_{a,\beta}u_\beta \), \( \vdots \)